# **MOLD AND MOISTURE ASSESSMENT REPORT**



#### FOX AT CLARK SPRINGS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

1101 DANCE STREET RICHMOND, VIRGINIA 23220

ECS PROJECT NO. 47:14153-A

FOR: RICHMOND PUBLIC SCHOOLS FACILITY SERVICES

**OCTOBER 4, 2023** 





#### Geotechnical • Construction Materials • Environmental • Facilities

October 4, 2023

Mr. Lloyd Schieldge Richmond Public Schools Facility Services 1461-A Commerce Road Richmond, Virginia 23224 Ischield@rvaschools.net

ECS Project No. 47:14153-A

Reference: Mold and Moisture Assessment, Fox at Clark Springs Elementary School, 1101 Dance Street, Richmond, Virginia

Dear Mr. Schieldge:

ECS Mid-Atlantic, LLC (ECS) is pleased to provide Richmond Public Schools Facility Services with the results of the above referenced Mold and Moisture Assessment performed at Fox at Clark Springs Elementary School located at 1101 Dance Street in Richmond, Virginia. This report summarizes our observations, analytical results, findings, and recommendations related to the work performed. The work described in this report was performed by ECS in general accordance with the Scope of Services described in ECS Proposal Number 47:47:30196-EP and the terms and conditions of the agreement authorizing those services.

ECS appreciates this opportunity to provide Richmond Public Schools Facility Services with our services. If we can be of further assistance to you, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

ECS Mid-Atlantic, LLC

Robert Curran Environmental Project Manager rcurran@ecslimited.com

le tallyman

804-353-6333

Christopher J. Chapman, CIH Director of Industrial Hygiene cchapman@ecslimited.com 804-353-6333

TABI	LE OF C	ONTEN	TS PAGE
1.0	PROJI	ECT DESC	RIPTION 1
2.0	PURP	OSE	
3.0	METH	IODOLOG	GY 1
4.0	RESU	LTS	
	4.1	Mold a	and Moisture 3
		4.1.1	Spore-Trap Air Samples
		4.1.2	Direct Surface Fungi Samples
		4.1.3	Moisture in Building Materials
		4.1.4	Temperature and Relative Humidity
5.0	CONC	CLUSIONS	S AND RECOMMENDATIONS 10
6.0	LIMIT	ATIONS	



#### **TABLE OF APPENDICES**

Appendix I: Site Photographs

Appendix II: Laboratory Report(s)

Appendix III: Mold Reference and Guidance Documents



#### 1.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The buildings located at 1101 Dance Street in Richmond, Virginia are a school building and four classroom trailers known as Fox at Clark Springs Elementary School. The building was reportedly originally constructed sometime in the late 60's or early 70's, and underwent major renovations in 1989.

ECS understands that the students and faculty of the school have observed evidence of mold or moisture intrusion in the building and have been experiencing allergic type-symptoms when in the building.

Richmond Public Schools Facility Services has requested ECS to conduct a mold and moisture assessment of the building and the four (4) classroom trailers to determine if a source of water intrusion or mold growth is present and prepare a general mold remediation protocol to provide remediation recommendations.

ECS previously performed mold and moisture testing in the school in the Spring of 2022 and prepared a Mold and Moisture Assessment and Asbestos Sampling Report project #47:14153 dated March 21, 2022. This report identified mold and moisture impacted building materials and provided remediation recommendations. Remediation work and cleaning was performed following ECS initial assessment. ECS was not present for any remediation work and cannot comment on the extent of remediation that was performed. ECS returned to the site and performed follow up spore trap air sampling in areas that ECS had identified as elevated which indicated that the airborne mold spore levels had decreased.

#### 2.0 PURPOSE

The purpose of the Mold and Moisture Assessment was to conduct visual observations and testing for mold and moisture to identify evidence of moisture-affected building materials or selective amplification of mold within tested areas of the subject building.

#### 3.0 METHODOLOGY

ECS performed the authorized Scope of Services in general accordance with our proposal, standard industry practice(s) and methods specified by guidelines and industry standards for the identification of mold and moisture-affected building materials.

#### **Mold and Moisture**

The assessment included a non-invasive visual and olfactory survey for evidence of mold and moisture within the school building and four (4) classroom trailers. The assessments focused on the client-selected areas indicated by Richmond Public Schools Facility Services which including requesting duplication of the parameters of the testing for the previous report. The ECS site personnel observed readily accessible areas and selected building materials to evaluate visible suspect fungal growth and/or moisture impacted materials. A reasonable effort was made to identify water and mold impacted areas; however, this does not imply a guarantee that all possible reservoirs of mold were identified because mold or water-impacted building materials may be hidden by walls,



flooring, partitions, etc. ECS could not safely access the ceiling cavity in the multi-purpose room or any of the areas beneath the classroom trailers. Mold and moisture impacted building materials may be present in these areas.

Ambient temperature, relative humidity, and dewpoint temperature were measured during the survey using a TSI Q-Trak Model 7545 IAQ meter. The purpose of these measurements was to identify elevated interior humidity levels, which could potentially support indoor mold growth or indicate ongoing moisture problems.

Selected potentially moisture-affected materials were tested using a Delmhorst Model BD 2100 moisture meter to evaluate moisture content. Based on the Delmhorst moisture meter settings, moisture levels greater than 1.0% are considered elevated for drywall or other gypsum materials, and greater than 17% is elevated for wood-based materials. This was not a comprehensive moisture mapping survey of all building materials within the areas surveyed but rather a non-invasive survey of moisture in select areas of specific building materials which may be impacted by moisture.

ECS measured the moisture content in various building materials in multiple locations within the surveyed areas utilizing a Protimeter brand hand-held moisture meter. The instrument may be operated in two independent modes. The non-destructive "search mode" uses radio-frequency induction to detect moisture in a substrate. Using the search mode, the Protimeter is capable of detecting moisture in solid, homogeneous materials at depths up to 10 millimeters (0.39 inches). When operated in search mode, the Protimeter produces qualitative readings ("dry", "at risk", "wet") along with a relative numerical reading corresponding to the appropriate qualitative reading. The Protimeter may also be used in "measure mode" to obtain actual moisture percentage readings in wood and other solid, non-conductive materials. Measurements are taken by inserting the pins of a moisture probe into the material being tested. For wood substrates, the moisture percentage is expressed as "% Moisture Content (MC)"; for other materials this number is expressed as "% Wood Moisture Equivalent (WME)". In general, %MC or %WME values of less than 17 are considered "dry", values greater than or equal to 17 but less than 20 are considered "at risk" for moisture damage, and values of 20 or greater are considered "wet". Values of greater than 17 % typically are considered at risk for mold growth. This was not a comprehensive moisture mapping survey of all building materials within the areas surveyed but rather a non-invasive survey of moisture in select areas of specific building materials which may be impacted by moisture.

Fungal spore air samples were collected by means of a self contained battery operated Zefon pump and Allergenco® cassettes. Samples were transported to Environmental Hazards Services located in Richmond, Virginia for analysis. Environmental Hazards Services is accredited by the Environmental Microbiology Laboratory Accreditation Program, administered by the American Industrial Hygiene Association. Air samples were reported to the genus or group level according to the laboratory standard quantification procedures.

It is important to note that fungal spore samples represent a snapshot in time of a constantly changing microbiome. Environmental conditions such as temperature and humidity may influence sample results. The goal of the sampling performed was not to establish precise numerical concentrations over time, but rather to generally identify the dominant fungi in the sampled locations and the general significance of their relative concentrations as compared to outdoor concentrations or unaffected locations.]



#### **4.0 RESULTS**

The following is a summary of laboratory results, measurements, findings and observations.

#### 4.1 Mold and Moisture

Below is a summary of the sampling data collected as part of this evaluation.

- Water stained ceiling tiles were observed sporadically throughout the classrooms of the building;
- Ceiling tiles with mold impact (on the exterior face of the tile) were observed in rooms 104, 118, the Multi-purpose Room, Conference Room (locker room), the exit vestibules and storage rooms in the South wing, the bathrooms between classrooms in the North wing and the staff locker room; It is anticipated that mold is present on the backside of the ceiling tile.
- Suspect mold was observed on some of the ceiling tiles above the entrance in the Multi-purpose Room. ECS could not access these ceiling tiles for tape lift or moisture testing.
- Mold was observed on the fan coil hot water return loop pipe insulation observed throughout the building as previously identified in ECS's previous report Clark Springs Elementary School - Mold and Moisture Assessment and Asbestos Sampling Report reference ECS report 47:14153 dated March 21, 2022.
- Evidence was observed that the condensate drains for the fan coils for many of the classrooms were clogged and condensate moisture is pooling and infiltrating back into the perimeter classroom walls.
- Evidence of mold staining was observed on the back of the older fissure style ceiling tiles throughout many of the classrooms. Tape lift samples were collected from two (2) classroom locations which indicated the presence of mold spores but not a direct indication of mold growth.
- Water and mold impacted ceiling tiles were observed in the ceiling cavity in room 118. The
  ceiling tiles appeared to have been previously impacted and then pushed into the ceiling
  cavity when a new ceiling tile was installed. ECS also observed this sporadically in a number
  of classrooms throughout.
- In the North wing ECS observed sporadic rodent droppings above the ceilings (on top of the ceiling tiles).
- The interior relative humidity measured in many of the classrooms was elevated above the recommended EPA and industry range of 30% to 60%.
- The blown-in insulation in the soffit around the perimeter classrooms and fiberboard panels
  above the windows in the library and cafeteria were visibly discolored and stained in some
  areas. This appears to indicate infiltration of outdoor air and potential moisture through
  gaps in exterior soffits and corrugated fascia panels.
- On the exterior ECS observed some of the window glazing missing from some of the windows. Some of the exterior walls also had cracks or missing mortar joints.



