

Comprehensive Needs Assessment 2023 - 2024 District Report



Laurens County

1. PLANNING AND PREPARATION

1.1 Identification of Team

The comprehensive needs assessment team consists of people who are responsible for working collaboratively throughout the needs assessment process. Ideal team members possess knowledge of programs, the capacity to plan and implement the needs assessment, and the ability to ensure stakeholder involvement. A required team member's name may be duplicated when multiple roles are performed by the same person. Documentation of team member involvement must be maintained by the LEA. Watch the <u>Planning and Preparation webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

Required Team Members

Program	Position/Role	Name
Multiple Program(s)	Superintendent/Assistant Superintendent	Mr. Clifford Garnto
Multiple Program(s)	Federal Programs Director	Mrs. Julie Dyar
Multiple Program(s)	Curriculum Director	Dr. Ronda Hightower
Multiple Program(s)	School Leader (#1)	Mr. Tim Allen
Multiple Program(s)	School Leader (#2)	Mrs. Kelly Dean
Multiple Program(s)	Teacher Representative (#1)	Mrs. Tori Norris
Multiple Program(s)	Teacher Representative (#2)	Mrs. Logan Edge
McKinney-Vento Homeless	Homeless Liaison	Mrs. Marquita Timmons
Neglected and Delinquent	N&D Coordinator	Mrs. Marquita Timmons
Rural	REAP Coordinator	Mrs. Julie Dyar
Special Education	Special Education Director	Ms. Stacey Sanders
Title I, Part A	Title I, Part A Director	Mrs. Julie Dyar
Title I, Part A	Family Engagement Coordinator	Mrs. Lynn Bowden
Title I, Part A - Foster Care	Foster Care Point of Contact	Mrs. Summer Faulk
Title II, Part A	Title II, Part A Coordinator	Mrs. Julie Dyar
Title III	Title III Director	Mrs. Julie Dyar
Title IV, Part A	Title IV, Part A Director	Mrs. Julie Dyar
Title I, Part C	Migrant Coordinator	Mrs. Julie Dyar

Recommended and Additional Team Members

Program	Position/Role	Name
Multiple Program(s)	Assistant Superintendent	Dr. OJ Hall
Multiple Program(s)	Testing Director	Mrs. Julie Dyar
Multiple Program(s)	Finance Director	Mrs. Mary McCollough
Multiple Program(s)	Other Federal Programs Coordinators	Mrs. Denise Taylor
Multiple Program(s)	CTAE Coordinator	Mr. Randy Gay
Multiple Program(s)	Student Support Personnel	Mrs. Dana Hall

Recommended and Additional Team Members

Program	Position/Role	Name
Multiple Program(s)	Principal Representatives	Mrs. Janelle Butler
Multiple Program(s)	High School Counselor / Academic Counselor	Mrs. Colleen Howard
Multiple Program(s)	Early Childhood or Head Start Coordinator	Mrs. Ronda Walker
Multiple Program(s)	Teacher Representatives	Mrs. Christa Hall
Multiple Program(s)	ESOL Teacher	Mrs. Ashlyn Williamson
Multiple Program(s)	Local School Governance Team Representative (Charter Systems only)	
Multiple Program(s)	ESOL Coordinator	Mrs. Ashlyn Williamson
21st CCLC	21st CCLC Program Director	
21st CCLC	21st CCLC Site Coordinator or Data Specialist	
Migrant	Preschool Teacher	
Special Education	Student Success Coach (SSIP)	Mrs. Jakimva Martin
Title II, Part A	Human Resources Director	Mr. Tim Passmore
Title II, Part A	Principal Supervisors	
Title II, Part A	Professional Learning Coordinators	Mrs. Glenda Thompson
Title II, Part A	Bilingual Parent Liaisons	Mrs. Isabel Mendoza
Title II, Part A	Professional Organizations	
Title II, Part A	Civil Rights Organizations	
Title II, Part A	Board of Education Members	
Title II, Part A	Local Elected/Government Officials	
Title II, Part A	The General Public	Mrs. Connie Howell
Title III	Refugee Support Service Staff	
Title III	Community Adult ESOL Providers	
Title III	Representatives from Businesses Employing Non-English Speakers	Mrs. Tany Rogers
Title IV, Part A	Media Specialists/Librarians	
Title IV, Part A	Technology Experts	Mr. Lance Smith
Title IV, Part A	Faith-Based Community Leaders	

1. PLANNING AND PREPARATION

1.2 Identification of Stakeholders

Stakeholders are those individuals with valuable experiences and perspective who will provide the team with important input, feedback, and guidance. Required stakeholders must be engaged in the process to meet the requirements of participating federal programs. Documentation of stakeholder involvement must be maintained by the LEA. Watch the <u>Planning and Preparation</u> webinar for additional information and guidance.

Required Stakeholders

Program	Position/Role	Name
Multiple Program(s)	Students (8th - 12th grade)	Miss Jamie Norris
Multiple Program(s)	Private School Officials	NA
Migrant	Out-of-School Youth and/or Drop-outs	Mrs. Isabel Mendoza
Title I, Part A	Parent Representatives of Title I Students	Mr. James Norris
Title I, Part A - Foster Care	Local DFCS Contacts	Mrs. Cecilia Schenck
Title II, Part A	Principals	Mrs. Kelly Dean
Title II, Part A	Teachers	Mrs. Shelia Covington
Title II, Part A	Paraprofessionals	Mrs. Graciela Parra
Title II, Part A	Specialized Instructional Support	Mrs. Denise Taylor
	Personnel	
Title II, Part A	Other Organizations or Partners with	Mrs. Christi Warren - Neglected and
	relevant and demonstrated expertise	Delinquent Home Director
Title III, Part A	Parents of English Learners	Mrs. Graciela Parra

Recommended and Additional Stakeholders

Program	Position/Role	Name
Multiple Program(s)	RESA Personnel	Mrs. Connie Howell
Multiple Program(s)	Technical, College, or University Personnel	
Multiple Program(s)	Parent Advisory Council Members, School Council Parents, Parent - Teacher Association or Parent - Teacher Organization Members	
21st CCLC	21st CCLC Advisory Council Members	
Migrant	Local Head Start Representatives (regular and/or migrant Head Start agencies)	
Migrant	Migrant PAC Members	
Migrant	Local Farmer, Grower, or Employer	
Migrant	Family Connection Representatives	

Recommended and Additional Stakeholders

Program	Position/Role	Name
Migrant	Local Migrant Workers or Migrant	
	Community Leaders	
Migrant	Farm Worker Health Personnel	
Migrant	Food Bank Representatives	
Migrant	Boys and Girls Club Representatives	
Migrant	Local Health Department	
	Representatives	
Migrant	ABAC MEP Consortium Staff	
Migrant	Migrant High School Equivalence	
	Program / GED Representatives	
Migrant	College Assistance Migrant Programs	
Neglected and Delinquent	Residential Facility(ies) Director(s)	
Special Education	Parents of a Student with Disabilities	
Special Education	Parent Mentors	
Title II, Part A	School Council Members	

How did the team ensure that the selection	Stakeholders were chosen based on their varied roles and perspectives in
of stakeholders created an inclusive group	relation to LCSS. Representatives from various grades, SPED, counseling,
with varied perspectives?	technology, administration, parents from the communities, resource officers,
	social worker, community business leaders, and, of course, administrators and
	district office personnel were all included in the collection of meaningful data
	and in providing input in the process.

How will the team ensure that stakeholders, and in particular parents and/or guardians,	The student, parent, teacher, leader, and other stakeholder surveys were available for all to complete on Survey Monkey. Paper copies were made
were able to provide meaningful input into	available as requested and translated copies were made available as it was
the needs assessment process?	reasonable. Stakeholders were allowed to remain anonymous if they chose.
_	Other feedback was obtained through interviews and staff and stakeholder
	meetings. All input was recorded and analyzed by the team.

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.1 Coherent Instructional System

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of instructional needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia District Performance Standard (GDPS). See the <u>Coherent Instructional System webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

Coherent Instruction Data

GDPS - Learning and Teaching (Standard 1): Engages and supports all schools in systematic processes for curriculum design to align instruction and assessments with the required standards		
1. Exemplary	The district continuously engages and supports all schools in systematic processes for	
	curriculum design to align instruction and assessment with the required standards.	
	District staff work to build the capcity of school staff to lead curriculum design efforts.	
2. Operational	The district engages and supports all schools in systematic processes for curriculum	\checkmark
	design to align instruction and assessments with the required standards.	
3. Emerging	The district processes for engaging and supporting schools in curriculum design	
	without district process or support.	
4. Not Evident	District schools are left to work in isolation on curriculum design without district	
	processes or support.	

GDPS - Learning and Teaching (Standard 2): Develops and communicates common expectations for implementing curriculum, instruction, and assessment practices across all schools.		
1. Exemplary	A clear understanding of common expectation fosters a culture of results-based practices in curriculum, instruction, and assessment throughout the district with appropriate flexibility for schools to address specific needs as they arise.	
2. Operational	The district develops and communicates common expectations for implementing curriculum, instruction, and assessment practices across all schools.	√
3. Emerging	The district expectations for implementing curriculum, instruction, or assessment practices are not fully developed or are not clearly communicated to all schools.	
4. Not Evident	The district has not developed or communicated expectations for implementing curriculum, instruction, or assessment practices.	

Coherent Instruction Data

GDPS - Learning and Teaching (Standard 3): Guides, supports, and evaluates the implementation of curriculum, instruction, and assessments		
1. Exemplary	The district provides a collaborative and systematic approach for guiding, supporting and evaluating the implementation of curriculum, instruction, and assessments. District staff build the capacity of school level staff to evaluate the implementation of curriculum, instruction, and assessments.	
2. Operational	The district effectively guides, supports, and evaluates the implementation of curriculum, instruction, and assessments.	√
3. Emerging	The district provides limited guidance and support for evaluating the implementation of curriculum, instruction, and assessments.	
4. Not Evident	The district does not take an effective role in guiding, supporting, or evaluating the implementation of curriculum, instruction, or assessments.	

GDPS - Learning and Teaching (Standard 6): Guides and supports schools in the selection and implementation of effectiv strategies, programs, and interventions to improve student learning		
1. Exemplary	The district systematically provides guidance and ongoing support to schools in the selection and implementation of effective strategies, programs, and interventions to improve student learning.	
2. Operational	The district guides and supports schools in the selection and implementation of effective strategies, programs, and interventions to improve student learning.	√
3. Emerging	The district provides some limited guidance and support in the selection or implementation of effective strategies, programs, and interventions to improve student learning.	
4. Not Evident	The district provides little or no support or guidance in the selection or implementation of effective strategies, programs, and interventions. The district may require or allow some inappropriate strategies, programs, or interventions.	

Leader Keys Effectiveness System- Standard

Standard	Score
1. Instructional Leadership: The leader fosters the success of all students by facilitating the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of a shared vision of teaching and learning that leads to school improvement.	
3. Planning and Assessment: The leader effectively gathers, analyzes, and uses a variety of data to inform planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures.	
4. Organizational Management: The leader fosters the success of all students by supporting, managing, and overseeing the school's organization, operation, and use of resources.	
Note: State calculations not completed for 2020-2021, 2021-2022, and 2022-2023. Use local data as needed. Visit GaD Teacher and Leader Effectiveness webpage for the <u>Leader Keys Effectiveness System rubric.</u> 	

Teacher Keys Effectiveness System- Standard

Standard	Score
2. Instructional Planning: The teacher plans using state and local school district curricula and standards,	
effective strategies, resources, and data to address the differentiated needs of all students.	
3. Instructional Strategies: The teacher promotes student learning by using research-based instructional	
strategies relevant to the content area to engage students in active learning and to facilitate the students'	
acquisition of key knowledge and skills.	
Note: State calculations not completed for 2020-2021, 2021-2022, and 2022-2023. Use local data as needed. Visit	GaDOE
Teacher and Leader Effectiveness webpage for the <u>Teacher Keys Effectiveness System rubric</u> .	_
4. Differentiated Instruction: The teacher challenges and supports each student's learning by providing	
appropriate content and developing skills which address individual learning differences.	
5. Assessment Strategies: The teacher systematically chooses a variety of diagnostic, formative, and summative	
assessment strategies and instruments that are valid and appropriate for the content and student population.	
6. Assessment Uses: The teacher systematically gathers, analyzes, and uses relevant data to measure student	
progress, to inform instruction content and delivery methods, and to provide timely and constructive feedback	
to both students and parents.	
8. Academically Challenging Environment: The teacher creates a student-centered, academic environment in	
which teaching and learning occur at high levels and students are self-directed learners.	
Note: State calculations not completed for 2020-2021, 2021-2022, and 2022-2023. Use local data as needed. Visit GaDOE	
Teacher and Leader Effectiveness webpage for the Teacher Keys Effectiveness System rubric. 	

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.2 Effective Leadership

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of leadership needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia District Performance Standard (GDPS). See the Effective Leadership webinar for additional information and guidance.

Effective Leadership Data

GDPS - Allocation and Management of Resources (Standard 1): Administers a clearly defined, collaborative, data-driven budget process that ensures the equitable, efficient, and transparent distribution of resources to support learning and teaching		
1. Exemplary	The well-established budget process allows input from departments and programs and is driven by the needs of the schools and district. Various funding sources are efficiently maximized at the district and school levels.	
2. Operational	The budget process is clearly defined, collaborative, and data-driven, resulting in the equitable, efficient, and transparent distribution of resources to support learning and teaching.	1
3. Emerging	A budget process is in place, but it does not consistently include collaborative, data- driven decisions. In some instances, resource distribution in the district lacks efficiency, equity, or transparency.	
4. Not Evident	Across the district, individual departments and programs develop budgets in isolation resulting in gaps, duplication, or poor cost effectiveness. District staff serve primarily as controllers of funds and provide little or no assistance to schools on the funding of plans.	

GDPS - Allocation and Management of Resources (Standard 2): Allocates and monitors the use of time, materials, equipment, and fiscal resources to support learning and teaching

	apport fourning and fourning	
1. Exemplary	The district allocates and continually monitors the use of time, materials, equipment, and fiscal resources to address both immediate and long-term goals to ensure resources are maximized to support learning and teaching.	
2. Operational	The district allocates and regularly monitors the effective use of time, materials, equipment, and fiscal resources to ensure that they are utilized to support learning and teaching.	√
3. Emerging	The district inconsistently allocates and monitors the use of time, materials, equipment, and fiscal resources to support learning and teaching.	
4. Not Evident	The district does little to allocate or monitor effectively the use of time, materials, equipment, or fiscal resources to support learning and teaching.	

Effective Leadership Data

GDPS - Governance (Standard 1): Builds support for district and school goals and initiatives by engaging stakeholders, including school board members, to improve learning and teaching		
1. Exemplary	Stakeholders, including school board members, take leadership roles in advancing	
	district and school goals and initiatives that improve learning and teaching.	
2. Operational	The district consistently engages stakeholders, including school board members, in	\checkmark
	supporting district and school goals and initiatives that improve learning and teaching.	
3. Emerging	The district provides some opportunities for a range of stakeholders to be engaged in	
	supporting goals and initiatives that will improve learning and teaching.	
4. Not Evident	Engagement of stakeholders is limited or nonexistent, or the engagement occurs with	
	issues that do not impact learning and teaching.	

GDPS - Governance (Standard 2): Uses an established process to align policies, procedures, and practices with laws regulations		vs and
1. Exemplary	A systematic and collaborative process is used for ongoing development, revision, and alignment of policies, procedures, and practices with laws and regulations.	
2. Operational	The district regularly uses an established process to align policies, procedures, and practices with laws and regulations.	√
3. Emerging	A process to align policies, procedures, and practices with laws and regulations is not comprehensive or is not used on a regular basis.	
4. Not Evident	A process is not in use to align policies, procedures, and practices with laws and regulations.	

GDPS - Governance (Standard 4): Grants defined flexibility, based on results, to school leaders to address individual school needs to improve learning and teaching		
1. Exemplary	Flexibility granted to school leaders, based upon sustained high performance, is well	
	defined, reviewed periodically, and fully supports the improvement of learning and teaching.	
2. Operational	The district grants defined flexibility, based on results, to school leaders to address	√
	individual school needs to improve learning and teaching.	
3. Emerging	The district grants limited flexibility, or the flexibility that is given does not allow	
	leaders to improve learning and teaching sufficiently.	
4. Not Evident	The district grants little or no flexibility or inappropriate flexibility to school leaders to	
	improve learning and teaching.	

Effective Leadership Data

GDPS - Leader, Teacher, and Staff Effectiveness (Standard 5): Organizes and provides personnel, expertise, and services achieve district and individual school goals		ervices to
1. Exemplary	The organization and strategic allocation of personnel, expertise, and services lead to the achievement of district and individual school goals. The district is focused on building the capacity and expertise of school and district staff to solve problems and perform at high levels.	
2. Operational	The organization and allocation of personnel, expertise, and services are sufficient to achieve district and individual school goals.	√
3. Emerging	The organization or allocation of personnel, expertise, and services is provided intermittently or on a short- term basis as a solution for immediate, pressing needs.	
4. Not Evident	The organization or allocation of personnel, expertise, and services does not effectively support the needs of the district and schools.	

GDPS - Planning, Organizing, and Monitoring (Standard 1): Uses a collaborative, data-driven planning process at the district and school levels for improving student learning		
1. Exemplary	A collaborative, data-driven planning process results in aligned, comprehensive plans at the district and school levels for improving student learning.	
2. Operational	At the district and school levels, staffs engage in a collaborative, data-driven planning process to improve student learning.	√
3. Emerging	At the district and school levels, staffs engage in a planning process to improve student learning, but limitations exist with data analysis, collaboration, or other issues.	
4. Not Evident	A collaborative, data-driven planning process for improving student learning is not in place at the district or school levels.	

GDPS - Planning, Organizing, and Monitoring (Standard 2): Uses protocols and processes for problem solving, decision-making, and removing barriers		
1. Exemplary	The district uses and reviews established protocols and processes for problem solving, decision-making, and removing barriers on a regular basis. Contingency plans are developed for unlikely occurrences.	
2. Operational	The district uses protocols and processes for problem solving, decision-making, and removing barriers.	√
3. Emerging	District use of protocols and processes for problem solving, decision-making, or removing barriers is limited or inconsistent.	
4. Not Evident	The district does not use protocols or processes for problem solving, decision- making or removing barriers.	

Effective Leadership Data

GDPS - Planning, Organizing, and Monitoring (Standard 3): Uses processes to monitor and provide timely guidance, suppor and feedback to individual schools as they implement improvement plans and initiatives		
1. Exemplary	The district has ongoing, comprehensive processes in place to monitor and provide guidance, support and feedback to individual schools as they implement improvement plans, programs or initiatives. The district builds the capacity of school level staff to monitor the implementation and effectiveness of improvement plans, programs, and initiatives.	
2. Operational	The district uses processes to monitor and provide timely guidance, support, and feedback to individual schools as they implement improvement plans and initiatives.	√
3. Emerging	The district has some limited processes in place to monitor and provide guidance, support, and feedback to schools as they implement improvement plans and initiatives.	
4. Not Evident	The district does not use structured processes for monitoring or providing guidance, support, or feedback to individual schools as they implement improvement plans or initiatives.	

GDPS - Vision and Mission (Standard 1): Creates and communicates a collaboratively-developed district vision, mission, and core beliefs that focus on preparing all students for college and career readiness

	preparing an stadents for conege and career readiness	
1. Exemplary	The collaboratively-developed vision, mission, and core beliefs that emphasize preparing all students for college and career readiness are continuously and clearly	
	communicated to all stakeholders and are pervasive in the culture and daily actions of the district.	
2. Operational	The collaboratively-developed vision, mission, and core beliefs that emphasize preparing all students for college and career readiness have been created and communicated to stakeholders and are evident in most across the district.	√
3. Emerging	The vision, mission, and core beliefs have been developed with some emphasis on preparing students for college and career readiness, buy may have weakness due to insufficient collaboration with stakeholders, poor communication, or other limitations.	
4. Not Evident	The vision, mission, and core beliefs may not exist or may not focus on preparing students for college and career readiness.	

Leader Keys Effectiveness System- Standard

Standard	Score
1. Instructional Leadership: The leader fosters the success of all students by facilitating the development,	
communication, implementation, and evaluation of a shared vision of teaching and learning that leads to school	
improvement.	
2. School Climate: The leader promotes the success of all students by developing, advocating, and sustaining an	
academically rigorous, positive, and safe school climate for all stakeholders.	

Leader Keys Effectiveness System- Standard

Standard	Score
3. Planning and Assessment: The leader effectively gathers, analyzes, and uses a variety of data to inform	
planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures.	
4. Organizational Management: The leader fosters the success of all students by supporting, managing, and	
overseeing the school's organization, operation, and use of resources.	
5. Human Resources Management: The leader fosters effective human resources management through the	
selection, induction, support, and retention of quality instructional and support personnel.	
6. Teacher/Staff Evaluation: The leader fairly and consistently evaluates school personnel in accordance with	
state and district guidelines and provides them with timely and constructive feedback focused on improved	
student learning.	
7. Professionalism: The leader fosters the success of students by demonstrating professional standards and	
ethics, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.	
8. Communication and Community Relations: The leader fosters the success of all students by communicating	
and collaborating effectively with stakeholders.	
Note: State calculations not completed for 2020-2021, 2021-2022, and 2022-2023. Use local data as needed. Visit	GaDOE
Teacher and Leader Effectiveness webpage for the Leader Keys Effectiveness System rubric.	

Teacher Keys Effectiveness System- Standard

Standard	Score
9. Professionalism: The teacher exhibits a commitment to professional ethics and the school's mission,	
participates in professional growth opportunities to support student learning, and contributes to the profession.	
Note: State calculations not completed for 2020-2021, 2021-2022, and 2022-2023. Use local data as needed. Visit	GaDOE
Teacher and Leader Effectiveness webpage for the <u>Teacher Keys Effectiveness System rubric.</u>	

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.3 Professional Capacity

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of professional capacity needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia District Performance Standard (GDPS). See the <u>Professional Capacity webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

Professional Capacity Data

GDPS - Leader, Teacher, and Staff Effectiveness (Standard 2): Establishes and implements processes that increase the effectiveness of teachers, leaders, and staff		
1. Exemplary	Comprehensive data-driven processes that increase the effectiveness of leaders,	
	teachers, and other staff are pervasive in the district and result in a culture of	
	measurable, continuous improvement.	
2. Operational	Processes that increase the effectiveness of leaders, teachers, and staff have been	\checkmark
	established and consistently implemented throughout the district.	
3. Emerging	Processes that increase the effectiveness of leaders, teachers, and staff are not fully	
	developed or are implemented unevenly or inconsistently across the district.	
4. Not Evident	Few, if any, processes to increase the effectiveness of leaders, teachers, and staff have	
	been developed or successfully implemented in the district.	

GDPS - Leader, Teacher, and Staff Effectiveness (Standard 3): Guides and monitors the use of a state approved evaluation system to ensure fidelity of implementation and to evaluate accurately the effectiveness of district and school leaders, teacher and staff		
1. Exemplary	The district collects and analyzes comprehensive data from the state-approved evaluation system to inform staff retention, salaries, and professional learning throughout the district.	
2. Operational	The district guides and monitors a state-approved evaluation system to ensure fidelity of implementation and to evaluate accurately the effectiveness of district and school leaders, teachers, and staff.	~
3. Emerging	The district offers some guidance for the implementation of a state- approved evaluation system, but some parts of the system are not implemented with fidelity or could benefit from more support or monitoring.	
4. Not Evident	The district does little to guide or monitor the implementation of a state-approved evaluation system.	

Professional Capacity Data

GDPS - Learning and Teaching (Standard 4): Ensures that professional learning is relevant and addresses adult and stude needs		id student
1. Exemplary	The district fosters a culture of systematic, quality, and relevant professional learning that consistently addresses the needs of its adults and its students.	
2. Operational	The district ensures that professional learning at the school and district levels is relevant and addresses adult and student needs.	√
3. Emerging	The professional learning at the school and district levels is not consistently relevant or is not consistently linked to adult or student needs.	
4. Not Evident	The professional learning at the school and district levels is not relevant and does not address adult or student needs.	

GDPS - Learning and Teaching (Standard 5): Assesses the impact of professional learning on staff practices and studen learning and makes adjustments as needed		
1. Exemplary	The impact of professional learning on staff practices and student learning is systematically monitored at the district and school levels by examining performance data throughout the year and timely, appropriate adjustments are made as needed.	
2. Operational	The impact of professional learning on staff practices and student learning is assessed and adjustments are made as needed.	√
3. Emerging	The impact of professional learning on staff practices or student learning is assessed on a limited or inconsistent basis, or appropriate adjustments are not always made.	
4. Not Evident	The impact of professional learning on staff practices or student learning is not assessed by district or school staff.	

Leader Keys Effectiveness System- Standard

Standard	Score
4. Organizational Management: The leader fosters the success of all students by supporting, managing, and	
overseeing the school's organization, operation, and use of resources.	
5. Human Resources Management: The leader fosters effective human resources management through the	
selection, induction, support, and retention of quality instructional and support personnel.	
6. Teacher/Staff Evaluation: The leader fairly and consistently evaluates school personnel in accordance with	
state and district guidelines and provides them with timely and constructive feedback focused on improved	
student learning.	
7. Professionalism: The leader fosters the success of students by demonstrating professional standards and	
ethics, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.	
8. Communication and Community Relations: The leader fosters the success of all students by communicating	
and collaborating effectively with stakeholders.	
Note: State calculations not completed for 2020-2021, 2021-2022, and 2022-2023. Use local data as needed. Visit	GaDOE
Teacher and Leader Effectiveness webpage for the Leader Keys Effectiveness System rubric.	

