

Washington Intermediate School, Dinuba

2022-2023 School Accountability Report Card (Published During the 2023-2024 School Year)

General Information about the School Accountability Report Card (SARC)

SARC Overview



By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements and access to prior year reports, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or the LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest



DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at <https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

California School Dashboard



The California School Dashboard (Dashboard) <https://www.caschooldashboard.org/> reflects California's new accountability and continuous improvement system and provides information about how LEAs and schools are meeting the needs of California's diverse student population. The Dashboard contains reports that display the performance of LEAs, schools, and student groups on a set of state and local measures to assist in identifying strengths, challenges, and areas in need of improvement.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

2023-24 School Contact Information

School Name	Washington Intermediate School, Dinuba
Street	1150 North Hayes Ave.
City, State, Zip	Dinuba, CA 93618
Phone Number	(559) 595-7252
Principal	Kevin Thomas
Email Address	kthomas@dinuba.k12.ca.us
School Website	https://www.dinuba.k12.ca.us/Domain/9
County-District-School (CDS) Code	54-75531-6053995

2023-24 District Contact Information

District Name	Dinuba Unified School District
Phone Number	(559) 595-7200
Superintendent	Joe Hernandez, Ed.D.
Email Address	jhernandez@dinuba.k12.ca.us
District Website	dusd.dinuba.k12.ca.us

2023-24 School Description and Mission Statement

Mission Statement:

Working together to ensure students have opportunities to acquire the knowledge and skills necessary for future success and "Together Building a P.L.A.N."

Prepare for college or career Lead by example Academic excellence Navigate toward the DHS G.O.A.L.

A Message from the Principal:

Welcome to Washington Intermediate. As the principal of WIS, I feel very proud to be working alongside such a fantastic group of teachers, parents and most importantly terrific students. It is the goal of our staff to create connections for student success at Washington Intermediate School.

The two years of Jr. high school are a special and exciting time as well as a little challenging. Incoming 7th graders must not only familiarize themselves with a new school, but a new schedule, the change from working with a single teacher to working with many teachers. Washington Intermediate will do their best to help make this a seamless transition for all student. During Jr. high school, students become more aware of whom they are and begin to identify specific values and interests that will be important to them for a lifetime. It is the task of the Jr. High staff to challenge all students to achieve, and to provide opportunities to grow in a positive direction.

This document will provide valuable information regarding the opportunities offered to our students and their parents. I encourage you to take advantage of all the opportunities at our school. I would also encourage our students to become involved in the extra and co-curricular activities that interest them. Being involved in school activities will make their Jr. High school experience even more enjoyable. I strongly encourage parents and guardians to get involved in their child's education

2023-24 School Description and Mission Statement

through the various school committees, school events, and various parent meetings that will take place throughout the school year. I look forward to the strong partnership that we can create to ensure that your child's middle school years are successful.

I look forward to another exciting, meaningful and successful school year for the Washington Intermediate community. Please do not hesitate to contact myself and/or any of our administrators if you should have any questions. We are committed to providing you and your child with a positive and memorable experience here at Washington Intermediate.

Kevin Thomas

Principal, Washington Intermediate

(559) 595-7252

About this School

2022-23 Student Enrollment by Grade Level

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 7	440
Grade 8	501
Total Enrollment	1,010

2022-23 Student Enrollment by Student Group

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Female	48.8%
Male	51.2%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.3%
Asian	0.8%
Black or African American	0.5%
Filipino	0.2%
Hispanic or Latino	95.7%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.2%
White	2.3%
English Learners	31.8%
Foster Youth	0.4%
Homeless	0.3%
Migrant	2.8%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	85%
Students with Disabilities	8.1%

A. Conditions of Learning **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

2020-21 Teacher Preparation and Placement

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)	31.40	73.95	235.30	86.41	228366.10	83.12
Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned	2.00	4.70	11.00	4.04	4205.90	1.53
Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (“ineffective” under ESSA)	4.20	9.99	6.90	2.54	11216.70	4.08
Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (“out-of-field” under ESSA)	0.00	0.00	8.80	3.24	12115.80	4.41
Unknown	4.80	11.35	10.20	3.76	18854.30	6.86
Total Teaching Positions	42.50	100.00	272.30	100.00	274759.10	100.00

Note: The data in this table is based on Full Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

2021-22 Teacher Preparation and Placement

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)	32.20	69.38	225.00	80.95	234405.20	84.00
Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned	6.50	13.97	13.10	4.71	4853.00	1.74
Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (“ineffective” under ESSA)	1.50	3.22	4.10	1.50	12001.50	4.30
Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (“out-of-field” under ESSA)	3.90	8.40	14.10	5.08	11953.10	4.28
Unknown	2.30	5.01	21.50	7.76	15831.90	5.67
Total Teaching Positions	46.50	100.00	277.90	100.00	279044.80	100.00

The CDE published the first year of available teacher data for the 2020-21 SARC in June 2022, and the CDE published the second year of data for the 2021-22 SARC in June 2023. The EC Section 33126(b)(5) requires the most recent three years of teacher data to be requested in the SARC, as data is available. The teacher data for the 2022-23 SARC will not be available prior to February 1, 2024, and therefore is not included in the template.

Note: The data in this table is based on Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (considered “ineffective” under ESSA)

Authorization/Assignment	2020-21	2021-22
Permits and Waivers	4.00	1.00
Misassignments	0.20	0.50
Vacant Positions	0.00	0.00
Total Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments	4.20	1.50

The teacher data for the 2022-23 SARC will not be available prior to February 1, 2024, and therefore is not included in the template.

Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (considered “out-of-field” under ESSA)

Indicator	2020-21	2021-22
Credentialed Teachers Authorized on a Permit or Waiver	0.00	0.00
Local Assignment Options	0.00	3.90
Total Out-of-Field Teachers	0.00	3.90

The teacher data for the 2022-23 SARC will not be available prior to February 1, 2024, and therefore is not included in the template.

