



**Hillsboro School District
Bond Advisory Committee
Meeting #1 Notes
Wednesday, October 26, 2016
6:00 p.m. – 9:00 p.m.**

Bond Advisory Committee Members Attending: Deanna Palm, Jerry Willey, Rebecca Carrey-Smith, Jesse Lovrien, Joelle Hildner, Jennifer Trimble, Martin Granum, Glenn Miller, Kim Strelchun, Matthew Buckingham, Jeremy Stewart, Kristina Nguyen, Javier Gonzalez, Dave Vickery, Mike Strande, Roger Will, Lindsay Garcia, Rob Massar, Dave Militich, Robby Hammond, Ryan Wells, Blake Boyles, Joe Vermeire, Melody Hansen

Bond Advisory Committee Members Absent: Shawna Ashley Ingram

Others Attending: Adam Stewart, Beth Graser, Don Wolff, Sharon McCarty, Michelle Morrison, Mike Scott, Frank Angelo, Shayna Rehberg, Ed Hayden, Kyle Hayden, Rick Rainone

The following notes identify concerns and questions that Bond Advisory Committee (BAC) members shared during Meeting #1.

1. Welcome Mike Scott, Superintendent
 - Objectives for the BAC
 - Committee Co-Chairs
 - Committee Facilitator

2. Committee Introductions Deanna Palm, Committee Co-Chair
Jerry Willey, Committee Co-Chair
 - Committee Members Introductions – background and concerns
 - Many members have children who either are students in Hillsboro School District either currently or were in the past
 - Rob Massar, Assistant Washington County Administrator – bond measure experience
 - Blake Boyles, North Plains City Manager – North Plains doubling its population in next 3 years, planned for a new school
 - Jeremy Stewart, Glencoe High School parent – advocate for sports and student adversity support



- Rick Rainone, Cornerstone Construction – has provided technical support four bond measures in past
- Jennifer Trimble, Community Member – security for students and teachers
- Joelle Hildner, Smith Berry Farm – build image and resources of schools, losing students to new Beaverton School District high school
- Ryan Wells, Cornelius Community Development Director – new Long Range Planning Committee (LRPC) member, bring voice to more rural (Western Wash County) communities in district
- Don Wolff, Chief Technology Officer – will be a resource and will take input regarding technology improvements (“21st century schools”)
- Roger Will, Brown Middle School Principal – impact of buildings on learning
- Javier Gonzalez, Liberty High School – youth, student, and minority perspective
- Ed Hayden and Kyle Hayden, Hayden Group – have represented District for 28 years on land purchases

3. Committee Purpose & Role

Frank Angelo

- Committee Purpose Memo
 - To develop a capital bond package and bond timing recommendation to be delivered to the Superintendent.
 - There will be a total of 5 BAC Meetings, along with at least 2 Community Meetings, between October and April, 2016.
 - Meeting materials will be sent one week in advance of each meeting to the BAC.
 - All materials and meetings are open to public with the last 10 minutes of each meeting reserved for public comment.
 - BAC members are encouraged to refer any media requests or contacts to Adam Stewart or Beth Graser at HSD.
 - Will community meetings be held in different areas of the district? BAC members are encouraged to share ideas regarding meeting locations.
- Discussion of School Tour
 - The tour ended up making three stops (Hillsboro High, South Hillsboro, and North Plains) instead of four stops.
 - The Long Range Planning Committee learned that 1,000 new units are being developed in Cornelius at October 20th Long Range Planning Committee Meeting.

4. School Facility Planning Background

Adam Stewart

- Issue Paper #1: 2016 Long Range Facility Plan
 - LRPC formed after 2006 bond
 - Board-approved long range plan recommendations
 - Capacity formula
 - Are the capacity assumptions (number of students per classroom) aspirational or do they more reflect current conditions? The assumptions are closer to current conditions, although current conditions can be much higher (e.g., 40+ students). Aspirational targets would likely be much lower than the assumptions in the formula.
 - Are the capacity assumptions the same for portables? Yes. Core facilities (e.g., kitchen, auditorium) also help determine capacity.
 - Renovation/replacement
 - Does renovation/replacement ratio account for educational improvements? Costs considered are just those for meeting code, not for other improvements.
 - Has Hillsboro High reached the threshold? High school replacement costs are very high (e.g., Beaverton's new high school, \$200+/sf), so not necessarily.
 - Career and technical programs – Providing these programs in a center/central facility or more integrated into existing and new schools is being evaluated.
 - Portables – There are roughly two elementary schools worth of students currently in portables.
 - Do support facilities get expanded with addition of portables? Not necessarily, and yet existing facilities are not currently in any code violations even though support facilities have not also been expanded.
 - Transportation
 - Can parking areas be improved/expanded? Possibly, if the school is rebuilt. Parking area design is driven by local jurisdiction requirements. There are trade-offs between using the site for parking versus other uses and educational programming.

