

Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP)

Rationale

School improvement efforts are a collaborative process involving multiple stakeholders. Through the improvement planning process, leaders focus on priority needs, funding, and closing achievement gaps among identified subgroups of students. When implemented with fidelity, the Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP) cultivates an environment that promotes student growth and achievement.

While the focus of continuous improvement is student performance, the work must be guided by the aspects of teaching and learning that affect performance. An effective improvement process should address the contributing factors creating the learning environment (inputs) and the performance data (outcomes). Through the Needs Assessment for Schools, priorities were identified and processes, practices, and/or conditions were chosen for focus. This goal building template will assist your improvement team to address those priorities and outline your targets and the activities intended to produce the desired changes. Progress monitoring details will ensure that your plan is being reviewed regularly to determine the success of each strategy.

Please note that the objectives (short-term targets) set by your school under the Achievement Gap section of this planning template will be used by the district's superintendent to determine whether or not your school met its targets to reduce the gap in student achievement for any student group for two consecutive years as required by KRS 158.649. Likewise, operational definitions for each required planning component can be found on page 2 of the planning template.

For those schools operating a Title I Schoolwide Program, this plan meets the requirements of Section 1114 of the Every Student Succeeds Act as well as state requirements under 703 KAR 5:225. **No separate Schoolwide Program Plan is required.**

Requirements for Building an Improvement Plan

- The required goals for **elementary/middle schools** include the following:
 - State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics
 - State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing
 - Achievement Gap
 - English Learner Progress
 - Quality of School Climate and Safety
- The required goals for **high schools** include the following:
 - State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics
 - State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing
 - Achievement Gap
 - English Learner Progress
 - Quality of School Climate and Safety
 - Postsecondary Readiness
 - Graduation Rate

Alignment to Needs:

Results of the Phase Two needs assessment process should inform the development of the comprehensive school improvement plan. List the identified priorities below to be addressed in order to build staff capacity and increase student achievement.

Priorities/Concerns from Needs Assessment for Schools

List two or three of the greatest areas of weakness identified in question #5 of the Needs Assessment for Schools that will be thoroughly addressed in the strategies and activities outlined in this template.

In comparison of demographic groups with n=30 in our school, we have 3 identified groups: white, economically disadvantaged, and special education. Our white and economically disadvantaged groups have similar scores as indicated through reading/math, social studies/science/writing, and quality of school climate/safety. Our special education groups indicates a significant decrease in performance in reading/math indicator as compared to the other two groups. Special education scores are as follows: reading and math indicator 24.1. Reading and math indicator for other groups are as follows: all students, 79.17; white students, 79.6; economically disadvantaged students, 73.1 .

Processes, Practices, or Conditions to be Addressed from Key Elements Template

List two or three of the processes, practices, or conditions identified on the School Key Elements Template that the school will focus its resources and efforts upon and thoroughly address in the strategies and activities outlined in this template.

Special education students will be targeted through increased feedback and repetitive practice of skills needed to support their learning. The master schedule is designed to allow for intentional times that students will receive resource/coteaching instruction to support reading, math, and social/adaptive skills that promote success for student performance. We have identified our first coteaching team that will receive training as they implement and monitor station teaching for all students in the classroom to receive instruction in a rotation that supports mastery of concepts and learning.

Indicator Scores

List the overall scores of status and change for each indicator.

Indicator	Status	Change
State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics	73.3, High	Increase
State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing	68.4, High	Significant Decline
English Learner Progress	n/a	n/a
Quality of School Climate and Safety	78.0, High	Maintain
Postsecondary Readiness (high schools and districts only)	n/a	n/a
Graduation Rate (high schools and districts only)	n/a	n/a

