

# Scott M. Leaman Elementary

## 2022-2023 School Accountability Report Card

(Published During the 2023-2024 School Year)



### General Information about the School Accountability Report Card (SARC)

#### SARC Overview



By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements and access to prior year reports, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or the LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

## DataQuest



DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at <https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

## California School Dashboard



The California School Dashboard (Dashboard) <https://www.caschooldashboard.org/> reflects California's new accountability and continuous improvement system and provides information about how LEAs and schools are meeting the needs of California's diverse student population. The Dashboard contains reports that display the performance of LEAs, schools, and student groups on a set of state and local measures to assist in identifying strengths, challenges, and areas in need of improvement.

## Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## 2023-24 School Contact Information

<b>School Name</b>	Scott M. Leaman Elementary
<b>Street</b>	1200 Brentford Circle
<b>City, State, Zip</b>	Lincoln, CA 95648
<b>Phone Number</b>	916 409-2401
<b>Principal</b>	Jack Gout
<b>Email Address</b>	<a href="mailto:jgout@wpusd.org">jgout@wpusd.org</a>
<b>School Website</b>	<a href="http://smles.wpusd.org/">http://smles.wpusd.org/</a>
<b>County-District-School (CDS) Code</b>	31-66951-0000000

## 2023-24 District Contact Information

<b>District Name</b>	Western Placer Unified School District
<b>Phone Number</b>	(916) 645-6350
<b>Superintendent</b>	Kerry Callahan
<b>Email Address</b>	<a href="mailto:kcallahan@wpusd.org">kcallahan@wpusd.org</a>
<b>District Website</b>	<a href="http://www.wpusd.org">www.wpusd.org</a>

## 2023-24 School Description and Mission Statement

Scott M. Leaman Elementary school is a newer school in Lincoln California. The school serves approximately 570 students that range in age from TK - 5th grade. Scott M. Leaman Elementary school aims to integrate STEAM Education by empowering students to take thoughtful risks, engage in experiential learning, persist in problem-solving, embrace collaboration, and work through the creative process. Lemurs are the learners, innovators, and leaders of the 21st century. School Mission: Lemurs lead the way! This is in connection with the vision statement of Leaman Elementary school. Our

## 2023-24 School Description and Mission Statement

students will develop skills needed to become critical thinkers, problem solvers, and responsible citizens in the 21st century through the experience of learning by doing.

All students at all levels will be supported in this learning process. To support all learners Leaman will use a MTSS system of supports for both academic and behaviors. For academics we will use Project Lead The Way (PLTW) as our supplemental curriculum, in addition to district adopted curriculum. All teachers at all grade levels have been trained in this program and it is aligned with Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS). Students will work at their own academic level through iReady learning. For behavior supports the school will use a Positive Behavior Intervention System (PBIS) based system.

## About this School

### 2022-23 Student Enrollment by Grade Level

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	92
Grade 1	75
Grade 2	85
Grade 3	91
Grade 4	98
Grade 5	89
<b>Total Enrollment</b>	<b>530</b>

### 2022-23 Student Enrollment by Student Group

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Female	52.6%
Male	47.4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.2%
Asian	4.3%
Black or African American	2.1%
Filipino	3.2%
Hispanic or Latino	22.5%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.8%
Two or More Races	11.7%
White	54.7%
English Learners	4.5%
Foster Youth	0.9%
Homeless	0.4%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	18.3%
Students with Disabilities	12.1%

## **A. Conditions of Learning** **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

## 2020-21 Teacher Preparation and Placement

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
<b>Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)</b>	18.00	100.00	332.80	75.58	228366.10	83.12
<b>Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned</b>	0.00	0.00	6.60	1.51	4205.90	1.53
<b>Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (“ineffective” under ESSA)</b>	0.00	0.00	6.70	1.53	11216.70	4.08
<b>Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (“out-of-field” under ESSA)</b>	0.00	0.00	81.90	18.61	12115.80	4.41
<b>Unknown</b>	0.00	0.00	12.20	2.77	18854.30	6.86
<b>Total Teaching Positions</b>	18.00	100.00	440.30	100.00	274759.10	100.00

Note: The data in this table is based on Full Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

## 2021-22 Teacher Preparation and Placement

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
<b>Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)</b>	21.00	100.00	363.00	79.27	234405.20	84.00
<b>Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned</b>	0.00	0.00	3.10	0.69	4853.00	1.74
<b>Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (“ineffective” under ESSA)</b>	0.00	0.00	8.80	1.94	12001.50	4.30
<b>Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (“out-of-field” under ESSA)</b>	0.00	0.00	68.00	14.85	11953.10	4.28
<b>Unknown</b>	0.00	0.00	14.80	3.25	15831.90	5.67
<b>Total Teaching Positions</b>	21.00	100.00	458.00	100.00	279044.80	100.00

The CDE published the first year of available teacher data for the 2020-21 SARC in June 2022, and the CDE published the second year of data for the 2021-22 SARC in June 2023. The EC Section 33126(b)(5) requires the most recent three years of teacher data to be requested in the SARC, as data is available. The teacher data for the 2022-23 SARC will not be available prior to February 1, 2024, and therefore is not included in the template.

