Port Arthur Independent School District Abraham Lincoln Middle School 2023-2024 Campus Improvement Plan



Mission Statement

Our Campus Mission Shall be to meet the unique educational needs of our diverse population by providing a comprehensive quality education for students of the Port Arthur Independent School District.

Vision

Our Campus Vision shall be to become a leader in Texas in continuous school improvement by offering to its students a quality education necessary for them to achieve academic excellence.

Board of Trustees

2023-2024

Port Arthur ISD Board of Trustees

Brandon Bartie, President

Kenneth Lofton, Sr., Vice President

Kimberly Wycoff-Johnson, Secretary

Debra Ambroise, Trustee

Dr. Johnny Brown, Trustee

Taylor Getwood, Trustee

Jacori Narcisse, Trustee

Dr. Mark Porterie, Superintendent of Schools

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Student Learning	7
School Processes & Programs	9
Perceptions	11
Priority Problem Statements	13
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	15
Goals	18
Goal 1: We will provide positive, safe, and respectful learning environments.	18
Goal 2: We will provide an aligned, rigorous curriculum and well-rounded educational experiences that empower each student to achieve high levels of academic success.	19
Goal 3: We will partner with families and communities to increase family support and engagement in the educational process.	23
Goal 4: We will attract, retain, and build capacity in employees by developing and enhancing the learning environment.	24
Goal 5: We will provide fiscal stability, accountability, and sufficient resources necessary to create an environment to support student success.	26
Targeted Support Strategies	27
2023-2024 Site Based Decision Making Team	28
Attendance Committee	29

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary

Abraham Lincoln Middle School is a Title 1 middle school in Port Arthur, TX, that serves 6th, 7th, and 8th grade students.

Lincoln Middle has 90 total staff members with 58 being instructional, 5 administrators, 2 counselors, 1 instructional coach, 1 social worker, 1 diagnostician, 1 cafeteria manager, 1 nurse, 4 custodians, 5 clerical staff, 1 communities in school, 1 librarian assistant, and 13 instructional aides.

Lincoln Middle offers services and classes that cater to the needs of the diverse population we serve. Those populations include: Gifted/Talented, CTE, ESL, Special Education, Title 1, Fine Arts (band, choir, theater arts, and dance), and athletics. All staff members are educated on the needs of the many diverse populations even though they may not serve all of those populations.

Average Class Size

Average Class Size	25
Student to Teacher Ratio	25 to 1

School Population

Student Total	628	100%
6th Grade	187	29.78%
7th Grade	202	32.17%
8th Grade	239	38.06%

Student Demographics

Gender		
Female	305	48.57%
Male	323	51.43%
Ethnicity		
Hispanic/Latino	236	37.85%
Race		

Gender		
American Indian – Alaskan Native	7	1.11%
Asian	12	1.91%
Black – African American	349	55.57%
Hispanic – Latino	236	37.58%
Native Hawaiian-Pacific Islander	1	0.16%
White	12	1.91%
Two or More	11	1.75%

Student Programs/Indicators

Dyslexia	30	4.78%
Gifted and Talented	45	7.17%
Section 504	58	9.24%
Special Education (SPED)	104	16.56%
Emergent Bilingual	156	24.84%
Alternative ESL Language Program	143	22.77%
At Risk	212	33.76%
Foster Care	1	0.16%
Immigrant	16	2.55%
Intervention Indicator	20	3.18%
Migrant	7	1.11%
Economically Disadvantaged Total	526	83.76%
Free Meals	406	64.65%
Reduced Price Meals	20	3.18%
Other Economic Disadvantage	100	15.92%
Homeless Status Total	4	0.64%

Parents/Guardians/Community

Port Arthur is a city in Jefferson County within the Beaumont–Port Arthur metropolitan area of the U.S. state of Texas. It is 90 mi (140 km) east of Houston. The largest oil refinery in the United States, the Motiva Refinery is located in Port Arthur. The population of Port Arthur was 56,039 at the 2020 census. Port Arthur was incorporated as a city in 1898 and soon developed into a seaport. It eventually became the center of a large oil refinery network.