Class Assignments

Indicator	2020-21	2021-22
Misassignments for English Learners (a percentage of all the classes with English learners taught by teachers that are misassigned)	0.5	0.9
No credential, permit or authorization to teach (a percentage of all the classes taught by teachers with no record of an authorization to teach)	13.8	0

The teacher data for the 2022-23 SARC will not be available prior to February 1, 2024, and therefore is not included in the template.

Note: For more information refer to the Updated Teacher Equity Definitions web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/pd/ee/teacherequitydefinitions.asp>.

2023-24 Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials

Washington Intermediate School has adopted Study Sync/ McGraw hill (5/2023) for English Language Arts. The Science adoption is Stemsscopes-Online Edition through Accelerate Learning (2018). Illustrative Mathematics is the adopted text for Math (5/2018). History 8th -Social Studies has adopted HSS Impact United States History and Geography Growth and Conflict from McGraw-Hill (2018). Social Studies 7th Medieval and Early Modern Times. Core curriculum is state adopted and board approved. Students have access to core textbooks from the library. Each student receives a Chromebook where all core text materials are available on line. All students have access to the library and computer labs if additional resources are required.

The Williams legislation calls for schools to have enough books in core classes for all students. The law also asks districts to reveal whether those books are presenting what the California content standards calls for. All adopted text books are aligned with state standards for each content area.

Year and month in which the data were collected

8/2020

Subject	Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption ?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	7-8 Study Sync, McGraw-Hill adopted 5/2023- ELA 7-8 English 3D, Houghton Mifflin, Adopted June, 2022- ELD	Yes	0
Mathematics	7-8 Open Up Resources, Illustrative Mathematics: Adopted May, 2018	Yes	0
Science	7-8 Stemsscopes - Online C7-8 California edition Adopted May 2016	Yes	0
History-Social Science	7-8 California Impact, History-Social Science MacMillan: Adopted May, 2018	Yes	0
Foreign Language	N/A		
Health	N/A		
Visual and Performing Arts	N/A		

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

Washington Intermediate School was built in 1964. The school takes great efforts to ensure a safe, clean, and functional physical environment for students, staff, and volunteers. Sufficient space is provided for students and staff to support teaching and learning. This includes space provided by classrooms, gym use, and staff work rooms. To assist our school in this effort, the district uses a facility survey instrument developed by the State of California Office of Public School Construction. The results of this survey are available at the district office. Additional information about the condition of the school's facilities may be obtained by speaking with the school principal. Site custodians and district maintenance staff maintain the buildings and campus. To ensure that we maintain a healthy and safe learning environment training in general maintenance procedures is provided on a routine basis for site staff, custodians and maintenance.

Maintenance and Repair:

District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority.

In 2021, our main quad area in front of the stage received renovation by having the aging planters and cement removed and replaced with an all cement surface with proper drainage. A student shade structure was built for the main quad area, which provides for cover during hot and inclement weather. Various drainage areas were addressed by adding cement.

Year and month of the most recent FIT report

9/19/2023

System Inspected	Rate Good	Rate Fair	Rate Poor	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	X			GIRLS LOCKER ROOM: hole in the wall behind foyer door
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	X			
Electrical	X			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			A-6: window seal pain chipping ADMIN: fire extinguisher blocked by mail bin
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			

Overall Facility Rate

Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
X			

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

Statewide Assessments

(i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities).

The CAASPP System encompasses the following assessments and student participation requirements:

1. **Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for ELA** in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
2. **Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for mathematics** in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
3. **California Science Test (CAST) and CAAs for Science** in grades five, eight, and once in high school (i.e., grade ten, eleven, or twelve).

College and Career Ready

The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard on CAASPP

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA and mathematics for all students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Subject	School 2021-22	School 2022-23	District 2021-22	District 2022-23	State 2021-22	State 2022-23
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	48	42	46	44	47	46
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	22	21	25	27	33	34

2022-23 CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	1007	979	97.22	2.78	42.17
Female	488	472	96.72	3.28	47.13
Male	519	507	97.69	2.31	37.55
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--	--
Black or African American	--	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	966	938	97.10	2.90	41.77
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	0	0	0	0	0
White	20	20	100.00	0.00	40.00
English Learners	298	285	95.64	4.36	10.92
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--	--
Homeless	--	--	--	--	--
Military	0	0	0	0	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	692	675	97.54	2.46	37.30
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0	0
Students with Disabilities	80	75	93.75	6.25	4.00

2022-23 CAASPP Test Results in Math by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Math by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	1007	983	97.62	2.38	21.18
Female	488	477	97.75	2.25	17.61
Male	519	506	97.50	2.50	24.55
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--	--
Black or African American	--	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	966	942	97.52	2.48	20.83
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	0	0	0	0	0
White	20	20	100.00	0.00	15.00
English Learners	298	291	97.65	2.35	5.84
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--	--
Homeless	--	--	--	--	--
Military	0	0	0	0	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	692	674	97.40	2.60	19.29
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0	0
Students with Disabilities	80	75	93.75	6.25	2.67

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

This table displays the percentage of all students grades five, eight, and High School meeting or exceeding the State Standard.

Science test results include the CAST and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the CAST plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Subject	School 2021-22	School 2022-23	District 2021-22	District 2022-23	State 2021-22	State 2022-23
Science (grades 5, 8 and high school)	20.04	21.60	19.58	22.47	29.47	30.29

2022-23 CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Science by student group for students grades five, eight, and High School. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	500	488	97.60	2.40	21.60
Female	245	238	97.14	2.86	19.75
Male	255	250	98.04	1.96	23.39
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--	--
Black or African American	--	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	480	468	97.50	2.50	21.03
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	0	0	0	0	0
White	--	--	--	--	--
English Learners	140	136	97.14	2.86	2.99
Foster Youth	0	0	0	0	0
Homeless	--	--	--	--	--
Military	0	0	0	0	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	348	338	97.13	2.87	18.40
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0	0
Students with Disabilities	35	32	91.43	8.57	3.33

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8): Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education.