#### 4.1.1 Spore-Trap Air Samples

Fungal spore-trap air samples were collected from all the classrooms and common areas where students or faculty occupy the building. Representative exterior samples were collected for comparison. The following table summarizes the results of sample analysis reported in spore counts per cubic meter of air.

#### **Spore-Trap Sample Results**

Sample Number	Sample Location	Total Fungal Spore Concentration (count/cubic meter)
I	nside the Building, September 21	1, 2023
A1	Outside	4,400
A2	101	420
A3	102	290
A4	103	710
A5	104	1,300
A6	105	570
A7	106	890
A8	107	450
A9	108	650
A10	GAA/Ms. Spencer	1,000
A11	Cafeteria	470
A12	Kitchen	490
A13	Library	Nothing Observed* (see note below)
A14	Nurse's Office	390
A15	Office Lobby	550
A16	Outside	20,000
A17	Multi-purpose Room	620
A18	Conference Room (Locker Room)	230
A19	Faculty Lounge	310
A20	109	310



Sample Number	Sample Location	Total Fungal Spore Concentration (count/cubic meter)
A21	110	130
A22	111	300
A23	112	160
A24	113	53
A25	114	67
A26	CIS Site Coordinator	40
A27	Schauer	110
A29	115	53
A29	116	33
A30	117	660
A31	118	180
A32	119	190
A33	120	160
A34	Outside	8,200
	Trailers, September 25, 2023	
3689 9182	Outside	2,000
3689 9183	#1	720
3689 9197	#2	1,800
3689 9154	#3	400
3689 9180	#4	320
3689 9176	Outside	2,200

<sup>\*</sup> No particulate or mold spores were observed by the laboratory on this spore trap cassette.

#### Discussion:

The mold spore trap air samples results for the school building and the trailers indicated that generally, the total indoor spore counts were less than the spore counts of the outdoor samples. Elevations of certain fungal genera were present however on the samples collected in Rooms 103, 104, 106, GAA/Ms. Spencer's area, the Multi-purpose Room and Room 117. The elevations were apparently being caused by the active roof leaks or other water intrusion concerns observed in these areas and the mold impacted materials also observed. Of all of the samples collected, the



most significantly elevated air sample for mold was detected on the sample collected in the Room 104. Visible mold and an active leak were observed on ceiling tiles associated with a leaking hydronic piping valve above the ceiling at the entrance to Room 104. The other areas where elevations were noted were in locations where visible mold was present or present in adjacent rooms.

There are currently no accepted regulatory standards or guidelines with respect to acceptable fungal levels inside buildings. Generally total spore counts and fungal genera detected on spore trap samples collected on the interior should be comparable to and less then outdoor samples. It is important to note however that spore trap measurements can fluctuate rapidly and the readings reported should not be used as a definitive indication that mold and or health hazards related to mold are present or absent.

#### 4.1.2 Direct Surface Fungi Samples

Direct tape lift samples were collected from classrooms 112 and 118. Sample locations were selected from areas suspected to have fungal spore concentrations present due to water staining on building materials, visible mold growth, or other observations made by ECS indicative of possible fungal spore growth. The following table summarizes the results of sample analysis. The laboratory reports the results in accordance with the following density rating:

- Occasional: 1-5 spores seen per cover slip, growth not likely
- Few: Over 5 spores seen per cover slip, but less than 1 spore seen in 5 fields:, possible indication of growth
- Moderate: At least 1 spore seen in 5 fields, probable indication of growth
- Numerous Several spores seen in every field, indication of growth
- N/A: Not applicable

#### **Direct Surface Fungi Samples**

Sample Number	Sample Location	Type and Density Rating
T1	Back of Ceiling Tile in Room 113	Few - basidiospores, Cladosporium spores,smuts, Periconia, myxomycetes, hyphal elements Occasional - Bispora spores, Arthrinium spores, Torula spores, ascospores and Alternaria spores Occasional to Few - Pithomyces spores, Stachybotrys spores, pollen grains



Sample Number	Sample Location	Type and Density Rating
T2	Back of Ceiling Tile in Room 118	Few - hyphal elements, ascospores, basidiospores Occasional - Alternaria spores, pollen grains, Epicoccum spores, Pithopmyces spores, Bispora spores, Cladosporium spores and Drechslera/ Bipolaris group spores

During the survey ECS observed apparent surface mold staining on the back of older fissure style ceiling tiles mainly in the classroom wings. ECS collected a direct tape lift sample from two ceiling tiles that were in Room 113 and Room 118. The results indicate that mold spores are present but that a source of growth is not likely present. It appeared that the older fissure style ceiling tiles all showed this evidence of light surface mold staining on the backs of the ceiling tiles. These ceiling tiles are present in the school anywhere they have not been previously replaced and are still present in areas all over the school. ECS believes that the condition may be caused by a temperature and relative humidity differential between the classroom and the ceiling cavity that are causing conditions in the cavity to encourage mold growth during the cooling season. ECS does not believe that the surface mold on the ceiling tiles is necessarily contributing to or is a direct source of any airborne mold spore elevations.

There are currently no accepted regulatory standards or guidelines with respect to acceptable fungal levels inside buildings. Surface samples are generally qualitative in that they reflect the type and quantity of mold present only at the sampled location at the time the sample was collected.

#### 4.1.3 Moisture in Building Materials

The following table summarizes moisture content readings collected.

#### **Summary of Moisture Readings from Building Materials**

Location	<b>Building Component</b>	Substrate Material	Moisture Content (%)
Room 104	Ceiling	Ceiling Tile	90.0
Room 106, Bathroom	Ceiling	Ceiling Tile	71.0
Room 112	Floor	Concrete	89.0
Room 104, Bathroom	Floor	Sheet Floor and concrete	22.7



Elevated moisture meter readings were detected in ceiling tiles in room 104, the room 106 bathroom and the exit vestibule outside room 117 from visibly active leaks from plumbing valves above these areas.

Elevated moisture readings were also measured around the floors and walls by the fan coil units in classrooms 109, 111 and 112; ECS observed these were classrooms with backed up condensate drains on the exterior. It is likely this is a common issue wherever there are clogged condensate drains draining back up against the building.

Elevated moisture meter readings indicated elevated moisture around the base of the toilet in the room 104 bathroom.

#### 4.1.4 Temperature and Relative Humidity

The following table summarizes the indoor air temperature and relative humidity readings collected by ECS from various locations.

#### **Temperature and Relative Humidity**

Location	Relative Humidity (%)	Temperature (°F)
	School Interior, September 21, 2	2023
Outside	50.2	78.4
101	58.5	71.7
102	59.2	71.8
103	62.3	69.7
104	51.4	74.0
105	61.5	73.8
106	62.2	70.0
107	61.9	69.9
108	69.4	68.8
Cafeteria	61.1	70.1
GAA/Ms. Spencer	58.3	71.9
Kitchen	60.0	74.3
Library	62.6	70.7
Nurse's Office	59.1	70.2
Office Lobby	57.2	70.1
Multi-purpose Room	47.5	71.1



Location	Relative Humidity (%)	Temperature (°F)
Conference Room (Locker Room)	51.1	73.3
Faculty Lounge	49.6	74.1
109	59.3	70.5
110	60.5	70.2
111	60.2	71.2
112	58.1	72.6
113	55.7	71.2
114	60.3	69.7
CIS Site Coordinator	58.3	72.6
Schauer	58.3	70.6
115	60.6	68.4
116	67.1	67.3
117	65.2	69.8
118	63.7	69.6
119	61.7	70.7
120	60.3	67.8
Outside	69.4	71.1
Clas	ssroom Trailers, September 25,	2023
Outside	60.0	75.0
Trailer 1	38.0	75.0
Trailer 2	49.8	71.0
Trailer 3	50.0	71.5
Trailer 4	58.3	74.8

ANSI/ASHRAE 55 recommends a relative humidity range of 20% to 65% and US EPA recommends maintaining relative humidity (RH) below 60%, ideally 30 to 50%, to prevent mold growth. The relative humidity levels were elevated above recommended ranges in many of the classrooms and some of the student common areas like the library and the cafeteria. In general the temperature and relative humidity associated with the trailers was within the recommended ranges.



#### **5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

Based on our understanding of the purpose of the Mold and Moisture Assessment, the results of laboratory analysis, and our findings and observations, ECS presents the following recommendations.

#### **Mold and Moisture**

Below is a summary of our primary findings and observations and preliminary recommendations for response. ECS has also detailed more extensive recommendations further in this report for most of the individual items highlighted.

