MOLD AND MOISTURE ASSESSMENT REPORT



BELLEVUE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

2301 E GRACE ST RICHMOND, VIRGINIA 23223

ECS PROJECT NO. 47:14153-K

FOR: RICHMOND PUBLIC SCHOOLS FACILITY SERVICES

FEBRUARY 26, 2024







Geotechnical • Construction Materials • Environmental • Facilities

February 26, 2024

Mr. Ronald Hathaway Jr. Richmond Public Schools Facility Services 1461-A Commerce Road Richmond, Virginia 23224 Rhathawa@rvaschools.net

ECS Project No. 47:14153-K

Reference: Mold and Moisture Assessment, Bellevue Elementary School, 2301 E Grace St, Richmond, Virginia

Dear Mr. Hathaway Jr.:

ECS Mid-Atlantic, LLC (ECS) is pleased to provide Richmond Public Schools Facility Services with the results of the above-referenced Mold and Moisture Assessment performed at Bellevue Elementary School located at 2301 E Grace St in Richmond, Virginia. This report summarizes our observations, analytical results, findings, and recommendations related to the work performed. The work described in this report was performed by ECS in general accordance with the Scope of Services described in ECS Proposal Number 47:47:30369-EP and the terms and conditions of the agreement authorizing those services.

ECS appreciates this opportunity to provide Richmond Public Schools Facility Services with our services. If we can be of further assistance to you, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

ECS Mid-Atlantic, LLC

Israel Santana, III Environmental Project Manager isantana@ecslimited.com 804-353-6333

Ohn Chyn

Christopher J. Chapman, CIH Director of Industrial Hygiene cchapman@ecslimited.com 804-353-6333

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

1.0	PROJEC	T DESCR	IPTION	1							
2.0	PURPOSE										
3.0	ΜΕΤΗΟ	DOLOG	٬ ٬	1							
	3.1	Mold and Moisture									
4.0	RESULT	s		2							
	4.1	Mold ar	d Moisture	3							
		4.1.1	Spore-Trap Air Samples	4							
		4.1.2	Temperature and Humidity	5							
		4.1.3	Moisture in Building Materials	7							
5.0	CONCLU	JSIONS	AND RECOMMENDATIONS	3							
	5.1	Mold ar	d Moisture	3							
6.0	LIMITA	FIONS .	10	D							



TABLE OF APPENDICES

Appendix I: School Diagram Appendix II: Mold Laboratory Report Appendix III: Site Photographs Appendix IV: Mold Reference and Guidance Documents



1.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The building located at 2301 E Grace St in Richmond, Virginia is a school building known as Bellevue Elementary School. The building contains approximately 42,135 square feet of space and was reportedly originally constructed in 1900.

Based on information provided by Richmond Public Schools Facility Services representatives, ECS understands that building occupants have reported mold and moisture concerns in the building to the Richmond Public Schools Facility Services Department. Richmond Public Schools Facility Services has requested ECS conduct a Mold and Moisture Assessment to evaluate these concerns. In addition, ECS was requested to collect samples of suspect asbestos containing materials that are determined to be moisture or mold impacted requiring remediation.

2.0 PURPOSE

The purpose of the Mold and Moisture Assessment was to conduct visual observations and testing for mold and moisture to identify evidence of moisture-affected building materials or selective amplification of mold within tested areas of the subject building and determine if asbestos containing materials are present in the tested areas that may require mold remediation.

3.0 METHODOLOGY

ECS performed the authorized Scope of Services in general accordance with our proposal, standard industry practices and methods specified by guidelines and industry standards for the identification of mold and moisture-affected building materials and asbestos containing building materials.

3.1 Mold and Moisture

The assessment included a non-invasive visual and olfactory survey for evidence of mold and moisture within the subject building. The assessments focused on the client-selected areas indicated by Richmond Public Schools Facility Services. The ECS site personnel observed readily accessible areas and selected building materials to evaluate visible suspect fungal growth and/or moisture impacted materials. A reasonable effort was made to identify water and mold impacted areas; however, this does not imply a guarantee that all possible reservoirs of mold were identified because mold or water-impacted building materials may be hidden by walls, flooring, partitions, etc.

Ambient temperature and relative humidity were measured during the survey using a Q-Trak hand held IAQ meter and Fluke thermo-hygrometer. The purpose of these measurements was to identify elevated interior humidity levels, which could potentially support indoor mold growth or indicate ongoing moisture problems.

ECS measured the moisture content in various building materials in multiple locations within the surveyed areas utilizing a Protimeter brand hand-held moisture meter. The instrument may be operated in two independent modes. The non-destructive "search mode" uses radio-frequency induction to detect moisture in a substrate. Using the search mode, the Protimeter is capable of detecting moisture in solid, homogeneous materials at depths up to 10 millimeters (0.39 inches). When operated in search mode, the Protimeter produces qualitative readings ("dry", "at risk", "wet") along with a relative numerical reading corresponding to the appropriate qualitative reading. The



Protimeter may also be used in "measure mode" to obtain actual moisture percentage readings in wood and other solid, non-conductive materials. Measurements are taken by inserting the pins of a moisture probe into the material being tested. For wood substrates, the moisture percentage is expressed as "% Moisture Content (MC)"; for other materials this number is expressed as "% Wood Moisture Equivalent (WME)". In general, %MC or %WME values of less than 17 are considered "dry", values greater than or equal to 17 but less than 20 are considered "at risk" for moisture damage, and values of 20 or greater are considered "wet". Values of greater than 17 % typically are considered at risk for mold growth. This was not a comprehensive moisture mapping survey of all building materials within the areas surveyed but rather a non-invasive survey of moisture in select areas of specific building materials which may be impacted by moisture.

ECS measured the moisture content in various building materials in multiple locations within the surveyed areas utilizing a Delmhorst brand hand-held moisture probe (Model BD 2100). Based on the Delmhorst moisture meter scales for materials, moisture levels greater than 0.5% are considered elevated for drywall wallboard materials and are considered at risk for mold growth. Levels greater than 15% for wood materials and greater than 85% for plaster surfaces are considered elevated. This was not a comprehensive moisture mapping survey of all building materials within the areas surveyed but rather a non-invasive survey of moisture in select areas of specific building materials which may be impacted by moisture.

Fungal spore air samples were collected using calibrated self contained battery operated air sampling pumps and Allergenco® cassettes. Samples were transported to Environmental Hazards Services located in Richmond, Virginia for analysis. Environmental Hazards Services is accredited by the Environmental Microbiology Laboratory Accreditation Program, administered by the American Industrial Hygiene Association. Air samples were reported to the genus or group level according to the laboratory standard quantification procedures.

Samples collected were transported/shipped to Environmental Hazards Services (EHS) located in Richmond, Virginia for analysis. EHS is an AIHA (American Industrial Hygiene Association) EMLAP (Environmental Microbiology Laboratory Accreditation Program) accredited laboratory. The samples were analyzed for total spore concentrations in accordance to the laboratory's quantification methods.