2022-23 California Physical Fitness Test Results

This table displays the percentage of students participating in each of the five fitness components of the California Physical Fitness Test Results. The administration of the PFT during 2021-22 and 2022-23 school years, only participation results are required for these five fitness areas. Percentages are not calculated and double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Grade Level	Component 1: Aerobic Capacity	Component 2: Abdominal Strength and Endurance	Component 3: Trunk Extensor and Strength and Flexibility	Component 4: Upper Body Strength and Endurance	Component 5: Flexibility
Grade 7	100	99	100	99	99

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3): Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions regarding the school district and at each school site.

2023-24 Opportunities for Parental Involvement

Our aim at Washington Intermediate School is to foster connections with our parent community. We do this in many ways:

School Site Council (SSC) will meet a minimum of four times a year to review and revise the annual Single Plan for Student Achievement and reflect on the effectiveness of programs and instruction. The English Language Acquisition Council (ELAC) will also meet four times a year. Both councils will be designed to ensure that our curriculum reflect the diverse needs of all students, to promote activities that recognize and appreciate cultural diversity, and to help develop a school climate that offers equal opportunity to all staff and students.

Parents are welcome and encouraged to volunteer at WIS. A variety of parent communications occur via traditional, electronic and social media platforms including Blackboard, PS Messaging, targeted phone calls, certificated mailings, Facebook, Instagram, and Peach Jar. Parent T-Bird education opportunities will be offered during the school year. Information will be used to strengthen communication with parents and provide awareness to social trends and academic requirements that affect students' success at school. Social trends that are often addressed are bullying, cyber bullying and social networking, gang awareness, mental health, suicide awareness, CAASPP, attendance, promotion requirements, and academic interventions. T-Bird nights will be designed to involve parents in their child's education. Student T-Bird of the month celebrations are conducted each month on a Friday with breakfast provided for parents and students who are nominated for an award. Parents are able to share in the success of their student and take pictures with the Principal along with the student. Back to school night, along with teacher / student conference provide parents with the opportunity to meet with the teacher and discuss individualized needs, concerns, and progress monitoring of their student(s).

Academic counseling services are available for parents and students. On-going and as needed conferences are available for all students and parents. If you would like more information about Parent Engagement Activities, please contact our Community Liaison, Ms. Alicia Villarreal @ 559-595-7252.

2022-23 Chronic Absenteeism by Student Group

Student Group	Cumulative Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Eligible Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Count	Chronic Absenteeism Rate
All Students	1052	1037	182	17.6
Female	516	506	102	20.2
Male	536	531	80	15.1
Non-Binary	0	0	0	0.0
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	3	0	0.0
Asian	10	9	0	0.0
Black or African American	5	5	0	0.0
Filipino	3	3	0	0.0
Hispanic or Latino	1004	991	172	17.4
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	2	2	1	50.0
Two or More Races	0	0	0	0.0
White	24	24	9	37.5
English Learners	341	335	68	20.3
Foster Youth	6	5	0	0.0
Homeless	3	3	2	66.7
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	900	888	162	18.2
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	40	40	10	25.0
Students with Disabilities	87	85	24	28.2

C. Engagement

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety

Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays suspensions and expulsions data.

Rate	School 2020-21	School 2021-22	School 2022-23	District 2020-21	District 2021-22	District 2022-23	State 2020-21	State 2021-22	State 2022-23
Suspensions	0.10	8.11	9.51	0.04	3.13	3.37	0.20	3.17	3.60
Expulsions	0.00	1.04	0.86	0.00	0.33	0.19	0.00	0.07	0.08

2022-23 Suspensions and Expulsions by Student Group

Student Group	Suspensions Rate	Expulsions Rate
All Students	9.51	0.86
Female	6.59	0.39
Male	12.31	1.31
Non-Binary		
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0
Asian	0	0
Black or African American	0	0
Filipino	0	0
Hispanic or Latino	9.56	0.8
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0
Two or More Races	0	0
White	12.5	4.17
English Learners	10.85	1.47
Foster Youth	0	0
Homeless	0	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	9.89	1
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	5	2.5
Students with Disabilities	20.69	4.6

2023-24 School Safety Plan

School safety is a priority for all staff at Washington Intermediate School. The Comprehensive School Safety Plan is designed to assist in maintaining a safe school environment and preparing for emergencies. The plan requires identification of security needs, development of prevention and intervention techniques, evaluation of physical facilities, and communication with staff and students. The safety plan is reviewed annually, updated as needed, and fully compliant with federal and state regulations. An updated copy is available to the public at the school office. In addition, school administration and other support staff monitor the campus before, during, after school and during school events. WIS in partnership with Dinuba Police Department has a full time police officer on campus to ensure that a safe school environment is provided for students and adults. The four (mobile) campus officers, along with administrative management, monitor the school to ensure a school safe environment. All buildings and offices have telephones and intercoms. Support staff and faculty are provided radios to ensure ongoing ability to communicate. Also, the school has 41 cameras strategically located around the perimeter of the school campus. Gates around the campus are closed and locked during school hours. There is one single access way for the public to enter the school. Visitors register at the office before coming onto campus. Parents are required to sign student in/out when leaving early or arriving late to school. Doors remain secure throughout the day and are only accessible via Lock Block retraction, Key, and/or Key Fobs (specific to the gym).

School Site Council reviews and approves the Washington Intermediate School safety plan annually prior to its approval by the district's Board of Trustees. Washington Intermediate School holds monthly safety drills to ensure the students and staff are prepared for any emergency that may arise (i.e., fire, earthquake, secure campus and lock down).

The school's safety plan was updated, reviewed, and approved by the School Site Council in March of 2022.

