

MEETING OF THE LAKEWOOD BOARD OF EDUCATION HELD ON MONDAY, AUGUST 30, 2010 , 2010, AT 8:30 A.M., AT 1771 MADISON AVENUE, LAKEWOOD, NEW JERSEY 08701

Mr. Meir Grunhut, Board Vice President, called the meeting to order at 8:30 a.m. Robert S. Finger, Board Secretary read the following notice:

SUNSHINE LAW

***Sunshine
Law***

Pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 231, of the Laws of 1976 (THE OPEN PUBLIC MEETINGS ACT), Mr. Finger notified the public that notice of the date, time, location and agenda of this meeting, to the extent known, was provided at least forty-eight (48) hours prior to the commencement of this meeting in the following manner:

1. By posting such notice on the public announcement board of the Lakewood Board of Education Offices, and the Lakewood Township Municipal Building.
2. By mailing such notice to the offices of the Asbury Park Press and the Tri-Town News.
3. By filing such notice with the Board Secretary.
4. By mailing such notice to all individuals who requested and paid for a copy of same.

ROLL CALL:

Roll Call

Board Members Present: Mr. Fink, Ms. Gonzalez,
Mr. Grunhut, Mrs. Miccio,
Mr. Ostreicher, Mr. Seitler
Mr. Zlatkin

Board Members Absent: Mr. Silver, Mr. Thomas

Also Attending: Lydia R. Silva, Superintendent,
Debra Mercora, Acting Assistant Superintendent ***Also***
Robert Finger, Business Administrator ***Attending***
Michael I. Inzelbuch, Esq.

Mr. Grunhut – “I need a motion, I would like to put recognition to make it out of order. A lot of us have to got to work. We will have to make recognition of the public later. I make a motion to put things out of order, Agenda out of order. If someone could second that, please.

Mr. Grunhut

Mr. Seitler – “Second.”

Mr. Seitler

Mr. Grunhut – “Any discussion?”

Mr. Grunhut

MOTION TO GO OUT OF ORDER

Motion to go out of order

ROLL CALL:

YES: **Mr. Fink, Ms. Gonzalez**
 Mr. Grunhut, Ms. Miccio,
 Mr. Ostreicher, Mr. Seitler,
 Mr. Zlatkin

MOTION PASSED

Motion Passed

Mr. Grunhut – “Okay. First I would like to make a motion to pass reports and recommendations of the Board Secretary items.”

Mr. Grunhut

Mr. Seitler – “Are you moving on the whole Agenda?”

Mr. Seitler

Mr. Grunhut – “Yes, sorry.

Mr. Grunhut

Mr. Finger – “There are several addendums we need to read in this morning. Item number one...”

Mr. Finger

Mr. Seitler – “Excuse me. Through the chair, could we do the addendum separate?”

Mr. Seitler

Mr. Grunhut – “Okay.”

Mr. Grunhut

Mr. Inzelbuch - “The motion is to vote on the Agenda,
with the addendums being separate, is that correct?”

Mr. Inzelbuch

Mr. Grunhut – “Correct.”

Mr. Grunhut

Mr. Inzelbuch – “Is there a second?”

Mr. Inzelbuch

Mr. Seitler – “Second.”

Mr. Seitler

Mr. Grunhut – “Any discussion?”

Mr. Grunhut

MOTION TO MOVE ON THE AGENDA
WITHOUT THE ADDENDUMS

Motion to pass Agenda
w/out Addendums

ROLL CALL:

YES: **Mr. Fink, Ms. Gonzalez**
 Mr. Grunhut, Ms. Miccio
 Mr. Ostreicher, Mr. Seitler,
 (Except for page 1b, page 5
 6 and 7), Mr. Zlatkin

MOTION PASSED

Motion Passed

AGENDA
AUGUST 30, 2010

- I. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE – PRESIDENT, LAKEWOOD BOARD OF EDUCATION
- II. ROLL CALL
- III. PRESENTATIONS – N/A at this meeting
- IV. MINUTES - N/A – at this meeting
- V. RECOGNITION OF THE PUBLIC
- VI. CORRESPONDENCE AND COMMUNICATIONS
- VII. COMMITTEE REPORTS
- VIII. REPORTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE BOARD SECRETARY/BUSINESS
ITEMS
- A. Approval of a contract for Extended School Year preschool disabled program for the months

of July and August 2010, as required by the students' Individual Education Plan as follows:

Macedonia Day Care	\$3,600.00
--------------------	------------

B. Approval to submit the 2010-2011 IDEIA application to the New Jersey Department of Education as follows:

IDEIA Basic	\$3,912,538	Public \$802,716	Nonpublic \$3,109,822
IDEIA Preschool	\$ 252,488	Public \$ 57,658	Nonpublic \$ 194,830

C. Approval to submit the 2010-2011 NCLB application to the New Jersey Department of Education as follows:

Title I	\$8,661,120	Public \$1,703,884	Nonpublic \$4,272,288
Title II A	\$1,034,495	Public/Nonpublic To Be Determined	
Title II D	\$ 18,103	Public \$ 13,689	Nonpublic \$ 4,414
Title III	\$ 223,610	Public \$ 197,733	Nonpublic \$ 25,877

D. Acceptance of the 21st Century Community Learning Center continuation grant for the 2010-2011 school year in the amount of \$535,000.