#### **School Building**

- 1. Water stained ceiling tiles were observed sporadically throughout the classrooms of the building; **Recommendation:** Remove and replace water impacted ceiling tiles during school off-hours (including tiles abandoned above the drop ceiling); Verify that the roof leaks or condensation/plumbing leaks have been repaired in these areas.
- 2. Ceiling tiles with mold impact (on the exterior face of the tile) were observed in rooms 104, 118, the Multi-purpose Room, Conference Room (locker room), the exit vestibules and storage rooms in the South wing, the bathrooms between classrooms in the North wing and the staff locker room; It is anticipated that mold is present on the backside of the ceiling tiles. **Recommendation**; Same as #1; Take precautions to minimize disturbance of mold when removing ceiling tile. Please see recommendations further in this report for ppe and training.
- 3. Suspect mold was observed on some of the ceiling tiles above the entrance into the Multi-purpose Room. ECS could not access these ceiling tiles for tape lift or moisture testing. **Recommendation**; Use a lift to access these tiles for inspection to determine if they are mold impacted. Follow recommendation #2 if it is determined they are; Take precautions to minimize disturbance of mold when removing ceiling tiles.
- 4. Mold was observed on the fan coil hot water return loop pipe insulation observed throughout the building as previously identified in ECS's previous report reference ECS report 47:14153 Clark Springs Elementary School Mold and Moisture Assessment and Asbestos Sampling dated March 21, 2022. **Recommendation**; contract a qualified Mold Remediation Contractor to remove the mold impacted pipe insulation, correct the condensation or leaking of the pipe and have a qualified insulation contractor re-insulate the pipe runs. Reference recommendations further in this report as far as containment, ppe, training etc.
- 5. Evidence was observed that the condensate drains for the fan coils for many of the classrooms were clogged and condensate moisture is pooling and infiltrating back into the perimeter classroom walls. **Recommendation**; Inspect and clear the clogged drains. Open up and inspect the fan coil units and adjoining chases throughout the school for evidence of mold and moisture. Individually these areas may need to be dried out. If suspect mold is observed address as recommended further in this report. ECS also observed that the fan coil units and associated filters appeared dirty and should be cleaned and/or under routine preventive maintenance/inspection/cleaning. With regards to the condensate drain issues, once the school switches to heating season this issue should subside until cooling season begins.



- 6. Evidence of mold staining was observed on the back of the older fissure style ceiling tiles throughout many of the classrooms and common areas. Tape lift samples were collected from two (2) classroom locations that indicated surface mold staining but not active growth. **Recommendation**; It is unclear if this is a concern. This condition may be due to humidity in the ceiling cavity. ECS recommends further review by a building envelope engineer to address if humidity in the ceiling cavity is creating a potential moisture issue for the building.
- 7. Water and mold impacted ceiling tiles were observed in the ceiling cavity in room 118. The ceiling tiles appeared to have been previously impacted and then pushed into the ceiling cavity when a new ceiling tile was installed. ECS also observed this sporadically in a number of classrooms throughout the building. **Recommendation**: See recommendation #1 and #2.
- 8. In the North wing ECS observed sporadic rodent droppings above the drop ceilings (on top of the ceiling tiles). **Recommendation**; Consult with pest control to see if there is an active or an on-going concern with rodent infestation and correct. With regards to remediation, ECS recommends further discussions with the facilities. As a temporary measure minimizing any disturbance of droppings is important to prevent generation of dust (i.e. lifting ceiling tiles) until they can be properly cleaned up. Usually, this involves removal of the impacted ceiling tiles under controlled conditions. See also recommendations further in this report for ppe and training.
- 9. In the North wing ECS observed elevated moisture readings associated with the base of the toilet in the Room 104 bathroom. This may indicate that the wax ring on the toilet is failing and water is infiltrating beneath the bathroom flooring material. **Recommendation:** Inspect the wax rings of all the toilets in the North wing and remove and replace them as needed by a qualified plumbing contractor or maintenance technician.
- 10. The interior relative humidity measured in many of the classrooms was elevated above the recommended EPA and industry range of 30% to 60%. **Recommendation**: ECS recommends further review by a building envelope engineer and mechanical contractor as the issue maybe interrelated.
- 11. The blown-in insulation in the soffit around the perimeter classrooms and fiberboard panels above the windows in the library and cafeteria were visibly discolored and stained in some areas. This appears to indicate infiltration of outdoor air and potentially moisture through gaps in the exterior corrugated soffit panels. **Recommendation**: ECS recommends further review by a building envelope engineer for the appropriate corrective action.
- 12. On the exterior ECS observed some of the window sash glazing missing in some of the windows and there were gaps in the exterior masonry wall where mortar was missing or the brick was damaged or cracked. **Recommendation**: ECS recommends further review by a building envelope engineer for the appropriate corrective action.

#### **Trailers**

- Evidence of moisture intrusion or mold growth was not observed within the interior of the four (4) on-site trailers.
- An area of the trailer skirt was damaged on trailer 2 in between trailer 2 and trailer 3. Recommendation: Repair the skirt in this area to help prevent water infiltration beneath the trailer.



#### **General Recommendations**

ECS recommends remediation be performed for all water and mold impacted materials within the surveyed areas as soon as reasonably possible. This includes all materials that have visible suspected mold and/or have been subjected to elevated moisture conditions for greater than 48 hours without proper drying efforts.

ECS recommends that a qualified mold remediation/drying contractor be retained to properly remove mold impacted materials. Remediation activities should be performed in general accordance with the guidelines described in EPA's March 2001 document "Mold Remediation in Schools and Commercial Buildings" and under the OSHA 2010 Guidelines for mold removal. Additional remedial guidance documents are also referenced in Section at the end of this report. Workers performing this work should wear proper personal protective equipment (PPE) including HEPA filtered respirators and disposable clothing (per OSHA standards for PPE).

Correction of building envelope and water intrusion issues should be performed prior to or concurrent with the mold abatement activities.

#### Setup

In general accordance with the EPA and OSHA guidelines, ECS recommends containment of the remediation areas using plastic barriers and tape to create negative pressure containment during removal of mold impacted materials. The contractor should seal HVAC vents in the work area(s), as well as all other penetrations and openings. A HEPA-filtered local exhaust ventilation (negative air machine) should be utilized within the work area directly adjacent to the area(s) being cleaned and should maintain negative pressure and HEPA filtration continuously inside the containment during remediation activities and prior to clearance sampling. If greater than 100 square feet, or an occupied area adjacent to 30 square feet or more: A manometer should be used to measure the pressure difference between the remediation area and adjacent areas. The target pressure differential in the containment should be -0.02 inches of water gauge.

#### Scope of Work

General: All impacted building materials that have visible growth and/or have sustained water impacts should be removed in excess of 2 feet beyond the visible extent of mold or water stains where feasible. Further observation of the wall and ceiling systems may be necessary during remediation efforts to determine if additional materials will need to be removed. This includes various ceiling tiles and pipe insulations in areas throughout the school. As noted previously any active moisture leaks into the building should be properly accessed and corrected prior to or concurrent with mold remediation activities.

The mold impacted pipe insulation (associated with fan coil hot water return loop) was observed in the connector hallway and adjacent bathrooms, the exit vestibules and storage rooms near room 111 and 118, the side of the building with the Multi-Purpose Room and the Media Center, Cafeteria and classrooms in the North wing. This pipe system insulation should be abated anywhere it exists in the building and the piping should be properly re-insulated by a qualified insulation contractor.



Water and mold impacted ceiling tiles were observed in various areas throughout the school. ECS recommends that all the water and mold impacted ceiling tiles be removed and replaced by a qualified mold remediation contractor. ECS recommends further investigation be performed into all the areas above impacted ceiling tiles to determine the cause of the water intrusion and how to correct it.

The fan coil units in each of the classrooms should be properly cleaned and maintained per the manufacturer's recommendations. ECS recommends that the fan coil condensate drains be inspected and cleaned as needed to prevent condensate water from impacting the building envelope. ECS recommends further investigation into each of the fan coil chases in each classroom to investigate for mold or moisture impacted building materials and clean, remove or dry them as needed.

Following remediation/removal of mold-impacted materials, ECS recommends that the contained areas of the building undergo a thorough cleaning following guidelines described in EPA's March 2001 document "Mold Remediation in Schools and Commercial Buildings." Surface remediation should include HEPA vacuuming of vertical and horizontal surfaces and a clean-wipe with a mild detergent. The surfaces should not be saturated and discard cleaning cloths. All areas (affected and unaffected) should be left dry, visibly free from contamination and debris prior to build back activities.

Although not accessible during the survey, ECS is concerned that underlying structural materials (CMU walls, wood framing, poured concrete, etc...) contain excess moisture which will need to be thoroughly dried prior to installation of new materials. Where elevated moisture is still present in building materials, mechanical drying efforts should be performed. The use of portable dehumidifiers should be implemented immediately. Following removal of mold-affected materials and fine cleaning, the fans should also be utilized to accelerate drying efforts. For large areas with significant moisture load, the use of desiccant de-humidification systems should be considered.

#### Follow-up

Prior to removal of the containment barriers, a post-remediation survey and testing should be performed to assess the remediation efforts. Visible suspect mold and moisture-affected porous materials should not be present in the work area (although ECS recognizes that some semi-porous materials may still have stains present following cleaning). The moisture content of materials that have been dried or cleaned and will remain should be confirmed to be below recommended guidelines prior to re-construction of new materials. The indoor relative humidity in and around the work area should also be maintained below 60%.

Air sampling should be performed by fungal spore trap method to document mold levels following remediation efforts prior to re-occupancy of the building. Total spore counts and fungal genera found on indoor samples should be generally comparable to, and less then spore counts found on outdoor samples collected.

Water staining was observed on the other paper wrapped pipe insulation systems in the building but elevated moisture levels or visible mold were generally not observed. These water stained areas of pipe insulation should be investigated further for active moisture leaks. ECS does not believe at this time that this water stained pipe insulation should cause elevations in airborne mold spores unless active moisture leaks or condensation are occurring.