2023-24 School Safety Plan

2020-21 Secondary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2020-21 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled “Number of Classes” indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Subject	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-22 Students	Number of Classes with 23-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
English Language Arts	28	8	24	9
Mathematics	25	10	30	
Science	31	1	28	2
Social Science	31	1	30	

2021-22 Secondary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2021-22 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled “Number of Classes” indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Subject	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-22 Students	Number of Classes with 23-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
English Language Arts	26	6	41	1
Mathematics	27	6	34	
Science	31		33	
Social Science	30		34	

2022-23 Secondary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2022-23 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled “Number of Classes” indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Subject	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-22 Students	Number of Classes with 23-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
English Language Arts	25	10	35	0
Mathematics	26	8	33	0
Science	31	0	31	2
Social Science	30	0	34	0

2022-23 Ratio of Pupils to Academic Counselor

This table displays the ratio of pupils to Academic Counselor. One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Ratio
Pupils to Academic Counselor	505

2022-23 Student Support Services Staff

This table displays the number of FTE support staff assigned to this school. One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School
Counselor (Academic, Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	2
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	
Psychologist	
Social Worker	
Nurse	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	
Other	7

Fiscal Year 2021-22 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries

This table displays the 2021-22 expenditures per pupil and average teacher salary for this school. Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$6,498	\$72	\$6,426	\$85,389
District	N/A	N/A	\$6,120	\$85,389
Percent Difference - School Site and District	N/A	N/A		
State	N/A	N/A	\$7,607	\$87,362
Percent Difference - School Site and State	N/A	N/A		

Fiscal Year 2022-23 Types of Services Funded

Washington Intermediate School receives funds for state and federal programs that are restricted to specific purposes. This includes LCAP (Local Control Accountability Plan), Title I Funding, Title II, Title III, Economic Impact Aid, and funds to support English Language Acquisition, Visual and Performing Arts Programs as well as the social-emotional support of students. Please see the following:

Federal Programs

Title I, Part A, School and District Discretionary Block Grants

Title I, Part C, Migrant Education

Title II, Part A, Improving Teacher Quality

Title II, Part D, Enhancing Education Through Technology

Title III, Limited English Proficient

IDEA, Special Education

State Programs

Supplemental and Concentration Funds

Child Development Programs

Discretionary Block Grants

Title I, Part C, Migrant Education

Title II, Part A, Improving Teacher Quality

Title II, Part D, Enhancing Education Through Technology

Title III, Limited English

Fiscal Year 2021-22 Teacher and Administrative Salaries

This table displays the 2021-22 Teacher and Administrative salaries. For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certification Salaries & Benefits web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts in Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$54,014	\$54,190
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$78,586	\$85,111
Highest Teacher Salary	\$105,086	\$104,999
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$126,210	\$132,492
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$132,521	\$140,987
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$153,409	\$153,884
Superintendent Salary	\$215,000	\$255,503
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	27.19%	32.09%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	4.76%	5.25%

Professional Development

Teachers take time each year to improve their teaching skills and to extend their knowledge of the subjects they teach. Here you'll see the amount of time each year we set aside for their continuing education and professional development.

2020-21

*4 days of science training from FCOE

*5 days of ELA support from TCOE

*8 days of MTSS - school improvement team from TOCE

*5 days of 8th Grade on Track - school improvement team - CA Education Partners

2022-23

*4 days of science training from FCOE

*5 days of ELA support from TCOE

*8 days of MTSS - school improvement team from TOCE

*5 days of 8th Grade on Track - school improvement team - CA Education Partners

The school has a focus on meeting the needs of all students as well as the significant subgroups. English language arts and mathematics will continue to be a focus to better meet the academic needs of students.

Teachers meet every Monday to participate in Professional Learning Communities in order to reflect upon current practice and utilize data to inform their instruction. They collaborate a full day each semester and on minimum days to analyze assessment data and reflect on instructional practices. Teachers challenge students every day with higher order questioning and engagement strategies.

Professional Development

2023-24

*District Wide Professional Growth - 4 days

*7-8th NGSS- Science Training - 4 days via Professional Development Days with TCOE Consultant

*7-8th CCSS- Math Training - 6 days via Professional Development Days with TCOE/District Consultant

*7-8th CCSS - ELA Training - 6 days via Professional Development Days with TCOE/District Consultant

7-8th CCSS - Social Science - 5 days via Professional Development Days with District support

*7-8th CCSS - ELA/ELD English 3D- 2 days via Professional Development with TCOE/ District Consultant

This table displays the number of school days dedicated to staff development and continuous improvement.

Subject	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24
Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement	2	2	

GENERAL INFORMATION

The Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) has been developed by the Office of Public School Construction to determine if a school facility is in “good repair” as defined by Education Code (EC) Section 17002(d)(1) and to rate the facility pursuant to EC Section 17002(d)(2). The tool is designed to identify areas of a school site that are in need of repair based upon a visual inspection of the site. In addition, the EC specifies the tool should not be used to require capital enhancements beyond the standards to which the facility was designed and constructed.

Good repair is defined to mean that the facility is maintained in a manner that ensures that it is clean, safe, and functional. As part of the school accountability report card, school districts and county offices of education are required to make specified assessments of school conditions including the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of school facilities and needed maintenance to ensure good repair. In addition, beginning with the 2005/2006 fiscal year, school districts and county offices of education must certify that a facility inspection system has been established to ensure that each of its facilities is maintained in good repair in order to participate in the School Facility Program and the Deferred Maintenance Program. This tool is intended to assist school districts and county offices of education in that determination.

County superintendents are required to annually visit the schools in the county of his or her office as determined by EC Section 1240. Further, EC Section 1240(c)(2)(I), states the priority objective of the visits made shall be to determine the status of the condition of a facility that poses an emergency or urgent threat to the health or safety of pupils or staff as defined in district policy, or as defined by EC Section 17592.72(c) and the accuracy of data reported on the school accountability report card with the respect to the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of school facilities, including good repair as required by EC Sections 17014, 17032.5, 17070.75, and 17089. This tool is also intended to assist county offices of education in performing these functions.

The EC also allows individual entities to adopt a local evaluation instrument to be used in lieu of the FIT provided the local instrument meets the criteria specified in EC Section 17002(d) and as implemented in the FIT. Any evaluation instrument adopted by the local educational agency for purpose of determining whether a school facility is maintained in good repair may include any number of additional items but must minimally include the criteria and rating scheme contained in the FIT.

USER INSTRUCTIONS

The FIT is comprised of three parts as follows:

Part I, Good Repair Standard outlines the school facility systems and components, as specified in EC Section 17002(d)(1), that should be considered in the inspection of a school facility to ensure it is maintained in a manner that assures it is clean, safe and functional. Each of the 15 sections in the Good Repair Standard provides a description of a minimum standard of good repair for various school facility categories. Each section also provides examples of clean, safe and functional conditions. The list of examples is not exhaustive. If an evaluator notes a condition that is not mentioned in the examples but constitutes a deficiency, the evaluator can note such deficiency in the applicable category as “other.”

Some of the conditions cited in the Good Repair Standard represent items that are critical to the health and safety of pupils and staff. Any deficiencies in these items require immediate attention and, if left unmitigated, could cause severe and immediate injury, illness or death of the occupants. They constitute extreme deficiencies and indicate that the particular building system evaluated failed to meet the standard of good repair at that school site. These critical conditions are identified with underlined text followed by an (X) on the Good Repair Standard. If the underlined statement is not true, then there is an extreme deficiency (to be marked as an “X” on the Evaluation Detail) resulting in a “poor” rating for the applicable category. It is important to note that the list of extreme deficiencies noted in the Good Repair Standard is not exhaustive. Any other deficiency not included in the criteria but meeting the definition above can be noted by the evaluator and generate a poor rating.

Part II, Evaluation Detail is a site inspection template to be used to evaluate the areas of a school on a category by category basis. The design of the inspection template allows for the determination of the scope of conditions across campus. In evaluating each area or space, the user should review each of the 15 categories identified in the Good Repair Standard and make a determination of whether a particular area is in good repair. Once the determination is made, it should be recorded on the Evaluation Detail, as follows:

OK	No Deficiency - Good Repair: Mark "OK" if all statements in the Good Repair Standard are true, and there is no indication of a deficiency in the specific category.
D	Deficiency: Mark “D” if one or more statement(s) in the Good Repair Standard for the specific category is not true, or if there is other clear evidence of the need for repair.
X	Extreme Deficiency: Indicate “X” if the area has a deficiency that is considered an “Extreme Deficiency” in the Good Repair Standard or there is a condition that qualifies as an extreme deficiency but is not noted in the Good Repair Standard.
NA	Not Applicable: If the Good Repair Standard category (building system or component) does not exist in the area evaluated, mark “NA”.

Below are suggested methods for evaluating various systems and areas:

- **Gas and Sewer** are major building systems that may span the entire school campus but may not be evident as applicable building systems in each classroom or common areas. However, because a deficiency in either of these systems could become evident and present a health and safety threat anywhere on campus, the user should not mark "NA" and should instead include an evaluation of these systems in each building space.
- **Roofs** can be easily evaluated for stand alone areas, such as portable classrooms. For permanent buildings containing several areas to be evaluated, roofs should be considered as parts of individual areas in order to accurately account for a scope of any roofing deficiency. For example, a 10 classroom building contains damaged gutters on one side of the building, spanning across five classrooms. Therefore, an evaluator should mark five classrooms as deficient in the roof category and the other five classrooms as in good repair, assuming there are no other visible deficiencies related to roofing.
- **Overall Cleanliness** is intended to be used to evaluate the cleanliness of each space. For example, a user should note a deficiency due to dirty surfaces in Overall Cleanliness, rather than **Interior Surfaces**. At the same time, the user should note such deficiency only in Overall Cleanliness in order to avoid accounting for such deficiency twice, i.e. in two sections.
- The tool is designed to evaluate stand-alone restrooms as separate areas. However, restrooms contained within other spaces, such as a kindergarten classroom or a library, can be evaluated as part of that area under Restrooms. If the area evaluated does not contain a restroom, Restrooms should be marked "NA."
- **Drinking fountains** can exist within individual classrooms or areas, right outside of classrooms or restrooms or other areas, or as stand alone fixtures on playgrounds and sports fields. If a drinking fountain or a set of fountains is located inside a building or immediately outside the area being evaluated, it should be included in the evaluation of that area under Drinking Fountains. If a fountain is located on the school grounds, it should be evaluated as part of that outside space. If there is no drinking fountain in the area evaluated, Drinking Fountains should be marked "NA."
- **Playgrounds/School Grounds**, should be evaluated as separate areas by dividing a campus into sections with defined borders. In this case, several sections of the good repair criteria would not apply to the evaluation, as they do not exist outside of physical building areas, such as **Structural Damage** and **Fire Safety**, for example.

Part III includes the **Category Totals and Ranking**, the **Overall Rating**, and a section for **Comments and Rating Explanation**.

Once the inspector completes the site inspection, he or she must total the number of areas evaluated. The inspector must also count all of the spaces deemed in good repair, deficient, extremely deficient, or not applicable under each of the 15 sections. Next, the evaluator must determine the condition of each section by taking the ratio of the number of areas deemed in good repair to the number of areas being evaluated (after subtracting non-applicable spaces from the total number of areas evaluated). If any of the 15 sections received a rating of extreme deficiency, the ratio (i.e., the percentage of good repair) for that section and the category the section is in should default to zero. The total percent per category (A through H) is determined by the total of all percentages of systems in good repair divided by the number of sections in that category. For example, to determine the total percent for the Structural category, add the percentages for the Structural Damage and Roof sections and divide the result by two.

Next, the overall school site score is determined by computing the average percentage rating of the eight categories (i.e., the total of all percentages divided by eight). Finally, the rater should determine the overall School Rating by applying the Percentage Range in the table provided in Part III to the average percentage calculated and taking into consideration the Rating Description provided in the same table.

*Although the FIT is designed to evaluate each school site within a reasonable range of facility conditions, it is possible that an evaluator may identify critical facility conditions that result in an Overall School Rating that does not reflect the urgency and severity of those deficiencies and/or does not match the rating's Description in Part III. In such instances, the evaluator may reduce the resulting school score by one or more grade categories and describe the reasons for the reduction in the space provided for Comments and Rating Explanation.