E. Approval to adopt the IRS Section 125 Premium Conversion Plan previously approved by the board at the June 30, 2010 board meeting with the following required resolution:

WHEREAS, the Lakewood Board of Education (the "Board") provides medical insurance coverage for its full-time employees under the New Jersey School Employees' Health Benefits Program (the "State Health Program"); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 52:14-17.46.9 of the New Jersey Statutes, effective for collective bargaining agreements commencing on or after May 21, 2010, all participants in the State Health Program are required to contribute an amount equal to 1.5% of their base salary for the cost of coverage under the State Health Program; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that it is consistent with the provisions of Title 18A of the New Jersey Statutes and in the best interests of its employees to establish a cafeteria plan in accordance with Section 125 of the Internal Revenue Code to permit participants in the State Health Program to make such required contributions on a pre-tax basis;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS RESOLVED, that the Lakewood Board of Education Premium Conversion Plan, effective September 1, 2010 (the "Plan"), and the Premium Conversion Plan HIPAA Privacy Policy and Practices (as required by the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability

Act of 1996 and the regulations thereunder), copies of which have been presented to the Members of the Board for review, are hereby adopted by the Board; and it is further

RESOLVED, that Robert S. Finger, Board Secretary/Business Administrator, and any other officer of the Board he may designate, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the Plan and any other documents related thereto, and to take any and all other actions that are necessary or appropriate to effectuate the adoption and implementation of the Plan and the intent of the foregoing resolutions; and it is further

RESOLVED, that any actions previously taken by any officer of the Board with respect to the adoption or implementation of the Plan are hereby ratified, adopted and approved.

IX. REPORTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS

A. SUPERINTENDENT'S ITEMS

1. Approve the following student to attend Bnos Bracha from September 1, 2010 through June 30, 2011 as per their IEP:
§ 8Y for a cost of \$9,800
2. Approve the following students to receive services from the Commission for the Blind and Visually Impaired at a cost of \$1,700 per students:
§ 281Y
§ 292Y
§ 301Y
§ 302Y
§ 303Y
3. Approve Miriam Medina and Diane Piasentini to attend Lunch Application Workshop in Freehold, New Jersey on August 27, 2010 at no cost to the District.

PERSONNEL

B. PERSONNEL

Reports and Recommendations of the Superintendent of Schools for the following professional staff in accordance with the requirements of Element 6, Indicator 6.5 of the monitoring process.

1. CERTIFICATED

- a. Resignations – N/A at this meeting
- b. Retirements – N/A at this meeting
- c. Terminations - N/A at this meeting
- d. Leaves of Absence – N/A at this meeting
- e. Transfers

(1) COOK, Stephanie
Guidance Counselor – LMS
Transfer to LHS
Effective: September 1, 2010
Terminating: June 30, 2011
(Replacement for Karen Lagner LMS)

(2) LAGNER, Karen
Guidance Counselor – LHS
Transfer to LMS
Effective: September 1, 2010
Terminating: June 30, 2011
(Replacement for Stephanie Cook transferred to LHS)

- f. Appointments

(1)***SUBSTITUTES/SUPPLEMENTAL/NURSE
Effective: 2010-2011 School Year
Salary: \$85 per day/\$100 after 30 days
\$40 per hr. supplemental
\$150 per hr. for nurses (as needed)

BRENNAN, Eileen	BA/BS
DeMONTMORENCY, Darcy Ann	BA
KOHLER, Kristin	BA

MESSINA, Charles	BA
VAUGHN, David	BA
VAUGN, MARIA	BA

(2) ***CONSULTANT

HICE-DEPUGH, Dana - LDTC (Independent Legal Consultant)

Effective: 2010/2011 School Year

Salary: \$500 per evaluation

(3) ROMITA, Marissa

Elementary Teacher – SSS

Salary: BA30, Step 1 - \$46,540

Effective: September 1, 2010

Terminating: June 30, 2011

(Replacement for Mettria Toler) transferred to General Ed.

Pending Negotiations

(4) TAYLOR, Tracey

Guidance Counselor – LMS

Salary: MA15, Step 6 – \$50, 941

Effective: September 1, 2010

Terminating: June 30, 2011

(Replacement for Doug Keiper - \$83,613) retired

Pending Negotiations

(5) ZUCCONI, Nataly

Elementary Teacher – SSS

Salary: BA, Step 1 - \$45, 941

Effective: September 1, 2010

Terminating: June 30, 2011

(Replacement for Mary Gray - \$76,593) retired

Pending Negotiations

g. Reappointments – N/A at this meeting

h. Salary Adjustments

(1) LOPEZ, Dalia

Elementary Teacher – SSS

From: BA, Step 1 - \$44,541

To: BA30, Step 1 - \$46,541

Effective: September 1, 2010

Terminating: June 30, 2011
(Employee provided proper documentation)
Pending Negotiations

(2) MARSH, Jennifer
Elementary Teacher – SSS
From: BA, Step 1 - \$44,541
To: BA30, Step 1 - \$46,541
Effective: September 1, 2010
Terminating: June 30, 2011
(Employee provided proper documentation)
Pending Negotiations

(3) SCHMIDT, William
Teacher – HS
From: MA15, Step 11 - \$54,150
To: MA30, Step 11 - \$55,150
Effective: September 1, 2010
Terminating: June 30, 2011
Pending Negotiations

- i. Stipends – N/A at this meeting
- j. Tuition Reimbursement – N/A at this meeting
- k. Miscellaneous

(1) BRAMSON, Patricia
Nurse – EGC
Declined Position

2. NON-CERTIFICATED

- a. Resignations - N/A at this meeting
- b. Retirements - N/A at this meeting
- c. Terminations - N/A at this meeting
- d. Leaves of Absence – N/A at this meeting
- e. Transfers - N/A at this meeting

f. Appointments

(1)***SUBSTITUTE PARAPROFESSIONAL/SECRETARY

School Year 2010-2011

SALARY: \$12 per hour

ADAMO, Angelique	AA
ARANEO, Dawn	CEAS
CHAIKEN, Scott	BA
ENGEL, Ronald	BS
KOHLER, Kristin	BA
KOWALESKI, Tina	Secretary only
LOWERY, Amy	BS
McGUIRE, Marlene	BA
MURRAY,Carol	STANDARD
OCONNER, Arlene	STANDARD
PARRGHI, Unmil	BS
PUIU, Radu	BA
SCALABRINI, Stephanie	BA
SHORTER, Sherri	BS
SIERCHO, Valerie	BS
SPATARO-HORVATH, Prudence	BS
STEWART, Erika	AA
VASALLIE, Nicole	BA
VAUGHN, David	BA
VAUGN, MARIA	BA
ZANOVICH, Bridget	BS

