John F. Kennedy Elementary 2022-2023 School Accountability Report Card (Published During the 2023-2024 School Year)



General Information about the School Accountability Report Card (SARC)



2023-24 School Contact Information

School Name	John F. Kennedy Elementary
Street	999 North Crawford
City, State, Zip	Dinuba, CA 93618
Phone Number	(559) 595-7300
Principal	Blake Benham
Email Address	bbenham@dinuba.k12.ca.us
School Website	https://www.dinuba.k12.ca.us/Kennedy
County-District-School (CDS) Code	54-75531-0102707

2023-24 District Contact Information			
District Name	Dinuba Unified School District		
Phone Number	(559) 595-7200		
Superintendent	Joe Hernandez, Ed.D.		
Email Address	jhernandez@dinuba.k12.ca.us		
District Website	dusd.dinuba.k12.ca.us		

2023-24 School Description and Mission Statement

A Message from the Principal:

The Kennedy Elementary Annual School Accountability Report Card provides valuable information about our school's instructional programs, academic achievement, classroom materials, school safety, facilities, curricular programs, professional development and staffing. Understanding our school accountability report card can assist both our school and the community in ongoing program improvement. Kennedy Elementary is committed to provide a safe, caring, rigorous and motivating learning environment where students are actively engaged in their learning. Our school is dedicated to serving our students, parents and community through events, supports, and instruction. Instruction is based on the identified needs of our students. Ongoing evaluation of student progress and achievement helps us refine the instructional program so students can achieve academic proficiency. Staff and parents work together to create a virtual and physical learning environment that promotes academic and social development. We welcome any suggestions or questions you may have about the information contained in this report or about the school. Together through our hard work, our Kennedy students will be challenged to reach their maximum potential in college or career.

About this School

2022-23 Student Enrollment by Grade Level			
Grade Level	Number of Students		
Kindergarten	70		
Grade 1	57		
Grade 2	60		
Grade 3	73		
Grade 4	77		
Grade 5	91		
Grade 6	66		
Total Enrollment	494		

2022-23 Student Enrollment by Student Group

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Female	50%
Male	50%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.2%
Asian	0.8%
Black or African American	0.4%
Filipino	0.4%
Hispanic or Latino	94.1%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.4%
White	3.6%
English Learners	40.1%
Foster Youth	0.8%
Homeless	0.8%
Migrant	3.4%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	85.2%
Students with Disabilities	6.1%

A. Conditions of Learning State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- · Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

2020-21 Teacher Preparation and Placement						
Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)	20.00	95.24	235.30	86.41	228366.10	83.12
Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned	1.00	4.76	11.00	4.04	4205.90	1.53
Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments ("ineffective" under ESSA)	0.00	0.00	6.90	2.54	11216.70	4.08
Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of- Field ("out-of-field" under ESSA)	0.00	0.00	8.80	3.24	12115.80	4.41
Unknown	0.00	0.00	10.20	3.76	18854.30	6.86
Total Teaching Positions	21.00	100.00	272.30	100.00	274759.10	100.00

Note: The data in this table is based on Full Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

2021-22 Teacher Preparation and Placement						
Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)	20.00	95.24	225.00	80.95	234405.20	84.00
Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned	1.00	4.76	13.10	4.71	4853.00	1.74
Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments ("ineffective" under ESSA)	0.00	0.00	4.10	1.50	12001.50	4.30
Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of- Field ("out-of-field" under ESSA)	0.00	0.00	14.10	5.08	11953.10	4.28
Unknown	0.00	0.00	21.50	7.76	15831.90	5.67
Total Teaching Positions	21.00	100.00	277.90	100.00	279044.80	100.00

The CDE published the first year of available teacher data for the 2020-21 SARC in June 2022, and the CDE published the second year of data for the 2021-22 SARC in June 2023. The EC Section 33126(b)(5) requires the most recent three years of teacher data to be requested in the SARC, as data is available. The teacher data for the 2022-23 SARC will not be available prior to February 1, 2024, and therefore is not included in the template.

Note: The data in this table is based on Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (considered "ineffective" under ESSA)

Authorization/Assignment	2020-21	2021-22
Permits and Waivers	0.00	0.00
Misassignments	0.00	0.00
Vacant Positions	0.00	0.00
Total Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments	0.00	0.00

The teacher data for the 2022-23 SARC will not be available prior to February 1, 2024, and therefore is not included in the template.

Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (considered "out-of-field" under ESSA)

Indicator	2020-21	2021-22
Credentialed Teachers Authorized on a Permit or Waiver	0.00	0.00
Local Assignment Options	0.00	0.00
Total Out-of-Field Teachers	0.00	0.00

The teacher data for the 2022-23 SARC will not be available prior to February 1, 2024, and therefore is not included in the template.

Class Assignments

Indicator	2020-21	2021-22
Misassignments for English Learners (a percentage of all the classes with English learners taught by teachers that are misassigned)	0	0
No credential, permit or authorization to teach (a percentage of all the classes taught by teachers with no record of an authorization to teach)	4.7	0

The teacher data for the 2022-23 SARC will not be available prior to February 1, 2024, and therefore is not included in the template.

Note: For more information refer to the Updated Teacher Equity Definitions web page at <u>https://www.cde.ca.gov/pd/ee/teacherequitydefinitions.asp</u>.

2023-24 Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials

All adopted text books are aligned with state standards for each content area.

Year and month in which the data were collected	12/2020		

Subject	Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
---------	---	------------------------------------	--

Reading/Language Arts	All in good condition. California Journeys- Houghton Mifflin is the adopted English Language Arts Curriculum. Adopted in 2023.	Yes	0
Mathematics	All in good condition. Illustrated Mathematics is the adopted Mathematics Curriculum. Adopted in 2021	Yes	0
Science	All in good condition. TWIG Science Curriculum, TWIG Education Inc. Adopted in 2019, (TK-6).	Yes	0
History-Social Science	All in good condition. History Social Science - Studies Weekly is the adopted Social Science Curriculum for K-6. Adopted in 2020	Yes	0
Foreign Language	N/A		
Health	N/A		
Visual and Performing Arts	N/A		

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

The Kennedy Elementary campus was built in 2004. It is a beautiful facility with some great design concepts. The administration building and the Library building are located at the entrance of the campus. The library also contains two adjoining classrooms. There are four pod structures, each holding four classrooms connected by a center workroom, which has common teaching facilities for that pod. There are a total of 19 academic classroom on the Kennedy Campus. The Kennedy Center, our multipurpose/performing arts room, is also our cafeteria and is used for special events throughout the district. It has three adjoining classrooms, as well as, a stage for inside events and an outdoor stage for daily morning assembly.