Teacher Keys Effectiveness System- Standard

Standard	Score
1. Professional Knowledge: The teacher demonstrates an understanding of the curriculum, subject content,	
pedagogical knowledge, and the needs of students by providing relevant learning experiences.	
9. Professionalism: The teacher exhibits a commitment to professional ethics and the school's mission,	
participates in professional growth opportunities to support student learning, and contributes to the profession.	
10. Communication: The teacher communicates effectively with students, parents or guardians, district and	
school personnel, and other stakeholders in ways that enhance student learning.	
Note: State calculations not completed for 2020-2021, 2021-2022, and 2022-2023. Use local data as needed. Visit C	
Teacher and Leader Effectiveness webpage for the <u>Teacher Keys Effectiveness System rubric.</u>	

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.4 Family and Community Engagement

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of needs related to family and community engagement. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia District Performance Standard (GDPS). See the <u>Family and Community Engagement webinar</u> for additional information and guidance. Visit Georgia's Family Connection Partnership's <u>KIDS COUNT</u> for additional data.

Family and Community Engagement Data

GDPS - Family and Community Engagement (Standard 1): Establishes and communicates district-wide expectations schools to engage families and the community to support learning and teaching		
1. Exemplary	Expectations for family and community engagement are embedded in the culture and result in family and community members being active supporters of student learning and teaching throughout the district.	
2. Operational	Expectations for schools to engage families and the community to support learning and teaching are established and communicated throughout the district.	√
3. Emerging	Expectations for family and community engagement are inconsistent, varying from school to school, or are unevenly communicated across the district.	
4. Not Evident	Expectations for family and community engagement have not been established across the district.	

GDPS - Family and Community Engagement (Standard 2): Establishes structures which promote clear and open communication between schools and stakeholders		
1. Exemplary	The district implements and continuously monitors structures for reliable, ongoing, and interactive communication between the schools and stakeholders.	
2. Operational	Structures which promote clear and open communication between schools and stakeholders have been effectively established.	√
3. Emerging	The district structures between schools and stakeholders result in communication that sometimes may not be consistent, clear, or timely.	
4. Not Evident	Structures which promote clear and open communication between schools and stakeholders have not been effectively established or implemented.	

GDPS - Family and Community Engagement (Standard 3): Ensures that families and community members have feedback an		
problem-solving opportunities throughout the district		
1. Exemplary	The district engages family and community members to take leadership roles in	
	feedback and problem- solving activities throughout the district.	
2. Operational	The district ensures that family and community members routinely have feedback and	√
	problem-solving opportunities throughout the district.	
3. Emerging	Opportunities for family and community members to be involved in feedback and	
	problem-solving are limited or inconsistently provided across the district.	
4. Not Evident	Opportunities for family and community feedback and involvement in	
	problem-solving seldom occur in the district.	

Family and Community Engagement Data

GDPS - Governance (S	GDPS - Governance (Standard 3): Communicates district policies and procedures in a timely manner to relevant audiences		
1. Exemplary	Strategic, comprehensive processes and protocols are in place for clearly and continuously communicating policies and procedures in a timely manner to all stakeholders.		
2. Operational	The district consistently communicates policies and procedures in a timely manner to relevant audiences.	√	
3. Emerging	Communication of policies and procedures to relevant audiences is sometimes inadequate or inconsistent.		
4. Not Evident	Communication of district policies and procedures to relevant audiences is very limited or ineffective.		

GDPS - Vision and Mission (Standard 2): Fosters, within the district and broader community, a culture of trust, collaboration and joint responsibility for improving learning and teaching		ollaboration,
1. Exemplary	The actions of the district are well established and have created a strong culture of trust, collaboration, and shared responsibility for improving learning and teaching within the district and in the broader community. Processes and procedures are pervasive in the district and schools to support the district's vision and mission.	
2. Operational	The actions of the district effectively foster a culture of trust, collaboration, and shared responsibility for improving learning and teaching within the district and broader community. Processes and procedures are implemented to support the district's vision and mission.	V
3. Emerging	The actions of the district are inconsistent in fostering a culture of trust, collaboration, and shared responsibility for improving learning and teaching. Some effective processes and procedures are used to support the district's vision and mission.	
4. Not Evident	The actions of the district do not foster a culture of trust, collaboration, and shared responsibility for improving learning and teaching. Few, if any, effective processes and procedures are used to support the district's vision and mission.	

Leader Keys Effectiveness System- Standard

Standard	Score
2. School Climate: The leader promotes the success of all students by developing, advocating, and sustaining an	
academically rigorous, positive, and safe school climate for all stakeholders.	
8. Communication and Community Relations: The leader fosters the success of all students by communicating	
and collaborating effectively with stakeholders.	
Note: State calculations not completed for 2020-2021, 2021-2022, and 2022-2023. Use local data as needed. Visit GaDOE	
Teacher and Leader Effectiveness webpage for the Leader Keys Effectiveness System rubric.	

Teacher Keys Effectiveness System- Standard

Standard	Score
10. Communication: The teacher communicates effectively with students, parents or guardians, district and	
school personnel, and other stakeholders in ways that enhance student learning.	
Note: State calculations not completed for 2020-2021, 2021-2022, and 2022-2023. Use local data as needed. Visit GaDOE	
Teacher and Leader Effectiveness webpage for the <u>Teacher Keys Effectiveness System rubric</u> .	

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.5 Supportive Learning Environment

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of needs related to a supportive learning environment. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia District Performance Standard (GDPS). Student subgroups with a count of less than 15 are denoted by "TFS" (too few students). See the <u>Supportive Learning Environment webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

Supportive Learning Environment Data

GDPS - Allocation and Management of Resources (Standard 3): Develops and implements processes to maintain facilities and equipment to ensure an environment, which is safe and conducive to learning		
1. Exemplary	The district has a comprehensive schedule for ongoing, proactive maintenance of	
	facilities and equipment. Repairs and services are provided in a timely manner and do not disrupt the learning environment.	
2. Operational	The district develops and implements effective processes to maintain facilities and	\checkmark
	equipment to ensure an environment which is safe and conducive to learning.	
3. Emerging	Irregular or insufficient processes are in place to maintain facilities and equipment to	
	ensure an environment which is safe and conducive to learning.	
4. Not Evident	The district has done little to develop or implement processes to maintain facilities	
	and equipment to ensure an environment which is safe and conducive to learning.	

GDPS - Allocation and Management of Resources (Standard 4): Provides, coordinates, and monitors student support system and services		
1. Exemplary	The district provides, coordinates, and systematically monitors a comprehensive, accessible array of services to meet the educational, physical, social and emotional needs of its students.	
2. Operational	The district provides, coordinates, and monitors student support systems and services.	
3. Emerging	The district provides some student services, but improvements are needed in some areas such as program coordination and monitoring.	
4. Not Evident	The district has systemic problems with providing, coordinating, or monitoring student support systems or services.	

Supportive Learning Environment Data

GDPS - Leader, Teacher, and Staff Effectiveness (Standard 4): Defines the roles, responsibilities, skill sets, and expectations of leaders at all levels of the district to improve student learning and staff performance		
1. Exemplary	Actions of leaders throughout the district reflect a deep understanding of their	
	leadership roles, responsibilities, and expectations. Leaders demonstrate the	
	appropriate skill sets necessary to improve student learning and staff performance.	
2. Operational	The district defines the roles, responsibilities, skill sets, and expectations of leaders at	√
	all levels to increase student learning and staff performance.	
3. Emerging	The general roles, responsibilities, skill sets, or expectations for leaders are not fully	
	developed by the district.	
4. Not Evident	Leader roles, responsibilities, skill sets, and expectations are not defined or are not	
	up-to-date at the school or district levels.	

Leader Keys Effectiveness System- Standard

Standard	Score
1. Instructional Leadership: The leader fosters the success of all students by facilitating the development,	
communication, implementation, and evaluation of a shared vision of teaching and learning that leads to school	
improvement.	
2. School Climate: The leader promotes the success of all students by developing, advocating, and sustaining an	
academically rigorous, positive, and safe school climate for all stakeholders.	
3. Planning and Assessment: The leader effectively gathers, analyzes, and uses a variety of data to inform	
planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures.	
4. Organizational Management: The leader fosters the success of all students by supporting, managing, and	
overseeing the school's organization, operation, and use of resources.	
5. Human Resources Management: The leader fosters effective human resources management through the	
selection, induction, support, and retention of quality instructional and support personnel.	
6. Teacher/Staff Evaluation: The leader fairly and consistently evaluates school personnel in accordance with	
state and district guidelines and provides them with timely and constructive feedback focused on improved	
student learning.	
7. Professionalism: The leader fosters the success of students by demonstrating professional standards and	
ethics, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.	
8. Communication and Community Relations: The leader fosters the success of all students by communicating	
and collaborating effectively with stakeholders.	
Note: State calculations not completed for 2020-2021, 2021-2022, and 2022-2023. Use local data as needed. Visit	GaDOE
Teacher and Leader Effectiveness webpage for the Leader Keys Effectiveness System rubric.	

Teacher Keys Effectiveness System- Standard

Standard	Score
1. Professional Knowledge: The teacher demonstrates an understanding of the curriculum, subject content,	
pedagogical knowledge, and the needs of students by providing relevant learning experiences.	
2. Instructional Planning: The teacher plans using state and local school district curricula and standards,	
effective strategies, resources, and data to address the differentiated needs of all students.	
3. Instructional Strategies: The teacher promotes student learning by using research-based instructional	
strategies relevant to the content area to engage students in active learning and to facilitate the students' acquisition of key knowledge and skills.	
4. Differentiated Instruction: The teacher challenges and supports each student's learning by providing	
appropriate content and developing skills which address individual learning differences.	
5. Assessment Strategies: The teacher systematically chooses a variety of diagnostic, formative, and summative	
assessment strategies and instruments that are valid and appropriate for the content and student population.	
6. Assessment Uses: The teacher systematically gathers, analyzes, and uses relevant data to measure student	
progress, to inform instruction content and delivery methods, and to provide timely and constructive feedback	
to both students and parents.	
7. Positive Learning Environment: The teacher provides a well-managed, safe, and orderly environment that is	
conducive to learning and encourages respect for all.	
8. Academically Challenging Environment: The teacher creates a student-centered, academic environment in	
which teaching and learning occur at high levels and students are self-directed learners.	
9. Professionalism: The teacher exhibits a commitment to professional ethics and the school's mission,	
participates in professional growth opportunities to support student learning, and contributes to the profession.	
10. Communication: The teacher communicates effectively with students, parents or guardians, district and	
school personnel, and other stakeholders in ways that enhance student learning.	
Note: State calculations not completed for 2020-2021, 2021-2022, and 2022-2023. Use local data as needed. Visit	GaDOE
Teacher and Leader Effectiveness webpage for the <u>Teacher Keys Effectiveness System rubric</u> .	

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.6 Data Analysis Questions

Analyze the LEA's data and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of demographic and financial needs. Student subgroups with a count of less than 15 are denoted by "TFS" (too few students).

What perception data did you use?	Parent Surveys
[examples: student perceptions about school	Teacher Surveys
climate issues (health survey, violence,	ELL Surveys
prejudice, bullying, etc.); student/parent	Leadership Surveys
perceptions about the effectiveness of	PBIS Surveys
programs or interventions; student	
understanding of relationship of school to	
career or has an academic plan]	

What does the perception data tell you?	Based on the Spring 2023 parent survey a large portion of parents believe the
(perception data can describe people's	LCSS engages the parents and community in an productive manner.
knowledge, attitudes, beliefs, perceptions,	Stakeholders tend to be more actively involved when meetings are geared
competencies; perception data can also	toward student recognition or nonacademic events. Although LCSS
answer the question "What do people think	encourages stakeholder involvement, participation in school improvement,
they know, believe, or can do?")	leadership, and collaboration meetings for student success is less frequent
	attended. Parents indicated that they would mostly likely attend an Open
	House or Parent Conference at their students' school. Parents often cited
	transportation and time as a barrier to attending meetings. However, parents
	do indicate that the schools do provide numerous opportunities for
	engagement and that school are friendly and welcoming. When asked about
	topics that they as parents would like for LCSS teachers to have more training
	in, all grade levels indicated that building relationships with parents and
	reaching out, communicating and working with parents to build student
	achievement was a need. Topics that parents would like additional training on
	are resources to help with homework and understanding assessments. Parents
	also indicate that reading and writing continue to be a focus for academics in
	the schools.
	Laurens County teachers believe LCSS has strong procedures in place for
	maintaining the safety and well-being of students and staff. Processes such as
	RTI, SST, ASPIRE, and Mid-year SIP Report Outs have proven effective in the
	continued incorporation of consistent accountability for monitoring systems
	of supports. Based on surveys, behavior problems are perceived as an issue and
	will be addressed through training and the PBIS process. Teacher stakeholder
	engagement is strong although more involvement in decision making and
	leadership is needed. School resources are improving but teachers and students
	feel continued improvement is needed. More student centered activities versus
	teacher centered, differentiation and small group instruction are needed in
	classrooms. The use of collaboratively analyzed assessment results to adjust
	instruction has been a reoccurring need over the past several years and
	continues to show on FY23 surveys. The use of Professional Learning
	Communities weekly, the continued use of instructional coaches, and the

i	
	provision of lesson plan feedback have proven to be important supports for
	classroom teachers in the past, however, the current FY23 survey indicates that
	there maybe a need arising with initiating and sustaining change to improve
	staff performance and student learning. The percentages of staff that feel like
	administrators initiate change to improve staff performance and student
	learning but do not sustain the change, remove barriers, or both has increased
	in the past year as compared to previous years.
	Laurens County surveyed the ELL staff and regular education teachers in the
	Spring of FY23. The ELL staff and regular education teachers rated the
	coordination of services for ELL students as a strength but rated the system as
	weak in providing communication to parents. Regular education teachers also
	requested more professional learning on instructional strategies that will help
	ELL student to be successful in their classrooms.

What process data did you use? (examples:	Training Feedback
student participation in school activities,	Mid-Year Principal Report Out Feedback Forms,
sports, clubs, arts; student participation in	School Improvement Plans
special programs such as peer mediation,	District CNA Data Collection Survey
counseling, skills conferences;	School CNA Data Collection Survey
parent/student participation in events such	LCSS Survey of Supplemental Services
as college information meetings and parent	
workshops)	

What does the process data tell you?	While District CNA Data Collection Surveys indicate that Laurens County
(process data describes the way programs are	
conducted; provides evidence of participant	few standards that indicate a need for improvement. For example, when
involvement in programs; answers the	looking at 3-year trend data, two of the Allocation and Management of
question "What did you do for whom?")	Resources standards have shown a shift in satisfaction for the district's
	stakeholders. The first standard indicates that the district should acquire more
	input and collaboration into the budgeting process to address the needs of the
	school and district. The second standard that is a shift is in the monitoring of
	of resources and materials to support learning and teaching. In the
	Governance standards, stakeholder response indicates that the should provide
	opportunities for more diverse groups of stakeholders to engage in supporting
	district and school goals and initiatives that improve learning and teaching.
	The Governance standards also indicate that the district may need to do a
	better job a providing defined flexibility to school leaders to address individual
	school needs to improve learning and teaching, based on school performance.
	In the standards of Leader, Teacher, and Staff Effectiveness, district
	stakeholders indicate that the processes that increase the effectiveness of
	teachers, leaders, and staff and the provision of personnel, expertise, and
	services to achieve district and individual school goals may need to be reviewed
	and updated. Stakeholders also indicated that roles, responsibilities, skill sets,
	and expectations of leaders at all levels of the district may need to be
	examined. For the Family and Community Engagement standards, the
	district's stakeholders' responses show that the district still has work to do in
	the area of clear consistent communication and providing opportunities for
	feedback with families and community members. Finally, in the Vision and

Mission standards, the survey indicates that the district may need to do a
better job at fostering trust, collaboration, and shared responsibility for
improving learning and teaching.
In addition to the information above, the district leadership team pulled out
the following process data that bears a closer examination of needs by the
district:
1. Additional training for teachers at the Tier 1 level on strategies for
instructing students with ADHD for the purpose of decreasing the number of
these students that are referred to the MTSS process.
2. A more consistent implementation of the Monique Burr child abuse
prevention lessons with the addition of the bullying lessons to the
requirements.
3. Providing parents with more information and the results of the U-Science
interest exams that students take.
4. Being more consistent with how discipline is entered into the SIS system
and making sure state discipline code descriptors are being used.
5. Continued implementation of training for teachers and staff on SEL topics
such as de-escalation and QPR.
6. Review of the processes for determining if EL students have a learning
disability or if there is a language barrier or other factor that is delaying
learning.
σ

What achievement data did you use?	Georgia Milestones EOC
	Georgia Milestone EOG
	BEACON
	ACCESS for EL's
	Reading Inventory
	Acadiance
	Lennections Benchmarks

What does your achievement data tell you?	Preliminary data for EOG and EOC achievement data show that LCSS is
	trending towards pre-COVID percentiles in all grade levels and subject areas.
	Elementary School Level GMAS Trend Data:
	EOG Data for ELA shows the following trend for levels 3&4: 2019 = 48.2%,
	2021 = 31.0%, 2022 = 32.6%, and 2023 = 40.0%, Grades 3 and 4 have not
	shown the rebound that grade 5 has shown in the percentages of 3s and 4s
	scored by students. While 5th grade is nearly back to pre-Covid percentages,
	3rd and 4th grade are a consistent 10 points below the same measure.
	EOG Data for Math shows the following trend for levels 3&4: 2019 = 58.0%,
	2021 = 44.9%, 2022 = 39.7%, and 2023 = 49.2%, with 5th grade falling below
	other grade level achievement for the percentage of 3s and 4s scored.
	EOG Data for Science shows the following trend for levels 3&4: 2019 = 57.3%,
	2021 = 42.8%, 2022 = 43.6% and 2023 = 53.2%
	The percentage of students scoring on or above grade level Lexile on the