(2) BRADLEY, Christie

Paraprofessional – Pre-School

Salary: Step 11, 30 Credits– Highly Qualified - \$18,874

Effective: September 1, 2010

Terminating: June 30, 2011

(Position Funded - NJDOE Early Childhood Grant)

Pending Negotiations

(3) ELEFANT, Shira

Paraprofessional – Pre-School

Salary: Step 3, 30 Credits – Highly Qualified - \$17,643

Effective: September 1, 2010

Terminating: June 30, 2011

(Position Funded - NJDOE Early Childhood Grant)

Pending Negotiations

- (4) HOLMAN, Kelly
Paraprofessional – Pre-School
Salary: Step 1, 60 Credits - \$17,477
Effective: September 1, 2010
Terminating: June 30, 2011
(Position Funded - NJDOE Early Childhood Grant)
Pending Negotiations
- (5) KLEBOWICZ, Taryn
Paraprofessional – SSS
Salary: Step 1, 90 Credits - \$18,179
Effective: September 1, 2010
Terminating: June 30, 2011
(Replacement for Iris Lorenzo - \$22,043) not highly qualified
Pending Negotiations
- (6) ROMANO, Eileen
Paraprofessional – Pre-School
Salary: Step 1 – Highly Qualified - \$15,990
Effective: September 1, 2010
Terminating: June 30, 2011
(Position Funded - NJDOE Early Childhood Grant)
Pending Negotiations
- (7) STONE, Kiamarie
Paraprofessional – SSS
Salary: Step 1, 90 Credits - \$18,179
Effective: September 1, 2010
Terminating: June 30, 2011
(Replacement for Alberto Burrison - \$21,182)
Position transferred from OSS to SSS
Pending Negotiations
- (8) WILSON, Natasha
Paraprofessional – Pre-School
Salary: Step 1, 90 Credits - \$18,179
Effective: September 1, 2010
Terminating: June 30, 2011
(Position Funded - NJDOE Early Childhood Grant)
Pending Negotiations

- g. Reappointments - N/A at this meeting
- h. Salary Adjustments - N/A at this meeting
- i. Stipends – N/A at this meeting
- j. Tuition Reimbursements - N/A at this meeting
- k. Miscellaneous

(1) WILLIAMS, Onaje
Paraprofessional – LMS
Declined Position

* Appointment subject to approval of Criminal History background check by State Department of Education, as per NJSA 18A:6-7-1, et. seq., NJSA 18A:39-17 et. seq., or NJSA 18A:6-4.13 et seq., as applicable.

** As required by law and code, this Emergent Employee Resolution, upon motion duly made, seconded and carried, it was RESOLVED that this person be employed by the Board of Education of the Lakewood Public School District in the County of Ocean on an emergent basis.

*** This position does not include the following:

Medical Coverage	Personal Days
Dental Coverage	

Public Discussion

Mr. Inzelbuch – “Through the chair. Can we just ask them to stop drilling or whatever they are doing. So, can we have a second so the items making noise will cease. The public should know that there were other Board Members that were here this morning that can not be here due to work commitment. They were

Mr. Inzelbuch

in closed session, though. The public should also know that at 8:00 we started, and now the noise is over, I believe, so we can begin. I would like, through the chair present a legal request for the Board to consider. Do I have your permission to do that?"

Mr. Grunhut – "Yes."

Mr. Grunhut

Mr. Inzelbuch – "Does anyone have a problem with that through the Board here?"

Mr. Inzelbuch

Mr. Seitler – "No."

Mr. Seitler

Mr. Inzelbuch – "As the public is aware, there has been an ongoing discussion regarding pre-school program. Is Ms. Silva here?"

Mr. Inzelbuch

Ms. Silva – "Yes."

Ms. Silva

Mr. Inzelbuch – "Okay. Today there are several open houses regarding the pre-school. Ms. Silva can you report to the public when those open houses are, which will be happening."

Mr. Inzelbuch

Ms. Silva – "The first one is at 12:00 today, and the second one is at 5:00 p.m. to accommodate those parents who work."

Ms. Silva
Public Discussion

Mr. Inzelbluch – And there is a third one at 8:00 p.m. I believe, is that correct?"

Mr. Inzelbuch

Ms. Silva – "I would have to confer with Dr. Mercora."

Ms. Silva

Mr. Inzelbuch – "There are three today, while you were in Florida I believe that Dr. Mecora set those up."

Ms. Silva – "Okay."

Ms. Silva

Mr. Inzelbuch – "They are happening at 100 Linden Avenue, which is behind the Clarke School. All parents of pre-school children have been notified, the

Mr. Inzelbuch

Board has been advised and is available for that matter. Regarding the ongoing issue with the pre-school program, as the public is aware the board did get a letter from the State, a second letter, which essentially, and I am not going to paraphrase, the letter is a matter of public record, but it does allow the river Avenue site to be reviewed, the Monmouth Avenue site is no longer being considered. The Board has entertained negotiations with the vendor, Tiny Tots. In fact, there is a representative here today. While the proprietor could not be here today, he was made available as part of contracts under the Sunshine Law to discuss this in closed session. The Board, if I can have a second. The Board did, and from what I understand from that discussion involved as follows: The Board spoke with the vendor today, both through the representative and on the phone, to discuss three possible options. One was the four self-contained classes, which should be reduced, which is a benefit to the District and the taxpayer, to \$201,341 per self-contained class. Part two of that discussion was that there would be forty inclusion students as the State set price of \$11,561, which again is a benefit to the District and the taxpayer as that is less than last year. And, again, I could be corrected by anyone on the Board or the Administration. And then there was a discussion, as we do have E.C.P.A., Early Childhood money, which is a matter of public record, for an additional students, fifty regular ed students who

Public Discussion
Mr. Inzelbuch

would have to be registered with the Lakewood School District, if they are not already, to attend that program, which is licensed by the Department of Children and Family Services. The calculation, if I recall, was, these were all mutually exclusive consideration, which means if you get one or the vendor will accept one, doesn't mean he has to accept the other. From my understanding, we were informed by the vendor that unless he would get the self-contained and the inclusion children, that it would not be economically viable or feasible for him to continue running the program. Anyone on the dais can correct me, but that is what I heard.

