PROGRAMMING, OPTIONS AND OPINION OF POTENTIAL COSTS AND REIMBURSEMENT

Existing Conditions

The Ashby Elementary school is a 52,800 SF building built in (3) phases between 1951 and 1989. In 2020 the North Middlesex Regional School District hired Habeeb & Associates to perform a facility assessment and develop a scope of work to repair the building. This scope would be somewhat similar to the MSBA's capital project Base Repair scheme. A Base repair scheme done during a Feasibility Study evaluates a building through the lens of code and safety upgrades only, the cost developed is to bring the subject building up to current code and replace all aging systems in order to ensure the renovated / repaired building can last another 50 years into the future. It does not address any programmatic changes or needs that the facility may have and does not address any educational objectives that the district may have.

Currently the building consists of three primary areas:

- The Original front portion includes the more public spaces, Gymnatorium, Media Center, specials, Offices and mechanical and café on a lower level.
- The west side addition which is primarily classrooms and is un-used.
- The classroom addition at the north.



We have reviewed the 2020 document and it provides a cursory review of the deficiencies found within the current facility, however, study also does not identify all of the needs that are present such as:

- Lack of a full fire suppression system which is required by code and would likely be required by the local fire department based on the scope of the renovations proposed and the value of the projects being put forth.
- AAB/ADA compliance trigger the AAB requires that if any project amounts to more then 30% of the assessed value of the facility then full compliance is required in this case 30% of the \$2,115,500 is \$634,650 this means that any project undertaken or any series of projects undertaken within a 3-year span exceed \$634,650 in cost then full compliance is required.
- Phasing costs although the building and student population are small it is very likely there
 would be some student relocation and program disruption required during any construction
 project.
- The roofing system is approaching the end of its warranty, as such consideration should be made to reroofing the building to "re-start" the warranty and protect the new investments made in the building.
- Partial system upgrades, the report identifies the need for new BMS controls, security systems, data and electrical infrastructure but only for the 1950's portion of the building, the reminder of the building is currently 33 years old and the standards for security, BMS and data have changed as well as the needs for additional electrical infrastructure to support a fully digital educational environment.

The costs presented in the Habeeb report are relatively optimistic based on the current market for Mechanical and Electrical work and we have adjusted these to be more in line with our experience. Finally, a study like this accounts for the work to be done but in some cases that work cannot be done in a manner that will be consistent with expectations for the final product, as an example:

- Item 3.14 calls for replacement of a single cabinet at classroom sinks to make the overall casework accessible. It is our experience that attempting to remove a single cabinet from a 33 year-old cabinet run and replace it and rework the countertop / sink does not leave the final product in the classrooms as expected or desired.
- Item 3.23 calls for the addition of a chair lift at the gym, the cost presented only allows for the lift itself, there is re-work required to insert the lift into the space without interfering with the gym and stage programs.

These differentials require that the pricing from the 2020 report to be adjusted to today's market as well as some measure of scope adjustments to account for the work that will be truly required to perform an adequate base repair. The base repair is generally viewed as the cost basis for a project as it is representative of the minimum cost to "fix what is broken" and come up to code. In this case we would suggest a base repair cost around 7.7 million with a total project cost of around 9.9 million.

Preliminary Studies

The cursory studies we've developed range from addition/renovation, to all-new construction and include a consolidated plan at the Spaulding ES site. The consolidated study is based on the possibility of the MSBA not participating or prioritize funding for such a small school on its own and likely requiring a consolidation study. We recommend contacting the MSBA to better understand their position and if there is a threshold for consideration. While we are not familiar with a specific

threshold, we recognize that their guidelines do not chart incremental program needs below 300 students for elementary schools and as an example calculates zero art and music rooms.

The three studies are based on very limited information and should be used only for comparative purposes, not for actual program, scope, costs or schedules. The program assumes approximately 150 students in grades K-4 with specialty spaces, such as Art, Music and Special Education carried forward from the existing plans. The program comparison (snapshot below and full spreadsheet attached), evolves from existing spaces/sizes in renovated areas to MSBA standards for additions and new construction.

