



Financial and Performance Audits
Building Fund (2008 Measure S)
June 30, 2022

Oak Grove School District

Financial Audit	
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Financial Statements	
Balance Sheet.....	3
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance.....	4
Notes to Financial Statements	5
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	9
Schedule of Findings and Responses	
Financial Statement Findings	11
Summary of Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	12
Performance Audit	
Independent Auditor's Report on Performance	13
Authority for Issuance.....	14
Purpose of Issuance	14
Authority for the Audit	14
Objective of the Audit.....	15
Scope of the Audit.....	15
Methodology.....	15
Conclusion.....	16
Schedule of Findings and Responses	
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	17
Summary of Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	18



Financial Audit
Building Fund (2008 Measure S)
June 30, 2022
Oak Grove School District



Independent Auditor's Report

Governing Board and
Citizens' Oversight Committee
Oak Grove School District
San Jose, California

Report on the Audit of the Financial Statements

Opinions

We have audited the financial statements of the Building Fund (2008 Measure S) of the Oak Grove School District's (the District), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2022, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

In our opinion, the accompanying financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Building Fund (2008 Measure S) of the District as of June 30, 2022, and the changes in its financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Basis for Opinions

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS) and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States (*Government Auditing Standards*). Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements section of our report. We are required to be independent of the District and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only a portion of the Building Fund specific to 2008 Measure S, and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of Oak Grove School District as of June 30, 2022, and the changes in its financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Responsibilities of Management for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinions. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS and *Government Auditing Standards* will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Misstatements are considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, they would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user based on the financial statements.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS and *Government Auditing Standards*, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluate the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit, significant audit findings, and certain internal control-related matters that we identified during the audit.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 6, 2022, on our consideration of the Building Fund (2008 Measure S) of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to solely describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the Building Fund (2008 Measure S) of the District's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Building Fund (2008 Measure S) of the District's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.



Menlo Park, California
December 6, 2022

Oak Grove School District
 Building Fund (2008 Measure S)
 Balance Sheet
 June 30, 2022

Assets	
Deposits and investments	\$ 40,410,395
Interest receivable	32,679
Prepaid expenses	-
Due from other funds	-
Inventories	-
Equipment - net of depreciation	-
Other current assets	-
Total assets	\$ 40,443,074
Liabilities and Fund Balance	
Liabilities:	
Vendor payable	\$ 3,542,444
Liabilities	
Accounts payable	-
Due to other funds	-
Unearned revenue	-
Long-term liabilities	-
Total Liabilities	3,542,444
Fund Balance	
Restricted for capital projects	36,900,630
Total Liabilities and Fund Balance	\$ 40,443,074

Oak Grove School District
 Building Fund (2008 Measure S)
 Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance
 Year Ended June 30, 2022

Revenues	
Investment income	\$ 335,704
Unrealized gain (loss) on investments with County	<u>(1,033,511)</u>
Expenditures	
Current	
Salaries and benefits	29,152
Supplies and equipment	-
Services and operating expenditures	518,234
Capital outlay	5,256,558
Debt service - principal	-
Debt service - issuance costs	<u>-</u>
Total Expenditures	<u>5,803,944</u>
Deficiency of Revenues Over Expenditures	(6,501,751)
Other Financing Sources	
Proceeds from sales of bonds	<u>-</u>
Net Change in Fund Balance	(6,501,751)
Fund Balance - Beginning	<u>43,402,381</u>
Fund Balance - Ending	<u><u>\$ 36,900,630</u></u>

Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The accounting policies of the Oak Grove School District's (the District) Building Fund (2008 Measure S) (the Fund) conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB). The Oak Grove School District Building Fund accounts for financial transactions in accordance with the policies and procedures of the California School Accounting Manual.

Financial Reporting Entity

The financial statements include only a portion of the Building Fund of the District used to account for 2008 Measure S projects. This Fund was established to account for the expenditures of general obligation bonds issued under Measure S Bond Election of 2008. These financial statements are not intended to present fairly the financial position and results of operations of the District in compliance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Fund are accounted for in a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues, and expenditures. Resources are allocated to and accounted for in the fund based upon the purpose for which they are to be spent and the means by which spending activities are controlled.

