

School Year: **2021-22**

School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) Template

Instructions and requirements for completing the SPSA template may be found in the SPSA Template Instructions.

School Name	County-District-School (CDS) Code	Schoolsite Council (SSC) Approval Date	Local Board Approval Date
Henry W. Longfellow Elementary School	19-64881-6021679	May 25, 2022	June 23, 2022

Purpose and Description

Briefly describe the purpose of this plan (Select from Schoolwide Program, Comprehensive Support and Improvement, Targeted Support and Improvement, or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement)

Schoolwide Program

Briefly describe the school's plan for effectively meeting the ESSA requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan and other federal, state, and local programs.

We provide opportunities for small group instruction throughout the day to ensure we are meeting students' needs. In addition we hold SST meetings for students of concern. We take a trauma informed care approach to discipline and use a Positive Behavior Management system. Our Professional Development focuses on the needs of the students based on data. In data analysis meetings we reflect on instruction, assessment, and students in order to improve outcomes for all students.

Stakeholder Involvement

How, when, and with whom did the school consult as part of the planning process for this SPSA/Annual Review and Update?

Involvement Process for the SPSA and Annual Review and Update

ELAC on May 7th, 2021, SSC on April 26 and May 13th 2021, teachers on May 3, 2021

Resource Inequities

Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment, as applicable.

We are going to hire an hourly teacher/instructor to be an intervention teacher rather than a monthly person as we do not have the funds to cover salary and benefits for a monthly teacher.

School Vision and Mission

Our staff is committed to providing a broad based, standards driven instructional program within a safe, emotionally nurturing environment. Our goals are to create a partnership with parents and community organizations so that our students are well prepared for the future, and to ensure that all students are able to think critically, communicate, collaborate and be creative.

School Profile

Longfellow Elementary School is located between Bungalow Heaven and Historic Highlands in Northern Pasadena. Currently the school serves students in Transitional kindergarten through 5th grade with a current enrollment of 630 students. Data for 2020 shows we have 84% of our students are socioeconomically disadvantaged, 29% of our students are English Learners (mostly Spanish speakers), 11% are African Americans, % are Filipino, 71% are Hispanic, 7% are white, 2.5% are multiracial, and 13.5% receive special education services.

Currently we have 27 classroom teachers, 2 Resource Specialist, 1 behavior aide, 1 library coordinator, 1 Instructional Coach, 1 health clerk, a shared nurse, a 6 hour Community Assistant and 3 full time custodians. We have a school library and a music room

We have excellent parent participation and encourage parents to be involved in the school in whatever capacity they are able. We have parents helping with science lessons, painting murals, helping out at community events and cultivating a school garden. In addition we have parents helping in the classroom and the parent work room. Our goal is to make everyone feel they are a valued asset to the school community and everyone has something to contribute to help the students achieve.

To this end we have work room parties, parent trainings, parent education and community building events.

All school communication is translated into Spanish and parents needing further assistance interpreting assessment results are invited to come to the school and receive assistance from the school staff.

We provide engaging instruction with built in scaffolds to enable all students' access and mastery of the curriculum.

School and Student Performance Data

Student Enrollment Enrollment By Student Group

Student Enrollment by Subgroup						
Student Group	Percent of Enrollment			Number of Students		
	18-19	19-20	20-21	18-19	19-20	20-21
American Indian	0.23%	0.25%	0.2%	1	1	1
African American	15.33%	15%	11.0%	67	60	70
Asian	0.92%	1.5%	1.0%	4	6	6
Filipino	2.29%	2.5%	2.2%	10	10	14
Hispanic/Latino	71.17%	71.5%	78.1%	311	286	495
Pacific Islander	%	0%	0.2%		0	1
White	7.09%	6.5%	5.2%	31	26	33
Multiple/No Response	0.69%	0.5%	0.6%	3	2	4
	Total Enrollment			437	400	634

Student Enrollment Enrollment By Grade Level

Student Enrollment by Grade Level			
Grade	Number of Students		
	18-19	19-20	20-21
Kindergarten	88	69	107
Grade 1	65	56	100
Grade 2	75	61	97
Grade3	73	77	100
Grade 4	72	66	122
Grade 5	64	71	108
Total Enrollment	437	400	634

Conclusions based on this data:

1. With the consolidation of some of the elementary schools, our enrollment went up by 230 students.
2. Within the general enrollment, 112 students are in the Spanish Dual Language Immersion Program.
3. For the 2021-22 school year, we will need a 3/4 class.

School and Student Performance Data

Student Enrollment English Learner (EL) Enrollment

English Learner (EL) Enrollment						
Student Group	Number of Students			Percent of Students		
	18-19	19-20	20-21	18-19	19-20	20-21
English Learners	136	101	159	31.1%	25.3%	25.1%
Fluent English Proficient (FEP)	58	82	122	13.3%	20.5%	19.2%
Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP)	0	35	11	0.0%	25.7%	10.9%

Conclusions based on this data:

1. With the increase in enrollment from students from the consolidated schools, our English learner enrollment went up slightly to 29%
2. Pandemic and school closures negatively impacted our ability to reclassify students since we did not administer the ELPAC in 2020.
3. We did not have ELPAC data from 2020 so we are not able to do an analysis of the growth our English Learners have made.

School and Student Performance Data

CAASPP Results English Language Arts/Literacy (All Students)

Overall Participation for All Students												
Grade Level	# of Students Enrolled			# of Students Tested			# of Students with			% of Enrolled Students		
	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
Grade 3	79	71	99	78	71	0	78	71	0	98.7	100	0.0
Grade 4	63	77	123	63	77	0	63	77	0	100	100	0.0
Grade 5	88	64	107	88	63	0	88	63	0	100	98.4	0.0
All Grades	230	212	329	229	211	0	229	211	0	99.6	99.5	0.0

The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Overall Achievement for All Students															
Grade Level	Mean Scale Score			% Standard			% Standard Met			% Standard Nearly			% Standard Not		
	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
Grade 3	2464.	2440.		34.62	25.35		35.90	28.17		17.95	26.76		11.54	19.72	
Grade 4	2474.	2470.		20.63	29.87		28.57	18.18		28.57	24.68		22.22	27.27	
Grade 5	2501.	2492.		20.45	11.11		28.41	30.16		22.73	36.51		28.41	22.22	
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	25.33	22.75		31.00	25.12		22.71	28.91		20.96	23.22	

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Reading Demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
Grade 3	34.62	23.94		48.72	57.75		16.67	18.31	
Grade 4	14.29	23.38		55.56	53.25		30.16	23.38	
Grade 5	20.45	14.29		51.14	65.08		28.41	20.63	
All Grades	23.58	20.85		51.53	58.29		24.89	20.85	

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Writing Producing clear and purposeful writing									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
Grade 3	29.49	16.90		50.00	63.38		20.51	19.72	
Grade 4	24.19	23.38		54.84	50.65		20.97	25.97	
Grade 5	29.55	20.63		50.00	55.56		20.45	23.81	
All Grades	28.07	20.38		51.32	56.40		20.61	23.22	

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Listening Demonstrating effective communication skills									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
Grade 3	28.21	25.35		65.38	66.20		6.41	8.45	
Grade 4	12.70	25.97		74.60	57.14		12.70	16.88	
Grade 5	15.91	14.29		62.50	68.25		21.59	17.46	
All Grades	19.21	22.27		66.81	63.51		13.97	14.22	

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Research/Inquiry Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
Grade 3	46.15	25.35		42.31	57.75		11.54	16.90	
Grade 4	28.57	19.48		53.97	53.25		17.46	27.27	
Grade 5	26.14	19.05		53.41	58.73		20.45	22.22	
All Grades	33.62	21.33		49.78	56.40		16.59	22.27	

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Third grade consistently outperforms 4th and 5th.
2. In 2019, the largest percentage was in the Near Standard Met band (29%)
3. Overall, Less than 50% of students meet ELA standards. We had a 3 year change of +6%

School and Student Performance Data

CAASPP Results Mathematics (All Students)

Overall Participation for All Students												
Grade Level	# of Students Enrolled			# of Students Tested			# of Students with			% of Enrolled Students		
	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
Grade 3	79	71	99	78	71	0	78	71	0	98.7	100	0.0
Grade 4	63	77	123	63	77	0	63	77	0	100	100	0.0
Grade 5	88	64	107	88	63	0	88	63	0	100	98.4	0.0
All Grades	230	212	329	229	211	0	229	211	0	99.6	99.5	0.0

* The “% of Enrolled Students Tested” showing in this table is not the same as “Participation Rate” for federal accountability purposes.

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Overall Achievement for All Students															
Grade Level	Mean Scale Score			% Standard			% Standard Met			% Standard Nearly			% Standard Not		
	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
Grade 3	2466.	2456.		32.05	28.17		33.33	30.99		20.51	25.35		14.10	15.49	
Grade 4	2465.	2472.		11.11	20.78		15.87	18.18		60.32	40.26		12.70	20.78	
Grade 5	2469.	2460.		7.95	11.11		10.23	7.94		37.50	26.98		44.32	53.97	
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.03	20.38		19.65	19.43		37.99	31.28		25.33	28.91	

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Concepts & Procedures Applying mathematical concepts and procedures									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
Grade 3	50.00	43.66		35.90	40.85		14.10	15.49	
Grade 4	22.22	27.27		38.10	32.47		39.68	40.26	
Grade 5	7.95	11.11		29.55	19.05		62.50	69.84	
All Grades	26.20	27.96		34.06	31.28		39.74	40.76	

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Problem Solving & Modeling/Data Analysis Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve real world and mathematical problems									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
Grade 3	33.33	25.35		41.03	57.75		25.64	16.90	
Grade 4	12.70	24.68		50.79	48.05		36.51	27.27	
Grade 5	10.23	14.29		46.59	34.92		43.18	50.79	
All Grades	18.78	21.80		45.85	47.39		35.37	30.81	

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Communicating Reasoning Demonstrating ability to support mathematical conclusions									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
Grade 3	44.87	42.25		41.03	47.89		14.10	9.86	
Grade 4	14.29	20.78		57.14	49.35		28.57	29.87	
Grade 5	5.68	11.11		48.86	41.27		45.45	47.62	
All Grades	21.40	25.12		48.47	46.45		30.13	28.44	

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Conclusions based on this data:

1. 4th grade increased the number of students meeting standard by 12% from 2018 to 2019
2. Longfellow has increased the number of students meeting standard by 10% from 2017 to 2019
3. Problem solving and Modeling/Data analysis continue to be the most challenging domain with only 22% above standard (an increase of 2%)

School and Student Performance Data

ELPAC Results

ELPAC Summative Assessment Data Number of Students and Mean Scale Scores for All Students												
Grade Level	Overall			Oral Language			Written Language			Number of Students Tested		
	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
K	1421.6	1430.8	1447.6	1434.9	1436.6	1469.5	1390.4	1417.0	1396.1	32	25	32
1	1467.8	1466.6	1432.6	1471.1	1462.9	1466.0	1464.1	1469.7	1398.8	25	14	33
2	1490.1	*	1500.8	1503.0	*	1505.3	1476.6	*	1495.8	27	10	33
3	1500.1	1519.3	1494.3	1499.3	1526.0	1502.7	1500.4	1512.3	1485.7	23	24	23
4	1522.2	1537.4	1507.4	1531.1	1528.2	1520.7	1512.7	1546.2	1493.6	15	20	31
5	*	1570.4	1521.8	*	1580.9	1533.4	*	1559.2	1509.9	*	14	24
All Grades										129	107	176

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Overall Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students															
Grade Level	Level 4			Level 3			Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
K	*	8.00	22.58	43.75	56.00	38.71	*	32.00	35.48	*	4.00	3.23	32	25	31
1	56.00	0.00	6.06	*	71.43	24.24	*	28.57	51.52		0.00	18.18	25	14	33
2	55.56	*	27.27	40.74	*	48.48	*	*	12.12		*	12.12	27	*	33
3	*	33.33	13.04	65.22	37.50	30.43	*	29.17	52.17		0.00	4.35	23	24	23
4	*	35.00	19.35	86.67	55.00	25.81	*	10.00	51.61		0.00	3.23	15	20	31
5	*	71.43	4.17	*	28.57	58.33	*	0.00	29.17		0.00	8.33	*	14	24
All Grades	31.01	27.10	16.00	51.16	52.34	37.14	17.05	19.63	38.29	*	0.93	8.57	129	107	175

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Oral Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students															
Grade Level	Level 4			Level 3			Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
K	40.63	4.00	29.03	37.50	72.00	58.06	*	20.00	9.68	*	4.00	3.23	32	25	31
1	64.00	7.14	24.24	*	64.29	51.52	*	28.57	15.15		0.00	9.09	25	14	33
2	81.48	*	48.48	*	*	42.42		*	9.09		*	0.00	27	*	33
3	*	58.33	30.43	60.87	20.83	52.17	*	16.67	17.39		4.17	0.00	23	24	23
4	*	55.00	38.71	*	35.00	54.84		10.00	3.23		0.00	3.23	15	20	31
5	*	85.71	45.83	*	14.29	41.67		0.00	12.50		0.00	0.00	*	14	24
All Grades	53.49	41.12	36.00	37.98	42.99	50.29	*	14.02	10.86	*	1.87	2.86	129	107	175

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Written Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students															
Grade Level	Level 4			Level 3			Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
K	*	8.00	6.45	*	40.00	22.58	53.13	40.00	58.06	*	12.00	12.90	32	25	31
1	*	7.14	3.03	*	64.29	9.09	*	21.43	30.30		7.14	57.58	25	14	33
2	*	*	21.21	62.96	*	42.42	*	*	18.18	*	*	18.18	27	*	33
3		16.67	4.35	*	29.17	17.39	56.52	50.00	47.83	*	4.17	30.43	23	24	23
4		25.00	3.23	*	45.00	16.13	*	30.00	35.48	*	0.00	45.16	15	20	31
5	*	7.14	0.00	*	57.14	8.33	*	35.71	66.67	*	0.00	25.00	*	14	24
All Grades	13.18	13.08	6.86	31.01	47.66	20.00	44.19	34.58	41.14	11.63	4.67	32.00	129	107	175

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Listening Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
K	71.88	24.00	22.58	*	72.00	74.19	*	4.00	3.23	32	25	31
1	76.00	28.57	30.30	*	71.43	60.61	*	0.00	9.09	25	14	33
2	66.67	*	33.33	*	*	66.67		*	0.00	27	*	33
3	*	37.50	21.74	78.26	54.17	60.87	*	8.33	17.39	23	24	23
4	*	40.00	35.48	*	60.00	61.29		0.00	3.23	15	20	31
5		14.29	12.50	*	85.71	66.67	*	0.00	20.83	*	14	24
All Grades	52.71	28.97	26.86	41.86	68.22	65.14	*	2.80	8.00	129	107	175

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Speaking Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
K	*	8.00	38.71	65.63	88.00	58.06	*	4.00	3.23	32	25	31
1	68.00	7.14	12.12	*	92.86	75.76	*	0.00	12.12	25	14	33
2	92.59	*	51.52	*	*	48.48		*	0.00	27	*	33
3	82.61	79.17	65.22	*	20.83	34.78		0.00	0.00	23	24	23
4	100.00	70.00	58.06		30.00	41.94		0.00	0.00	15	20	31
5	*	100.00	87.50		0.00	12.50		0.00	0.00	*	14	24
All Grades	71.32	52.34	49.71	25.58	46.73	47.43	*	0.93	2.86	129	107	175

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Reading Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
K	*	4.00	6.45	65.63	84.00	90.32	*	12.00	3.23	32	25	31
1	*	35.71	9.38	64.00	50.00	37.50	*	14.29	53.13	25	14	32
2	*	*	48.48	51.85	*	33.33	*	*	18.18	27	*	33
3		12.50	8.70	69.57	54.17	60.87	*	33.33	30.43	23	24	23
4		10.00	0.00	93.33	80.00	51.61	*	10.00	48.39	15	20	31
5	*	21.43	4.17	*	78.57	70.83	*	0.00	25.00	*	14	24
All Grades	17.83	14.02	13.79	66.67	71.96	56.32	15.50	14.02	29.89	129	107	174

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Writing Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
K	*	48.00	16.13	59.38	40.00	58.06	*	12.00	25.81	32	25	31
1	*	0.00	0.00	76.00	100.00	54.55		0.00	45.45	25	14	33
2	*	*	27.27	74.07	*	51.52	*	*	21.21	27	*	33
3	*	37.50	8.70	78.26	58.33	65.22	*	4.17	26.09	23	24	23
4	*	55.00	9.68	80.00	45.00	54.84	*	0.00	35.48	15	20	31
5	*	21.43	0.00	*	78.57	87.50		0.00	12.50	*	14	24
All Grades	19.38	39.25	10.86	72.87	57.01	60.57	*	3.74	28.57	129	107	175

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Conclusions based on this data:

1. At every grade level reported the scale score increased.
2. 52.4% of all ELs at Longfellow are scoring at level 3
3. The Reading Domain continues to be the biggest challenge for our students with only 14.02% at the well developed level and almost 72% at only Somewhat Developed

School and Student Performance Data

Student Population

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021.