Each year Kennedy is subject to Williams inspections by TCOE. Each year our school has passed the inspection for facility safety and academic materials.

Year and month of the most recent FIT report

10/25/2023

System Inspected	Rate Good	Rate Poor	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Х		
Interior: Interior Surfaces	x		: GIRLS RR (NEAR 13): epoxy floor cracking GIRLS RR (NEAR 33): epoxy floor cracking, partitions need paint/replacement, 2nd sink leaks from handle (work order submitted) MPR: floor cracking in some areas
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	Х		
Electrical	Х		

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements										
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Х			ADMIN: epoxy cracking in students rr in main office						
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Х			 11: non flame retardant curtains 12: non flame retardant curtains 13: door covered in paper, non-flame retardant curtains, couches & pillows K1: bean bags L2: bean bags 						
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Х									
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Х									

Overall Facility Rate									
Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor						
	Х								

B. Pupil Outcomes State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

Statewide Assessments

(i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities).

The CAASPP System encompasses the following assessments and student participation requirements:

- 1. Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for ELA in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
- 2. Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for mathematics in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
- 3. California Science Test (CAST) and CAAs for Science in grades five, eight, and once in high school (i.e., grade ten, eleven, or twelve).

College and Career Ready

The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard on CAASPP

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA and mathematics for all students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Subject	School 2021-22	School 2022-23	District 2021-22	District 2022-23	State 2021-22	State 2022-23
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	33	41	46	44	47	46
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	23	29	25	27	33	34

2022-23 CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	312	308	98.72	1.28	41.23
Female	146	146	100.00	0.00	41.78
Male	166	162	97.59	2.41	40.74
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian					
Black or African American					
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	297	293	98.65	1.35	39.93
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0
Two or More Races	0	0	0	0	0
White					
English Learners	131	131	100.00	0.00	24.43
Foster Youth					
Homeless	0	0	0	0	0
Military	0	0	0	0	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	224	222	99.11	0.89	40.54
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0	0
Students with Disabilities	25	22	88.00	12.00	13.64

2022-23 CAASPP Test Results in Math by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Math by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	313	308	98.40	1.60	28.66
Female	147	147	100.00	0.00	23.81
Male	166	161	96.99	3.01	33.13
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian					
Black or African American					
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	298	293	98.32	1.68	27.40
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0
Two or More Races	0	0	0	0	0
White					
English Learners	132	132	100.00	0.00	15.15
Foster Youth					
Homeless	0	0	0	0	0
Military	0	0	0	0	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	225	222	98.67	1.33	25.79
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0	0
Students with Disabilities	25	21	84.00	16.00	9.52

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

This table displays the percentage of all students grades five, eight, and High School meeting or exceeding the State Standard.

Science test results include the CAST and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the CAST plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Subject	School	School	District	District	State	State
	2021-22	2022-23	2021-22	2022-23	2021-22	2022-23
Science (grades 5, 8 and high school)	14.29	15.38	19.58	22.47	29.47	30.29

2022-23 CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Science by student group for students grades five, eight, and High School. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	91	91	100.00	0.00	15.38
Female	43	43	100.00	0.00	6.98
Male	48	48	100.00	0.00	22.92
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian	0	0	0	0	0
Black or African American	0	0	0	0	0
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	87	87	100.00	0.00	13.79
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0
Two or More Races	0	0	0	0	0
White					
English Learners	43	43	100.00	0.00	2.33
Foster Youth					
Homeless	0	0	0	0	0
Military	0	0	0	0	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	70	70	100.00	0.00	14.29
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0	0
Students with Disabilities					

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8): Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education.

2022-23 California Physical Fitness Test Results

This table displays the percentage of students participating in each of the five fitness components of the California Physical Fitness Test Results. The administration of the PFT during 2021-22 and 2022-23 school years, only participation results are required for these five fitness areas. Percentages are not calculated and double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Grade Level	Component 1: Aerobic Capacity	Component 2: Abdominal Strength and Endurance	Component 3: Trunk Extensor and Strength and Flexibility	Component 4: Upper Body Strength and Endurance	Component 5: Flexibility
Grade 5	97	97	97	97	97

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3): Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions regarding the school district and at each school site.

2023-24 Opportunities for Parental Involvement

Our School Site Council, which includes parent members, and our ELAC provide advice and guidance for the school administration. We encourage parents to participate at special events, such as parent nights centered on helping children to be successful in school, parent conferences, our Harvest Festival, the Kennedy Read-A-Thon, Eagle Boosters, parent volunteers, field trips, as well as programs and celebrations throughout the school year. We have invited all of our Kennedy families to join us monthly for informational sessions covering drug awareness, bullying, online libraries for the family, Positive Behavior Interventions and Support, reading with children, and math games for the whole family. These sessions are scheduled one per month in the cafeteria. Our Eagle Boosters Club is a parent driven fundraising group which has goals to support students and staff by funding events and activities that are not supported through the regular school budgets.

If you would like more information about parent engagement opportunities and events, please contact Mrs. Del Rio at (559) 595-7300.