ECS recommends that further investigation be performed of the fiberboard wall material in the cafeteria and library and spray-in fireproofing in the soffit areas in the classrooms to assess for water or mold impacted building materials. ECS recommends that a Building Envelope survey be performed for the building to determine if there is a source of water intrusion in these areas, and if other potential moisture intrusion sources maybe present associated with the building. The ECS facilities group can provide these services for an additional fee if requested. A member of ECS' Facilities Services team had previously visited the site and observed the potential concerns raised during this survey and is familiar with the site and the concerns noted in this report.

Note: The purpose of this survey was to evaluate areas where moisture intrusion or suspected visible mold growth has occurred and provide findings and recommendations for remedial work efforts. Identification and recommendations for correction of sources of moisture should be performed by a qualified engineer. Because of the nature of the environment, complete elimination of all microbial organisms within a building cannot be expected and is not the goal of remediation. The goal of remediation is to restore the affected materials to at least the condition of unaffected materials. It is important to note that the reported mold levels are only reflective of conditions at the time of this test and that mold populations can vary over time, depending upon a number of conditions, including environmental factors (i.e., temperature and relative humidity). If significant mold growth reappears, or if the occupants experience prolonged allergic-type health complaints, they should seek further investigation of the problem.

#### **6.0 LIMITATIONS**

The conclusions and recommendations presented within this report are based upon a reasonable level of assessment within normal bounds and standards of professional practice for a site in this particular geographic setting. ECS is not responsible or liable for the discovery and elimination of hazards that may potentially cause damage, accidents, or injuries.

This survey is not intended to represent an exhaustive research of every potential hazard or condition that may exist, nor does it claim to represent indoor conditions or events that arise after the survey. This report has been prepared in accordance with generally accepted environmental practices. Our conclusions and findings are based, in part, upon information provided to us by others and our site observations. We have not verified the completeness or accuracy of the information provided by others. The scope of services performed was limited to those requested by the Client and does not constitute a full microbial assessment of the site or a comprehensive moisture survey of the site. The data provided in this study is only indicative of conditions sampled at the immediate time of the study.

This report does not warrant against future operations or conditions, nor does it warrant against extant, or future, conditions of a type or at a location not investigated. Because of the nature of this type of work and the difficulties involved in conducting remediation work, ECS cannot guarantee that the methods or recommendations described in this report will eliminate all potential indoor air quality issues. Since performance of the remediation work is also beyond ECS scope of services, ECS also cannot be held responsible for the execution of the remediation work. The reported microbial levels are only reflective of conditions at the time of this test and that microbial populations can



vary over time, depending upon a number of conditions, including environmental factors (i.e., temperature and relative humidity). The work performed in conjunction with this assessment and the data developed is intended as a description of available information at the dates and locations given.

The observations, conclusions, and recommendations pertaining to environmental conditions at the subject site are necessarily limited to conditions observed, and/or materials reviewed at the time this study was undertaken. No warranty, expressed or implied, is made with regard to the conclusions and recommendations presented within this report. This report is provided for the exclusive use of the client. This report is not intended to be used or relied upon in connection with other projects or by other unidentified third parties without the written consent of ECS and the client.

Our recommendations are in part based on federal, state, and local regulations and guidelines. ECS does not assume the responsibility of the person(s) in charge of the site, or otherwise undertake responsibility for reporting to any local, state, or federal public agencies, any conditions at the site that may present a potential danger to public health, safety, or the environment. Under this scope of services, ECS assumes no responsibility regarding any response actions initiated as a result of these findings. General compliance with regulations and response actions are the sole responsibility of the Client and should be conducted in accordance with local, state, and/or federal requirements.



# **Appendix I: Site Photographs**




1 - Water and mold impacted ceiling tiles in the Conference Room/Locker Room



2 - Mold and water impacted insulation on the fan coil loop plumbing in the Faculty Lounge



3 - View of mold impacted fan coil loop insulation above the faculty lounge



4 - Fan coil condensate backing up outside the classrooms



5 - Staining on floor tile and elevated moisture meter reading in room  $112\,$ 



6 - View of overflowing condensate drain



7 - Mold and water impacted ceiling tiles above exit near room 110



8 - Discolored blown in Soffit insulation in room 112



9 - Visible evidence of mold on the back of the older ceiling tiles in Room 113.



10 - Mold and moisture impacted ceiling tile in storage Room by Room 118



11 - Water and mold impacted ceiling tile in the Room 108 bathroom



12 - Wet moisture meter reading and active hydronic plumbing leak in Room 104



13 - Wet ceiling tile in Room 106 bathroom



14 - Source of leak in Room 106 Bathroom



15 - Mold and moisture impacted ceiling tiles in the employee locker room



16 - Elevated moisture meter reading collected from the base of the toilet in the Room 104

# Appendix II: Laboratory Report(s)



 ${\bf Environmental\ Hazards\ Services,\ L.L.C.}$ 

7469 Whitepine Rd Richmond, VA 23237

Telephone: 800.347.4010

Client: ECS Mid-Atlantic - Richmond

2119 D North Hamilton St Richmond, VA 23230

Report Number: 23-09-03049

Received Date: 09/21/2023

Analyzed Date: 09/28/2023, 09/27/2023

Reported Date: 09/28/2023

Project/Test Address: Fox Elementary at Clark Springs; 1101 Dance Street;

Richmond, VA

Client Number: 200625 Laboratory Results

Fax Number:

804-353-9478

Lab #:	23-09-	03049-001	23-09-	03049-002	23-09-	03049-003	23-09-	03049-004	23-09-03049	
Client Sample ID :		A1		A2	A3		A4		A5	
Date Collected :	9/20/2023		9/20/2023		9/2	0/2023	9/2	0/2023	9/20/2023	
Collection Location :	OL	ITSIDE		101		102		103		104
Sampling Media :	Air	-O-Cell	Air	-O-Cell	Air	-O-Cell	Air	-O-Cell	Air	-O-Cell
Analytical Sensitivity (spores/m3):		6.7		6.7		6.7		6.7	6.7	
Volume (L):		150		150		150		150		150
Spore ID	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m
Cladosporium spores	513	3400	32	210	27	180	57	380	63	420
Penicillium/Aspergillus group spores	72	480	12	80	10	67	39	260	112	750
Alternaria spores			1	6.7	1	6.7				
Aureobasidium spores			2	13	1	6.7				
Drechslera/Bipolaris group spores	4	27	1	6.7					3	20
Curvularia spores	15	100	7	47	2	13	4	27	5	33
Stachybotrys spores			2	13						
Torula spores	6	40								
Pithomyces spores	3	20	1	6.7	2	13			2	13
Epicoccum spores	2	13							1	6.7
Pestalotia spores	1	6.7								
Cercospora spores	4	27								
Nigrospora spores	1	6.7							1	6.7
Fusarium spores	23	150					2	13		
Spegazzinia spores	2	13								
smuts, Periconia, myxomycetes	9	60	5	33	1	6.7	4	27	8	53

## Environmental Hazards Services, L.L.C

Client Number: 200625 Report Number: 23-09-03049

Project/Test Address: Fox Elementary at Clark Springs; 1101 Dance Street;

Richmond, VA

Lab #:	23-09-03049-001		23-09-03049-002		23-09-03049-003		23-09-03049-004		23-09-03049-005	
Spore ID	Raw	Results								
	Count	(Spores/m3)								

TOTAL SPORES(Spores/m3) 4400 420 290 710 1300
Analyst: Kitana Usher Kitana Usher Kitana Usher Kitana Usher Kitana Usher



Environmental Hazards Services, L.L.C.

7469 Whitepine Rd Richmond, VA 23237

Telephone: 800.347.4010

Client: ECS Mid-Atlantic - Richmond

> 2119 D North Hamilton St Richmond, VA 23230

Report Number: 23-09-03049

Received Date: 09/21/2023

Analyzed Date: 09/28/2023, 09/27/2023

Reported Date: 09/28/2023

Project/Test Address: Fox Elementary at Clark Springs; 1101 Dance Street;

Richmond, VA

Client Number: **Laboratory Results** 200625

Fax Number:

804-353-9478

00625	_	aboi	alu	ıyıx	COU			804-3	353-947	8
Lab #:	23-09-	03049-006	23-09-0	3049-007	23-09-	03049-008	23-09-0	03049-009	23-09-0	03049-010
Client Sample ID :	A		A7		A8		A9		A10	
Date Collected :	9/2	0/2023	9/20/2023		9/2	0/2023	9/2	0/2023	9/20/2023	
Collection Location :		105		106		107		108		AA MS ENCER
Sampling Media :	Air-	-O-Cell	Air-	O-Cell	Air-	-O-Cell	Air-	O-Cell	Air-	-O-Cell
Analytical Sensitivity (spores/m3):		6.7		6.7		6.7		6.7		6.7
Volume (L) :		150	150		150		150		150	
Spore ID	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)								
Cladosporium spores	54	360	87	580	48	320	64	430	121	810
Penicillium/Aspergillus group spores	20	130	24	160	15	100	24	160	26	170
Alternaria spores							1	6.7		
Aureobasidium spores	1	6.7	2	13	1	6.7				
Drechslera/Bipolaris group spores			3	20			1	6.7	1	6.7
Curvularia spores	3	20	5	33	2	13	1	6.7	2	13
Stachybotrys spores			1	6.7	1	6.7	1	6.7	1	6.7
Fusarium spores	1	6.7								
smuts, Periconia, myxomycetes	6	40	12	80			6	40	1	6.7
TOTAL SPORES(Spores/m3)		570		890		450		650		1000
Analyst:	Kitan	a I Isher	Kitan	a I Isher	Kits	ana Hisher	Kit	tana Hisher	Ki	tana Hsher



Environmental Hazards Services, L.L.C.