This section provides information about the school's student population.

2020-21 Student Population			
Total Enrollment	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	English Learners	Foster Youth
634	85.2	25.1	0.8
This is the total number of students enrolled.	This is the percent of students who are eligible for free or reduced priced meals; or have parents/guardians who did not receive a high school diploma.	This is the percent of students who are learning to communicate effectively in English, typically requiring instruction in both the English Language and in their academic courses.	This is the percent of students whose well-being is the responsibility of a court.

2019-20 Enrollment for All Students/Student Group		
Student Group	Total	Percentage
English Learners	159	25.1
Foster Youth	5	0.8
Homeless	35	5.5
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	540	85.2
Students with Disabilities	77	12.1

Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity		
Student Group	Total	Percentage
African American	70	11.0
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0.2
Asian	6	0.9
Filipino	14	2.2
Hispanic	495	78.1
Two or More Races	10	1.6
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1	0.2
White	33	5.2

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Our SED population continues to be significant as does or EL and Hispanic populations.

2. The African American population also remains significant.
3. Our Sped. population was 10% however that included all students not just in the testing grades.





School and Student Performance Data

Overall Performance

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

2019 Fall Dashboard Overall Performance for All Students

Academic Performance	Academic Engagement	Conditions & Climate
English Language Arts  Yellow	Chronic Absenteeism  Orange	Suspension Rate  Orange
Mathematics  Yellow		

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Our Chronic Absenteeism rate increased by .6% to 11.8%. Incentives for students do not seem to be working. Resources needed to help the families of Chronically absent. All student groups where in the orange with the exception of ELs who where in the green.
2. The suspension rate increased by .5%. As per Aeries report , there were 3 suspensions and 5 In school Suspensions last year. Overall 1.1 % of students are suspended. The AA subgroup is higher at 5.3%. We need to address this inequity, however were are talking about a total of 3 students.
3. The steady increase towards standard in math (though only 1 point, however a 10% gain in 3 years),is due in part to the fidelity towards the Ready math program and implementing problem strategy routines. In ELA, we see a drop of 13.7 points with both ELs ans SED students in the orange. Targeted strategies could assist these subgroups in increasing scores.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance English Language Arts


Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:











This section provides number of student groups in each color.



This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance for All Students/Student Group		
<p>All Students</p> Yellow 0.4 points above standard Declined -13.7 points 205	<p>English Learners</p> Orange 12.2 points below standard Declined Significantly -26 points 84	<p>Foster Youth</p> No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 2
<p>Homeless</p> No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 6	<p>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</p> Orange 6.9 points below standard Declined -13.7 points 174	<p>Students with Disabilities</p> No Performance Color 38 points below standard Maintained ++1.9 points 33

2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance by Race/Ethnicity

African American	American Indian	Asian	Filipino
 Yellow 20.1 points below standard Increased ++11.6 points 34	 No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 1	 No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 2	 No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 6
Hispanic	Two or More Races	Pacific Islander	White
 Yellow 1.3 points below standard Declined Significantly -17.3 points 148	 No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 4	 No Performance Color 0 Students	 No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 9

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Data Comparisons for English Learners

Current English Learner	Reclassified English Learners	English Only
39.1 points below standard Declined Significantly -23 points 58	47.8 points above standard Increased ++7.7 points 26	5.7 points above standard Declined -4.3 points 103

Conclusions based on this data:

1. AA increased performance towards standard by 11.6 points. There was a focus made due to lack of progress in previous year. Choice and collaborative structures have been beneficial to this subgroup.
2. English Learners loss gains made from the previous year and declined by 26 points. 21% of ELs were reclassified decreasing the amount of students who were proficient in ELA.
3. All students landed in the yellow with a 13/7 point decline landing them in the yellow. More time for students to practice targeted skills with teacher feedback needs to be given to students.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance Mathematics


Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:











This section provides number of student groups in each color.



This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance for All Students/Student Group		
<p>All Students</p> <p>Yellow</p> <p>16.6 points below standard</p> <p>Maintained -1 points</p> <p>205</p>	<p>English Learners</p> <p>Yellow</p> <p>18.7 points below standard</p> <p>Declined -3.2 points</p> <p>84</p>	<p>Foster Youth</p> <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy</p> <p>2</p>
<p>Homeless</p> <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy</p> <p>6</p>	<p>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</p> <p>Yellow</p> <p>22.2 points below standard</p> <p>Maintained -2.3 points</p> <p>174</p>	<p>Students with Disabilities</p> <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>45 points below standard</p> <p>Increased ++4.5 points</p> <p>33</p>

2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance by Race/Ethnicity

African American	American Indian	Asian	Filipino
 Yellow 45.7 points below standard Increased ++13.7 points 34	 No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 1	 No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 2	 No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 6
Hispanic	Two or More Races	Pacific Islander	White
 Yellow 15.5 points below standard Maintained -2.4 points 148	 No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 4	 No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 9	 No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 9

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Data Comparisons for English Learners

Current English Learner	Reclassified English Learners	English Only
38.6 points below standard Declined Significantly -16.4 points 58	25.7 points above standard Increased Significantly ++35.3 points 26	20.2 points below standard Maintained -0.9 points 103

Conclusions based on this data:

1. After decline from previous year, AA students increased by 13.7 points . One explanation could be giving purpose and relevance when teaching math.
2. ELs declined significantly by 16.4 points in math however the reclassified students increased significantly by 35.3 points, illustrating the need to be able to read and write proficiently in English in order to meet standards in math.
3. Overall, students maintained scores in math. It is to be noted over 3 years, students have increased by 10% in math achievement.

School and Student Performance Data


Academic Performance English Learner Progress

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students making progress towards English language proficiency or maintaining the highest level.

2019 Fall Dashboard English Learner Progress Indicator



This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students who progressed at least one ELPI level, maintained ELPI level 4, maintained lower ELPI levels (i.e, levels 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H), or decreased at least one ELPI Level.

2019 Fall Dashboard Student English Language Acquisition Results

Decreased One ELPI Level	Maintained ELPI Level 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H	Maintained ELPI Level 4	Progressed At Least One ELPI Level
9.7	24.3	1.2	64.6

Conclusions based on this data:

1. ELs are making progress each year. We were able to reclassify 26 student in 2019.
2. The domain that EL students continue to have difficulty with is in Reading.
3. Students having difficulty with reading and vocabulary are not able to meet grade level standards.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance College/Career Measures Only Report

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021.

Number and Percentage of Students in the Combined Graduation Rate and/or Dashboard Alternative School Status (DASS) Graduation Rate by Student Group		
Student Group	Cohort Totals	Cohort Percent
All Students		
African American		
American Indian or Alaska Native		
Asian		
Filipino		
Hispanic		
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		
White		
Two or More Races		
English Learners		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged		
Students with Disabilities		
Foster Youth		
Homeless		

Advanced Placement Exams – Number and Percentage of Four-Year Graduation Rate Cohort Students

Student Group	Cohort Totals	Cohort Percent
All Students		
African American		
American Indian or Alaska Native		
Asian		
Filipino		
Hispanic		
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		
White		
Two or More Races		
English Learners		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged		
Students with Disabilities		
Foster Youth		
Homeless		

* This table shows students in the four-year graduation rate cohort by student group who scored 3 or higher on at least two Advanced Placement exams.

International Baccalaureate Exams – Number and Percentage of Four-Year Graduation Rate Cohort

Student Group	Cohort Totals	Cohort Percent
All Students		
African American		
American Indian or Alaska Native		
Asian		
Filipino		
Hispanic		
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		
White		
Two or More Races		
English Learners		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged		
Students with Disabilities		
Foster Youth		
Homeless		

* This table shows students in the four-year graduation rate cohort by student group who scored 4 or higher on at least two International Baccalaureate Exams.

Completed at Least One Career Technical Education (CTE) Pathway – Number and Percentage of All Students		
Student Group	Cohort Totals	Cohort Percent
All Students		
African American		
American Indian or Alaska Native		
Asian		
Filipino		
Hispanic		
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		
White		
Two or More Races		
English Learners		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged		
Students with Disabilities		
Foster Youth		
Homeless		

* This table shows students in the combined graduation rate and/or DASS graduation rate by student group who completed at least one CTE Pathway with a grade of C- or better (or Pass) in the capstone course.

Completed a-g Requirements – Number and Percentage of All Students		
Student Group	Cohort Totals	Cohort Percent
All Students		
African American		
American Indian or Alaska Native		
Asian		
Filipino		
Hispanic		
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		
White		
Two or More Races		
English Learners		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged		
Students with Disabilities		
Foster Youth		
Homeless		

* This table shows students in the combined graduation rate and/or DASS graduation rate by student group who met the University of California (UC) or California State University (CSU) a-g criteria with a grade of C or better (or Pass).

Completed a-g Requirements AND at Least One CTE Pathway – Number and Percentage of All Students

Student Group	Cohort Totals	Cohort Percent
All Students		
African American		
American Indian or Alaska Native		
Asian		
Filipino		
Hispanic		
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		
White		
Two or More Races		
English Learners		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged		
Students with Disabilities		
Foster Youth		
Homeless		

* This table shows students in the combined graduation rate and/or DASS graduation rate by student group who met the UC or CSU a-g criteria with a grade of C or better (or Pass) AND completed at least one CTE Pathway with a grade of C- or better (or Pass) in the capstone course.

Completed College Credit Courses – Number and Percentage of All Student Students Completing One Semester, Two Quarters, or Two Trimesters of College Credit Courses

Student Group	Number of Students	Percent of Students
All Students		
African American		
American Indian or Alaska Native		
Asian		
Filipino		
Hispanic		
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		
White		
Two or More Races		
English Learners		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged		
Students with Disabilities		
Foster Youth		
Homeless		

* This table shows students in the combined graduation rate and/or DASS graduation rate by student group who completed Academic or CTE subject college credit courses with a grade of C- or better (or Pass).

**Completed College Credit Courses – Number and Percentage of All Student
Students Completing Two Semesters, Three Quarters, or Three Trimesters of College Credit Courses**

Student Group	Number of Students	Percent of Students
All Students		
African American		
American Indian or Alaska Native		
Asian		
Filipino		
Hispanic		
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		
White		
Two or More Races		
English Learners		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged		
Students with Disabilities		
Foster Youth		
Homeless		

* This table shows students in the combined graduation rate and/or DASS graduation rate by student group who completed Academic or CTE subject college credit courses with a grade of C- or better (or Pass).

Earned the State Seal of Biliteracy – Number and Percentage of All Students

Student Group	Cohort Totals	Cohort Percent
All Students		
African American		
American Indian or Alaska Native		
Asian		
Filipino		
Hispanic		
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		
White		
Two or More Races		
English Learners		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged		
Students with Disabilities		
Foster Youth		
Homeless		

* This table shows students in the combined graduation rate and/or DASS graduation rate by student group who earned the State Seal of Biliteracy.

Conclusions based on this data:

1. n/a
2. n/a
3. n/a

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Engagement Chronic Absenteeism


Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:











This section provides number of student groups in each color.



This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 8 who are absent 10 percent or more of the instructional days they were enrolled.

2019 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism for All Students/Student Group		
<p>All Students</p> Orange 11.8 Increased +0.6 450	<p>English Learners</p> Green 6.5 Declined -2.4 138	<p>Foster Youth</p> No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 5
<p>Homeless</p> No Performance Color 20 Declined -18.9 20	<p>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</p> Orange 13 Increased +1.7 368	<p>Students with Disabilities</p> Yellow 10.3 Declined -0.6 68

2019 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity

African American	American Indian	Asian	Filipino
 Orange 18.1 Increased +2.8 72	 No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 1	 No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 4	 No Performance Color 9.1 Increased +9.1 11
Hispanic	Two or More Races	Pacific Islander	White
 Orange 10.4 Maintained -0.3 318	 No Performance Color 30.8 Increased +17.4 13	 No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 0	 Green 6.5 Declined -2.4 31

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Students in the lower grades have many absences due to illness and lack of knowledge regarding the Education Code around School attendance. Annually we disseminate this information at every opportunity.
2. We saw an increase in our AA students who were chronically absent to 18 students. Many of these chronic students have many over 30 minute tardies. We addressed these matters in SART and SST meetings and saw that data improve this year current year.
3. If we can engage students and parents, we will see a decrease in the chronic absent students.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Engagement Graduation Rate Additional Report

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021.

2021 Graduation Rate by Student Group				
Student Group	Number of Students in the Graduation Rate	Number of Graduates	Number of Fifth Year Graduates	Graduation Rate
All Students				
English Learners				
Foster Youth				
Homeless				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged				
Students with Disabilities				
African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic				
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White				
Two or More Races				

Conclusions based on this data:

1. n/a
2. n/a
3. n/a

School and Student Performance Data

Conditions & Climate Suspension Rate


Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.







The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:










This section provides number of student groups in each color.



This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 12 who have been suspended at least once in a given school year. Students who are suspended multiple times are only counted once.

2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate for All Students/Student Group		
<p>All Students</p>  Orange 1.1 Increased +0.5 458	<p>English Learners</p>  Blue 0 Declined -0.7 138	<p>Foster Youth</p>  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not 5
<p>Homeless</p>  No Performance Color 0 Maintained 0 22	<p>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</p>  Orange 1.3 Increased +0.6 375	<p>Students with Disabilities</p>  Yellow 2.9 Maintained -0.2 69

2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate by Race/Ethnicity

African American	American Indian	Asian	Filipino
 Orange 5.3 Increased +3.1 75	 No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data 1	 No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data 4	 No Performance Color 0 Maintained 0 11
Hispanic	Two or More Races	Pacific Islander	White
 Blue 0.3 Maintained 0 321	 No Performance Color 0 Maintained 0 13	(Empty)	 Blue 0 Maintained 0 33

This section provides a view of the percentage of students who were suspended.