Basis of Accounting

The Fund is accounted for using a flow of current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. With this measurement focus, only current assets and current liabilities generally are included on the balance sheet. The statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance reports on the sources (revenues and other financing sources) and uses (expenditures and other financing uses) of current financial resources.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

Annual budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for all governmental funds. The District's governing board adopts an operating budget no later than July 1 in accordance with State law. A public hearing must be conducted to receive comments prior to adoption. The District's governing board satisfied these requirements. The Board revises this budget during the year to give consideration to unanticipated revenue and expenditures primarily resulting from events unknown at the time of budget adoption. The District employs budget control by minor object and by individual appropriation accounts. Expenditures cannot legally exceed appropriations by major object account.

Fund Balance - Building Fund (2008 Measure S)

As of June 30, 2022, the fund balance is classified as restricted.

Restricted fund balance is the amount that can be spent only for specific purposes because of constitutional provisions or enabling legislation or because of constraints that are externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or the laws or regulations of other governments.

Encumbrances

The District utilizes an encumbrance accounting system under which purchase orders, contracts and other commitments for the expenditure of monies are recorded in order to reserve that portion of the applicable appropriation. Encumbrances are liquidated when the commitments are paid and all outstanding encumbrances are liquidated at June 30 since they do not constitute expenditures or liabilities.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures/expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Note 2 - Investments

Policies and Practices

The District is authorized under California Government Code to make direct investments in local agency bonds, notes, or warrants within the State: U.S. Treasury instrument; registered State warrants or treasury notes; securities of the U.S. Government, or its agencies; bankers acceptances; commercial paper; certificates of deposit placed with commercial banks and/or savings and loan companies; repurchase or reverse repurchase agreement; medium term corporate notes; shares of beneficial interest issued by diversified management companies, certificates of participation, obligations with first priority security, and collateralized mortgage obligations.

Investment in County Treasury

The District is considered to be an involuntary participant in an external investment pool as the District is required to deposit all receipts and collections of monies with their County Treasurer (*Education Code* Section 41001). The fair value of the District's investment in the pool is reported in the accounting financial statement at amounts based upon the District's pro-rata share of the fair value provided by the County Treasurer for the entire portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by the County Treasurer, which is recorded on the amortized cost basis.

General Authorizations

Limitations as they relate to interest rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk are indicated in the schedules below:

Authorized Investment Type	Maximum Remaining Maturity	Maximum Percentage of Portfolio	Maximum Investment in One Issuer
Local Agency Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
Registered State Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
U.S. Treasury Obligations	5 years	None	None
U.S. Agency Securities	5 years	None	None
Banker's Acceptance	180 days	40%	30%
Commercial Paper	270 days	25%	10%
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	5 years	30%	None
Repurchase Agreements	1 year	None	None
Reverse Repurchase Agreements	92 days	20% of base	None
Medium-Term Corporate Notes	5 years	30%	None
Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Money Market Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Mortgage Pass-Through Securities	5 years	20%	None
County Pooled Investment Funds	N/A	None	None
Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)	N/A	None	None
Joint Powers Authority Pools	N/A	None	None

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value is to changes in market interest rates. The District manages its exposure to interest rate risk by depositing substantially all of its funds in the County Treasury Pool. The fair value of this investment is \$40,410,395 with an average maturity of 738 days.

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The District's investment in the County pool is not required to be rated, nor has it been rated as of June 30, 2022.

Note 3 - Fair Value Measurements

The District categorizes the fair value measurements of its investments based on the hierarchy established by generally accepted accounting principles. The fair value hierarchy, which has three levels, is based on the valuation inputs used to measure an asset's fair value. The following provides a summary of the hierarchy used to measure fair value:

- Level 1 inputs – quoted prices in active markets for identical assets.
- Level 2 inputs – quoted prices in active or inactive for the same or similar assets.
- Level 3 inputs – estimates using the best information available when there is little or no market.

As of June 30, 2022, the District’s investments in the Santa Clara County Treasury Investment Pool and State Investment Pools are uncategorized.