2022-23 Chronic Absenteeism by Student Group

Student Group	Cumulative Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Eligible Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Count	Chronic Absenteeism Rate
All Students	536	526	149	28.3
Female	265	262	73	27.9
Male	271	264	76	28.8
Non-Binary	0	0	0	0.0
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	1	0	0.0
Asian	4	4	2	50.0
Black or African American	2	2	0	0.0
Filipino	2	2	0	0.0
Hispanic or Latino	503	494	141	28.5
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	2	2	1	50.0
Two or More Races	0	0	0	0.0
White	22	21	5	23.8
English Learners	232	227	56	24.7
Foster Youth	11	11	3	27.3
Homeless	4	4	4	100.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	467	459	136	29.6
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	24	23	5	21.7
Students with Disabilities	46	44	22	50.0

C. Engagement

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety

Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays suspensions and expulsions data.									
Rate	School 2020-21	School 2021-22	School 2022-23	District 2020-21	District 2021-22	District 2022-23	State 2020-21	State 2021-22	State 2022-23
Suspensions	0.00	1.62	1.68	0.04	3.13	3.37	0.20	3.17	3.60
Expulsions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.33	0.19	0.00	0.07	0.08

2	2022-23 Suspensions and Expulsions by Student Group	

Student Group	Suspensions Rate	Expulsions Rate
All Students	1.68	0
Female	0.75	0
Male	2.58	0
Non-Binary		
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0
Asian	0	0
Black or African American	0	0
Filipino	0	0
Hispanic or Latino	1.79	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0
Two or More Races	0	0
White	0	0
English Learners	0.86	0
Foster Youth	0	0
Homeless	0	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	1.93	0
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	4.17	0
Students with Disabilities	6.52	0

2023-24 School Safety Plan

The first goal of Kennedy Elementary is to ensure a safe campus. We have adopted, and are trained in, the district safety plan and have modified the procedures to meet the needs of the Kennedy campus. The staff and students participate in monthly emergency drills. Administrative staff members supervise our campus before, during, and after school. Classified and certificated personnel also supervise during the break and lunch periods. We have implemented a Positive Behavior Intervention Supports program identifying how students are expected to behave throughout the school. Kennedy's EAGLE expectations are to Eagerly Learn, Act Responsibly, Give Respect, Listen Attentively, and Exhibit Excellence. These expectations are the same for staff and students. The PBIS program shows students what it looks like to practice those expectations, and has put into place opportunities for students to be recognized for positive behavior. Kennedy has been recognized by the State of California as a "Platinum" school for our Positive Discipline programs. This year we expect to reach "Platinum" status. Kennedy has a school-wide anti-bullying policy. The Student Agenda/Handbook includes the Positive Behavior expectations, rewards, and the steps to correct inappropriate behavior.

Kennedy has added a crossing guard to assist students coming to and from school at our busiest crosswalk. Staff supervise the pick-up area each day after school. Our site is fenced and there is one open gate at the front of the campus where all visitors must enter. Classroom doors are locked during the day, and the School Resource Officer is available if needed.

Staff have developed a Code System for emergency response regarding student behavior. Support staff, including the psychologist, Special Education teachers and staff, County staff (IRC), and administrators are all connected via group text for immediate response in classrooms and on campus when necessary. Kennedy has reduced the number of student suspensions significantly over the last 5 years, due to having these processes in place.

The Kennedy Elementary School Safety Plan is updated every year with the input from staff in August of 2023. Input was also gather from students, teachers, parents, SSC, and ELAC in November of 2023. It is then approved by the School Site Council and the School Board. Kennedy Elementary is committed to ensure students', parents' and staff's safety when on campus or learning virtually.

D. Other SARC Information Information Required in the SARC

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2020-21 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2020-21 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
К	21	1	2	
1	24		3	
2	21	1	2	
3	32		3	
4	20	2	1	
5	31		3	
6	29		3	

2021-22 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2021-22 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Grade Level Average Class Size		Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
К	24	1	2	
1	20	3		
2	25		3	
3	23		3	
4	30		3	
5	20	2	1	
6	31		3	

2022-23 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2022-23 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade LevelAverage Class SizeNumber of Classes with 1-20 StudentsNumber of Classes with 21-32 StudentsNumber of Class 33+ Studen
--

2022-23 Ratio of Pupils to Academic Counselor

This table displays the ratio of pupils to Academic Counselor. One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Ratio
Pupils to Academic Counselor	494

2022-23 Student Support Services Staff

This table displays the number of FTE support staff assigned to this school. One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School
Counselor (Academic, Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	1
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	
Psychologist	
Social Worker	
Nurse	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	
Other	5.5

Fiscal Year 2021-22 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries

This table displays the 2021-22 expenditures per pupil and average teacher salary for this school. Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$7,264	\$239	\$7,025	\$85.389
District	N/A	N/A	\$6,120	\$85,389
Percent Difference - School Site and District	N/A	N/A		
State	N/A	N/A	\$7,607	\$87,362
Percent Difference - School Site and State	N/A	N/A		

Fiscal Year 2022-23 Types of Services Funded

State and Federal funds from Title I, Title II, and state Lottery are used to help supplement and support core programs to increase student achievement. Student achievement is increased by providing professional development for teachers and staff, purchasing additional materials and supplies, and providing additional academic support for students. Additional academic supports provided are afterschool GATE modules for students performing above grade level, afterschool reading intervention, and reading intervention within the school day by a credentialed Instructional Support Teacher.

Student Body funds are raised annually through school fundraisers such as a school carnival, T-shirt sales, and a book fair. These funds help pay for field trips, student incentive programs, and library books. Our Kennedy Boosters Club has raised money for student activities, rewards and incentives, recreational supplies, and additional equipment, such as Chromebooks to be used for instruction in the classrooms.

Fiscal Year 2021-22 Teacher and Administrative Salaries

This table displays the 2021-22 Teacher and Administrative salaries. For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certification Salaries & Benefits web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts in Same Category		
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$54,014	\$54,190		
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$78,586	\$85,111		
Highest Teacher Salary	\$105,086	\$104,999		
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$126,210	\$132,492		
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$132,521	\$140,987		
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$153,409	\$153,884		
Superintendent Salary	\$215,000	\$255,503		
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	27.19%	32.09%		
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	4.76%	5.25%		

Professional Development

The 2021-2022 Goals of Kennedy Elementary are aligned with the District Action Plan and meet LCAP requirements. Specific goals include standards based instruction and interventions for all students to ensure academic success in Language Arts and Mathematics. Our top academic goal is that all students read at or above grade level standard. Additional focus is on English Language Learners and providing instruction in English based on fluency levels aimed at producing gains of at least 1 level per school year. Interventions are based on RTI2 and behavior is taught and monitored through a comprehensive PBIS structure.