It is important to note that fungal spore samples represent a snapshot in time of a constantly changing microbiome. Environmental conditions such as temperature and humidity may influence sample results. The goal of the sampling performed was not to establish precise numerical concentrations over time, but rather to generally identify the dominant fungi in the sampled locations and the general significance of their relative concentrations as compared to outdoor concentrations or unaffected locations.

4.0 RESULTS

The following is a summary of laboratory results, measurements, findings and observations.

Based on our observations and sampling data, ECS does not see any reason why the school should not be continued to be used based on our experience with similar school buildings across the Richmond area and our findings for this study. In general, our air sample results did not indicate any



significantly elevated spore trap air samples in the classrooms above outdoor comparison samples. As would be expected with any school building, new or old, areas of mold and moisture were observed and it is our understanding that the recommendations identified in the assessment reports are being addressed or will be addressed by Richmond Public Schools Facility Services.

4.1 Mold and Moisture

Main School Building

- Moisture stained ceiling tiles were observed sporadically in areas throughout the hallways, classrooms, and cafeteria in areas where drop ceiling tiles are present. None of the areas tested were determined to have elevated moisture content. In general much of the staining observed on ceiling tiles was likely caused by old roof leaks, or pipe or HVAC condensation leaks;
- Suspect mold was observed on the ceiling mounted fan coil units throughout the school. ECS observed heavy dust on the face of the units and suspect mold was growing on the accumulated dust.
- ECS also observed heavy mold growth on the fiberglass pipe insulation associated with the ceiling mounted fan coil units throughout the school;
- ECS observed the plaster ceiling and wall above the window in room 303 with obvious water damage potentially from a roof leak; Moisture readings collected from these areas were in the dry range;
- In a office space beside the media center (room unlabeled), ECS observed heavy damage to the plaster wall; which was below a duct which went through the wall; This area tested dry however with the moisture meter.
- Heavy plaster damaged was observed from a water intrusion event above the exterior window in room 307; Moisture readings taken from wall measured in the dry range;
- In room 304 (closet) ECS observed suspect mold were the wall meets the ceiling in the closet; This area tested dry.
- ECS observed damaged plaster wall and ceiling within the auditorium in various locations. ECS believes the plaster damage may be caused by a roof leak; These areas tested dry with the moisture meter.
- Water intrusion appeared to be occurring in the basement level in room 101. ECS observed the brick on the exterior wall to be impacted by a water intrusion event; Moisture readings were in the dry range at the time of the site visit.

Exterior Envelope

- ECS observed areas of failed caulk and window glaze throughout the exterior of the building from normal age and weathering. However, ECS was unable to test this material due to metal window gate blocking the window from being access and tested for asbestos;
- ECS also observed the window outside room 101 to have heavy staining on the concrete outside of the window; The window sill was also damaged possibly from water intrusion.



4.1.1 Spore-Trap Air Samples

Fungal spore-trap air samples were collected from the surveyed areas. Representative exterior samples were collected for comparison. The following table summarizes the results of sample analysis reported in spore counts per cubic meter of air.

ECS notes that standalone air purifiers were in use in several classrooms and other sampled areas, which may impact the concentration of fungal spores observed in samples collected in these spaces.

Spore-Trap Sample Results

Sample Number	Sample Location	Total Fungal Spore Concentration (count/cubic meter)
A1	Outdoors, main entrance	4800
A2	Classroom 201	310
A3	Classroom 202	410
A4	Classroom 203	360
A5	Classroom 204	160
A6	Classroom 205	440
A7	Classroom 206	87
A8	Classroom 207	73
A9	Classroom 208	73
A10	Classroom 209	120
A11	Media center, west end	150
A12	Media center, east end	130
A13	Classroom 301	200
A14	Classroom 302	110
A15	Classroom 303	220
A16	Classroom 304	87
A17	Outdoors, main entrance	1900
A18	Classroom 305	120
A19	Classroom 306	93
A20	Classroom 307	93
A21	Classroom 308	280



Sample Number	Sample Location	Total Fungal Spore Concentration (count/cubic meter)
A22	Classroom 309	320
A23	Upstairs office corridor	230
A24	Teachers lounge	27
A25	Main office hall	87
A26	Auditorium	27
A27	Classroom 100	130
A28	Classroom 101	280
A29	Classroom 103	100
A30	Classroom 105	240
A31	Kitchen	310
A32	Cafeteria	200
A33	Main hall at entrance	210
A34	Outdoors, main entrance	2400

ECS notes that several indoor plants were observed in the area of the main office. Indoor plants may contribute elevations in airborne fungal spores, however, ECS did not observe significant elevations in the air samples collected.

Analytical results of the mold air testing determined that total spore counts reported in the rooms tested in the school were below the level of total airborne mold spores reported on the outside samples. The fungal genera detected were also generally comparable with fungal genera detected outdoors.

There are currently no accepted regulatory standards or guidelines with respect to acceptable fungal levels inside buildings. Generally total spore counts and fungal genera detected on spore trap samples collected on the interior should be comparable to and less then outdoor samples. It is important to note however that spore trap measurements can fluctuate rapidly and the readings reported should not be used as a definitive indication that mold and or health hazards related to mold are present or absent.

4.1.2 Temperature and Humidity

The key to understanding humidity is that warmer air can contain greater quantities of moisture than cooler air. Relative humidity is defined as the ratio of the amount of moisture contained in the air to the maximum amount of moisture the air can contain at that temperature. The dew point



temperature is defined as the temperature at which the amount of moisture in the air reaches saturation. The dew point is a more accurate indication of the actual amount of moisture in the air, because it is independent of temperature.

The American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air-Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE) has published several standards for ventilated buildings. *ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 62.1-2019, Ventilation for Acceptable Air Quality* specifies that indoor humidity should be maintained below 60 degrees Fahrenheit (°F) dew point temperature. The EPA recommends that indoor relative humidity be maintained below 60%, ideally 30-50%, to prevent mold growth. The *OSHA Technical Manual*, Section III, Chapter 2 for Indoor Air Quality Investigations specifies a thermal comfort range of 68°F to 76°F and a relative humidity range of 20% to 60% to maximize comfort for all occupants.

The following table summarizes the indoor air temperature and relative humidity readings collected by ECS during the survey.

The temperature and relative humidity readings collected during this assessment were considered normal and within the recommended ranges.

Location	Relative Humidity (%)	Temperature (°F)
Outdoors, main entrance	34.3	60.0
Classroom 201	28.7	72.5
Classroom 202	29.5	72.4
Classroom 203	28.5	73.4
Classroom 204	27.6	73.3
Classroom 205	29.5	73.0
Classroom 206	28.2	73.8
Classroom 207	28.8	71.8
Classroom 208	31.4	70.6
Classroom 209	30.1	71.1
Media center west end	30.7	72.9
Media center east end	29.6	72.5
Classroom 301	29.3	72.2
Classroom 302	29.3	72.7
Classroom 303	29.5	72.2
Classroom 304	29.5	72.9

Temperature and Relative Humidity



Location	Relative Humidity (%)	Temperature (°F)
Outdoors, main entrance	38.2	60.5
Classroom 305	30.8	71.9
Classroom 306	30.6	71.6
Classroom 307	31.1	70.9
Classroom 308	31.4	71.4
Classroom 309	31.4	70.4
Upstairs office corridor	31.1	72.0
Teachers lounge	32.2	70.5
Main office hall	29.9	73.6
Auditorium	30.6	72.4
Classroom 100	30.6	71.6
Classroom 101	31.0	71.6
Classroom 103	30.7	71.1
Classroom 105	31.6	70.8
Kitchen	31.0	74.3
Cafeteria	30.0	72.9
Main hall at entrance	29.9	72.7
Outdoors, main entrance	42.5	58.8

The temperature and relative humidity within the school class rooms and office space were within the EPA and ASHRAE standards.