Does anyone have an issue with that? There is a question that I need to pose, which I do not have the answer for. There are allegedly fifty regular ed students who are currently set to attend the Tiny Tots Program. The vendor has indicated that those fifty students need to attend because the vendor made commitments and school is only a few days away. What I am asking for is an opportunity to review this through the Superintendent, with the appropriate County and/or State, first with the County, who are typically always ready and willing to assist districts in the County, of which Lakewood is, to see if we could, since those students already have been enrolled there, if they could continue to attend, albeit as public school students. That answer is not readily known as this time. However, for the benefit of our students, which the inclusion and self-contained are considered and are public school students, the Board needs to take some action so there is definitiveness for these students' benefit as school starts in several days. So, that is what I am asking for, that right to seek guidance through the County and/or State. But that shouldn't hold up your vote today, because these parents and students, like every parent and student, have an entitlement to receive, if they are registered as public school students, testability and education to them. So, that is what I wanted to bring to your attention."

Mr. Seitler – "Through the chair. Are you saying

Mr. Seitler

Public Discussion

that we shouldn't wait until you get an answer to make a vote?

Mr. Inzelbuch – "No, I don't want you to wait. Well, I am not suggesting you wait. I am suggesting, as of now there is no clarity on that last point."

Mr. Inzelbuch

Mr. Seitler – "Right."

Mr. Seitler

Mr. Zlatkin – “Are you suggesting approval pending review?”

Mr. Zlatkin

Mr. Inzelbuch – “No, I am assuming that clarity from the County and/or State is not always quick. We will, the Superintendent and I will immediately reach out, if we are so instructed, to the County and/or state. But, assume, at this point, if they would say okay, then you would vote however you wish to vote, whether it be all three, two of the three. However, you should also assume we will not get clarity today, and/or the answer may be no.”

Mr. Inzelbuch

Mr. Seidler – “No, we don’t. So is it possible to make a motion depending on the answer, if it is like this, then it is like that, and if it is like that, then it will be like this, is that a legal motion?”

Mr. Seidler

Mr. Inzelbuch – “Following this and that, you would make, I would suggest you could, it is not the preferred way, but you could make two motions. One motion would be, assuming the fifty student question is either not answered or answered in the negative, and the second way, would be if it is voted ye, then we will do that. So, if that is the this and the that you were discussing, then the answer is yes.”

Mr. Inzelbuch

Mr. Seidler – “Okay. But I want to make it into one motion. I don’t want to make it two motions.”

Mr. Seidler

Mr. Inzelbuch – “All right. So if you were making the motion, you would have to let the B.A. write the motion. IF you would like to dictate that motion, with the Superintendent and the Board’s permission, we would gladly hear that motion if there is not opposition on the dais to that motion.”

Mr. Inzelbuch

Public Discussion

Mr. Grunhut – “I have another question. What is going to happen to the teachers, are they hired by Tiny Tots? Are they hired by the District?”

Mr. Inzelbuch – “Well, if I may, again, if this is a District

Mr. Inzelbuch

operated program, whatever portion, or if you bring any of this in-house, then the District personnel instruct. If its is either whole or part in-house, from what I understand Dr. Mercora and Ms. Silva have been instructed by the State, and correct me if I am wring, because while I was not on the phone, I ensure that I asked that quite a few people here the instruction so there is no misinterpretation, willingly or otherwise, not that believe that occurred. But Ms. Silva, the instruction from the State was, even if the Board were to allow Tiny Tots to operated, either whole or part of what is being discussed, you were allowed to establish, is it a plan, is that the word they used?”

Ms. Silva – “Yes.”

Ms. Silva

Mr. Inzelbuch – “Dr. Mercora, you are needed.”

Mr. Inzelbuch

Ms. Silva – “No, it is required that I give them a plan for what will take place, a year’s plan. That is what I was instructed to do.”

Ms. Silva

Mr. Grunhut – “I got phone calls yesterday from teachers that I think that were signed on, but if Tiny Tots is going to get it, then they have to know which jobs to take. There is a third job waiting. So how long will this saga continue for the teachers, too?”

Mr. Grunhut

Mr. Inzelbuch – “Well, this saga, if I may, must come to an end. That is my opinion. Because, as I said earlier, the students com first, then the staff, but in that order, in my opinion. But the students and their parents need to have a definite response I believe, if it is possible, I don’t’ know, by 4:00 p.m. But you need to give the parents and students, as well as staff, by 4:00 today. I don’t know if the people are in the State today. There is an Acting Commissioner. I can’t predict. What I can state is that we cannot allow this to delay any further as there are obligations that the District has to publicly enroll students, of which the inclusion and self-contained students at this time are.”

Mr. Inzelbuch

Public Discussion

Mr. Seidler – “Can I make a motion?”

Mr. Seidler

Mr. Grunhut – Okay.”

Mr. Grunhut

Mr. Seidler – “Can I make a motion to allow the attorney and the Superintendent to reach out to the State and County and any other officials they deem appropriate to find out if we can assign, if we can allow fifty children currently enrolled, or previously enrolled for this year, to be allowed to go under the State funded program, which would allow us to award you know, Tiny Tots run the self-contained, the inclusion and the public pre-school program, which would effectively save the same taxpayer dollars had it been done in-district. Should the County or State or any other official that they reach out to say no, or should by 4:00 p.m. no answer be forthcoming, then the program should be done at 100 Linden Avenue facility in the in-house district.”