2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate by Year

2017	2018	2019
	0.6	1.1

Conclusions based on this data:

1. We had a .5% increase last year in suspensions taking us into the orange range, however there were only 3 suspensions of 2 students total. There were 5 in school suspensions. The previous year we had 5 formal suspensions and 1 in school.
2. The small numbers are not giving a good indicator of actual school climate. Office referrals might be a better indicator.
3. There is a disproportionate number of African American students suspended compared to the other ethnic groups.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

LCAP Goal # 1

Students will demonstrate grade-level knowledge in all core subjects and graduate in four years, in line with the PUSD Graduate Profile. All students, English Learners, Foster Youth, students eligible for Free/Reduced Meal Program and others who have been less academically successful in the past, will have access to a robust course selection and will show academic achievement.

Goal 1

42% of students in grades kinder-5th will be at grade level on the diagnostic 2 district benchmark assessment in January and 50% will be at grade level by June of 2022.

Identified Need

Only 35% of students are scoring at the grade level band on the Diagnostic 2 and 42% are in the Tier 2 category, 23% are at promise. Students are making progress from the First diagnostic, but the growth needs to be more significant.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
iReady Diagnostic 2 and 3	iReadyD1-2020- 16% at grade level iReadyD2-2021-35% at grade level	42% at grade level on iReady D2/ and 50% on iReady D3

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Strategy/Activity 1

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students in kindergarten through 5th grade

Strategy/Activity

Teachers will provide targeted instruction that includes time for practice and problem solving with teacher feedback and opportunities to revise.

- PD on what mini lessons look like in math with routines and time for practice and targeted feedback
- Teachers will focus on mathematical practices 6-8 to help students master SBAC Math Claim 1.

Mathematical Practices-

6) Attend to precision- students will show work and check answers

7) Look for and make use of structure (finding patterns in math, discussing during discourse)

8) Look for and express regularity in repeated reasoning (written and oral explanations)

grade level release time to backward map instruction and plan assessments; teachers will begin by looking at the quiz and picking a problem or 2 to work out and solve problems in different ways to anticipate students' needs

A Monday PDs on to support collaboration, communication and cognitive engagement: teachers will work on engaging their students and facilitating classroom interactions where students are working through challenging problems and sharing the different ways to solve problems

The teacher will serve as the facilitator to assist students in making meaning of math and developing strategies for solving. The teacher will facilitate academic language that compliments students' strategies and problem solving while providing feedback on students attempts.

Teacher will use diagnostic tools in iReady math to help pinpoint areas of need for each student

Math tasks will activate students prior knowledge and lived experiences, feel familiar and authentic to students, and intentionally provide "hooks" that support learning with coherence and connections (i.e. using students names, interests and familiar topics)

Math tasks will focus on deep and meaningful math content, promote prior learning and recognition of new math relationships, and provoke cognitive demand that engages students in reasoning, sense making and problem solving (challenging, multi-step word problems that mirror real world problems and require students to make sense. For example- can the problem have a remainder? Why or Why not?)

Math tasks will allow multiple pathways for entry and exploration and or exit, invite multiple forms of representation, and support the same learning goals for all students (teacher will showcase and validate multiple ways to solve problems)

Teachers will use the "Try-Discuss-Connect" Routine in ready math to encourage discourse, collaboration, and critical thinking

Teachers will give students opportunity to retake tests when students score below 75%

Teachers will have data chats with students in grades 3-5 after diagnostic 1 and 2 to set goals.

Teacher will incorporate math facts warm up for the first trimester

Teachers will be mindful of skill regression from school closure. Daily math instruction will be a minimum of 75 minutes daily.

Students will be encouraged to do iReady daily to practice skills and their instructional level.

Parents will be given strategies to help students with math, namely the importance of regular practice.

Teachers will create small intervention groups for students who need to master math foundational skills & math fluency. This group will focus on Mathematical Practices 1-5.

Teacher will allow for more Math tool experience (manipulatives)

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
9,200	Title I

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2020-21

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

PD was focused on how to facilitate meaningful instruction remotely. Due to the pandemic, many strategies were not implemented. The Intervention teacher was able to work with 40 students in math for half of the year. The students who attended the interventions twice weekly should excellent growth between the first and 2nd diagnostics. Grade level release time happened during A Monday on 2 occasions, however we did not have a grade level release day.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

We had 1 round of data chats with the teachers, but we focused on ELA at that time.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

We will be again looking at the diagnostic 2 as an indicator since we will not have any SBAC scores to look at. We will also be focusing on the delivery of the lesson and how we can improve opportunities for practice and feedback for our students in order to improve. The changes can be seen in both the metrics and outcomes as well as the strategies. Also, due to the school closures, we will have to look closely at pacing and identify prerequisites that students might not have due to the pandemic and school closures. Students should continue to work on iReady during the summer to strengthen skills.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

LCAP Goal # 1

Students will demonstrate grade-level knowledge in all core subjects and graduate in four years, in line with the PUSD Graduate Profile. All students, English Learners, Foster Youth, students eligible for Free/Reduced Meal Program and others who have been less academically successful in the past, will have access to a robust course selection and will show academic achievement.

Goal 2

If we improve targeted and strategic reading instruction for all students through mini-lessons, strategy groups and reading conferences and give students opportunities for practice and teacher feedback then students achievement will improve as measured by an increase on Diagnostic 2 iReady Reading to 47% at grade level by January 2022 as measured by the District diagnostic 2 assessment and to 55% by Diagnostic 3 in June 2022

Identified Need

Currently only 41% of our students in kinder through 5th grades are at grade level or above on Diagnostic 2 of the iReady Reading assessment. Students need more opportunities to practice skills taught in reading with adult feedback in order to become fluent readers who comprehend what they are reading. Less than half of our students our reading at grade level at this time.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
Diagnostic 2 and 3 iReady Reading assessment	40% reading at grade level on 2021 Diagnostic 2 iReady Reading Assessment	47% at grade level on 2021 Diagnostic 2-iReady Reading assessment and 55% on end of year iReady Reading Diagnostic 3

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Strategy/Activity 1

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All student in grades k-5

Strategy/Activity

In small guided strategy groups, teachers will model and then guide students through retelling of a story and have them practice using books at their instructional level.

Teachers will have grade level data team meetings 3 times a year to identify trends in data and skills that need to be retaught or reinforced.

Professional Development will focus on strategic mini lessons to help teachers teach reading strategies to students in a whole group

Professional development on strategy groups and how to identify students needs through assessment and observation and design lesson for small group and individual conferences

Teachers will integrate the same strategy use for ELA when they are teaching informational text in Science and Social Studies.

Teachers will help students use the skills practiced during guided/strategy reading groups and taught in mini lessons during their independent reading time by conferencing with them and giving specific modeling and directives of what they should look for when reading and providing sticky notes to make notations.

Phonics and fluency mini lessons will occur for students in grades K, 1-2 daily. 1st and 2nd grade will have phonics training.

Instructional read alouds will focus on a skill that can be replicated in strategy groups and independent reading.

Gold slip raffle for books weekly to create culture of reading

Kinder and TK teachers will encourage parents to sign up for Raising a Reader program.

Reading Partners will help students who are reading below grade level

Parent Training to teach skills to help students in the classroom and at home

Scholastic News will be used to identify main idea and cite evidence in non fiction text.

Bimonthly library visits to expose students to new books and encourage reading

Instructional coach will assist teachers with instruction, routines, procedure and assessment to improve classroom instruction

Teachers will help students build stamina to read and write for extended periods of time

Teachers will create a classroom culture that facilitates the love of reading and excitement about books

Teachers will read aloud to students daily for enjoyment

6 hour instructional aide in kinder to assist with developing routines

Teachers will be instructed on reciprocal reading, vocabulary strategies and other collaborative structures to help with comprehension

Students will use Raz kids to help them practice reading at their instructional level daily

Raz kids will be used to assist students with independent reading at their independent level

Teacher will administer Running Records, as needed, based on observation of student's performance

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
36,000	Title I
8,120	Title I
10,000	Unrestricted
45,696	Title I

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2020-21

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Due to the the COVID-19 School closure, balanced literacy was not implemented as it would be in the classroom. However we made adjustments and taught mini lessons. We were not able to do Book Raffles or Raising a Reader with Kindergarten. The Library coordinator worked with small groups of students in 2nd and 3rd grade and focused on vocabulary and comprehension. Professional Development in mini-lessons was done several times. The intervention teacher worked with 40 students in 4th and 5th grades. Students who attended the intervention made noted progress form Diagnostic 1 to Diagnostic 2. Teacher's encouraged independent reading through the use of Raz kids. The Instructional Aide worked with all kinder classes and meet with small groups throughout the day to work on foundational skills like letters and sounds. More refinement and coaching needs to be done to improve the delivery and frequency of strategy groups and reading conferences. We will continue to work on refinement and as well as the fidelity of routines and procedures for all classrooms once we are back to 100% in person teaching. Reading Partners was able to meet with students virtually throughout the year.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Due to the Covid-19 School Closure, we were not able to complete professional development or data analysis cycles. The library coordinator read to class rooms but was unable to facilitate library visits. We did not do a parent training.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

This coming year we will need to work particularly hard at developing routines and procedures for good reading behaviors and developing stamina in reading. We will emphasize the need for students to have targeted practice with teacher feedback. We will be working on refining our mini lessons and are teaching of skills and strategies. Our small groups will be focused on targeted strategies rather than just guided reading. We will continue to pay for Reading Partners. The strategies are listed above

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

LCAP Goal # 1

Students will demonstrate grade-level knowledge in all core subjects and graduate in four years, in line with the PUSD Graduate Profile. All students, English Learners, Foster Youth, students eligible for Free/Reduced Meal Program and others who have been less academically successful in the past, will have access to a robust course selection and will show academic achievement.

Goal 3

44% of our African American students in grades k-5 will be reading at grade level on the Diagnostic 2 assessment in January 2022
 33% of the ELs in grade k-5 will be reading at grade level on the Diagnostic 2 ELA assessment in January 2022 In addition, 65% of ELs will move one level on the ELPAC as measured by the 2022 ELPAC.

Identified Need

In grades k-5, on the the ELA Diagnostic 2 Benchmark only 26% of EL students were reading at grade level and only 37% of African American students. Students need more targeted support with vocabulary and comprehension and opportunities to practice skills with adult feedback.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
ELA iReady Diagnostic 2	37% of AA students reading at grade level and 26% of ELs	44% of AA students reading at grade level/ 33% ELs reading at grade level by the Diagnostic 2 benchmark assessment in January 2022 and 50% of AA students and 40% of ELs by the Diagnostic 3 in May 2022.

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Strategy/Activity 1

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

African American students

Strategy/Activity

Reading Partners for students in k-4th who are below grade level in reading

AA students who are reading below grade level will have an SST/parent conference

Cooperative group structures in the classroom, brain breaks, and brain friendly teaching.

Proactive Classroom Management strategies, and teacher understanding of trauma informed care to give students space when needed.

Strategies to build stamina and perseverance like goal setting, frequent practice, and teacher feedback will be given often. In addition videos about creating a Growth Mindset will be shown to encourage students.

Teachers will use graphic organizers, sentence stems, and linguistic patterns to help students understand structures like cause and effect and author's purpose.

Brain Pop to engage and reinforce concepts with multi media

Family Fridays to help teach parents strategies to help students with ELA and math

Instructional Coach will help assist teachers with instruction, procedures and routines that are meaningful to all students.

teacher will teach reading strategy mini-lessons to the whole class and then reinforce during individual reading conferences with AA students

- teachers will make sure students are reading books at their independent reading level
- teachers will teach students how to respond to a text stating a claim and using evidence-
- Create safe and trusting environments that respect students' culture
- validate students' knowledge on any topic
- When introducing a task: Modeling (checklist/rubric, anchor chart)), Making Connections using prior knowledge, Public share (exit ticket, posted work, shared in front of class), Give Purpose and relevance
- Teachers will have students take interest surveys to help make connections and get engagement
- Teachers will have Data Chats with students in grades 3-5 to go over goals after benchmark assessments
- hourly teacher to help provide interventions to those in need
- use of Mentoring minds for 3rd grade student to assist with comprehension and vocabulary
- The use of Thrively to assist student with social emotional lessons and identifying career interests

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

30,000

Title I

Strategy/Activity 2

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

English Learners

Strategy/Activity

Planning and data analysis time for teachers to discuss data and plan instruction for continuous improvement

Parent meetings with 4th and 5th grade students that are still ELs

The use of scaffolds like sentence stems, visuals, and other SADIE strategies during instruction and used with fidelity. Also the use of Kagan structures to allow multiple opportunities for structured academic language practice.

Small group instruction on specific reading comprehension and writing skills to ensure mastery at least 2x a week.

Reading Partners to assist EL students reading below grade level

- Parent outreach from the community assistant
- Parent training by the Instructional Coach on helpful strategies to use at home
- The use of Scholastic News to help teach informational text
- Starfall subscription for English Learners in k-2
- Teachers will provide opportunities for students to build and apply vocabulary skills daily and use the Frayer Model for vocabulary
- Instructional Coach will meet with Newcomers 2x a week for 45 minutes to do ELD
- Community Assistant will translate all documents and parent meetings
- Teachers will make sure they have students ELPAC scores when they are doing guided reading groups to keep individual needs in mind.
- Intervention 2x a week to work with EL students on vocabulary and comprehension strategies during the school day

- Data chats with ELs in 3-5th grade to go over assessment results and goals
- Use successful improvement Test Strategies with ELs from Cal Ed Partners: Reciprocal Reading, Frayer Model, Think-Pair-Share
- bilingual aide for kinder to assist with routines and procedures as well as language.

use of Mentoring minds for 3rd grade student to assist with comprehension and vocabulary

The use of Thrively to assist student with social emotional lessons and identifying career interests

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
48,000	LCFF - Supplemental and Concentration (S/C)
7,550	Title I
1010	Title I
4560	Title I

Strategy/Activity 3

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

Strategy/Activity

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
	None Specified

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2020-21

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Attendance was a major obstacle to implementation of strategies. We had an average daily attendance rate of only 86/7%. This had an affect on students missing out on interventions. African American Students made up 21% of the students enrolled in Reading Partners and ELs made up 62% of students enrolled. Black and EL students in 2nd grade received intervention 4 times weekly with the intervention teacher. EL students in 4th and 5th grade worked with an instructional aide twice a week and after school from January through April 2 times weekly on vocabulary and comprehension. all teachers used Scholastic News and Storyworks to teach literacy and informational text standards. Teachers did data analysis and identified needs of ELs and Black students in order to scaffold instruction. Notice and Wondering Charts and Vocabulary TIP charts were done to access students prior knowledge, build schema, and provide resources. The Community Assistant translated all materials and provided resources as much as possible with Covid restrictions. Students used Starfall and Brainpop in the classroom.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Covid restrictions limited the amount of collaborative work that could be done. Third grade decided that mentoring minds would not be beneficial with this group of student sand was not used. Some of the strategies like Frayer Model and Reciprocal Reading were not used and reading conferences were not done with fidelity at all grade levels.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Goal setting meetings will happen 3 times a year with students in 3-5 grades. SART meetings will be held in order to improve student attendance and have access to instruction. Goal for EL and Black students will include an attendance goal. Targeted instruction in the classroom will be documented by teachers.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

LCAP Goal # 3

Goal #3: Students will be in school every day in an environment that is safe, caring, clean, and conducive to learning. All facilities will be in good repair and equipped for 21st-century learning.

Goal 4

Longfellow will increase the daily average attendance to 96% as measured by monthly year to date attendance reports by April 2022.

Identified Need

As of the 7th month attendance report for the 2020-21 school year, we are only averaging 93.68% daily average attendance in the pandemic year. If students are not attending school they will not learn and make progress. Students with poor attendance also have low academic performance. It is imperative that our students who need the instruction and academic support the most are also at school everyday.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
Attendance reports	93.68% daily average attendance as of month 7 in 2021	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 96% average by month 7 in 2022 decrease in Chronically absent students by 1% from 2019

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Strategy/Activity 1

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

Chronically truant students and all students

Strategy/Activity

- free recess for classes that have the highest attendance at each grade level
- SART and SARB meetings for students that are chronically truant
- SST meetings for Chronically absent students 3x during the year
- Nurse will communicate and assist parents who report medical conditions are keeping students out of school
- Students who are chronically absent will be given attractive classroom jobs to encourage attendance

- Mondays (worst attendance day) will be called Marvelous Mondays and include a fun activity for all students
- Attendance clerk will call parents to verify absences
- At the beginning of the year, the TK and kinder students will send home information regarding attendance and will present about attendance at Back to School Night
- Community Assistant will assist in meeting the needs of Chronically absent families
- CWAS Interns will assist with reaching out to families to find out why they are having attendance issues
- Student Support Coordinator from the Community Schools Grant to assist parents in setting up routines for coming to school and monitor student attendance
- Perfect attendance recognized at SOTM & given homework pass.
- Classroom rewards given (ex. stars/dots on star cards)
- Brag tags for backpack or necklace

Build Stamina for chronic absentee students by recognizing them every day for a week, then build to 2,3, 4.

- Communication to family by classroom teacher

Teachers Send a quick notice to parents letting them know that their child has not yet joined class and to please

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
0	None Specified

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2020-21

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Due to the pandemic, our number of chronically absent students increased. There was a great disparity among students who had help and support to access learning on line and those who did not. Those who did not have help and support or had chaotic homes did not attend school regularly. We worked with the CWAS interns to call families and try and provide resources and help when possible to improve attendance. In addition the community assistant did home visits and

called parents regularly to try and provide support. Teachers reached out to families through phone calls, emails and other messaging services to try and connect as well.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

no budget identified. Community Assistant cost is already incurred. the Student Support Coordinator will be paid by the Community Schools Grant

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

For 2021-22 we will have the Community Assistant continue to assist with providing resources to families who are chronically absent. We will also aim to have 3 individual meetings (SSTs) with parents a month to help improve the number of chronically absent students. We are also adding a Student Support Coordinator through the Community Schools Grant.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

LCAP Goal # 3

Goal #3: Students will be in school every day in an environment that is safe, caring, clean, and conducive to learning. All facilities will be in good repair and equipped for 21st-century learning.

Goal 5

We will implement a Trauma Informed Care approach to teaching and dealing with challenging behaviors in order to see a decrease in office behavior referrals from 48 (2019) to 30 as measured by Aeries discipline report in April 2022.

Identified Need

In the 2019-20 school year we had 48 recorded office visit incidents, of which 7 were suspensions and 39 were documented as a discipline notes. 5 of the suspensions and 29 of the discipline incidents belong to 1 student. We want to make sure we are implementing Tier 2 interventions to reduce incidents and keep students in the classroom. This is an increase from the year before due to the change in procedure in documentation. In addition, many students have experience a lot of trauma due to the pandemic.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
Aeries discipline records	48 office visits, (2019-20)	30 office visits by March 2022

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Strategy/Activity 1

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students

Strategy/Activity

Behavior Aide to help monitor students on the playground and provide classroom support when needed

Review of Tier I Behavior RtI strategies with teachers since we have 11 new teachers

Recognize students who are making improvements and demonstrating rule following behaviors

Identify staff who are available to help mentor students and provide "Check In/ Check Out" services

with students

Implement Brain Breaks and Kagan strategies to increase student engagement and productivity and reduce any possible behavior issues

Meet with noon aides and go over school wide rules and positive behavior system, as well as expectations for students lining up, walking to the cafeteria and eating in the cafeteria.

Incentive system in cafeteria for rule following behavior (caught be good slips)

continue to teach PE with fidelity

new teachers and staff have an understanding of trauma informed care

Time out room away from the office to help students have a place to deescalate. (Behavior Assistant)

additional noon aides to supervise students on the playground and in the cafeteria at lunch

Weekly SEL lessons the first 3 months of school

Refer students for counseling who have more than 2 behavior incidents

Practice classroom and school wide community building activities (lunchtime art, staff eating lunch with students on rotating basis, once a month themes for lunchtime, etc.)

- Form a Trauma Informed Care Team that meets 5 times a year (every other month) to discuss students of concern
- Create a Calm space where students can calm down
- Begin the school year with a TIC PD
- Have welcome events for new students and families
- Provide parent education on Trauma Informed Care, Parenting , Attendance and setting expectations at home.
- Student Support Coordinator to assist parents in attending meaningful trainings to help students
- Mindfulness training for staff and students (Young and Healthy)

Classroom meeting at start and end of day to build culture and contentedness: training for teachers for activities at beginning of day

- example to help control behavior like focusing on a behavior goal for the day

Centered mindful breathing:

Right hand on heart

Left hand on tummy

Breathe in and out 5 times

Calm classroom strategies book

Teaching a mindfulness strategy each week for teachers to teach kids

Yoga training for teachers

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

26,754

Source(s)

LCFF - Supplemental and Concentration (S/C)

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2020-21

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

We were in remote learning from March 2020 and then came back to a hybrid model in April 2021 so the behavior needs were different. Students needed help engaging and logging into class meetings. Teachers, Admin, and school staff spent much time communicating with parents in order to help students. They sent emails, made phone calls and sent texts. In addition we had close to 70 SST meetings. Teachers met with parents countless times to explain the assignments and technology and home visits were also made. 42 referrals for Mental Health Services were made.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

We only used 14,000 of the money on the PR for the behavior assistant.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

As many of our students experienced trauma during this time, we will continue to learn about and practice Trauma Informed Care. We will work on implementing strategies to help students regulate and be in school and feel safe. In addition we will teach mindfulness and continue to teach Social Emotional Learning lessons with Second Step.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

LCAP Goal # 4

Goal #4: Parents and guardians feel welcomed at their school, have sufficient two-way communication with their school and are provided with knowledge and skills to successfully support and advocate for their child.

Goal 6

For the 2021-2022 School year, create an inclusive school community for students and families from the consolidated school while still meeting the needs of the continuing families as measured by participation at parent meetings and school events and an end of the year school climate survey with a 75% satisfaction rating.

Identified Need

Longfellow has absorbed 230 new students from the consolidated schools. Much of the student and staff population of Jefferson Elementary school due to the school consolidation. Many parents have anxiety about the change. In addition many students have been out of school for over a year due to the pandemic. We need to make sure staff is available and welcoming to new parents . If parents are happy and feel supported students will thrive.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
School climate survey	2021 unknown due to school closure	70% parent participation at meetings and 75% satisfaction on survey in May of 2022

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Strategy/Activity 1

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students

Strategy/Activity

Provide refreshments at meetings

Provide translation in classes with high population of Spanish speakers

Provide teachers planning time to come up with good strategies to teach parents at Family Fridays and gather materials (4x a year)

Provide a take home for parents so they can use strategy at home

Align parent topics with goals for ELA and math in the school plan

The community assistant will provide all translations at meetings and print that goes home

The community assistant will help facilitate and encourage parent involvement through direct interactions with parents

Students will make invitations for parents to come to events

Students will perform at events

teachers will reach out to parents to make personal connections to invite them to events

Community assistant will assist with getting hard to reach parents come to events

Book give-aways and other incentives to encourage parents to attend

Parent education classes

Parent Education on the benefits of reading nightly in PTA and ELAC meetings

Parent Compact and Parent Participation Policy distributed to all families and reviewed at ELAC and PTA meetings.

Family Reading Night/Book Fair Night

Parent Volunteer trainings to train parents in specific strategies to help students.

Informational meetings on Balanced literacy and social emotional health

QR Codes to keep track of attendance at meetings

Student Support Coordinator will use targeted strategies for outreach to Longfellow families

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

2575

Title I Part A: Parent Involvement

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2020-21

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

During the pandemic we did not have a lot of success with group meetings like PTA and ELAC. We had some success when we had a special guest or talked about the return to school. Individual parent conferences were the most successful. We made many attempt to contact and engage parents so they could help their students.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

We could not do any in person meetings or events due to the pandemic.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

This year we will attempt to have students perform as a hook to get parents to attend. Students could perform a poem at Back to School Night or act out a play. Band or Orchestra students could perform before Back to School Night, We will also continue with our parent education, especially in the area of reading to your children and attendance. For the coming year, the teachers will have a Google Sheet of their class with Open House, Back To School Night , Parent Conference and other for them to mark if parents have attended. We can then check to see if it correlates to students academic achievement.I would like us to be able to create a way for parents to check into meetings with their phone by scanning a bar code, or for teachers to take attendance of who was there on a Google Form.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

LCAP Goal # 1

Goal #1: Students will demonstrate grade-level knowledge in all core subjects and graduate in four years, in line with the PUSD Graduate Profile. All students, English Learners, Foster Youth, students eligible for Free/Reduced Meal Program and others who have been less academically successful in the past, will have access to a robust course selection and will show academic achievement.

Goal 7

All classes in grades k-5 will teach science at least once a week as measured by observations, and average of 75% passing on monthly tests and quizzes. 5th grade CAST 2022 Science Sores will increase by 9% to 25% meet or exceeded standard.

Identified Need

Only 16% of 5th grade students met or exceeded standard on the 2019 CAST assessment (Science SBAC). In addition, we did not have regular assessments for science to monitor student progress.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
observations/ Stemscope and Nearpod assessments/ CAST 2022	CAST 2019 16% of 5th grade students scored at or above standard	Increased content knowledge in science, 75% average on monthly assessments, increase 5th grade CAST 25%

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Strategy/Activity 1

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students

Strategy/Activity

Teachers will use science resources to teach language arts.

Students will have key standards and enduring understandings that will be measured by performance tasks in science.

Teachers will have time each trimester to develop assessments during A Monday meetings.

Field trips aligned to the NGSS will be taken to help students gain a conceptual understanding (k-5)

Multimedia will be used to help students remember key concepts

Include academic language terms that are being used in monthly class newsletter.

Teachers will have release time to plan for science using Stemsscopes and other materials

- Teachers will develop assessments (Freckle or Stemsscopes) to assess student progress
- Teachers will teach the Engineering and Design standards and have student projects as evidence
- Teachers will have a weekly time for Science that is shared with the administrator

In Stemsscopes teachers can Integrate articles, worksheets in Canvas

Teachers can use Youtube (Science related videos) to help conceptualize concepts

Teachers can use Brain Pop to help teach concepts

Field Trips to locations that help to expand students learning

National Science Digital Library as a resource for teachers to help plan instruction

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

9,300

Title I

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2020-21

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Teachers used Stemsscopes and Scholastic news heavily in their virtual classrooms. Due to the pandemic hands on science was difficult, but attempted in many classes.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

We did not have release time for planning science nor did we allot time during our PD this year

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

We need to regularly assess science each trimester. Teacher at each grade level will agree upon a end of trimester assessment/project by which to assess students.

Budget Summary

Complete the table below. Schools may include additional information. Adjust the table as needed. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp, and/or that receive funds from the LEA for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI).

Budget Summary

Description	Amount
Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application	\$154,011
Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI	\$0
Total Title I and CSI Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA	\$154,011
Other State/Local Funds provided to the school	\$96,600.00

Other Federal, State, and Local Funds

List the additional Federal programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Adjust the table as needed. If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

Federal Programs Funding Sources	Allocation (\$)
Title I	\$154,011

Subtotal of additional federal funds included for this school: \$154,011

List the State and local programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Duplicate the table as needed.

State or Local Programs Funding Sources	Allocation (\$)
LCFF - Supplemental and Concentration (S/C)	\$96,600.00

Subtotal of state or local funds included for this school: \$96,600

Total of federal, state, and/or local funds available for this school: \$243,089.44

Summary: Budgeted Resources

Funds Budgeted to the School by Funding Source

Funding Source	Amount Budgeted	Balance Remaining
Title I	151436	0.00
LCFF - Supplemental and Concentration (S/C)	96,600.00	21,846.00
Title I Part A: Parent Involvement	2575	0.00

Expenditures by Funding Source

Funding Source	Amount
LCFF - Supplemental and Concentration (S/C)	74,754.00
None Specified	0.00
Title I	151,436.00
Title I Part A: Parent Involvement	2,575.00
Unrestricted	10,000.00

School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

- 1 School Principal
- 3 Classroom Teachers
- 1 Other School Staff
- 5 Parent or Community Members

Name of Members	Role
Erica Ingber	Principal
Carolina Oseguera	Parent or Community Member
Will Page	Parent or Community Member
Pilar Oliva	Parent or Community Member
Adam Chandler	Parent or Community Member
Andre Lawrence	Parent or Community Member
Gina Hernandez	Other School Staff
Patricia Ortiz-Rubalcava	Classroom Teacher
Robin Artin	Classroom Teacher
Steven Owen	Classroom Teacher

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

Recommendations and Assurances

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.

The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.

The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan:

Signature

Committee or Advisory Group Name

English Learner Advisory Committee

The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.

This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.

This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on May 25, 2022.

Attested:



Principal, Erica Ingber on May 13, 2021

SSC Chairperson, Pilar Oliva on May 13, 2021

Instructions

The School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a strategic plan that maximizes the resources available to the school while minimizing duplication of effort with the ultimate goal of increasing student achievement. SPSA development should be aligned with and inform the Local Control and Accountability Plan process.

The SPSA consolidates all school-level planning efforts into one plan for programs funded through the consolidated application (ConApp), and for federal school improvement programs, including schoolwide programs, Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI), and Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI), pursuant to California Education Code (EC) Section 64001 and the Elementary and Secondary Education Act as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). This template is designed to meet schoolwide program planning requirements. It also notes how to meet CSI, TSI, or ATSI requirements, as applicable.

California's ESSA State Plan supports the state's approach to improving student group performance through the utilization of federal resources. Schools use the SPSA to document their approach to maximizing the impact of federal investments in support of underserved students. The implementation of ESSA in California presents an opportunity for schools to innovate with their federally-funded programs and align them with the priority goals of the school and the LEA that are being realized under the state's Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF).

The LCFF provides schools and LEAs flexibility to design programs and provide services that meet the needs of students in order to achieve readiness for college, career, and lifelong learning. The SPSA planning process supports continuous cycles of action, reflection, and improvement. Consistent with EC 65001, the Schoolsite Council (SSC) is required to develop and annually review the SPSA, establish an annual budget, and make modifications to the plan that reflect changing needs and priorities, as applicable.

For questions related to specific sections of the template, please see instructions below:

Instructions: Linked Table of Contents

The SPSA template meets the requirements of schoolwide planning (SWP). Each section also contains a notation of how to meet CSI, TSI, or ATSI requirements.

[Stakeholder Involvement](#)

[Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures](#)

[Planned Strategies/Activities](#)

[Annual Review and Update](#)

[Budget Summary](#)

[Appendix A: Plan Requirements for Title I Schoolwide Programs](#)

[Appendix B: Plan Requirements for Schools to Meet Federal School Improvement Planning Requirements](#)

[Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs](#)

For additional questions or technical assistance related to LEA and school planning, please contact the Local Agency Systems Support Office, at LCFF@cde.ca.gov.

For programmatic or policy questions regarding Title I schoolwide planning, please contact the local educational agency, or the CDE's Title I Policy and Program Guidance Office at TITLEI@cde.ca.gov.

For questions or technical assistance related to meeting federal school improvement planning requirements (for CSI, TSI, and ATSI), please contact the CDE's School Improvement and Support Office at SISO@cde.ca.gov.

Purpose and Description

Schools identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI), or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) must respond to the following prompts. A school that has not been identified for CSI, TSI, or ATSI may delete the Purpose and Description prompts.

Purpose

Briefly describe the purpose of this plan by selecting from Schoolwide Program, Comprehensive Support and Improvement, Targeted Support and Improvement, or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement)

Description

Briefly describe the school's plan for effectively meeting ESSA requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan and other federal, state, and local programs.

Stakeholder Involvement

Meaningful involvement of parents, students, and other stakeholders is critical to the development of the SPSA and the budget process. Schools must share the SPSA with school site-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., English Learner Advisory committee, student advisory groups, tribes and tribal organizations present in the community, as appropriate, etc.) and seek input from these advisory groups in the development of the SPSA.

The Stakeholder Engagement process is an ongoing, annual process. Describe the process used to involve advisory committees, parents, students, school faculty and staff, and the community in the development of the SPSA and the annual review and update.

[This section meets the requirements for TSI and ATSI.]

[When completing this section for CSI, the LEA shall partner with the school in the development and implementation of this plan.]

Resource Inequities

Schools eligible for CSI or ATSI must identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting as a part of the required needs assessment. Identified resource inequities must be addressed through implementation of the CSI or ATSI plan. Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment and summarize how the identified resource inequities are addressed in the SPSA.

[This section meets the requirements for CSI and ATSI. If the school is not identified for CSI or ATSI this section is not applicable and may be deleted.]

Goals, Strategies, Expenditures, & Annual Review

In this section a school provides a description of the annual goals to be achieved by the school. This section also includes descriptions of the specific planned strategies/activities a school will take to meet the identified goals, and a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific strategies and activities.

Goal

State the goal. A goal is a broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed. A goal answers the question: What is the school seeking to achieve?

It can be helpful to use a framework for writing goals such as the S.M.A.R.T. approach. A S.M.A.R.T. goal is one that is **S**pecific, **M**easurable, **A**chievable, **R**ealistic, and **T**ime-bound. A level of specificity is needed in order to measure performance relative to the goal as well as to assess whether it is reasonably achievable. Including time constraints, such as milestone dates, ensures a realistic approach that supports student success.

A school may number the goals using the “Goal #” for ease of reference.

[When completing this section for CSI, TSI, and ATSI, improvement goals shall align to the goals, actions, and services in the LEA LCAP.]

Identified Need

Describe the basis for establishing the goal. The goal should be based upon an analysis of verifiable state data, including local and state indicator data from the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and data from the School Accountability Report Card, including local data voluntarily collected by districts to measure pupil achievement.

[Completing this section fully addresses all relevant federal planning requirements]

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that the school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal. A school may identify metrics for specific student groups. Include in the baseline column the most recent data associated with the metric or indicator available at the time of adoption of the SPSA. The most recent data associated with a metric or indicator includes data reported in the annual update of the SPSA. In the subsequent Expected Outcome column, identify the progress the school intends to make in the coming year.

[When completing this section for CSI the school must include school-level metrics related to the metrics that led to the school’s identification.]

[When completing this section for TSI/ATSI the school must include metrics related to the specific student group(s) that led to the school’s identification.]

Strategies/Activities

Describe the strategies and activities being provided to meet the described goal. A school may number the strategy/activity using the “Strategy/Activity #” for ease of reference.

Planned strategies/activities address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with state priorities and resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of the local educational agency’s budgeting, its local control and accountability plan, and school-level budgeting, if applicable.

[When completing this section for CSI, TSI, and ATSI, this plan shall include evidence-based interventions and align to the goals, actions, and services in the LEA LCAP.]

[When completing this section for CSI and ATSI, this plan shall address through implementation, identified resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting.]

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

Indicate in this box which students will benefit from the strategies/activities by indicating “All Students” or listing one or more specific student group(s) to be served.

[This section meets the requirements for CSI.]

[When completing this section for TSI and ATSI, at a minimum, the student groups to be served shall include the student groups that are consistently underperforming, for which the school received the TSI or ATSI designation. For TSI, a school may focus on all students or the student group(s) that led to identification based on the evidence-based interventions selected.]

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

For each strategy/activity, list the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures for the school year to implement these strategies/activities. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal, identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Proposed expenditures that are included more than once in a SPSA should be indicated as a duplicated expenditure and include a reference to the goal and strategy/activity where the expenditure first appears in the SPSA. Pursuant to Education Code, Section 64001(g)(3)(C), proposed expenditures, based on the projected resource allocation from the governing board or governing body of the LEA, to address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with the state priorities including identifying resource inequities which may include a review of the LEA’s budgeting, its LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable.

[This section meets the requirements for CSI, TSI, and ATSI.]

[NOTE: Federal funds for CSI shall not be used in schools identified for TSI or ATSI. In addition, funds for CSI shall not be used to hire additional permanent staff.]

Annual Review

In the following Analysis prompts, identify any material differences between what was planned and what actually occurred as well as significant changes in strategies/activities and/ or expenditures from the prior year. This annual review and analysis should be the basis for decision-making and updates to the plan.

Analysis

Using actual outcome data, including state indicator data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned strategies/activities were effective in achieving the goal. Respond to the prompts as instructed. Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal the Annual Review section is not required and this section may be deleted.

- Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
- Briefly describe any major differences between either/or the intended implementation or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
- Describe any changes that will be made to the goal, expected annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

[When completing this section for CSI, TSI, or ATSI, any changes made to the goals, annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities, shall meet the CSI, TSI, or ATSI planning requirements. CSI, TSI, and ATSI planning requirements are listed under each section of the Instructions. For example, as a result of the Annual Review and Update, if changes are made to a goal(s), see the Goal section for CSI, TSI, and ATSI planning requirements.]

Budget Summary

In this section a school provides a brief summary of the funding allocated to the school through the ConApp and/or other funding sources as well as the total amount of funds for proposed expenditures described in the SPSA. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp and that receive federal funds for CSI. If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

From its total allocation for CSI, the LEA may distribute funds across its schools that meet the criteria for CSI to support implementation of this plan. In addition, the LEA may retain a portion of its total allocation to support LEA-level expenditures that are directly related to serving schools eligible for CSI.

Budget Summary

A school receiving funds allocated through the ConApp should complete the Budget Summary as follows:

- Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application: This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school through the ConApp for the school year. The school year means the fiscal year for which a SPSA is adopted or updated.
- Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA: This amount is the total of the proposed expenditures from all sources of funds associated with the strategies/activities reflected in the SPSA. To the extent strategies/activities and/or proposed expenditures are listed in the SPSA under more than one goal, the expenditures should be counted only once.

A school receiving federal funds for CSI should complete the Budget Summary as follows:

- Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI: This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school from the LEA.

[NOTE: Federal funds for CSI shall not be used in schools eligible for TSI or ATSI. In addition, funds for CSI shall not be used to hire additional permanent staff.]

Appendix A: Plan Requirements

Schoolwide Program Requirements

This School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) template meets the requirements of a schoolwide program plan. The requirements below are for planning reference.

A school that operates a schoolwide program and receives funds allocated through the ConApp is required to develop a SPSA. The SPSA, including proposed expenditures of funds allocated to the school through the ConApp, must be reviewed annually and updated by the SSC. The content of a SPSA must be aligned with school goals for improving student achievement.

Requirements for Development of the Plan

- I. The development of the SPSA shall include both of the following actions:
 - A. Administration of a comprehensive needs assessment that forms the basis of the school's goals contained in the SPSA.
 1. The comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school shall:
 - a. Include an analysis of verifiable state data, consistent with all state priorities as noted in Sections 52060 and 52066, and informed by all indicators described in Section 1111(c)(4)(B) of the federal Every Student Succeeds Act, including pupil performance against state-determined long-term goals. The school may include data voluntarily developed by districts to measure pupil outcomes (described in the Identified Need); and
 - b. Be based on academic achievement information about all students in the school, including all groups under §200.13(b)(7) and migratory children as defined in section 1309(2) of the ESEA, relative to the State's academic standards under §200.1 to—
 - i. Help the school understand the subjects and skills for which teaching and learning need to be improved; and
 - ii. Identify the specific academic needs of students and groups of students who are not yet achieving the State's academic standards; and
 - iii. Assess the needs of the school relative to each of the components of the schoolwide program under §200.28.
 - iv. Develop the comprehensive needs assessment with the participation of individuals who will carry out the schoolwide program plan.
 - v. Document how it conducted the needs assessment, the results it obtained, and the conclusions it drew from those results.
 - B. Identification of the process for evaluating and monitoring the implementation of the SPSA and progress towards accomplishing the goals set forth in the SPSA (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and Annual Review and Update).

Requirements for the Plan

- II. The SPSA shall include the following:
 - A. Goals set to improve pupil outcomes, including addressing the needs of student groups as identified through the needs assessment.

- B. Evidence-based strategies, actions, or services (described in Strategies and Activities)
1. A description of the strategies that the school will be implementing to address school needs, including a description of how such strategies will--
 - a. provide opportunities for all children including each of the subgroups of students to meet the challenging state academic standards
 - b. use methods and instructional strategies that:
 - i. strengthen the academic program in the school,
 - ii. increase the amount and quality of learning time, and
 - iii. provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum, which may include programs, activities, and courses necessary to provide a well-rounded education.
 - c. Address the needs of all children in the school, but particularly the needs of those at risk of not meeting the challenging State academic standards, so that all students demonstrate at least proficiency on the State's academic standards through activities which may include:
 - i. strategies to improve students' skills outside the academic subject areas;
 - ii. preparation for and awareness of opportunities for postsecondary education and the workforce;
 - iii. implementation of a schoolwide tiered model to prevent and address problem behavior;
 - iv. professional development and other activities for teachers, paraprofessionals, and other school personnel to improve instruction and use of data; and
 - v. strategies for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs.
- C. Proposed expenditures, based on the projected resource allocation from the governing board or body of the local educational agency (may include funds allocated via the ConApp, federal funds for CSI, any other state or local funds allocated to the school), to address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with the state priorities, including identifying resource inequities, which may include a review of the LEAs budgeting, it's LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable (described in Proposed Expenditures and Budget Summary). Employees of the schoolwide program may be deemed funded by a single cost objective.
- D. A description of how the school will determine if school needs have been met (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and the Annual Review and Update).
1. Annually evaluate the implementation of, and results achieved by, the schoolwide program, using data from the State's annual assessments and other indicators of academic achievement;
 2. Determine whether the schoolwide program has been effective in increasing the achievement of students in meeting the State's academic standards, particularly for those students who had been furthest from achieving the standards; and
 3. Revise the plan, as necessary, based on the results of the evaluation, to ensure continuous improvement of students in the schoolwide program.

- E. A description of how the school will ensure parental involvement in the planning, review, and improvement of the schoolwide program plan (described in Stakeholder Involvement and/or Strategies/Activities).
- F. A description of the activities the school will include to ensure that students who experience difficulty attaining proficient or advanced levels of academic achievement standards will be provided with effective, timely additional support, including measures to
 - 1. Ensure that those students' difficulties are identified on a timely basis; and
 - 2. Provide sufficient information on which to base effective assistance to those students.
- G. For an elementary school, a description of how the school will assist preschool students in the successful transition from early childhood programs to the school.
- H. A description of how the school will use resources to carry out these components (described in the Proposed Expenditures for Strategies/Activities).
- I. A description of any other activities and objectives as established by the SSC (described in the Strategies/Activities).

Authority Cited: S Title 34 of the Code of Federal Regulations (34 CFR), sections 200.25-26, and 200.29, and sections-1114(b)(7)(A)(i)-(iii) and 1118(b) of the ESEA. EC sections 6400 et. seq.

Appendix B:

Plan Requirements for School to Meet Federal School Improvement Planning Requirements

For questions or technical assistance related to meeting Federal School Improvement Planning Requirements, please contact the CDE's School Improvement and Support Office at SISO@cde.ca.gov.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

The LEA shall partner with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers, and parents) to locally develop and implement the CSI plan for the school to improve student outcomes, and specifically address the metrics that led to eligibility for CSI (Stakeholder Involvement).

The CSI plan shall:

1. Be informed by all state indicators, including student performance against state-determined long-term goals (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable);
2. Include evidence-based interventions (Strategies/Activities, Annual Review and Update, as applicable) (For resources related to evidence-based interventions, see the U.S. Department of Education's "Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments" at <https://www2.ed.gov/policy/elsec/leg/essa/guidanceuseseseinvestment.pdf>);
3. Be based on a school-level needs assessment (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable); and
4. Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, to be addressed through implementation of the CSI plan (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities; and Annual Review and Update, as applicable).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(A), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(1) of the ESSA.

Targeted Support and Improvement

In partnership with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers, and parents) the school shall develop and implement a school-level TSI plan to improve student outcomes for each subgroup of students that was the subject of identification (Stakeholder Involvement).

The TSI plan shall:

1. Be informed by all state indicators, including student performance against state-determined long-term goals (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable); and
2. Include evidence-based interventions (Planned Strategies/Activities, Annual Review and Update, as applicable). (For resources related to evidence-based interventions, see the U.S. Department of Education's "Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments" <https://www2.ed.gov/policy/elsec/leg/essa/guidanceuseseseinvestment.pdf>.)

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(B), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B) and 1111(d)(2) of the ESSA.

Additional Targeted Support and Improvement

A school identified for ATSI shall:

1. Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, which will be addressed through implementation of its TSI plan (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities, and Annual Review and Update, as applicable).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(B), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(2)(c) of the ESSA.

Single School Districts and Charter Schools Identified for School Improvement

Single school districts (SSDs) or charter schools that are identified for CSI, TSI, or ATSI, shall develop a SPSA that addresses the applicable requirements above as a condition of receiving funds (EC Section 64001[a] as amended by Assembly Bill [AB] 716, effective January 1, 2019).

However, a SSD or a charter school may streamline the process by combining state and federal requirements into one document which may include the local control and accountability plan (LCAP) and all federal planning requirements, provided that the combined plan is able to demonstrate that the legal requirements for each of the plans is met (EC Section 52062[a] as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019).

Planning requirements for single school districts and charter schools choosing to exercise this option are available in the LCAP Instructions.

Authority Cited: EC sections 52062(a) and 64001(a), both as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019.

Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs

For a list of active programs, please see the following links:

Programs included on the Consolidated Application: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/co/>

ESSA Title I, Part A: School Improvement: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/schoolsupport.asp>

Available Funding: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/fo/af/>

Developed by the California Department of Education, January 2019



PASADENA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Title I - Other Authorized Activities Reservations

Our Children. Learning Today. Leading Tomorrow

The following amount of dollars reflects Title I Other Authorized Activities Reservations for District central Office to provide direct services to school sites. This is NOT the Indirect and Administrative Cost (which generally cannot exceed 15%). In 2021-22, PUSD will provide the following direct services to the school sites with Title I Other Authorized Activities Reservations total amount of \$1,700,119.03:

- a. Instructional Coaches and Curriculum (Math, Science, Literacy (Including ELA and History) Coaches/Resource Teachers, paid by the District, providing direct services/supports to the school sites to increase the unduplicated group students' academic achievements;
- b. District Curriculum, Instruction and Professional Development leadership and staff who are providing direct, hands-on services to the school sites;
- c. Supplemental to CDE identified 4 Comprehensive Support and improvement (CSI) schools;
- d. Supplemental to schools with the CDE identified Low Performing Students;
- e. Families in transition/Homeless and support staff;
- f. Parent and Community Engagement;
- g. Multi-Tier System of Support (MTSS) intervention (such as Behavior Intervention Aides assigned to the identified school sites).

Title I guidelines require consultation and input from SSC, not approval.