Proposed Space Summary- Ele	ementary Schools
-----------------------------	------------------

Ashby 150 Stu. K-4	Ex	isting Cond	litions		ADD/REN	0		ALL NEV	v	AD	D TO SPAU	LDING	(refer t	o MSBA Ec		Guidelines Iram & Space Standard Guidelines)
ROOM TYPE	ROOM NFA ¹	# OF RMS	area totals	ROOM NFA ¹	#OF RMS	area totals	ROOM NFA ¹	# OF RMS	area totals	ROOM NFA ¹	# OF RMS	area totals	ROOM NFA ¹	#OF RMS	area totais	Comments
ORE ACADEMIC SPACES			9,200			9,650			9,500			9,500		9	8,350	
Pre-Kindergarten w/ toilet			-			7-1			15			-	1.200			1,100 SF min - 1,300 SF max
Kindergarten w/ toilet	1.400	2	2 800	1.200	2	2.400	1.200	2	2.400	1.200	2	2.400	1.200	2	2.400	
General Classrooms - Grade 1-6	850	4	3,400	850	5	4,250	850	7	5,950	850	7	5,950	950	5	4,750	900 SF min - 1,000 SF max; 2 sinks min. req
	1,000	2	2,000	1,000	2	2,000										
STE Room- Grade 3-6 (Computer)	1,000	1	1,000	1,000	1	1,000	1,000	1	1,000	1,000	1	1,000	1,080	1	1,080	1 per 350 stu, gr 3-6
STE Storage						(*)	150	1	150	150	1	150	120	1	120	1 per 350 stu, gr 3-6
SPECIAL EDUCATION			3,850			3,850		-	4,360			4,360			2,010	
Self-Contained SPED (2 + ELL)	850	3	2,550	850	3	2,550	850	3	2,550	850	3	2,550	950	-1	950	900-1,300 SF equal to surrounding discircoms
Self-Contained SPED - toilet			-			(8)	60	-1	60	60	1	60	60	1	60	
Resource Room (Speech & Therapy)	450	1	450	450	1	450	450	1	450	450	1	450	500	1	500	
Small Group Room / Reading						190	450	-1	450	450	-1	450	500	1	500	1/2 size Geni. Clm.
OT/PT	850	1	850	850	1	850	850	1	850	858	1	850				
ART & MUSIC			1,850			2,425			2,425			2,425			75	
Art Classroom - 25 seats	850	- 1	850	1,000	1	1,000	1,000	1	1,000	1,000	1	1,000	1,000	0	190	assumed schedule 2 lines / week / student
Art Workroom w/ Storage & kiln			-	150	1.	150	150	1	150	150	1	150	150	0	(2)	
Music Classroom / Large Group - 25-50 seats	1,000	- 1	1,000	1,200	1	1,280	1,200	-1	1,200	1,200	1	1,200	1,200	0	180	assumed schedule 2 times / week / student
Music Practice / Ensemble				75	1	75	75	1	75	75	1	75	75	1	75	
HEALTH & PHYSICAL EDUCATION			4,800			5,600			6,000			0			6,300	Excess PE Spaces Policy
Gymnasium	4,800	1	4,800	5,600	1	5,600	6,000	1	6,000	- 3	utilize existir	g	6,000	1	6,000	6000 SF Min. Size
Gym Storeroom			-						181				150	1	150	
Health Instructor's Office w/ Shower & Toilet											_		150	1	150	
MEDIA CENTER			2,000			2,000			2,000			0			2,020	
Media Center / Reading Room	2,000	-1	2,000	2,000	1	2,000	2,000	1	2,000		utilize existin	g	2,020	1	2,020	
DINING & FOOD SERVICE	93.93		4,750			4,125	1000		4,125			0			4,125	
Cafeteria / Dining	2,500	1	2,500	1,125	1	1,125	1,125	1	1,125		utilize existir		1,125	1	1,125	2 seatings - 16SF per seat
Stage	650	. 1	650	1,000	1	1,000	1,000	1	1,000		utilize existir	g	1,000	1.	1,000	
Chair / Table / Equipment Storage			-	200	1	200	200	1	200		utilize existir		200	1	200	
Kitchen	1,600	1	1,600	1,600	1	1,600	1,600	-1	1,600		utilize existir		1,600	1	1,600	
Staff Lunch Room				200	1	200	200	-1	200	-	utilize existin	g	200	-1	200	20 SF/O soupeard
MEDICAL			455			410			410			0			410	
										- 3	utilize existir					
DMINISTRATION & GUIDANCE			2,105			2,015	-		2,015	1.400	e some of ex	1,600			2,015	
CUSTODIAL & MAINTENANCE			1,750			1,900			1,900	duic	e some or ex	isung 0			1,900	
*				not incl	. 5600sf old						utilize existir					
OTHER			0			0			0			0			0	
Total Building Net Floor Area (NFA)			30,760			31,975			32,735			17,885			27,205	
Proposed Student Capacity / Enrollment			139			150			150			150				Enter grade enrollments below
a representation of the second			85			90			90			90			90	Lower Elementary, Grades K-2
ION-PROGRAMMED SPACES			54		% of GFA	19,025		% of GFA	60 15,265		% of GFA	8.115			60	Upper Elementary, Grades 3-6
					20 OFA			W ST OF A			N GI GFA				*****	
Total Building Gross Floor Area (GFA) ²	/includes *	4k auf abov	58,000 doned wing)	-	-	51,000	-		48,000		-	26,000	_	\vdash	40,808	
Grossing factor (GFANFA)	(includes 1	чк уэг авап	aonea wing) 1.89		-	1.59		_	1.47		-	1.45		\vdash	1.50	