Elementary Grades (3-5) EOG has shown significant growth - 2019 = 56%, 2021 = 42%, 2022 = 44%, and 2023 = 65%, however, the percentage of students scoring on or above the CCRPI Literacy Readiness Indicator is slightly lower for 2023 student at 44.5% Middle School Level GMAS Trend Data: EOG Data for ELA shows the following trend for levels 3&4: 2019 = 45.1%, 2021 = 38.8%, 2022 = 37.4%, and 2023 = 41.0%. 6th Grade consistently show the lowest % of students scoring 3s and 4s over the four year trend period. EOG Data for Math shows the following trend for levels 3&4: 2019 = 49.2%, 2021 = 39.4%, 2022 = 47.0%, and 2023 = 46.1%. In the Math EOG Achievement, 8th grade is consistently strong when considering the percenta of students scoring 3s and 4s, however, 6th and 7th grade show inconsistent percentages at these two combined levels. EOG Data for Science shows the following trend for levels 3&4: 2019 = 42.99 2021 = 44.3%, 2022 = 50.4% and 2023 = 49.9% EOG Data for Social Studies shows the following trend for levels 3&4: 2019 = 41.5%, 2021 = 39.7%, 2022 = 43.6%, and 2023 = 52.8% The percentage of students scoring on or above grade level Lexile on the Middle Grades (6-8) EOG has shown also growth - 2019 = 60%, 2021 = 56%, 2022 = 54%, and 2023 = 67%, however, the percentage of students scoring or or above the CCRPI Literacy Readiness Indicator is slightly lower for 2023 student at 57.5% High School Level GMAS Trend Data: EOC Data for Algebra I show the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 40.1%, 2021 = 31.1%, 2022 - 44.4% and 2023 = 54.6% EOC Data for US History shows the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 46.7%, 2021 = 33.6%, Most concerning for EOC trend data is the lack of rebound for the American Literature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexile score for America Literature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexile score for America Literature has rebounded within 20 points of pre-COVID numbers, 2019 = 1296, 2022 = 1272 and 2023 = 1318. Additionally, the percentage of students with a Lexi
 students scoring on or above the CCRPI Literacy Readiness Indicator is slightly lower for 2023 student at 44.5% Middle School Level GMAS Trend Data: EOG Data for ELA shows the following trend for levels 3&4: 2019 = 45.1%, 2021 = 38.8%, 2022 = 37.4%, and 2023 = 41.0%. 6th Grade consistently show the lowest % of students scoring 3s and 4s over the four year trend period. EOG Data for Math shows the following trend for levels 3&4: 2019 = 49.2%, 2021 = 39.4%, 2022 = 47.0%, and 2023 = 46.1%. In the Math EOG Achievement, 8th grade is consistently strong when considering the percenta of students scoring 3s and 4s, however, 6th and 7th grade show inconsistent percentages at these two combined levels. EOG Data for Social Studies shows the following trend for levels 3&4: 2019 = 42.95 2021 = 44.3%, 2022 = 50.4% and 2023 = 49.9% EOG Data for Social Studies shows the following trend for levels 3&4: 2019 = 41.5%, 2021 = 39.7%, 2022 = 43.6%, and 2023 = 52.8% The percentage of students scoring on or above grade level Lexile on the Middle Grades (6-8) EOG has shown also growth - 2019 = 60%, 2021 = 56%, 2022 = 54%, and 2023 = 67%, however, the percentage of students scoring or or above the CCRPI Literacy Readiness Indicator is slightly lower for 2023 student at 57.5% High School Level GMAS Trend Data: EOC Data for Algebra I show the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 40.1%, 2021 = 37.1%, 2022 - 44.4% and 2023 = 44.6% EOC Data for Algebra I show the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 40.7%, 2021 = 34.3%, 2022 = 38.7%, and 2023 = 46.9% EOC Data for Sitory shows the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 40.7%, 2021 = 34.3%, 2022 = 38.7%, and 2023 = 46.9% EOC Data for Biology shows the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 40.7%, 2021 = 33.6%, Most concerning for EOC trend data is the lack of rebound for the American Literature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexiel score for Americ Literature
 slightly lower for 2023 student at 44.5% <u>Middle School Level GMAS Trend Data:</u> EOG Data for ELA shows the following trend for levels 3&4: 2019 = 45.1%, 2021 = 38.8%, 2022 = 37.4%, and 2023 = 41.0%. 6th Grade consistently show the lowest % of students scoring 3s and 4s over the four year trend period. EOG Data for Math shows the following trend for levels 3&4: 2019 = 49.2%, 2021 = 39.4%, 2022 = 47.0%, and 2023 = 46.1%. In the Math EOG Achievement, 8th grade is consistently strong when considering the percenta of students scoring 3s and 4s, however, 6th and 7th grade show inconsistent percentages at these two combined levels. EOG Data for Science shows the following trend for levels 3&4: 2019 = 42.95 2021 = 44.3%, 2022 = 50.4% and 2023 = 49.9% EOG Data for Social Studies shows the following trend for levels 3&4: 2019 = 41.5%, 2021 = 39.7%, 2022 = 43.6%, and 2023 = 52.8% The percentage of students scoring on or above grade level Lexile on the Middle Grades (6-8) EOG has shown also growth - 2019 = 60%, 2021 = 56%, 2022 = 54%, and 2023 = 67%, however, the percentage of students scoring or or above the CCRPI Literacy Readiness Indicator is slightly lower for 2023 student at 57.5% High School Level GMAS Trend Data: EOC Data for Algebra I show the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 40.1%, 2021 = 37.1%, 2022 - 44.4% and 2023 = 54.6% EOC Data for Algebra I show the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 40.7%, 2021 = 34.3%, 2022 = 38.7%, and 2023 = 54.6% EOC Data for Biology shows the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 43.4%, 2021 = 33.6%, Most concerning for EOC trend data is the lack of rebound for the American Literature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexile score for America Literature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexile score for America Literature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexile score for America Literature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexi
 Middle School Level GMAS Trend Data: EOG Data for ELA shows the following trend for levels 3&4: 2019 = 45.1%, 2021 = 38.8%, 2022 = 37.4%, and 2023 = 41.0%. 6th Grade consistently show the lowest % of students scoring 3s and 4s over the four year trend period. EOG Data for Math shows the following trend for levels 3&4: 2019 = 49.2%, 2021 = 39.4%, 2022 = 47.0%, and 2023 = 46.1%. In the Math EOG Achievement, 8th grade is consistently strong when considering the percenta of students scoring 3s and 4s, however, 6th and 7th grade show inconsistent percentages at these two combined levels. EOG Data for Science shows the following trend for levels 3&4: 2019 = 42.9% 2021 = 44.3%, 2022 = 50.4% and 2023 = 49.9% EOG Data for Social Studies shows the following trend for levels 3&4: 2019 = 41.5%, 2021 = 39.7%, 2022 = 43.6%, and 2023 = 52.8% The percentage of students scoring on or above grade level Lexile on the Middle Grades (6-8) EOG has shown also growth - 2019 = 60%, 2021 = 56%, 2022 = 54%, and 2023 = 67%, however, the percentage of students scoring or or above the CCRPI Literacy Readiness Indicator is slightly lower for 2023 student at 57.5% High School Level GMAS Trend Data: EOC Data for Algebra I show the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 40.1%, 2021 = 37.1%, 2022 - 44.4% and 2023 = 54.6% EOC Data for Literacy Readines the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 40.7%, 2021 = 34.3%, 2022 = 38.7%, and 2023 = 54.6% EOC Data for Biology shows the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 43.4%, 2021 = 33.6%, Most concerning for EOC trend data is the lack of rebound for the American Literature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexile score for America Literature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexile score for America Literature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexile score for America Literature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexile score for America Literature scores. Upon furt
 Middle School Level GMAS Trend Data: EOG Data for ELA shows the following trend for levels 3&4: 2019 = 45.1%, 2021 = 38.8%, 2022 = 37.4%, and 2023 = 41.0%. 6th Grade consistently show the lowest % of students scoring 3s and 4s over the four year trend period. EOG Data for Math shows the following trend for levels 3&4: 2019 = 49.2%, 2021 = 39.4%, 2022 = 47.0%, and 2023 = 46.1%. In the Math EOG Achievement, 8th grade is consistently strong when considering the percenta of students scoring 3s and 4s, however, 6th and 7th grade show inconsistent percentages at these two combined levels. EOG Data for Science shows the following trend for levels 3&4: 2019 = 42.9% 2021 = 44.3%, 2022 = 50.4% and 2023 = 49.9% EOG Data for Social Studies shows the following trend for levels 3&4: 2019 = 41.5%, 2021 = 39.7%, 2022 = 43.6%, and 2023 = 52.8% The percentage of students scoring on or above grade level Lexile on the Middle Grades (6-8) EOG has shown also growth - 2019 = 60%, 2021 = 56%, 2022 = 54%, and 2023 = 67%, however, the percentage of students scoring or or above the CCRPI Literacy Readiness Indicator is slightly lower for 2023 student at 57.5% High School Level GMAS Trend Data: EOC Data for Algebra I show the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 40.1%, 2021 = 37.1%, 2022 - 44.4% and 2023 = 54.6% EOC Data for Literacy Readines the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 40.7%, 2021 = 34.3%, 2022 = 38.7%, and 2023 = 54.6% EOC Data for Biology shows the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 43.4%, 2021 = 33.6%, Most concerning for EOC trend data is the lack of rebound for the American Literature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexile score for America Literature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexile score for America Literature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexile score for America Literature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexile score for America Literature scores. Upon furt
EOG Data for ELA shows the following trend for levels $3\&4: 2019 = 45.1\%$, 2021 = 38.8%, $2022 = 37.4%$, and $2023 = 41.0%$. 6th Grade consistently show the lowest % of students scoring $3s$ and $4s$ over the four year trend period. EOG Data for Math shows the following trend for levels $3\&4: 2019 = 49.2\%$, 2021 = 39.4%, $2022 = 47.0%$, and $2023 = 46.1%$. In the Math EOG Achievement, 8th grade is consistently strong when considering the percenta of students scoring $3s$ and $4s$, however, 6th and 7th grade show inconsistent percentages at these two combined levels. EOG Data for Science shows the following trend for levels $3\&4: 2019 = 42.9\%$ 2021 = 44.3%, $2022 = 50.4%$ and $2023 = 49.9%EOG Data for Social Studies shows the following trend for levels 3\&4: 2019 = 41.5\%, 2021 = 39.7\%, 2022 = 43.6\%, and 2023 = 52.8\%The percentage of students scoring on or above grade level Lexile on theMiddle Grades (6-8) EOG has shown also growth - 2019 = 60\%, 2021 = 56\%,2022 = 54%$, and $2023 = 67%$, however, the percentage of students scoring or or above the CCRPI Literacy Readiness Indicator is slightly lower for 2023 student at 57.5% High School Level GMAS Trend Data: EOC Data for American Literature shows the following trends for levels $3\&4$ 2019 = 39.5%, $2021 = 24.4%$, $2022 = 32.4%$ and $2023 = 41.6%EOC Data for Algebra I show the following trends for levels 3\&4: 2019 =40.1%$, $2021 = 37.1%$, $2022 - 44.4%$ and $2023 = 54.6%EOC Data for Shistory shows the following trends for levels 3\&4: 2019 =40.7%$, $2021 = 34.3%$, $2022 = 38.7%$, and $2023 = 46.9%EOC Data for Biology shows the following trends for levels 3\&4: 2019 =43.4%$, $2021 = 33.6%$, Most concerning for EOC trend data is the lack of rebound for the American Literature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexile score for American Literature has rebounded within 20 points of pre-COVID numbers, $2019 =$ 1296, $2022 = 1272$ and $2023 = 1318$. Additionally, the percentage of students with a Lexile above
2021 = 38.8%, 2022 = 37.4%, and 2023 = 41.0%. 6th Grade consistently show the lowest % of students scoring 3s and 4s over the four year trend period. EOG Data for Math shows the following trend for levels 3&4: 2019 = 49.2%, 2021 = 39.4%, 2022 = 47.0%, and 2023 = 46.1%. In the Math EOG Achievement, 8th grade is consistently strong when considering the percenta of students scoring 3s and 4s, however, 6th and 7th grade show inconsistent percentages at these two combined levels. EOG Data for Science shows the following trend for levels 3&4: 2019 = 42.9% 2021 = 44.3%, 2022 = 50.4% and 2023 = 49.9% EOG Data for Social Studies shows the following trend for levels 3&4: 2019 = 41.5%, 2021 = 39.7%, 2022 = 43.6%, and 2023 = 52.8% The percentage of students scoring on or above grade level Lexile on the Middle Grades (6-8) EOG has shown also growth - 2019 = 60%, 2021 = 56%, 2022 = 54%, and 2023 = 67%, however, the percentage of students scoring or above the CCRPI Literacy Readiness Indicator is slightly lower for 2023 student at 57.5% High School Level GMAS Trend Data: EOC Data for Algebra I show the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 40.1%, 2021 = 37.1%, 2022 - 44.4% and 2023 = 54.6% EOC Data for July 2022 - 44.4% and 2023 = 54.6% EOC Data for Biology shows the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 46.7%, 2021 = 34.3%, 2022 = 38.7%, and 2023 = 46.9% EOC Data for Biology shows the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 43.4%, 2021 = 33.6%, Most concerning for EOC trend data is the lack of rebound for the American Literature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexile score for American Literature has rebounded within 20 points of pre-COVID numbers, 2019 = 1296, 2022 = 1272 and 2023 = 1318. Additionally, the percentage of students with a Lexile above midpoint is only 2% points below pre-COVID, 2019 =
the lowest % of students scoring 3s and 4s over the four year trend period. EOG Data for Math shows the following trend for levels $3\&4: 2019 = 49.2\%$, 2021 = 39.4%, $2022 = 47.0%$, and $2023 = 46.1%$. In the Math EOG Achievement, 8th grade is consistently strong when considering the percenta of students scoring 3s and 4s, however, 6th and 7th grade show inconsistent percentages at these two combined levels. EOG Data for Science shows the following trend for levels $3\&4: 2019 = 42.9\%$ 2021 = 44.3%, $2022 = 50.4%$ and $2023 = 49.9%EOG Data for Social Studies shows the following trend for levels 3\&4: 2019 = 42.9\%2021 = 44.3%$, $2022 = 50.4%$ and $2023 = 49.9%EOG Data for Social Studies shows the following trend for levels 3\&4: 2019 = 41.5\%, 2021 = 39.7\%, 2022 = 43.6\%, and 2023 = 52.8\%The percentage of students scoring on or above grade level Lexile on theMiddle Grades (6-8) EOG has shown also growth - 2019 = 60\%, 2021 = 56\%,2022 = 54%$, and $2023 = 67%$, however, the percentage of students scoring or or above the CCRPI Literacy Readiness Indicator is slightly lower for 2023 student at 57.5% High School Level GMAS Trend Data: EOC Data for American Literature shows the following trends for levels $3\&4$: $2019 = 40.1\%$, $2021 = 37.1\%$, $2022 - 44.4\%$ and $2023 = 54.6\%$ EOC Data for Algebra I show the following trends for levels $3\&4$: $2019 = 40.7\%$, $2021 = 34.3\%$, $2022 - 38.7\%$, and $2023 = 46.9\%$ EOC Data for Biology shows the following trends for levels $3\&4$: $2019 = 45.7\%$, $2021 = 34.3\%$, $2022 - 38.7\%$, and $2023 = 46.9\%$ EOC Data for Biology shows the following trends for levels $3\&4$: $2019 = 43.4\%$, $2021 = 33.6\%$, Most concerning for EOC trend data is the lack of rebound for the American Literature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexile score for America Literature has rebounded within 20 points of pre-COVID numbers, $2019 = 1296$, $2022 = 1272$ and $2023 = 1318$. Additionally, the percentage of students with a Lexile above midpoint is only 2% points below pre
EOG Data for Math shows the following trend for levels $3\&4: 2019 = 49.2\%$, 2021 = 39.4% , 2022 = 47.0% , and 2023 = 46.1% . In the Math EOG Achievement, 8th grade is consistently strong when considering the percenta of students scoring $3s$ and $4s$, however, 6th and 7th grade show inconsistent percentages at these two combined levels. EOG Data for Science shows the following trend for levels $3\&4: 2019 = 42.9\%$ 2021 = 44.3% , 2022 = 50.4% and $2023 = 49.9\%$ EOG Data for Social Studies shows the following trend for levels $3\&4: 2019 = 41.5\%$, 2021 = 39.7% , 2022 = 43.6% , and 2023 = 52.8% The percentage of students scoring on or above grade level Lexile on the Middle Grades ($6-8$) EOG has shown also growth - $2019 = 60\%$, 2021 = 56% , 2022 = 54% , and 2023 = 67% , however, the percentage of students scoring or or above the CCRPI Literacy Readiness Indicator is slightly lower for 2023 student at 57.5% High School Level GMAS Trend Data: EOC Data for American Literature shows the following trends for levels $3\&4$: 2019 = 40.1%, 2021 = $37.1%$, 2022 - $44.4%$ and $2023 = 54.6%EOC Data for Algebra I show the following trends for levels 3\&4: 2019 =46.7%$, 2021 = $34.3%$, 2022 - $38.7%$, and $2023 = 46.9%EOC Data for Biology shows the following trends for levels 3\&4: 2019 =43.4%$, 2021 = $33.6%$, Most concerning for EOC trend data is the lack of rebound for the American Literature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexile score for Americ Literature has rebounded within 20 points of pre-COVID numbers, 2019 = 1296, 2022 = 1272 and 2023 = 1318 . Additionally, the percentage of students with a Lexile above midpoint is only 2% points below pre-COVID, 2019 =
2021 = 39.4%, 2022 = 47.0%, and 2023 = 46.1%. In the Math EOG Achievement, 8th grade is consistently strong when considering the percenta of students scoring 3s and 4s, however, 6th and 7th grade show inconsistent percentages at these two combined levels. EOG Data for Science shows the following trend for levels 3&4: 2019 = 42.99 2021 = 44.3%, 2022 = 50.4% and 2023 = 49.9% EOG Data for Social Studies shows the following trend for levels 3&4: 2019 = 41.5%, 2021 = 39.7%, 2022 = 43.6%, and 2023 = 52.8% The percentage of students scoring on or above grade level Lexile on the Middle Grades (6-8) EOG has shown also growth - 2019 = 60%, 2021 = 56%, 2022 = 54%, and 2023 = 67%, however, the percentage of students scoring on or above the CCRPI Literacy Readiness Indicator is slightly lower for 2023 student at 57.5% High School Level GMAS Trend Data: EOC Data for American Literature shows the following trends for levels 3&4 2019 = 39.5%, 2021 = 24.4%, 2022 = 32.4% and 2023 = 41.6% EOC Data for Algebra I show the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 40.1%, 2021 = 37.1%, 2022 - 44.4% and 2023 = 54.6% EOC Data for I Shistory shows the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 46.7%, 2021 = 34.3%, 2022 = 38.7%, and 2023 = 46.9% EOC Data for Biology shows the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 43.4%, 2021 = 33.6%, Most concerning for EOC trend data is the lack of rebound for the American Literature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexile score for Americ Literature has rebounded within 20 points of pre-COVID numbers, 2019 = 1296, 2022 = 1272 and 2023 = 1318. Additionally, the percentage of students with a Lexile above midpoint is only 2% points below pre-COVID, 2019 =
Achievement, 8th grade is consistently strong when considering the percenta of students scoring 3s and 4s, however, 6th and 7th grade show inconsistent percentages at these two combined levels.EOG Data for Science shows the following trend for levels $3\&4: 2019 = 42.99$ $2021 = 44.3\%, 2022 = 50.4\%$ and $2023 = 49.9\%$ EOG Data for Social Studies shows the following trend for levels $3\&4: 2019 = 41.5\%, 2021 = 39.7\%, 2022 = 43.6\%, and 2023 = 52.8\%The percentage of students scoring on or above grade level Lexile on theMiddle Grades (6-8) EOG has shown also growth - 2019 = 60\%, 2021 = 56\%,2022 = 54\%, and 2023 = 67\%, however, the percentage of students scoring oror above the CCRPI Literacy Readiness Indicator is slightly lower for 2023student at 57.5\%High School Level GMAS Trend Data:EOC Data for American Literature shows the following trends for levels 3\&4: 2019 =40.1\%, 2021 = 37.1\%, 2022 - 44.4\% and 2023 = 54.6\%EOC Data for IS History shows the following trends for levels 3\&4: 2019 =46.7\%, 2021 = 34.3\%, 2022 = 38.7\%, and 2023 = 46.9\%EOC Data for Biology shows the following trends for levels 3\&4: 2019 =43.4\%, 2021 = 33.6\%,Most concerning for EOC trend data is the lack of rebound for the AmericanLiterature has rebounded within 20 points of pre-COVID numbers, 2019 =1296, 2022 = 1272 and 2023 = 1318. Additionally, the percentage of studentswith a Lexile above midpoint is only 2% points below pre-COVID, 2019 =$
of students scoring 3s and 4s, however, 6th and 7th grade show inconsistent percentages at these two combined levels. EOG Data for Science shows the following trend for levels 3&4: 2019 = 42.99 2021 = 44.3%, 2022 = 50.4% and 2023 = 49.9% EOG Data for Social Studies shows the following trend for levels 3&4: 2019 = 41.5%, 2021 = 39.7%, 2022 = 43.6%, and 2023 = 52.8% The percentage of students scoring on or above grade level Lexile on the Middle Grades (6-8) EOG has shown also growth - 2019 = 60%, 2021 = 56%, 2022 = 54%, and 2023 = 67%, however, the percentage of students scoring or or above the CCRPI Literacy Readiness Indicator is slightly lower for 2023 student at 57.5% High School Level GMAS Trend Data: EOC Data for American Literature shows the following trends for levels 3&4 2019 = 39.5%, 2021 = 24.4%, 2022 = 32.4% and 2023 = 41.6% EOC Data for Algebra I show the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 40.1%, 2021 = 37.1%, 2022 - 44.4% and 2023 = 54.6% EOC Data for US History shows the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 46.7%, 2021 = 34.3%, 2022 = 38.7%, and 2023 = 46.9% EOC Data for Biology shows the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 43.4%, 2021 = 33.6%, Most concerning for EOC trend data is the lack of rebound for the American Literature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexile score for Americ Literature has rebounded within 20 points of pre-COVID numbers, 2019 = 1296, 2022 = 1272 and 2023 = 1318. Additionally, the percentage of students with a Lexile above midpoint is only 2% points below pre-COVID, 2019 =
percentages at these two combined levels. EOG Data for Science shows the following trend for levels $3\&4: 2019 = 42.99$ 2021 = 44.3%, $2022 = 50.4%$ and $2023 = 49.9%EOG Data for Social Studies shows the following trend for levels 3\&4: 2019 =41.5%$, $2021 = 39.7%$, $2022 = 43.6%$, and $2023 = 52.8%The percentage of students scoring on or above grade level Lexile on theMiddle Grades (6-8) EOG has shown also growth - 2019 = 60\%, 2021 = 56\%,2022 = 54%$, and $2023 = 67%$, however, the percentage of students scoring on or above the CCRPI Literacy Readiness Indicator is slightly lower for 2023 student at 57.5% High School Level GMAS Trend Data: EOC Data for American Literature shows the following trends for levels $3\&4$ 2019 = 39.5%, $2021 = 24.4%$, $2022 = 32.4%$ and $2023 = 41.6%EOC Data for Algebra I show the following trends for levels 3\&4: 2019 =40.1%$, $2021 = 37.1%$, $2022 - 44.4%$ and $2023 = 54.6%EOC Data for US History shows the following trends for levels 3\&4: 2019 =46.7%$, $2021 = 34.3%$, $2022 = 38.7%$, and $2023 = 46.9%EOC Data for Biology shows the following trends for levels 3\&4: 2019 =43.4%$, $2021 = 33.6%$, Most concerning for EOC trend data is the lack of rebound for the American Literature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexile score for American Literature has rebounded within 20 points of pre-COVID numbers, $2019 =$ 1296, $2022 = 1272$ and $2023 = 1318$. Additionally, the percentage of students with a Lexile above midpoint is only 2% points below pre-COVID, $2019 =$
EOG Data for Science shows the following trend for levels $3\&4: 2019 = 42.9\%$ 2021 = 44.3%, 2022 = 50.4% and $2023 = 49.9%EOG Data for Social Studies shows the following trend for levels 3\&4: 2019 =41.5%, 2021 = 39.7%, 2022 = 43.6%, and 2023 = 52.8%The percentage of students scoring on or above grade level Lexile on theMiddle Grades (6-8) EOG has shown also growth - 2019 = 60\%, 2021 = 56\%,2022 = 54%, and 2023 = 67%, however, the percentage of students scoring onor above the CCRPI Literacy Readiness Indicator is slightly lower for 2023student at 57.5%High School Level GMAS Trend Data:EOC Data for American Literature shows the following trends for levels 3&42019 = 39.5%, 2021 = 24.4%, 2022 = 32.4% and 2023 = 41.6%EOC Data for Algebra I show the following trends for levels 3\&4: 2019 =40.1%, 2021 = 37.1%, 2022 - 44.4% and 2023 = 54.6%EOC Data for US History shows the following trends for levels 3\&4: 2019 =46.7%, 2021 = 34.3%, 2022 = 38.7%, and 2023 = 46.9%EOC Data for Biology shows the following trends for levels 3\&4: 2019 =43.4%, 2021 = 33.6%,Most concerning for EOC trend data is the lack of rebound for the AmericanLiterature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexile score for AmericLiterature has rebounded within 20 points of pre-COVID numbers, 2019 =1296, 2022 = 1272 and 2023 = 1318. Additionally, the percentage of studentswith a Lexile above midpoint is only 2% points below pre-COVID, 2019 =$
2021 = 44.3%, 2022 = 50.4% and 2023 = 49.9% EOG Data for Social Studies shows the following trend for levels 3&4: 2019 = 41.5%, 2021 = 39.7%, 2022 = 43.6%, and 2023 = 52.8% The percentage of students scoring on or above grade level Lexile on the Middle Grades (6-8) EOG has shown also growth - 2019 = 60%, 2021 = 56%, 2022 = 54%, and 2023 = 67%, however, the percentage of students scoring or or above the CCRPI Literacy Readiness Indicator is slightly lower for 2023 student at 57.5% High School Level GMAS Trend Data: EOC Data for American Literature shows the following trends for levels 3&4 2019 = 39.5%, 2021 = 24.4%, 2022 = 32.4% and 2023 = 41.6% EOC Data for Algebra I show the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 40.1%, 2021 = 37.1%, 2022 - 44.4% and 2023 = 54.6% EOC Data for US History shows the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 46.7%, 2021 = 34.3%, 2022 = 38.7%, and 2023 = 46.9% EOC Data for Biology shows the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 43.4%, 2021 = 33.6%, Most concerning for EOC trend data is the lack of rebound for the American Literature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexile score for America Literature has rebounded within 20 points of pre-COVID numbers, 2019 = 1296, 2022 = 1272 and 2023 = 1318. Additionally, the percentage of students with a Lexile above midpoint is only 2% points below pre-COVID, 2019 =
EOG Data for Social Studies shows the following trend for levels $3\&4: 2019 = 41.5\%$, $2021 = 39.7\%$, $2022 = 43.6\%$, and $2023 = 52.8\%$ The percentage of students scoring on or above grade level Lexile on the Middle Grades (6-8) EOG has shown also growth - $2019 = 60\%$, $2021 = 56\%$, 2022 = 54%, and $2023 = 67%$, however, the percentage of students scoring or or above the CCRPI Literacy Readiness Indicator is slightly lower for 2023 student at 57.5% High School Level GMAS Trend Data: EOC Data for American Literature shows the following trends for levels $3\&4$ 2019 = 39.5%, $2021 = 24.4%$, $2022 = 32.4%$ and $2023 = 41.6%EOC Data for Algebra I show the following trends for levels 3\&4: 2019 = 40.1\%, 2021 = 37.1\%, 2022 - 44.4\% and 2023 = 54.6\%EOC Data for US History shows the following trends for levels 3\&4: 2019 = 46.7\%, 2021 = 34.3\%, 2022 = 38.7\%, and 2023 = 46.9\%EOC Data for Biology shows the following trends for levels 3\&4: 2019 = 43.4\%, 2021 = 33.6\%,Most concerning for EOC trend data is the lack of rebound for the AmericanLiterature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexile score for AmericLiterature has rebounded within 20 points of pre-COVID numbers, 2019 = 1296, 2022 = 1272 and 2023 = 1318. Additionally, the percentage of studentswith a Lexile above midpoint is only 2% points below pre-COVID, 2019 = 43.4\%$
 41.5%, 2021 = 39.7%, 2022 = 43.6%, and 2023 = 52.8% The percentage of students scoring on or above grade level Lexile on the Middle Grades (6-8) EOG has shown also growth - 2019 = 60%, 2021 = 56%, 2022 = 54%, and 2023 = 67%, however, the percentage of students scoring or or above the CCRPI Literacy Readiness Indicator is slightly lower for 2023 student at 57.5% High School Level GMAS Trend Data: EOC Data for American Literature shows the following trends for levels 3&4 2019 = 39.5%, 2021 = 24.4%, 2022 = 32.4% and 2023 = 41.6% EOC Data for Algebra I show the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 40.1%, 2021 = 37.1%, 2022 - 44.4% and 2023 = 54.6% EOC Data for US History shows the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 46.7%, 2021 = 34.3%, 2022 = 38.7%, and 2023 = 46.9% EOC Data for Biology shows the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 43.4%, 2021 = 33.6%, Most concerning for EOC trend data is the lack of rebound for the American Literature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexile score for American Literature has rebounded within 20 points of pre-COVID numbers, 2019 = 1296, 2022 = 1272 and 2023 = 1318. Additionally, the percentage of students with a Lexile above midpoint is only 2% points below pre-COVID, 2019 =
The percentage of students scoring on or above grade level Lexile on the Middle Grades (6-8) EOG has shown also growth - 2019 = 60%, 2021 = 56%, 2022 = 54%, and 2023 = 67%, however, the percentage of students scoring or or above the CCRPI Literacy Readiness Indicator is slightly lower for 2023 student at 57.5% High School Level GMAS Trend Data: EOC Data for American Literature shows the following trends for levels 3&4 2019 = 39.5%, 2021 = 24.4%, 2022 = 32.4% and 2023 = 41.6% EOC Data for Algebra I show the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 40.1%, 2021 = 37.1%, 2022 - 44.4% and 2023 = 54.6% EOC Data for US History shows the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 46.7%, 2021 = 34.3%, 2022 = 38.7%, and 2023 = 46.9% EOC Data for Biology shows the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 43.4%, 2021 = 33.6%, Most concerning for EOC trend data is the lack of rebound for the American Literature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexile score for Americ Literature has rebounded within 20 points of pre-COVID numbers, 2019 = 1296, 2022 = 1272 and 2023 = 1318. Additionally, the percentage of students with a Lexile above midpoint is only 2% points below pre-COVID, 2019 =
Middle Grades (6-8) EOG has shown also growth - 2019 = 60%, 2021 = 56%, 2022 = 54%, and 2023 = 67%, however, the percentage of students scoring or or above the CCRPI Literacy Readiness Indicator is slightly lower for 2023 student at 57.5% High School Level GMAS Trend Data: EOC Data for American Literature shows the following trends for levels 3&4 2019 = 39.5%, 2021 = 24.4%, 2022 = 32.4% and 2023 = 41.6% EOC Data for Algebra I show the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 40.1%, 2021 = 37.1%, 2022 - 44.4% and 2023 = 54.6% EOC Data for US History shows the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 46.7%, 2021 = 34.3%, 2022 = 38.7%, and 2023 = 46.9% EOC Data for Biology shows the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 43.4%, 2021 = 33.6%, Most concerning for EOC trend data is the lack of rebound for the American Literature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexile score for America Literature has rebounded within 20 points of pre-COVID numbers, 2019 = 1296, 2022 = 1272 and 2023 = 1318. Additionally, the percentage of students with a Lexile above midpoint is only 2% points below pre-COVID, 2019 =
Middle Grades (6-8) EOG has shown also growth - 2019 = 60%, 2021 = 56%, 2022 = 54%, and 2023 = 67%, however, the percentage of students scoring or or above the CCRPI Literacy Readiness Indicator is slightly lower for 2023 student at 57.5% High School Level GMAS Trend Data: EOC Data for American Literature shows the following trends for levels 3&4 2019 = 39.5%, 2021 = 24.4%, 2022 = 32.4% and 2023 = 41.6% EOC Data for Algebra I show the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 40.1%, 2021 = 37.1%, 2022 - 44.4% and 2023 = 54.6% EOC Data for US History shows the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 46.7%, 2021 = 34.3%, 2022 = 38.7%, and 2023 = 46.9% EOC Data for Biology shows the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 43.4%, 2021 = 33.6%, Most concerning for EOC trend data is the lack of rebound for the American Literature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexile score for America Literature has rebounded within 20 points of pre-COVID numbers, 2019 = 1296, 2022 = 1272 and 2023 = 1318. Additionally, the percentage of students with a Lexile above midpoint is only 2% points below pre-COVID, 2019 =
 2022 = 54%, and 2023 = 67%, however, the percentage of students scoring on or above the CCRPI Literacy Readiness Indicator is slightly lower for 2023 student at 57.5% High School Level GMAS Trend Data: EOC Data for American Literature shows the following trends for levels 3&4 2019 = 39.5%, 2021 = 24.4%, 2022 = 32.4% and 2023 = 41.6% EOC Data for Algebra I show the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 40.1%, 2021 = 37.1%, 2022 - 44.4% and 2023 = 54.6% EOC Data for US History shows the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 46.7%, 2021 = 34.3%, 2022 = 38.7%, and 2023 = 46.9% EOC Data for Biology shows the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 43.4%, 2021 = 33.6%, Most concerning for EOC trend data is the lack of rebound for the American Literature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexile score for Americ Literature has rebounded within 20 points of pre-COVID numbers, 2019 = 1296, 2022 = 1272 and 2023 = 1318. Additionally, the percentage of students with a Lexile above midpoint is only 2% points below pre-COVID, 2019 =
or above the CCRPI Literacy Readiness Indicator is slightly lower for 2023 student at 57.5% High School Level GMAS Trend Data: EOC Data for American Literature shows the following trends for levels 3&4 2019 = 39.5%, 2021 = 24.4%, 2022 = 32.4% and 2023 = 41.6% EOC Data for Algebra I show the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 40.1%, 2021 = 37.1%, 2022 - 44.4% and 2023 = 54.6% EOC Data for US History shows the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 46.7%, 2021 = 34.3%, 2022 = 38.7%, and 2023 = 46.9% EOC Data for Biology shows the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 43.4%, 2021 = 33.6%, Most concerning for EOC trend data is the lack of rebound for the American Literature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexile score for Americ Literature has rebounded within 20 points of pre-COVID numbers, 2019 = 1296, 2022 = 1272 and 2023 = 1318. Additionally, the percentage of students with a Lexile above midpoint is only 2% points below pre-COVID, 2019 =
 student at 57.5% High School Level GMAS Trend Data: EOC Data for American Literature shows the following trends for levels 3&4 2019 = 39.5%, 2021 = 24.4%, 2022 = 32.4% and 2023 = 41.6% EOC Data for Algebra I show the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 40.1%, 2021 = 37.1%, 2022 - 44.4% and 2023 = 54.6% EOC Data for US History shows the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 46.7%, 2021 = 34.3%, 2022 = 38.7%, and 2023 = 46.9% EOC Data for Biology shows the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 43.4%, 2021 = 33.6%, Most concerning for EOC trend data is the lack of rebound for the American Literature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexile score for Americ Literature has rebounded within 20 points of pre-COVID numbers, 2019 = 1296, 2022 = 1272 and 2023 = 1318. Additionally, the percentage of students with a Lexile above midpoint is only 2% points below pre-COVID, 2019 =
 High School Level GMAS Trend Data: EOC Data for American Literature shows the following trends for levels 3&4 2019 = 39.5%, 2021 = 24.4%, 2022 = 32.4% and 2023 = 41.6% EOC Data for Algebra I show the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 40.1%, 2021 = 37.1%, 2022 - 44.4% and 2023 = 54.6% EOC Data for US History shows the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 46.7%, 2021 = 34.3%, 2022 = 38.7%, and 2023 = 46.9% EOC Data for Biology shows the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 43.4%, 2021 = 33.6%, Most concerning for EOC trend data is the lack of rebound for the American Literature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexile score for Americ Literature has rebounded within 20 points of pre-COVID numbers, 2019 = 1296, 2022 = 1272 and 2023 = 1318. Additionally, the percentage of students with a Lexile above midpoint is only 2% points below pre-COVID, 2019 =
EOC Data for American Literature shows the following trends for levels $3\&4$ 2019 = 39.5%, $2021 = 24.4%$, $2022 = 32.4%$ and $2023 = 41.6%EOC Data for Algebra I show the following trends for levels 3\&4: 2019 =40.1%$, $2021 = 37.1%$, $2022 - 44.4%$ and $2023 = 54.6%EOC Data for US History shows the following trends for levels 3\&4: 2019 =46.7%$, $2021 = 34.3%$, $2022 = 38.7%$, and $2023 = 46.9%EOC Data for Biology shows the following trends for levels 3\&4: 2019 =43.4%$, $2021 = 33.6%$, Most concerning for EOC trend data is the lack of rebound for the American Literature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexile score for Americ Literature has rebounded within 20 points of pre-COVID numbers, $2019 =$ 1296, $2022 = 1272$ and $2023 = 1318$. Additionally, the percentage of students with a Lexile above midpoint is only 2% points below pre-COVID, $2019 =$
2019 = 39.5%, 2021 = 24.4%, 2022 = 32.4% and 2023 = 41.6% EOC Data for Algebra I show the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 40.1%, 2021 = 37.1%, 2022 - 44.4% and 2023 = 54.6% EOC Data for US History shows the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 46.7%, 2021 = 34.3%, 2022 = 38.7%, and 2023 = 46.9% EOC Data for Biology shows the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 43.4%, 2021 = 33.6%, Most concerning for EOC trend data is the lack of rebound for the American Literature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexile score for Americ Literature has rebounded within 20 points of pre-COVID numbers, 2019 = 1296, 2022 = 1272 and 2023 = 1318. Additionally, the percentage of students with a Lexile above midpoint is only 2% points below pre-COVID, 2019 =
 EOC Data for Algebra I show the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 40.1%, 2021 = 37.1%, 2022 - 44.4% and 2023 = 54.6% EOC Data for US History shows the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 46.7%, 2021 = 34.3%, 2022 = 38.7%, and 2023 = 46.9% EOC Data for Biology shows the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 43.4%, 2021 = 33.6%, Most concerning for EOC trend data is the lack of rebound for the American Literature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexile score for Americ Literature has rebounded within 20 points of pre-COVID numbers, 2019 = 1296, 2022 = 1272 and 2023 = 1318. Additionally, the percentage of students with a Lexile above midpoint is only 2% points below pre-COVID, 2019 =
 40.1%, 2021 = 37.1%, 2022 - 44.4% and 2023 = 54.6% EOC Data for US History shows the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 46.7%, 2021 = 34.3%, 2022 = 38.7%, and 2023 = 46.9% EOC Data for Biology shows the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 43.4%, 2021 = 33.6%, Most concerning for EOC trend data is the lack of rebound for the American Literature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexile score for Americ Literature has rebounded within 20 points of pre-COVID numbers, 2019 = 1296, 2022 = 1272 and 2023 = 1318. Additionally, the percentage of students with a Lexile above midpoint is only 2% points below pre-COVID, 2019 =
 EOC Data for US History shows the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 46.7%, 2021 = 34.3%, 2022 = 38.7%, and 2023 = 46.9% EOC Data for Biology shows the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 43.4%, 2021 = 33.6%, Most concerning for EOC trend data is the lack of rebound for the American Literature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexile score for Americ Literature has rebounded within 20 points of pre-COVID numbers, 2019 = 1296, 2022 = 1272 and 2023 = 1318. Additionally, the percentage of students with a Lexile above midpoint is only 2% points below pre-COVID, 2019 =
 46.7%, 2021 = 34.3%, 2022 = 38.7%, and 2023 = 46.9% EOC Data for Biology shows the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 43.4%, 2021 = 33.6%, Most concerning for EOC trend data is the lack of rebound for the American Literature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexile score for Americ Literature has rebounded within 20 points of pre-COVID numbers, 2019 = 1296, 2022 = 1272 and 2023 = 1318. Additionally, the percentage of students with a Lexile above midpoint is only 2% points below pre-COVID, 2019 =
 EOC Data for Biology shows the following trends for levels 3&4: 2019 = 43.4%, 2021 = 33.6%, Most concerning for EOC trend data is the lack of rebound for the American Literature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexile score for Americ Literature has rebounded within 20 points of pre-COVID numbers, 2019 = 1296, 2022 = 1272 and 2023 = 1318. Additionally, the percentage of students with a Lexile above midpoint is only 2% points below pre-COVID, 2019 =
43.4%, 2021 = 33.6%, Most concerning for EOC trend data is the lack of rebound for the American Literature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexile score for Americ Literature has rebounded within 20 points of pre-COVID numbers, 2019 = 1296, 2022 = 1272 and 2023 = 1318. Additionally, the percentage of students with a Lexile above midpoint is only 2% points below pre-COVID, 2019 =
Most concerning for EOC trend data is the lack of rebound for the American Literature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexile score for Americ Literature has rebounded within 20 points of pre-COVID numbers, 2019 = 1296, 2022 = 1272 and 2023 = 1318. Additionally, the percentage of students with a Lexile above midpoint is only 2% points below pre-COVID, 2019 =
Literature scores. Upon further analysis, the average Lexile score for Americ Literature has rebounded within 20 points of pre-COVID numbers, 2019 = 1296, 2022 = 1272 and 2023 = 1318. Additionally, the percentage of students with a Lexile above midpoint is only 2% points below pre-COVID, 2019 =
Literature has rebounded within 20 points of pre-COVID numbers, 2019 = 1296, 2022 = 1272 and 2023 = 1318. Additionally, the percentage of students with a Lexile above midpoint is only 2% points below pre-COVID, 2019 =
1296, 2022 = 1272 and 2023 = 1318. Additionally, the percentage of students with a Lexile above midpoint is only 2% points below pre-COVID, 2019 =
with a Lexile above midpoint is only 2% points below pre-COVID, 2019 =
57.6%, 2022 = 49.8% and 2023 = 55.9%.
In addition to GMAS EOC and EOG, Laurens County utilizes a number of
formative assessment tools.
Elementary School Level Formative Assessment Trend Data:
Reading Inventory Data indicates that in grades 3-5 percentages of students of
or above grade level for reading are staying or have little growth. In 2022, 53
of students grades 3-5 met this descriptor level at the end of the year
benchmark, while in 2023, 56% of students grades 3-5 met this reading level.
e e
When looking at Acadience Data for grades K-2, students in grades K-1 are
showing improvement on meeting domain benchmarks as compared to 2022
For example:
Nonsense Word Fluency -
Kindergarten - 2022 = 71% and 2023 = 82%.
1st grade - 2022 = 71% and 2023 = 73%