Demographics (as of 2020 census)

Race	Number	Percentage
White (NH)	9,259	16.52%
Black or African	21,046	37.56%
Native American or Alaskan Native	105	.019%
Asian (NH)	3,272	5.84%
Pacific Islander (NH)	14	0.02%
Some Other Race (NH)	191	0.34%
Mixed/Multi-Racial (NH)	987	1.76%
Hispanic or Latino	21,165	37.77%
Total	56.039	

Demographics Strengths

We are a campus of diverse learners of African American, Hispanics, Caucasian and Asian students. Our staff is equally diversed with similar references.

Adequately staffed.

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Of the total student population, 83.76% are considered economically disadvantaged. **Root Cause:** The low socioeconomic status of the vast majority of the families within the city attributes to the cause of the economically disadvantaged population.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Cultural diversity causes conflict amongst students. **Root Cause:** Our campus is not a neighborhood campus causing approximately 75% of our students to be transported to school by district transportation.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): Lack of certified personnel in core areas. Root Cause: We received few appropriately certified applicants.

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

The campus systematically addresses reteaching for students who are absent, particularly for students who are at-risk of failing using a Titan Plan of Success. The Titan Plan of Success is a plan in which teachers record their attempt to contact parents, informing them of students who are failing or at risk of failing. Teachers host tutorial sessions and document the attendance to those sessions. They also contact parents regarding students' grades and behavior and document those conversations. This documentation is due to the principal at the end of each month. Teachers also post their lessons in our Learning Management System, Schoology. They include videos, PowerPoints, notes, and assignments, as well as practice assignments. Teachers also have classroom procedures on obtaining missing work due to an absence.

What is the academic performance for each student group?

The 2023 Student Achievement for ELA/Reading at Approaches Grade Level or Above performance rate was 62%. At the Meets Grade Level or above, the 2023 performance rate for ELA/Reading was 33%. At the Masters Grade Level or Above, the 2023 performance rate for ELA/Reading is 9%.

There has been a 6% increase/growth for the 2023 STAAR ELA/Reading student achievement in the approaches category and a 1% increase/growth for the 2023 student achievement in the meets category compared to the 2022 scores. In terms of the scores by race/Ethnicity, the 2023 STAAR scores for ELAR shows that students identified as White have scored higher-with 74% of the students reached Approaches Score, followed by Hispanic/Latino-with 61% reached Approaches score. Black/African American scored lower with 57% of the students reached Approaches score.

The 2023 Student Achievement for Math at Approaches Grade Level or Above performance rate is 51%. At the Meets Grade Level or above, the 2023 performance rate for Math is 20%. At the Masters Grade Level or Above, the 2023 performance rate for Math is 5%.

There has been an increase of 8% for the 2023 STAAR Math student achievement in the approaches category and a 4% decrease for the 2023 student achievement in the meets category compared to the 2022 scores. In terms of the scores by race/Ethnicity, the 2023 STAAR scores for Math shows that students identified as Hispanic/Latino have scored higher-with 52% of the students reached Approaches Score, followed by White-with 50% students reached Approaches score. Black/African American students scored lower with 49% of the students reached Approaches score.

The 2023 Student Achievement for Science at Approaches Grade Level or Above performance rate is 54%. At the Meets Grade Level or above, the 2023 performance rate for Science is 17%. At the Masters Grade Level or Above, the 2023 performance rate for Science is 4%.

There has been an increase of 7% for the 2023 STAAR Science student achievement in the approaches category and no change for the 2023 student achievement in the meets category compared to the 2022 scores. In terms of the scores by race/Ethnicity, the 2023 STAAR scores for Science shows that students identified as White have scored higher-with 75% of the students reached Approaches Score, followed by Hispanic-with 56% reached Approaches score. Black/African American students scored lower with 52% of the students reached Approaches score.

The 2023 Student Achievement for Social Studies at Approaches Grade Level or Above performance rate is 21%. At the Meets Grade Level or above, the 2023 performance rate for Science is 4%. At the Masters Grade Level or Above, the 2022 performance rate for Science is 2%.