1. **AR1MS – Add/Reno of the Main Street building**. This study reuses the majority of the original 1950's (front) wing and entire 1980's (back) wing, but demolishes the 1960's (west) wing. In this plan the front portion of the original school is rebuilt to provide a new main entry, administration & cafetorium/kitchen. The existing cafeteria/kitchen would remain, but unused due to the conditions and accessibility issues.



The plans includes 1 extra classroom for sake of flexibility and to handle year to year class size fluctuations given the small enrollment that results in an unfortunate average of 30 students/grade (likely ranging from 20-40 and requiring 1 or 2 classrooms in any given year). Reuse of the existing spaces leaves some slightly under or over square foot guidelines, but right-sizes where possible.

The new construction would require temporary relocation of the administration and nurses suites, but would be significantly separated from the majority of academics, helping to minimize disruption to the existing schools. Interior renovations would need to be phased and requires further study.

It should be noteed that with the other two elementary schools in the range of 450 to 600 students, this school suffers inequities and the benefits of 3-4 classroom teams, full-time resources and specialists and likely enrichment options that a larger school can provide. Some examples include multiple art rooms that can be specialized for 2D or 3D work, access to specialists and support services and larger gym, cafeteria and media center spaces for gathering, performance or assembly.

2. **N1MS** – an all new option on the Main Street site. This study builds an all new school on site and away from the existing building to minimize educational disruption while under construction. The location for the new school is flexible, but intended to stay clear from the wetlands, well protection easement and sewage lines.

The simple two-story linear plan has a center entry with K-2 classrooms to the east on the ground floor, grades 3-4 above, and major activity/community spaces (gym/caf and media center above)

to the west. All spaces are brought up to guidelines and it includes Art, Music and Special Needs rooms are provided per current plans, despite the MSBA default calculations.



This plan at 150 students carries the same concerns for program equity as the Add/Reno.

3. AR1SP – Add/Reno of the Spaulding Street School. This plan provides a comparative study of consolidating the Ashby needs at the Spaulding Elementary School in Townsend. The size, shape and location of an addition would require further study, but for conceptual purposes, is shown as a 2-story academic wing for 150 students with supplemental Art, Music, Tech. & Administration space. The existing 79,000 Spaulding ES would be included as renovated scope, anticipating that the original 1930 building and prior additions/renovations (most recent in 1994) would need to be addressed and brought up to current code requirements and standards.

Without a full assessment of the existing Spaulding School, this plan is based on some assumptions. One assumption is that decreased enrollments across the District creates some capacity and the Spaulding School's major spaces (gym, cafeteria & media center), can accommodate another 150 students.



According to current DESE data, a combined Ashby and Spaulding Elementary School enrollment would be around 605 students. The Varnum Elementary School (Pepperell), originally built in 1977 and most recently renovated in 1996 is listed at 561 students.

Conversely, another alternative might be to consolidate Ashby and Spaulding on an alternative site and allow the former schools to be repurposed or sold.