Professional development is directly related to the goals and includes weekly PLC collaboration, common planning time for grade levels, district provided math and ELA common core training, student engagement strategies, and a full time academic coach available to support all staff with instructional practices and planning. Kennedy employs two certificated teachers who provide academic support in small group settings. These two teachers lead a staff of six Instructional Assistants who also provide academic support in small groups. Professional development for our academic support team is provided by site, District, and County level coaches on an ongoing basis, with emphasis on reading instruction and interventions.

Professional Development			
This table displays the number of school days dedicated to staff development and continuous	improvemer	nt.	
Subject	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24
Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement	2	2	2

Page 1 of 6

GENERAL INFORMATION

The Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) has been developed by the Office of Public School Construction to determine if a school facility is in "good repair" as defined by Education Code (EC) Section 17002(d)(1) and to rate the facility pursuant to EC Section 17002(d)(2). The tool is designed to identify areas of a school site that are in need of repair based upon a visual inspection of the site. In addition, the EC specifies the tool should not be used to require capital enhancements beyond the standards to which the facility was designed and constructed.

Good repair is defined to mean that the facility is maintained in a manner that ensures that it is clean, safe, and functional. As part of the school accountability report card, school districts and county offices of education are required to make specified assessments of school conditions including the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of school facilities and needed maintenance to ensure good repair. In addition, beginning with the 2005/2006 fiscal year, school districts and county offices of education must certify that a facility inspection system has been established to ensure that each of its facilities is maintained in good repair in order to participate in the School Facility Program and the Deferred Maintenance Program. This tool is intended to assist school districts and county offices of education in that determination.

County superintendents are required to annually visit the schools in the county of his or her office as determined by EC Section 1240. Further, EC Section 1240(c)(2)(I), states the priority objective of the visits made shall be to determine the status of the condition of a facility that poses an emergency or urgent threat to the health or safety of pupils or staff as defined in district policy, or as defined by EC Section 17592.72(c) and the accuracy of data reported on the school accountability report card with the respect to the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of school facilities, including good repair as required by EC Sections 17014, 17032.5, 17070.75, and 17089. This tool is also intended to assist county offices of education in performing these functions.

The EC also allows individual entities to adopt a local evaluation instrument to be used in lieu of the FIT provided the local instrument meets the criteria specified in EC Section 17002(d) and as implemented in the FIT. Any evaluation instrument adopted by the local educational agency for purpose of determining whether a school facility is maintained in good repair may include any number of additional items but must minimally include the criteria and rating scheme contained in the FIT.

USER INSTRUCTIONS

The FIT is comprised of three parts as follows:

Part I, Good Repair Standard outlines the school facility systems and components, as specified in EC Section 17002(d)(1), that should be considered in the inspection of a school facility to ensure it is maintained in a manner that assures it is clean, safe and functional. Each of the 15 sections in the Good Repair Standard provides a description of a minimum standard of good repair for various school facility categories. Each section also provides examples of clean, safe and functional conditions. The list of examples is not exhaustive. If an evaluator notes a condition that is not mentioned in the examples but constitutes a deficiency, the evaluator can note such deficiency in the applicable category as "other."

Some of the conditions cited in the Good Repair Standard represent items that are critical to the health and safety of pupils and staff. Any deficiencies in these items require immediate attention and, if left unmitigated, could cause severe and immediate injury, illness or death of the occupants. They constitute extreme deficiencies and indicate that the particular building system evaluated failed to meet the standard of good repair at that school site. These critical conditions are identified with underlined text followed by an (X) on the Good Repair Standard. If the underlined statement is not true, then there is an extreme deficiency (to be marked as an "X" on the Evaluation Detail) resulting in a "poor" rating for the applicable category. It is important to note that the list of extreme deficiencies noted in the Good Repair Standard is not exhaustive. Any other deficiency not included in the criteria but meeting the definition above can be noted by the evaluator and generate a poor rating.

Part II, Evaluation Detail is a site inspection template to be used to evaluate the areas of a school on a category by category basis. The design of the inspection template allows for the determination of the scope of conditions across campus. In evaluating each area or space, the user should review each of the 15 categories identified in the Good Repair Standard and make a determination of whether a particular area is in good repair. Once the determination is made, it should be recorded on the Evaluation Detail, as follows:

ок	No Deficiency - Good Repair: Mark "OK" if all statements in the Good Repair Standard are true, and there is no indication of a deficiency in the specific category.
D	Deficiency: Mark "D" if one or more statement(s) in the Good Repair Standard for the specific category is not true, or if there is other clear evidence of the need for repair.
x	Extreme Deficiency : Indicate "X" if the area has a deficiency that is considered an "Extreme Deficiency" in the Good Repair Standard or there is a condition that qualifies as an extreme deficiency but is not noted in the Good Repair Standard.
NA	Not Applicable: If the Good Repair Standard category (building system or component) does not exist in the area evaluated, mark "NA".

Below are suggested methods for evaluating various systems and areas:

• Gas and Sewer are major building systems that may span the entire school campus but may not be evident as applicable building systems in each classroom or common areas. However, because a deficiency in either of these systems could become evident and present a health and safety threat anywhere on campus, the user should not mark "NA" and should instead include an evaluation of these systems in each building space.

• **Roofs** can be easily evaluated for stand alone areas, such as portable classrooms. For permanent buildings containing several areas to be evaluated, roofs should be considered as parts of individual areas in order to accurately account for a scope of any roofing deficiency. For example, a 10 classroom building contains damaged gutters on one side of the building, spanning across five classrooms. Therefore, an evaluator should mark five classrooms as deficient in the roof category and the other five classrooms as in good repair, assuming there are no other visible deficiencies related to roofing.

• **Overall Cleanliness** is intended to be used to evaluate the cleanliness of each space. For example, a user should note a deficiency due to dirty surfaces in Overall Cleanliness, rather than **Interior Surfaces**. At the same time, the user should note such deficiency only in Overall Cleanliness in order to avoid accounting for such deficiency twice, i.e. in two sections.

• The tool is designed to evaluate stand-alone restrooms as separate areas. However, restrooms contained within other spaces, such as a kindergarten classroom or a library, can be evaluated as part of that area under Restrooms. If the area evaluated does not contain a restroom, Restrooms should be marked "NA."