There has been an increase of 1% for the 2023 STAAR Social Studies student achievement in the approaches category and a 2% decrease for the 2023 student achievement in the meets category compared to the 2022 scores. In terms of the scores by race/Ethnicity, the 2022 STAAR Result scores for Social Studies shows that students identified as White scored higher with 38% of the students reached Approaches Score, followed by Hispanic with 18% reached Approaches score. Black/African American students scored lower with 18% of the students reached Approaches score.

Student Learning Strengths

Student Advisory is a study tool that requires all students who failed to master the STAAR exam to complete HB4545 tutorial hours and for students who were successful with STAAR to utilize student time to work on skills needed to pass next test.

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): The 2023 Student Achievement for ELA/Reading at Approaches Grade Level or Above performance rate is 62%. At the Meets Grade Level or above, the 2023 performance rate for ELA/Reading is 33%. At the Masters Grade Level or Above, the 2022 performance rate for ELA/Reading is 9%. **Root Cause:** Lack of foundational literacy, comprehension, inference, and analysis skills needed to access grade-level content, inability to engage in cooperative learning, and difficulty for students and staff to reacclimate to the school environment.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): The 2023 Student Achievement for Math at Approaches Grade Level or Above performance rate is 51%. At the Meets Grade Level or above, the 2023 performance rate for Math is 20%. At the Masters Grade Level or Above, the 2023 performance rate for Math is 5%. **Root Cause:** Lack of foundational problem-solving and processing skills needed to access grade-level content, inability to engage in cooperative learning, and difficulty for students and staff to reacclimate to the school environment.

Problem Statement 3: The 2023 Student Achievement for Science at Approaches Grade Level or Above performance rate is 54%. At the Meets Grade Level or above, the 2023 performance rate for Science is 17%. At the Masters Grade Level or Above, the 2023 performance rate for Science is 4%. **Root Cause:** Lack of foundational critical thinking skills needed to access grade-level content, inability to engage in cooperative learning, and difficulty for students and staff to reacclimate to the school environment.

Problem Statement 4: The 2023 Student Achievement for Social Studies at Approaches Grade Level or Above performance rate is 21%. At the Meets Grade Level or above, the 2023 performance rate for Social Studies is 4%. At the Masters Grade Level or Above, the 2023 performance rate for Social Studies is 3%. **Root Cause:** Lack of foundational critical thinking skills needed to access grade-level content, inability to engage in cooperative learning, and difficulty for students and staff to reacclimate to the school environment.

Problem Statement 5 (Prioritized): Teachers are not efficiently implementing classroom instruction. **Root Cause:** Teachers are not adequately trained on and supported with the implementation of best practices.

School Processes & Programs

School Processes & Programs Summary

Staff development is designed to meet the needs of the campus under the advice of the administrative team and the instructional coach.

Communication: Newsletters (staff and parents), Parental involvement programs (Open House, Orientation, STAAR Parent Nights, etc.)

Master scheduling is completed based on the needs of the campus and its students.

The support services area is a part of the school district's design through the schools social worker and in collaboration with Communities In Schools to provide support services for students in need.

Extra curricular activities are a major part of campus involvement. Male and female participation is usually accelerated with the more popular sports. An administrator is also assigned to oversee extra curricular activities. All of the administrative team members participate in these student engagements.

Co-curricular activities are introduced in department and PLC meetings to allow students the opportunity to utilize common practices across subject areas.

Our campus technology plan utilizes several technological equipment and programs. Each teacher is assigned a Boxlight for technology integration in their respective courses. Students are allowed to use the Boxlight and ChromeBooks in the classrooms for cooperative learning and independent practice. Chrome books are also used in the advisory teachers' rooms for students who are completing requirements for HB4545.

Daily lesson-level, unit, and interim assessments are administered to determine if students learned what was taught. Assessments are at the appropriate level of rigor and aligned to TEKS and instructional materials. Time for reteach is built into the scope and sequence.

High-quality instructional materials are used across classrooms, including resources intentionally designed to meet the needs of students with disabilities and English learners, along with other student groups with diverse needs

Campus instructional leaders review how teachers internalize, modify and use lesson plans, providing feedback and lesson planning support regarding alignment to the scope and sequence, the standards, and the expected level of rigor.

AVID

TAME

School Processes & Programs Strengths

HB4545 is underway. All students are assigned to respective sections, and classes and tutorials have begun. Mondays and Wednesdays are math tutorials and Tuesdays and Thursdays ELAR tutorials.

