

Master Planning Scenarios – High Schools

Option 1	Keep All Four High Schools Open		
Scenario A	Keep current grade configurations (6-12 and 9-12)	Pros:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Maintenance of current signature programs ● Maintenance of current articulation ● Costs of modernizations already spent for programs as they currently exist; the modernization has also increased the capacity of all high schools. ● Choices meet the needs of students who need smaller environments for social emotional learning ● IB program meets criteria, certification, and authorization ● Magnet Programs are designed to increase socioeconomic integration
		Cons:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Not maximizing capacity of school site ● Inability to maximize staffing ratios ● Not able to efficiently offer robust athletic programs
Scenario B	Make all four HS 6-12 grade span (this will have effect on middle schools)	Pros:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Allows for consistent matriculation and streamline of services ● Students have a consistent 7-year placement ● Fewer transitions for students ● Fewer sites to maintain
		Cons:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Major disruption of student placement and parent choices ● Closure of Middle Schools that have MSAP Federal Funds; negative impact on magnet program goals and OCR will have to approve ● Negative impact on access to early college programs ● Negative impact on the neighborhood due to an increase in traffic and noise ● Added transportation cost for Gen Ed. (180 days, \$623,800 for 7 buses per year) ● Students will not have the option to move to a traditional High School setting and may leave the District ● May not have enough physical space as many classrooms are used for CTE and have been designed for vocational

Master Planning Scenarios – High Schools

			<p>trades</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Some parents have reservations regarding Middle School students on a High School campus ● Negative impact on Middle School choice
Option 2	Have Three High Schools		
Scenario A	Consolidate to PHS, Muir and Marshall	Pros:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Potential staffing savings of \$1.4 million (assuming all programs move elsewhere) ● Potential Rental \$475,200+ per year ● Potential Revenue from Sale of Property
		Cons:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● New infrastructure investment of \$21 million in Measure TT funds recently expended for Blair ● Willard IB program matriculates to Blair, is in high demand, and was awarded Civic Learning Award for its IB education ● Blair offers a world-class IB education to students (100% middle and 50% of 11th & 12th) who qualify as <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ 25% EL ❖ 60.39% SES ❖ 25% EL 1.3% Foster Youth ❖ 2.66% Homeless Youth ❖ 13% Students with Disability ❖ 85 students out of District ● May need to break up student population into multiple schools; consequently, not all students would be able to continue in IB program ● Would need to move the International Academy where IB would be housed in order to keep the Global Education aspects that are currently present at Blair ● Likely lose students to private or other districts ● Potential increase in Charter petitions
Scenario B	Consolidate to PHS, Muir and Blair	Pros:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Potential staffing savings of \$2.6 million ● Potential Rental \$465,108+ per year

Master Planning Scenarios – High Schools

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Potential Revenue from Sale of Property
		Cons:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Majority of Marshall parents may leave PUSD (possibly all parents who are there on choice permits) ● Dismantling of a nationally recognized Advanced Placement program at Marshall ● Investments for new infrastructure including a new gym and tennis court have been expended ● Increase Charter petitions ● Likely lose students to private or other districts ● Large number of Middle School students (approx. 800) displaced
Scenario C	Consolidate to PHS, Marshall and Blair	Pros:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Potential staffing savings of \$2.7 million ● Potential Rental \$782,500+ per year ● Potential Revenue from Sale of Property
		Cons:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Muir’s generational traditions and strong alumni support would be a loss to the city of Pasadena ● Approximately \$24 million infrastructure improvements through Measure TT including a Film Studio (\$5 million) and other specialized programs; investments for new infrastructure have already been made. ● The PCC Early College Access would be closed ● Dismantle the proud alumni program; community pride and sports rivalry would be a loss to the fabric of the City of Pasadena ● Likely lose students to private schools or other districts ● Potential increase in charter petitions ● Loss of stadium, Dodger field, and other athletic facilities
Scenario D	Consolidate to Marshall, Muir and Blair	Pros:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Potential staffing savings of \$2.7 million ● Potential Rental \$825,000+ per year ● Potential Revenue from Sale of Property
		Cons:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Many PHS parents would walk away from PUSD (possibly all parents who are there on choice permit)