Mr. Seidler

Mr. Inzelbuch – “Through the chair. Which part of the program? All three?”

Mr. Inzelbuch

Mr. Seidler – “All parts. All parts.”

Mr. Seidler

Mr. Inzelbuch – “All parts.”

Mr. Inzelbuch

Mr. Fink – “Through the chair. Michael, I would really like to be very, very clear on the taxpayer’s part, the local taxpayer part, please. Would you please define that?”

Mr. Fink

Mr. Inzelbuch – “Mr. Finger, if you could explain, for the education of both the Board and the public. Where the funds would come from regardless of where the program is operated.”

Mr. Inzelbuch

Mr. Finger – “General ed students are paid for out of the Early Childhood Pre-School aid. Special Ed, self-contained students out of local funds, and inclusion students are basically paid out of local funds as well, unless the makeup of the class is

Mr. Finger

Public Discussion

such that the Division of Early Childhood Education, because of the way the classroom is set-up and the structure, would allow us to use pre-school aid. In general, it is paid out of local funds for inclusion students.”

Mr. Seitler – “Could you just state that if we would do it all together, that there are certain shared costs that would be paid for under the State grant, and if we separate it, then it wouldn’t be?”

Mr. Seitler

Mr. Finger – “Yes, I can agree to that. But, again that would be subject to the final decision by the Division of Early Childhood Education. But in general, yes, it would be, usually if you have a mix of ten general ed and eight inclusion students, in most cases the state has allowed in the past for them to be all charged to pre-school early childhood.”

Mr. Finger

Mr. Seitler – “Okay, thank you.”

Mr. Seitler

Mr. Inzelbuch – “So, there is a motion. I just want to make sure Mr. Finger has it. If we have.....I just want to understand. If we get a favorable response, or any response, and I invite anyone who would like to email the Superintendent who they think we should call, our first call would be to the County Superintendent, Bruce Greenfield. That is the person on the County level. On the State level, Ms. Silva, or any Board Member, or anyone, would there be someone....Dr. Rush, as a former Assistant Commissioner, you have something to offer on that, in short?”

Mr. Inzelbuch

Dr. Rush – “Call Rose Hendricks.”

Dr. Rush

Mr. Inzelbuch – “Well, I understand she is the Acting Commissioner. I might believe that since she just got on the job a few days ago, she might be a little busy.”

Mr. Inzelbuch

Mr. Rush – “No.”

Dr. Rush

Mr. Inzelbuch – “She is not busy? Okay. Well, we

Mr. Inzelbuch

Public Discussion

are going to reach out to the County Superintendent, hoping he or Dr. Foster is in, the County B.A. and they will direct us, no disrespect, but they will direct us to who to reach out to. Is that acceptable to the Board?”

Mr. Inzelbuch

Mr. Seidler – “Yes.”

Mr. Seidler

Mr. Inzelbuch – “And the only query or question we are asking them is regarding the fifty students who are currently enrolled, should they be registered as public school students, can we allow them to remain and use E.C.P.A. funding; the that correct?”

Mr. Inzelbuch

Mr. Seidler – “Yes.”

Mr. Seidler

Mr. Zlatkin – “Can I amend the motion?”

Mr. Zlatkin

Mr. Fink – “E.C.P.A. funds. State, not local.”

Mr. Zlatkin – “Can I amend the motion that if the State rejects the proposal, that we offer the provider Tiny Tots, to keep the inclusion as the price of \$12,500, what they were receiving last year, with out self-contained classes?”

Mr. Zlatkin

Mr. Seidler – “Through the chair. I believe in closed session he declined that offer, he declined it flat out.”

Mr. Seidler

Mr. Inzelbuch – “He did.”

Mr. Inzelbuch

Mr. Fink – “Through the chair. If Tiny Tots does not accept the inclusion into the program, I would like to make a motion to bring everything in-house.”

Mr. Fink

Mr. Seidler – “That was part of the motion.”

Mr. Seidler

Mr. Inzelbuch – “That was part, I believe, of Mr.

Mr. Inzelbuch

Seitler's original motion."

Mr. Fink – "Okay."

Mr. Fink

Mr. Inzelbuch – "Is there any further discussion?"

Mr. Inzelbuch

Mr. Zlatkin – "Can we have a vote on my amendment?"

Mr. Zlatkin

Public Discussion

Mr. Seitler – "To make it a separate motion?"

Mr. Seitler

Mr. Inzelbuch – "Well, that would be a separate motion, since we are in a second."

Mr. Inzelbuch

Mr. Grunhut – "Second."

Mr. Grunhut

Mr. Inzelbuch – "That was a separate...not a second."

Mr. Inzelbuch

Mr. Grunhut – "I am going to second Mr. Seitler's motion."

Mr. Grunhut

Mr. Inzelbuch – "I thought."

Mr. Inzelbuch

Mr. Seitler – "It was not seconded yet."

Mr. Seitler

Mr. Fink – "I second Mr. Seitler's motion."

Mr. Fink

Mr. Inzelbuch – "Okay. So the BA can properly record this, the motion as read by Mr. Seitler is now seconded by Mr. Grunhut; is that correct?"

Mr. Inzelbuch

Mr. Zlaktin – "Yes."

Mr. Zlatkin

Mr. Inzelbuch – "Is there any further discussion?"

Mr. Inzelbuch

Mr. Fink – "Would you repeat Mr. Seitler's motion."