4.1.3 Moisture in Building Materials

The following table summarizes moisture content readings collected.

Summary of Moisture Readings from Building Materials

Location	Building Component	Substrate Material	Moisture Content (%)
Room 307	Wall	Plaster	45.5
Room 303	Wall	Plaster	55.1
Room 101	Wall	Brick	69.5



Moisture readings were taken from the plaster wall and ceiling in room 307 and 305 where ECS observed water impacted plaster. ECS believes the moisture impacted plaster appears to be from a roof leak since no signs of pipe or HVAC leaks were observed at the time. ECS also collected moisture readings from the brick wall in room 101 were bubbling was observed. This should be further investigated as this area measured damp.

5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on our understanding of the purpose of the Mold and Moisture Assessment, the results of laboratory analysis, and our findings and observations, ECS presents the following recommendations.

5.1 Mold and Moisture

ECS recommends remediation be performed for all water and mold impacted materials within the surveyed areas as soon as reasonably possible. This includes all materials that have visible suspected mold and/or have been subjected to elevated moisture conditions for greater than 48 hours without proper drying efforts.

General

ECS recommends that a qualified mold remediation/drying contractor be retained to properly remove mold impacted materials. Remediation activities should be performed in general accordance with the guidelines described in EPA's March 2001 document "Mold Remediation in Schools and Commercial Buildings" and under the OSHA 2010 Guidelines for mold removal. Additional remedial guidance documents are also referenced in Section at the end of this report. Workers performing this work should wear proper personal protective equipment (PPE) including HEPA filtered respirators and disposable clothing (per OSHA standards for PPE).

ECS recommends that a building envelope study be performed for the building by a qualified engineer. Correction of building envelope and water intrusion issues should be performed prior to or concurrent with any remediation activities.

Setup

In general accordance with the EPA and OSHA guidelines, ECS recommends containment of the remediation areas using plastic barriers and tape to create negative pressure containment during removal of mold impacted materials. The contractor should seal HVAC vents in the work area(s), as well as all other penetrations and openings. A HEPA-filtered local exhaust ventilation (negative air machine) should be utilized within the work area directly adjacent to the area(s) being cleaned and should maintain negative pressure and HEPA filtration continuously inside the containment during remediation activities and prior to clearance sampling.

Scope of Work

All impacted drywall materials that have visible growth and/or have sustained water impacts should be removed in excess of 2 feet beyond the visible extent of mold or water stains where feasible. Further observation of the wall and ceiling systems may be necessary during remediation efforts to determine if additional materials will need to be removed. **As noted previously, any**



active moisture leaks into the building should be properly accessed and corrected prior to or concurrent with mold remediation activities. In addition, prior to performing any work the remediation contractor shall review all asbestos reports for the school building.

ECS makes the following recommendations concerning abatement of mold and/or moisture impacted materials in the building:

- Assessment of the building envelope by a qualified engineer or contractor to determine what repairs should be made to the exterior of the building in order to properly seal the building envelope and prevent further moisture intrusion. The envelope assessment should include an assessment of the integrity of the porticos and roof throughout the building as well;
- Moisture stained ceiling tiles were observed sporadically in areas throughout the hallways, offices, cafeteria/auditorium, and kitchen in areas where drop ceiling tiles are present. None of the areas tested were determined to have elevated moisture content. In general much of the staining observed on ceiling tiles was likely caused by old roof leaks, pipe condensation or leaking pipes. Have a qualified mold remediation contractor or qualified maintenance staff remove and replace all moisture impacted ceiling tiles. Perform any mold remediation as described in this protocol above this section if needed;
- A heavy build-up of dust and dirt was observed associated with the ceiling supply vents throughout the building. Perform localized cleaning of the HVAC system and review the cleaning and maintenance schedule for the units; Use a mold remediation contractor or qualified school maintenance staff. Perform any mold remediation as described in this protocol above this section; Note: Having dust accumulation and suspect mold at the diffusers is not uncommon and is a normal preventive maintenance measure to have maintenance staff monitor and correct/clean these areas.
- ECS also observed heavy mold growth on the fiberglass pipe insulation associated with the ceiling mounted fan coil units throughout the school. Have a qualified mold remediation contractor or qualified maintenance staff remove and replace all mold and moisture impacted fiberglass pipe insulation. Perform any mold remediation as described in this protocol above this section, as needed;
- ECS observed the plaster ceiling and wall above the window in room 303 with obvious water damage potentially from a roof leak; Moisture readings however were in the dry range. Repair the plaster wall and verify the area is dry and suspect mold is not present. Perform any mold remediation as described in this protocol, above this section, if needed;
- In a office space beside the media center (room unlabeled), ECS observed heavy damage to the plaster wall which was below a duct which went through the wall. Repair the plaster wall and verify the area is dry and suspect mold is not present. Perform any mold remediation as described in this protocol, above this section, if needed;
- Heavy plaster damaged was observed from a water intrusion event above the exterior window in room 30; Moisture readings taken from wall were in the dry range. Repair the plaster wall and verify the area is dry and suspect mold is not present. Perform any mold remediation as described in this protocol, above this section, if needed;
- In room 304 in the closet ECS observed suspect mold were the wall meets the ceiling in the closet. Further cleaning of the plaster wall is recommended; Verify the area is dry and suspect mold is not present. Perform any mold remediation as described in this protocol, above this section, if needed;



- ECS observed damaged plaster wall and ceiling within the auditorium in various locations. ECS believes the plaster damage may be caused by a roof leak. Repair the plaster wall and verify the area is dry and suspect mold is not present. Perform any mold remediation as described in this protocol, above this section, if needed;
- Water intrusion appeared to be occurring in the basement level in room 101. ECS observed the brick on the exterior wall to be impacted by a water intrusion event; Repair the brick wall and verify the area is dry and suspect mold is not present. Perform any mold remediation as described in this protocol, above this section, if needed;
- ECS observed areas of failed caulk and window glaze throughout the exterior of the building from normal age and weathering; Perform normal repairs.
- ECS also observed the window outside room 101 to have heavy staining on the concrete outside of the window; The window sill was also damaged possibly from water intrusion. Perform normal repairs.