Note: The data in this table is based on Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

## Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (considered “ineffective” under ESSA)

Authorization/Assignment	2020-21	2021-22
Permits and Waivers	0.00	0.00
Misassignments	0.00	0.00
Vacant Positions	0.00	0.00
<b>Total Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments</b>	0.00	0.00

The teacher data for the 2022-23 SARC will not be available prior to February 1, 2024, and therefore is not included in the template.

## Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (considered “out-of-field” under ESSA)

Indicator	2020-21	2021-22
Credentialed Teachers Authorized on a Permit or Waiver	0.00	0.00
Local Assignment Options	0.00	0.00
<b>Total Out-of-Field Teachers</b>	0.00	0.00

The teacher data for the 2022-23 SARC will not be available prior to February 1, 2024, and therefore is not included in the template.

## Class Assignments

Indicator	2020-21	2021-22
<b>Misassignments for English Learners</b> (a percentage of all the classes with English learners taught by teachers that are misassigned)	0	0
<b>No credential, permit or authorization to teach</b> (a percentage of all the classes taught by teachers with no record of an authorization to teach)	0	0

The teacher data for the 2022-23 SARC will not be available prior to February 1, 2024, and therefore is not included in the template.

Note: For more information refer to the Updated Teacher Equity Definitions web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/pd/ee/teacherequitydefinitions.asp>.

## 2023-24 Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials

In being a STEAM elementary, supplemental funds go to support this type of learning. All teachers have been trained by PLTW to teach the Launch curriculum. TK supplemental science includes spatial sense and coding, healthy habits, and floating/sinking. First supplemental light and sound, animal adaptations, and animated storytelling. Second supplemental includes properties of matter and the changing earth. Third supplemental includes forces and interactions, programming patterns, and structure/function of the human body. Fourth supplemental includes collisions, input/output of the human brain, and animals/algorithms. 5th includes infection detection and vex robotics.

<b>Year and month in which the data were collected</b>	September 2023		
<b>Subject</b>	<b>Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials/year of Adoption</b>	<b>From Most</b>	<b>Percent Students</b>

		Recent Adoption ?	Lacking Own Assigned Copy
<b>Reading/Language Arts</b>	K- 3 Benchmark Education Company Benchmark Advance (Adopted 2017)  4-5 WPUSD English Language Arts Curriculum Maps and Anchor Texts Curriculum Associates Ready Common Core Reading and Writing (Adopted 2017)	Yes	0
<b>Mathematics</b>	TK McGraw Hill Math (Adopted 2014) K-5 McGraw Hill Reveal Math (Adopted 2023)	Yes	0
<b>Science</b>	K-5 Mystery Science (Adopted 2022) TK - 5 PLTW Pathways as a supplemental	Yes	0
<b>History-Social Science</b>	K-5 Pearson Scott Foresman History/Social Science for California (Adopted 2007) Supplemental Scholastic and Time for Kids	Yes	0

## School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

Scott M. Leaman Elementary School opened in 2020, and is the newest elementary school in WPUSD. The facility overall remains in newer/excellent condition. The school custodial and maintenance staff maintain the school's new condition and work diligently to address any needs or facility issues that may arise. A shade structure is a planned improvement for the playground area, along with maintaining the wood trim above the main building.