Your school's share of the Other Authorized Activities Reservation for Direct Services cost is calculated for school year of 2021-22 is as below:

School: Longfellow

Resource Code	Title I	Total District Other Authorized Activities Reservation	# of FRL Student at District	Per Student shared Cost to School	#of FRL Student at School	Total Shared Cost to School
30100	Intervention Activities	\$1,700,119.03	9651	\$176.16	524	\$92,307.84



Attendance Improvement Program

Attendance Improvement Implementation Plan

School: Longfellow	Principal: Erica Ingber
School Number: 038	Counselor: NONE
Date Completed: May 3, 2021	Principal Signature:

Goal: Increase the percentage of students attending at 96% or higher.

2020-21 Current ADA Percentage	2021-22 ADA Short Term Goal	2021-22 ADA Long Term Goal
93.67%	96%	96.5%

Attendance Improvement Plan

GOAL 1: ATTENDANCE & DROPOUT PREVENTION PLAN			
Strategy & Activity Description	Target Audience/s	Frequency Daily/Weekly/Monthly/Yearly	Measure of Success
<i>Students with chronic attendance issues will have parent conferences with administrator, parent and teacher. If attendance does not improve, students will be recommended for SARB.</i>	Students with 25 or more unexcused absences	As needed	<i>Improved attendance for student as measured by Aeries attendance reports</i>



GOAL 2: TEACHING ATTENDANCE			
Strategy & Activity Description	Target Audience/s	Frequency Daily/Weekly/Monthly/Yearly	Measure of Success
<i>Monitor student attendance and help families with 10-20 unexcused absences understand the education code in regards to school attendance in order to improve</i>	Students with 10-20 unexcused absences All students	Parent conferences help monthly Daily phone class made when students are absent without a valid excuse	Improvement in students attendance as measured by Aeries attendance reports

GOAL 3: PREVENTION & INTERVENTION			
Strategy & Activity Description	Target Audience/s	Frequency Daily/Weekly/Monthly/Yearly	Measure of Success
Students with improved attendance and excellent attendance will be recognized at Student of the Month Ceremonies	all students	monthly October-April	Increased monthly attendance averages

2019–2020 School Accountability Report Card

[Translation Disclaimer](#)

School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2019–2020 School Year

California Department of Education

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at <https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

The California School Dashboard (Dashboard) <https://www.caschooldashboard.org/> reflects California's new accountability and continuous improvement system and provides information about how LEAs and schools are meeting the needs of California's diverse student population. The Dashboard contains reports that display the performance of LEAs, schools, and student groups on a set of state and local measures to assist in identifying strengths, challenges, and areas in need of improvement.

Erica Ingber, Administrator

- Principal, Longfellow (Henry W.) Elementary

About Our School

At Longfellow, we believe that it is important to meet the needs of each and every child. We meet the needs of the students through high-interest lessons and Balanced Literacy. This allows the teacher to support all the students learning at their level and all students to access the Common Core Standards at a high level. We also feel that if students are not engaged then they are not learning. The Longfellow staff employs every effort and multiple strategies to make sure all students are engaged, participating and most of all learning. This can be seen in every classroom every day in the intent focus of the students, meaningful assignments, and classroom discussions. All staff members have high expectations for students and it is an expectation that they will be able to apply and transfer learning of the Common Core State Standards. The expert teachers at Longfellow accomplish this through excellent classroom instruction, data analysis, and making sure that they make a personal connection with all students. We see parent involvement as a spectrum and invite parents to participate in any way they feel comfortable. We attribute much of our success to the partnership between parents and staff members. At Longfellow, we feel that all parents and students have something to contribute and we look for avenues to make that possible. Creating a caring and nurturing environment, having the fundamental belief that all students can learn, and developing meaningful partnerships with parents and the community make Longfellow a wonderful place for all children.

Contact

Longfellow (Henry W.) Elementary
1065 East Washington Blvd.
Pasadena, CA 91104-2498

Phone: 626-396-5720

Email: ingber.eric@pusd.us

About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2020–2021)

District Contact Information (School Year 2020–2021)	
District Name	Pasadena Unified
Phone Number	(626) 396-3600

Superintendent	Brian McDonald
Email Address	mcdonald.brian@pusd.us
Website	www.pusd.us

School Contact Information (School Year 2020–2021)

School Name	Longfellow (Henry W.) Elementary
Street	1065 East Washington Blvd.
City, State, Zip	Pasadena, Ca, 91104-2498
Phone Number	626-396-5720
Principal	Erica Ingber, Administrator
Email Address	ingber.eric@pusd.us
Website	http://longfellow.pusd.us
County-District-School (CDS) Code	19648816021679


Last updated: 12/30/2020

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2020–2021)

At Longfellow, all staff members share the core belief that all students can learn. To this end, we employ engaging instruction and strategies to teach students how to persevere through challenging tasks. We facilitate routines that help build stamina for working on assignments as well as how to think critically. Through rigorous instruction with teacher supports and student collaboration, students at Longfellow are able to grow and thrive. Teachers and staff build trusting, respectful relationships that allow students to feel safe taking chances and trying new things. In our Single Plan for Student Achievement our goals focus on students making incremental progress in Reading and Math through targeted strategies. We have a goal to increase parent participation by offering engaging and helpful opportunities to learn and be involved. We provide a safe school climate by teaching students social-emotional skills to help them build resilience and life skills to be productive citizens.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2019–2020)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	69
Grade 1	56
Grade 2	61
Grade 3	77
Grade 4	66
Grade 5	71
Total Enrollment	400



Student Enrollment by Student Group (School Year 2019–2020)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	15.00 %
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.30 %
Asian	1.50 %
Filipino	2.50 %
Hispanic or Latino	71.50 %
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	%
White	6.50 %
Two or More Races	2.30 %
Student Group (Other)	Percent of Total Enrollment
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	87.00 %
English Learners	25.30 %
Students with Disabilities	9.80 %
Foster Youth	0.50 %
Homeless	3.80 %

State Priority: Basic


The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School 2018– 2019	School 2019– 2020	School 2020– 2021	District 2020– 2021
With Full Credential	19	17	29	681
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	24

Teachers	School 2018– 2019	School 2019– 2020	School 2020– 2021	District 2020– 2021
Teachers Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	4




Last updated: 1/18/2021

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

2020-2021 Teacher Assignment Monitoring is scheduled to be completed at the end of the school year. The change in reporting timelines is due to a statewide transition to a new assignment monitoring system.

Indicator	2018–2019	2019–2020	2020–2021
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	1



Note: “Misassignments” refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Last updated: 1/20/2021

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials (School Year 2020–2021)

Year and month in which the data were collected: December 2020

Subject	Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	ELA K SRA/McGraw-Hill OCR/Big Books 2002 ELA 1-5 Reading/English SRA/McGraw-Hill OCR /Anthology 2002 ELD 1-5 Houghton Mifflin Read 180 2012 Spanish DLIP K-3: Estrellitas	Yes	0.00 %
Mathematics	MATH K iReady common core Ready CCSS MATH INSTRUCTION - Student book 2017 MATH 1-5 iReady common core Ready CCSS MATH INSTRUCTION - Student book 2017 MATH - Spanish Dual Immersion K-3 iReady common core Ready CCSS SPANISH MATH INSTRUCTION -Student book 2017	Yes	0.00 %

Subject	Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Science	SCI K Houghton Mifflin Harcourt School Publishers California Science Kindergarten Big Book 2007 SCI 1-5 Houghton Mifflin Harcourt School Publishers California Science Lv. 1-5 2007 SCI- Spanish Dual Immersion K Houghton Mifflin Harcourt School Publishers California Ciencias Kindergarten Big Book 2007	Yes	0.00 %
History-Social Science	TCI Alive!-Social Student K-5: Student Materials, Online Subscription, Interactive Notebook (consumable), Student Hardcover Text., Teacher Subscription, Lesson Guide, Picture Cards DLIP K-5: TCI Alive!-Social Student Hardcover Text- Spanish Version TCI Alive!-Social Student Hardcover Text- Social Studies K: Me and My World. TCI Alive!-Social Student Hardcover Text- Social Studies 1-My School and Family. TCI Alive!-Social Student Hardcover Text- Social Studies 2-My Community. TCI Alive!-Social Student Hardcover Text- Social Studies 3-Community TCI Alive!-Social Student Hardcover Text- Social Studies 4-California Promise TCI Alive!-Social Student Hardcover Text- Social Studies 5-American Past	Yes	0.00 %
Foreign Language	N/A	N/A	0.00 %
Health	N/A	N/A	0.00 %
Visual and Performing Arts	VAPA Art K-12 SRA Art Connections 2008 VAPA Music K-12 Silver Burdett, Making Music 2008	N/A	0.0 %
Science Lab Eqpmt (Grades 9-12)	N/A	N/A	0.0 %

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Last updated: 1/20/2021

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

Longfellow Elementary School was originally constructed in 1912 and is currently comprised of 28 classrooms, a library, one computer lab, a staff lounge, a cafeteria, an auditorium, a parent room, two playgrounds, and a little league baseball field. The school has been under massive renovation since 2001. Over the past six years, all classrooms have been modernized from top to bottom with new walls, flooring, and electrical wiring. New playground equipment has been added to both playgrounds, and an additional wing, including a playground/T -Ball field, for pre-kindergarten and kindergarten students has been constructed. An elevator has also been added to the main building to ensure adequate handicap access to the facilities. Last year removal and replacement of 100 tons of playground sand in the sandbox area was completed. Cleaning Process Longfellow Elementary School provides a safe and clean environment for students, staff, and volunteers. The district governing board has adopted cleaning standards for all schools in the district. Basic cleaning operations are performed on a daily basis throughout the school year with an emphasis on classrooms and restrooms. A joint effort between students and staff helps keep the campus clean and litter-free. The Principal works daily with three custodians to develop sanitation schedules that ensure a clean, safe, and functional learning environment. Maintenance & Repair A scheduled maintenance program is administered by Longfellow Elementary School's custodial staff on a regular basis, with heavy maintenance functions occurring during vacation periods. Additionally, a scheduled maintenance program is administered by the Pasadena Unified School District to ensure that school grounds and facilities remain in excellent repair. A work order process is used when issues arise that require immediate attention. Emergency repairs are given the highest priority; repair requests are completed efficiently and in the order in which they are received.

PUSD maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority.

PUSD has adopted cleaning standards for all schools in the District.

Last updated: 1/18/2021

School Facility Good Repair Status

Using the **most recently collected** Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), provide the following:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The rate for each system inspected
- The overall rating

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: December 2020

System Inspected	Rating	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	No repairs needed
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Good	No repairs needed
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	Good	No repairs needed
Electrical: Electrical	Good	No repairs needed
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	Good	No repairs needed

System Inspected	Rating	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	No repairs needed
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	No repairs needed
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	No repairs needed

Overall Facility Rate

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: December 2020

Overall Rating	Exemplary
----------------	-----------

Last updated: 1/19/2021

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA and Mathematics for All Students

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School 2018– 2019	School 2019– 2020	District 2018– 2019	District 2019– 2020	State 2018– 2019	State 2019– 2020
English Language Arts / Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	48.0%	N/A	46.0%	N/A	50%	N/A
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	40.0%	N/A	35.0%	N/A	39%	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: The 2019–2020 data are not available. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived

the requirement for statewide testing for the 2019–2020 school year.

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Last updated: 12/30/2020

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2019–2020)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Male	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Female	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Black or African American	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
American Indian or Alaska Native	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Filipino	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic or Latino	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
White	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Two or More Races	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
English Learners	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Students with Disabilities	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Foster Youth	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Homeless	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: The 2019–2020 data are not available. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the requirement for statewide testing for the 2019–2020 school year.

Last updated: 12/30/2020

CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2019–2020)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Male	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Female	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Black or African American	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
American Indian or Alaska Native	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Filipino	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic or Latino	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
White	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Two or More Races	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
English Learners	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Students with Disabilities	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Foster Youth	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Homeless	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: The 2019–2020 data are not available. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waives the requirement for statewide testing for the 2019–2020 school year.

Last updated: 12/30/2020

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students
Grades Five, Eight and High School
Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School 2018–2019	School 2019–2020	District 2018–2019	District 2019–2020	State 2018–2019	State 2019–2020
Science (grades 5, 8, and high school)	16	N/A	26	N/A	30	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: The 2019–2020 data are not available. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the requirement for statewide testing for the 2019–2020 school year.

Note: The new California Science Test (CAST) was first administered operationally in the 2018–2019 school year.

Last updated: 12/30/2020

CAASPP Tests Results in Science by Student Group
Grades Five, Eight and High School (School Year 2019–2020)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Male	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Female	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Black or African American	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
American Indian or Alaska Native	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Filipino	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic or Latino	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
White	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Two or More Races	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
English Learners	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Students with Disabilities	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
Foster Youth	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Homeless	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: The 2019–2020 data are not available. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the requirement for statewide testing for the 2019–2020 school year.

Last updated: 12/30/2020

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2019–2020)

Grade Level	Percentage of Students Meeting Four of Six Fitness Standards	Percentage of Students Meeting Five of Six Fitness Standards	Percentage of Students Meeting Six of Six Fitness Standards

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: The 2019–2020 data are not available. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, Executive Order N-56-20 was issued which waived the requirement to administer the physical fitness performance test for the 2019–2020 school year.

Last updated: 12/30/2020

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2020–2021)

At Longfellow, we encourage parent involvement in a myriad of ways. Parent volunteer trainings are held annually to teach parents strategies to use in the classroom or at home to assist students. Parents assist teachers in the classroom with everything from listening to students read, practicing sight words or multiplication facts to co-teaching art or hands-on science lessons. Parents are also encouraged to share their special talents with students whether it is helping with soccer during PE or painting a mural on the wall. Longfellow also has a parent workroom where teachers can drop off things that need to be copied, cut, stapled, laminated, etc. and parents can drop in and complete tasks or take them home to complete as time permits. We encourage parents to be part of the decision making process at Longfellow by participating in the School Site Council or the English Language Advisory Council. We hold parent education trainings on attendance, homework, test scores, ADHD, and how the brain develops to name a few. In addition, we also hold parents classes in the area of ESL and basic technology. The PTA has 180 members who help support and put on school activities, assemblies, and field trips. Last year alone we had over 16,000 volunteer hours.

Additionally, the district provides parent education opportunities (Parent University) to enhance parenting skills, explanation of curriculum and academic assessments, academic support at home, social-emotional growth, advocacy,

technology, leadership, etc. Additionally, the district, in cooperation with individual school sites, encourages and recruits parents to volunteer in schools and take leadership roles in parent advisory committees at schools and at the district level.

The district provides professional development for school and district staff on customer service, how to better engage parents and families, strategies on establishing two-way, and meaningful communication between families and schools.

Contact information: Office of Family and Community Engagement, (626) 396-3600 ext. 88191

Last updated: 1/19/2021

State Priority: Pupil Engagement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Engagement (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety

Suspensions and Expulsions

(data collected between July through June, each full school year respectively)

Rate	School 2017–2018	School 2018–2019	District 2017–2018	District 2018–2019	State 2017–2018	State 2018–2019
Suspensions	0.60%	1.10%	4.50%	4.60%	3.50%	3.50%
Expulsions	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.10%	0.10%

Suspensions and Expulsions for School Year 2019–2020 Only

(data collected between July through February, partial school year due to the COVID-19 pandemic)

Rate	School 2019–2020	District 2019–2020	State 2019–2020
Suspensions	1.00%	4.80%	2.50%
Expulsions	0.00%	0.00%	0.10%

Note: The 2019–2020 suspensions and expulsions rate data are not comparable to prior year data because the 2019–2020 school year is a partial school year due to the COVID-19 crisis. As such, it would be inappropriate to make any comparisons in rates of

suspensions and expulsions in the 2019–2020 school year compared to prior years.