• Drinking fountains can exist within individual classrooms or areas, right outside of classrooms or restrooms or other areas, or as stand alone fixtures on playgrounds and sports fields. If a drinking fountain or a set of fountains is located inside a building or immediately outside the area being evaluated, it should be included in the evaluation of that area under Drinking Fountains. If a fountain is located on the school grounds, it should be evaluated as part of that outside space. If there is no drinking fountain in the area evaluated, Drinking Fountains should be marked "NA."

• **Playgrounds/School Grounds**, should be evaluated as separate areas by dividing a campus into sections with defined borders. In this case, several sections of the good repair criteria would not apply to the evaluation, as they do not exist outside of physical building areas, such as **Structural Damage** and **Fire Safety**, for example.

Part III includes the Category Totals and Ranking, the Overall Rating, and a section for Comments and Rating Explanation.

Once the inspector completes the site inspection, he or she must total the number of areas evaluated. The inspector must also count all of the spaces deemed in good repair, deficient, extremely deficient, or not applicable under each of the 15 sections. Next, the evaluator must determine the condition of each section by taking the ratio of the number of areas deemed in good repair to the number of areas being evaluated (after subtracting non-applicable spaces from the total number of areas evaluated). If any of the 15 sections received a rating of extreme deficiency, the ratio (i.e., the percentage of good repair) for that section and the category the section is in should default to zero. The total percent per category (A through H) is determined by the total of all percentages of systems in good repair divided by the number of sections in that category. For example, to determine the total percent for the Structural category, add the percentages for the Structural Damage and Roof sections and divide the result by two.

Next, the overall school site score is determined by computing the average percentage rating of the eight categories (i.e., the total of all percentages divided by eight). Finally, the rater should determine the overall School Rating by applying the Percentage Range in the table provided in Part III to the average percentage calculated and taking into consideration the Rating Description provided in the same table.

*Although the FIT is designed to evaluate each school site within a reasonable range of facility conditions, it is possible that an evaluator may identify critical facility conditions that result in an Overall School Rating that does not reflect the urgency and severity of those deficiencies and/or does not match the rating's Description in Part III. In such instances, the evaluator may reduce the resulting school score by one or more grade categories and describe the reasons for the reduction in the space provided for Comments and Rating Explanation.

When completing Part III of the FIT, the instructor should note the date and time of the inspection as well as weather conditions and any other pertinent inspection information in the specific areas provided and utilize the Comments and Rating Explanation Section if needed.

Page 3 of 6

PART I: GOOD REPAIR STANDARD

(X): If underlined statement is not true, then this is an extreme deficiency (marked as an "X") on the Evaluation Detail resulting in a "poor" rating for the applicable category.

Gas Leaks

Gas systems and pipes appear safe, functional, and free of leaks. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. There is no odor that would indicate a gas leak. (X)
- b. Gas pipes are not broken and appear to be in good working order. (X) c. Other

Mechanical Systems

Heating, ventilation, and air conditioning systems (HVAC) as applicable are functional and unobstructed. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. The HVAC system is operable. (X)
- b. The facilities are ventilated (via mechanical or natural ventilation).
- c. The ventilation units are unobstructed and vents and grills are without evidence of excessive dirt or dust.
- d. There appears to be an adequate air supply to all classrooms, work spaces, and facilities (i.e. no strong odor is present, air is not stuffy)
- e. Interior temperatures appear to be maintained within normally accepted ranges.
- f. The ventilation units are not generating any excessive noise or vibrations. g. Other

Sewer

Sewer line stoppage is not evident. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. <u>There are no obvious signs of flooding caused by sewer line back-up in the</u> facilities or on the school grounds. (X)
- b. The sanitary system controls odors as designed.
- c. Other

Interior Surfaces (Floors, Ceilings, Walls, and Window Casings)

Interior surfaces appear to be clean, safe, and functional. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Walls are free of hazards from tears and holes.
- b. Flooring is free of hazards from torn carpeting, missing floor tiles, holes.
- c. Ceiling is free of hazards from missing ceiling tiles and holes.
- d. There is no evidence of water damage (e.g. no condensation, dampness, staining, warping, peeling, mineral deposits, etc.)

e. Other

Overall Cleanliness

School grounds, buildings, common areas, and individual rooms appear to have been cleaned regularly. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Area(s) evaluated is free of accumulated refuse, dirt, and grime.
- b. Area(s) evaluated is free of unabated graffiti.
- c. Restrooms, drinking fountains, and food preparation or serving areas appear to have been cleaned each day that school is in session.
 d. Other

Pest/Vermin Infestation

Pest or vermin infestation are not evident. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. There is no evidence of a major pest or vermin infestation. (X)
- b. There are no holes in the walls, floors, or ceilings.
- c. Rodent droppings or insect skins are not evident.
- d. Odor caused by a pest or vermin infestation is not evident.
- e. There are no live rodents observed.
- f. Other

Electrical (Interior and Exterior)

1. There is no evidence that any portion of the school has a power failure. (X)

2. Electrical systems, components, and equipment appear to be working properly. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. There are no exposed electrical wires. Electrical equipment is properly covered and secured from pupil access. (X)
- b. Outlets, access panels, switch plates, junction boxes and fixtures are properly covered and secured from pupil access.
- c. Other

3. Lighting appears to be adequate and working properly, including exterior lights. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Lighting appears to be adequate.
- b. Lighting is not flickering.
- c. There is no unusual hum or noise from the light fixtures.

d. Other

Restrooms

Restrooms in the vicinity of the area being evaluated appear to be accessible during school hours, clean, functional and in compliance with SB 892 (EC Section 35292.5). The following are examples of compliance with SB 892:

- a. Restrooms are maintained and cleaned regularly.
- b. Restrooms are fully operational.
- c. Restrooms are stocked with toilet paper, soap, and paper towels.
- d. Restrooms are open during school hours.
- e. Other

Sinks/Fountains (Inside and Outside)

Drinking fountains appear to be accessible and functioning as intended. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

a. Drinking fountains are accessible.