Note: The purpose of this survey was to evaluate areas where moisture intrusion or suspected visible mold growth has occurred and provide findings and recommendations for remedial work efforts. Identification and recommendations for correction of sources of moisture should be performed by a qualified engineer. Because of the nature of the environment, complete elimination of all microbial organisms within a building cannot be expected and is not the goal of remediation. The goal of remediation is to restore the affected materials to at least the condition of unaffected materials. It is important to note that the reported mold levels are only reflective of conditions at the time of this test and that mold populations can vary over time, depending upon a number of conditions, including environmental factors (i.e., temperature and relative humidity). If significant mold growth reappears, or if the occupants experience prolonged allergic-type health complaints, they should seek further investigation of the problem.

6.0 LIMITATIONS

The conclusions and recommendations presented within this report are based upon a reasonable level of assessment within normal bounds and standards of professional practice for a site in this particular geographic setting. ECS is not responsible or liable for the discovery and elimination of hazards that may potentially cause damage, accidents, or injuries.

This survey is not intended to represent an exhaustive research of every potential hazard or condition that may exist, nor does it claim to represent indoor conditions or events that arise after the survey. This report has been prepared in accordance with generally accepted environmental practices. Our conclusions and findings are based, in part, upon information provided to us by others and our site observations. We have not verified the completeness or accuracy of the information provided by others. The scope of services performed was limited to those requested by the Client and does not constitute a full microbial assessment of the site or a comprehensive moisture survey of the site. The data provided in this study is only indicative of conditions sampled at the immediate time of the study.

This report does not warrant against future operations or conditions, nor does it warrant against extant, or future, conditions of a type or at a location not investigated. Because of the nature of this type of work and the difficulties involved in conducting remediation work, ECS cannot guarantee that the methods or recommendations described in this report will eliminate all potential indoor air



quality issues. Since performance of the remediation work is also beyond ECS scope of services, ECS also cannot be held responsible for the execution of the remediation work. The reported microbial levels are only reflective of conditions at the time of this test and that microbial populations can vary over time, depending upon a number of conditions, including environmental factors (i.e., temperature and relative humidity). The work performed in conjunction with this assessment and the data developed is intended as a description of available information at the dates and locations given.

The observations, conclusions, and recommendations pertaining to environmental conditions at the subject site are necessarily limited to conditions observed, and/or materials reviewed at the time this study was undertaken. No warranty, expressed or implied, is made with regard to the conclusions and recommendations presented within this report. This report is provided for the exclusive use of the client. This report is not intended to be used or relied upon in connection with other projects or by other unidentified third parties without the written consent of ECS and the client.

Our recommendations are in part based on federal, state, and local regulations and guidelines. ECS does not assume the responsibility of the person(s) in charge of the site, or otherwise undertake responsibility for reporting to any local, state, or federal public agencies, any conditions at the site that may present a potential danger to public health, safety, or the environment. Under this scope of services, ECS assumes no responsibility regarding any response actions initiated as a result of these findings. General compliance with regulations and response actions are the sole responsibility of the Client and should be conducted in accordance with local, state, and/or federal requirements.



Appendix I: School Diagram

BELLEVUE ELEMENTARY

RICHMOND PUBLIC SCHOOLS DATA SYSTEM CONNECTIONS AND FLOOR PLAN



File Name: BELLEVUE ELEM. DATA SYS. LOC. RE-DRAW 08172009.vsd

Appendix II: Mold Laboratory Report



Non-Viable Spore Trap Analysis Report

Report Number: 23-11-02443

7469 Whitepine Rd Richmond, VA 23237

Telephone: 800.347.4010

Client Number:

Environmental Hazards Services, L.L.C.

Client: ECS Mid-Atlantic - Richmond 2119 D North Hamilton St Richmond, VA 23230

Received Date: 11/16/2023 Analyzed Date: 11/27/2023, 11/28/2023 Reported Date: 11/28/2023

Project/Test Address: Bellevue Elementary; 2301 East Grace St; Richmond, Virginia

Client Number:		ahor	ato	rv R						
200625				<u> </u>	530	113		804-3	353-947	′8
Lab # :	23-11-0	2443-001	23-11-	02443-002	23-11-02443-003		23-11-02443-004		23-11-02443-005	
Client Sample ID :	A1		A2		A3		A4		A5	
Date Collected :	11/1	5/2023	11/	15/2023	11/1	5/2023	11/15/2023		11/15/2023	
Collection Location :	OUTDO ENTI	ORS MAIN RANCE		201		202	203		204	
Sampling Media :	Air-	O-Cell	Air	-O-Cell	Air-	O-Cell	Air	-O-Cell	Air	-O-Cell
Analytical Sensitivity (spores/m3) :		6.7		6.7		6.7		6.7		6.7
Volume (L) :		150		150		150		150		150
Spore ID	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)
Cladosporium spores	297	2000	23	150	30	200	28	190	8	53
Penicillium/Aspergillus group spores	328	2200	15	100	20	130	14	93	15	100
Alternaria spores	3	20								
Aureobasidium spores	7	47	1	6.7	1	6.7	4	27		
Drechslera/Bipolaris group spores	2	13								
Arthrinium spores	1	6.7								
Curvularia spores	4	27			1	6.7				
Stachybotrys spores	4	27	1	6.7			2	13		
Pithomyces spores	3	20	1	6.7	1	6.7				
Epicoccum spores	3	20					1	6.7		
Pestalotia spores	3	20					1	6.7	1	6.7
Cercospora spores	1	6.7								
Nigrospora spores	2	13			1	6.7				
Fusarium spores	1	6.7								
smuts, Periconia, myxomycetes	62	410	5	33	5	33	4	27		
Bispora spores	1	6.7			2	13				
	1									

Fax Number:

Environmental Hazards Services, L.L.C

Report Number: 23-11-02443

Client Number: 200625 Project/Test Address: Bellevue Elementary; 2301 East Grace St; Richmond, Virginia

Lab # :	23-11-02443-001		23-11-02443-002		23-11-02443-003		23-11-02443-004		23-11-02443-005	
Spore ID	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)								
Trichocladium spores	1	6.7								
TOTAL SPORES(Spores/m3)		4800		310		410		360		160
Analyst:	Kitana	a Usher	Kitar	a Usher	Kita	na Usher	Kita	ana Usher	Kita	ana Usher



Non-Viable Spore Trap Analysis Report

Fax Number:

Report Number: 23-11-02443

7469 Whitepine Rd Richmond, VA 23237

Telephone: 800.347.4010

Client Number:

Environmental Hazards Services, L.L.C.