However, second grade is not showing the same growth. On all tested domains in second grade student percentages that met benchmark levels decreased. While this can not be a beginning of year to end of year comparison because of the addition of skills as the year progresses, it is concerning that kindergarten nor first grade show this same pattern for student achievement. <u>Middle School Level Formative Assessment Data:</u> BEACON data indicates that for ELA, Writing and Conventions of Standard English are weak domains for grade levels 5-8. While for math, 6th and 7th grade are weaker in Number Quantity and 8th grade is weaker in Geometry.
High School Level Formative Assessment Data: Laurens County Schools implemented a set of district wide formative assessments in all EOC tested subjects during the 2022-23 school year. While this data is new to the district and is still being analyzed for effectiveness at informing instruction, a few data points stood out. Algebra I - Domain data indicate that the standards of Seeing Structure in Expressions and Interpreting Categorical and Quantitative Data score lower than other assessed data. Biology - Domain data indicate that the standard for Classification scores lower than other standards.
US History - Domain data indicate that the standards for Establishment as a World Power and Post-WWII to Present score lower than other assessed data American Literature - The American Literature formative assessment is a writing assessment. Data, in the form of feedback comments, indicate that students need to attend to the question more closely, develop their response more clearly and completely, and avoid using run-on sentences, sentence fragments and errors in usage on the narrative writing genre. For the Informational and Argumentative genre of writing, students need to fully answer the question, include relevant details from both passages and addressed counterarguments, and clarify longer sentences to make sure the sentence makes sense.

What demographic data did you use?	CCRPI
	Governor's Office of Student Achievement State Report Card
	Local Financial Records
	Local Student Information System (ASPEN)
	Discipline Records

What does the demographic data tell you?	Although Laurens County per pupil expenditure is lower than the state
	average, we continue to utilize funds to reduce class size and to provide
	instructional support that are needed for both teachers and leaders.
	Demographic data indicates that we have a growing number and Hispanic
	students. Specifically the LCSS's ESOL population is growing. This growth
	rate is due to new foreign owned industry coming to Laurens County.
	Enrollment data also shows a decline in the general enrollment counts of the
	school system during the last three years but enrollment did increase slightly in

 FY23. This will affect FTE and funding sources in the future. One area of concern is the dropout rate for Laurens County School System (LCSS). In 2022, the drop out rate more than doubled as compared to 2021, going from 1.4% to 3.3%. The subgroups of white and male saw the largest increase in dropout rate. While this is still in line with State dropout rates, it is a concern for LCSS. This could be a result of a higher retention rate by the district, going from 2.5% in 2019 to 3.6% in 2023 at the middle/high school level. Another area of concern for LCSS is the increase and disproportionality of discipline in subgroup populations. In the 2022-23 school year, the percentage of the black male subgroup that had discipline referrals in the district. Most of the referrals for this subgroup were minor violations such as tardies, dress code or in class disruption, however this is a major concern for the district and more analysis is warranted. A final concern that was shown in the district's demographic data was the number of student with ADHD and the number of Hispanic students being referred for the MTSS process and SpED testing. Approximately 65% of initial referrals for testing to SpED in 2022-23 school year, the percentage and the majority of these students had the diagnosis of ADHD. This data aligns with the School Based Mental Health Convelor data for crisis contacts in that Anxiety, Emotional Behavior, and Aggression/Anger where the top reasons that three of these symptoms are prevalent in students with ADD/ADHD. Additionally, the district is disproportional for identification of significant learning disability in the Hispanic students for the 2022-23 school year. The current risk ratio for the Hispanic population in LCSS in FY23 is 3.1454.
 (LCSS). In 2022, the drop out rate more than doubled as compared to 2021, going from 1.4% to 3.3%. The subgroups of white and male saw the largest increase in dropout rate. While this is still in line with State dropout rates, it is a concern for LCSS. This could be a result of a higher retention rate by the district, going from 2.5% in 2019 to 3.6% in 2023 at the middle/high school level. Another area of concern for LCSS is the increase and disproportionality of discipline in subgroup populations. In the 2022-23 school year, the percentage of the black male subgroup that had discipline referrals in the district. Most of the referrals for this subgroup were minor violations such as tardies, dress code or in class disruption, however this is a major concern for the district and more analysis is warranted. A final concern that was shown in the district's demographic data was the number of student with ADHD and the number of Hispanic students being referred for the MTSS process and SpED testing. Approximately 65% of initial referrals for testing to SpED in 2022-23 were students with medical diagnosis, and the majority of these students had the diagnosis of ADHD. This data aligns with the School Based Mental Health Counselor data for crisis contacts in that Anxiety, Emotional Behavior, and Aggression/Anger where the top reasons that they counseled/worked with students for the 2022-23 school year. All three of these symptoms are prevalent in students with ADD/ADHD. Additionally, the district is disproportional for identification of significant learning disability in the Hispanic subgroup population for the 2022-23 school year. The current risk ratio for the Hispanic population in LCSS in FY23 is

3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

3.1 Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

Read the trends and patterns summaries from each section of the data analysis process. Use the information in these summaries to complete 3.2 and 3.3. Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.2. Watch the <u>Identifying Need webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

Coherent Instructional:Summarize the	Trends and patterns observed by the team include proficiency and a strong
coherent instructional system trends and	capacity in aligning instruction and assessments, communicating common
patterns observed by the team while	expectations, and improving student learning. The following district processes
completing this section of the report. What	have attributed to the school district's operational status for these standards:
are the important trends and patterns that	SystemWide Alignment Team, District Curriculum Plan, Common
will support the identification of student,	Assessments, District Designed Weekly PLCs at every school, Consistency and
teacher, and leader needs?	Accountability with the RTI/MTSS process, We Believe Documents, District
	Led Professional Learning Groups such as Aspiring Leaders, Instructional
	Coach Institute, and the Principal Development Program. Even though great
	strides have been made with meeting these standards, the team also observed
	and acknowledges that the Laurens County School District has recently
	become operational in meeting these standards and has a great amount of
	room still to grow within the operational performance.
	Although in past years Lexile scores are on an increase for LCSS students, the
	team does not feel that a trend has been established because of the disruption
	of learning during COVID. As a result, the goal of increasing Lexiles scores
	will continue to be part of the district plan. Action steps include professional
	development for teachers and leaders, utilizing the BEACON, IXL, Reading
	Inventory and DIBLE assessments to monitor students' Lexile growth
	throughout the year, Lexiling Media Centers, and incorporating more social
	studies, science, and nonfiction reading into ELA instruction.
	Previous efforts have been placed upon establishing district wide expectations
	with all facets of curriculum including instructing to the level and expectations
	of the Georgia Standards of Excellence, aligning instructional activities and
	assessments, and the development of common assessments. The Laurens
	County School System will continue to place effort upon evaluating these and
	other implementation initiatives with curriculum, instruction, and
	assessments. The guidance and support are more operational, while evaluation
	is more emerging. The Laurens County School District is currently building
	the capacity of school level staff to evaluate the implementation of curriculum,
	instruction, and assessments.

Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

Effective Leadership:Summarize the	Through district level and school level leadership meetings, Laurens County
effective leadership trends and patterns	School System sets the expectation that instruction is consistent throughout
observed by the team while completing this	the district. "We Believe" documents and district-endorsed PLC's reflect that
section of the report. What are the	instructional practices and student learning are at the core of school
important trends and patterns that will	improvement. Additionally, district designed curriculum maps/pacing guides
support the identification of student,	with common assessments are being developed for all grade levels in ELA and
teacher, and leader needs?	Math.
	Developing leadership across the district has also been a focus for Laurens County Schools over the last few years. The Aspiring Leaders group has been organized, and each year begins a new cohort. All assistant principals have the opportunity to become a part of the Principal Development Program and all Instructional Coaches participate in monthly district led instructional meetings. Finally, all principals and directors participate in monthly professional leadership development. All programs have been created based on school and district level data.

Professional Capacity:Summarize the	Professional development continues to be paramount in the retention of new
professional capacity trends and patterns	teachers and leaders. Continued mentoring programs, structured staffing and
observed by the team while completing this	scheduling to provide time for teachers to plan and collaborate is critical to
section of the report. What are the	allow teachers to grow professionally while maintaining a
important trends and patterns that will	personal/professional balance. Continued Professional Learning Communities
support the identification of student,	focused on collaborative planning and are aligned to district/school goals are
teacher, and leader needs?	also part of the district's PL Plan. This is paramount to ensure fidelity for the
	implementation and monitoring of the curriculum for the purpose of
	improved student outcomes. Mentoring new staff members (including leaders)
	is critical. Providing ongoing support to teachers via Instructional Coaches is
	needed as well as job-embedded research based professional learning/practices
	for both teachers and administrators.

Family and Community	Based on the Spring 2023 parent survey, a large portion of parents believe the
Engagement: Summarize the family and	LCSS engages the parents and community in an productive manner.
community engagement trends and patterns	Stakeholders tend to be more actively involved when meetings are geared
observed by the team while completing this	toward student recognition or nonacademic events. Although LCSS
section of the report. What are the	encourages stakeholder involvement, participation in school improvement,
important trends and patterns that will	leadership, and collaboration for student success is less frequent. Additional
support the identification of student,	steps should be taken to increase the number of stakeholders participating in
teacher, and leader needs?	parental involvement meetings.

Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

Supportive Learning	LCSS has strong procedures in place for maintaining the safety and well-being
Environment:Summarize the supportive	of students and staff. Processes such as MTSS, SST, ASPIRE, and 45-day report
learning environment trends and patterns	outs have proven effective in the continued incorporation of consistent
observed by the team while completing this	accountability for monitoring systems of supports. Based on surveys and data,
section of the report. What are the	behavior problems are perceived as an issue and will be addressed through the
important trends and patterns that will	PBIS process. Stakeholder engagement is strong although more involvement in
support the identification of student,	decision making and leadership is needed. School resources are improving but
teacher, and leader needs?	teachers and students feel continued improvement is needed. More student
	centered activities versus teacher centered, differentiation and small group
	instruction are needed in classroom. Unfortunately the use of technology in
	student-centered activities has become unbalanced and more emphasis will be
	placed on traditional teaching methods in the coming year. The use of
	relevant data to utilize differentiated instruction for all students is another area
	of professional development for schools. The use of Professional Learning
	Communities weekly, the continued addition of instructional coaches, and the
	provision of lesson plan feedback have proven to be important supports for
	classroom teachers.
	LCSS also recognizes the the mental health of our students is important to
	their over all well being. Therefore, LCSS has expanded its counseling
	program to include 3 school based mental health counselors. These counselors
	work within the schools and specifically help students in crisis. The district
	has seen a steady increase in student crisis situations following the return to
	school after COVID shut downs. Having these mental health professionals in
	the schools to help students and families has made a positive impact that we
	hope to continue.

Demographic and Financial:Summarize the	Although Laurens County per pupil expenditure is lower than the state
demographic and financial trends and	average, we continue to utilize funds to reduce class size and to provide
patterns observed by the team while	instructional support that is needed to both teachers and leaders. Demographic
completing this section of the report. What	data indicates that we have a growing number of Black, Migrant and Hispanic
are the important trends and patterns that	students. Data also shows that the current enrollment trend was decreasing,
will support the identification of student,	however, enrollment rose in FY23 but not to previous levels. This may impact
teacher, and leader needs?	funding and other aspects of the system in the coming years. Data also
	indicates there is a disproportionality in the number if Hispanic students being
	identified as SpED and the number of black subgroup students having office
	referrals. Laurens County is actively investigating root causes for these two
	issues and is working toward a plan to correct these disproportionalities.

Student Achievement:Summarize the	The district has implemented several progress monitoring programs for the
student achievement trends and patterns	district to make sure that students are achieving at the expected rate in ELA
observed by the team while completing this	and Math. The district has also implemented a series of common assessments
section of the report. What are the	at the high school level to monitor student achievement on EOC assessed
important trends and patterns that will	course standards. Both of these initiatives have shown positive results in
support the identification of student,	providing data to adjust curriculum and increase student achievement.
teacher, and leader needs?	However, all of the Milestone results continue to show gaps between our

Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

regular education and special education students, as well as, a gap between the
subgroups and the regular student population. Also, all Milestone results show
a high percentage of EL students are continuing to function below grade level
in reading. Another area of concern is the EOC American Literature scores.
Further data analysis shows that reading domains are a low area for this
assessment, This conclusion would also be in line with the lack of trend in
Lexile growth for American Lit students. The district is making this a priority
and is delving into the root cause of this data.
These trends indicate need for continued monitoring of planning and
instruction as well as data driven decision-making for curriculum and teaching
and a continued focus on our subgroups and SpEd populations. A focus on
literacy in all grade levels and subject areas will continue to be an initiative for
the district.

IDEA - Special Education

Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.3. Watch the <u>Identifying Need webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

Strengths	SWD are benefiting from the system-wide implementation of PBIS. The
	positive behavior supports are assisting these students with appropriate
	behaviors across all settings. The District's use of Go-IEP is beneficial in
	helping the District with general supervision and identifying areas of concern.
	The District's use of a lead school psychologist is strengthening the
	evaluation/eligibility process. The District is actively training more teachers
	and paraprofessionals in applied behavior analysis in multiple schools.

Challenana	
Challenges	Access to specialized instruction and access to effective instruction: The
	District has a large turnover rate of special education teachers. This has a
	tremendous impact on the special education department. Many special
	education teachers request to move to regular education as openings arise.
	The cycle of training/supporting new special education teachers is challenging.
	The District frequently uses the class size waiver that results in 10 or more
	SWD in some classes. Additionally, teachers of pullout classes throughout the
	District often have to teach multiple subjects to students from multiple grade
	levels at the same time. Inconsistent co-teaching practices throughout the
	District are also barriers to SWD having access to the general curriculum.
	Some co-teachers were often absent or late to co-teaching classes. The use of

IDEA - Special Education

Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.3. Watch the <u>Identifying Need webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

ISS/OSS is another barrier that inhibits students from having access to effective
and specialized instruction. The ISS/OSS barrier not only impacts SWD, it
impacts all students.

Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged

Strongtha	Instructional coaches and administrators facilitate job-embedded PLC's for
Strengths	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	teachers that are data-based and focus on areas of need;
	Improved access to student technology in the classrooms. Laurens County is
	currently a 1:1 school system
	Development and implementation of the MTSS program to serve all students
	at Tier II and Tier III on an ongoing weekly schedule.
	Addition of research based intervention programs utilized by the school
	instructional interventionist help to identify struggling, below grade level
	students.
	All elementary schools have implemented remediation blocks (WIN periods)
	to address the needs of students without pulling them from academic and
	non-academic classes, such as STEAM, PE and Art.