7469 Whitepine Rd Richmond, VA 23237

Telephone: 800.347.4010

Client: ECS Mid-Atlantic - Richmond

> 2119 D North Hamilton St Richmond, VA 23230

Report Number: 23-09-03049

Received Date: 09/21/2023

Analyzed Date: 09/28/2023, 09/27/2023

Reported Date: 09/28/2023

Project/Test Address: Fox Elementary at Clark Springs; 1101 Dance Street;

Richmond, VA

Client Number: Laboratory Results 200625

Fax Number:

804-353-9478

Lab # :	23-09-03049-011		23-09-03049-012		23-09-03049-013		23-09-03049-014		23-09-03049-015	
Client Sample ID :	A11		A12		A13		A14		A15	
Date Collected :	9/20	0/2023	9/20/2023		9/20/2023		9/20/2023		9/20/2023	
Collection Location :	CAFI	ETERIA	KIT	CHEN	LIB	RARY	NURSE	S OFFICE	OFFIC	E LOBBY
Sampling Media :	Air-	O-Cell	Air-	O-Cell	Air-	O-Cell	Air-	O-Cell	Air	-O-Cell
Analytical Sensitivity (spores/m3):		6.7		6.7		6.7		6.7		6.7
Volume (L) :		150		150		150		150		150
Spore ID	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)								
Cladosporium spores	15	100	49	330			34	230	59	390
Penicillium/Aspergillus group spores	55	370	21	140			5	33	9	60
Alternaria spores			1	6.7						
Aureobasidium spores	1	6.7					1	6.7		
Drechslera/Bipolaris group spores							4	27	3	20
Curvularia spores			1	6.7			4	27	2	13
Stachybotrys spores							1	6.7	1	6.7
Pithomyces spores									1	6.7
Fusarium spores									1	6.7
smuts, Periconia, myxomycetes			1	6.7			10	67	7	47
No fungal spores observed						See Notes				
Pithomyces spores  Fusarium spores  smuts, Periconia, myxomycetes		470	1	6.7			10	67	1	

TOTAL SPORES(Spores/m3) 470 490 390 550 Kitana Usher

Kitana Usher

Kitana Usher

Kitana Usher

Kitana Usher

Notes (Sample 013): No fungal spores observed

Analyst:



Environmental Hazards Services, L.L.C.

7469 Whitepine Rd Richmond, VA 23237

Telephone: 800.347.4010

Client: ECS Mid-Atlantic - Richmond

> 2119 D North Hamilton St Richmond, VA 23230

Report Number: 23-09-03049

Received Date: 09/21/2023

Analyzed Date: 09/28/2023, 09/27/2023

Reported Date: 09/28/2023

Project/Test Address: Fox Elementary at Clark Springs; 1101 Dance Street;

Richmond, VA

Fax Number: Client Number: **Laboratory Results** 200625 804-353-9478

Lab #:	23-09-03049-016		23-09-03049-017		23-09-03049-018		23-09-03049-019		23-09-03049-020	
Client Sample ID :	A16		A17		A18		A19		A20	
Date Collected :	9/20/2023		9/20/2023		9/20/2023		9/20/2023		9/20/2023	
Collection Location :	OUTSIDE		GYM		CONFERENCE ROOM		FACULITY LOUNGE		109	
Sampling Media :	Air-O-Cell		Air-O-Cell		Air-O-Cell		Air-O-Cell		Air-O-Cell	
Analytical Sensitivity (spores/m3):	6.7		6.7		6.7		6.7		6.7	
Volume (L) :		150	150		150		150		150	
Spore ID	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)
Cladosporium spores	232	13000	63	420	9	60	12	80	43	290
Peronospora/Oidium spores	1	6.7								
Penicillium/Aspergillus group spores	56	370	29	190						
Alternaria spores	5	33					1	6.7		
Aureobasidium spores	3	20					2	13		
Drechslera/Bipolaris group spores	42	280	1	6.7	4	27	8	53		
Pyricularia spores	1	6.7								
Curvularia spores	63	420			6	40	12	80		
Torula spores	8	53								
Pithomyces spores					1	6.7	2	13		
Epicoccum spores	4	27								
Cercospora spores	13	87			1	6.7				
Nigrospora spores	2	13			1	6.7				
Fusarium spores	13	87								
smuts, Periconia, myxomycetes	210	5600			12	80	10	67	3	20

## Environmental Hazards Services, L.L.C

Client Number: 200625 Report Number: 23-09-03049

Project/Test Address: Fox Elementary at Clark Springs; 1101 Dance Street;

Richmond, VA

Lab #:	23-09-03049-016		23-09-03049-017		23-09-03049-018		23-09-03049-019		23-09-03049-020	
Spore ID	Raw	Results								
	Count	(Spores/m3)								

TOTAL SPORES(Spores/m3) 20000 620 230 310 310
Analyst: Kitana Usher Kitana Usher Felicia Butler Felicia Butler Felicia Butler



Environmental Hazards Services, L.L.C.

7469 Whitepine Rd Richmond, VA 23237

Telephone: 800.347.4010

Client: ECS Mid-Atlantic - Richmond

2119 D North Hamilton St Richmond, VA 23230

Report Number: 23-09-03049

Received Date: 09/21/2023

Analyzed Date: 09/28/2023, 09/27/2023

Reported Date: 09/28/2023

Project/Test Address: Fox Elementary at Clark Springs; 1101 Dance Street;

Richmond, VA

Client Number: 200625 Laboratory Results

Fax Number:

804-353-9478

200625	Laboratory Modalto						804-353-9478				
Lab # :	23-09-03049-021		23-09-	23-09-03049-022		23-09-03049-023		23-09-03049-024		23-09-03049-025	
Client Sample ID :	A21		A22		A23		A24		A25		
Date Collected :	9/20/2023		9/20/2023		9/20/2023		9/20/2023		9/20/2023		
Collection Location :	110		111		112		113		114		
Sampling Media :	Air-O-Cell		Air-O-Cell		Air-O-Cell		Air-O-Cell		Air-O-Cell		
Analytical Sensitivity (spores/m3):	6.7		6.7		6.7		6.7		6.7		
Volume (L) :	150			150		150		150		150	
Spore ID	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)	
Cladosporium spores	4	27	43	290	22	150	7	47	5	33	
Penicillium/Aspergillus group spores	1	6.7	1	6.7	1	6.7					
Aureobasidium spores									1	6.7	
Drechslera/Bipolaris group spores									1	6.7	
Curvularia spores	5	33							1	6.7	
Pithomyces spores	2	13							2	13	
smuts, Periconia, myxomycetes	7	47	1	6.7	1	6.7	1	6.7			
TOTAL SPORES(Spores/m3)		130		300		160		53		67	
Analyst:	Felicia Butler		Felicia Butler		Felicia Butler		Felicia Butler		Felicia Butler		



Environmental Hazards Services, L.L.C.

7469 Whitepine Rd Richmond, VA 23237

Telephone: 800.347.4010

Analyst:

Client: ECS Mid-Atlantic - Richmond

2119 D North Hamilton St Richmond, VA 23230

Report Number: 23-09-03049

Received Date: 09/21/2023

Analyzed Date: 09/28/2023, 09/27/2023

Reported Date: 09/28/2023

Project/Test Address: Fox Elementary at Clark Springs; 1101 Dance Street;

Richmond, VA

Client Number: 200625 Laboratory Results

Felicia Butler

Fax Number:

804-353-9478

Felicia Butler

Felicia Butler

Felicia Butler

Lab #:	23-09-	03049-026	23-09-	03049-027	23-09-	03049-028	23-09-	03049-029	23-09-0	03049-030
Client Sample ID :		A26		A27		A28		A29		A30
Date Collected :	9/2	20/2023	9/2	0/2023	9/2	0/2023	9/2	0/2023	9/2	0/2023
Collection Location :		S SITE RDINATOR	SC	HAVER		115		116		117
Sampling Media :	Air	-O-Cell	Air	-O-Cell	Air	-O-Cell	Air-	-O-Cell	Air-	-O-Cell
Analytical Sensitivity (spores/m3):		6.7		6.7		6.7		6.7		6.7
Volume (L) :		150		150		150		150		150
Spore ID	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)								
Cladosporium spores	5	33	6	40	3	20	4	27	71	470
Penicillium/Aspergillus group spores					3	20	1	6.7	10	67
Drechslera/Bipolaris group spores			4	27					2	13
Curvularia spores	1	6.7	2	13	1	6.7			1	6.7
Ulocladium spores									1	6.7
Pithomyces spores			2	13	1	6.7			1	6.7
smuts, Periconia, myxomycetes			2	13					13	87
TOTAL SPORES(Spores/m3)		40		110		53		33		660

Felicia Butler



Environmental Hazards Services, L.L.C.