Last updated: 1/18/2021

School Safety Plan (School Year 2020–2021)

The safety of students and staff is a primary concern of Altadena Arts Magnet. All visitors to the campus must sign in at the office and wear a visitor’s pass at all times. Students are monitored before, during, and after school by supervision aides, resource teachers, and the principal. Teachers help supervise students during recess. There are designated areas for student drop-off and pick-up. The School Site Safety Plan is revised annually by the Leadership Team and School Site Council. The Safety Plan is reviewed with all staff members and modified based on student data at the beginning of each school year. The plan was most recently updated and reviewed with school staff in 2020. Key elements of the Safety Plan include the maintenance of a safe, orderly, and drug-free school. The school is in compliance with the laws, rules, and regulations pertaining to hazardous materials and state earthquake standards. The school’s disaster preparedness plan includes steps for ensuring student and staff safety during an emergency. Fire, disaster, and lockdown drills are conducted on a regular basis throughout the school year. District support teams have worked together with the Pasadena Public Health Department to develop school safety plans that address and minimize the potential spread of COVID-19 as a separate school re-opening plan.

Last updated: 1/20/2021

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary) School Year (2017–2018)

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes *		
		1-20	21-32	33+
K	21.00	3	2	
1	26.00		3	
2	26.00		3	
3	21.00	1	2	
4	26.00	1	2	
5	30.00		3	
6				
Other**				

* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

** "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary) School Year (2018–2019)

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes * 1-20	Number of Classes * 21-32	Number of Classes * 33+
K	22.00	1	3	
1	22.00		3	
2	25.00		3	
3	22.00		3	
4	27.00	1	2	
5	32.00		2	
6				
Other**				

* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

** "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary) School Year (2019–2020)

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes * 1-20	Number of Classes * 21-32	Number of Classes * 33+
K	23.00		3	
1	19.00	3		
2	20.00	2	1	
3	26.00		3	
4	33.00			
5	36.00			2
6				
Other**				

* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

** "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Last updated: 12/30/2020

Ratio of Pupils to Academic Counselor (School Year 2019–2020)

Title	Ratio
Pupils to Academic Counselor*	0.0

*One full time equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Last updated: 12/30/2020

Student Support Services Staff (School Year 2019–2020)

Number of FTE* Assigned to School
Counselor (Academic, Social/Behavioral or Career Development)
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional) 0.60
Psychologist
Social Worker
Nurse 1.00
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist
Resource Specialist (non-teaching) 1.00
Other 2.25

*One full time equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Last updated: 1/18/2021

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2018–2019)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$13351.85	\$4598.58	\$8753.27	\$81044.37
District	N/A	N/A	\$8319.07	\$72871.00
Percent Difference – School Site and District	N/A	N/A	5.09%	10.62%
State	N/A	N/A	\$7750.12	\$84577.00

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
Percent Difference – School Site and State	N/A	N/A	12.16%	-4.27%

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Last updated: 1/20/2021

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2019–2020)

Longfellow prides itself on having a balanced curriculum where we focus on meeting the individual needs of all our students. We use data to help drive our instruction and meet with parents often to develop a partnership that supports the students’ learning goals. We have a dedicated staff who uses a variety of engagement strategies and techniques to keep students engaged and are active participants in their learning. Embedded in our rigorous instruction are constant opportunities for students to be creative, collaborate, communicate, and think critically. In addition, connecting what students are learning to the real world is very important and we help to facilitate that connection through a multitude of field trips at each grade level. Students throughout the grade levels participate in a variety of activities such as My Masterpieces Art Program, Instrumental Music for 4th and 5th-grade students, School Garden, 3rd grade Swim Program at the Aquatic Center, Physical Education, yoga, dance, school library with full-time library coordinator, and math enrichment opportunities. To help support the academic programs and English Learners we also have a full-time Instructional Coach.

We have excellent parent participation and encourage parents to be involved in the school in whatever capacity they are able. We have parents helping with science lessons, painting murals, helping out at community events and cultivating a school garden. In addition, we have parents helping in the classroom and the parent workroom. Our goal is to make everyone feel they are a valued asset to the school community and everyone has something to contribute to help the students achieve. To this end, we have workroom parties, parent training, parent education, and community-building events. Our full-time Community Assistant helps to facilitate all these activities.


Last updated: 1/19/2021

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2018–2019)


Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$43,901	\$52,484
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$67,859	\$81,939
Highest Teacher Salary	\$90,585	\$102,383
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$113,223	\$129,392
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$115,692	\$136,831
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$123,024	\$147,493
Superintendent Salary	\$261,768	\$254,706
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	27.00%	34.00%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	6.00%	5.00%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Teacher Salary Chart



Principal Salary Chart



Last updated: 12/30/2020

Professional Development

Measure	2018–2019	2019–2020	2020–2021
Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement	18	18	19

Questions: SARC TEAM | sarc@cde.ca.gov | 916-319-0406

California Department of Education
1430 N Street
Sacramento, CA 95814



**PASADENA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
CHILD WELFARE, ATTENDANCE & SAFETY**

Longfellow School Unified School District

Henry W. Longfellow Elementary School

Comprehensive Schools Safety Plan:

School Site Council Evaluation and Public Hearing Certification

February 24th, 2021 Update

California law requires the Comprehensive School Safety Plan of each school to be annually evaluated and amended, as needed, to ensure the plan is being properly implemented (Education Code 35294.2[e]). An updated file of all safety-related plans and materials is maintained by Henry W. Longfellow Elementary School and is readily available for inspection by the public.

California law also requires notice for public input prior to the adoption of the Comprehensive School Safety Plan. Notice for public comment was provided on Feb. 16, 2021 at 4:00. Notice was provided by Longfellow School.

Henry W. Longfellow Elementary School's "school site council" has evaluated our Comprehensive School Safety Plan and has determined the following:

The plan has been properly implemented and approved on February 24th, 2021

Amendments

School Site Council

Members in attendance at the Feb. 24, 2021 meeting: Erica Ingber, Andre Lawrence, Pilar Oliva, Adam Chandler, Will Page, Steve Owen, Robin Artin, Patricia Ortiz-Rubalcava, Gina Hernandez, Carolina Oseguera

Signatures of Henry W. Longfellow Elementary School's Site Council Members

<u>Erica Ingber</u> <small>Erica Ingber (Feb 25, 2021 09:54 PST)</small>	Feb 25, 2021
Signature	Date
<u>Robin Artin</u> <small>Robin Artin (Feb 25, 2021 08:19 PST)</small>	Feb 25, 2021
Signature	Date
<u>Gina Hernandez</u> <small>Gina Hernandez (Mar 2, 2021 10:49 PST)</small>	Mar 2, 2021
Signature	Date
<u>Adam Chandler</u> <small>Adam Chandler (Mar 3, 2021 10:19 PST)</small>	Mar 3, 2021
Signature	Date
<u>Pilar Oliva</u> <small>Pilar Oliva (Feb 25, 2021 07:29 PST)</small>	Feb 25, 2021
Signature	Date

<u>Steven Owen</u> <small>Steven Owen (Feb 25, 2021 18:55 PST)</small>	Feb 25, 2021
Signature	Date
<u>Patricia Ortiz-Rubalcava</u> <small>Patricia Ortiz-Rubalcava (Feb 25, 2021 07:10 PST)</small>	Feb 25, 2021
Signature	Date
<u>Andre Lawrence</u> <small>Andre Lawrence (Feb 25, 2021 08:46 PST)</small>	Feb 25, 2021
Signature	Date
<u>Carolina Oseguera</u> <small>Carolina Oseguera (Mar 3, 2021 18:35 PST)</small>	Mar 3, 2021
Signature	Date
<u>Will Page</u> <small>Will Page (Feb 24, 2021 22:45 PST)</small>	Feb 24, 2021
Signature	Date

Safety plan signature page 2-24-21

Final Audit Report

2021-03-04

Created:	2021-02-25
By:	william page ([REDACTED])
Status:	Signed
Transaction ID:	CBJCHBCAABAAtRnhbrbBtsBK5rweRjM7XJdY10NOjX6V

"Safety plan signature page 2-24-21" History

-  Document created by william page ([REDACTED])
2021-02-25 - 6:36:42 AM GMT- IP address: 104.61.84.123
-  Document emailed to Steven Owen (owen.steven@pusd.us) for signature
2021-02-25 - 6:44:26 AM GMT
-  Document emailed to Erica Ingber (ingber.eric@pusd.us) for signature
2021-02-25 - 6:44:26 AM GMT
-  Document emailed to Robin Artin (artin.robin@pusd.us) for signature
2021-02-25 - 6:44:26 AM GMT
-  Document emailed to Patricia Ortiz-Rubalcava (ortizrubalcava.patri@pusd.us) for signature
2021-02-25 - 6:44:26 AM GMT
-  Document emailed to Gina Hernandez (sorensenhernandez.gi@pusd.us) for signature
2021-02-25 - 6:44:26 AM GMT
-  Document emailed to Andre Lawrence ([REDACTED]) for signature
2021-02-25 - 6:44:27 AM GMT
-  Document emailed to Adam Chandler ([REDACTED]) for signature
2021-02-25 - 6:44:27 AM GMT
-  Document emailed to Carolina Oseguera ([REDACTED]) for signature
2021-02-25 - 6:44:27 AM GMT
-  Document emailed to Pilar Oliva ([REDACTED]) for signature
2021-02-25 - 6:44:27 AM GMT
-  Document emailed to Wil Page ([REDACTED]) for signature
2021-02-25 - 6:44:27 AM GMT


-  Email viewed by Pilar Oliva [REDACTED]
2021-02-25 - 6:44:34 AM GMT- IP address: 74.125.214.19
-  Email viewed by Wil Page [REDACTED]
2021-02-25 - 6:44:43 AM GMT- IP address: 66.249.84.85
-  Document e-signed by Wil Page [REDACTED]
Signature Date: 2021-02-25 - 6:45:28 AM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 104.61.84.123
-  Email viewed by Carolina Oseguera [REDACTED]
2021-02-25 - 6:49:17 AM GMT- IP address: 69.147.91.62
-  Email viewed by Patricia Ortiz-Rubalcava (ortizrubalcava.patri@pusd.us)
2021-02-25 - 3:08:29 PM GMT- IP address: 107.77.231.184
-  Document e-signed by Patricia Ortiz-Rubalcava (ortizrubalcava.patri@pusd.us)
Signature Date: 2021-02-25 - 3:10:13 PM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 172.127.2.224
-  Document e-signed by Pilar Oliva [REDACTED]
Signature Date: 2021-02-25 - 3:29:07 PM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 162.207.95.162
-  Email viewed by Robin Artin (artin.robin@pusd.us)
2021-02-25 - 4:15:55 PM GMT- IP address: 66.249.84.81
-  Document e-signed by Robin Artin (artin.robin@pusd.us)
Signature Date: 2021-02-25 - 4:19:09 PM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 174.193.158.35
-  Email viewed by Andre Lawrence [REDACTED]
2021-02-25 - 4:42:00 PM GMT- IP address: 24.205.114.185
-  Document e-signed by Andre Lawrence [REDACTED]
Signature Date: 2021-02-25 - 4:46:30 PM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 24.205.114.185
-  Email viewed by Erica Ingber (ingber.eric@pusd.us)
2021-02-25 - 5:53:13 PM GMT- IP address: 66.249.84.89
-  Document e-signed by Erica Ingber (ingber.eric@pusd.us)
Signature Date: 2021-02-25 - 5:54:16 PM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 205.154.31.120
-  Email viewed by Steven Owen (owen.steven@pusd.us)
2021-02-25 - 8:47:06 PM GMT- IP address: 66.249.84.67
-  Email viewed by Gina Hernandez (sorensenhernandez.gi@pusd.us)
2021-02-26 - 1:27:28 AM GMT- IP address: 74.125.214.17
-  Document e-signed by Steven Owen (owen.steven@pusd.us)
Signature Date: 2021-02-26 - 2:55:51 AM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 47.229.69.213

 Email viewed by Gina Hernandez (sorensenhernandez.gi@pusd.us)


2021-03-02 - 6:48:18 PM GMT- IP address: 66.249.84.85

 Document e-signed by Gina Hernandez (sorensenhernandez.gi@pusd.us)


Signature Date: 2021-03-02 - 6:49:41 PM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 24.205.79.95

 Email viewed by Adam Chandler [REDACTED]

2021-03-03 - 6:19:06 PM GMT- IP address: 23.114.245.247

 Document e-signed by Adam Chandler [REDACTED]

Signature Date: 2021-03-03 - 6:19:58 PM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 23.114.245.247

 Document e-signed by Carolina Oseguera [REDACTED]

Signature Date: 2021-03-04 - 2:35:51 AM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 47.41.215.199

 Agreement completed.

2021-03-04 - 2:35:51 AM GMT

Pasadena Unified School District

School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) • Theory of Action Form • Theory of Action Form • 2021-22

Longfellow

Problem Statement	Long-term Outcome (Outcome/Need)
Students often lack the opportunity to practice new skills in an environment where they will receive immediate feedback in order to master independence in the new skill.	Students are directly and explicitly taught skills and strategies in small and large group settings and then provided time for guided and independent practice with feedback from the teacher to help master the skill independently.

Actions/Activities [If ...]	Immediate Outcomes [Then ...]	Short-term Outcomes (specific goal) [So that ...]	Long-term Outcome [Which leads to ...]
If professional development is focused on improving the structure of the mini lesson	Then teachers can be explicit and direct with their instruction in math and English Language Arts	Teacher mini lessons will be more accessible to students so that students are able to master skills and strategies taught	Which will lead to increased student achievement
If teachers are giving students guided opportunities to practice new strategies with teacher feedback	Then students can gain mastery of skill and gain confidence in their independent abilities	So that students will use strategies and skills learned and practiced when working independently and taking assessments.	Which leads to increased student achievement.
If teachers are meeting with students in small groups and individually to differentiate instruction and model strategies	Then students will get differentiated instruction on their specific needs	So that Students will progress because they will be getting targeted instruction	Which leads to increased student achievement.



Pasadena Unified School District

(for each specific goal)

If... Then... So that... Which leads to...

(Provide your Theory of Action here)

IF professional development is focused on improving the structure of the mini lesson

THEN teachers can be explicit and direct with their instruction in math and English Language Arts

AND

IF teachers are giving students guided opportunities to practice new strategies with teacher feedback

THEN students can gain mastery of skill and gain confidence in their independent abilities

SO THAT Teacher mini lessons will be more accessible to students so that students are able to master skills and strategies taught and that students will use strategies and skills learned and practiced when working independently and taking assessments.

WHICH LEADS TO Which will lead to increased student achievement

IF teachers are meeting with students in small groups and individually to differentiate instruction and model strategies

THEN students will get differentiate instruction on their specific needs

SO THAT So that Students will progress because they will be getting targeted instruction

WHICH LEADS TO Which leads to increased student achievement.

Our Children. Learning Today. Leading Tomorrow.


Recommendations and Assurances

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.

The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.

The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan:


Signature	Committee or Advisory Group Name
	English Learner Advisory Committee

The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.

This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.

This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on May 13, 2021.