Mr. Fink

Mr. Finger – "Let's go through it nice and slow. Authorize the Superintendent and Board Attorney to contact the NJDOE to determine if fifty students already at Tiny Tots could be funded by pre-school education aid, with the stipulation that the decision

Mr. Finger

be received on Monday, August 30, 2010, no later than 4:00 p.m. If it is approved by the NJDOE, then the entire program, the self-contained, inclusion, and general ed will be awarded to Tiny Tots at a cost of \$11,561 per year for inclusion students, \$200,592 per student. If not approved by the NJDOE, the program will be operated by District staff.”

Mr. Inzelbuch – “Mr. Seitler, does that....”

Mr. Inzelbuch

Mr. Seitler – “You missed out the County. I believe

Public Discussion

Mr. Inzelbuch had mentioned speaking to the County.”

Mr. Inzelbuch

Mr. Inzelbuch – “I did.”

Mr. Inzelbuch

Mr. Seitler – “We didn’t mention that.”

Mr. Seitler

Mr. Finger – “Well that is the NJDOE. Make it NJDOE and County.”

Mr. Finger

Mr. Seitler – “Alright.”

Mr. Seitler

Mr. Inzelbuch – “The Superintendent....”

Mr. Inzelbuch

Mr. Finger – “The Superintendent’s office.”

Mr. Finger

Mr. Inzelbuch – “Mr. Seitler, other than that one correction.”

Mr. Inzelbuch

Mr. Seitler – “No, it is fine.”

Mr. Seitler

Mr. Inzelbuch – “No it is not fine, oh, it is fine.”

Mr. Inzelbuch

Mr. Fink – “Michael, just to ... I am sorry, through the chair. IF that is approved and the State and County have no issues, that means no local funds would be used for this Tiny Tots Program?”

Mr. Fink

Mr. Inzelbuch – Absolutely incorrect. For the self-contained, regardless of where it is you are

Mr. Inzelbuch

using local funds.”

Mr. Seitler – “Through the chair. I believe the answer to Mr. Fink’s question is no more local funds will be used then we have been doing it in-district.”

Mr. Seitler

Mr. Inzelbuch – “Whether it be in-house or outsourced, the self-contained program as far as the BA, the Board and myself, whoever looks at this, it will be the identical source of funds, which is local/state, but not the E.C.P.A. funds.

Mr. Inzelbuch

Mr. Zlatkin – “Can I just clarify something? You mentioned 201 for self-contained class.

Mr. Zlatkin

Public Discussion

The number I saw that was sent to us is that the cost to the district was 167. So just to be clear, and to answer Mr. Fink’s question, there will be local funds used.”

Mr. Zlatkin

Mr. Finger – “Through the chair. The \$201.341 was the number given to me by Mr. Zaks.”

Mr. Finger

Mr. Zlatkin – “But Mr. Fink’s question was, will the local funds be used? I think if we want to give it to Mr. Zaks then the question is, the answer is that some of it will be used, because if the district does it, it will be cheaper.”

Mr. Zlatkin

Mr. Inzelbuch – “Through the chair. So, we are crystal clear, if the self-contained program, so called, is done in-house or by the vendor, local funds are being used. What I think Mr. Zlatkin is correctly pointing out is that if the number, which the BA would have to determine, it would be cheaper, it appears, to do it in-house than to outsource it. Is that what you are saying?”

Mr. Inzelbuch

Mr. Zlatkin – “That is correct. Just to answer Mr. Fink’s question correctly, without going into the vote on yes or no, we will be using

Mr. Zlatkin

local funds, extra on top of it the District were to run it.”

Mr. Finger – “Self-contained in-house would be at a cost of \$167.899. Should we amend the resolution to now read as opposed to paying Mr. Zaks the price he asked for of \$201,341 to make the price \$167.899?

Mr. Finger

Mr. Seidler – “Through the chair. I think that we had overhead costs that were separated from that, and if you add that in, it should come pretty close to the \$201.341 cost.”

Mr. Seidler

Mr. Finger – There are shared costs. But the question is which ones apply to him or not, like such as play-ground equipment.”

Mr. Finger

Mr. Seidler – “I’m saying the total cost for the five

Mr. Seidler

Public Discussion

inclusion classes, with the ten regular ed and the eight special ed, and the four self-contained classes, with the shared costs, with the split between the E.C.P.A. funds and the local funds, the total amount, is there any difference?

Mr. Seidler

Mr. Finger – “I don’t have that information available, sir. I would have to calculate that.”

Mr. Finger

Mr. Seidler – “So then amend the motion that it has to be equal.”

Mr. Seidler

Mr. Inzelbuch – “Instead of \$201 thousand, it has to be equal to the cost to the District.”

Mr. Inzelbuch

Mr. Seidler – “The whole bundle should be the same what you had produced in that excel spread sheet of what the costs would be if we would do the whole thing in-district and if we give him the whole thing with the fifty regular ed, it should be the same price. Should the County say that that’s okay and it is the same price and it is the same local funds split as if it would be in-district, he gets it. Should

Mr. Seidler amount as

the County say no or we don't get an answer by 4:00 p.m., then it should be done in house."

Mr. Inzelbuch – "And just so we are clear, so there is no aspersions cast, not that there would be, the way we will communicate with the County, we will immediately make a phone call to Dr. Greenfield's office, we will immediately send an email to please contact Ms. Silva, and those would be the two best ways. If there is any other way, I would love to hear them now. But we will call and send an email.:

Mr. Inzelbuch

Mr. Zlatkin – "Can if have a roll call?

Mr. Zlatkin

MOTION TO:

Motion to:

Authorize the Superintendent and Board Attorney to contact the NJDOE to determine if fifty students already at Tiny Tots could be funded by pre-school education aid, with the stipulation that the decision be received on Monday, August 30, 2010, no later than 4:00 p.m. If it is approved by the NJDOE,

Public Discussion

then the entire program, the self-contained, inclusion, and general ed will be awarded to Tiny Tots at a cost of \$11,561 per year for inclusion students, \$200,592 per student. If not approved by the NJDOE, the program will be operated by District staff."