Client: ECS Mid-Atlantic - Richmond 2119 D North Hamilton St Richmond, VA 23230

Received Date: 11/16/2023 Analyzed Date: 11/27/2023, 11/28/2023 Reported Date: 11/28/2023

Project/Test Address: Bellevue Elementary; 2301 East Grace St; Richmond, Virginia

<u>Client Number:</u>	1	ahor	ato	$r_V R_i$						
200625		aboi	aiu	I Y I V	530	113		804-3	353-947	8
Lab # :	23-11-	3-11-02443-006 23-11-02443-007		23-11-02443-008		23-11-02443-009		23-11-02443-010		
Client Sample ID :		A6		A7	A8		A9		A10	
Date Collected :	11/	15/2023	11/	15/2023	11/1	5/2023	11/1	5/2023	11/15/2023	
Collection Location :		205	206		207		:	208		209
Sampling Media :	Air	Air-O-Cell Air-O-Cell		Air-O-Cell		Air-O-Cell		Air-O-Cell		
Analytical Sensitivity (spores/m3) :	6.7		6.7		6.7		6.7		6.7	
Volume (L) :		150	150		150		150		150	
Spore ID	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)
Cladosporium spores	28	190	5	33	7	47	8	53	9	60
Penicillium/Aspergillus group spores	34	230	8	53	1	6.7	2	13	6	40
Aureobasidium spores					1	6.7			2	13
smuts, Periconia, myxomycetes	4	27			2	13	1	6.7	1	6.7
TOTAL SPORES(Spores/m3)	<u>I</u>	440		87		73		73		120
Analyst:	Kitan	a Usher	Kitar	na Usher	Kita	ina Usher	Kit	ana Usher	Ki	tana Usher



Non-Viable Spore Trap Analysis Report

Environmental Hazards Services, L.L.C. Report Number: 23-11-02443 7469 Whitepine Rd Richmond, VA 23237 Received Date: 11/16/2023 Telephone: 800.347.4010 Analyzed Date: 11/27/2023, 11/28/2023 Client: ECS Mid-Atlantic - Richmond Reported Date: 11/28/2023 2119 D North Hamilton St Richmond, VA 23230

Project/Test Address: Bellevue Elementary; 2301 East Grace St; Richmond, Virginia

Client Number:			- 1 -	Fax Number:						
200625	L	abor	ato	ry R	804-353-9478					
Lab # :	23-11-0	02443-011	23-11-	02443-012	23-11-	02443-013				
Client Sample ID :		A11		A12		A13				
Date Collected :	11/1	5/2023	11/	15/2023	11/	15/2023				
Collection Location :	MEDIA WES	CENTER ST SIDE	MEDIA EAS	MEDIA CENTER EAST SIDE		301				
Sampling Media :	Air-	O-Cell	Air	-O-Cell	Air	-O-Cell				
Analytical Sensitivity (spores/m3) :		6.7		6.7		6.7				
Volume (L) :		150		150	150					
Spore ID	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)
Cladosporium spores	16	110	5	33	13	87				
Penicillium/Aspergillus group spores	5	33	8	53	14	93				
Aureobasidium spores	1	6.7	2	13						
Curvularia spores			1	6.7						
Stachybotrys spores			1	6.7	1	6.7				
smuts, Periconia, myxomycetes			2	13	2	13				
Bispora spores	1	6.7								
TOTAL SPORES(Spores/m3)	I	150	I	130		200				
Analyst:	Kitana	a Usher	Kitar	na Usher	Kita	ana Usher				

Sample Narratives:

(Sample 001) M03:

Substantial amount of particulate observed, counts may be underestimated.

Environmental Hazards Services, L.L.C

Client Number: 200625 Project/Test Address: Bellevue Elementary; 2301 East Grace St; Richmond, Virginia Report Number: 23-11-02443

Method: Non-Culturable Spore Trap Examination

Reviewed By Authorized Signatory:

Jasha Eaddy

Tasha Eaddy QA/QC Clerk

The condition of the samples analyzed was acceptable upon receipt per laboratory protocol unless otherwise noted on this report. Results represent the analysis of samples submitted by the client. Sample location, description, volume, etc., was provided by the client. The Client is hereby notified that due to the subjective nature of fungal analysis and the growth process of fungal infestation, laboratory samples can and do change over time relative to the originally sampled material. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written consent of Environmental Hazards Services, L.L.C.

ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARDS SERVICES, LLC

Mold Chain of Custody Form

Page 1 of 3

	Com	any Name ECS I	Mid-Atlantic	;			Ac	count #			
	Compa	ny Address 2119	North Hami	Iton S	itreet		City/State/Zip Richmond/VA/23230				
		Phone 804-3	53-6333				Email rcurran@ecslimited.com				
-	Project / Testi	ng Address Bellev	/ue Elemen	tary, 2	2301 East G	race St., R	ichmon	d, Virginia			
		20 Number 47:14	153-K		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Collected 1	3y Rob	Curran			
	Collection Date & Time 11/15/23 Outside Air Temp Indoor Air Temp										
	Was ther	e any precipitation	(rain, sleet	or sno	w) 2 hours of	less before	taking tl	ne samples?	Г Yes	V No	
]	urn-Around Ti	ne 💿 5 Day	🔿 3 Day	, (🔵 2 Day	🔿 1 Day	0	Same Day	/ Weekend	- Must Call Ahead	
		AÍR/ NON	VIABLE		SAMPLE SPORE	TRAP	2000 - C	SWAB SAMPL	E SURFACE		
		Bulk	В		Air-O-Cell	AOC		Non Porous	NP		
		Swab Bio-Tabe	S . T	+	Cyclex D BioS/S	B		Semi Porous Porous	SP .		
	F	Wall Check	W		Micro 5	M5				T · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
SHERK!	Client	Collection 1 o	cation	ator (pe	A Səm	r ples	s	Swab amples	Qualitative Particulate	Comments	
N HAL	Sample ID			- Sa	Зроге Тгар Туре	Air Volume (Total Liter)	Surface Type (NP/SP)	Area of Mold (Square Feet)	Analysis Additional \$10.00 per sample		
!	A1	Outdoors, main entra	ince	в	AOC	150				5688705	
2	A2	201		в	AOC	150				5688742 ,	
3	A3	202		в	AOC	150				5688759	
	A4	203		в	AOC	150				5688723 、	
5	A5	204		В	AOC	150				5688731	
9 	A6	205	-	в	AOC	150				568B960 ·	
?	A7	206		в	AOC	150				5688978 ⊍	
8	A8	207		8	AOC	150				5688949 .	
9	A9	208		8	AOC	150				5688912	
10	A10	209		в	AOC	150				5689023	
11	A11	Media center, west si	de	В	AOC	150				5688950	
12	A12	Media center, east si	de	В	AOC	150				5689026 ·	
13	A13	301		В	AOC	150				5689005	
R	eleased By: R	obert Curran			D	ate: 11/16	/23		Time:		
· · · ·	Signature:	Matack C	Elitera.								
					LAB USE ONLY	- BELOW THIS L	INE				
Re	eceived By:	HUM	iphr	C				114410	23-11-0	2443	
Signature:											
Date: 11,16,23 Time: <u>335</u> □ AM Ø PM								Due Dat 11/27/2	e: [023		
Portal Contact Added (Monday) ER								y)			
	-			a :/o	001 242 4010						

7469 WHITEPINE RD, RICHMOND, VA 23237 (800)-347-4010 RESULTS VIA CLIENT PORTAL AVAILABLE @ www.leadlab.com



Environmental Hazards Services, L.L.C.