7469 Whitepine Rd Richmond, VA 23237

Telephone: 800.347.4010

Client: ECS Mid-Atlantic - Richmond 2119 D North Hamilton St

Richmond, VA 23230

Report Number: 23-09-03049

Received Date: 09/21/2023

Analyzed Date: 09/28/2023, 09/27/2023

Reported Date: 09/28/2023

Project/Test Address: Fox Elementary at Clark Springs; 1101 Dance Street;

Richmond, VA

Client Number: 200625 Laboratory Results

<u>Fax Number:</u> 804-353-9478

Lab #:	23-09-	03049-031	23-09-	03049-032	23-09-	03049-033	23-09-0	03049-034		
Client Sample ID :		A31		A32		A33		A34		
Date Collected :	9/2	0/2023	9/2	0/2023	9/2	0/2023	9/2	0/2023		
Collection Location :		118		119		120	OU	TSIDE		
Sampling Media :	Air	-O-Cell	Air	-O-Cell	Air-	-O-Cell	Air-	-O-Cell		
Analytical Sensitivity (spores/m3):		6.7		6.7		6.7		6.7		
Volume (L):		150		150		150		150		
Spore ID	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)								
Cladosporium spores	19	130	11	73	24	160	224	6900		
Peronospora/Oidium spores			1	6.7			1	6.7		
Penicillium/Aspergillus group spores	1	6.7	8	53			21	140		
Alternaria spores							28	190		
Aureobasidium spores							2	13		
Drechslera/Bipolaris group spores							2	13		
Arthrinium spores							4	27		
Pyricularia spores							3	20		
Curvularia spores	1	6.7					2	13		
Pithomyces spores							8	53		
Epicoccum spores							8	53		
Pestalotia spores							4	27		
Cercospora spores	2	13	4	27			52	350		
Nigrospora spores							6	40		
Fusarium spores							9	60		
Spegazzinia spores							2	13		

Client Number: 200625 Report Number: 23-09-03049

Project/Test Address: Fox Elementary at Clark Springs; 1101 Dance Street;

Richmond, VA

Lab #:	23-09-0	23-09-03049-031		23-09-03049-032		03049-033	23-09-	03049-034		
Spore ID	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)								
smuts, Periconia, myxomycetes	4	27	5	33			38	250		

TOTAL SPORES(Spores/m3)

Analyst:

Felicia Butler

Felicia Butler

Felicia Butler

Felicia Butler

Felicia Butler

#### Sample Narratives:

(Sample 016) M09: Due to the high number of Cladosporium spores, a partial trace was analyzed for this spore. The analytical sensitivity for

this spore on this sample is 57 spores/m3. Due to the high number of Smuts, Periconia, Myxomyctes spores, a partial

trace was analyzed for these spores. The analytical sensitivity for these spores on this sample is 27 spores/m3.

(Sample 034) M07: Due to the high number of Cladosporium spores, a partial trace was analyzed for this spore. The analytical sensitivity for

this spore on this sample is 31 spores/m3.

(Sample 013) M01: No visible trace, no particulate observed.

Method: Non-Culturable Spore Trap Examination

Reviewed By Authorized Signatory:

Tasha Eaddy QA/QC Clerk

Jasha Eaddy

The condition of the samples analyzed was acceptable upon receipt per laboratory protocol unless otherwise noted on this report. Results represent the analysis of samples submitted by the client. Sample location, description, volume, etc., was provided by the client. The Client is hereby notified that due to the subjective nature of fungal analysis and the growth process of fungal infestation, laboratory samples can and do change over time relative to the originally sampled material. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written consent of Environmental Hazards Services, L.L.C.

#### Mold Chain of Custody Form

Page 1 of 3

	Com	pany Name   ECS	Mid-Atlantic			***************************************			Aco	count#			
		ny Address 2119			treet			C	City/St	ate/Zip F	Rich	mond/VA/23	230
	•	Phone 804-3	53-6333									ran@ecslimite	
	Project / Testi	ng Address Fox	Elementary	@ CI	ark Spr	ings	/1101 🗅	ance	Stree	et, Richm	ono	J, VA	
	ſ	PO Number 47 1	4153-A				Collect	ed By	Rob	Curran			
	Collection D	ate & Time 04	90-93			Out	side Air	Гетр				Indoor Air Te	mp
	Was ther	re any precipitation	(rain, sleet	or snov	w) 2 hou	ırs of	less bef	ore tal	king th	ne sample	s?	☐ Yes	₹ No
T	urn-Around Ti	me 🖔 5 Day	() 3 Day	, (	) 2 Da	٧	()1	Day	0	Same D	ay	/ Weekend	- Must Call Ahead
					SAN	NPLE T	YPE COD	ES .			•		
		AIR/ NON			SF	PORE	TRAP					SURFACE	
		Bulk Swab	B S			r-O-Cell yclex D	AOC C			Non Por Semi Por		NP SP	
		Bio-Tape	T		<u> </u>	BioSiS	В			14-1-150-170-170-170-170-170-170-170-170-170-17	ous	P P	
		Wall Check	W		r e	Micro 5	M5			1.00			1
ABER	Client Sample ID Collection Location  Client Sample ID Collection Location  Spore Trap Air Volume Type (Total Litter)  Spore Trap Air Volume Type (Square Feet)												
B NU	Client Sample ID Collection Location Spore Trap Air Volume Surface Type (Source Feet) Source Feet (Source Feet) Source Fee												
	ÀI.	outside			Туре		(Total Lite	r) (I	NP/SP)	(Square Fe	et)	\$10.00 per sample	366 6472
1	AD	ivi		ß	AOC		150						36616471
2	A 3.	१०७			í								3661 6489
3	AH	103											3661 6567
4	A'S	104		посерных алект	approximation of the second					-		,	3661 4625
5	Ab	105											3661 6481
6	F'A	106		and the second			-						3689 9199
7	A '8	107		and the same									3661 6507
8	A 9	108		A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH				-					3661 6482
9	AW	GAAI MS Sp.	encer		No.								3661 6473
10	AII	Cafeleria											3661 6479
11	A 13	Kitchen											3661 6468
12	AIB	Cibrary			L.							***************************************	36616483
13	AIY	Norses Offi	R	J	V		V						3661 6549
Re	eleased By:	Ananke	P. Sa	ule	V	Di	ate: (	9/	211	202	3	Time:	2:55 ym
	Signature:	Mann	Taur	1									
					LAB USE	ONLY-	- BELOW T	HIS LINE					
		1 1.								Γ		00.00.0	22040

**2** 7469 WHITEPINE RD, RICHMOND, VA 23237 (800)-347-4010 RESULTS VIA CLIENT PORTAL AVAILABLE @ www.leadlab.com

3049 Page 2 of 3

#### Mold Chain of Custody Form

Coi	mpany	Name	ECS N	/lid-Atlantic	;					Account #		
Comp	oany A	ddress	2119	North Ham	ilton S	treet			City	/State/Zip Ric	hmond/VA/23	230
		Phone	804-3	53-6333						Email rcu	rran@ecslimite	ed.com
Project / Tes					/ @ CI	ark Sp	rings	/1101 Dan	ce St	reet, Richmon	ıd, VA	
Secretaria de la comoción de la como	PO N	umber	47:	4153 A				Collected	By R	ob Curran		
Collection	Date 8	& Time					Outs	side Air Ter	np		Indoor Air Te	mp
Was th	ere an	y precip	itation	(rain, sleet	or sno	w) 2 hc	ours of	less before	takin	g the samples?	☐ Yes	I∑ No
Turn-Around	Time	<b>⊗</b> 50	Day	◯ 3 Da	у (	) 2 D	ау	O 1 Day	y (	Same Day	/ Weekend	- Must Call Ahead
		ΔI	R/ NON	VIABLE			MPLE T	YPE CODES		SWAB SAMPL	E SURFACE	
			Bulk	В	70 70 000		Air-O-Cell	AOC		Non Porous		
			Swab Bio-Tape	S T		110.40	Cyclex D BioSiS	В		Semi Porous Porous		
			all Check	W			Micro 5	M5		FUIUUS	L. Company	
Elient Client		0.11.			iple se		Aii Samp			Swab Samples	Qualitative Particulate	
Client Sample ID		Collec	tion Loc	auon	Sample Type	Spore Ty		Air Volume (Total Liter)	Surfa Typ (NP/S	Area of Word	Analysis Additional \$10.00 per sample	Comments
1 Ais	0	Ifice (	Loll	4	B	40	C	150				3689 9193
2 A 16		ts.de		-	9	(		divini				3699 9185
3 A17	6	ym			Physical Designation of the Control							3689 9184
4 A18	(0.	aference	: Rin					and the second				3661 6502
5 A 19	Fac	culty !	Loung	۷								5661 6519
6 A20	, comp	09										3609 9211
7 A21	l	10						No. of Concession, Name of				3689 9176
8 433		processory of the second secon			September 1	- Company						3661 6467
· A23	1 angle	12										3661 6520
10 Aay	-	13			The same of the sa	or a consumption		Molecularium				3661 6490
11 425	4	14										3689 9165
12 A26	CI	SSile	600	rdinator		The second second						3689 9160
13 A27	5	chave	.1			d		V				3609 9/63
Released By:							Da	ite:			Time:	
Signature:								1			Parameter	
_						LAB USI	E ONLY -	BELOW THIS	LINE			
	1/1	۸. ۵۰					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Received By: _	口	111	$\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{I}}$	nec	4							
Signature:	4	V_									EH	
Date: 4 /	21	123	<u>)</u> Tin	ne: <u>3</u>	<u>i</u> C	)	_		PM			
Portal Con	tact Ad	lded						/			Labor	atories <sup>™</sup>
											Attach Laborate	ory Label Here
^												