Potential Costs Matrix

	AR1MS	N1MS	AR1SP	BR
Sq Ft - New	7,000	48,000	26,000	0
Sq Ft - Repair/Reno	44,000	0	79,820	42,400
Stories	1	2	2	2
ECC	\$16,161,576	\$20,589,912	\$28,670,673	\$6,947,211
Site Remediation	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000
Site Development	\$400,000	\$3,200,000	\$800,000	\$367,737
Hazmat	\$243,460	\$243,460	NR	\$243,460
Bulk Demo	\$111,440	\$461,680	NR	\$111,440
Construction Contingency	\$1,131,310	\$617,697	\$860,120	\$486,305
FF&E	\$360,000	\$360,000	\$360,000	\$360,000
Soft Costs	\$2,932,030	\$4,029,855	\$5,120,758	\$1,311,448
TPC	\$21,389,816	\$29,552,604	\$35,861,550	\$9,877,601

Notes on the costs:

- We have based the site repair costs at the Main Street site on the Habeeb report
- We have based the renovation costs on the base repair PSF numbers with design contingency

- For the option at Spaulding school we have left the Main Street building as it is today as demolition or any work there will not be reimbursable and it will likely be returned to the Town if it is decommissioned as a school.
- For the renovations we have not adjusted the building envelope other than the roof and we assume that other systems that are functional would remain in place.

As for the MSBA's potential involvement and reimbursement of any of these options, it is difficult to say for any of the options on Main Street due to the size and enrollment of the school the MSBA may choose to not participate in any options that utilize that site.

For this exercise we have made the following assumptions:

- MSBA will not participate in any version of the project put forth by the District that has a student population below the MSBA threshold.
- The grant will be based on 57% reimbursement with possibly 2 points for Green schools plus up to 7 points for area of renovation.
- The Spaulding School would be fully renovated as part of any project.
- The MSBA cost per square foot cap will remain at \$333 and the site cap will remain at 8%.

	AR1MS	N1MS	AR1SP
Eligible Cost	\$17,437,233.65	\$19,244,015.91	\$34,747,250.21
Grant	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$21,890,767.63
On District	\$21,389,815.60	\$29,552,604.23	\$13,970,782.75

MSBA's grant process relies on eligible and ineligible costs to develop the grant, the cost per square foot cap is generally the largest deduction as MSBA's average construction costs for new construction far exceed the cap. This is followed by the site cap which is generally exceeded by most projects. In this case two of the options may not exceed the site cap and due to the extensive amount of renovation in each the PSF cap has limited effect on the project.

AR1MS – this option benefits from substantial renovation which keeps the overall blended PSF cost lower, however, it does not have the student population required by MSBA, and unless a special disposition is made the MSBA will not participate in funding this option.

N1MS – the all new option is most effected by exceeding both the site cap and the PSF cost cap, it is also a small project which generally carries a premium. It also does not have the student population required by MSBA, and unless a special disposition is made the MSBA will not participate in funding this option.

AR1SP – This option also benefits from the substantial renovation and limited site work which pushes the PSF cost cap down.

This exercise indicates that at this size building the add/reno schemes are the most economic for the District to pursue. However, these options come with a caveat that they will all be using similar levels of fuel, water and electricity as they are currently as the add/renos do not fully resolve operational

costs as they relate to the building's envelope. It is also important to note that we have not done a full study on the options and can only present this data based on the limited information we have and our experience, ultimately the costs could be lower or higher and the grant amounts could swing considerably.

S
0
ŏ
2
Š
S
>
ā
7
ē
5
ē
Ш
2
ā
Ε
E
3
S
O
ي
ă
š
-
õ
Ś
8
č
ĭ
σ

MSBA Guidelines (refer to MSBA Educational Program & Space Standard Guidelines)