Note 4 - Commitments and Contingencies

Construction Commitments

As of June 30, 2022, the Measure S had the following commitments with respect to unfinished projects:

Project Name	Remaining Construction Commitment	Expected Date of Completion
Frost/Indigo Streetscape	\$ 2,308,870	January 2023
Stipe (Phase 1 of 2)	3,202,888	August 2022
Bernal Voluntary Seismic	1,649,428	August 2022
	<u>\$ 7,161,186</u>	

Litigation

The District is not currently a party to any legal proceedings related to the Building Fund (2008 Measure S) as of June 30, 2022.



Independent Auditor's Report
June 30, 2022

Oak Grove School District



**Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and
on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed
in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards***

Governing Board and
Citizens' Oversight Committee
Oak Grove School District
San Jose, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the accompanying financial statements of the Oak Grove School District (the "District") Building Fund (2008 Measure S) (the "Fund"), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2022, and the related notes of the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 6, 2022.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements of a portion of the Building Fund specific to 2008 Measure S are intended to present the financial position and the changes in financial position attributable to the transactions of that Fund. They do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the District as of June 30, 2022, and the changes in its financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Fund's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Fund's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Fund's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Report on Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Oak Grove School District's Building Fund (2008 Measure S) financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statement. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Eide Bailly LLP".

Menlo Park, California
December 6, 2022

None reported.

There were no audit findings reported in the prior year's Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.



Performance Audit
Building Fund (2008 Measure S)
June 30, 2022

Oak Grove School District



Independent Auditor's Report on Performance

Governing Board and
Citizens' Oversight Committee
Oak Grove School District
San Jose, California

We were engaged to conduct a performance audit of the Oak Grove School District (the "District"), Building Fund (2008 Measure S) (the "Fund") for the year ended June 30, 2022.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with the standards applicable to performance audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our conclusion based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our conclusions based on our audit objectives.

Our audit was limited to the objectives listed within the report which includes determining the District's compliance with the performance requirements as referred to in Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIII A, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution and Appendix A contained in the *2021-2022 Guide for Annual Audits of K-12 Local Education Agencies and State Compliance Reporting* issued by the California Education Audit Appeals Panel. Management is responsible for the District's compliance with those requirements.

In planning and performing our performance audit, we obtained an understanding of the District's internal control in order to determine if the internal controls were adequate to help ensure the District's compliance with the requirements of Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIII A, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion of the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

The results of our tests indicated that the District, in all significant respects, expended Building Fund (2008 Measure S) only for the specific projects approved by the voters, in accordance with Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIII A, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the District's Governing Board, and Citizens Oversight Committee, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Eide Bailly LLP".

Menlo Park, California
December 6, 2022

Authority for Issuance

The general obligation bonds associated with Building Fund (2008 Measure S) were issued pursuant to the Constitution and laws of the State of California (the State), including the provisions of Chapters 1 and 1.5 of Part 10 of the California Education Code, and other applicable provisions of law. The bonds are authorized to be issued by a resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the County on April 7, 2009, pursuant to a request of the District made by a resolution adopted by the Board of Education of the District on March 12, 2009.

The District received authorization from an election held on November 4, 2008, to issue bonds of the District in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$125,000,000 to finance specific construction and renovation projects approved by eligible voters within the District. The proposition required approval by at least 55 percent of the votes cast by eligible voters within the District. Seven series of bonds were issued totaling \$114,998,033 under the Measure S authorization.

Purpose of Issuance

The general obligation bond funds of the District would be used to finance the design, acquisition, installation, restoration and construction of public schools and school facilities and providing facilities improvements and upgrades, and the acquisition of one or more school sites, and related facilities costs, including, but not limited to, financing the following: renovation of student restrooms, classrooms, and locker rooms; repair and replacement of heating, upgrading of electrical systems and wiring to safely accommodate computers, technology and other electrical devices; repair and replacement of plumbing, sewer, and water pipes, fixtures and systems; replacement of emergency communications and security systems; demolition; seismic upgrades; asbestos and mold abatement; and, improved access for disabled persons. Project costs for expansion of existing facilities may include, but is not limited to, some or all of the following: site and/or other real property acquisition, including payments on or for interim financing, preparation, infrastructure and related expenses; construction or lease of temporary or permanent classrooms, instructional support and/or ancillary facilities. Project costs for furniture and equipment may include, but is not limited to some or all of the following: desks and tables; window and floor covering; computer, media recording and presentation equipment; cafeteria and food preparation equipment; science laboratory equipment; and/or other electronic equipment.