**3** 7469 WHITEPINE RD, RICHMOND, VA 23237 (800)-347-4010 RESULTS VIA CLIENT PORTAL AVAILABLE @ www.leadlab.com

Page 3 of 3

#### Mold Chain of Custody Form

	Compan	y Name	ECS N	/lid-Atlantic	;						ount#		
	Company Address 2119 North Hamilton Street City/State/Zip Richmond/VA/23230  Phone 804-353-6333 Email rcurran@ecslimited.com  Project / Testing Address Fox Elementary @ Clark Springs /1101 Dance Street, Richmond, VA												
		Phone											ed.com
	Project / Testing	Address	Fox I	Elementary	/ @ CI	ark Sp	rings	/1101 Dan	ce S	Stree	t, Richmon	d, VA	
	PO I	Number	47:' (『	1153-A				Collected I	3y F	Rob (	Curran		
	Collection Date	& Time					Outs	ide Air Ten	qr	***************************************		Indoor Air Ter	mp
	Was there a	ny precip	itation	(rain, sleet	or snov	м) 2 ho	urs of	less before	taki	ng th	e samples?	☐ Yes	<b>応</b> No
	Turn-Around Time	<b>⊗</b> 5 €	Day	○ 3 Day	у (	) 2 D	ay	○1 Day	/	0	Same Day	/ Weekend	- Must Call Ahead
		A	IR/ NON	VIABLE		eternolis and Section 2	MPLE T	YPE CODES FRAP			SWAB SAMPLE	E SURFACE	
			Bulk	В	-		ir-O-Cell	AOC			Non Porous		
			Swab Bio-Tape	S T			Cyclex D BioSiS	В			Semi Porous Porous	SP P	
		W	all Check	W			Micro 5	M5	l .				1
LAB NUMBER	Client	Collec	tion Loc	ation	Sample Type		Ai Samı				Swab imples	Qualitative Particulate	Comments
LABN	Sample ID	Odirec	alon Loc	zauon	Sar	Spore Typ		Air Volume (Total Liter)	T۱	rface ype P/SP)	Area of Mold (Square Feet)	Analysis Additional \$10.00 per sample	
1	P28	(15			В	AD	C	150					3689 9188
2	A29	116											3689 9149
3	A30	117									,		3689 9156
4	A31	118											3689 9155
5	A 22	119											3669 9195
6	A33	120											3689 9161
7	A34	Putsi	de										3689 9153
8		<del>V</del>											
9				-									
10													
11													
12													
13													
B	Released By:						Da	ate:				Time:	
	Signature:												
	LAB USE ONLY – BELOW THIS LINE												
R	Received By: HHUMPhTey												
s	ignature:	W		•								EW	
D	Date: 9,21	12	) 	me: <u> </u>					S PN	Л			
[	Portal Contact A	Added										Labor	ratories"
_		Portal Contact Added  Attach Laboratory Label Here											

**2** 7469 WHITEPINE RD, RICHMOND, VA 23237 (800)-347-4010 RESULTS VIA CLIENT PORTAL AVAILABLE @ www.leadlab.com



## Non-Viable Surface/Bulk **Analysis Report**

Environmental Hazards Services, L.L.C.

7469 Whitepine Rd Richmond, VA 23237

Telephone: 800.347.4010

Client: ECS Mid-Atlantic - Richmond

> 2119 D North Hamilton St Richmond, VA 23230

**Report Number:** 23-09-04144

Received Date: 09/28/2023 Analyzed Date: 09/28/2023 Reported Date: 09/29/2023

Project/Test Address: Fox Elementary & Spring Hill; 1101 Dance Street

Fax Number: **Client Number:** 

Laboratory Results 200625 804-353-9478

Lab #: 23-09-04144-001 **Collection Location: ROOM 113** Client Sample ID: 9/28/2023 Tape T1 Date Analyzed: Date Collected: 9/21/2023 Analyst: Felicia Butler

Few basidiospores Few Cladosporium spores

Occasional Bispora spores

Few smuts, Periconia, myxomycetes

Occasional Arthrinium spores Occasional to Few Pithomyces spores Occasional Torula spores Occasional to Few Stachybotrys spores Few hyphal elements\* Occasional ascospores Occasional Alternaria spores

Note:

Occasional to Few

Lab #: 23-09-04144-002 Collection Location: **ROOM 118** Client Sample ID: Tape T2 Date Analyzed: 9/28/2023 Date Collected: 9/21/2023 Analyst: Felicia Butler

Few to Moderate smuts, Periconia, myxomycetes

pollen grains\*

Occasional Alternaria spores Occasional pollen grains\* Occasional Epicoccum spores Few hyphal elements\* Occasional Pithomyces spores Occasional Bispora spores Occasional Cladosporium spores

Few ascospores Few basidiospores

Occasional Drechslera/Bipolaris group spores

Note:

 Client Number:
 200625

 Report Number:
 23-09-04144

Project/Test Address: Fox Elementary & Spring Hill; 1101 Dance Street

Quantification Key: Numerous: Several spores seen in every field

Moderate: At least 1 spore seen in 5 fields

Few: Over 5 spores seen per cover slip, but less than 1 spore seen in 5 fields

Occasional: 1-5 spores seen per a cover slip

Method: Direct Microscopic Exam

Reviewed By Authorized Signatory:

Candace Mason QA Chemist

The condition of the samples analyzed was acceptable upon receipt per laboratory protocol unless otherwise noted on this report. Results represent the analysis of samples submitted by the client. Sample location, description, volume, etc., was provided by the client. The Client is hereby notified that due to the subjective nature of fungal analysis and the growth process of fungal infestation, laboratory samples can and do change over time relative to the originally sampled material. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written consent of Environmental Hazards Services, L.L.C.

Mold Chain of Custody Form

Page \_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_

	Com	pany Name	EC5	m 12 -1	1+161	nħ.	2		Ac	count#		
	Compa	ny Address					ton St		City/S	ate/Zip 🛭 🕡	island 1	14 23830
		Phone	900	353 63	353					Email		estimeted com
	Project / Testi	ng Address	Fox	P(emer	hary	¢ c	Social Hill	1 401	Danc	R Stree	t	
	ſ	PO Number	47!	14153	- A		1	Collected	By (	oh lum	V/N	
	Collection D	ate & Time	79-6	7-23			Out	side Air Ter	np	*	Indoor Air Te	mp
	Was ther	re any precipit	ation	(rain, slee	et or s	nov	v) 2 hours of	less before	taking t	ne samples?	T Yes	T No
Т	urn-Around Ti	me O 5 Da	ay	○3 D	ay	(	2 Day	<b>⊘</b> 1 Da	у О	Same Day	/ Weekend	- Must Call Ahead
							SAMPLET	YPE CODES				
		AIR		VIABLE			SPORE	T		SWAB SAMPL	_	
			Bulk Swab	S			Air-O-Cell Cyclex D	<del> </del>		Non Porous Semi Porous	NP SP	
			-Tape	T			BioSiS	В		Porous	P	
~		Wall	Check	W			Micro 5	<del> </del>		Swab		
MBER	Client				eldi	Type	Sam			amples	Qualitative Particulate	
LAB NUMBER	Sample ID	Collection	on Loc	ation	Sam	ξ	Spore Trap Type	Air Volume (Total Liter)	Surface Type (NP/SP)	Area of Mold (Square Feet)	Analysis Additional \$10.00 per sample	Comments
<b>K</b>	TI	Room 11	3		T	$oldsymbol{ol{ol}}}}}}}}}}}}}$			Y			Back of Certagille
2	1,3	Kooml	14		A Commercial Commercia	¥			¥			¥ .
3						¥			-			
4						v	7		•			
5						v	<b>~</b>		V			
6						$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$			V			
7						v			_			
8						Y	¥					
9						$\forall$			¥			
10						v			_			
11						V	Image: selection of the se					
12						V	$\mathbf{\Sigma}$		<b>V</b>			
13						¥	$\subseteq$		V			
R	eleased By:	hab Cumw	1				D	ate: 19	38 - BE	3	Time:	13'.00
	Signature: 7	relut Pr	vii	1.								
			// /				LAB USE ONLY	BELOW THIS	LINE			
	*	V - ~ 1	01								23-09-04	1144
Re	eceived By:	TX CM	<u> </u>									
Si	gnature:	)Sød	ler							AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	Due Date	::
Da	ate: <u>9 8</u>	8123	_ Tin	ne:	}	: <i>E</i>	27	□ AM Æ	] <sub>PM</sub>		09/29 <b>/</b> 20 (Friday	
	Portal Conta	ct Added									ER	
C												

7469 WHITEPINE RD, RICHMOND, VA 23237 (800)-347-4010
RESULTS VIA CLIENT PORTAL AVAILABLE @ www.leadlab.com



Environmental Hazards Services, L.L.C.