**Year and month of the most recent FIT report**

07/2023

System Inspected	Rate Good	Rate Fair	Rate Poor	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			Gas systems and pipes appear safe, functional, and free of leaks. Gas pipes are not broken and appear to be in good working order. Heating, ventilation, and air conditioning systems (HVAC) as applicable are functional and unobstructed. The HVAC System is operable. Sewer line stoppage is not evident. There are no obvious signs of flooding caused by sewer line back-up in the facilities or on the school grounds.
<b>Interior:</b> Interior Surfaces	X			Library: Section of wallboard needs repair on east wall Interior surfaces appear to be clean, safe, and functional.
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	X			School grounds, buildings, common areas, and individual rooms appear to have been cleaned regularly. Pest or vermin infestation are not evident.
<b>Electrical</b>	X			There is no evidence that any portion of the school has a power failure. There are no exposed electrical wires. Electrical equipment is properly covered and secured from pupil access. Lighting appears to be adequate and working properly, including exterior lights.
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X			Restrooms in the vicinity of the area being evaluated appear to be accessible during school hours, clean, functional and in compliance with SB 892 (EC Section



## School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

			35292.5). Drinking fountains appear to be accessible and functioning as intended.
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials		X	Admin: Exterior fascia trim coating is peeling Library: Exterior fascia wood coating is peeling MPR: Exterior fascia coating is peeling The fire equipment and emergency systems appear to be functioning properly. The fire sprinklers appear to be in working order (e.g. there are no missing or damaged sprinkler heads). Emergency alarms appear to be functional. Emergency exit signs function as designed, exits are unobstructed. There does not appear to be evidence of hazardous materials that may pose a threat to pupils or staff. Hazardous chemicals, chemical waste, and flammable materials are stores properly (e.g. locked and labeled properly).
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs	X		C-9: Water leaking in under entry door MPR Kitchen: Kitchen hall roof leak evident. There does not appear to be structural damage that has created or could create hazardous or uninhabitable conditions. Severe cracks are not evident. Ceilings & floors are not sloping or sagging beyond their intended design. Posts, beams, supports for portable classrooms, ramps, and other structural building members appear to be intact, secure and functional as designed. There is not visible evidence of severe cracks, dry rot, mold, or damage that undermines the structural components. Roof systems appear to be functioning properly.
<b>External:</b> Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X		The playground equipment and school grounds in the vicinity of the area being evaluated appear to be clean, safe, and functional. Conditions that pose a safety and/or security risk are not evident. There is no exposed broken glass accessible to pupils and staff. Exterior doors and ages are functioning and do not pose a security risk.

## Overall Facility Rate

Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
	X		



## B. Pupil Outcomes

### State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

#### Statewide Assessments

(i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities).

The CAASPP System encompasses the following assessments and student participation requirements:

1. **Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for ELA** in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
2. **Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for mathematics** in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
3. **California Science Test (CAST) and CAAs for Science** in grades five, eight, and once in high school (i.e., grade ten, eleven, or twelve).

#### College and Career Ready

The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

### Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard on CAASPP

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA and mathematics for all students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Subject	School 2021-22	School 2022-23	District 2021-22	District 2022-23	State 2021-22	State 2022-23
<b>English Language Arts/Literacy</b> (grades 3-8 and 11)	60	62	56	55	47	46
<b>Mathematics</b> (grades 3-8 and 11)	50	58	40	42	33	34

## 2022-23 CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
<b>All Students</b>	281	273	97.15	2.85	61.54
<b>Female</b>	143	142	99.30	0.70	62.68
<b>Male</b>	138	131	94.93	5.07	60.31
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Asian</b>	13	13	100.00	0.00	53.85
<b>Black or African American</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Filipino</b>	11	11	100.00	0.00	90.91
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	50	49	98.00	2.00	42.86
<b>Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Two or More Races</b>	34	32	94.12	5.88	68.75
<b>White</b>	164	161	98.17	1.83	65.22
<b>English Learners</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Foster Youth</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Homeless</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Military</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b>	63	58	92.06	7.94	55.17
<b>Students Receiving Migrant Education Services</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	45	40	88.89	11.11	27.50

## 2022-23 CAASPP Test Results in Math by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Math by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
<b>All Students</b>	281	273	97.15	2.85	58.24
<b>Female</b>	143	142	99.30	0.70	59.15
<b>Male</b>	138	131	94.93	5.07	57.25
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Asian</b>	13	13	100.00	0.00	53.85
<b>Black or African American</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Filipino</b>	11	11	100.00	0.00	90.91
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	50	49	98.00	2.00	44.90
<b>Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Two or More Races</b>	34	32	94.12	5.88	59.38
<b>White</b>	164	161	98.17	1.83	60.87
<b>English Learners</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Foster Youth</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Homeless</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Military</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b>	63	58	92.06	7.94	37.93
<b>Students Receiving Migrant Education Services</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	45	40	88.89	11.11	25.00

## CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

This table displays the percentage of all students grades five, eight, and High School meeting or exceeding the State Standard.