Authority for the Audit

On November 7, 2000, California voters approved Proposition 39, the Smaller Classes, Safer Schools and Financial Accountability Act. Proposition 39 amended portions of the California Constitution to provide for the issuance of general obligation bonds by school districts, community college districts, or county offices of education, "for the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of school facilities, including the furnishing and equipping of school facilities, or the acquisition or lease of rental property for school facilities", upon approval by 55 percent of the electorate. In addition to reducing the approval threshold from two-thirds to 55 percent, Proposition 39 and the enacting legislation (AB 1908 and AB 2659) requires the following accountability measures as codified in Education Code sections 15278-15282:

1. Requires that the proceeds from the sale of the bonds be used only for the purposes specified in Article XIII A, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution, and not for any other purpose, including teacher and administrator salaries and other school operating expenses.
2. The school district must list the specific school facilities projects to be funded in the ballot measure, and must certify that the governing board has evaluated safety, class size reduction and information technology needs in developing the project list.
3. Requires the school district to appoint a citizens' oversight committee.
4. Requires the school district to conduct an annual independent financial audit and performance audit in accordance with the *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States of the bond proceeds until all of the proceeds have been expended.
5. Requires the school district to conduct an annual independent performance audit to ensure that the funds have been expended only on the specific projects listed.

Objectives of the Audit

1. Determine whether expenditures charged to the Fund have been made in accordance with the bond project list approved by the voters through the approval of 2008 Measure S.
2. Determine whether salary transactions, charged to the Fund were in support of 2008 Measure S and not for District general administration or operations.

Scope of the Audit

The scope of our performance audit covered the period of July 1, 2021 to June 30, 2022. The population of expenditures tested included all object and project codes associated with the bond projects. The propriety of expenditures for capital projects and maintenance projects funded through other State or local funding sources, other than proceeds of the bonds, were not included within the scope of the audit. Expenditures incurred subsequent to June 30, 2022, were not reviewed or included within the scope of our audit or in this report.

Methodology

We obtained the general ledger and the project expenditure reports prepared by the District for the period July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022, for the Building Fund (2008 Measure S). Within the fiscal year audited, we obtained the actual invoices and other supporting documentation for a sample of expenditures to ensure compliance with the requirements of Article XIII A, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution and 2008 Measure S as to the approved bond projects list. We performed the following procedures:

1. We identified expenditures and projects charged to the general obligation bond proceeds by obtaining the general ledger and project listing.
2. We selected a sample of expenditures using the following criteria:
 - a. We considered all expenditures recorded in all object codes, including transfers out.
 - b. We considered all expenditures recorded in all projects that were funded from July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022 from Measure S bond proceeds.
 - c. We selected all expenditures that were individually significant expenditures. Individually significant expenditures were identified based on our assessment of materiality.
 - d. For all items below the individually significant threshold identified in item 2c, sampled expenditures based on risk assessment and consideration of coverage, and projects for period starting July 1, 2021 and ending June 30, 2022.
3. We examined 21 non-payroll related expenditures and 1 payroll transactions totaling \$4,209,814, which is 72.53 percent of the total expenditures of \$5,803,944.
4. We reviewed the actual invoices and other supporting documentation to determine that:
 - a. Expenditures were supported by invoices with evidence of proper approval and documentation of receipting goods or services.
 - b. Expenditures were expended in accordance with voter-approved bond project list.
 - c. Bond proceeds were not used for salaries of school administrators or other operating expenses of the District.
5. We determined that the District has met the compliance requirement of 2008 Measure S if the following conditions were met:
 - a. Supporting documents for expenditures were aligned with the voter-approved bond project list.
 - b. Supporting documents for expenditures were not used for salaries of school administrators or other operating expenses of the Districts.

Conclusion

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the Oak Grove School District has properly accounted for the expenditures held in the Building Fund (2008 Measure S) and that such expenditures were made for authorized Bond projects. Further, it was noted funds held in the Building Fund (2008 Measure S) and expended by the District were used for salaries only to the extent they perform administrative oversight work on construction projects as allowable per Opinion 04-110 issued on November 9, 2004 by the State of California Attorney General.

None reported

There were no audit findings reported in the prior year's Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.