- b. Water pressure is adequate.
- c. A leak is not evident.
- d. There is no moss, mold, or excessive staining on the fixtures.
- e. The water is clear and without unusual taste or odor.
- f. Other

Fire Safety

The fire equipment and emergency systems appear to be functioning properly. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. The fire sprinklers appear to be in working order (e.g., there are no missing or damaged sprinkler heads). (X)
- b. Emergency alarms appear to be functional. (X)
- c. Emergency exit signs function as designed, exits are unobstructed. (X)
- d. Fire extinguishers are current and placed in all required areas.
- e. Fire alarms pull stations are clearly visible.
- f. Other

Hazardous Materials (Interior and Exterior)

There does not appear to be evidence of hazardous materials that may pose a threat to pupils or staff. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. <u>Hazardous chemicals, chemical waste, and flammable materials are stored</u> properly (e.g. locked and labeled properly). (X)
- b. Paint is not peeling, chipping, or cracking.
- c. There does not appear to be damaged tiles or other circumstances that may indicate asbestos exposure.
- d. Surfaces (including floors, ceilings, walls, window casings, HVAC grills) appear to be free of mildew, mold odor and visible mold.
- e. Other

Structural Damage

There does not appear to be structural damage that has created or could create hazardous or uninhabitable conditions. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Severe cracks are not evident. (X)
- b. Ceilings & floors are not sloping or sagging beyond their intended design. (X)
- c. Posts, beams, supports for portable classrooms, ramps, and other structural building members appear to be intact, secure and functional as designed. (X)
- d. There is no visible evidence of severe cracks, dry rot, mold, or damage that undermines the structural components. (X)

e. Other

Roofs (observed from the ground, inside/outside the building) *Roof systems appear to be functioning properly.*

Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Roofs, gutters, roof drains, and down spouts are free of visible damage.
- b. Roofs, gutters, roof drains, and down spouts are intact.
- c. Other

Playground/School Grounds

The playground equipment and school grounds in the vicinity of the area being evaluated appear to be clean, safe, and functional.

Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Significant cracks, trip hazards, holes and deterioration are not found.
- b. Open "S" hooks, protruding bolt ends, and sharp points/edges are not found in the playground equipment.
- c. Seating, tables, and equipment are functional and free of significant cracks.
- d. There are no signs of drainage problems, such as flooded areas, eroded soil, water damage to asphalt, or clogged storm drain inlets.
 e. Other
- Windows/Doors/Gates/Fences (Interior and exterior)

Conditions that pose a safety and/or security risk are not evident. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. There is no exposed broken glass accessible to pupils and staff. (X)
- b. Exterior doors and gates are functioning and do not pose a security risk. (X)
- c. Windows are intact and free of cracks.
- d. Windows are functional and open, close, and lock as designed, unless there is a valid reason they should not function as designed.
- e. Doors are intact.
- f. Doors are functional and open, close, and lock as designed, unless there is a valid reason they should not function as designed.
- g. Gates and fences appear to be functional.
- h. Gates and fences are intact and free of holes and other conditions that could present a safety hazard to pupils, staff, or others.
- i. Other

Page	5	of	6	
------	---	----	---	--

PART II: EVALUATION D	ETAIL	Date	of Inspection:	10/25/23		School Name:	KENNEDY							_	Page 5 01 0
CATEGORY	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14 PLAYGROUND/S	15 WINDOWS/
AREA	GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	SEWER	INTERIOR SURFACES	OVERALL CLEANLINESS	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	ELECTRICAL	RESTROOM	SINKS/ FOUNTAINS	FIRE SAFETY	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	ROOFS	CHOOL GROUNDS	DOORS/ GATES/FENCES
ADMIN	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	D	NA	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	OK
ADMIN	COMMENTS	epoxy cra	acking in s	students r	r in main o	office									
NURSES OFFICE	OK	OK	ОК	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	OK
NORSES OFFICE	COMMENTS														
PRINCIPAL	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	ОК	OK	OK	OK	ОК	OK
	COMMENTS														
LIBRARY	OK	OK	ОК	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	OK
LIDNAN	COMMENTS														
L1	ОК	ОК	ОК	OK	ОК	ОК	ОК	NA	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК	OK	ОК	ОК
	COMMENTS														
12	ОК	ОК	ОК	OK	ОК	ОК	OK	ОК	ОК	D	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	ОК
	COMMENTS	non flame	e retardan	t curtains											
11	ОК	ОК	ОК	OK	ОК	ОК	OK	NA	NA	D	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	ОК
	COMMENTS	non flame	e retardar	t curtains											
14	ОК	ОК	ОК	OK	ОК	ОК	OK	NA	NA	ОК	ОК	ОК	OK	ОК	ОК
	COMMENTS														
STAFF ROOM/10	OK	ОК	ОК	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	ОК	ОК	ОК	OK	ОК	ОК
	COMMENTS														
PREP 12/13	ОК	ОК	ОК	OK	OK	ОК	OK	NA	ОК	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	ОК
	COMMENTS														
PREP 11/14	ОК	ОК	ОК	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	ОК	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	ОК
	COMMENTS		r		Γ	r	r	r	r		r				
LAB STUDY	ОК	ОК	ОК	OK	OK	ОК	OK	NA	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК	OK	OK	ОК
	COMMENTS		r		1	r	r	r	r		r			·	
13	ОК	ОК	ОК	OK	OK	ОК	OK	NA	NA	D	OK	ОК	OK	OK	ОК
	COMMENTS door covered in paper, non-flame retardant curtains, couches & pillows														