Attested:

	Principal, Erica Ingber on May 13, 2021
Pilar Oliva	SSC Chairperson, Pilar Oliva on May 13, 2021

PASADENA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Longfellow Elementary School Compact 2021-22

Staff

As a Pasadena Unified School District teacher/administrator I will:

- Teach classes through interesting and challenging lessons that promote student achievement.
- Endeavor to motivate my students to learn.
- Have high expectations and help every child to develop a love of learning.
- Communicate regularly with families about student progress.
- Encourage parent involvement in school activities.
- Enforce rules equitably and provide a safe, orderly, and caring learning environment.
- Provide meaningful, daily homework assignments to reinforce and extend learning.
- Participate in professional development opportunities that improve teaching and learning.
- Support the formation of partnerships with families and the community.
- Participate in collaborative decision making with other school staff and families for the benefit of students.
- Respect the school, students, staff, and families.
- Teach and practice communication, collaboration, critical thinking and creativity.

Teacher Signature/Date

Principal Signature/Date

Student

I agree to carry out the following responsibilities:

- Come to school ready to learn and work hard.
- Bring necessary materials, completed work assignments, and homework.
- Know and follow school and class rules of being safe, responsible, and respectful of the school, classmates, staff, and families.
- Communicate regularly with my parents and teachers about school experiences so that they can help me to be successful in school.
- Make sure to get plenty of sleep, make healthy eating choices, and exercise.
- Limit my TV watching and video game playing and instead study or read every day after school.
- Respect the school, classmates, staff, and families.
- Be a communicator, collaborator, a critical and creative thinker.

Student Signature/Date

Grade

Family/Parent/Guardian

I agree to carry out the following responsibilities:

- Provide a quiet time and place for homework completion and limit TV viewing, video game playing, computer and phone time.
- Review homework completion and ask my child about his/her school day.
- Convey the importance of reading by having daily/nightly reading time together as a family.
- Ensure that my child attends school every day, gets adequate sleep, regular medical attention, proper nutrition and exercise.
- Regularly monitor my child's progress in school.
- Support the school's discipline and dress codes.
- Participate at school in activities such as school decision making, volunteering and/or attending parent-teacher conferences, Open House, and Back-to-School Night.
- Communicate the importance of education and learning to my child.
- Respect the school, staff, students, and families.
- Find opportunities to model for students communication, collaboration, and thinking critically and creatively.

Parent Signature/Date

Longfellow Elementary
Parent Involvement Policy
2021-22 School Year

- I. Longfellow has developed a written parental involvement policy with input from parents
- Parent Representatives from School Site Council, PTA, ELAC, parent of GATE students and staff have jointly developed the parental involvement policy.
 - Parents, including PTA, ELAC, and Parents of GATE students, participate in the periodic updates of the policy to meet the changing needs of parents in the school. The SSC reviews and approves the policy.
 - Parents who do not speak English and whose primary language is Spanish, will receive the Policy in Spanish.
 - Parents receive a copy of the parental involvement policy in Wednesday Folders. Copies of the policy are also available during PTA and ELAC meetings, in the Parent Work Room and the school's main office, and on the school website..
 - Longfellow School's Parental Involvement Policy is available to the local community.
- II. Involvement of Parents
1. Longfellow School offers flexible number of meetings.
- PTA meetings are held the 3rd Thursday of the month at 6:15 pm
 - English Language Advisory Committee (ELAC) meetings are held the 1st Thursday of the month at 8:00 am.
 - School Site Council (SSC) meetings are held the 3rd or 4th Wednesday of the month at 4:00 pm.

2. Involves parents in the development of the school's Single Plan for Student

Achievement.

- PTA, ELAC, and GATE parents will annually have the opportunity to review and offer input in the school's Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) and periodic updates of the school's parental involvement policy.

3. Longfellow School provides parents with an explanation of the curriculum, assessments, and proficiency levels students are expected to meet.

- Parents are informed about the curriculum used at the school, the academic assessment used to measure student progress, and the proficiency levels students are expected to reach at PTA, ELAC, and SSC meetings, newsletters, and at parent workshops offered through the school.
- Parent Education meetings will have food, occasional student performances, and incentives for students who have parents attend meetings.

4. Longfellow School provides parents, if requested, with opportunities for regular meetings to participate in decisions relating to the education of their children.

- Annual parent-teacher meetings to review student academic goals and Individual Learning Plans if needed
- IEP meetings
- Student Success Team meetings with parents and staff

III. Communication

1. In an effort to communicate effectively to all parents, the school will use several modes of communication to get information out to parents.

- Friday Morning blacktop assembly formation will be conducive to all parents being able to hear announcements in English and Spanish
- Blackboard phone messages/emails will be sent in advance of meetings and events
- Newsletters with information from different parent groups and school happenings will go out on a monthly basis
- The after school program LEARNS will be notified of upcoming events so they can share it with parents at pick up times
- Teachers will communicate with students and parents regarding school events and policies
- Parents will let teachers know their availability for volunteering.
- Parents and staff members will make personal contact with parents in an attempt to be more inclusive and get more parents involved.
- The school will send information by email, mail, in the Wednesday folders, and through Connect- Ed phone messages.
- The school and PTA Facebook page
- Important flyers and announcements will be communicated to LEARNS staff so they can share the information with parents at pick up

IV. Building Capacity for Involvement

Longfellow School engages parents in meaningful interactions with the school. It supports a partnership among staff, parents, and the community to improve student academic achievement..

- Longfellow parents receive information on parent trainings and Common Core State Standards, as well as how to help improve the achievement of their children.
- Longfellow provides a monthly calendar for parents to ensure they are informed about parent meetings, school events, and activities.
- A bulletin board is posted outside the office with parent information in English and Spanish. All notices and agendas for parent meetings are posted 72 hours prior to the meeting.
- Longfellow School's community assistant encourages and supports all parents to fully participate in the education of their children.
- Longfellow School communicates with parents through all communication avenues available, such as telephone, Connect-Ed, email, newsletters, school calendars, blacktop assemblies, marquee and other methods with information regarding school activities. Parents who do not speak English and whose primary language is Spanish, will receive all communication in English and Spanish.
- The Longfellow PTA hosts monthly blacktop coffees on the last Friday of each month to give parents information about the school and inform them of ways they can help.
- The Longfellow PTA will host events such as workroom parties to train and get more parents into the Parent Workroom to volunteer.
- The Longfellow PTA will host a Community Picnic the first week of school.
- Family Fridays offer an opportunity for parents to learn a strategy to help their students from the classroom teacher 6 times a year.
- All parents will have the opportunity to volunteer and be given the necessary information.

V. Accessibility

Longfellow Elementary School provides opportunities for all parents to participate, including: parents with limited English proficiency and parents with disabilities.

- All parents will have Blackboard messages and printed materials

Come home in the appropriate language, either English or Spanish

- All parents will be notified that they do not have to be a member of PTA to come to meetings, events , or volunteer.
- Information in the Parent Workroom will be in Spanish and English
- Facilities for meetings will be conducive to discussion and adult comfort

VI. Parent Information and Resources

Longfellow will collaborate with the district office and local agencies to inform

Parents about resources and services offered through school and the community.

- The school community assistant attends monthly meetings and distributes information about parent resources.
- A notebook of successful parent activities will be placed in the workroom for parents to get ideas from



Pasadena Unified School District

Instructional Services

GIFTED AND TALENTED EDUCATION

GATE Principal's Checklist

The grey portions detail state requirements of school districts for GATE programs. The white portions that follow are ways that the district expects the schools to implement the state requirements in grey. Please review this revised checklist, which is aligned with the current district GATE plan that has been approved by the State and the Board of Education. Rate your school using the rubric below to show how well the school is implementing the school GATE program in alignment with the district GATE plan.

Rubric			
1 = This is an established practice that has been in place since before the 2019-20 school year. 2 = This practice is being implemented for the first time during the 2019-20 school year. 3 = This practice is being developed now to be implemented during the 2019-20 school year.			
Section 1: Program Design			
1:1 The plan for the district program has a written statement of philosophy, goals, and standards appropriate to the needs and abilities of gifted learners.			
1:2 The program provides administrative groupings and structures appropriate for gifted education and available to all gifted learners.			
1:3 The program is articulated with the general education programs.			
	1	2	3
Does the school administrative leadership team have access to and review the GATE plan on an annual basis to ensure that the school plan is articulated with the District plan?			x
Do K-2 teachers observe for potential giftedness and use flexible groupings in response to observed characteristics of giftedness in the classroom?	x		
Are GATE students in grades 3-5 placed in cluster groupings or part-time groupings?	x		
Are GATE students in grades 6-12 encouraged to participate in rigorous classes such as Pre AP, AP and Honors?			
Are GATE services provided to students during the regular instructional school day?	x		
Are all parents informed of the schedule of the GATE Parent Leadership meetings?	x		
Are all parents informed of the GATE Parent Education Workshops offered by the District?	x		

Do secondary counselors review their case loads to be aware of which students are GATE so that they can be appropriately counseled and encouraged to participate in rigorous academic courses as they transition from elementary to middle school and middle school to high school?			
Section 2: Identification			
2:1 The nomination/referral process is ongoing.			
2:2 An assessment/identification process is in place to ensure that all potentially gifted students are appropriately assessed for identification as gifted students.			
2:3 Multiple service options are available within the gifted education program and between other educational programs. Placement is based on the assessed needs of the student and is periodically reviewed.			
	1	2	3
Has a process been developed at the school for teachers and/or parents to request that any student in grades 2-8 be evaluated for GATE identification?	X		
Is there a plan to articulate the plan above to parents and teachers on an annual basis?	X		
Does the plan inform parents and teachers of the GATE referral window of August - September?	X		
Does the school testing calendar include GATE testing administered by classroom teachers in grades 2 during the GATE testing window of October?	X		
Has a process been developed at the school to review research-based characteristics of giftedness with all teachers, but with special emphasis on 2 nd grade teachers?	X		
Section 3: Curriculum and Instruction			
3:1 A differentiated curriculum is in place, responsive to the needs, interests, and abilities of gifted students.			
3:2 The differentiated curriculum for gifted students is supported by appropriate structures and resources.			
	1	2	3
Elementary			X
Are teachers trained on the development and implementation of an Individual Learning Plan (ILP) for GATE students?			
Do all teachers include a discussion of the ILP during the October parent conference for GATE students?	X		
Does differentiation of instruction focus on the depth and complexity of content, advanced or accelerated pacing, and the unique expression and application of student understanding?	X		
Are the following instructional options implemented in the classrooms with GATE clusters:	X		
• Individual Learning Plan			
• Independent projects/Independent Study/Interest based enrichment opportunities	X		
• Curriculum compacting			X
• IB program			X

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kaplan’s prompts of depth and complexity 			x
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thinking like a disciplinarian 			x
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Integration of creative and critical thinking clusters 		x	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thematic learning across disciplines 	x		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scholarly Dispositions 			x
	1	2	3
<u>Middle School</u>			
Are high-achieving GATE students placed in accelerated or honors classes?			
Does differentiation of instruction focus on the depth and complexity of content, advanced or accelerated pacing, and the unique expression and application of student understanding?			
Are the following instructional options used in the development of the School GATE Plan: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Honors class • Pre-AP classes • IB classes • Enrichment classes • Vertical subject-matter acceleration • Options for GATE Advisory 			
<u>High School</u>			
Do honors classes offered in the core subject areas of ELA and math provide a more rigorous educational experience?			
Does differentiation of instruction focus on the depth and complexity of content, advanced or accelerated pacing, and the unique expression and application of student understanding?			
Are GATE students monitored for under-achievement and provided with one-on-one counseling to address their needs?			
Are Advanced Placement classes are available?			
Is the IB diploma program available?			
Do students qualify for enrollment in Honors, Advanced Placement, and/or IB classes based on teacher recommendation, GPA, grades in the specific subject, standardized test scores, and/or portfolio or demonstration options?			
Are the following instructional options used in the development of the School GATE Plan: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Honors classes • AP classes • IB classes • Career Pathways classes/Independent Study • Vertical subject-matter acceleration 			

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Options for GATE Advisory 			
All Grades Does the Principal provide leadership in supervising and monitoring implementation of the GATE Principals Checklist to ensure that GATE students are receiving an appropriate education?	x		
Does the Principal lead the work of the School GATE Team (Principal, GATE Site Representative, GATE Parent Representative) to review and modify the GATE Principals Checklist annually as needed?			x
Does the School Site Council review and approve the GATE Principal's Checklist annually?	x		
Do students have an opportunity to provide input on their educational experience and the level to which their needs are being met?	x		
Is the GATE Principal's Checklist developed in response to the specific and varied learning needs of the individual school population?	x		
Section 4: Social and Emotional Development 4:1 Actions to meet the affective needs of gifted students are ongoing. 4:2 At risk gifted students are monitored and provided support (e.g. underachievement, symptoms of depression, suicide, substance abuse).			
	1	2	3
Do schools provide enrichment activities that foster social interaction among GATE students?	x		
Do elementary GATE students have the opportunity to visit secondary schools and/or shadow secondary students in Honors or AP classes to help ease anxiety about transitioning to secondary school?			x
Are high school students informed of the opportunity for concurrent enrollment at Pasadena City College?			
Do GATE students have access to small-group counseling sessions offered at the school and are made aware of services available outside of the school?	x		
Do middle and high school students have an option for a GATE focused advisory to support their specific social & emotional needs?			
Section 5: Professional Development 5:1 The district provides professional development opportunities related to gifted learners on a regular basis.			
	1	2	3
Does the Principal schedule time for the GATE Specialist to provide professional development at the school site during A meetings on any of the following topics: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> PUSD GATE Program Overview GATE Identification Process Characteristics of Gifted Learners from Diverse Populations How to get or renew GATE Certification How to create and use the Individual Learning Plan 			x
Does the Principal schedule other GATE related professional development at the school site during A meetings on any of the		x	

following topics: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kaplan's Prompts of Depth and Complexity/Content Imperatives • Acceleration of content (Universal Themes and Big Ideas) • Differentiation of skill, product, process • Critical and Creative thinking • Independent Study/Developing an Interest • Characteristics of Giftedness • Scholarly Behaviors (Thinking like a Disciplinarian) • Interdisciplinary lesson development 			
Section 6: Parent and Community Involvement			
6:1 Open communication with parents and the community is maintained.			
6:2 An active GATE advisory committee with parent involvement is supported by the district.			
	1	2	3
Does the school have a GATE Parent Advisory Committee (PAC) that meets four times per year?			x
Does the GATE PAC review the School GATE Plan for the purpose of suggesting improvements?			x
Is the socioeconomic, linguistic and ethnic diversity of the school represented on the GATE Parent Advisory Committee?			x
Does the school regularly send a parent representative to the District GATE Parent Leadership meetings?			x
Is the School GATE Plan shared with parents and the community effectively and systematically?			x
Section 7: Program Assessment			
7:1 The district provides ongoing student and GATE program assessment that is consistent with the program's philosophy, goals, and standards.			
	1	2	3
Do all stakeholders (GATE PAC, administrators, teachers, community members, and students) have an opportunity to give input on the development, implementation, and effectiveness of the school GATE program on an annual basis?			x

Based on the above needs assessment, identify a SMART goal for 2019-20 for GATE at your school site:

For the 2021-22 School Year we will develop a GATE Advisory Council that meets at least 2 times a year to include new parents to Longfellow as measured by the meeting sign in sheets.

Please identify key GATE teachers at your school site (those teaching GATE clusters for elementary school):

**Brooks
Owen
Chidester
Arbizu**

School: Longfellow

Date Approved By GATE Site Advisory Council: N/A

Date Approved By School Site Council: 5/13/21

Dates of calendared site GATE advisory meetings 2021-22:

	date	topic		date	topic
1st meeting	10/6/21 2:30	GATE Program at Longfellow	3rd meeting		
2nd meeting	1/12/22 2:30	GATE and the ARTs	4th meeting		