Explanations/Directions

Goal: Schools should determine long-term goals that are three- to five-year targets for each required school level indicator. Elementary/middle schools must address state assessment results in reading and mathematics, state assessment results in science, social studies and writing, achievement gap, English learner progress, and quality of school climate and safety. High schools must address state assessment results in reading and mathematics, state assessment results in science, social studies and writing, achievement gap, English learner progress, quality of school climate and safety, postsecondary readiness, and graduation rate. Long-term goals should be informed by The Needs Assessment for Schools.					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Schools should determine short-term objectives to be attained by the end of the current academic year. Objectives should address state assessment results and/or aligned formative assessments. There can be multiple objectives for each goal.	Describe your approach to systematically address a process, practice, or condition that was identified as a priority during the Needs Assessment for Schools. There can be multiple strategies for each objective. The strategy can be based upon Kentucky’s six (6) Key Core Work Processes or another established improvement approach (i.e. <i>Six Sigma, Shipley, Baldridge, etc.</i>).	Describe the actionable steps the school will take to deploy the chosen strategy. There can be multiple activities for each strategy.	List the criteria that will gauge the impact of your work. The measures may be quantitative or qualitative but are observable in some way. Consider measures of input as well as outcomes for both staff and students.	Describe the process used to assess the implementation of the plan, the rate of improvement, and the effectiveness of the plan. Your description should include the artifacts to be reviewed, specific timelines, and responsible individuals.	List the specific federal, state, or local funding source(s) used to support each improvement initiative. If your school is a recipient of Title I, Part A funds, your CSIP serves as your annual plan and must indicate how Title I funds are utilized to carry out the planned activities.

1: State Assessment Results in Reading and Mathematics

Goal 1 (State your reading and math goal.): By 2027, 75% of students will score proficient/distinguished in reading and 65% of students will score proficient/distinguished in math.					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1: As measured by KSA results from 2023-2024 school year, 70.5% of students will score proficient/distinguished in reading.	KCWP1: Design & Deploy Standards	Continued professional community development for teachers to implement Fountas & Pinnell Classroom for targeted supports with guided reading levels.	Students will show growth using the guided reading level benchmark assessments with support of curriculum implementation.	PLC agenda, student assessment performance	Title I
		Continue to use Lexia reading program.	Students will advance through levels within the Lexia program.	Usage reports, review of student levels and completed lessons.	SBDM
	KCWP2: Design & Deploy Instruction	Progress monitoring to target specific skills and concepts needed to bridge gaps and promote learning; running records are completed two times within the school year to provide additional monitoring.	Ongoing, flexible identification of students based on lowest percentile threshold.	Tier 2 and Tier 3 quarterly meetings to review student data.	N/A
		Continue schoolwide response to intervention plans to support reading.	Ongoing, flexible identification of students based on lowest percentile threshold.	Tier 2 and Tier 3 quarterly meetings to review student data.	N/A
	KCWP2: Design & Deploy Instruction	Host Title I Reading Night to share ways to support grade appropriate reading behaviors with families.	Total number of families that received information.	Record of sign-in sheets.	Title I
		Work in Professional Learning Communities to review student work samples and align student output opportunities to grade level standards.	Student output aligned to grade appropriate opportunities.	PLC Agenda/Student Assignment analysis	N/A

Goal 1 (State your reading and math goal.): By 2027, 75% of students will score proficient/distinguished in reading and 65% of students will score proficient/distinguished in math.					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 2: As measured by KSA results from 2023-2024 school year, 60% of students will score proficient/distinguished in math.	KCWP1: Design & Deploy Standards	Continue schoolwide response to intervention plans to support math.	Ongoing, flexible identification of students based on lowest percentile threshold.	Tier 2 and Tier 3 quarterly meetings to review student data.	N/A
		Host Title I Math Night to share ways to support grade appropriate math skills with families.	Total number of families that received information.	Record of sign-in sheets.	Title I
		Continued Professional Learning Communities for teachers to implement Go Math curriculum and support instructional groupings of students.	Students will show growth using formative assessments and benchmark assessments with support of curriculum implementation.	PLC agenda, student assessment performance	N/A
	KCWP2: Design & Deploy Instruction	Work in Professional Learning Communities to review student work samples and align student output opportunities to grade level standards.	Student assignment analysis	Completed in PLC's to determine grade appropriate assignments.	N/A

2: State Assessment Results in Science, Social Studies and Writing

Goal 2 (State your science, social studies, and writing goal.): By 2027, proficient and distinguished scores for Science, Social Studies, and Combined Writing will be as follows: 65% Science, 75% Social Studies, and 70% Combined Writing.					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1: As measured by KSA results from the 2023-2024 school year, 50% of students will score proficient/distinguished in Science.	KCWP 2: Design & Deliver Instruction	Continued professional development for teachers to provide standards-based grade appropriate assignments for Science instruction using new Amplify curriculum.	Students will show growth using standards-based assessment for content.	Student performance results 4 th Grade MVPA PLC agenda	Educational Cooperative, SBDM
		Supplement instruction with standards-based programs that provide enrichment to tier 1 instruction in Science.	Student assessment performance Mystery Science lesson inquiry performance	PLC Agenda, Data reviews	SBDM, Title I
Objective 2: As measured by KSA results from the 2023-2024 school year, 70% of students will score proficient/distinguished in Social Studies.	KCWP 2: Design & Deliver Instruction	Continued professional development for teachers to provide standards-based grade appropriate assignments for Social Studies instruction using new Savaas curriculum.	Students will show growth using standards-based assessment for content.	Student performance results 5 th Grade MVPA PLC agenda	SBDM, Title I
	KCWP 3: Design & Deliver Assessment Literacy	Monitor student performance and growth with content assessments throughout the school year.	Students will show growth using standards-based assessment for content.	Student performance results MVPA PLC agenda	n/a
Objective 3: As measured by KSA results from the 2023-2024 school year, 55% of students will score proficient/distinguished in Writing.	KCWP 2: Design & Deliver Instruction	Continued professional development for teachers to provide standards-based grade appropriate assignments for Social Studies instruction using new Savaas curriculum.	Schoolwide implementation of grade level writing expectations will promote student success with writing development.	Rubrics	SBDM, Title I

3: Achievement Gap

KRS 158.649 requires the school-based decision making (SBDM) council, or the principal if no council exists, to set the school's yearly targets for eliminating any achievement gap. The targets should be established with input from parents, faculty, and staff and submitted to the superintendent for consideration and the local board of education for adoption. In addition to being a statutory requirement, intentionally focusing on the achievement gaps that exist among a school’s underserved student populations is also a vital component of the continuous improvement process. Schools should use a variety of measures and analysis when conducting its review of its achievement gaps, including a review of the school’s climate and culture. Schools are not required to establish long term achievement gap goals; however, schools must establish yearly targets (objectives).

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1: As measured by KSA results from the 2023-2024 school year, 35% of students with disabilities will score proficient/distinguished in reading.	KCWP4: Review, Analyze, and Apply Data	Continued professional development opportunities to support general education and special education teachers in meeting the needs of all types of learners. New teachers will participate in New Teacher Cadre.	Completion certificate of professional development.	Tier 2 & Tier 3 Instructional Practices Station Teaching (coteaching pilot)	N/A
	KCWP5: Design, Align, and Deliver Support	Reteach tier 1 instruction in small, flexible groups; continued efforts to strengthen co-teaching practices. SRA Corrective Reading curriculum will support instructional practices.	Formative Assessments	Checks for understanding after reteach	N/A
Objective 2: As measured by KSA results from the 2023-2024 school year, 30% of students with disabilities will score proficient/distinguished in math.	KCWP4: Review, Analyze, and Apply Data	Continued professional development opportunities to support general education and special education teachers in meeting the needs of all types of learners. New teachers will participate in New Teacher Cadre.	Completion certificate of professional development	Tier 2 & Tier 3 Instructional Practices Station Teaching (coteaching pilot)	N/A
	KCWP5: Design, Align, and Deliver Support	Reteach tier 1 instruction in small, flexible groups; continued efforts to strengthen co-teaching practices.	Formative Assessments	Review students close to meeting next performance level.	
			Grade level planning for co-teaching schedule	Plans completed to support instruction with increased practice opportunities as needed.	

4: English Learner Progress

Goal 4 (State your English Learner goal): By 2026, all English language learners will increase assessment performance by .5.					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1 By 2025, all English language learners will increase assessment performance by .5.	KCWP4: Review, Analyze, and Apply Data	Identify English language learners by homeroom.	Teachers report students who receive English language learner supports as process of identifying those who may benefit from targeted vocabulary instruction.	Teachers will be provided target vocabulary instructional materials to students identified as English language learners.	N/A

5: Quality of School Climate and Safety

Goal 5 (State your climate and safety goal): By 2026, the quality of school climate and safety will increase to 82%.					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1: By 2025, the quality of school climate and safety indicator will increase to 80.	KCWP6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment	Student-led leadership opportunities to promote a sense of belonging.		KSA student voice responses, MTSS behavior/attendance data review, individual student claim and monitoring	N/A
		Student voice groups to share insight to process and response within school throughout the year.	Log of student group meetings for voiced concerns and input to the structure of processes within their daily environments.	KSA student voice responses, trend data from student input	N/A
		Morning Meetings completed as tier 1 SEL board-approved curriculum with Harmony.	Completion of morning meeting themes and questions with whole group classroom discussions.	KSA student voice responses, trend data from student input	N/A

6: Postsecondary Readiness (High School Only)

Goal 6 (State your postsecondary goal.):					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1					
Objective 2					

7: Graduation Rate (High School Only)

Goal 7 (State your graduation goal.):					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1					
Objective 2					

8: Other (Optional)

Goal 8 (State your separate goal.):					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1					
Objective 2					

Addendum for Schools Identified for Targeted or Comprehensive Support

In accordance with 703 KAR 5:280, a school improvement plan means the plan created by schools identified for targeted support and improvement (TSI) or additional targeted support and improvement (ATSI) pursuant to KRS 160.346(4)-(5) and embedded in the comprehensive school improvement plan required pursuant to 703 KAR 5:225. A turnaround plan means the plan created by schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) pursuant to KRS 160.346(8)(g) and embedded in the comprehensive school improvement plan required pursuant to 703 KAR 5:225.

All TSI/ATSI improvement plans and CSI turnaround plans are required to address all components of the comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP), including all diagnostics associated with the development of that plan, as well as additional specific requirements. The following pages outline specific requirements to be addressed by identified schools that must be embedded in the strategies and activities detailed within the indicator goals developed throughout the previous pages of this goal template. Evidence-based practices and activities chosen to address any goal area or additional requirement must be informed by the Needs Assessment for Schools and feedback from any on-site review conducted by the Kentucky Department of Education (KDE).

Special Considerations for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) Schools

TSI schools (including ATSI schools) must embed their subgroup(s) plan for improvement within their CSIPs. TSI stakeholders, including the principal and other school leaders, teachers, and parents, should carefully consider what must be done to ensure the subgroup(s) perform(s) at high levels in the state accountability system. In addition to identifying strategies and activities within the CSIP that address the specific needs of underperforming groups, provide narrative information regarding the additional requirements for TSI schools in the following chart:

Components of Turnaround Leadership Development and Support:
Consider: How will you ensure that school leadership has or develops the skills and disposition to achieve accelerated, meaningful, and sustainable increases in student achievement for underperforming subgroups? Response:
Identification of Critical Resources Inequities:
Consider: Describe the process used to review the allocation and use of resources (people, time, and money), any resource inequities that were identified that may contribute to underperformance, and how identified resource inequities will be addressed. Response:
Additional Actions That Address the Causes of Consistently Underperforming Subgroups of Students
Consider: Describe the process used to review the learning culture related to your targeted subgroup(s) and any additional actions that were determined to address the causes of underperformance. Response:
Targeted Subgroups and Evidence-Based Interventions:
Consider: Identify the areas of need revealed by the analysis of academic and non-academic data that will be addressed through CSIP activities for your targeted subgroup(s). What evidence-based practice(s) will the school incorporate that specifically targets the subgroup(s) achievement that contributed to the TSI identification? How will we monitor the evidence-based practice to ensure it is implemented with fidelity? Response:
Complete the table on the next page to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

TSI/ATSI Evidence-based Practices

The Every Student Succeeds Act (2015) created new expectations for evidence-based decision making at school and district levels. More specific information regarding evidence-based practices (EBP) and requirements can be found on the Kentucky Department of Education’s [Evidence-based Practices website](#). While evidence documentation in the CSIP is only required for schools identified for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), KDE encourages all school leaders to review evidence related to new programs, practices, or interventions being implemented in the school. In addition to documenting the evidence below, TSI, ATSI and CSI schools are expected to upload a description of their evidence review process, the findings of their evidence review, and a discussion of the local implications into the CIP.

Specific directions regarding documentation requirements for each chosen EBP can be found in the “[Compliance Requirements](#)” resource available on KDE’s [Evidence-based Practices website](#). Marking the “Uploaded in CIP” box indicates that you have uploaded required documentation along with this goal template into the platform.

Complete the table below to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

Evidence-based Activity	Evidence Citation	Uploaded in CIP
Train staff to implement inductive teaching strategies.	Hattie, J. (2009). Visible Learning: a synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. Routledge: New York, NY.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>

Special Considerations for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) Schools

Schools identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) must complete the CSIP process and meet all applicable deadlines while identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI). Following the completion of the school audit, CSI schools must revise their CSIP to account for the improvement priorities identified by the audit team. The newly revised CSIP, referred to as a Turnaround Plan, must include the following items: (1) evidence-based interventions to be utilized to increase student performance and address the critical needs identified in the school audit, (2) a comprehensive list of persons and entities involved in the turnaround efforts and the specific roles each shall play in the school’s turnaround process, and (3) a review of resource inequities, which shall include an analysis of school level budgeting to ensure resources are adequately channeled towards school improvement (703 KAR 5:280). Each of the three aforementioned requirements must be embedded throughout the CSIP document. Once the CSIP has been revised, the turnaround plan must be submitted to the LEA for approval before it is submitted to the Commissioner of Education for final approval.

Provide narrative information regarding the additional requirements for CSI schools in the following chart:

Turnaround Team:
<div>Consider: Provide a comprehensive list of persons and entities involved in the turnaround efforts and the specific roles each shall play in the school’s turnaround process</div> <div>Response:</div>
Identification of Critical Resources Inequities:
<div>Consider: Describe the process used to review the allocation and use of resources (people, time, and money), any resource inequities that were identified that may contribute to underperformance, and how identified resource inequities will be addressed.</div> <div>Response:</div>

CSI Evidence-based Practices

The Every Student Succeeds Act (2015) created new expectations for evidence-based decision making at school and district levels. More specific information regarding evidence-based practices (EBP) and requirements can be found on the Kentucky Department of Education’s [Evidence-based Practices website](#). While evidence documentation in the CSIP is only required for schools identified for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), KDE encourages all school leaders to review evidence related to new programs, practices, or interventions being implemented in the school. In addition to documenting the evidence below, TSI, ATSI and CSI schools are expected to upload a description of their evidence review process, the findings of their evidence review, and a discussion of the local implications into the Continuous Improvement Platform (CIP).

Specific directions regarding documentation requirements for each chosen EBP can be found in the “[Compliance Requirements](#)” resource available on KDE’s [Evidence-based Practices website](#). Marking the “Uploaded in CIP” box indicates that you have uploaded required documentation along with this goal template into the platform.

Complete the table below to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

Evidence-based Activity	Evidence Citation	Uploaded in CIP
Train staff to implement inductive teaching strategies.	Hattie, J. (2009). Visible Learning: a synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. Routledge: New York, NY.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>