7469 Whitepine Rd

CI

Non-Viable Spore Trap Analysis Report

Fax Number:

Report Number: 23-11-02444

	Richmond, VA 23237		
Τe	elephone: 800.347.4010	Received Date:	11/16/2023
ient:	ECS Mid-Atlantic - Richmond 2119 D North Hamilton St Richmond, VA 23230	Analyzed Date: Reported Date:	11/28/2023 11/28/2023

Project/Test Address: Bellevue Elementary; 2301 East Grace St.; Richmond, Virgina

Client Number:			4	Fax Number:							
200625		abor	ato	ry R	804-353-9478						
Lab # :	23-11-	02444-001	23-11-0	02444-002	23-11-0	2444-003	23-11-0)2444-004	23-11-	02444-005	
Client Sample ID :		A14		A15	A16		A17		A18		
Date Collected :	11/	15/2023	11/1	11/15/2023		5/2023	11/1	5/2023	11/15/2023		
Collection Location :		302	:	303	3	304	OUTDO ENT	ORS MAIN TRACE	305		
Sampling Media :	Air	Air-O-Cell		Air-O-Cell		O-Cell	Air-	O-Cell	Air	-O-Cell	
Analytical Sensitivity (spores/m3) :		6.7		6.7	(6.7		6.7		6.7	
Volume (L) :		150		150	1	150		150		150	
Spore ID	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)									
Cladosporium spores	2	13	10	67	8	53	144	960	9	60	
Penicillium/Aspergillus group spores	10	67	19	130	4	27	42	280	4	27	
Aureobasidium spores							5	33	2	13	
Drechslera/Bipolaris group spores							3	20			
Arthrinium spores							1	6.7			
Curvularia spores							1	6.7			
Stachybotrys spores			1	6.7			2	13	1	6.7	
Pithomyces spores							1	6.7			
Epicoccum spores	1	6.7					6	40			
Nigrospora spores			1	6.7							
Memnoniella spores							1	6.7			
smuts, Periconia, myxomycetes	3	20	2	13	1	6.7	80	530	2	13	
TOTAL SPORES(Spores/m3)	<u> </u>	110		220	87		1900		120		
Analyst:	Kitan	a Usher	Kitar	a Usher	Kita	na Usher	Kitana Usher		Kitana Usher		



Environmental Hazards Services, L.L.C.

7469 Whitepine Rd

Client Number:

Non-Viable Spore Trap Analysis Report

Fax Number:

Report Number: 23-11-02444

I	Richmond, VA 23237			
Te	lephone: 800 347 4010	Received Date:	11/16/2023	
		Analyzed Date:	11/28/2023	
Client:	ECS Mid-Atlantic - Richmond	Reported Date:		
	2119 D North Hamilton St			
	Richmond, VA 23230			

Project/Test Address: Bellevue Elementary; 2301 East Grace St.; Richmond, Virgina

<u> Client Number:</u>	- I	ahar	nto	Fax Nulliber.							
200625	L	apui	alu		53u	115	804-353-9478				
Lab # :	23-11-0	02444-006	23-11-()2444-007	23-11-0)2444-008	23-11-0	02444-009	23-11-02444-010		
Client Sample ID :		A19	1	420	A21		A22		A23		
Date Collected :	11/1	11/15/2023		11/15/2023		5/2023	11/15/2023		11/15/2023		
Collection Location :	:	306		307		308	309		UPSTAIRS OFFICE BY MEDI CENTER		
Sampling Media :	Air-	O-Cell	Air-	Air-O-Cell Air-O-Cell		O-Cell	Air	-O-Cell	Air-O-Cell		
Analytical Sensitivity (spores/m3) :	6.7			6.7		6.7		6.7		6.7	
Volume (L) :	150		150		150		150		150		
Spore ID	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	
Cladosporium spores	5	33	4	27	5	33	27	180	13	87	
Penicillium/Aspergillus group spores	7	47	6	40	6	40	15	100	16	110	
Aureobasidium spores					31	210	2	13	1	6.7	
Stachybotrys spores			1	6.7							
Epicoccum spores							1	6.7			
Pestalotia spores									1	6.7	
Fusarium spores	1	6.7									
smuts, Periconia, myxomycetes	1	6.7	3	20			3	20	3	20	
Bispora spores									1	6.7	
TOTAL SPORES(Spores/m3)		93		93	280		320		230		
Analyst:	Kitana	a Usher	Kitan	a Usher	Kita	na Usher	Ki	tana Usher	Kitana Usher		



Non-Viable Spore Trap Analysis Report

Environm	ental Hazards Services, L.L.C. 7469 Whitepine Rd Richmond, VA 23237	Report Number:	23-11-02444	
Te	elephone: 800.347.4010	Received Date:	11/16/2023	
Client:	ECS Mid-Atlantic - Richmond 2119 D North Hamilton St Richmond, VA 23230	Reported Date:	11/28/2023 11/28/2023	

Project/Test Address: Bellevue Elementary; 2301 East Grace St.; Richmond, Virgina

Client Number:			4		<u>Fax Number:</u>						
200625		abor	ato	ry R	esu	Its	804-353-9478				
Lab # :	23-11-	02444-011	23-11-	02444-012	23-11-	02444-013					
Client Sample ID :		A24		A25		A26					
Date Collected :	11/	11/15/2023		11/15/2023		15/2023					
Collection Location :	TEA LO	TEACHERS LOUNGE		MAIN OFFICE AUDITO		TORIUM					
Sampling Media :	Air	Air-O-Cell		Air-O-Cell Air-O-Cell							
Analytical Sensitivity (spores/m3) :		6.7		6.7 6.7							
Volume (L) :		150		150		150					
Spore ID	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	
Cladosporium spores	2	13	9	60	3	20					
Penicillium/Aspergillus group spores	2	13	3	20							
Aureobasidium spores			1	6.7							
Chaetomium spores					1	6.7					
TOTAL SPORES(Spores/m3)	1	27		87		27					
Analyst:	alyst: Kitana Usher		Felicia Butler Felicia Butler								

Sample Narratives:

(Sample 004) M03: Substantial amount of particulate observed, counts may be underestimated.

Environmental Hazards Services, L.L.C

Client Number: 200625 Project/Test Address: Bellevue Elementary; 2301 East Grace St.; Richmond, Virgina Report Number: 23-11-02444

Method: Non-Culturable Spore Trap Examination

Reviewed By Authorized Signatory:

Jasha Eaddy

Tasha Eaddy QA/QC Clerk

The condition of the samples analyzed was acceptable upon receipt per laboratory protocol unless otherwise noted on this report. Results represent the analysis of samples submitted by the client. Sample location, description, volume, etc., was provided by the client. The Client is hereby notified that due to the subjective nature of fungal analysis and the growth process of fungal infestation, laboratory samples can and do change over time relative to the originally sampled material. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written consent of Environmental Hazards Services, L.L.C.

ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARDS SERVICES, LLC

Mold Chain of Custody Form

Page 2 of 3

									· · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Com	pany Name	ECS MI	d-Atlantic	;		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Account#		
	Compa	any Address	2119 N	orth Ham	ilton S	Street	۰۲ ا	City/	State/Zip Ri	chmond/VA/23	230
		Phone	804-353	3-6333			,		Email rc	urran@ecslimit	ed.com
	Project / Test	ing Address	Bellevu	e Elemer	itary,	2301 East G	race St., R	ichmo	ond, Virginia		
		PO Number	47:141	53-K			Collected 1	sy Ro	b Curran		
	Collection D	ate & Time	11/15/2	3		Out	slde Air Ten	qı		Indoor Air Te	mp
	Was the	re any precip	oitation (ain, sleet	ör sno	w) 2 hours of	less before	taking	the samples	r Yes	V No
	Furn-Around Ti	me 💿 5	Day	🔿 3 Day	y (🔿 2 Day	() 1 Day	. () Same Da	y / Weekend	- Must Call Ahead
					year	SAMPLE T	YPE CODES				
			Bulk	ABLE B		Air-O-Cell	AOC		SWAB SAMP		
			Swat	s ·		Cyclex D	C	:	Semr Porou	s SP	
			Bio-Tape	T		SioSis	В	<u></u>	Ροιομ	5 P	
ar.		i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		νν 					Śwah		
neae	Client	Calla			pe.	Sami	les		Samples	Particulate	
LAB NU	Sample ID	7-Oile	CIION LOCA	JON	San Ty	Spore Trap Type	Air Volume (Total Liter)	Surfac Type (NP/SF	Area of Molo (Square Feet)	Analysis Additional \$10.09 per sample	Comments
1	A14	302			в	AOC	150		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		5688990
2	A15	303			в	AOC	150				5688915
Ĵ	A16	304			в	AOC	150				5688995
2	A17	Outdoors, ma	ain entranc	e	в	AOC	150		ľ		5688917
5	A18	18 305		в	AOC	150				5688947	
6	A19	306		в	AOC	150	··	·		5689031	
7	A20	307			8	AOC	150				5688911
8	A21	308			8	AOC	150				5689032
9	A22	309			B	AOC	150				5688953
10	A23	Upstairs offic	e by media	i center	в	AOC	150				5688908
14	A24	Teachers lou	nge		B	AOC	150				5688979
12	A25	Main office, I	nail		в	AOC	150				5688940 ·
13	A26	Auditorium			В	AOC	150	_ .			5688982 ·
R	eleased By: F	lobert Curra	រា			Da	ate: 11/16	23		Time:	
	Signature:	And some	میں اور اور اس کی کی میں اور اور اور کی کی	*'							
	<u></u>		1 2000	· · ·		LAB USE ONLY -	BELOW THIS L	INE			
*****		IN IL I		\dot{n}	<i></i>]	23-11-0	2444 7
R	eceived By: <u>†</u>	THU	<u> </u>	7111		1					
s	ionature:	\square)					
-	- <u> </u>	6 91	2	<u>ر</u>	į	1 İ		/		Due Dat	e:
D	ate: <u>[]</u> /i	$O_1 Z_2$	🗋 Time	ж	:] _{PM}		11/27/2 (Monda)	023 iy)
	ER ER										
	9				- 10						

& 7469 WHITEPINE RD, RICHMOND, VA 23237 (800)-347-4010 RESULTS VIA CLIENT PORTAL AVAILABLE @ www.leadlab.com



Non-Viable Spore Trap Analysis Report

Fax Number:

Report Number: 23-11-02442

7469 Whitepine Rd Richmond, VA 23237

Telephone: 800.347.4010

Client Number:

Environmental Hazards Services, L.L.C.

Client: ECS Mid-Atlantic - Richmond 2119 D North Hamilton St Richmond, VA 23230

Received Date: 11/16/2023 Analyzed Date: 11/27/2023, 11/22/2023 Reported Date: 11/27/2023

Project/Test Address: Bellevue Elementary; 2301 East Grace St; Richmond, Virginia

00625	L	abui	alu	804-353-9478						
Lab # :	23-11-	02442-001	23-11-	02442-002	23-11-02442-003		23-11-02442-004		23-11-02442-005	
Client Sample ID :		A27		A28	A29		A30		A31	
Date Collected :	11/1	15/2023	11/	11/15/2023		11/15/2023		5/2023	11/15/2023	
Collection Location :		100		101		103		105	KIT	CHEN
Sampling Media :	Air	Air-O-Cell		Air-O-Cell		Air-O-Cell		Air-O-Cell		-O-Cell
Analytical Sensitivity (spores/m3) :		6.7		6.7		6.7		6.7	6.7	
Volume (L) :		150		150		150		150	150	
Spore ID	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)
Cladosporium spores	13	87	11	73	7	47	7	47	12	80
Penicillium/Aspergillus group spores	6	40	27	180	6	40	26	170	34	230
Aureobasidium spores			1	6.7						
Drechslera/Bipolaris group spores	1	6.7								
Curvularia spores									1	6.7
Torula spores							1	6.7		
Pestalotia spores			2	13						
smuts, Periconia, myxomycetes			1	6.7	2	13	2	13		
TOTAL SPORES(Spores/m3)	130		280		100		240		310	
Analyst:	Kitan	a Usher	Kitar	na Usher	Kitana Usher		Kitana Usher		Kitana Usher	

Laboratory Doculta



Non-Viable Spore Trap Analysis Report

Environmental Hazards Services, L.L.C. Report Number: 23-11-02442 7469 Whitepine Rd Richmond, VA 23237 Received Date: 11/16/2023 Telephone: 800.347.4010 Analyzed Date: 11/27/2023, 11/22/2023 Client: ECS Mid-Atlantic - Richmond Reported Date: 11/27/2023 2119 D North Hamilton St Richmond, VA 23230

Project/Test Address: Bellevue Elementary; 2301 East Grace St; Richmond, Virginia

lient Number:	Number:									
00625		abor	ato	ry R	804-353-9478					
Lab # :	23-11-0	02442-006	23-11-()2442-007	23-11-0	02442-008				
Client Sample ID :		A32		433		A34				
Date Collected :	11/1	5/2023	11/1	5/2023	11/15/2023					
Collection Location :	CAFE	CAFEERTERIA M H		MAIN ENTRY HALL UNDER STAIRS		OUTDOORS MAIN ENTRACE				
Sampling Media :	Air-	Air-O-Cell		O-Cell	Air-	O-Cell				
Analytical Sensitivity (spores/m3) :		6.7		6.7		6.7				
Volume (L) :		150		150		150				
Spore ID	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)
Cladosporium spores	13	87	15	100	156	1000				
Penicillium/Aspergillus group spores	14	93	16	110	127	850				
Alternaria spores					2	13				
Aureobasidium spores			1	6.7	2	13				
Drechslera/Bipolaris group spores					1	6.7				
Arthrinium spores					2	13				
Curvularia spores					1	6.7				
Stachybotrys spores					2	13				
Torula spores					1	6.7				
Pithomyces spores					1	6.7				
Epicoccum spores	3	20			5	33				
Pestalotia spores					1	6.7				
smuts, Periconia, myxomycetes					60	400				
Bispora spores					1	6.7				
TOTAL SPORES(Spores/m3)		200		210		2400				

Kitana Usher

Kitana Usher

Kitana Usher

Analyst:

Environmental Hazards Services, L.L.C

Client Number: 200625 Project/Test Address: Bellevue Elementary; 2301 East Grace St; Richmond, Virginia Report Number: 23-11-02442

Sample Narratives:

(Sample 008) M02: Large amounts of particulate observed.

Method: Non-Culturable Spore Trap Examination

Reviewed By Authorized Signatory:

Melisoa Kanode

Melissa Kanode QA/QC Clerk

The condition of the samples analyzed was acceptable upon receipt per laboratory protocol unless otherwise noted on this report. Results represent the analysis of samples submitted by the client. Sample location, description, volume, etc., was provided by the client. The Client is hereby notified that due to the subjective nature of fungal analysis and the growth process of fungal infestation, laboratory samples can and do change over time relative to the originally sampled material. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written consent of Environmental Hazards Services, L.L.C.

ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARDS SERVICES, LLC

Mold Chain of Custody Form

Page 3 of 3

								· · · ·					
	Com	pany Name ECS Mid-A	tlantic					Account	#				
	Compa	my Address 2119 North	Hamilt	ton St	reet		City	/State/2	p Ric	hmo	nd/VA/23	230	
		Phone 804-353-63	333					Ema	il rcu	rran(Decslimit	ed.com	
	Project / Test	ing Address Bellevue E	lementa	агу, 2	301 East G	race St., I	Richm	ond, Vir	ginia				
	1	PO Number 47:14153-I	<			Collected	By R	ob Curra	an				
	Collection D	ate & Time 11/15/23			Outs	ide Air Te	mp			Ind	oor Air Te	mp	
·····	Was the	re any precipitation (rain	sleet or	r snov	v) 2 hours of	less befor	e takin	g the sar	nples?	Ť	T Yes	V No	
<u>. 8.</u> 	Furn-Around Ti	me 💿 5 Day 🔿	3 Day	() 2 Day	() 1 Da	y	🔿 Sam	e Day	r / W	eekend	- Must Call Ahead	
					SAMPLE T	YPE CODES							
		AIR/ NON VIABL						SWAE	SAMPL		FACE		
		Swab S	:	· ·	Gyclex D	C	··· .	Se	ni Porous	SP			
		Bio-Tape T		· · ·	Bioŝiŝ	8		· .	Porous	P			
	la marinaisa ang sang sang sang sang sang sang san	Wall Check W	 		Micro 5	M5					<u>.</u>	-	
VIBER	Client			<u>e</u> e	Al Sam	xles		Samples		Q P	ualitative articulate		
E NUI	Sample 1D	Collection Location		Typ	Spore Trap	Air Volume	Surfa	ice Area	of Mold	Ariatysis		Comments	
3					Туре	(Totat Liter)	(NP/	e (Squ	⊮eFee!)	\$10	00-per sample		
:	A27	100	B	3	AOC	150						5688923 🐋	
z	A28	101 B			AOC	150	_					5689022	
3	A29	29 103 B				150						5688939 👒	
4	A30	105			AOC	150						5688901 -	
5	A31	Kitchen	8	3	AOC	150						5689012 、	
ö	A32	Cafeteria		3	AOC	150						5688955	
7	A33	Main entry half, under stairs	в	3	AOC	150						5688903 .	
સ	A34	Outdoors, main entrance	в	3	AOC	150						5689004	
9												:	
40							1						
51						~	.			•		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
12	·····	······································				·	÷	<u></u>		1		·	
13							+				•	· · · · · · ·	
	······································				l	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,,,,				L	
R	eleased By: R	obert Curran			Da	ite: 11/1	6/23				Time:	3:21pm	
	Signature:	Robert Care	a sub and a sub									v	
	<u> </u>			*** * *** * ***	LAB USE ONLY -	BELOW THIS	LINE						
R	eceived By:	Himph	πJ	Ą					 	119 61 DA	23-11-0 000000000000000000000000000000000		
si	Signature: 337 Date Date												
-											Due Dat 11/27/2	te: 023	
р Г										(Monday)			
L	Portal Conta	ct Added									EK		
	•												

7469 WHITEPINE RD, RICHMOND, VA 23237 (800)-347-4010 RESULTS VIA CLIENT PORTAL AVAILABLE @ www.leadlab.com

Appendix III: Site Photographs



1 - General view of ceiling tile with water intrusion.



2 - General view of ceiling mounted fan coil unit pipes with visible mold.



3 - General view of ceiling mounted fan coil unit pipes with visible mold.



4 - General view of ceiling mounted fan coil unit which contains heavy dust and suspect mold.



5 - View of plaster ceiling showing water damage from possible roof leaks in room 303.



6 - View of damaged plaster wall in the office space near the media center on the 3rd floor.



7 - View of damaged plaster from water intrusion in room 307



8 - Room 304 closet where it appears cleaning was conducted. Suspect mold was observed on the wall however.



9 - Damaged plaster wall and ceiling from a possible roof leak in the Auditorium.



10 - Brick wall where ECS observed moisture intrusion / damage on the lower half of wall in room 101.



11 - Vent grate near main entrance with heavy dust observed.



12 - View of the window outside room 101 where heavy staining was observed. The windowsill appeared to be damaged from water intrusion.

Appendix IV: Mold Reference and Guidance Documents

MOLD REFERENCE DOCUMENTS AND GUIDANCE

Standards and Publications

- Mold Remediation in Schools and Commercial Buildings, EPA, EPA 402-K-01-001, September 2008
- <u>A Brief Guide to Mold in the Workplace</u>, Occupational Safety Health Administration (OSHA), SHIB 03-10-10, updated 11-08-13
- ANSI/IICRC S520-2015 <u>Standard and Reference Guide for Professional Mold Remediation</u>, Institute of Inspection, Cleaning, and Restoration Certification, Third Edition
- ANSI/IICRC S500-2021 <u>Standard and Reference Guide for Professional Water Damage</u> <u>Restoration</u>, Institute of Inspection, Cleaning, and Restoration Certification, Fifth Edition
- <u>Bioaerosols: Assessment and Control</u>, American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists, 1999.
- Building Air Quality: A Guide for Building Owners and Facility Managers, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) and Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) EPA 402F-91-102, December 1991
- Mold Moisture and Your Home, EPA, EPA-402-K-02-003, September 2012
- WHO Guidelines for Indoor Air Quality: Dampness and Mould, World Health Organization (WHO), 2009
- <u>Guidelines on Assessment and Remediation of Fungi in Indoor Environments</u>, New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, November 2008.
- <u>Damp Buildings, Human Health, and HVAC Design</u>, Report of the ASHRAE Multidisciplinary Task Group: Damp Buildings, American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air Conditioning Engineers, 2020

Websites

EPA – Mold Resources, https://www.epa.gov/mold

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), https://www.cdc.gov/mold/faqs.htm

Department of Energy and the Environment (DOEE), Mold Assessment and Remediation Licensure Regulations <u>https://doee.dc.gov/service/mold-professional-licensing</u>

Virginia Department of Health, Environmental Health, Public Health Toxicology, Mold <u>https://www.vdh.virginia.gov/environmental-health/public-health-toxicology/mold/</u>