PART II: EVALUATION	DETAIL	Date	of Inspection:	10/25/23		School Name:	KENNEDY							_	l ugo o or o
CATEGORY	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
AREA	GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	SEWER	INTERIOR SURFACES	OVERALL CLEANLINESS	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	ELECTRICAL	RESTROOM	SINKS/ FOUNTAINS	FIRE SAFETY	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	ROOFS	CHOOL GROUNDS	WINDOWS/ DOORS/ GATES/FENCES
22	ОК	ОК	ОК	OK	ОК	ОК	ОК	NA	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК	OK	ОК	OK
22	COMMENTS													PLAYGROUND/S CHOOL GROUNDS	
00	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	NA	ОК	ОК	OK	OK	OK	ОК	OK
20	COMMENTS													1	
04	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	ОК	ОК	OK	OK	OK	ОК	OK
21	COMMENTS														
	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	ОК	ОК	NA	ОК	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	OK
PREP 21/24	COMMENTS													PLAYGROUND/S CHOOL GROUNDS OK OK OK OK OK OK	
24	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	ОК	ОК	NA	ОК	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	PLAYGROUND/S CHOOL GROUNDS OK OK OK OK OK OK	ОК
24	COMMENTS	one light	fixture no	t working	(work ord	er submit	ted)								
22	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	NA	ОК	ОК	OK	OK	OK	ОК	OK
23	COMMENTS		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							· · · · · ·					
DDED 22/22	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	NA	ОК	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	BAYGROUND/S CHOOL GROUNDS OK OK OK OK OK OK	OK
PREP 23/22	COMMENTS														
32	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	NA	OK	ОК	OK	OK	OK	ОК	OK
52	COMMENTS													PLAYGROUND/S CHOOL GROUNDS OK OK OK OK OK OK OK	
30	ОК	OK	OK	OK	ОК	OK	OK	NA	OK	ОК	OK	OK	OK	PLAYGROUND/S CHOOL GROUNDS OK OK OK OK OK OK	OK
50	COMMENTS														
31	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	OK
57	COMMENTS													PLAYGROUND/ CHOOL GROUNDS OK OK OK OK OK OK OK OK	
PREP 31/34	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК	NA	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК	OK	OK	ОК
, KE/ 0//04	COMMENTS														
34	ОК	ОК	ОК	OK	ОК	ОК	ОК	NA	ОК	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	OK
~	COMMENTS														
MENS RR (NEAR	ОК	ОК	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	NA	ОК	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	OK	OK
34)	COMMENTS														

PART II: EVALUATION D	ETAIL	Date	of Inspection:	10/25/23	_	School Name:	KENNEDY							_	
CATEGORY	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
AREA	GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	SEWER	INTERIOR SURFACES	OVERALL CLEANLINESS	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	ELECTRICAL	RESTROOM	SINKS/ FOUNTAINS	FIRE SAFETY	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	ROOFS	CHOOL GROUNDS	WINDOWS/ DOORS/ GATES/FENCES
BOYS RR (NEAR 34) 33 GIRLS RR (NEAR 33) 42 40 40	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	ОК	ОК	NA	OK	ОК	ОК	ОК	OK	ОК	OK
34)	COMMENTS		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					<u> </u>				·		PLAYGROUND/S CHOOL GROUNDS	
EIRLS RR (NEAR 33) 42 40 41	OK	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	OK	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	ОК	OK
	COMMENTS													PLAYGROUND/S CHOOL GROUNDS C OK OK OK OK OK OK NA	
GIRLS RR (NEAR	OK	OK	ОК	D	OK	ОК	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	OK
33)	COMMENTS	epoxy flo	or crackin	g, partitio	ons need p	paint/repla	icement, 2	2nd sink le	eaks from	handle (v	vork ordei	r submitte	d)	PLAYGROUND/S CHOOL GROUNDS OK OK OK OK NA NA NA NA NA NA	
42	OK	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	ОК	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	OK
42	COMMENTS													PLAYGROUND/S CHOOL GROUNDS OK OK OK OK OK NA NA NA NA NA NA	
40	OK	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	ОК	OK	NA	OK	OK	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	OK
40	COMMENTS													PLAYGROUNDIS CHOOL GROUNDIS OK OK OK OK OK OK NA NA NA NA NA	
41	OK	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	ОК	OK	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	OK
41	COMMENTS														
PREP 41/44	OK	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	OK	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	OK
	COMMENTS													ОК ΝΑ ΟΚ ΟΚ ΟΚ ΟΚ ΟΚ ΟΚ ΟΚ ΟΚ ΟΚ	
44	OK	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	ОК	OK	NA	OK	OK	OK	ОК	OK	NA	OK
77	COMMENTS:													PLAYGROUND/S CHOOL GROUNDS OK OK OK OK OK NA NA NA NA NA NA	
43	OK	NA	ОК	NA	OK	OK	NA	NA	NA	NA	OK	NA	NA	NA	OK
40	COMMENTS													PLAYGROUND/S CHOOL GROUNDS OK OK OK OK OK NA NA NA NA NA NA	
PREP 42/43	OK	NA	ок	NA	ОК	ОК	NA	NA	NA	NA	OK	NA	NA	NA	ОК
	COMMENTS													ОК ОК ОК ОК ОК ОК NA NA NA NA	
MPR	ОК	NA	ОК	D	OK	ОК	NA	NA	NA	NA	OK	NA	NA	NA	ОК
	COMMENTS:	floor crac	king in so	me areas	6										
PLAY COURTS	ОК	NA	ОК	NA	ОК	ОК	NA	NA	NA	NA	OK	NA	NA	OK	ОК
	COMMENTS														
PLAY FIELD	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	OK	ОК	ОК	OK	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	ОК	OK	OK
	COMMENTS													PLAYGROUND/S CHOOL GROUNDS OK OK OK OK OK NA NA NA NA NA NA	