When completing Part III of the FIT, the instructor should note the date and time of the inspection as well as weather conditions and any other pertinent inspection information in the specific areas provided and utilize the Comments and Rating Explanation Section if needed.

PART I: GOOD REPAIR STANDARD

(X): If underlined statement is not true, then this is an extreme deficiency (marked as an "X") on the Evaluation Detail resulting in a "poor" rating for the applicable category.

Gas Leaks

Gas systems and pipes appear safe, functional, and free of leaks. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. There is no odor that would indicate a gas leak. (X)
- b. Gas pipes are not broken and appear to be in good working order. (X)
- c. Other

Mechanical Systems

Heating, ventilation, and air conditioning systems (HVAC) as applicable are functional and unobstructed. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. The HVAC system is operable. (X)
- b. The facilities are ventilated (via mechanical or natural ventilation).
- c. The ventilation units are unobstructed and vents and grills are without evidence of excessive dirt or dust.
- d. There appears to be an adequate air supply to all classrooms, work spaces, and facilities (i.e. no strong odor is present, air is not stuffy)
- e. Interior temperatures appear to be maintained within normally accepted ranges.
- f. The ventilation units are not generating any excessive noise or vibrations.
- g. Other

Sewer

Sewer line stoppage is not evident. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. There are no obvious signs of flooding caused by sewer line back-up in the facilities or on the school grounds. (X)
- b. The sanitary system controls odors as designed.
- c. Other

Interior Surfaces (Floors, Ceilings, Walls, and Window Casings)

Interior surfaces appear to be clean, safe, and functional. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Walls are free of hazards from tears and holes.
- b. Flooring is free of hazards from torn carpeting, missing floor tiles, holes.
- c. Ceiling is free of hazards from missing ceiling tiles and holes.
- d. There is no evidence of water damage (e.g. no condensation, dampness, staining, warping, peeling, mineral deposits, etc.)
- e. Other

Overall Cleanliness

School grounds, buildings, common areas, and individual rooms appear to have been cleaned regularly. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Area(s) evaluated is free of accumulated refuse, dirt, and grime.
- b. Area(s) evaluated is free of unabated graffiti.
- c. Restrooms, drinking fountains, and food preparation or serving areas appear to have been cleaned each day that school is in session.
- d. Other

Pest/Vermin Infestation

Pest or vermin infestation are not evident. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. There is no evidence of a major pest or vermin infestation. (X)
- b. There are no holes in the walls, floors, or ceilings.
- c. Rodent droppings or insect skins are not evident.
- d. Odor caused by a pest or vermin infestation is not evident.
- e. There are no live rodents observed.
- f. Other

Electrical (Interior and Exterior)

1. There is no evidence that any portion of the school has a power failure. (X)

2. Electrical systems, components, and equipment appear to be working properly. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. There are no exposed electrical wires. Electrical equipment is properly covered and secured from pupil access. (X)
- b. Outlets, access panels, switch plates, junction boxes and fixtures are properly covered and secured from pupil access.
- c. Other

3. Lighting appears to be adequate and working properly, including exterior lights. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Lighting appears to be adequate.
- b. Lighting is not flickering.
- c. There is no unusual hum or noise from the light fixtures.
- d. Other

Restrooms

Restrooms in the vicinity of the area being evaluated appear to be accessible during school hours, clean, functional and in compliance with SB 892 (EC Section 35292.5). The following are examples of compliance with SB 892:

- Restrooms are maintained and cleaned regularly.
- Restrooms are fully operational.
- Restrooms are stocked with toilet paper, soap, and paper towels.
- Restrooms are open during school hours.
- Other

Sinks/Fountains (Inside and Outside)

Drinking fountains appear to be accessible and functioning as intended. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- Drinking fountains are accessible.
- Water pressure is adequate.
- A leak is not evident.
- There is no moss, mold, or excessive staining on the fixtures.
- The water is clear and without unusual taste or odor.
- Other

Fire Safety

The fire equipment and emergency systems appear to be functioning properly. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- The fire sprinklers appear to be in working order (e.g., there are no missing or damaged sprinkler heads). (X)
- Emergency alarms appear to be functional. (X)
- Emergency exit signs function as designed, exits are unobstructed. (X)
- Fire extinguishers are current and placed in all required areas.
- Fire alarms pull stations are clearly visible.
- Other

Hazardous Materials (Interior and Exterior)

There does not appear to be evidence of hazardous materials that may pose a threat to pupils or staff. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- Hazardous chemicals, chemical waste, and flammable materials are stored properly (e.g. locked and labeled properly). (X)
- Paint is not peeling, chipping, or cracking.
- There does not appear to be damaged tiles or other circumstances that may indicate asbestos exposure.
- Surfaces (including floors, ceilings, walls, window casings, HVAC grills) appear to be free of mildew, mold odor and visible mold.
- Other

Structural Damage

There does not appear to be structural damage that has created or could create hazardous or uninhabitable conditions. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- Severe cracks are not evident. (X)
- Ceilings & floors are not sloping or sagging beyond their intended design. (X)
- Posts, beams, supports for portable classrooms, ramps, and other structural building members appear to be intact, secure and functional as designed. (X)
- There is no visible evidence of severe cracks, dry rot, mold, or damage that undermines the structural components. (X)
- Other

Roofs (observed from the ground, inside/outside the building)

Roof systems appear to be functioning properly. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- Roofs, gutters, roof drains, and down spouts are free of visible damage.
- Roofs, gutters, roof drains, and down spouts are intact.
- Other

Playground/School Grounds

The playground equipment and school grounds in the vicinity of the area being evaluated appear to be clean, safe, and functional. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- Significant cracks, trip hazards, holes and deterioration are not found.
- Open "S" hooks, protruding bolt ends, and sharp points/edges are not found in the playground equipment.
- Seating, tables, and equipment are functional and free of significant cracks.
- There are no signs of drainage problems, such as flooded areas, eroded soil, water damage to asphalt, or clogged storm drain inlets.
- Other

Windows/Doors/Gates/Fences (Interior and exterior)