Science test results include the CAST and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the CAST plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Subject	School 2021-22	School 2022-23	District 2021-22	District 2022-23	State 2021-22	State 2022-23
<b>Science</b> (grades 5, 8 and high school)	44.44	51.16	36.63	36.03	29.47	30.29

## 2022-23 CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Science by student group for students grades five, eight, and High School. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
<b>All Students</b>	89	87	97.75	2.25	50.57
<b>Female</b>	45	44	97.78	2.22	45.45
<b>Male</b>	44	43	97.73	2.27	55.81
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Asian</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Black or African American</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Filipino</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Two or More Races</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>White</b>	56	55	98.21	1.79	56.36
<b>English Learners</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Foster Youth</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Homeless</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Military</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b>	16	15	93.75	6.25	40.00
<b>Students Receiving Migrant Education Services</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	13	11	84.62	15.38	45.45

## B. Pupil Outcomes

### State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8): Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education.

#### 2022-23 California Physical Fitness Test Results

This table displays the percentage of students participating in each of the five fitness components of the California Physical Fitness Test Results. The administration of the PFT during 2021-22 and 2022-23 school years, only participation results are required for these five fitness areas. Percentages are not calculated and double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Grade Level	Component 1: Aerobic Capacity	Component 2: Abdominal Strength and Endurance	Component 3: Trunk Extensor and Strength and Flexibility	Component 4: Upper Body Strength and Endurance	Component 5: Flexibility
Grade 5	96	64	96	94	96

## C. Engagement

### State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3): Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions regarding the school district and at each school site.

#### 2023-24 Opportunities for Parental Involvement

Having parents connected to school is extremely important for student success. Leaman elementary welcomes parents on campus and creates opportunities for parents to participate in school activities and student learning. Parents can volunteer on campus and field trips if they have current clearance with the district (live scan) and a current tuberculosis test. Currently volunteers can help teachers in the classrooms under the supervision of the teacher.

Leaman elementary has a PTO that is looking to supporting school by engaging families and providing financial support for the school. Parents and families are welcome to on-campus and online PTO meetings to gather input. Along with this, families are able to give guidance for the school through the school site council and other committees as needed to support the direction of the campus. Leaman Elementary is also a welcoming place for families and the school reaches out through parent/family engagement nights and other activities. Along with this, when possible the school opens up for picnic/lunch days and other events to continue to grow our school community.

## 2022-23 Chronic Absenteeism by Student Group

Student Group	Cumulative Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Eligible Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Count	Chronic Absenteeism Rate
All Students	554	545	95	17.4
Female	289	286	51	17.8
Male	265	259	44	17.0
Non-Binary	0	0	0	0.0
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	1	0	0.0
Asian	26	25	6	24.0
Black or African American	11	11	3	27.3
Filipino	18	18	5	27.8
Hispanic or Latino	125	124	22	17.7
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	4	4	0	0.0
Two or More Races	64	63	12	19.0
White	298	296	47	15.9
English Learners	27	27	4	14.8
Foster Youth	6	6	1	16.7
Homeless	5	5	3	60.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	112	106	29	27.4
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0.0
Students with Disabilities	86	86	24	27.9

### C. Engagement

#### State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety

### Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays suspensions and expulsions data.

Rate	School 2020-21	School 2021-22	School 2022-23	District 2020-21	District 2021-22	District 2022-23	State 2020-21	State 2021-22	State 2022-23
Suspensions	0.20	0.19	0.36	1.03	3.12	2.47	0.20	3.17	3.60
Expulsions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.17	0.12	0.00	0.07	0.08

## 2022-23 Suspensions and Expulsions by Student Group

Student Group	Suspensions Rate	Expulsions Rate
All Students	0.36	0
Female	0	0
Male	0.75	0
Non-Binary		
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0
Asian	0	0
Black or African American	0	0
Filipino	0	0
Hispanic or Latino	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0
Two or More Races	0	0
White	0.67	0
English Learners	0	0
Foster Youth	0	0
Homeless	0	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	0	0
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0
Students with Disabilities	1.16	0

## 2023-24 School Safety Plan

The School Safety Plan was reviewed by our school site council 12/14/23 and by staff on 12/5/23. Leaman Elementary school also follows the district school safety plan which uses the standard response protocol. The standard response protocol is a plan that simplifies the language used during an emergency. The language being used is lockout, lockdown, evacuate, and shelter. During any emergency these 4 terms with specific language are used to inform and guide students during the emergency. We have a safety team, radios for contact, an AERTUS system, the SRP, and an emergency kit for each teacher. Leaman conducts monthly fire drill in addition to four other safety drills (earthquake, lockdown) throughout the year. The school is equipped with safety cameras, gates, and automatically locked, reinforced doors in case of a lockdown emergency. All visitors must check-in with the office, and only cleared parents are allowed to volunteer in classes. Classroom doors remain locked during the school day.