- Schools with transfers (total of about 2,000 students or 10% of all students) have loading/queuing problems.
 - Can sidewalks be improved? Sidewalks adjacent to the school are improved if the school is rebuilt. Otherwise, sidewalk improvement projects need to be in City/County plans or programs.
- Issue Paper #2: School Enrollment and Capacity
 - Davis Demographics is preparing a second set of enrollment projections.
 - What about schools that are under capacity? Yes, there are schools technically “under capacity,” but boundary adjustments to “reallocate” students to schools are very difficult. However, boundary adjustments will need to happen when new schools are built. Schools that are under capacity find good uses for the space. As described by administrators and staff, being at capacity can be “painful.”
 - Other uses that need space are not necessarily accounted for in capacity. School choice will only bring greater challenges to capacity, and on an unpredictable basis.

5. Bond History and Approach

Adam Stewart

- Issue Paper #3: HSD Bond History & Financing
 - Do we keep the rate or “dream bigger”? This is what the District and Board would like to hear from committee. Committee member with polling and bond program development experience, however, commented on loss of support when rate is increased.
 - What is the competition like on upcoming ballots? There are several other bond requests expected on next May’s ballot, thus, the HSD bond request will wait until after that.
 - Committee members requested a history of bond failures and successes (especially the 2006 measure), type of packages, margins by which they passed/failed (can this be differentiated by area of the district?), and conditions at the time of these ballot measures.
 - There is a need to balance GO bond (capital and construction, technology, furniture) and levy (operations, teachers) requests over time.
 - Could calculations be prepared showing the bond amounts for the current tax rates and progressively higher tax rates? Staff will follow up on that.
 - Consider a tiered approach, e.g., having elements of the bond program come on at 2025.



- Including projects in package for all schools (e.g., appeal to large % of Hillsboro city residents without students, 25% Latino population).
- Issue Paper #4: Bond Project Development
 - We are open to other categories and/or criteria for projects.
- Committee requests
 - Is it possible to hold BAC meetings at schools? This is possible for meetings after the next one (the meetings starting in January next year).
 - Can an overview of Hillsboro 2035 overview be provided by City staff, as well as overviews of other visioning documents from other communities? Robby Hammond, City of Hillsboro, will follow up on this.
 - How does the District compare to other school districts in terms of technology (e.g., 1:1 computing)? Don Wolff, HSD Chief Technology Officer, will follow up on this and present at Meeting #2.
 - What is the average assessed value and tax obligation for homes in Hillsboro? Adam Stewart will follow up on this.
 - Can the meeting format include more discussion and small group work in the future? After a more information-oriented format at the next meeting, committee organizers would like the BAC to discuss projects and project packages as smaller groups.
 - There are links for the long range plan recommendations in Issue Paper #1, and the long range plan can be found on the HSD web page for the bond, as well as for long range planning (e.g., About HSD/The Future of HSD/Bond:
http://www.hsd.k12.or.us/Portals/0/District/departments/business/HSD%20Long%20Range%20Facility%20Plan_053116_with%20appendices.pdf?ver=2016-06-01-092506-340). The facility needs spreadsheet is also available on the HSD bond web page (<https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1ukZzK9bSoCIYd3V6Rkm301Lsmn17AeGrNrwoyQSSxBo/edit?ts=56055a3a&pli=1#gid=0>).

7. Public Comment

Deanna Palm, Committee Co-Chair
Jerry Willey, Committee Co-Chair

- There was no public comment.

8. Next Meeting

Frank Angelo

- Bond Committee Meeting #2
Wednesday, November 16, 2016
- Review bond project lists