Conditions that pose a safety and/or security risk are not evident. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- There is no exposed broken glass accessible to pupils and staff. (X)
- Exterior doors and gates are functioning and do not pose a security risk. (X)
- Windows are intact and free of cracks.
- Windows are functional and open, close, and lock as designed, unless there is a valid reason they should not function as designed.
- Doors are intact.
- Doors are functional and open, close, and lock as designed, unless there is a valid reason they should not function as designed.
- Gates and fences appear to be functional.
- Gates and fences are intact and free of holes and other conditions that could present a safety hazard to pupils, staff, or others.
- Other

PART II: EVALUATION DETAIL Date of Inspection: 09/19/23 School Name: WASHINGTON MIDDLE SCHOOL

CATEGORY AREA	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
	GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	SEWER	INTERIOR SURFACES	OVERALL CLEANLINESS	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	ELECTRICAL	RESTROOM	SINKS/ FOUNTAINS	FIRE SAFETY	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	ROOFS	PLAYGROUND/S CHOOOL GROUNDS	WINDOWS/ DOORS/ GATES/FENCES
ADMIN	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	D	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:	fire extinguisher blocked by mail bin													
ADMIN ASSISTANT/ RECORDS	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:	ceiling tile has a water stain -work order #21738 submitted													
PRINCIPAL	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
ASSISTANT PRINCIPAL	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
LEARNING DIRECTOR	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:	missing light diffusers & tube light bulbs out - work order #21739 submitted													
COUNSELOR	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
PSYCH	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:	missing light diffusers -work order #21740 submitted													
LEARNING DIRECTOR	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
CAFÉ	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
STAGE	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
KITCHEN	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:	missing light diffusers - work order #21742 submitted													
STAFF OFFICE	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
OFFICE	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														

PART II: EVALUATION DETAIL Date of Inspection: 09/19/23 School Name: WASHINGTON MIDDLE SCHOOL

CATEGORY AREA	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
	GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	SEWER	INTERIOR SURFACES	OVERALL CLEANLINESS	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	ELECTRICAL	RESTROOM	SINKS/ FOUNTAINS	FIRE SAFETY	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	ROOFS	PLAYGROUND/S CHOOOL GROUNDS	WINDOWS/ DOORS/ GATES/FENCES
WOMENS REST ROOM (MPR)	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
MENS REST ROOM (MPR)	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
B-1	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
B-2	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
GIRLS REST ROOM (NEAR B2)	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
STORAGE	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
BOYS REST ROOM (NEAR B3)	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:	first sink faucet not working - work order submitted													
B-3	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
B-4	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
LIBRARY	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
1	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
2	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
3	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														

PART II: EVALUATION DETAIL Date of Inspection: 09/19/23 School Name: WASHINGTON MIDDLE SCHOOL

CATEGORY AREA	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
	GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	SEWER	INTERIOR SURFACES	OVERALL CLEANLINESS	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	ELECTRICAL	RESTROOM	SINKS/ FOUNTAINS	FIRE SAFETY	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	ROOFS	PLAYGROUND/S CHOOOL GROUNDS	WINDOWS/ DOORS/ GATES/FENCES
4	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
5	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
OFFICE	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
NURSE	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
REST ROOMS	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
SPECIAL ED. (G5 &G6)	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
G7	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
G8	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
G-4	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
G-3	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
G-2	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
G-1	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
GIRLS LOCKER ROOM	OK	OK	OK	D	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:	hole in the wall behind foyer door													

PART II: EVALUATION DETAIL

Date of Inspection: 09/19/23

School Name: WASHINGTON MIDDLE SCHOOL

CATEGORY AREA	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
	GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	SEWER	INTERIOR SURFACES	OVERALL CLEANLINESS	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	ELECTRICAL	RESTROOM	SINKS/ FOUNTAINS	FIRE SAFETY	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	ROOFS	PLAYGROUND/S CHOOOL GROUNDS	WINDOWS/ DOORS/ GATES/FENCES
BOYS LOCKER ROOM	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
H-3	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
H-2	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
H-1	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
H-6	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
H-5	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
H-4	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
C-5	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
C-6	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
OFFICE/ CLUB T-BIRD	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
C-7	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
STAGE	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
C-0	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														

PART II: EVALUATION DETAIL

Date of Inspection: 09/19/23

School Name: WASHINGTON MIDDLE SCHOOL

CATEGORY AREA	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
	GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	SEWER	INTERIOR SURFACES	OVERALL CLEANLINESS	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	ELECTRICAL	RESTROOM	SINKS/ FOUNTAINS	FIRE SAFETY	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	ROOFS	PLAYGROUND/S CHOOOL GROUNDS	WINDOWS/ DOORS/ GATES/FENCES
C-1	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
C-2	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
C-3	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
C-4	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
GYM	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
OP-1	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
OP-2	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
D-2	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
OFFICE	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
STORAGE	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
D-1	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
OFFICE	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
OFFICE	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														

PART II: EVALUATION DETAIL Date of Inspection: 09/19/23 School Name: WASHINGTON MIDDLE SCHOOL

CATEGORY AREA	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
	GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	SEWER	INTERIOR SURFACES	OVERALL CLEANLINESS	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	ELECTRICAL	RESTROOM	SINKS/ FOUNTAINS	FIRE SAFETY	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	ROOFS	PLAYGROUND/S CHOOOL GROUNDS	WINDOWS/ DOORS/ GATES/FENCES
F-2	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
F-1	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
SL2	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
SL1	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:	missing light diffuser - work order #21743 submitted													
SL0	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
A-6	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	D	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:	window seal pain chipping													
A-5	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:	replace tube light bulb - work order #21744 submitted													
A-4	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
BOYS REST ROOM (NEAR A4)	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
STORAGE	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
GIRLS REST ROOM (NEAR A3)	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
A-3	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
A-2	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														