Challenges	Meeting state expectations in ELA on the Georgia Milestone Assessment
	Meeting state expectations in reading and writing on the Georgia Milestone
	Assessment
	Closing the achievement gap between all subgroups and the overall population

Title I, Part A - Foster Care

Strengths	Ensuring immediate enrollment, coordination to provide temporary
	transportation services from school of origin (if needed), access to
	supplemental academic programs.

Title I, Part A - Foster Care

Challenges	Identification of foster care students and coordination with Department of
	Family and Children Services

Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement

Strengths	Parent/Family meetings to provide parents/families with information to aid
	them in supporting the academic success of their students.
	Increased use of electronic platforms, such as Remind and Facebook, to
	maintain communication with parents and families.
	Coordination and consistency across schools to provide support for parents
	and families.
	Development of online training videos in which parents and community
	members can participate.
	Development of quarterly parent academic packets in grades K-5 to help
	supplement Math and Reading skills
	Development of a Google Classroom parent page with academic links and
	resources to support parents in helping their students achieve.

Challenges	Providing transportation or child care services for parents to attend parent
	school meetings;
	Involvement of parents in leadership positions such as governance boards and
	for input on school improvement.
	Communication with parents of special populations such as migrant and ESL.

Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children - Describe your LEA's strengths and challenges in meeting the unique educational needs of its migratory students, preschoolers, dropouts, and out-of-school youth. (Responses from an LEA served through the Abraham Baldwin Agricultural College [ABAC] consortium are needed in order to develop consortium services, including those LEAs without currently identified children. If no migrant children have been enrolled for the past three consecutive years, the LEA should state this to explain why strengths and challenges cannot be identified.)

Strengths	Scheduling tutoring services for migrant students has allowed more time for
	the Migrant Support Services Coordinator to work with individual students.
	Results from the Migrant Parents Survey shows parents believe the system
	cares about their children and provides a safe and nurturing environment. The
	process of identifying migrant students and the time between enrolling of
	students and services being provided has strengthened. Laurens County School
	system identifies the needs of current K-12 migrant participants by routinely
	monitoring PFS students, progress and report cards for all migrant students,
	teacher and parent conferences and progress monitoring PFS students with
	pre/post benchmarks. The needs of the migrant students will be met through

NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children - Describe your LEA's strengths and challenges in meeting the unique educational needs of its migratory students, preschoolers, dropouts, and out-of-school youth. (Responses from an LEA served through the Abraham Baldwin Agricultural College [ABAC] consortium are needed in order to develop consortium services, including those LEAs without currently identified children. If no migrant children have been enrolled for the past three consecutive years, the LEA should state this to explain why strengths and challenges cannot be identified.)

the use of MSSP staff utilizing either a push-in or a pull-out model, depending on the need of the student. Services for MIgrant students will be assessed
through growth on district benchmarks, GMAS scores, Lexile growth scores, and academic achievement in regular classes.

Challenges	The subgroup gap in ELA for the migrant student population is a prevailing
	challenge for Laurens County school system. These students tend to preform
	below the grade level average in reading and writing. As such, Laurens County
	Schools has made these academic areas a priority for all migrant students.
	Another challenge for Laurens County Schools is communication between
	parents and the school. Communication with parents of the Migrant students
	continue to be an concern for schools, teachers and parents. Laurens County
	will work to provide parent information in the home language and find
	interpreters where needed. It is the goal of Laurens County Schools that all
	parents feel welcomed and understand their student's educational process.

Title I, Part A and Title I, Part D - Neglected and Delinquent Children

Strengths	Coordination between The Lillie Home, a residential neglect facility, and The
	Laurens County Schools is strong and the relationship is in good standing.
	There is a strong enrollment procedure in place to get these students enrolled
	in our district and into classes in a timely manner.

Challenges	Tracking students academic progress is a challenge for the system. These
	students are so transient and are often only with the district for a few months if
	not weeks.

Title II, Part A - Supporting Effective Instruction

If transferring 100% of Title II, Part A, INSERT the following statement in the STRENGTHS/CHALLENGES: "100% transfer of FY24 Title II, Part A funds."

Strengths	Instructional Coach providing job-embedded professional learning for
	teachers.
	Providing support for new teachers through teacher mentors.
	Weekly focused professional learning communities that are data driven.

Challenges	Retaining effective teachers and administrators.
	Providing effective and relevant teacher and leader training to improve the
	academic success of students.

Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students

Describe your LEA's strengths & challenges in educating English Learner & Immigrant students based on trends and patterns in EL subgroup achievement and progress towards English proficiency. If the LEA does not receive Title III, Part A funds, describe the strengths and challenges of serving English learners in the LEA through state and local resources (the state funded ESOL Language Program).

• If the LEA receives Title III, Part A funds, describe the strengths and challenges of <u>both</u> the ESOL and Title III, Part A language instruction educational programs.

Strengths	LCSS has a dedicated team of eight ESOL endorsed teachers who serve our
	ever growing English Learner population. These teachers provide focused
	instruction to students with limited English proficiency as well as support to
	content area teachers with English Learners in their classrooms. ESOL
	program teachers receive monthly professional development opportunities
	based on needs assessments in order to grow their knowledge of research based
	practices and also have the opportunity to attend workshops that support
	district initiatives such as collaborative ESOL support and data driven
	assessment for ESOL teachers. Our district leadership has a clear
	understanding of the needs of English Learners and how to best serve this
	subgroup of students. Materials and technology are provided to teachers as
	well as students in order to best meet the language and content needs of our
	English Learners. Schools are always working to place an emphasis on
	fostering culturally responsive classrooms and overall climates. A three year
	data analysis shows that English Learners are making strides toward English
	proficiency on ACCESS testing through positive movements in proficiency
	levels as well as the positive growth on English Language Arts section on
	GMAS with gains in students moving toward level 2. Recently, through an EL
	tracking program, LCSS was able to more easily identify those students that are

Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students

Describe your LEA's strengths & challenges in educating English Learner & Immigrant students based on trends and patterns in EL subgroup achievement and progress towards English proficiency. If the LEA does not receive Title III, Part A funds, describe the strengths and challenges of serving English learners in the LEA through state and local resources (the state funded ESOL Language Program).

• If the LEA receives Title III, Part A funds, describe the strengths and challenges of <u>both</u> the ESOL and Title III, Part A language instruction educational programs.

not making sufficient gains. These students will be targeted for supplemental
services at the beginning of the FY24 school year. The district is making
purposeful strides to support English Learner families with planned ESOL
quarterly parent newsletters to strengthen parent capacity with a focus on
literacy through the content areas.

Challenges	LCSS serves students who speak multiple languages from a variety of countries.
	Many of these students have experienced interrupted schooling. The diverse
	student needs over a range of proficiency levels paired with the limited English
	proficiency of parents as well as literacy needs in the native language hinders
	the parents' ability to participate fully in the educational process. Severe
	poverty levels of Spanish speaking English Learner families throughout the
	district, paired with parents who also have no or a very limited educational
	background hinders student growth. Students have little academic support at
	home to reinforce concepts taught during the day at school in the home
environment. These families also tend to have inadequate transporta	environment. These families also tend to have inadequate transportation
available after	available after school hours. In the classroom, the lack of content teachers who
	are also ESOL endorsed district wide is a weakness. Providing effective and
	relevant teacher training to content teachers to improve the academic success
	of English Learners is an area of challenge.

Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth

Strengths	Counselors' and Social Workers' familiarity with outside agencies for referring
	parents and families in need.

	Challenges	Identification of students, mobility of homeless students, access to parents
--	------------	--

Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment

If transferring 100% of Title IV, Part A, INSERT the following statement in the STRENGTHS/CHALLENGES: "100% transfer of FY24 Title IV, Part A funds."

Strengths	Transfer 100% of FY24 Title IV, Part A

	Challenges	Transfer 100% of FY24 Title IV, Part A
--	------------	--

Title I,Part A - Equitable Access to Effective Educators

Strengths	Laurens County uses heterogeneous grouping of students in middle and
-	elementary schools. Classes are balanced based on race/gender/and academic
	ability. This help ensure all students have access to effective educators. Laurens
	County enacted new hiring protocols in FY17, Jobs are posted to the district
	job board for 10 days. A rubric is used to screen potential candidate .
	Qualifying candidates are selected for the interview process. An interview
	panel is formed and may consist of of teachers, administrators, and other
required staff. The interview panel ask a set of questions of all interview	required staff. The interview panel ask a set of questions of all interviewees.
	An interview rubric is developed for the position is scored. The panel makes a
	decision on the best qualified candidate. This help assure the system is hiring
	the best qualified staff members.

Challenges	Recruitment of high school academic area certified teachers is still a challenge
	for Laurens County.

Title V, Part B - Rural Education

Strengths	The Rural Education grant provides an opportunity to help fund district wide
	instructional initiatives. This grant helps fund the formative assessments for
	grades K-8. These assessments provide invaluable data to teachers to
	strengthen academic performance of students.
	The grant also supplements instructional supplies and technology for
	non-Title I as well as TItle I designated schools.
	Stipends and registration cost for teachers seeking additional endorsement and
	content specific professional development are also funded with this grant.
	This funding allows the district to provide more advance content/gifted
	education classes for eligible students.

Title V, Part B - Rural Education

Challenges	Not knowing from year to year if we are going to receive the Rural Education
	grant causes a delay in planning for using the grant money.

3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

3.2 Identification and Prioritization of Overarching Needs

Use the results of 3.1 to identify the overarching needs of the LEA. Determine the priority order of the identified needs based on data, team member and stakeholder knowledge, and answers to questions in the table below. Be sure to address the major program challenges identified in 3.1. Watch the <u>Identifying Need webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

Overarching Need # 1

Overarching Need	To Increase number of students reading on grade level based on Lexiles
How severe is the need?	High
Is the need trending better or	Better
worse over time?	
Can Root Causes be Identified?	Yes
Priority Order	1

Additional Considerations Special attention should be given to supporting writing across the cu	curriculum.
---	-------------

Overarching Need # 2

Overarching Need	Increase percentage of students scoring at proficient and distinguished levels on all math
	GMAS.
How severe is the need?	High
Is the need trending better or	Better
worse over time?	
Can Root Causes be Identified?	Yes
Priority Order	2

Additional Considerations	Elementary grades should concentrate more on number literacy rather than drill and skill.
	Also alignment of new math standards district wide should be a priority.

Overarching Need # 3

Overarching Need # 3

Overarching Need	Close achievement gaps for subgroups.
How severe is the need?	High
Is the need trending better or worse over time?	Better
Can Root Causes be Identified?	Yes
Priority Order	3

Additional Considerations	Special attention should be paid to literacy at all grade levels.

Overarching Need # 4

Overarching Need	Increase and/or maintain school climate ratings at each school.
How severe is the need?	High
Is the need trending better or worse over time?	Better
Can Root Causes be Identified?	Yes
Priority Order	4

Additional Considerations	Attention should be paid to disciplinary processes, training for teachers on SEL
	techniques, and preventative measures for discipline at the Tier I level.

3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

3.3 Root Cause Analysis

Select the top 2-4 overarching needs from 3.2. Conduct a separate root cause analysis (RCA) for each need. Any RCA tools and resources can be used, but suggestions are available as part of the <u>Identifying Need webinar</u>. After describing the RCA process, complete a table for each selected overarching need.

Overarching Need - To Increase number of students reading on grade level based on Lexiles

Root Cause # 1

Root Causes to be Addressed	A systematic literacy plan that includes phonemic awareness at the lower grade levels and writing skills at all grade levels has been implemented but literacy walk throughs need to occur to ensure that consistent deliver of the literacy plan is being fully implemented.
This is a root cause and not a	Yes
contributing cause or symptom	
This is something we can affect	Yes
Impacted Programs	IDEA - Special Education
	School and District Effectiveness
	Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged
	Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program
	Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program
	Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children
	Title I, Part D - Programs for Neglected or Delinquent Children
	Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students
	Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program

Additional Responses

Root Causes to be Addressed	Data analysis by teachers of formative assessments needs to occur at regular intervals for the purpose of adjusting instruction to meet the needs of the students.
This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom	Yes
This is something we can affect	Yes
Impacted Programs	IDEA - Special Education School and District Effectiveness Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program

Root Cause # 2

Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children Title I, Part D - Programs for Neglected or Delinquent Children Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students
Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program Others :

Traditional Responses

Root Cause # 3

Root Causes to be Addressed	Wide variance of literacy instructional practices of teachers within the district makes it hard to shift literacy practices.
This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom	No
This is something we can affect	Yes
Impacted Programs	IDEA - Special Education School and District Effectiveness Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children Title I, Part D - Programs for Neglected or Delinquent Children Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program Others :

Additional Responses

Root Causes to be Addressed	Inconsistent usage of district or state curriculum maps across all grade levels and academic subject areas to address content rigor and intent of the standard.
This is a root cause and not a	Yes
contributing cause or symptom	
This is something we can affect	Yes

Root Cause # 4

IDEA - Special Education School and District Effectiveness Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children
Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students

Additional Responses	

Root Cause # 5

Root Causes to be Addressed	Inconsistent school level implementation or literacy strategies in all academic areas to address literacy skills in all grade levels.
This is a root cause and not a	Yes
contributing cause or symptom	
This is something we can affect	Yes
Impacted Programs	IDEA - Special Education
	Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged
	Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children
	Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students

Additional Responses

Root Causes to be Addressed	Inconsistent use of district and state level formative assessment data and screeners to be used to identify struggling students early in the school year to provide interventions at the Tier 1 and 2 level as soon as possible at all grade levels.
This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom	Yes
This is something we can affect	Yes
Impacted Programs	IDEA - Special Education Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students

Additional Responses	
----------------------	--

Overarching Need - Increase percentage of students scoring at proficient and distinguished levels on all math GMAS.

Root Cause # 1

Root Causes to be Addressed	Inconsistent usage of district/state curriculum maps across all grade levels and academic subject areas to address the rigor and intent of the standards.
This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom	Yes
This is something we can affect	Yes
Impacted Programs	IDEA - Special Education School and District Effectiveness Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children Title I, Part D - Programs for Neglected or Delinquent Children Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program Others :

Additional Responses

Root Causes to be Addressed	Inconsistent school level implementation of math numeracy strategies to address learning loss at the elementary grade levels.
This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom	Yes
This is something we can affect	Yes
Impacted Programs	IDEA - Special Education School and District Effectiveness Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children Title I, Part D - Programs for Neglected or Delinquent Children Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program Others :

Additional Responses

NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

Root Cause # 3

Root Causes to be Addressed	Inconsistent use of district and state level formative assessment data and screeners to be used to identify struggling students early in the school year to provide interventions at the Tier 1 and 2 level as soon as possible at all grade levels.
This is a root cause and not a	Yes
contributing cause or symptom	
This is something we can affect	Yes
Impacted Programs	IDEA - Special Education
	Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged
	Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program
	Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children
	Title I, Part D - Programs for Neglected or Delinquent Children
	Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students
	Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program

Additional Responses

Overarching Need - Close achievement gaps for subgroups.

Root Causes to be Addressed	School level staff often have lower expectations and provide a lack of rigor for
	underachieving subgroups.
This is a root cause and not a	Yes
contributing cause or symptom	
This is something we can affect	Yes
Impacted Programs	IDEA - Special Education
	School and District Effectiveness
	Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged
	Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program
	Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program
	Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children
	Title I, Part D - Programs for Neglected or Delinquent Children
	Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students
	Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program
	Others :

Additional Responses	

Root Cause # 2

Root Causes to be Addressed	Staff often have a minimum understanding best practices that benefit underachieving subgroup populations.
This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom	Yes
This is something we can affect	Yes
Impacted Programs	IDEA - Special Education School and District Effectiveness Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children Title I, Part D - Programs for Neglected or Delinquent Children Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program Others :

Additional Responses

Root Causes to be Addressed	Processes, supports and strategies need to be in place to support teachers in the helping underachieving subgroups succeed.
This is a root cause and not a	Yes
contributing cause or symptom	
This is something we can affect	Yes
Impacted Programs	IDEA - Special Education
	Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged
	Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program
	Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children
	Title I, Part D - Programs for Neglected or Delinquent Children
	Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students
	Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program

Additional Responses	

Overarching Need - Increase and/or maintain school climate ratings at each school.

Root Cause # 1

Root Causes to be Addressed	The increase in social and emotional needs of students have impacted school climate as well as school achievement. Students need to instruction on SEL self-care practices such as anger management and emotional control.
This is a root cause and not a	Yes
contributing cause or symptom	
This is something we can affect	Yes
Impacted Programs	IDEA - Special Education
	School and District Effectiveness
	Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged
	Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program
	Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program
	Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children
	Title I, Part D - Programs for Neglected or Delinquent Children
	Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students
	Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program
	Others :

Additional Responses	

Root Causes to be Addressed	Consistency in the PBIS/MTSS behavior ODR process and why/when students should receive office disciplinary referrals needs to be revised and reestablished through out the district.
This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom	Yes
This is something we can affect	Yes
Impacted Programs	IDEA - Special Education School and District Effectiveness Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children Title I, Part D - Programs for Neglected or Delinquent Children Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program Others :

NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

Root Cause # 2

Additional Responses	ponses

Root Causes to be Addressed	District and school staff need additional support and training on dealing with student behavior in the form of SEL training and establishing classroom management practices such as routines and rituals.
This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom	No
This is something we can affect	Yes
Impacted Programs	IDEA - Special Education School and District Effectiveness Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children Title I, Part D - Programs for Neglected or Delinquent Children Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program Others :

A 111.1 1 D	
Additional Responses	
riduitional responses	



District Improvement Plan 2023 - 2024



Laurens County

DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLAN

1 General Improvement Plan Information

General Improvement Plan Information

District	Laurens County	
Team Lead	Julie Dyar	
Federal Funding Options to Be	Consolidation of Funds – Fund 150: Consolidation of State/Local and Federal Funds	
Employed (SWP Schools) in this		
Plan (Select all that apply)		
Select the Funds that the LEA	Title I, Part A	
anticipates will be consolidated	Title I, Part A Parent and Family Engagement set-aside	
	Title III, Part A, EL	
	Title V, Part B	
Transferability of Funds (ESSA Sec. 5103). If applicable, check the box and list the program(s) where funds are being		
transferred. Refer to the Federal Programs Handbook for additional information and requirements.		
Transfer Title II, Part A to:	Title I, Part A	
Cumulative Percentage of	100%	
Allocation to be Transferred to		
the Selected Grant(s)		

Transfer Title IV, Part A to:	Title V, Part B
Cumulative Percentage of	100%
Allocation to be Transferred to	
the Selected Grant(s)	

Fact	Factors(s) Used by District to Identify Students in Poverty (Select all that apply)	
	Free/Reduced meal application	
✓ Community Eligibility Program (CEP) - Direct Certification ONLY		
	Other (if selected, please describe below)	

DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLAN

2 ED - Flex Waiver

Do you need a waiver? No

3. DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT GOALS

3.1 Overarching Need # 1

Overarching Need

Overarching Need as identified in CNA Section 3.2	To Increase number of students reading on grade level based on Lexiles
Is Need # 1 also an Equity Gap?	Yes
Root Cause # 1	A systematic literacy plan that includes phonemic awareness at the lower grade levels and writing skills at all grade levels has been implemented but literacy walk throughs need to occur to ensure that consistent deliver of the literacy plan is being fully implemented.
Root Cause # 2	Inconsistent school level implementation or literacy strategies in all academic areas to address literacy skills in all grade levels.
Root Cause # 3	Inconsistent usage of district or state curriculum maps across all grade levels and academic subject areas to address content rigor and intent of the standard.
Root Cause # 4	Inconsistent use of district and state level formative assessment data and screeners to be used to identify struggling students early in the school year to provide interventions at the Tier 1 and 2 level as soon as possible at all grade levels.
Root Cause # 5	Data analysis by teachers of formative assessments needs to occur at regular intervals for the purpose of adjusting instruction to meet the needs of the students.
Root Cause # 6	Wide variance of literacy instructional practices of teachers within the district makes it hard to shift literacy practices.
Goal	Increase percentage of students reading on grade level based on Reading Inventory Lexile levels from the 2023-2024 beginning of the school year data so that at least 75% of students are considered proficient at the end of the 2023-2024 school year.

Equity Gap

Equity Gap	Lexile identify subgroups, grade level span and content area(s)

Content Area(s)	ELA
	Science
	Social Studies
Grade Level Span(s)	K
	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6

Equity Gap

Grade Level Span(s)	7
-	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
Subgroup(s)	Economically Disadvantaged
	Race / Ethnicity / Minority
Equity interventions	EI-1 Provide targeted teacher development on content, pedagogy and student supports
	and interventions

Action Step	Continue to utilize intervention specialists to provide Tier 2 and 3 interventions in all primary, elementary and middle schools.
Eunding Sources	Title I, Part A
Funding Sources	
	Title V, Part B
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged
	Foster
	Homeless
	English Learners
	Migrant
	Race / Ethnicity / Minority
	Student with Disabilities
Systems	Coherent Instruction
Method for Monitoring	Employment Records, Title Budgets. Class Schedules
Implementation	
Method for Monitoring	Results of progress monitoring data and GMAS results for targeted students.
Effectiveness	
Position/Role Responsible	Fed Programs Director, Principals
Evidence Based Indicator	Strong

Timeline for Implementation	Others : Aug 2023 - May 2024
Does this action step support the selected equity intervention?	No
TATI () 1 + +C +-1	1
What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits,	

111125, Dusiness, Non-1101115,
Community based organizations,
or any private entity with a
demonstrated record of success is
the LEA implementing in carrying
out this action step(s)?

Action Step	Continue to implement a consistent district-wide MTSS process that includes universal
	screen-ers and progress monitoring tools by providing training and support to all schools.
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A
	Title V, Part B
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged
	Foster
	Homeless
	English Learners
	Migrant
	Race / Ethnicity / Minority
	Student with Disabilities
Systems	Coherent Instruction
Method for Monitoring	Student Progress monitoring, District Wide Process Plan
Implementation	
Method for Monitoring	Analysis of EOG and EOC FY24 scores to compare to trend data looking for
Effectiveness	improvements in students scores.
Position/Role Responsible	School Principals, District MTSS Coordinator, School level MTSS Coordinators
Evidence Based Indicator	Strong

Timeline for Implementation	Others : Aug 2023 - May 2024
Does this action step support the selected equity intervention?	Yes
1171 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits,	

Community based organizations,
or any private entity with a
demonstrated record of success is
the LEA implementing in carrying
out this action step(s)?

Action Step	Continue to provide instructional coaches to all schools in the district.
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A
	Title V, Part B
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged
	Foster
	Homeless
	English Learners
	Migrant
	Race / Ethnicity / Minority
	Student with Disabilities
Systems	Coherent Instruction
	Professional Capacity
	Supportive Learning Environment
Method for Monitoring	PLC Sign-In Sheets, Employment records
Implementation	
Method for Monitoring	Analysis of EOC and EOG FY24 scores and growth scores to compare to the trend data
Effectiveness	looking for improvements in students scores. Also, academic coaches observations and
	conferences during the year.
Position/Role Responsible	Federal Programs Director, School Principals

Tellen er Dere I Indianten	<u>Ctures</u>
Evidence Based Indicator	Strong
Timeline for Implementation	Others : Aug 2023 - May 2024
	6 7
Does this action step support the	Yes
selected equity intervention?	
What partnerships, if any, with	
IHEs, business, Non-Profits,	
Community based organizations,	
or any private entity with a	
demonstrated record of success is	
the LEA implementing in carrying	
out this action step(s)?	
out this detion step(s).	1

Action Step	Continue to implement Reading Inventory for all students in Grades 3-12 and and Acadience for Grades K-2. K-8 students screened 3 times per year and 9-12 students screened 2 times per year.
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A Title V, Part B
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities
Systems	Coherent Instruction
Method for Monitoring Implementation	Quarterly reports, Yearly Report in May FY24.

Method for Monitoring Effectiveness	Analysis of EOG/EOC FY24 scores and growth scores of compare to trend data looking for improvements in students scores. Analysis of Reading Inventory and DIBLES progress monitoring results during the year.
Position/Role Responsible	School Administration, Instructional Coaches, Interventionist
Evidence Based Indicator	Strong

Timeline for Implementation

Others : Aug 2023- May 2024

Does this action step support the selected equity intervention?

What partnerships, if any, with
IHEs, business, Non-Profits,
Community based organizations,
or any private entity with a
demonstrated record of success is
the LEA implementing in carrying
out this action step(s)?

Action Step	Utilize Class Size Reduction where appropriate
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A
	Title V, Part B
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged
	Foster
	Homeless
	English Learners
	Migrant
	Race / Ethnicity / Minority
	Student with Disabilities

Systems	Coherent Instruction
Method for Monitoring	Master Schedules
Implementation	
Method for Monitoring	Milestone Reports analysis in Spring of FY24 to compare to trend data looking for
Effectiveness	improvements in students scores.
Position/Role Responsible	Federal Programs Director, School Principals
Evidence Based Indicator	Strong

Timeline for ImplementationOthers : Aug 2023 - May 2024

Does this action step support the selected equity intervention?

What partnerships, if any, with
IHEs, business, Non-Profits,
Community based organizations,
or any private entity with a
demonstrated record of success is
the LEA implementing in carrying
out this action step(s)?