ROLL CALL:

YES: Mr. Fink, Ms. Gonzalez,
Mr. Grunhut, Ms. Miccio,
Mr. Seitler, Mr. Zlatkin

ABSTAIN: Mr. Ostreicher

MOTION PASSED

Motion Passed

Mr. Inzelbuch – "Through the chair. For the benefit of the public, the public pre-school program is operating, that portion is operating regardless of this. It will be at the Linden Avenue as well as the former ACE location. Dr. Mercora, is that correct?"

Mr. Inzelbuch

Dr. Mercora – “Yes, it is.”	<i>Dr. Mercora</i>
Mr. Inzelbuch – “Thank you.”	<i>Mr. Inzelbuch</i>
Mr. Zlatkin – “Through the chair. May I introduce a motion to approve Tiny Tots if that proposal is rejected and allocate local funds in the amount of \$12,500 for the inclusion program, inclusion kids, the special ed kids, as the same amount as was allocated last year to Tiny Tots?”	<i>Mr. Zlatkin</i>
Mr. Grunhut – “Second.”	<i>Mr. Grunhut</i>
Mr. Inzelbuch – “Through the chair. Is that for all the <i>q</i> students?”	<i>Mr. Inzelbuch</i>
Mr Zlatkin – “That is for the inclusion program.”	<i>Mr. Zlatkin</i>
Mr. Grunhut – “The special ed or regular?”	<i>Mr. Grunhut</i>
Mr. Inzelbuch – “Well, inclusion, I think he is talking about the special ed, but I don’t want to speak for him.”	<i>Mr. Inzelbuch</i>
Mr. Zlatkin – “Special ed inclusion, yes.”	<i>Mr. Zlatkin</i>
<i>Public Discussion</i>	
Mr. Inzelbuch – “So to offer...I don’t want to put words in your mouth, but to offer.”	<i>Mr. Inzelbuch</i>
Mr. Zlatkin – “To offer Tiny Tots just the inclusion as last year which the same amount of money that we spent last year per student.”	<i>Mr. Zlatkin</i>
Mr. Inzelbuch – “Thank you.”	<i>Mr. Inzelbuch</i>
Mr. Zlatkin – “I would like to do the same thing for Ready Set Grow.”	<i>Mr. Zlatkin</i>
Mr. Fink – “For what?”	<i>Mr. Fink</i>
MR. Zlatkin – “Ready Set Grow.”	<i>Mr. Zlatkin</i>

Mr. Grunhut – “One second, wasn’t their contract renewed in June or July? I think it was,”

Mr. Grunhut

Mr. Finger – “No, it was not.”

Mr. Finger

Mr. Grunhut – “ The contract was not renewed, I don’t believe. Michael, we need clarification.”

Mr. Grunhut

Mr. Zlatkin – “Mr. Finger, was the contract renewed with Ready Set Grow?

Mr. Zlatkin

Mr. Finger – “To the best of my knowledge, the contract with Ready Set Grow was not renewed, nor Macedonia.”

Mr. Finger

Mr. Zlatkin – “So can we make part of my motion to renew the contract?”

Mr. Zlatkin

Mr. Inzelbuch – “You can make any motion you like, that is the law, the rule.”

Mr. Inzelbuch

Mr. Zlatkin – “Okay. That is the motion, to renew the contract with Ready Set Grow.”

Mr. Zlatkin

Mr. Finger – “I need some clarity on this. I have no idea what we’re writing. Approval to offer Tiny Tots inclusion program only at a cost of \$12,500 per student per year based on what? If what happens?”

Mr. Finger

Public Discussion

Mr. Zlatkin – “If the proposal, if the motion, the previous motion by Mr. Seitler fails, if the state....”

Mr. Zlatkin

Mr. Inzelbuch – “If the State does not respond to that. Okay. Just so we are clear, if the State either doesn’t respond, and again, we are going to email and call Bruce Greenfield and Michael Foster’s office. Should that come back in the negative, either no answer or negative, then you’re saying independently to allow Tiny Tots and/or Ready Set Grow do the

Mr. Inzelbuch

inclusion with the special ed children using local funds at the same cost?”

Mr. Zlatkin – “At the same rate per student as last year.”

Mr. Zlatkin

Mr. Inzelbluch – “Which?”

Mr. Inzelbuch

Mr. Finger – “And Ready Set Grow and Macedonia as well?”

Mr. Inzelbuch – “Macedonia as well?”

Mr. Inzelbuch

Mr. Zlatkin – “Yes, all inclusive.”

Mr. Zlatkin

Mr. Inzelbuch – “Well, those were the three programs.”

Mr. Inzelbuch

Mr. Zlatkin – “Yes, yes.”

Mr. Zlatkin

Mr. Inzelbuch – “There is only so long the hammer can remain idle, I assume.”

Mr. Inzelbuch

Ms. Miccio – “Through the chair. Can I ask a question?”

Ms. Miccio

Mr. Grunhut – “Yes.”

Mr. Grunhut

Ms. Miccio – “We discussed Tiny Tots at length. However, Ready Set Grow was never mentioned, how come?”

Ms. Miccio

Mr. Inzelbuch – “You would have to ask the person who made the motion, or the guy hammering behind us.”

Mr. Inzelbuch

Ms. Miccio – “You know, supposed to be choosing and yet we just.....”

Ms. Miccio

Public Discussion

Mr. Inzelbuch – “We are going to take a momentary pause for hammers to be, or what ever that is.

Mr. Inzelbuch

Mr. Fink – “Excuse me. If I heard Ms. Miccio right, she had mentioned that we didn’t discuss anything but Tiny Tots, I totally agree with her.

Mr. Fink

Mr. Fink – “Ready Set Grow. I mean, it should be handled just like we did Tiny Tots, in a meeting, which we didn’t discuss, and Ms. Miccio is right, you can’t approve something without having a discussion on it.”