Students are supervised around campus by teachers and campus supervisors prior to school starting, during recess and lunch, and after school at pick-up. Campus supervisors wear brightly colored safety vests for easy identification. They carry radios for communication and check-in with the office during the supervision times. Student expectations and procedures are practiced and followed routinely.

Our school uses PBIS to encourage positive behavior and expectations. Staff models positive school behavior daily and reinforces positive behavior with a positive reward system. Anti-bullying messaging and activities occur throughout the year, restorative practices are used, and assemblies occur to discuss and establish expectations, norms, and a safe climate at school.



## D. Other SARC Information Information Required in the SARC

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

### 2020-21 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2020-21 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
K	21	1	4	
1	20	1	3	
2	28		3	
3	22	1	3	
4	23	1		1
5	31		2	

### 2021-22 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2021-22 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
K	14	3	3	
1	41	1	3	2
2	40	1	3	2
3	49		3	2
4	44	1	3	2
5	47		2	2

## 2022-23 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2022-23 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled “Number of Classes” indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The “Other” category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
K	18	1	4	0
1	19	1	3	0
2	21	1	3	0
3	23	1	3	0
4	33	0	1	0
5	22	1	3	0
6	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0

## 2022-23 Ratio of Pupils to Academic Counselor

This table displays the ratio of pupils to Academic Counselor. One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Ratio
Pupils to Academic Counselor	0

## 2022-23 Student Support Services Staff

This table displays the number of FTE support staff assigned to this school. One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School
Counselor (Academic, Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	
Psychologist	0.6
Social Worker	
Nurse	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	
Other	1

## Fiscal Year 2021-22 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries

This table displays the 2021-22 expenditures per pupil and average teacher salary for this school. Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$13,134	\$4,921	\$8,213	\$83,127
District	N/A	N/A	\$8,771	\$82,968
Percent Difference - School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-6.6	0.2
State	N/A	N/A	\$7,607	\$87,362
Percent Difference - School Site and State	N/A	N/A	7.7	-5.0

## Fiscal Year 2022-23 Types of Services Funded

LCFF funds were approved by the school site council to be allocated in several ways to address both student academic progress and school climate and engagement. As a STEAM based school, Project Lead The Way curriculum and training is funded, as well as STEAM training/PD for staff. The school has also dedicated funds for technology, including PLTW, iReady, and other supplemental tech supports. We also have funding for student enrichment programs/clubs, after-school tutoring, art supplies, educational assemblies, and PE equipment. The school supports students with MTSS team and SST coordinator, supports PBIS and positive rewards systems, and assemblies for engagement, climate, and positive messaging.

## Fiscal Year 2021-22 Teacher and Administrative Salaries

This table displays the 2021-22 Teacher and Administrative salaries. For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certification Salaries & Benefits web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts in Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$40,582	\$54,190
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$73,858	\$85,111
Highest Teacher Salary	\$100,291	\$104,999
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$121,111	\$132,492
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$130,488	\$140,987
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$137,278	\$153,884
Superintendent Salary	\$229,000	\$255,503
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	35.09%	32.09%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5.69%	5.25%

## Professional Development

Scott Leaman Elementary School is a STEAM focused school. To help develop this all teachers have been trained in STEAM teaching through Project Lead The Way. This was a full 3 day training that taught teachers what it means to be a STEAM school and how to use the PLTW curriculum. This training is in connection with project based learning for all students. This was both an in-person and virtual training that took place over the summer. Along with this teachers were offered many online trainings for project based and technology based learning.

## Professional Development

Teachers had an additional two-day STEAM training in August 2021 with a one-day training occurring in January 2022. In addition, teachers are provided ongoing STEAM updates, materials, and PD opportunities that may arise. This PD includes ways to engage students in hands-on science based inquiry and utilizing all core subject areas in hands-on experiences. Teachers work through PLC and administrative feedback to improve in using this type of teaching. Teachers have also worked with district education specialists on data analysis and data cycles, particularly in Math, and using TESS model support for teacher inquiry cycles to improve student performance. Teachers are being trained in eduCLIMBER and PBIS to create a culture that supports all students through positive relationships. The school is also planning Social Emotional Learning PDs to take place throughout the year to develop more awareness of students' mental/emotional wellness.

This table displays the number of school days dedicated to staff development and continuous improvement.

Subject	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24
<b>Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement</b>	65	70	70