area totals

#OF RMS

ROOM NFA¹

8,350 2,400 4,750

900-1,300 SF equal to surrounding dassrooms

2,010 950 9 60 500 1

950 60 500 500

assumed schedule 2 times / week / student

6,000 150 150

4,126 1,125 1,000 200 1,600 200 200

1,125 1,000 200 1,600 200

ROOM TYPE CORE A CADEMIC SPACES Pre-krindergarten wit blief Krindergarten wit blief Krindergarten wit blief			_					ALL NEW				ADD TO SPAULDING
CORE ACADEMIC SPACES Pre-Kindergarten w/ toilet Kindergarten w/ toilet Kindergarten w/ toilet	ROOM # OF	# OF RMS area totals		ROOM NFA ¹	#OF RMS	area totals	ROOM NFA ¹	# OF RMS	S area totals	ROOM NFA ¹	# OF RMS	area totals
Pre-Kindergarten w/ tollet Kindergarten w/ tollet		9,200	0			9,650			9,500			9,500
Kindergarten w/ tollet		Y				r			Ť.			1
Concession Concession 1 of the Concession 1 of	1,400	2 2,800	9	1,200	2	2,400	1,200	2	2,400	1	2	2,400
GELIEI GIASSI UUIIIS - GIAUE I-B	4		0	820	2	4,250	820	7	5,950	820	7	5,950
Contract of the contract of th	1,000	2 2,000	9 9	1,000	2	2,000	000		000 1	000 1		000
STE Storage	000'1	1,00	3	000'1		000,1	150	-	150	150		150
000												
SPECIAL EDUCATION		3,850				3,850			4,360			4,360
Self-Contained SPED (2 + ELL)	850	3 2,550	02	850	8	2,550	850	8	2,550	850	8	2,550
Self-Contained SPED - toilet		. 0				c	09	1	09		-	09
Resource Room (Speech & Therapy)	450	1 450	20	450	1	450	450	1	450		1	450
Small Group Room / Reading		0				3	450	-	450	450	-	4
OT/PT	820	1 850	90	820	-	820	820	-	820		-	00
distribution of the state of		1	1			107.0			107.0	9		
ART & MUSIC	090	1,850	. ا	1 000	+	2,425	1 000	-	2,425	000	,	2,425
Art Workroom w/ Storage & Lillo	000	8	2	150	-	150	150	- -	150	150		150
Music Classroom / Large Group - 25-50 seats	1.000	1.000	0.	1.200		1.200	1,200		1.200	1.200		1.200
Music Practice / Ensemble				75	-	75	75	-	75	75	-	
HEALTH & PHYSICAL EDUCATION		4,800	٥			5,600			6,000			
Gymnasium	4,800	1 4,800	9	5,600	-	5,600	000'9	-	000'9	_	utilize existing	1
Gym Storeroom		1		0								
nealth instructor's Office w/ Shower & Tollet		70						-				
MEDIA CENTER		2.000	0			2.000			2.000			
Media Center / Reading Room	2.000	1 2,000		2.000	-	2,000	2.000		2,000		utilize existing	
0												
DINING & FOOD SERVICE		4,750	0			4,125			4,125			
Cafeteria / Dining	2,500	1 2,50	00	1,125	1	1,125	1,125	1	1,125		utilize existing	3
Stage	650	1 650	02	1,000	-	1,000	1,000	-	1,000		utilize existing	1
Chair / Table / Equipment Storage		1	1	200	-	200	200	-	200		utilize existing	
Kitchen	1,600	1,600	el el	1,600	-	1,600	1,600		1,600		utilize existing	
Start Lunch Room		Y	_	200	6	200	200	+	200		unsixa azilir	
MEDICAL		455	IC.			410			410			
											utilize existing	
ADMINISTRATION & GUIDANCE		2,105	10			2,015			2,015			1,600
										otilize	utilize some of existing	sting
CUSTODIAL & MAINTENANCE		1,750	0			1,900			1,900			
			_ _	not incl. 5	not incl. 5600sf old caf/kitch	af/kitch					utilize existing	
OTHER			0			0			0			
Total Cultillian Mark Class Association Association	+	101.00	Т. Т.	1	†	24 075		+	101.00			14.00
l otal building Net Floor Area (NPA)		30,760	ا			0/8,15			32,135			088,11
Proposed Student Capacity / Enrollment		139	gg 1		1	150		+	150			150
		20 14	82	7		90		1	98			8 8
MON DOOD APARED COACEC		ľ	1		00. Of O EA	20.00		00 of OF 8	047		OK OF OF A	3
TALL DURINGED STACES					K10 10 K	13,020		2010 8			210108	8,1
Total building Gloss Floor Alea (GFA)	includes 14k asf	98,000	31	1	1	000,10		1	48,000			76,000
Grossing factor (GEA/NEA)	includes 14h gs	189	0			1.59			1.47			1.45
(Carron Burgon)		-				2						

	1.45		
			100
	1.47		
			O.
	1.59		
doned wing)	1.89	,,,,,	
ysf abanc			

27,205
150 Enter grade enrollments below
150 Lower Elementary, Grades K-2
150 Upper Elementary, Grades 3-6

1.50