Mr. Fink

Ms. Miccio – “This was thrown out here, and it surprises me.”

Ms. Miccio

Mr. Zlatkin – “Well, it is the same type of children, the inclusion children.”

Ms. Miccio – “If we discuss one, we should discuss the other. What do we use comparison for?”

Ms. Miccio

Mr. Fink – “I can’t hear you Ms.Miccio, I am sorry.”

Mr. Fink

Mr. Inzelbuch – “Members of the public she needs her microphone. You need your microphone. Typically microphones amplify.”

Mr. Inzelbuch

Ms. Miccio – “All right. Never mind.”

Ms. Miccio

Mr. Inzelbuch – “But you made your point and Mr. Fink has made his point, and all points can be made. There is a motion.”

Mr. Inzelbuch

Mr. Finger – “I have been advised we only have ten minutes. After that, they are going back.”

Mr. Finger

Mr. Inzelbuch – “There is a motion.”

Mr. Inzelbuch

Mr. Finger – “We need a second, right?”

Mr. Finger

Mr. Fink – “What is the motion?”

Mr. Fink

Mr. Inzelbuch – “The motion was, if the State says no or doesn’t answer, to offer inclusion to Tiny Tots, Ready Set Grow and Macedonia, I added that, is that acceptable to add that?”

Mr. Inzelbuch

Mr. Zlatkin – “Yes.”

Mr. Zlatkin

Mr. Inzelbuch – “At the rate of last year, which I think was \$12,500 per year.”

Mr. Inzelbuch

Mr. Finger – “Right, \$12,500 per year, \$1,250 per month for ten months, right.”

Mr. Finger

Mr. Inzelbuch – “Alright, there was a first, the motion was made, there was not a second.”

Mr. Inzelbuch

Mr. Grunhut – “I second.”

Mr. Grunhut

Mr. Hobday – “Point of order. I think that it was not just the State, it is Superintendent Greenfield also that you were going to call.”

Mr. Hobday

Mr. Inzelbuch – “Right.”

Mr. Inzelbuch

Mr. Hobday – “That is not the State.”

Mr. Hobday

Mr. Inzelbuch – “I am sorry to correct you, that is the State, it is a division of the State.”

Mr. Inzelbuch

Mr. Hobday – “Thank you.”

Mr. Grunhut – “Discussion.”

Mr. Grunhut

Mr. Zlatkin – “I am sorry.”

Mr. Zlatkin

Mr. Grunhut – “Do you want to add anything to your motion or discussion?”

Mr. Grunhut

Mr. Zlatkin – “No.”

Mr. Zlatkin

Mr. Inzelbuch – “Okay, if he does not want to add, is the vote allowed to be taken?”

Mr. Inzelbuch

**MOTION TO IF THE STATE SAYS NO
OR DOESN'T ANSWER, TO OFFER
INCLUSION TO TINY TOTS, READY
SET GROW AND MACEDONIA**

Motion to:

ROLL CALL:

YES: **Mr. Grunhut, Mr. Ostreicher
Mr. Seitler – Yes to Tiny Tots
and Macedonia and no to
Ready Set Grow.**

NO: **Mr. Fink, Ms. Gonzalez,
Mr. Zlaktin**

MOTION FAILED

Motion failed

Mr. Finger – “we have three other items of business for today as addendums.

Mr. Finger

1. Approval to continue to continue the side bar

Addendum LEA

agreement with the LEA for 2010-2011 school year regarding the leave for the Association President in accordance with the terms of the contract, which requires union president to teach up to three periods per day. School assignment for the school president shall be determined by the Superintendent and the teaching assignment shall be determined by the building principal.

Sidebar

2. Approval of contract with Dr. Orna Lechner for the 2010-2011 school year in the amount of \$100.00 per hour for up to ten hours to review Hebrew Grammar Program paid with Title I, non-public funds.

**Addendum
Review Hebrew Grammar
Dr. Lechner**

3. Approval to solicit appraisers to determine the rental value for the classroom space and non-public schools for Title I & Chapter 192 non-public programs for the 2010-2011 school

**Addendum
Appraisal Rental Space**

year, and to also review all space available under the Catapult lease agreement for trailers and vans.

Mr. Seitler – “Motion to vote.”

Mr. Fink – “Second.”

MOTION TO APPROVE ADDENDUMS

ROLL CALL: *Motion to Approve*

YES: **Mr. Fink, Ms. Gonzalez** *Addendums*

Mr. Grunhut, Ms. Miccio

(Yes on item 2 & 3)

Mr. Ostreicher, Mr. Seitler,

Mr. Zlatkin

ABSTAIN: Ms. Miccio (Item 1)

MOTION PASSED *Motion Passed*

Mr. Seitler – “Motion to Adjourn.” *Mr. Seitler*

Mr. Fink – “Second.” *Mr. Fink*

Mr. Grunhut – “Hold on one second, let’s find out who is going to be here, if we have a quorum or not.” *Mr. Grunhut*

Mr. Inzelbuch – “Mr. Seitler and Mr. Zlatkin left.” *Mr. Inzelbuch*

Mr. Grunhut – “I have to leave; I had a 10:00 a.m. appointment, I would love to stay, but there is no quorum anyway.” *Mr. Grunhut*

Mr. Hobday – “You can have a meeting, you just can’t make decisions.” *Mr. Hobday*

Rabbi Hertz – “Does a 4 to 3 vote pass? You just said 4 to 3 Tiny Tots. Why did that fail?” *Rabbi Hertz*

Mr. Finger – “It needs to have five affirmative votes.” *Mr. Finger*

Mr. Grunhut – “Since there is no quorum, the meeting is adjourned by default.” *Mr. Grunhut*

Meeting Adjourned at: 12:05 p.m.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED BY:

**LISA MILLER,
INTERIM ASSISTANT BOARD SECRETARY**