The enrollment of students has increased which signifies the participation of special education, bilingual/ESL, gifted/talented, which includes AP?IBand advanced graduation plans from 18% to 62%.

Our campus is in the process of implementing AVID across the entire Core classes which will make our students more college and career ready.

Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1: Covering all designated materials within the curriculum pacing guide designed by the school district. **Root Cause:** With the students' lack of foundational skills, closing the gaps and reteaching efforts impede on the designated curriculum pacing.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Varied ability of effective lesson delivery and differentiated instruction development provided Root Cause: Lack of availability and relevancy of professional development provided

Problem Statement 3: Implementation of technological integration in the classroom is frequently interrupted **Root Cause:** The lack of adequate amount of student devices, internet outages, and inadequate bandwidth.

Problem Statement 4 (Prioritized): Observation and feedback from campus instructional leaders are not consistent. **Root Cause:** Interruptions within the school day amidst time constraints cause for the inconsistency of observations and feedback.

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

Attendance rate is currently at an average of 92% among all ethic groups, which compares similarly to the beginning of the previous school year. At the end of last school year, there was a drop in attendance for students averaging 89.07%. Behavior issues have been solved using restorative discipline, redirection, in school suspension, after- school detention, parent conference, out-of-school suspensions, and DAEP placement. There has been no expulsion.

Staff turnover is the same as compared to the previous year. Professional developments, training, and one-on-one mentorship by department supervisors are provided at the beginning of the school year to support both the veteran and new teachers. Mentorship within the department and expectations communicated to new teachers by department leaders and administrators seem to be more effective.

Parent/Guardian/Community Engagement- Attendance at the Beginning of School Year Orientation, Open House, parent/ teacher conferences, ARD meetings and attendance to school events/ sport activities are some of the rates used to measure participation. Newsletters/notice of events, social media pages, direct communication, services from social workers and Communities in Schools are some of the ways used by the school to reach out to the outside population. The public servants (e.g. judge, police officers, and school board) support the school by providing ways to minimize/eradicate common behavior issues among students.

Students' perceptions about the school differ. While some engage in positive learning with a success mindset, others find excuses to escape classwork which leads to failure. Bell-to-bell instructional time supports student learning, and with most of the teachers using the student led learning system in the classroom, students are involved in class activity. Sometimes, students engaging in negative behavior during class instructions distract others who are willing to learn, and these students' inappropriate conduct reduces minutes of effective class engagement.

Parents and Guardians - Their perception differs. Some parents find excuses for their child(ren)'s behavior while others are willing to communicate with the school staff on the best way to help their child succeed and learn. Phone calls, emails, text messages, and remind apps, are some of the means of communication used by teachers to reach parents, depending on the class preference and what works best for the parents. Teacher conference periods are also used to discuss with parents who reach out with concerns, and there is an open-door policy in place with administrators for parents who have concerns about their child's academics or discipline. Progress reports and report cards are accessible online for parents to track their students' progress and the counselors or teachers are always available to help the parents access the reports.

Teachers- The veteran teachers seem to be more familiar with the daily routine and school expectations. Department conference periods are used by the department leaders and other s members in the department to teach and support new teachers. All teachers have access to professional development at the beginning of the school year and on certain days specified in the district calendars alendars. Department supervisors also communicate available professional developments at the education service centers that will benefit the staff while the school administrators approve attendance to support staff. Weekly Professional Learning Community (PLC's) are held with the instructional coach to set expectations, give updates and share knowledge. Departmental meetings are also used to support teachers individually and as a team.

Stakeholders- Meetings are held with the administrators to request support for the students and school at large.

Perceptions Strengths

Mostly positive. There are an array of ways to communicate. School administrators engage in open door policy and are readily available to meet with any stakeholder. Positive work environment, Good attendance rate and staff turnover, Positive work environment, access to professional development/ training, Effective communication among team members.

Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): High number of student disciplinary referrals. **Root Cause:** Students' lack of maturity and social-emotional development result in poor decision making.