Action Step	Ensure quality instruction in all classrooms by providing coherent systematic instructional materials and training to ensure that Tier I support is consistently implemented in all academic areas grades K-12.
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A
	Title V, Part B
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged
	Foster
	Homeless
	English Learners

Subgroups	Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority
	Student with Disabilities
Systems	Coherent Instruction
	Effective Leadership
	Professional Capacity
Method for Monitoring	Formal Walk-throughs, administrative observations using TKES, PLC Agendas
Implementation	
Method for Monitoring	Analysis of FY24 EOG/EOC scores to compare to trend data looking for improvements in
Effectiveness	students scores.
Position/Role Responsible	Principals, District Curriculum Director
Evidence Based Indicator	Strong

Timeline for Implementation Monthly

Does this action step support the Yes selected equity intervention?

What partnerships, if any, with	Laurens County Community Literacy Task Force, Heart of Georgia RESA
IHEs, business, Non-Profits,	
Community based organizations,	
or any private entity with a	
demonstrated record of success is	
the LEA implementing in carrying	
out this action step(s)?	

Action Step	Provide Professional Learning opportunities to reinforce literacy and content strategies
-	and provide resources for all students
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A
-	Title III, Part A
	Title V, Part B
Subgroups	N/A
	Economically Disadvantaged
	Foster
	Homeless
	English Learners
	Migrant
	Race / Ethnicity / Minority
	Student with Disabilities
Systems	Professional Capacity
Method for Monitoring	PL Agendas, Sign-in Sheets, PL Artifacts
Implementation	
Method for Monitoring	Analysis of formative and summative assessments to compare to previous data looking for
Effectiveness	trends and patterns. Also, PL evaluations of trainings
Position/Role Responsible	Federal Programs Director
Evidence Based Indicator	Strong

Timeline for Implementation

Others : Aug 2023 - May 2024

Does this action step support the Yes selected equity intervention?

What partnerships, if any, with	Heart of Georgia RESA
IHEs, business, Non-Profits,	
Community based organizations,	
or any private entity with a	
demonstrated record of success is	
the LEA implementing in carrying	
out this action step(s)?	

Action Step	Increase number of teachers with Gifted endorsement, ESOL endorsement, Reading endorsement, Dyslexia endorsement and GAA certification on professional certificates by providing funding for certification endorsement programs and stipends for educators at schools with a need for more of these types of teachers.
Funding Sources	Title V, Part B
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged
	Foster
	Homeless
	English Learners
	Migrant
	Race / Ethnicity / Minority
	Student with Disabilities
Systems	Professional Capacity
Method for Monitoring	Agenda, Sign-In Sheets, PL Artifacts, Updated Teaching Certificates,
Implementation	
Method for Monitoring	Analysis of formative and summative assessments looking for trends and patterns.
Effectiveness	
Position/Role Responsible	Federal Programs Director
Evidence Based Indicator	Strong

Timeline for Implementation

Others : Aug 2023 - May 2024

Does this action step support the Yes selected equity intervention?

What partnerships, if any, with
IHEs, business, Non-Profits,
Community based organizations,
or any private entity with a
demonstrated record of success is
the LEA implementing in carrying
out this action step(s)?

Action Step	Provide technology needs to support student learning and achievement.
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A
	Title V, Part B
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged
	Foster
	Homeless
	English Learners
	Migrant
	Race / Ethnicity / Minority
	Student with Disabilities
Systems	Coherent Instruction
	Supportive Learning Environment
Method for Monitoring	Monitoring Implementation - Invoices, detailed expenditure reports,
Implementation	
Method for Monitoring	Data analysis, of technology needs in Spring of FY22 to compare to the past 2 years
Effectiveness	looking for trends and patterns in needs.
Position/Role Responsible	Teachers, District Technology Director
Evidence Based Indicator	Strong

Timeline for ImplementationOthers : Aug 2023 - May 2024

Does this action step support the selected equity intervention?

What partnerships, if any, with	Heart of Georgia RESA, Oconee Fall Line Technical College
IHEs, business, Non-Profits,	
Community based organizations,	
or any private entity with a	
demonstrated record of success is	
the LEA implementing in carrying	
out this action step(s)?	

Action Step	Continue to provide interventionist at the elementary and middle school level, a district level instructional specialist, and graduation coaches at the high school level.
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A Title V, Part B
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged
	Foster
	Homeless
	English Learners
	Migrant
	Race / Ethnicity / Minority
	Student with Disabilities
Systems	Coherent Instruction
Method for Monitoring	Monitoring Implementation - periodic certifications, schedules
Implementation	
Method for Monitoring	Monitoring Effectiveness - Analysis of EOG/EOC data for FY24 to compare to the trend
Effectiveness	data looking for improvements in students scores and graduation rates.
Position/Role Responsible	Principal/Federal Program Director
Evidence Based Indicator	Strong

Timeline for Implementation

Others : Aug 2023-May 2024

Does this action step support the selected equity intervention?

What partnerships, if any, with
IHEs, business, Non-Profits,
Community based organizations,
or any private entity with a
demonstrated record of success is
the LEA implementing in carrying
out this action step(s)?

Action Step	Continue to implement an instructional model to support evidence-based practices in Reading which includes: expected instructional minutes, scheduling considerations, staffing considerations, and coherent framework for instructional practices.
Funding Sources	N/A
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged
	Foster
	Homeless
	English Learners
	Migrant
	Race / Ethnicity / Minority
	Student with Disabilities
Systems	Effective Leadership
Method for Monitoring	Monitoring Implementation: grade level class schedules, master schedules, and PL
Implementation	schedules and agendas
Method for Monitoring	Monitoring Effectiveness: Literacy walk through data, formative assessment data and
Effectiveness	FY24 GMAS scores
Position/Role Responsible	Curriculum Directors, Principals
Evidence Based Indicator	Strong

Timeline for Implementation Quarterly

Does this action step support the selected equity intervention?

What partnerships, if any, with
IHEs, business, Non-Profits,
Community based organizations,
or any private entity with a
demonstrated record of success is
the LEA implementing in carrying
out this action step(s)?

Action Step	Provide district approved, research based interventions for literacy with accompanying professional development for effective implementation.
Funding Sources	Title V, Part B
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged
	Foster
	Homeless
	English Learners
	Migrant
	Race / Ethnicity / Minority
	Student with Disabilities
Systems	Coherent Instruction
	Professional Capacity
	Supportive Learning Environment
Method for Monitoring	Monitoring Implementation: Agendas and sign-in sheets for PD, classroom walk
Implementation	throughs, Lesson Plans
Method for Monitoring	Monitoring Effectiveness: Formative data analysis, FY24 EOG and EOC data analysis.
Effectiveness	
Position/Role Responsible	Curriculum Directors, MTSS coordinator, Principals
Evidence Based Indicator	Strong

Timeline for Implementation Yearly

Does this action step support the Yes selected equity intervention?

What partnerships, if any, with
IHEs, business, Non-Profits,
Community based organizations,
or any private entity with a
demonstrated record of success is
the LEA implementing in carrying
out this action step(s)?

3. DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT GOALS

3.2 Overarching Need # 2

Overarching Need

Overarching Need as identified in	Increase percentage of students scoring at proficient and distinguished levels on all math
CNA Section 3.2	GMAS.
Is Need # 1 also an Equity Gap?	Yes
Root Cause # 1	Inconsistent school level implementation of math numeracy strategies to address learning
	loss at the elementary grade levels.
Root Cause # 2	Inconsistent usage of district/state curriculum maps across all grade levels and academic
	subject areas to address the rigor and intent of the standards.
Root Cause # 3	Inconsistent use of district and state level formative assessment data and screeners to be
	used to identify struggling students early in the school year to provide interventions at the
	Tier 1 and 2 level as soon as possible at all grade levels.
Goal	During FY24, increase number of students scoring on the Math GMAS at a proficient or
	distinguished level as compared to FY23 percentiles or higher.
	Grade 3 = 50% to 53%
	Grade 4 = 51% to 54%
	Grade $5 = 46\%$ to 50%
	Grade 6 = 41% to 50%
	Grade 7 = 49% to 52%
	Grade 8 = 49% to 52%
	Algebra 1 = 55% to 57%

Equity Gap

Equity Gap	Student achievement identify subgroups, grade level span and content area(s)
------------	--

Content Area(s)	Mathematics
Grade Level Span(s)	K
-	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10

Equity Gap

Grade Level Span(s)	11
	12
Subgroup(s)	Economically Disadvantaged
	Race / Ethnicity / Minority
Equity interventions	EI-1 Provide targeted teacher development on content, pedagogy and student supports
	and interventions

Action Step # 1

Action Step	Continue to Implement systematic, district approved, research based interventions,
-	including evidence based software programs and strategies, for eligible students not
	meeting grade level expectations.
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A
	Title V, Part B
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged
	Foster
	Homeless
	English Learners
	Migrant
	Race / Ethnicity / Minority
	Student with Disabilities
Systems	Coherent Instruction
Method for Monitoring	Monitoring Implementation - Monthly progress monitoring reports, class list, lesson plans
Implementation	
Method for Monitoring	Review Biweekly student growth reports, yearly student growth reports and compare to
Effectiveness	previous reports to determine growth patterns.
Position/Role Responsible	Interventionist, Admistrators
Evidence Based Indicator	Strong

Timeline for Implementation Monthly

Does this action step support the	No
selected equity intervention?	
beleeted equity intervention.	

What partnerships, if any, with	Georgia Department of Education, Heart of Georgia RESA,
IHEs, business, Non-Profits,	
Community based organizations,	
or any private entity with a	
demonstrated record of success is	
the LEA implementing in carrying	
out this action step(s)?	

Action Step	Continue to implement system-wide guidelines to monitor classroom instruction through district training and professional development of staff.
Funding Sources	N/A
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged
	Foster
	Homeless
	English Learners
	Migrant
	Race / Ethnicity / Minority
	Student with Disabilities
Systems	Effective Leadership
Method for Monitoring	Formal and Informal Walk-through, Monitor Comments provided by Administrators for
Implementation	consistency in application of TKES
Method for Monitoring	Analysis of FY23 Milestone EOG and EOC data to compare to trend data looking for
Effectiveness	improvements in students scores compared to TKES evaluation scores.
Position/Role Responsible	Curriculum Director, Superintendent
Evidence Based Indicator	Strong

Timeline for Implementation	Others : Monthly Aug 2023 - May 2024
*	

Does this action step support the selected equity intervention?

What partnerships, if any, with	Heart of GA RESA, GLRS
IHEs, business, Non-Profits,	
Community based organizations,	
or any private entity with a	
demonstrated record of success is	
the LEA implementing in carrying	
out this action step(s)?	

Action Step	Provide professional learning to continue district wide alignment and utilization of district
	curriculum maps for all grade levels and content areas.
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A
	Title V, Part B
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged
	Foster
	Homeless
	English Learners
	Migrant
	Race / Ethnicity / Minority
	Student with Disabilities
Systems	Coherent Instruction
	Professional Capacity
Method for Monitoring	Monitoring Implementation - Agendas and Sign-in Sheets, curriculum maps, unit
Implementation	benchmarks
Method for Monitoring	Walk-through by principals and Academic coaches to see implementation, benchmark
Effectiveness	results, teacher comments.
Position/Role Responsible	Principals, Academic Coaches
Evidence Based Indicator	Strong

Timeline for Implementation	Others : August 2023 - June 2024
Does this action step support the	Yes
selected equity intervention?	
* <i>'</i>	

What partnerships, if any, with
IHEs, business, Non-Profits,
Community based organizations,
or any private entity with a
demonstrated record of success is
the LEA implementing in carrying
out this action step(s)?

Action Step	Provide professional development in evidence based Instructional strategies for struggling
	learners to regular education teachers, ESOL teachers, and SpEd teachers that are based on
	school level needs and expected out comes.
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A
	Title V, Part B
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged
	Foster
	Homeless
	English Learners
	Migrant
	Race / Ethnicity / Minority
	Student with Disabilities
Systems	Professional Capacity
Method for Monitoring	Agendas, Sign in sheets, PL Minutes and PowerPoints,
Implementation	
Method for Monitoring	Walk-through and Evaluation of Lesson Plans. FY24 EOG and EOC test results,
Effectiveness	teacher/staff perception surveys
Position/Role Responsible	Principals, Academic Coaches
Evidence Based Indicator	Strong

Timeline for Implementation	Others : Aug 2023 - May 2024
Does this action step support the	Yes
selected equity intervention?	
What partnerships, if any, with	Heart of Georgia RESA
IHEs, business, Non-Profits,	
Community based organizations,	
or any private entity with a	
demonstrated record of success is	
the LEA implementing in carrying	
out this action step(s)?	

Action Step	Hire district wide instructional coaches to provide support to school level instructional
	coaches.
Funding Sources	Title V, Part B
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged
	Foster
	Homeless
	English Learners
	Migrant
	Race / Ethnicity / Minority
	Student with Disabilities
Systems	Professional Capacity
Method for Monitoring	Payroll, Financial documents, Periodic Certifications, Job Description
Implementation	
Method for Monitoring	FY23 Georgia Milestone analysis in ELA and Math to compare to trend data to look for
Effectiveness	improvements in students scores.
Position/Role Responsible	Federal Programs Director
Evidence Based Indicator	Strong

Timeline for Implementation	Others : Aug 2023 - May 2024
Does this action step support the selected equity intervention?	No
What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits,	

Community based organizations,	ons,
or any private entity with a	
demonstrated record of success is	ess is
the LEA implementing in carrying	rying
out this action step(s)?	

Action Step	Ensure quality instruction in all classrooms by providing coherent systematic instructional materials and training to ensure that Tier I support is consistently implemented in all grades K-12.
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A Title III, Part A Title V, Part B IDEA
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities
Systems	Coherent Instruction Professional Capacity
Method for Monitoring Implementation	PLC Agendas and sign in sheets,
Method for Monitoring Effectiveness	Analysis of EOC and EOG FY24 Scores to compare trend data looking for improvements in students scores.

Position/Role Responsible	Curriculum Director/ Principals
Evidence Based Indicator	Strong

Timeline for Implementation Monthly

Does this action step support the Yes selected equity intervention?

What partnerships, if any, with
IHEs, business, Non-Profits,
Community based organizations,
or any private entity with a
demonstrated record of success is
the LEA implementing in carrying
out this action step(s)?

Action Step	Use district wide progress monitoring assessments, such as BEACON, IXL, and
	Lennections, to determine student growth in the area of Math.
Funding Sources	N/A
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged
	Foster
	Homeless
	English Learners
	Migrant
	Race / Ethnicity / Minority
	Student with Disabilities
Systems	Coherent Instruction
Method for Monitoring	Assessment Calendar with progress monitoring dates, school and district level reports
Implementation	from each assessment

Method for Monitoring Effectiveness	Analysis of growth/student gains for each progress monitoring assessment
Position/Role Responsible	Curriculum Director/Principals/Federal Programs Director
Evidence Based Indicator	Strong

Timeline for Implementation Quarterly

Does this action step support the selected equity intervention?

What partnerships, if any, with
IHEs, business, Non-Profits,
Community based organizations,
or any private entity with a
demonstrated record of success is
the LEA implementing in carrying
out this action step(s)?

Action Step	Focus mathematics instruction on teaching students to conceptualize/rationalize the math as opposed to teaching student to simply memorize the math at all elementary grade levels.
Funding Sources	N/A
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged
	Foster
	Homeless
	English Learners
	Migrant
	Race / Ethnicity / Minority
	Student with Disabilities
Systems	Coherent Instruction

Method for Monitoring Implementation	PL agendas, Lesson Plans, Observations/classroom walk-throughs
Method for Monitoring Effectiveness	Formative assessment data, EOG data
Position/Role Responsible	Principals, curriculum director
Evidence Based Indicator	Strong

Timeline for Implementation Weekly

Does this action step support the selected equity intervention?

What partnerships, if any, with
IHEs, business, Non-Profits,
Community based organizations,
or any private entity with a
demonstrated record of success is
the LEA implementing in carrying
out this action step(s)?

Action Step	Continue the implement of an instructional framework to utilize targeted, small group instruction and balance direct instruction with technology enhanced instruction in all classrooms
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A Title V, Part B
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant

Subgroups	Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities
Systems	Coherent Instruction
Method for Monitoring	TKES evaluations, classroom observations/walk throughs, Lesson Plans
Implementation	
Method for Monitoring	Analysis of EOC and EOG FY24 Scores to compare trend data looking for improvements
Effectiveness	in students scores. District Wide Formative assessment data
Position/Role Responsible	Curriculum Directors, Principals
Evidence Based Indicator	Strong

Timeline for Implementation Yearly

Does this action step support the No selected equity intervention?

What partnerships, if any, with
IHEs, business, Non-Profits,
Community based organizations,
or any private entity with a
demonstrated record of success is
the LEA implementing in carrying
out this action step(s)?

3. DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT GOALS

3.3 Overarching Need # 3

Overarching Need

Overarching Need as identified in	Close achievement gaps for subgroups.
CNA Section 3.2	
Is Need # 1 also an Equity Gap?	No
Root Cause # 1	School level staff often have lower expectations and provide a lack of rigor for
	underachieving subgroups.
Root Cause # 2	Staff often have a minimum understanding best practices that benefit underachieving
	subgroup populations.
Root Cause # 3	Processes, supports and strategies need to be in place to support teachers in the helping
	underachieving subgroups succeed.
Goal	Increase FY24 percentage of all subgroup populations scoring at the proficient level on
	GMAS ELA and Math Assessments by 3% over FY23 scores.

Action Step # 1

Action Step	Provide professional development in Co-Teaching strategies for Regular and SpEd teachers as Co-teaching teams, especially for those that are new Co-teaching teams or are not showing expected student growth.
Funding Sources	Title V, Part B
Subgroups	Student with Disabilities
Systems	Professional Capacity
Method for Monitoring	Sign in sheets, Agendas, PowerPoints,
Implementation	
Method for Monitoring	Analysis of EOG and EOC FY24 ELA and Math Scores to compare to trend data, looking
Effectiveness	for improvements in students scores.
Position/Role Responsible	Special Education Director, Curriculum Directors
Evidence Based Indicator	Strong

Timeline for Implementation Ye

Yearly

Does this action step support the	No
selected equity intervention?	

What partnerships, if any, with
IHEs, business, Non-Profits,
Community based organizations,
or any private entity with a
demonstrated record of success is
the LEA implementing in carrying
out this action step(s)?

Action Step	Provide instructional support programs and PL opportunities for all ESL teachers and regular education teachers who serve ESOL students.
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A Title III, Part A Title V, Part B
Subgroups	English Learners
Systems	Coherent Instruction Professional Capacity Family and Community Engagement Supportive Learning Environment
Method for Monitoring Implementation	PL sign in sheets, teacher surveys, PL agendas, Conference registrations
Method for Monitoring Effectiveness	ACCESS score analysis for student growth
Position/Role Responsible	Title III coordinator, Federal Program Director
Evidence Based Indicator	Strong

Timeline for Implementation	Yearly
Does this action step support the	No
selected equity intervention?	

What partnerships, if any, with	WIDA, Heart of Georgia RESA, Georgia Department of Education
IHEs, business, Non-Profits,	
Community based organizations,	
or any private entity with a	
demonstrated record of success is	
the LEA implementing in carrying	
out this action step(s)?	

Action Step	Provide professional development in high leverage practices for teachers and staff of
	subgroup populations such as ED, SWD, ELL and Migrant.
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A
	Title V, Part B
	IDEA
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged
	Foster
	Homeless
	English Learners
	Migrant
	Race / Ethnicity / Minority
	Student with Disabilities
Systems	Coherent Instruction
	Professional Capacity
	Supportive Learning Environment
Method for Monitoring	PL sign in sheets, PL agendas, teacher PL evaluations
Implementation	
Method for Monitoring	Analysis of GMAS subgroup data for FY24 EOG and EOC to determine student
Effectiveness	achievement growth.

Position/Role Responsible	Director of SPED, Curriculum director, Instructional Coaches
Evidence Based Indicator	Strong
Timeline for Implementation	Yearly
Does this action step support the selected equity intervention?	No
What partnerships, if any, with	WIDA, Heart of Georgia RESA, Georgia Department of Education
IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations,	

IHEs, business, Non-Profits,
Community based organizations,
or any private entity with a
demonstrated record of success is
the LEA implementing in carrying
out this action step(s)?

Action Step	Provide supplemental support through push-in/pull-out ESL teaching staff and appropriate academic software.
Funding Sources	Title III, Part A
Subgroups	English Learners
Systems	Coherent Instruction
Method for Monitoring	Monitoring Implementation: ESOL Lesson Plans, Student schedules,
Implementation	
Method for Monitoring	Monitoring Effectiveness: Analysis of ELL ACCESS scores for FY24 to compare trend data
Effectiveness	looking for improvements in student scores.
Position/Role Responsible	ESOL teachers, ESOL Coordinator, Principals
Evidence Based Indicator	Strong

Timeline for Implementation	Yearly

Does this action step support the No selected equity intervention?

What partnerships, if any, with
IHEs, business, Non-Profits,
Community based organizations,
or any private entity with a
demonstrated record of success is
the LEA implementing in carrying
out this action step(s)?

Action Step	Provide a Migrant SSP that provides in-class support, tutoring, and extra assistance for identified migrant students.
Funding Sources	Title I, Part C
Subgroups	Migrant
Systems	Coherent Instruction Supportive Learning Environment
Method for Monitoring Implementation	Monitoring Implementation: Lesson Plans, MSSP weekly schedule, Financial Statements
Method for Monitoring Effectiveness	Monitoring Effectiveness: Analysis of district formative assessments. Use of Migrant Program Benchmarks to detemine student growth. Analysis of EOG and EOC data to compare trends in student growth.
Position/Role Responsible	Migrant Coordinator, Federal Programs Director
Evidence Based Indicator	Strong

Timeline for Implementation	Quarterly
Does this action step support the	No
selected equity intervention?	
What partnerships, if any, with	
IHEs, business, Non-Profits,	
Community based organizations,	
or any private entity with a	
demonstrated record of success is	
the LEA implementing in carrying	
out this action step(s)?	

3. DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT GOALS

3.4 Overarching Need # 4

Overarching Need

Overarching Need as identified in	Increase and/or maintain school climate ratings at each school.
CNA Section 3.2	
Is Need # 1 also an Equity Gap?	No
Root Cause # 1	Consistency in the PBIS/MTSS behavior ODR process and why/when students should receive office disciplinary referrals needs to be revised and reestablished through out the district.
Root Cause # 2	District and school staff need additional support and training on dealing with student behavior in the form of SEL training and establishing classroom management practices such as routines and rituals.
Root Cause # 3	The increase in social and emotional needs of students have impacted school climate as well as school achievement. Students need to instruction on SEL self-care practices such as anger management and emotional control.
Goal	Increase or maintain school climate ratings at each school by utilizing support resources available.

Action Step	Revise and re-implement a consistent behavior PBIS/MTSS process and resources for intervention at all schools. This will include training of staff for consistent
	implementation of the process through out the district.
Funding Sources	N/A
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged
	Foster
	Homeless
	English Learners
	Migrant
	Race / Ethnicity / Minority
	Student with Disabilities
Systems	Supportive Learning Environment
Method for Monitoring	MTSS program guide, meeting agendas and sign in sheets,
Implementation	
Method for Monitoring	Analysis of discipline data for all schools, student perception data,
Effectiveness	
Position/Role Responsible	district MTSS coordinator, School level MTSS coordinators,
Evidence Based Indicator	Strong

Timeline for Implementation	Yearly
Does this action step support the	No

Does this action step support the No selected equity intervention?

What partnerships, if any, with	Heart of Georgia RESA
IHEs, business, Non-Profits,	
Community based organizations,	
or any private entity with a	
demonstrated record of success is	
the LEA implementing in carrying	
out this action step(s)?	

Action Step	Provide a district-wide Family and Community Coordinator
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A
	Title V, Part B
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged
	Foster
	Homeless
	English Learners
	Migrant
	Race / Ethnicity / Minority
	Student with Disabilities
Systems	Family and Community Engagement
	Supportive Learning Environment
Method for Monitoring	Payroll, Monthly Financial Statements
Implementation	
Method for Monitoring	Parent perception data, student perception data
Effectiveness	
Position/Role Responsible	Federal Programs Director
Evidence Based Indicator	Strong

Timeline for Implementation	Yearly

Does this action step support the No selected equity intervention?

What partnerships, if any, with
IHEs, business, Non-Profits,
Community based organizations,
or any private entity with a
demonstrated record of success is
the LEA implementing in carrying
out this action step(s)?

Action Step	Hold academically focused family events at all schools
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A
	Title I, Part C
	Title III, Part A
	Title V, Part B
	IDEA
	Perkins
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged
	Foster
	Homeless
	English Learners
	Migrant
	Race / Ethnicity / Minority
	Student with Disabilities
Systems	Family and Community Engagement
	Supportive Learning Environment
Method for Monitoring	Flyers, Agendas, Sign In sheets for parent events.
Implementation	
Method for Monitoring	Parent perception surveys
Effectiveness	

Position/Role Responsible	Federal Programs Director, School Level Instructional Coaches
Evidence Based Indicator	Strong
Timeline for Implementation	Quarterly
Does this action step support the	No
selected equity intervention?	
What partnerships, if any, with	
IHEs, business, Non-Profits,	
Community based organizations,	
or any private entity with a	
demonstrated record of success is	
the LEA implementing in carrying	
out this action step(s)?	