PART II: EVALUATION D	DETAIL	Date	of Inspection:	10/25/23		School Name:	KENNEDY							_	l ugo o ol o
CATEGORY	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15 WINDOWS/
AREA	GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	SEWER	INTERIOR SURFACES	OVERALL CLEANLINESS	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	ELECTRICAL	RESTROOM	SINKS/ FOUNTAINS	FIRE SAFETY	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	ROOFS	CHOOL GROUNDS	DOORS/ GATES/FENCES
	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	ОК
PLAYGROUNDS	COMMENTS		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							· · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		PLAYGROUND/S CHOOL GROUNDS	
	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	NA	ОК
LD OFFICE	COMMENTS				•									PLAYGROUND/S CHOOL GROUNDS OK NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA	
LOUNGE	OK	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК	OK	OK	OK	NA	ОК
LOUNGE	COMMENTS		· · · · · ·											PLAYGROUND/S CHOOL GROUNDS OK NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA	
К1	ОК	ОК	ОК	OK	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК	D	ОК	ОК	OK	NA	ОК
	COMMENTS	bean bag	js											PLAYGROUND/S CHOOL GROUNDS OK NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA OK	
К2	ОК	ОК	ОК	OK	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК	OK	NA	ОК
κ2	COMMENTS													С ОК NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA	
КЗ	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК	OK	OK	OK	NA	ОК
NJ	COMMENTS		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·												
KITCHEN	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК	OK	OK	OK	PLAYGROUND/S CHOOL GROUNDS OK NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA	OK
	COMMENTS														
GIRLS RR (NIN	OK	OK	OK	OK	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	OK	OK	NA	OK
MPR)	COMMENTS														
BOYS RR (IN	ОК	OK	OK	OK	ОК	OK	OK	OK	OK	ОК	OK	OK	OK	PLAYGROUND/3 CHOOL GROUNDS OK NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA OK	OK
MPR)	COMMENTS														
UNISEX STAFF	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	OK	OK	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	NA	OK
RR (IN ADMIN)	COMMENTS														
L2	ОК	ОК	ОК	OK	ОК	ОК	ОК	NA	ОК	D	ОК	ОК	OK	ОК	ОК
L 2	COMMENTS	bean bag	js												
PREP 32/33	ОК	ОК	ОК	OK	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК	OK	OK	OK	ОК	ОК
,, <u>,,</u> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	COMMENTS														
BOYS RR (NEAR	ОК	ОК	ОК	OK	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	OK	ОК
14)	COMMENTS														

PART II: EVALUATIO		Date	of Inspection:	10/25/23		School Name:	KENNEDY							_	l ugo o ol o	
CATEGORY	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	
AREA	GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	SEWER	INTERIOR SURFACES	OVERALL CLEANLINESS	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	ELECTRICAL	RESTROOM	SINKS/ FOUNTAINS	FIRE SAFETY	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	ROOFS	PLAYGROUND/S CHOOL GROUNDS	WINDOWS/ DOORS/ GATES/FENCES	
MENS RR (NEAR 14)	, OK	ок	ок	OK	ОК	OK	OK	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК	OK	ок	OK	
	COMMENTS	:														
WOMENS RR	ОК	ок	ок	OK	ОК	OK	OK	OK	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	
(NEAR 13)	COMMENTS															
GIRLS RR (NEA	R OK	ОК	ОК	D	OK	OK	OK	OK	ОК	OK	OK	OK	OK	ОК	OK	
13)	COMMENTS	COMMENTS epoxy floor cracking														
STAGE	OK	ОК	ОК	OK	OK	OK	OK	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК	OK	OK	ОК	OK	
STAGE	COMMENTS															
STAGE STORAG	e OK	ОК	ОК	OK	OK	OK	OK	ОК	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	OK	ОК	OK	
STAGE STORAGE	COMMENTS															
WOMENS	ОК	ОК	ОК	OK	OK	OK	OK	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК	OK	ОК	OK	
RR(NEAR 33)	COMMENTS															

Use additional Area Lines as necessary.

D X

STATE OF CALIFORNIA FACILITY INSPECTION TOOL SCHOOL FACILITY CONDITIONS EVALUATION (REV 05/09)

(REV 05/09)

FACILITY INSPECTION TOOL(FIT)

SCHOOL FACILITY CONDITIONS EVALUATION

Page 6 of 6

SCHOOL DISTRICT/COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION		COUNTY				
DINUBA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT		TULARE				
SCHOOL SITE		SCHOOL TYPE (GRADE LEVELS)	NUMBER OF CLASSROOMS ON SITE			
KENNEDY ELEMENTARY		K THRU 6				
INSPECTOR'S NAME	INSPECTOR'S TITLE	NAME OF DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE ACCOMPANYIN	IG THE INSPECTOR(S) (IF APPLICABLE)			
FRANCIS ALVAREZ	FACILITIES TECHNICIAN					
TIME OF INSPECTION	WEATHER CONDITION AT TIME OF INSPECTION					
8:40AM	SUNNY					

PART III: CATEGORY TOTALS AND RANKING (round all calculations to two decimal places)

TOTAL			A. SYSTEMS		B. INTERIOR	C. CLEA	NLINESS	D. ELECTRICAL	E. RESTROO	MS/FOUNTAINS	F. SA	FETY	G. STRUC	TURAL	H. E.	XTERNAL
NUMBER OF AREAS EVALUATED	CATEGORY TOTALS	GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	SEWER	INTERIOR SURFACES	OVERALL CLEANLINESS	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	ELECTRICAL	RESTROOMS	SINKS/ FOUNTAINS	FIRE SAFETY	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	ROOFS	PLAYGROUND/ SCHOOL GROUNDS	WINDOWS/DOORS/ GATES/FENCES
	Number of "OK"s:	58	54	58	52	58	58	54	23	47	49	58	54	54	43	58
↓	Number of "D"s:	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	0
58	Number of "X"s:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50	Number of N/As:	0	4	0	3	0	0	4	34	11	4	0	4	4	15	0
Number of "C	em in Good Repair DK"s divided by as - "NA"s)*	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	94.55%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	95.83%	100.00%	90.74%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	t per Category of above)*		100.00%		94.55%	100.	00%	100.00%	97.	92%	95.3	37%	100.0	00%	10	0.00%
Rank (Circle one) GOOD = 90%-100% FAIR = 75%-89.99% POOR = 0%-74.99%		GOOD		GOOD	GC	OD	GOOD	OOD GOOD GOOD		GOO	DD	G	SOOD			

*Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category and a zero for "Total Percent per Category".

OVERALL RATING:

DETERMINE AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF 8 CATEGORIES ABOVE \rightarrow 98.48% SCHOOL RATING** \rightarrow GOOD

**For School Rating, apply the Percentage Range below to the average percentage determined above, taking into account the rating Description below.

PERCENTAGE	DESCRIPTION	RATING
99%-100%	The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.	EXEMPLARY
90%-98.99%	The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.	GOOD
75.%-89.99%	The school is not in good repair. Some deficiencies noted are critical and/or widespread. Repairs and/or additional maintenance are necessary in several areas of the school site.	FAIR
0%-74.99%	The school facilities are in poor condition. Deficiencies of various degrees have been noted throughout the site. Major repairs and maintenance are necessary throughout the campus.	POOR

COMMENTS AND RATING EXPLANATION: