

LAKEWOOD SCHOOL DISTRICT
EVALUATION COMMITTEE REPORT
CHAPTER 193 EVALUATION & DETERMINATION
COMPETITIVE CONTRACT CC 03-1718

List of proposers

- On-Track
- Psych-Ed

Proposal Reviews

The Evaluation Committee noted the following when reviewing each of the respondents' proposals:

ON-TRACK

Technical Criteria: On Track submitted a comprehensive proposal:

- On Track acknowledged they're willing to submit to an operational audit by an independent firm.
- On Track acknowledged full compliance with technical specifications, they will provide all requested professionals attend the annual meetings however did not acknowledge the CST member as someone who can interpret instructional implications of evaluation results.

Management Criteria:

- On Track acknowledged they will adhere to the 150 student cap per case manager.
- On Track acknowledged full compliance with management requirements.

PSYCH-ED

Technical Criteria: Psych-Ed proposal was lacking in many areas and was unresponsive to the requirements of the RFP:

- Psych-Ed did not submit all required resumes and qualifications of CST.
- Psych-Ed did not fully adhere to the student application for services procedure such as not acknowledging that incomplete or inaccurate 407-1's will be returned to the agency and district verification of eligibility and the district signature on the disposition section of the 407-1.
- Psych-Ed was missing components of referral, evaluation and determination of eligibility procedures such as, the regular education teacher must have knowledge of student's educational performance and does not acknowledge the 20 days excludes school holidays.

- Psych-Ed was missing components of the annual review procedures such as, there must be a special education teacher or service provider at the annual review and although they acknowledged a CST member must be at the annual review meeting they do not acknowledge the CST member has to be qualified to interpret the instructional implications of the evaluation results.
- Psych-Ed was missing components of the reevaluation procedures such as conducting two or more different tests to qualify for funding.
- Psych-Ed was missing components to the overall requirements such as written parental consent to excuse required members of CST, should the agency not complete annual or reevaluation within compliance there will be no cost to the district and evaluate services offered by the district for 192/193 and IDEA.

Management Criteria:

- Psych-Ed did not acknowledge that they would adhere to the 150 student cap per case manager.
- Psych-Ed did not acknowledge they will adhere to the invoicing procedures.
- Psych-Ed did not acknowledge receipt of the fee schedule.

CC 03-1718 Eval & Det						
			TECHNICAL	MANAGEMENT	COST	
	MAX SCORE		55.0	45.0		
On Track						
SCORER #1			54.5	45.0		
SCORER #2			54.0	45.0		
SCORER #3			55.0	45.0		
AVERAGE SCORE			54.5	45.0		0
TOTAL SCORE				99.5		
Psych-Ed						
SCORER #1			43.0	23.0		
SCORER #2			41.0	23.0		
SCORER #3			55.0	30.0		
AVERAGE SCORE			46.3	25.3		0
TOTAL SCORE				71.7		

The Evaluation Committee recommends award of CC03-1718 Chp. 193 Evaluation & Determination to On-track for the 2017-18 school year.