Action Step	Provide school based mental health counselors at all grade levels.
Funding Sources	Title V, Part B
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged
	Foster
	Homeless
	English Learners
	Migrant
	Race / Ethnicity / Minority
	Student with Disabilities
Systems	Family and Community Engagement
	Supportive Learning Environment
Method for Monitoring	Payroll, Financial Statements
Implementation	

Method for Monitoring Effectiveness	Parent and Student perception survey data.
Position/Role Responsible	Federal Programs Director
Evidence Based Indicator	Strong

Timeline for Implementation Yearly

Does this action step support the No selected equity intervention?

What partnerships, if any, with	Community Mental Health of Laurens County
IHEs, business, Non-Profits,	
Community based organizations,	
or any private entity with a	
demonstrated record of success is	
the LEA implementing in carrying	
out this action step(s)?	

Action Step	Provide professional development and instructional materials district wide to enhance and further develop Social Emotional Learning programs, de-escalation strategies and school culture.
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A
	Title V, Part B
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged
	Foster
	Homeless
	English Learners
	Migrant
	Race / Ethnicity / Minority
	Student with Disabilities

Systems	Professional Capacity
	Family and Community Engagement
	Supportive Learning Environment
Method for Monitoring	Training Agendas, Sign in sheets,
Implementation	
Method for Monitoring	Parent and Student Survey Perception data, Training effectiveness surveys
Effectiveness	
Position/Role Responsible	Federal Programs Director, District MTSS Coordinator
Evidence Based Indicator	Strong

Timeline for Implementation Yearly

Does this action step support the selected equity intervention?

What partnerships, if any, with	Heart of Georgia RESA
IHEs, business, Non-Profits,	_
Community based organizations,	
or any private entity with a	
demonstrated record of success is	
the LEA implementing in carrying	
out this action step(s)?	

Action Step	Enhance Tier 1 interventions by incorporating social and emotional learning instruction for students K-2
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A Title V, Part B
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless

Subgroups	English Learners
	Migrant
	Race / Ethnicity / Minority
	Student with Disabilities
Systems	Supportive Learning Environment
Method for Monitoring	Monitoring Implementation: lesson plans and time line of lessons
Implementation	
Method for Monitoring	Monitoring Effectiveness: Analysis of at-risk behaviors and incidents by students.
Effectiveness	
Position/Role Responsible	MTSS Coordinator, School based mental health counselors
Evidence Based Indicator	Moderate

Timeline for Implementation Monthly

Does this action step support the selected equity intervention?

What partnerships, if any, with
IHEs, business, Non-Profits,
Community based organizations,
or any private entity with a
demonstrated record of success is
the LEA implementing in carrying
out this action step(s)?

Action Step	Monitor and analyze discipline subgroup trends to help decrease the number of discipline referrals in all grade levels and determine root causes for increases in discipline.
Funding Sources	N/A
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged
	Foster
	Homeless
	English Learners
	Migrant
	Race / Ethnicity / Minority
	Student with Disabilities
Systems	Supportive Learning Environment
Method for Monitoring	Data records for quarterly discipline analysis, SIS discipline information.
Implementation	
Method for Monitoring	Analysis of at risk-indicators for subgroups at all grade levels.
Effectiveness	
Position/Role Responsible	MTSS Coordinator, Instructional Coaches, School Level Counselors, Principals
Evidence Based Indicator	Moderate

Timeline for Implementation

Quarterly

Does this action step support the selected equity intervention?

What partnerships, if any, with
IHEs, business, Non-Profits,
Community based organizations,
or any private entity with a
demonstrated record of success is
the LEA implementing in carrying
out this action step(s)?

4. REQUIRED QUESTIONS

4.1 Stakeholders, Coordination of Activities, Serving Children, and PQ

Required Questions

Coordination of Activities

Describe how the LEA ensures ongoing and	The Annual Spring Stakeholders Meeting is used to share the district's
continuous coordination of services,	activities and seek advice on how to improve. This meeting is advertised in
supports, agency/community partnerships,	several ways to reach all stakeholders. Prior to the meeting, stakeholders
and transition services for children served	complete surveys and on the night of the meeting have face-to-face discussions
across its federal programs (Title I, Part A;	with school and district personnel regarding activities to prepare, train, and
Title I, Part A Children in Foster Care; Title	recruit high quality teachers and principals. Stakeholders are provided
I, Part A Family School Partnerships; Title I,	feedback forms to complete and submit during and after the meeting. Also, the
Part C; Title II, Part A; Title III, Part A; Title	district has an ongoing feedback platform for continuous feedback from
IV, Part A; Title IV, Part B).	stakeholders. The District Improvement Plan is developed from this needs
	assessment data.
	Laurens County Schools continuously coordinates professional learning
	activities among all Federal, State and Local programs. Professional
	development, teacher retention efforts, and student achievement are
	collaborative efforts within the district. Each school receives PD funds
	through local and state funding to address specific needs within their schools.
	Federal grants provide funds for more extensive PD and certification programs
	for our staff. Through collaboration and coordination, all programs within the
	district identify areas of need and growth and then create a collaborative plan
	to address those items as a team. District leaders meet monthly to review data
	and assess district goals. Laurens County Schools also has a strong and
	ongoing relationship with the local RESA - Heart of Georgia RESA, the
	Laurens County Literacy Task Force, local industry, the Department of Family
	and Children's Services, the Laurens County Recreation Department, and the
	South Central Health District. These community partnerships help to
	strengthen the district's already strong commitment to its community, parents,
	and stakeholders.
	The Federal Programs Director is responsible for Federal Grants, Federal
	Programs, Assessment, and supervises the Homeless and Foster Care Liaison
	which makes for easy coordination of timelines, needs, professional learning
	requests, budgets and programming. The district is actively involved in
	community relations. Our Social Workers conduct quarterly parent cafes, The
	Literacy Committee partners with community members to promote reading
	and literacy. ESOL and Migrant staff hold regularly scheduled meetings and
	provide ESL parent newsletters.

Serving Low Income and Minority Children

Describe how the district will ensure that	It is the Laurens County School System's policy to ensure equitable access to
low-income and minority children enrolled	and participation in its programs by all students regardless of their minority,
in Title I schools and/or programs are not	income, or special needs status. Class sizes for all programs are determined by
served at disproportionate rates by:1.	the number of students enrolled in each program and the waiver for maximum
ineffective teachers	class size. Students are equally divided among teachers in each program. TKES
2. out-of-field teachers	is implemented with fidelity to address the effectiveness of teachers.
3. inexperienced teachers	Professional learning opportunities are provided based on the individual and
	school needs. Our desire is to hire certified professionally qualified teachers.
(Please specifically address all three	However, when that is not possible, professional learning is provided to
variables)	prepare these teachers to become certified in their teaching position.
	Inexperienced teachers and administrators are provided with an induction
	program and job-embedded professional learning. Mentoring support is
	provided to ensure equitable access to an excellent education for all students.
	In all schools, every effort is made to ensure that students who have
	inexperienced, out of field, or ineffective teachers do not have these types of
	educators the following years.

Professional Growth Systems

Describe the district's systems of	Laurens County has a systematic plan for providing professional growth
professional growth and improvement for	opportunities that will meet the needs of our staff and students and will match
teachers and school leaders (serving both the	the goals of our District Improvement Plan. Staff members must complete
district and individual schools). The	Professional Learning Plans or Professional Learning Goals in the Fall. These
description might include:	plans include personal and school/district professional learning needs. Data
	from the CCRPI, GMAS, and district formative assessments are also used to
	determine the professional learning needs. In addition to achievement data,
	Laurens County used local perception surveys to determine teacher needs and
	PL effectiveness.
	Part of Laurens County's systematic plan for providing professional growth
	opportunities is our district level Professional Learning Communities (PLCs)
	LCSS has developed PLCs for the majority of our specialized groups
	throughout the district. Examples of PLC groups include Principals, Assistant
	Principals, Instructional Coaches, Counselors, Media Specialist, ESOL teachers
	and Rising Leaders. Monthly PLCs for each group are developed during the
	summer. Agendas and topics for these PLC groups are based on needs
	assessments and perception surveys, however, training is always tied back to
	district improvement goals.
	System and school level personnel compose the District Professional Learning
	Committee. Student needs are reviewed regularly to ensure that professional
	learning matches these needs. Goals in the District Improvement Plan are also
	determined from the needs seen by the data. Professional learning is provided
	as action steps to meet these goals.
	Professional learning is provided through HGRESA, through individual
	consultants, and/or through in-house facilitators. HGRESA provides
	evidence-based professional learning. Laurens County's Instructional

Professional Growth Systems

Coaches and Assistant Principals of Instruction will be responsible for ensuring that in-house and job-embedded professional learning processes are evidence-based by researching sites such as What Works Clearinghouse. Where appropriate and feasible, administrators and/or staff members will be given the opportunity to redeliver strategies learned through trainings or experience to the other schools' staff during common planning times and/or faculty meetings. This will build their leadership capacity as well as be a way to utilize resources efficiently. Strategies will include: creating a bank of unit plans that are continually being developed among staff within and collaboratively among other schools, improving classroom instruction, creating teacher websites and discussion boards for teacher collaboration and sharing of ideas, and conducting book study groups on relevant educational literature so that administrators and teachers can gain a broader view of the state of education. We allocate part of our federal funding to pay teachers stipends for attending workshops and training. The workshops and trainings attended may include AP Certification, Gifted endorsements, ESOL endorsements, and classes offered through Heart of Georgia RESA or GaDOE. All programs are evaluated through an effectiveness survey and observations of practice to determine whether and how to expand exemplary concepts throughout the school system and to determine the effectiveness on student
achievement. All professional learning received by all system personnel is documented at the District Board Office.

PQ - Intent to Waive Certification

For the current fiscal year, using the flexibility	Yes
granted under Georgia charter law (OCGA	
20-2-2065) or State Board Rule - Strategic	
Waivers (160-5-133), does the district	
intend to waive teacher certification? [ESSA	
Sec. 1112(e)(1)(B)(ii)]	

4. REQUIRED QUESTIONS

4.2 PQ, Federally Identified Schools, CTAE, Discipline

Required Questions

PQ - Waiver Recipients

If the LEA waives certification, specify	LCSS waives certification for grades 9-12 drama class teachers.
whether or not, in the current fiscal year,	LCSS Waives certification for all alternative school content area teachers.
certification is waived:	LCSS waives certification for contracted staff grades 9-12.
1. for all teachers (except Special Education	LCSS waives Advance Placement course certification requirements for grades
service areas in alignment with the student's	9-12.
IEP), or	LCSS waives content certification for all SPED teachers grades K-12
2. for a select group of teachers. If waived	LCSS waives certification for all induction level teachers grades K-12
for a select group of teachers, the response	LCSS waives gifted endorsement for all teacher of gifted grades K-12
must address content fields and grade level	LCSS waives certification for grades 9-12 economics teachers.
bands (P-5, 4-8, 6-12, P-12).	LCSS waives certification for advanced JROTC 9-12 instructors.
[All educators must hold a GaPSC issued	
Clearance Certificate.] [O.C.G.A. 20-2-211.1,	
SBOE 160-4-905, ESSA Sec.	
1112(e)(1)(B)(ii)]	

PQ – Minimum Qualification

If the district waives certification, state the	Drama teachers in LCSS will hold a valid Georgia teaching certificate issued by
minimum professional qualifications	the GaPSC in any other available field of certification.
required for employment of teachers for	Alternative School teachers will hold a valid Georgia teaching certificate issued
whom certification is waived (example:	by the GaPSC in any academic field of certification for middle or high school.
Bachelor's Degree, Content Assessment,	Contracted staff must hold industry certification and have 3 years job
Coursework, Field Experience etc.). If no	experience in the field they are teaching.
requirements exist beyond a Clearance	Advance Placement teachers will hold a valid Georgia teaching certificate in
Certificate, please explicitly state so. [Sec.	the academic area of the AP course and gifted endorsement.
1112(e)(1)(B)(ii)]	SPED teachers will hold a valid Georgia teaching certification in the service
	area required by a student's IEP.
	Induction level teachers will be currently enrolled in a state approved program
	or passed the GACE for their certification content area or have completed all
	requirements except for acceptable years of experience. Induction level
	teachers should be actively working toward completion of PSC induction
	requirements.
	Teachers of gifted students must hold a valid Georgia teaching certificate in the
	academic area of the gifted course and be enrolled in an approved gifted
	endorsement program.
	Economics teachers must hold a valid GaPSC teachers certification for Grades
	9-12 History or Social Studies.

PQ – Minimum Qualification

	Advanced level JROTC instructors must meet the basic requirements to instruct beginning level JROTC classes. However, all of the above must posses a clearance certificate.

State and Federally Identified Schools

Describe the actions the district will	Laurens County School system currently has no CSI or TSI Schools. However,
implement for its state and/or federally	if in the future LCSS has a school that falls under these designations, the LEA
identified schools (CSI/TSI) needing	will work to build capacity and support the school improvement process for
support. Include a statement of (1) whether	this school. All schools within the LEA will be involved in school improvement
or not the LEA currently has identified	efforts through the work of the LEA, the RESA, and GaDOE. The LEA will
schools needing support and (2) how the	work with schools to implement practices that have proven effective with
district will support current or future	improving schools. The LEA, along with the GaDOE, will establish clear
identified schools through prioritization of	expectations for personnel as they systemically support continuous
funds.	improvement in all schools. Laurens County Schools will follow ESSA
	guidelines for the prioritizing of Federal Funds to CSI or TSI designated
	schools. The district will always prioritize funding to increase student
	achievement and provide low-income and minority students greater access to
	effective teachers. This prioritization of funding will be determined based on
	school needs and ESSA guidelines.

CTAE Coordination

Describe how the district will support	CTAE teachers attend GACTE conferences. CTAE-RN provide training and
programs that coordinate and integrate	seminars as well as Professional Development activities sponsored by the
academic and career and technical education	teachers' content area professional organizations. Many of these sessions
content through:	concentrate on curriculum including relevant academic standards and content
coordinated instructional strategies, that	alignment. Ag teachers attend the GVATA conferences and other Professional
may incorporate experiential learning	Development events. Laurens County students will complete a program of
opportunities and promote skills attainment	study, including the sequence of courses in one of the eight pathways they
important to in-demand occupations or	choose, incorporating secondary education and post-secondary education
industries; andwork-based learning	elements. Business and industry field trips will be coordinated so that students
opportunities that provide students in-depth	and teachers can understand what skills are necessary for the workplace.
interaction with industry professionals and,	Laurens County receives over \$50,000 to support this program from Perkins.
if appropriate, academic credit.	End of pathway assessments are given to each student that completes a
	pathway. Most of the Perkins money is used for travel for CTSO advisor travel
	for professional development and for expendable equipment in order to keep
	the pathway up to date on what is offered in the real world.
	A broad overview of various disciplines are covered in the coursework to give
	students a background in how problem solving and thinking skills are used in

CTAE Coordination

these disciplines. Input from advisory council members, consisting of a broad
range of business and industry representatives allows the coursework to be
valid, up-to-date, and reflective of current practices in industry.
By developing the interest of students in areas of CTAE and showing the skills necessary to work in those areas, students see the importance of the skills being taught in the connecting academic classes. In CTAE classes, students build strong writing, comprehension, calculation, problem solving, and technical skills. Reading Across the Curriculum is a component of the Foundation Skills of the program which includes studies in the core academic subjects. Modifications are made so that all learners have support to meet the requirements of the program.
Community business owners and representatives speak to classes about the real world environment and how students will be a part of the workforce. Students participate in industry tours, job shadowing, conferences, and community service activities. Virtual job shadowing is a software program that is also used by students to learn more about jobs that may not be located in our area.
Our work-based learning program/Youth Apprenticeship follows all guidelines as stated by the GADOE. Students participating are given the opportunity to receive credits while working in an environment related to their career pathway. All students in grades 1-5 receive career related lessons. Students learn about goals and goal setting. During these lessons, students are introduced to careers, skills needed for those careers and inventories to help students match careers best suited for their likes/dislikes. All 5th grade students are expected to complete a career portfolio.

Efforts to Reduce Overuse of Discipline Practices that Remove Students from the Classroom

Describe how the district will support efforts	All Laurens County schools participate in the State PBIS initiative. We have a
to reduce the overuse of discipline practices	designated PBIS District Coordinator. Each school has a PBIS coach that
that remove students from the classroom,	organizes the PBIS meetings at each school and helps to analyze trends in
which may include identifying and	discipline data. Discipline data is printed every 4 weeks and sent to all schools
supporting schools with high rates of	within our district for administrators to review rates of discipline and
discipline, disaggregated by each of the	subgroups of students disciplined to prevent the overuse of discipline practices
subgroups of students.	that remove students from the classroom. Schools have established school
	level PBIS teams and have school level PBIS coordinators. These school level
	coordinators receive regular training on PBIS strategies and research based
	practices.

4. REQUIRED QUESTIONS

4.3 Title I A: Transitions, TA Schools, Instructional Program

Required Questions

Middle and High School Transition Plans

Describe how the district will implement	The middle school and high school counselors will visit each 8th grade
strategies to facilitate effective transitions	homeroom to share information about transitioning to high school. They will
for students from middle grades to high	discuss courses offered, graduation requirements and extracurricular
school and from high school to	opportunities,. At the end of the 8th grade year, students will tour the high
postsecondary education including:	school to orient themselves to the layout of the school. The high school
coordination with institutions of higher	counselor will conduct a parent/student meeting to discuss student schedules,
education, employers and local partners;	HOPE scholarship, graduation requirements, and school rules. The high
and increased student access to early college	school will hold an open house prior to the start of the school year to give
high school or dual or concurrent	students a chance to pick up their schedules and to meet their teachers.
enrollment opportunities or career	During the first week of high school, the principal will meet with students to
counseling to identify student interests and	discuss rules and behavior expectations.
skills.	
	The high school counselors, along with the CTAE Director and the Youth
	Apprenticeship Coordinator will work to provide opportunities for students to
	meet with representatives from local businesses, perspective higher education
	schools, and/or military recruitment officers. High School students will have
	the opportunity to meet with local colleges during Senior Day to gain
	information about diploma and degree programs. Students are allowed one
	day during their senior year to visit the college of his/her choice in an attempt
	to decide about post-high school plans. Students are counseled beginning in
	the elementary school and continuing through middle school to high school
	concerning possible career options. Students have the time and resources to
	virtually investigate areas of interest. During high school, students will take
	the ASVAB to gain insight into their personal careers. During both middle
	and high school, students can take part in job shadowing. This first-hand
	experience often helps students see a job for what it really is; thus, providing an
	invaluable opportunity.
	Through the Move On When Ready program, students who are academically
	capable will have the option to begin their post-secondary education while still
	in high school. The high school counselors begins the discussion about
	MOWR options when students are still in 8th grade. Each year, they continues
	to provide information and to counsel students as to admission requirements
	and course offerings. They acts as the liaison between the high school and the
	colleges. Through the Career Tech Ag. Ed. Program, students take part in
	hands-on experiences that train them for the work force. A WBL/YAP
	coordinator will help students who seek employment during high school.
	He/she will advise students of work related protocols and monitor students in
	the work force.

Describe how the district will support,	Laurens County Schools work closely with local preschool programs such as
coordinate, and integrate services with early	HeadStart to ensure that all students who are in need of these services are
childhood programs at the district or school	offered the opportunity to take part. Representatives of these programs are
level, including plans for transition of	invited to speak at our Migrant PAC meetings and are encouraged to attend
participants in such programs to local	other federal program meetings throughout the year, as well as to join in and
elementary school programs.	give presentations on their programs. Our Migrant SSP works closely to assist
	these programs by making referrals and distributing flyers. When children are
	identified as needing Preschool Intervention Program (PIP) services, those
	students who are also eligible migrant students can be served in our Migrant
	Preschool Program as well. The special education staff provides professional
	learning for HeadStart, Migrant Headstart and Private Pre-schools to explain
	the IDEA referral process and early intervention. If referred students are found
	eligible for IDEA services, students are served in a variety of service models.
	Most often, services are provided via the Consultative or Co-taught model in
	the regular learning environment to allow these students to be with typical
	peers. If a student needs a more restrictive setting, we provide Pre-School
	Intervention services via a resource and/or self-contained settings.

Title I, Part A - Targeted Assisted Schools Description

If applicable, provide a description of how	Laurens County School System has no Targeted Assisted Schools during the
teachers, in consultation with parents,	FY24 School Year. Laurens County School System uses the following
administrators, and pupil services personnel,	
will identify the eligible children most in	In all schools selected to receive Title I, Part A funds under the Elementary and
need of services in Title I targeted assistance	Secondary Education Act of 1965 (ESSA) Section 1113(c) that are ineligible for
schools. The description must include the	a targeted assistance program or that choose not to operate a schoolwide
multi-criteria selection to be used to identify	program, a local educational agency may use funds received under this part
the students to be served.	only for programs that provide services to eligible children identified as having
	the greatest need for special assistance. The following are adhered to in the
	district's targeted assistance plan:
	• Restrict Title I, Part A resources to help eligible, participating students meet
	the Georgia Performance Standards (GPS) standards that are expected of all
	students.
	• Ensure that planning for students served under this part is incorporated
	into existing school planning.
	• Use effective methods and instructional strategies that are based on
	scientifically based research that:ul
	• strengthen the core academic component of the school
	Eligible children are identified by the school as failing, or most at-risk of
	failing, to meet the GaDOE's challenging student academic achievement
	standards based on multiple, educationally related, objective criteria
	 scientifically based research that:ul strengthen the core academic component of the school Eligible children are identified by the school as failing, or most at-risk of failing, to meet the GaDOE's challenging student academic achievement

Title I, Part A - Targeted Assisted Schools Description

	established by the district and supplemented by the school. Selection is based on the score of more than one measure. The program has an academic component. Targeted assistance schools are required to separately identify Title I students. These schools must meet similar requirements of schoolwide programs, such as emphasizing accelerated curricula, scheduling extended learning time, using effective methods and instructional strategies that are scientifically research-based, providing adequate professional development, and coordinating the Title I activities with other school reform activities. Students must be ranked using a multiple criteria selection process. Description of the Method by Which Children with the Greatest Need Are Selected:
	 Students will be selected through multiple selection criteria. The school administration will create a list of students deemed "at risk", based upon their ranking in the following: state testing, and promotion/retention. Note that preschool through second grade students must be chosen solely based on the judgment of the teacher, interviews with parents and other developmentally appropriate measures examined by the teacher. Children who are economically disadvantaged, children with disabilities, migrant children, homeless children, or limited English proficient children are eligible for services under this part on the same basis as other children are eligible for services:
	The district would make a concerted effort to minimize removal of students from regular classrooms during the day. The needs of each individual student would be considered.

Title I, Part A – Instructional Programs

Provide a general description of the	All Laurenes county schools will become Title I School-wide Schools during
instructional program in the following:	the 2023-24 school term. Title I funds are used in a supplementary manner to
Title I schoolwide schools; Targeted	further reduce class size at the elementary level and to provide additional
Assistance Schools; and schools for children	support services for all Title I schools. Title I schools will also use funds to
living in local institutions for neglected or	provide school level interventionist and instructional coaches for their schools.
delinquent children.	All schools will use its Title I instructional funds to purchase high-quality,
	evidence-based materials that will supplement materials supplied through local
	and QBE funding. It is the Laurens County School System's policy to ensure
	equitable access to and participation in its programs by all students regardless
	of their minority, income, or special needs status. Laurens County Title I
	Elementary Schools use Title I resources are used for class-size reduction were
	appropriate. All Laurens County Title I schools use Title I resources for

Title I, Part A – Instructional Programs

professional development activities that relate to improving student achievement, and for the cost of instructional materials, including computer based instructional resources and for parent and family engagement activities. The District employs Instructional Coaches at each Title I school and a part time district wide Family Engagement Coordinator that serves all schools. All schools offer Accelerated Content Classes in all core areas. Each elementary and middle school implements a "WIN" (What I Need) block of instruction. This block will be tailored to meet the individual needs of each child. Remediation, Enrichment, or exploration will take place during this time. School Interventionists will work with struggling students each day to meet their academic needs. The Family Engagement Coordinator supports the schools in providing activities for the parents to be involved in their child's school. These include annual Title I meetings, Parent Involvement Input meetings, and meetings to educate the parents on curriculum, Georgia Milestones Assessments and the academic progress of their students through Parent/Teacher conferences. Parents also have specific grade-level meetings where they are invited to visit their child's classroom and opportunities to engage in activities that are linked to learning and tied to student achievement. Laurens County will also implement three parent conference days during the school year. Parents will be able to schedule meaningful parent conferences with teachers on these days. The Family Engagement Coordinator organizes Parent Cafés at the district level. The district as well as the schools, continually communicate with the parents through newsletters, social media, and school websites. Laurens County has one Neglected Institution, (The Lillie Home). The residents of The Lillie Home are served by the school within the attendance zone. Consultations are held with the staff of The Lillie Home through out the

year. Students are provided additional services by the district social worker.

4. REQUIRED QUESTIONS

4.4 Title I Part C

Required Questions

Title I, Part C – Migrant Intrastate and Interstate Coordination

Consortium LEAs describe how they	Laurens County School System uses the Occupational Survey provided by the
collaborate with the MEP Consortium staff	GaDOE MEP Office coordinator to identify migrant students. All schools will
at Abraham Baldwin Agricultural College	use the Occupational Survey Form with all new students. This form is
(ABAC) to support the records transfer	provided during back-to-school registration and given to any new student
process for students moving in and out of	registering throughout the school year. The district Migrant SSP collects these
the school (including academic and health	forms and any that are marked that they moved due to work are flagged. The
records), and how the use of the	school is notified by the District Migrant Worker if students are to be coded as
occupational survey during new student	migrant in the school information system.
registration and back-to-school registration	Laurens County School System will follow system and state policies for transfer
for all returning students is coordinated and	of students and has procedures in place to provide a timely transfer of school
reviewed.Consortium LEAs and	health and academic records for all students but particularly for migratory
Direct-funded LEAs describe how the	students. The process of transfer begins as soon as the parent/guardian
Migrant Student Information Exchange	provides the school with a formal signed release or by the request of records
(MSIX) is used in the records transfer	from the receiving school. Any student that transfers into or out of the district
process (both interstate and	or state, including migrant students, are either given a copy of their withdrawal
intrastate):description includes who in the	paperwork to take with them or the school is sent a request for records. Once
LEA accesses MSIX when migratory children	a Records Request is sent to the prior school, records should be received within
and youth enroll and depart; description	10 days from the request. The district Title I, Part C director and the MSSP
includes how the information in MSIX,	will use MSIX to help transfer records electronically as a second method of
when available, is used for enrollment and	transferring school records to ensure enrollment and correct course placement
course placement decisions for migratory	decisions. The MSSP will also query student data using the MSIX platform in
children and youth.	a timely and systematic manner, at minimum weekly, so that the MSSP will be
	knowledgeable about records transfer and ensure the continuity of services for
	children who migrate from one State or district to another. The MSSP will
	also utilize the MSIX platform for the purpose of recruitment. MSIX's history
	of previous movement is useful to recruiters in verifying information received
	from participants. The MSIX platform will be used by the MSSP to verify
	promotion/retention, LEP services, credit accrual, as well as other essential
	data, for students entering Laurens County School District.

Title I, Part C – Migrant Supplemental Support Services

Title I, Part C - Migrant Supplemental Support Services

1. Consortium LEAs describe how academic and non-academic services are coordinated with Abraham Baldwin Agricultural College (ABAC).

2. Direct-funded LEAs describe:ul

 how the needs of preschool children and families are identified and how services are implemented and evaluated during the regular school year and summer.
 how the needs academic and non-academic needs of out-of-school youth and drop-outs are identified, how the OSY profile is used to support the identification of needs and the delivery of appropriate services, and how services are implemented and evaluated during the regular school year and summer. Laurens County Schools' migrant program provides 3 year old preschool services to parents of eligible migrant students in their home. Preschool children will be provided with early literacy instruction and materials. Once these students are identified, the MSSP will develop a schedule to serve these preschool aged students either at their homes or in the community. One of the priorities for the MSSP in working with preschool students is to help the parents learn to work with their children in a environment of learning. Laurens County Schools strive to serve all our OSY and DO students within our county. These students are more difficult to reach due to their transient life style. Weekends and evening schedules are permitted from our administration to serve these students. By offering these opportunities outside of normal working hours, their daily routines of work are not interrupted. Forming relationships with local farmers and crew leaders aides in the recruitment of these students. Working around their schedules ensures that we are welcomed back again. When OSY/DO students are interviewed for services within the migrant program, an OSY profile form is completed along with the interview process. This document lists services in which the participant is interested in at the time. Students who wish to pursue a GED are informed about programs available within our district. Routinely passing throughout the work sites can fostering relationships with our migrant participants ensures that our program is successful and will continue to grow. We have reached a point where the OSY/DO students remember us from year to year and during recruitment, they encourage others to come forward to be interviewed. During the summer months, LCSS MSSP will provide 3yo preschool, OSY and DO students and families with literacy skill building resources in the form of an age appropriate learning packet. While these packet will be mostly academic in content, LCSS will also provide information about non-academic support services through this resource. The MSSP will deliver these resource to the these individuals or families at the beginning of the summer break and will follow up with the students and families before school begins in August. These follow-ups may be in the form of a home visit, work place visit, phone conference, or online meeting.

The Laurens County Migrant SSP will coordinate with the K-12 school principals to schedule for the coordinator to work with the migrant students as a supplemental support in the Language Arts classroom. The MSSP will conference with the K-12 migrant students teachers to analyze the migrant student's academic weakness and will tutor the students to strengthen each student academically. The MSSP will also coordinate and communicate with the K-12 parents of the migrant students and help provide supplemental material for parents and students to use at home during the school year. K-12 migrant students will be provided an opportunity to participate in the summer literacy programs held at each school during the summer. Also, the MSSP will reach out to the K-12 Migrant parents and provide summer reading material for students to utilize while students are out for the summer.

Migrant families and/or schools that request help with non-academic support services, such as health services, emergency dental and medical services, nutrition programs, and social services are supported by the district's MSSP and the district's interpreter. Both of these staff members are in constant

Title I, Part C - Migrant Supplemental Support Services

communication with migrant families and local community service agencies in order to provide for the need of LCSS's migrant population. The local Migrant SSP collaborates with the state Migrant Education Program and other community agencies such as DFACS, local dentist, local doctor offices, and Community Mental Health, to provide appropriate services for identified migrant families and children. Typically, non-academic support services will the referred to the MSSP by school level social workers or counselors, or a request will come directly from the migrant family. Each request/referral is reviewed for allowability and appropriate support is given by the MSSP. In addition to providing appropriate services, the MSSP will reach out to migrant families with preschool aged students older than three years of age to provide early literacy instruction and materials for these children. Once these students are identified, the MSSP will develop a schedule to serve these preschool aged students either at their homes or in the community. Evaluation and observation of the MSSP by the Laurens County Federal Programs Director will ensure that all of these support services are delivering the appropriate academic support services to all of these subgroups.

4. REQUIRED QUESTIONS

4.5 IDEA

Required Questions

IDEA Performance Goals:

Describe how the district will meet the following IDEA performance goals: IDEA Performance Goal 1: Improve graduation rate outcomes for students with disabilities.

What specific post-secondary outcome activities (school completion, school age transition, and post-secondary transition) are you implementing in your LEA to improve graduation rates? Include:Description of your district's proceduresSpecific professional learning activitiesPlan to monitor implementation with fidelity Goal 1: Improve graduation rate outcomes for students with disabilities 1. The District is very involved with the Heart of Georgia Transition Alliance. Each spring high school students with disabilities have the opportunity to participate in the I Can Conference that is sponsored by the Heart of Georgia Transition Alliance. This conference provides students with an opportunity to gain self-advocacy and self-determination skills, to learn job interviewing skills, to learn financial skills, and to explore post-secondary options. 2. The Heart of Georgia Transition Alliance organizes forums for teachers and parents/guardians to learn more about transition opportunities that are in the area. The District participates in these forums to gain information to share with parents and students in regards to the resources available to students with disabilities as they prepare to leave high school. 3. Both high schools have a CTI coordinator who provides support to students with disabilities in their CTAE classes. The CTI coordinator works to enhance the students' career, technology, and agricultural skills in an effort to improve the students' employability and successful transition into the job market. 4. Each high school's CTI coordinator works closely with Vocational Rehab to ensure students receive all of the services that they need in terms of transition. Both high schools have a vocational rehab counselor assigned to them. The CTI coordinator and the vocational rehab counselor work together to identify students who need vocational rehab services. They also work together to place students in appropriate job sites. 5. The District's high school special education teachers work closely with Georgia Department of Behavior Health and Developmental Disabilities to share their resources with students and families. Representatives often attend IEP meetings to share information with parents. 6. Middle and high school special education teachers receive information from District special education personnel each year in leading IEP teams to develop compliant transition plans. 7. Many of the District's students with disabilities participate in High School High Tech. This program provides students career-development experiences and assists them with identifying a realistic vocational goal and transitioning to post-secondary education and gainful employment. 8. The District has a procedure to follow regarding students who are wanting to drop out of high school or have missed 10 or more consecutive days of school. Case managers will reach out to the students and document their

school. Case managers will reach out to the students and document their attempts to meet with the student and discuss the importance of staying in school. Case managers will also schedule an IEP meeting to discuss the student's needs. Special education teachers will keep written documentation of their communication with the student and parents. This procedure will be reviewed at the beginning of the school year with special education teachers.

	 10. Many of our high school students who are in our adaptive classes are getting the opportunity to participate in supportive job programs throughout our community. Our teachers of these classes have worked closely with local businesses and restaurants to expand these opportunities. These teachers are also planning opportunities for these students to practice daily living skills out in the community. 11. The District is implementing the Showcase Transition Program for the upcoming year. This program is designed to provided our older high school students who are in adaptive classrooms the opportunity to focus on transition/employment skills to better prepare them for when they graduate.
--	---

Describe how the district will meet the	Goal 2: Improve services for young children (3-5) with disabilities
following IDEA performance goals:	1. The District has 2 teachers for preschool disabilities. This allows one
IDEA Performance Goal 2: Improve services	teacher to work specifically with 3 year olds who have disabilities. She is able
for young children (3-5) with disabilities.	to improve services for the 3 year olds by focusing specifically on their
What specific young children activities	needs.She has a special education paraprofessional who also provide support to
(environment, outcomes, and transition) are	these students. As the needs increase, additional paraprofessionals are added
you implementing in your LEA to improve	to provide additional support. There is one speech therapist who is dedicated to
services for young children (ages 3-5)?	working with the students in the 3 year old classroom. The physical therapist
Include:LEA proceduresServices that are	and occupational therapist also provided services as needed.
offered and provided within your district as	2. The teacher who works with the 3 year olds also works specifically with
well as where the service options are located.	Babies Can't Wait, Head Start, Children 1st, local hospital, doctors'
(e.g. local daycares, Head Start, homes,	offices, teachers, parents, and other referring agencies to help identify children
community-based classrooms, PreK	who may qualify for the preschool disabilities program. All referrals for the
classrooms)Staff that will be designated to	preschool disabilities program go through this teacher. This assists with
support the 3-5 populationCollaboration	consistency. She meets with parents to coordinate and assist them one-on-one
with outside agencies, including any	in the referral process. This teacher attends transition conferences with Babies
trainings conducted by the LEAParent	Can't Wait personnel. She works collaboratively with BCW personnel to
trainings	gather documents prior to referral whenever possible to ensure a smooth
	transition. This teacher also works with BCW to hold summer transitions
	early before school gets outfor the summer.
	3. The additional preschool disabilities teacher focuses her instruction on the
	4 – 5 year olds with disabilities. This teacher's classroom is located at the
	primary school where there are other Bright from the Start PreK classes. This
	teacher and her paraprofessionals focus their attention to the needs of the 4 -5
	year olds and work closely with Bright from the Start pre-k classrooms.
	Allowing the teachers to focus on specific age ranges continues to improve the
	services that the children receive. Some of the students receive their services in
	the special education classroom while others may receive their special
	education services in the regular Bright from the Start PreK classrooms. A
	speech language pathologist, the physical therapist, and the occupational
	therapist also provide services as needed.
	4. One of the District's traveling speech language pathologists works closely
	with local Head Start programs and daycares in providing therapy to students

Describe how the district will meet the following IDEA performance goals: **IDEA Performance Goal 3: Improve the** provision of a free and appropriate public education to students with disabilities. What specific activities align with how you are providing FAPE to children with disabilities? Include:How teachers are trained on

IEP/eligibility procedures and instructional of service options for all SWDsHow IEP accommodations/modifications are shared with teachers who are working with SWDsSupervision and monitoring procedures that are being implemented to ensure that FAPE is being provided

Goal 3: Improve the provision of a free and appropriate public education to students with disabilities.

1. The District reaches out to local doctors' offices, dentists' offices, GLRS, private schools, sheriff's office, local library, mental health center, and day cares located in the District, home-school parents, parents of enrolled students, and the public to make them aware of the Child Find Procedures. Child find information is shared on the District's Facebook page.Child find information is also shared in student handbooks, the District's website, the District's centralized registration center, and the District's board office.

practicesHow LRE is ensuredThe continuum 2. The District has a parentally-requested evaluation procedure in place. This procedure will be reviewed with school administration, school-level interventionists, and academic coaches so it can be shared with all teachers/school level staff. The school level RtI coordinator documents the school's response on the Parentally-Requested Evaluation Form. The special education director and district RtI Coordinator work closely with the school counselors/designated staff in following this procedure.

> 3. The District RtI coordinator works closely with school level RtI coordinators and MTSS teams to ensure that students who may need testing are referred for special education testing. All referrals for testing are turned into the District RtI coordinator so she can work with the special education director in sending out parental consents for evaluation.

4. The District communicates and closely works with a private school located in the county to provide Child Find services. A specific speech therapist and school psychologist are assigned to work with students who attend the private school. The services are better provided when these individuals can build relationships with the parents, students, and teachers at the private school. 5. PLCs led by the District special education department will be provided multiple times throughout the year. A District PLC calendar has been created for the lead special education PLCs. This calendar will be shared with lead special education teachers at the beginning of the school year. Lead special education teachers will be asked to re-deliver these PLCs with the special education teachers in their buildings. These PLCs will focus on special education procedural issues, review of the Special Education Handbook, review of High-Leverage Practices in Special Education, and redelivery of information obtained from Special Education Director Collaborative Communities. These PLCs will be in addition to the school-based PLCs. 6. The District provides a continuum of services for students with disabilities. Students are served by special education teachers and special education paraprofessionals in regular education classrooms and pull-out classrooms as needed. LRE is considered for students during IEP meetings as services are discussed. Speech therapy, physical therapy, and occupational therapy are also provided.

7. The District has hired a Special Education Support Specialist who will work with new special education teachers (& current teachers needing more support) in the following areas: Go-IEP, the IEP process, district procedures, Special Education Handbook. This support specialist will also assist special education teachers in working through the re-evaluation

referral process.

8. Special education teachers complete a SPED Data Collection Summary for each student on their caseload. These SPED Data Collection Summary forms will be updated and submitted to the lead special education teachers and reviewed by SPED District personnel several times during the school year. The dates for checking these forms will be scheduled and will be shared with all special education teachers at the beginning of the school year. This SPED Data Collection Summary form will include the student's current grades, any discipline concerns, any ISS/OSS information, and attendance. The special education teacher will also document the progress students are making toward their IEP goals. The form will include a section where the special education teacher documents the actions taken as case manager based off of the data on the progress report. The implementation of the SPED Data Collection Summary form will help case managers respond to academic or behavior concerns in a timely manner and ensure students are getting the support they need to be successful.

9. Special education teachers are given a Beginning of the Year To Do List to complete during pre-planning. Teachers are reminded to do the following: check the service pages of students' IEPs to ensure students are scheduled into the correct classes; check the amount of time on the service page of the IEP to ensure it is correct; give all teachers of students a copy of classroom accommodations and behavior intervention plans; give school-testing coordinators a copy of standardized testing accommodations; and give school administrators a copy of behavior intervention plans. Teachers collect signatures to document that they have given out accommodations and behavior intervention plans.

10. The District is continuing to implement PBIS in some schools. The implementation of PBIS assists the district in closely monitoring the use of ISS and OSS. PBIS will continue to provide behavioral supports for students so they can continue to receive instruction in the classroom.

11. Special education teachers participate in Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) with regular education teachers monthly. Their involvement in these PLCs gives them opportunities to increase their ability to provide more academic rigor and individualized instruction to students with disabilities in both co-teaching settings and pull-out settings for students with significant needs. An increase in rigor and the appropriate use of individualized instruction will allow students with disabilities to be more academically successful.

12. District special education personnel will share and discuss the results of the **2022-23**Parent Survey results with special education teachers, therapists, and school psychologists so we can make plans to address the areas of concern. This will occur during our sped PLC time.

13. The District's speech language pathologists work with teachers to screen students in prek and kindergarten if they did not attend prek within the District. The speech language pathologists work with teachers and parents in providing any interventions needed as a result of the screeners.

14. The District has a procedure for special education teachers to follow if a student on his/her caseload is placed in ISS. This procedure ensures that

students with disabilities continue to get some special education services if
they are placed in ISS. District special education personnel train special
education teachers on this procedure at the beginning of the school year. A
form is used to document the services provided to a student in ISS.
15. The District provides training to special education paraprofessionals on
their roles and responsibilities in serving students with disabilities on a yearly
basis.
16. The District has a procedure to address the absence of special education
teachers. Teachers are trained on this procedure at the beginning of the school
year to ensure students are receiving their specialized services.
17. Special education teachers work closely with the District's social workers,
the District's licensed mental health counselors, and Community Mental
Health to ensure students get the appropriate wrap-around services.

Describe how the district will	
meet the following IDEA	
performance goals:	table width="100%"tbodytrtdGoal 4: Improve compliance with state and federal laws and
IDEA Performance Goal 4:	regulations
Improve compliance with state	1. District special education personnel & lead special education teachers will review special
and federal laws and regulations.	education procedural issues, components of an IEP, eligibility procedures, and updated
How procedures and practices	information/professional learning from GaDOE through the SPED PLCs that are
are implemented in your district	scheduled throughout the school year. These SPED PLCs will be held at the schools. The
to ensure overall compliance?	District also shares some information from GaDOE via routine Update Emails to all
Include:LEA procedures to	special education teachers.
address timely and accurate data	2. Additional PLCs are scheduled on an as needed basis for specific teachers who
submissionLEA procedures to	demonstrate a need in a certain area or has issues of noncompliance. This need will be
address correction of	identified by District personnel when reviewing IEPs and communicating with lead special
noncompliance (IEPs, Transition	education teachers.
Plans)Specific PL offered for	3. The lead special education teachers will share and review procedures from the Laurens
overall compliance, timely &	County Special Education Handbook with all special education teachers during a
accurate data submission, and	beginning of the year meeting at each school. Topics from the Laurens County Special
correction of	Education Handbook will be revisited throughout the year during Sped PLCs.
noncomplianceSupervision and	4. Each school has a lead special education teacher who assists the special education
monitoring procedures that are	teachers at each school with procedural concerns. Lead special education teachers review
being implemented to ensure	IEPs before they are finalized in Go-IEP. Lead special education teachers also receive
compliance	updates from District special education personnel on a regular basis to share with special
-	educations teachers.
	5. District special education personnel meet with the school psychologists and the
	behavior specialist multiple times a month to discuss specific students who need to be

evaluated. The special education director keeps a master list of all referrals for testing that
tracks when evaluations are due(GoIEP report). One of the school psychologists reviews
referrals and completes a checklist for each student needing an evaluation. This checklist
summarizes pertinent data that the school psychologist needs as she plans to evaluate a
student. The District has hired an additional school psychologist for the upcoming year
to assist in completing evaluations in a more timely manner.
6. The district level special education department will utilize the Go-IEP reports on a
monthly basis to monitor the timely completion of IEP paperwork. This will assist the
District in providing specific feedback to special education teachers in regards to IEPs.
7. Special education teachers will utilize Annual Review Due Process Checklists as they
complete IEPs. Special education teachers are also given agendas to follow for the
following types of meetings: initial IEP meetings, eligibility meetings, transfer meetings,
and re-evaluation data review meetings. The specific forms needed for each type of
meeting are also listed on the agenda for the special education teacher.
8. The District has a procedure to follow for students who fail vision/hearing screenings.
This procedure will assist the District in more efficiently evaluating students. This
procedure will be reviewed with special education teachers at the beginning of the school
year.
/td
/tr
/tbody
/table

4. REQUIRED QUESTIONS

4.6 Title IV Part A

Required Questions

Title IV, Part A – Activities and ProgrammingLEAs must provide a description of each activity/program to be implemented during the fiscal year of allocation and as identified in the District Improvement Plan by focus area and include program objectives/goals/outcomes. (ESSA Sec. 4106)

A. Well-Rounded Activities	100% Transfer of FY24 Title IV, Part A funds.
(WR)—InstructionProvide:Overarching	
Need number/Action Step number(s)New or	
ContinuingName/Description of	
ActivityMeasurable Goal/Intended Outcome	

B. Safe and Healthy	100% Transfer of FY24 Title IV, Part A funds.
(SH)-Climate/CultureProvide:Overarching	
Need number/Action Step number(s)New or	
ContinuingName/Description of	
ActivityMeasurable Goal/Intended Outcome	

C. Effective Use of Technology	100% Transfer of FY24 Title IV, Part A funds.
(ET)-Professional	
LearningProvide:Overarching Need	
number/Action Step number(s)New or	
ContinuingName/Description of	
ActivityMeasurable Goal/Intended Outcome	

D. Effective Use of Technology 15%	100% Transfer of FY24 Title IV, Part A funds.
(ET15)-InfrastructureProvide:Overarching	
Need number/Action Step number(s)New or	
ContinuingName/Description of	
ActivityMeasurable Goal/Intended Outcome	

Title IV, Part A – Ongoing Consultation and Progress Monitoring

Title IV, Part A – Ongoing Consultation and Progress Monitoring

Describe how and when the LEA will consult	Laurens County School System will be transferring 100% of Title IV, Part A
any stakeholders/community-based partners	funds to Title V.
in the systematic progress monitoring of	
Title IV, Part A supported activities for the	
purposes of implementation improvement	
and effectiveness measurements.	

4. REQUIRED QUESTIONS

4.7 Reducing Equity Gaps

Required Questions

Reducing Equity Gaps: Reflect on the previous year's LEA Equity Action Plan

Equity Gap 1Was the LEA Equity Action	Intervention Effective -Adjust Activities/Strategies
Plan effective in reducing the equity gap	,
selected for the year?Intervention Effective -	
Equity Gap EliminatedIntervention Effective	
- Maintain Activities/StrategiesIntervention	
Effective – Adjust	
Activities/StrategiesIntervention Not	
Effective – Adjust	
Activities/StrategiesIntervention Not	
Effective – Abandon Activities/Strategies	

Provide a brief description of LEA's success	LCSS equity action plan involved closing the gap for subgroups in both Lexile
in implementation of the prior year LEA	performance and GMAS scores. Analyzing the data to determine the
Equity Action Plan and	effectiveness of this equity action plan is extremely difficult. LCSS GMAS
effectiveness/ineffectiveness in addressing	trend data shows declines in student Lexile levels as compared to previous
the selected equity gap.	years but with data rebounding at an expected rate. Additionally, GMAS
	achievement data is significantly lower that in the past. However, LCSS is
	above the state and RESA average in both of these measures. Local formative
	data indicates that students did make significant increases in both Lexile
	measures and achievement scores over the FY22 school year but not to the
	level of previous years. Therefore, LCSS will maintain its goal of
	implementing quality, research based instruction to our students in the hopes
	of closing gaps and increasing achievement. LCSS will also provide additional
	personnel and supports for those struggling students not making comparable
	gains to classmates.

Equity Gap 2Was the LEA Equity Action	Intervention Effective - Adjust Activities/Strategies
Plan effective in reducing the equity gap	
selected for the year?Intervention Effective -	
Equity Gap EliminatedIntervention Effective	
- Maintain Activities/StrategiesIntervention	
Effective – Adjust	
Activities/StrategiesIntervention Not	
Effective – Adjust	
Activities/StrategiesIntervention Not	
Effective – Abandon Activities/Strategies	

Reducing Equity Gaps: Reflect on the previous year's LEA Equity Action Plan

Provide a brief description of LEA's success	LCSS equity action plan involved student achievement of identify subgroups,
in implementation of the prior year LEA	grade level span, and content area(s). FY23 LCSS GMAS data shows gains in
Equity Action Plan and	student achievement levels for most math tested grade levels as compared to
effectiveness/ineffectiveness in addressing	previous years. However, LCSS is above the state and RESA average for most
the selected equity gap.	of the tested grade levels. Local formative data, such as IXL and BEACON,
	indicate that students did make significant increases in achievement over the
	FY22 school year but not to the level of previous years. Teachers and staff
	were given additional professional learning on the topics of effective
	instructional strategies at the Tier 1 and Tier 2 level through out the year in
	hopes that this would empower their efforts to support struggling students.
	The district also provided math manipulatives to elementary level teachers,
	along with training on effective use, so that students can conceptualize math
	skills that are more difficult or abstract in thinking. Because of this, LCSS will
	maintain its goal of implementing quality, research based instruction to our
	students in the hopes of closing gaps and increasing achievement. LCSS will
	also provide additional personnel and supports at the Tier 2 and Tier 3 level
	for those struggling students not making comparable gains to classmates.

4. REQUIRED QUESTIONS

4.8 Overarching Needs for Private Schools

In this section, summarize the identified needs that will be addressed with FY22 federal funds for private schools Include results from ongoing consultation with private schools receiving services from the LEA's federal grants (ESSA Sec. 1117 and 8501; 20 U.S.C. 1412(a)(10)(A)(iii); and 34 C.F.R. §300.134). Information is available on the State Ombudsman website. (Add "No Participating Private Schools" as applicable.)

Title I, Part A	No participating private schools
Title II, Part A	No participating private schools
Title III, Part A	No participating private schools
Title IV, Part A	No participating private schools
Title IV, Part B	No participating private schools
Title I, Part C	No participating private schools

IDEA 611 and 619	Letters were mailed to all parents registered with the state as
	homeschooling their children, to Trinity Christian School, to Christ
	Academy, and to Dublin Mennonite School inviting them to a
	proportionate share meeting that was held on 7/11/2022. From that
	meeting, the needs identified were for a special education teacher to provide
	instruction, speech therapy, occupational therapy, and behavior support.
	Letters have been mailed to all parents registered with the state as
	homeschooling their children, to Trinity Christian School, to Christ
	Academy, and to Dublin Mennonite School inviting them to the upcoming
	proportionate share meeting that is scheduled for 7/1/2023.