Problem Statement 2: Staff perceptions of academic expectations of themselves and students. **Root Cause:** Student negative behavior, inconsistency among team members, and lack of accountability for students and staff.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): Lack of parental academic involvement **Root Cause:** Lack of knowledge of updated school processes and procedures, socioeconomically disadvantaged, less involvement at the secondary level, campus proximity to home, and access to transportation

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: The 2023 Student Achievement for ELA/Reading at Approaches Grade Level or Above performance rate is 62%. At the Meets Grade Level or above, the 2023 performance rate for ELA/Reading is 33%. At the Masters Grade Level or Above, the 2022 performance rate for ELA/Reading is 9%.

Root Cause 1: Lack of foundational literacy, comprehension, inference, and analysis skills needed to access grade-level content, inability to engage in cooperative learning, and difficulty for students and staff to reacclimate to the school environment.

Problem Statement 1 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 2: The 2023 Student Achievement for Math at Approaches Grade Level or Above performance rate is 51%. At the Meets Grade Level or above, the 2023 performance rate for Math is 20%. At the Masters Grade Level or Above, the 2023 performance rate for Math is 5%.

Root Cause 2: Lack of foundational problem-solving and processing skills needed to access grade-level content, inability to engage in cooperative learning, and difficulty for students and staff to reacclimate to the school environment.

Problem Statement 2 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 3: Varied ability of effective lesson delivery and differentiated instruction

Root Cause 3: Lack of availability and relevancy of professional development provided

Problem Statement 3 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 4: High number of student disciplinary referrals.

Root Cause 4: Students' lack of maturity and social-emotional development result in poor decision making.

Problem Statement 4 Areas: Perceptions

Problem Statement 5: Lack of parental academic involvement

Root Cause 5: Lack of knowledge of updated school processes and procedures, socioeconomically disadvantaged, less involvement at the secondary level, campus proximity to home, and access to transportation

Problem Statement 5 Areas: Perceptions

Problem Statement 6: Observation and feedback from campus instructional leaders are not consistent.

Root Cause 6: Interruptions within the school day amidst time constraints cause for the inconsistency of observations and feedback.

Problem Statement 6 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 7: Teachers are not efficiently implementing classroom instruction.

Root Cause 7: Teachers are not adequately trained on and supported with the implementation of best practices.

Problem Statement 7 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 8: Cultural diversity causes conflict amongst students.

Root Cause 8: Our campus is not a neighborhood campus causing approximately 75% of our students to be transported to school by district transportation.

Problem Statement 8 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 9: Of the total student population, 83.76% are considered economically disadvantaged.

Root Cause 9: The low socioeconomic status of the vast majority of the families within the city attributes to the cause of the economically disadvantaged population.

Problem Statement 9 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 10: Lack of certified personnel in core areas. **Root Cause 10**: We received few appropriately certified applicants.

Problem Statement 10 Areas: Demographics

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- Campus goals
- HB3 CCMR goals
- Performance Objectives with summative review (prior year)
- Campus/District improvement plans (current and prior years)
- Planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data
- State and federal planning requirements

Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- Effective Schools Framework data

Student Data: Assessments

- · State and federally required assessment information
- STAAR current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR End-of-Course current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR released test questions
- STAAR Emergent Bilingual (EB) progress measure data
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs of Study data including completer, concentrator, explorer, participant, and non-participant information
- PSAT
- Student failure and/or retention rates
- Local diagnostic reading assessment data
- Local benchmark or common assessments data
- Observation Survey results
- State-developed online interim assessments
- Grades that measure student performance based on the TEKS

Student Data: Student Groups

- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress between groups
- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress for each student group
- Economically disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance and participation data
- Male / Female performance, progress, and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data

- Migrant/non-migrant population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance and mobility data
- · At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- Emergent Bilingual (EB) /non-EB data, including academic achievement, progress, support and accommodation needs, race, ethnicity, gender etc.
- Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs of Study data including completer, concentrator, explorer, participant, and non-participant achievements by race, ethnicity, gender, etc.