Master Planning Scenarios – High Schools

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Investments for new infrastructure (approximately \$15 million from Measure TT) have already been made: Wiring for heavy duty equipment for Print/shop & Graphic design classroom (Measure Y), the courtroom, APP academy and other specialized spaces ● Students living on the east side would enroll on the west side and may require busing or District-paid bus passes ● Dismantle the proud alumni program; community pride and sports rivalry would be a loss to the fabric of the City of Pasadena ● Likely lose students to private schools or other districts ● Potential increase in charter petitions ● Loss of stadium and other athletic facilities including a new gym ● PHS hosts our annual professional development because it has the largest auditorium and most parking capacity
Option 3	Have Two High Schools		
Scenario A	Consolidate to PHS and Muir	Pros:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Potential staffing savings of \$5.3 million ● Potential Rental \$1.2 mil+ per year ● Potential Revenue from Sale of Property
		Cons:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Potential increase in Charter petitions ● Would lose students to private schools or other districts ● Possible additional transportation costs for gen ed. ● No high schools located in the south end of the district ● Capacity issue ● No room for growth ● Contradicts the Board’s Vision and Mission on equity and diversity ● Approximately \$22 million infrastructure improvements through Measure TT at Marshall and \$21 million at Blair
Scenario B	Consolidate to Marshall and Muir	Pros:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Potential staffing savings of \$4.1 million ● Potential Rental \$1.3 mil+ per year ● Potential Revenue from Sale of Property

Master Planning Scenarios – High Schools

		Cons:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Potential increase in Charter petitions ● Not a viable option due to lack of seating capacity ● Would lose students to private schools or other districts ● Community pride around Turkey Tussle would be a loss to the fabric of the City of Pasadena ● Wiring for heavy duty equipment for Print/shop & Graphic design classroom, the courtroom, APP academy and other specialized spaces ● May need to break up student population into multiple schools; therefore, not all students would be able to continue in IB program ● Contradicts the Board’s Vision and Mission on equity and diversity ● Marshall would require additional athletic facilities, i.e., pool, football field ● Displacement of Middle School students
Scenario C	Consolidate to Marshall and PHS	Pros:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Potential staffing savings of \$4.1 million ● Potential Rental \$1.3 mil+ per year ● Potential Revenue from Sale of Property
		Cons:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Potential increase in Charter petitions ● Would lose students to private schools or other districts ● Additional transportation costs for gen ed. ● Marshall would require additional athletic facilities ● Displacement of Middle School students
Scenario D	Consolidate to PHS and Blair	Pros:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Potential staffing savings of \$5.3 million ● Potential Rental \$1.2 mil+ per year ● Potential Revenue from Sale of Property
		Cons:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Potential increase in Charter petitions ● Would lose students to private schools or other districts ● Additional transportation costs for gen ed. ● Blair would require athletic facilities ● Displacement of Middle School students
Scenario E	Consolidate to Blair and Marshall	Pros:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Potential staffing savings of \$5.4 million

Master Planning Scenarios – High Schools

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Potential Rental \$1.6 mil+ per year ● Potential Revenue from Sale of Property
		Cons:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Potential increase in Charter petitions ● Would lose students to private schools or other districts ● Film Studio (\$5 million) and other specialized programs will need infrastructure investments if moved to a different site; investments for new infrastructure have already been made. ● The PCC Early College Access would be lost ● Dismantle the proud alumni program ● Additional transportation costs for gen ed. ● Lack of athletic facilities ● Displacement of Middle School students
Scenario F	Consolidate to Muir and Blair	Pros:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Potential staffing savings of \$5.3 mil million ● Potential Rental \$1.3 mil+ per year ● Potential Revenue from Sale of Property
		Cons:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Potential increase in Charter petitions ● Negative impact on Magnet Grant funding ● Would lose students to private schools or other districts ● Additional transportation costs for gen ed. ● Blair would require additional athletics facilities ● Displacement of Middle School students
Option 4	Keep One High School Open		
Scenario A	Consolidate to PHS	Pros:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● N/A
		Cons:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Not a viable option due to lack of capacity
Scenario B	Consolidate to Muir	Pros:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● N/A
		Cons:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Not a viable option due to lack of capacity