PART II: EVALUATION DETAIL

Date of Inspection: 09/19/23

School Name: WASHINGTON MIDDLE SCHOOL

CATEGORY AREA	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
	GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	SEWER	INTERIOR SURFACES	OVERALL CLEANLINESS	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	ELECTRICAL	RESTROOM	SINKS/ FOUNTAINS	FIRE SAFETY	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	ROOFS	PLAYGROUND/S CHOOOL GROUNDS	WINDOWS/ DOORS/ GATES/FENCES
A-1	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
QUAD	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
PLAY COURTS	OK	NA	OK	NA	OK	OK	NA	NA	NA	NA	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
PLAY FIELDS	OK	NA	OK	NA	OK	OK	NA	NA	NA	NA	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
PARKING LOTS	OK	NA	OK	NA	OK	OK	NA	NA	NA	NA	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
STAFF TRAINING (OFFICE)	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
STAFF TRAINING (LAB)	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
H STAFF REST ROOM	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
H STORAGE	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
H CUSTODIAL	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
H ELECTRICAL	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
H DATA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														
H STORAGE	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
	COMMENTS:														

PART II: EVALUATION DETAIL Date of Inspection: 09/19/23 School Name: WASHINGTON MIDDLE SCHOOL

CATEGORY AREA	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
	GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	SEWER	INTERIOR SURFACES	OVERALL CLEANLINESS	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	ELECTRICAL	RESTROOM	SINKS/ FOUNTAINS	FIRE SAFETY	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	ROOFS	PLAYGROUND/S CHOOOL GROUNDS	WINDOWS/ DOORS/ GATES/FENCES
WOMENS REST ROOM (GYM)	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
COMMENTS:															
MENS REST ROOM (GYM)	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
COMMENTS:															
SNACK BAR (GYM)	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
COMMENTS:															
ATHLETIC DIRECTOR (GYM)	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
COMMENTS:															
COACHES OFFICE (GYM)	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
COMMENTS:															
CUSTODIAL (GYM)	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
COMMENTS:															
STAFF LOUNGE	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
COMMENTS:															
OFFICE (IN LOUNGE)	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
COMMENTS:															
OFFICE (IN LOUNGE)	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	NA	NA	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
COMMENTS:															
UNISEX REST ROOM (LOUNGE)	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
COMMENTS:															
UNISEX REST ROOM (ADMIN)	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
COMMENTS:															
GIRLS REST ROOM (GYM)	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
COMMENTS:															
BOYS REST ROOM (GYM)	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
COMMENTS:															

PART II: EVALUATION DETAIL Date of Inspection: 09/19/23 School Name: WASHINGTON MIDDLE SCHOOL

CATEGORY \ AREA	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
	GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	SEWER	INTERIOR SURFACES	OVERALL CLEANLINESS	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	ELECTRICAL	RESTROOM	SINKS/ FOUNTAINS	FIRE SAFETY	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	ROOFS	PLAYGROUND/S CHOOOL GROUNDS	WINDOWS/ DOORS/ GATES/FENCES

Marks: **OK** = Good Repair; **D** = Deficiency; **X** = Extreme Deficiency; **NA** = Not Applicable

Use additional Area Lines as necessary.

D **X**

SCHOOL DISTRICT/COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION DINUBA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT		COUNTY TULARE	
SCHOOL SITE WASHINGTON INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL		SCHOOL TYPE (GRADE LEVELS) 7 AND 8	NUMBER OF CLASSROOMS ON SITE 46
INSPECTOR'S NAME FRANCIS ALVAREZ	INSPECTOR'S TITLE FACILITIES TECHNICIAN	NAME OF DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE ACCOMPANYING THE INSPECTOR(S) (IF APPLICABLE)	
TIME OF INSPECTION 8:20 AM	WEATHER CONDITION AT TIME OF INSPECTION SUNNY		

PART III: CATEGORY TOTALS AND RANKING (round all calculations to two decimal places)

TOTAL NUMBER OF AREAS EVALUATED	CATEGORY TOTALS	A. SYSTEMS			B. INTERIOR	C. CLEANLINESS		D. ELECTRICAL	E. RESTROOMS/FOUNTAINS		F. SAFETY		G. STRUCTURAL		H. EXTERNAL	
		GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	SEWER	INTERIOR SURFACES	OVERALL CLEANLINESS	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	ELECTRICAL	RESTROOMS	SINKS/ FOUNTAINS	FIRE SAFETY	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	ROOFS	PLAYGROUND/ SCHOOL GROUNDS	WINDOWS/DOORS/ GATES/FENCES
↓ 104	Number of "OK"s:	104	101	104	100	104	104	101	22	48	100	103	101	101	104	104
	Number of "D"s:	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
	Number of "X"s:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Number of N/As:	0	3	0	3	0	0	3	82	56	3	0	3	3	0	0
Percent of System in Good Repair Number of "OK"s divided by (Total Areas - "NA"s")		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	99.01%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	99.01%	99.04%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Total Percent per Category (average of above)*		100.00%			99.01%	100.00%		100.00%	100.00%		99.03%		100.00%		100.00%	
Rank (Circle one) GOOD = 90%-100% FAIR = 75%-89.99% POOR = 0%-74.99%		GOOD			GOOD	GOOD		GOOD	GOOD		GOOD		GOOD		GOOD	

*Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category and a zero for "Total Percent per Category".

OVERALL RATING:	DETERMINE AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF 8 CATEGORIES ABOVE	→	99.75%	SCHOOL RATING**	→	EXEMPLARY
------------------------	--	---	--------	-----------------	---	-----------

**For School Rating, apply the Percentage Range below to the average percentage determined above, taking into account the rating Description below.

PERCENTAGE	DESCRIPTION	RATING
99%-100%	The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.	EXEMPLARY
90%-98.99%	The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.	GOOD
75%-89.99%	The school is not in good repair. Some deficiencies noted are critical and/or widespread. Repairs and/or additional maintenance are necessary in several areas of the school site.	FAIR
0%-74.99%	The school facilities are in poor condition. Deficiencies of various degrees have been noted throughout the site. Major repairs and maintenance are necessary throughout the campus.	POOR

COMMENTS AND RATING EXPLANATION: _____