16 of 29

- Section 504 data
- Homeless data
- Gifted and talented data
- · Dyslexia data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data
- STEM and/or STEAM data
- Pregnancy and related services data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Annual dropout rate data
- · Attendance data
- Mobility rate, including longitudinal data
- Discipline records
- Violence and/or violence prevention records
- Tobacco, alcohol, and other drug-use data
- Student surveys and/or other feedback
- Class size averages by grade and subject
- School safety data
- Enrollment trends

Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- State certified and high quality staff data
- Campus leadership data
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- Professional development needs assessment data
- Evaluation(s) of professional development implementation and impact
- · T-TESS data
- · T-PESS data

Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Parent engagement rate
- · Community surveys and/or other feedback

Support Systems and Other Data

- Organizational structure data
- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation

• Communications data

Goals

Goal 1: We will provide positive, safe, and respectful learning environments.

Performance Objective 1: By 2024, 100% of PAISD students will be taught using a vibrant, challenging, engaging curriculum that will ensure they are college and/or career ready upon graduation.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Curriculum Based Assessments, Renaissance Assessment, iStation ISIP Assessments, STAAR Interim Assessments, PSAT, Study Island Assessments, STAAR

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Implement the district student behavior management plan.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The expected results are reduced number of disciplinary referrals, in-school suspensions, out-of-school suspensions, DAEP placements, and expulsions.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus administrators, Counselors, Teachers, Social Workers, Communities in School, Security monitors				
ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Implement restorative practices.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Effective relationships formed between students and staff increasing effective student decision-making.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus administrators, Counselors, Teachers, Social Workers, Communities in School, Security monitors, Instructional Coach				
ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	ntinue		

Goal 2: We will provide an aligned, rigorous curriculum and well-rounded educational experiences that empower each student to achieve high levels of academic success.

Performance Objective 1: By 2024, 100% of PAISD students will graduate with a well-articulated path to college and/or career.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: PSAT, Kuder Interest Assessment, STAAR, Career and Technology course offerings, student enrollment in honors courses

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	riews	
Strategy 1: Develop and implement systems for curriculum, instruction, and assessment alignment.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers and administrators collaboratively plan to ensure continuity of lessons in like courses.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Instructional Coach, Department Leaders				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
- Targeted Support Strategy				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Implement the curriculum to address the unique educational needs of each student.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Higher student achievement for students served in programs such as Special Education, Section 504, Dyslexia, RtI, ESL, and Gifted and Talented.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Counselors, Instructional Coach, Teachers, ARD committees, RtI committees, LPAC, Educational Diagnostician				
Title I:				
2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
- Targeted Support Strategy				

Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 3: Develop an aligned district-wide system that cultivates college and career readiness.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student participation in the AVID school-wide program and Career and Technology Education courses.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus administrators, Counselors, AVID Committee				
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	views	•
Strategy 4: Develop vertical district-wide systems for clearly communicating and connecting staff to ensure student success		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Alignment of implementation of state curricular guidelines and expectations across campuses	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Curriculum Supervisors, Campus administrators, Department Leaders				
Title I: 2.4 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	ı	1

Goal 2: We will provide an aligned, rigorous curriculum and well-rounded educational experiences that empower each student to achieve high levels of academic success.

Performance Objective 2: By May 2024, 41% of all students will achieve MEETS or above in Reading as measured by STAAR.

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: CBA and Interim Assessment

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 1: All students will engage in reading tutorials during the school day that will calibrate the students needs with		Summative		
comprehension and fluency. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Outcome: All students will have growth in reading. Progress Monitoring: IStations reports, IXL reports Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Instructional Coach, and ELAR teachers	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Title I: 2.4, 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	•	-

Goal 2: We will provide an aligned, rigorous curriculum and well-rounded educational experiences that empower each student to achieve high levels of academic success.

Performance Objective 3: By May 2024, 28% of all students will achieve MEETS or above in Math as measured by STAAR.

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: CBA and Interim Assessment

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: All students will engage in math tutorials during the school day that will provide additional reinforcement of	Formative			Summative	
foundational math skills.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Outcome: All students will have growth in math. Progress Monitoring: IXL reports					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Instructional Coach, and Math teachers					
Title I: 2.4, 2.5 - TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers:					
Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue			

Goal 3: We will partner with families and communities to increase family support and engagement in the educational process.

Performance Objective 1: By 2024, 100% of PAISD families will be positively engaged in the educational process.

Evaluation Data Sources: Social media outlets, Parent Engagement flyers and invitations, Monthly newsletter, Site-Based Committee Meetings, Parent/Teacher Conferences, Parent meetings, Parent-Teacher-Student Association, Mentorship programs

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Develop and implement a plan to increase positive family engagement.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Active participation of parents in the education process.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Counselors, Teachers, Receptionist, Attendance Clerk, Social Worker, Nurse, Educational Diagnostician, CIS				
Title I: 4.1, 4.2 - ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	ntinue		

Goal 4: We will attract, retain, and build capacity in employees by developing and enhancing the learning environment.

Performance Objective 1: By 2024, 100% of PAISD teachers will be certified and demonstrate competency in their specialized content area(s).

Evaluation Data Sources: Human Resource records, T-TESS, Informal observations

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Develop a district-wide promotional plan to recruit certified teachers.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: District job fairs and job vacancy postings	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Human Resource, Principals			1	
TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 2: Strategic Staffing				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Develop an aligned district-wide teacher professional development system.	Formative Summative			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teacher instructional and classroom management strategies alignment with the district's format and best practices.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Instructional Coach				
Title I:				
2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Increase observations and feedback for teachers.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The ongoing support and coaching of teachers by campus instructional leaders.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Instructional Coach			_	
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	•	•

Goal 5: We will provide fiscal stability, accountability, and sufficient resources necessary to create an environment to support student success.

Performance Objective 1: By 2024, 100% of PAISD campuses and departments will have sufficient funds necessary to effectively operate.

Evaluation Data Sources: Campus budget, ESSER funds, Title I funds

Strategy 1 Details Reviews			iews	
Strategy 1: Develop a plan to find alternative funding sources and identify ways to increase revenues.	Formative Summative			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Partner with community-based businesses and organizations to encourage the support for educational programs		Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Financial Secretary				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Strengthen the district-wide plan to evaluate the use and implementation of our current resources.	Formative Summative			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Monitor, maintain, and adjust as needed the distribution of allotted funds to support new and innovative educational programs.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Financial Secretary, Instructional Coach, Counselors				
ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

Targeted Support Strategies

Goal	Objective	Strategy	Description	
2	1	1	Develop and implement systems for curriculum, instruction, and assessment alignment.	
2	1	2	nplement the curriculum to address the unique educational needs of each student.	
2	2	1	All students will engage in reading tutorials during the school day that will calibrate the students needs with comprehension and fluency.	
4	1	3	Increase observations and feedback for teachers.	

2023-2024 Site Based Decision Making Team

Committee Role	Name	Position
Principal	Trent Johnson	Interim Principal
Classroom Teacher	June Landry	Teacher (ELAR)
Classroom Teacher	DeAndrea Oliver	Teacher (Math)
Classroom Teacher	Ieshia Nicholas	Teacher (Social Studies)
Classroom Teacher	Cynthia Quejado	Teacher (Science)
Classroom Teacher	Alvin Jackson	Special Programs Representative
Classroom Teacher	Or'Dwinika Carter	Special Education Representative
Assistant Principal	Carlecia Roberts	EB Representative
Non-classroom Professional	Jean Babineaux-Bergeron	G/T Representative
Parent	Shericka Sam	Parent
Parent	Camilla Washington	Parent
Parent	Bradja Coleman-Rivers	Parent
Community Representative	Christopher Bates	Community Representative
Community Representative	Kalan Gardner	Community Representative
Business Representative	Leonard Gabriel	Business Representative
Business Representative	Linda Spears	Business Representative
Assistant Principal	Victor Fulton	Assistant Principal
Assistant Principal	Albert Moses	Assistant Principal
Assistant Principal	Ireese Gregory	Assistant Principal
Paraprofessional	Maria Rodriguez	Paraprofessional

Attendance Committee

Committee Role	Name	Position
Principal	Trent Johnson	Interim Principal
Assistant Principal	Carlecia Roberts	Assistant Principal
Counselor	Jean Babineaux-Bergeron	Counselor
Counselor	Tracy Andrus	504 Representative
Non-classroom Professional	Noelya Valadez	Attendance Clerk
Classroom Teacher	Nadria Turner	Teacher