7469 Whitepine Rd Richmond, VA 23237

Telephone: 800.347.4010

Client: ECS Mid-Atlantic - Richmond

2119 D North Hamilton St Richmond, VA 23230

**Report Number: 23-09-03598** 

**Received Date:** 09/25/2023 **Analyzed Date:** 10/02/2023

**Reported Date:** 10/02/2023

Project/Test Address: 1101 Dance Street; Richmond, VA 23220

**Client Number:** 

200625

# Laboratory Results

Fax Number: 804-353-9478

23-09-03598-001 23-09-03598-002 Lab #: 23-09-03598-003 23-09-03598-004 23-09-03598-005 Client Sample ID: 3689-9182 3689-9180 3689-9154 3689-9197 3689-9176 Date Collected: 9/25/2023 9/25/2023 9/25/2023 9/25/2023 9/25/2023 **Collection Location:** Sampling Media: Air-O-Cell Air-O-Cell Air-O-Cell Air-O-Cell Air-O-Cell Analytical Sensitivity (spores/m3): 6.7 6.7 6.7 6.7 6.7 Volume (L): 150 150 150 150 150 Spore ID Raw Results Raw Results Raw Results Raw Results Raw Results (Spores/m3) Count Count Count Count (Spores/m3) Count (Spores/m3) (Spores/m3) (Spores/m3) Cladosporium spores 230 1500 22 150 30 200 215 1400 277 1800 Peronospora/Oidium spores 1 6.7 Penicillium/Aspergillus group spores 30 200 17 110 22 150 22 150 16 110 Alternaria spores 6.7 Aureobasidium spores 1 6.7 6.7 1 6.7 3 1 3 2 Drechslera/Bipolaris group spores 20 6.7 20 13 Arthrinium spores 3 20 Pyricularia spores 2 13 1 6.7 1 6.7 1 6.7 27 1 6.7 1 2 13 Curvularia spores 4 6.7 8 53 1 6.7 Stachybotrys spores 6.7 Torula spores 1 Pithomyces spores 2 13 6.7 2 13 1 6.7 6.7 Epicoccum spores Pestalotia spores 1 6.7 6.7 Tetraploa spores 1 33 Cercospora spores 12 80 1 6.7 5 9 60 1 6.7 2 13 Nigrospora spores

**Client Number:** 200625 **Report Number:** 23-09-03598

Project/Test Address: 1101 Dance Street; Richmond, VA 23220

Lab # :	23-09-	03598-001	23-09-0	03598-002	23-09-0	03598-003	23-09-0	3598-004	23-09-0	3598-005
Spore ID	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)								
Fusarium spores	3	20			1	6.7	2	13	6	40
smuts, Periconia, myxomycetes	8	53	6	40	1	6.7	13	87	5	33

TOTAL SPORES(Spores/m3)

2000
320
400
1800
2200
Analyst:

Kitana Usher

Kitana Usher

Kitana Usher

Kitana Usher

Kitana Usher



Environmental Hazards Services, L.L.C.

7469 Whitepine Rd Richmond, VA 23237

Telephone: 800.347.4010

Client: ECS Mid-Atlantic - Richmond

2119 D North Hamilton St Richmond, VA 23230

**Report Number:** 23-09-03598

**Received Date:** 09/25/2023 **Analyzed Date:** 10/02/2023

**Reported Date:** 10/02/2023

Project/Test Address: 1101 Dance Street; Richmond, VA 23220

Client Number:
200625 Laboratory Results Fax Number:
804-353-9478

[						-		-		
Lab # :	23-09-0	03598-006								
Client Sample ID :	368	9-9183								
Date Collected :	9/2	5/2023								
Collection Location :										
Sampling Media :	Air-	O-Cell								
Analytical Sensitivity (spores/m3) :		6.7								
Volume (L) :		150								
Spore ID	Raw Count	Results (Spores/m3)								
Cladosporium spores	66	440								
Penicillium/Aspergillus group spores	10	67								
Alternaria spores	1	6.7								
Aureobasidium spores	3	20								
Curvularia spores	2	13								
Pithomyces spores	5	33								
Epicoccum spores	3	20								
smuts, Periconia, myxomycetes	18	120								
L										

TOTAL SPORES(Spores/m3)

720

Analyst: Kitana Usher

 Client Number:
 200625

 Report Number:
 23-09-03598

Project/Test Address: 1101 Dance Street; Richmond, VA 23220

**Method:** Non-Culturable Spore Trap Examination

Reviewed By Authorized Signatory:

Tasha Eaddy QA/QC Clerk

Jasha Faddy

The condition of the samples analyzed was acceptable upon receipt per laboratory protocol unless otherwise noted on this report. Results represent the analysis of samples submitted by the client. Sample location, description, volume, etc., was provided by the client. The Client is hereby notified that due to the subjective nature of fungal analysis and the growth process of fungal infestation, laboratory samples can and do change over time relative to the originally sampled material. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written consent of Environmental Hazards Services, L.L.C.

Mold Chain of Custody Form

Page	of	
rage	 UI	

	Com	pany	Name EC	Mid-	Ltlai	Mic				count#		
	Compa	any A		1-D N.			7.		City/Sta	ate/Zip R	ichmand,	VA, 23230 slimited.com
				1-246-						Email R	curran@ec	slimited.com
	Project / Test	ing A	ddress 1101	bance s	stree	t, R	ich	mond,	VA	2323	20	
		PO N	umber					Collected I	By RO	16 Curr	an	
	Collection D	ate 8	& Time 09/	25/23			Out	side Air Ten	пр	75°F	Indoor Air Te	mp ~ 72-75
	Was the	re an	y precipitation	(rain, sleet	or sno	w) 2 hou	rs of	less before	taking th	e samples?	☐ Yes	No
	Turn-Around Ti	me	5 Day	○ 3 Day	/ (	) 2 Da	У	O1 Day	, 0	Same Day	/ Weekend	- Must Call Ahead
								YPE CODES				
			AIR/ NON Bulk	VIABLE B			O-Cell	TRAP AOC		SWAB SAMPL Non Porous	E SURFACE NP	
			Swab	S		Су	clex D	С		Semi Porous	SP	
		-	Bio-Tape Wall Check	W			BioSiS Aicro 5	B M5		Porous	Р	
n			waii check	V		, n	Ai	<del> </del>		Swab	Qualitative	
JMBE	Client		Collection Loc	otion	Sample Type		Sam			ımples	Particulate	Comments
LAB NUMBER	Sample ID		Collection Loc	auon	San	Spore Tr Type	ар	Air Volume (Total Liter)	Surface Type (NP/SP)	Area of Mold (Square Feet)	Analysis Additional \$10.00 per sample	Comments
1	3689 - 9182				V	ADC	Y	150				
	3689-9180					1	lacksquare	)				
3	3689-9154				V		$oldsymbol{oldsymbol{ iny}}$		¥			
*******					V		T		$\Box$			
	3689-9176				Ŧ				[ <del>-</del> ]			
6	3689-9183				Ŧ	$\sqrt{}$	Y	1	¥			
7					V		F		F			
8					T		V		Ī			
9					V		Ŧ		I			
10					V		Ī		T			
11					T		T		Ŧ			
12					V		T		Ŧ			
13			atu barasir		V		団		V			
		<u> </u>	1 - 7	·			السا	02 /		209		2 · E' O
R		Ana	nke P. S	auter		AL ALIAN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND A	Da	ate:   09/.	25/20	129	Time:	3:50 pm
	Signature:	29/1	1040700	me-		I AR LISE C		- BELOW THIS I	INF	***************************************		
			C 6: + C	).io.()	10	END OOL C	A	DELOW THIO		[		<i>Y</i>
R	eceived By:		ECHK	USTING	4>		A				23-09-6	03598
٥.			4	9/1		_ 1		` 丿		# 1 PART   PART		
Si	ignature:		<i>L</i>	1		-				######################################	TOTAL STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF	
D	ate: $a_{i}$	25	123 Tir	ne: Z	; S	52			PM		Due Da	ite:
		<del></del>							mile mile mile mile mile mile mile mile		10/02/2	2023
	Portal Conta	ict Ad	ded								(Mond	ay)
											ER	

2 7469 WHITEPINE RD, RICHMOND, VA 23237 (800)-347-4010
RESULTS VIA CLIENT PORTAL AVAILABLE @ www.leadlab.com

# **Appendix III: Mold Reference and Guidance Documents**

#### MOLD REFERENCE DOCUMENTS AND GUIDANCE

#### **Standards and Publications**

- Mold Remediation in Schools and Commercial Buildings, EPA, EPA 402-K-01-001, September 2008
- <u>A Brief Guide to Mold in the Workplace</u>, Occupational Safety Health Administration (OSHA), SHIB 03-10-10, updated 11-08-13
- ANSI/IICRC S520-2015 <u>Standard and Reference Guide for Professional Mold Remediation</u>, Institute of Inspection, Cleaning, and Restoration Certification, Third Edition
- ANSI/IICRC S500-2021 <u>Standard and Reference Guide for Professional Water Damage</u>
  Restoration, Institute of Inspection, Cleaning, and Restoration Certification, Fifth Edition
- <u>Bioaerosols: Assessment and Control</u>, American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists, 1999.
- <u>Building Air Quality: A Guide for Building Owners and Facility Managers</u>, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) and Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) EPA 402F-91-102, December 1991
- Mold Moisture and Your Home, EPA, EPA-402-K-02-003, September 2012
- WHO Guidelines for Indoor Air Quality: Dampness and Mould, World Health Organization (WHO), 2009
- Guidelines on Assessment and Remediation of Fungi in Indoor Environments, New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, November 2008.
- <u>Damp Buildings, Human Health, and HVAC Design</u>, Report of the ASHRAE Multidisciplinary Task Group: Damp Buildings, American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air Conditioning Engineers, 2020

#### **Websites**

- EPA Mold Resources, https://www.epa.gov/mold
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), https://www.cdc.gov/mold/fags.htm
- Department of Energy and the Environment (DOEE), Mold Assessment and Remediation Licensure Regulations <a href="https://doee.dc.gov/service/mold-professional-licensing">https://doee.dc.gov/service/mold-professional-licensing</a>
- Virginia Department of Health, Environmental Health, Public Health Toxicology, Mold https://www.vdh.virginia.gov/environmental-health/public-health-toxicology/mold/