

MERCED CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

**BUILDING FUND (MEASURES S AND M)
FINANCIAL AND PERFORMANCE AUDITS**

JUNE 30, 2016

MERCED CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

**BUILDING FUND (MEASURES S AND M)
FINANCIAL AUDIT**

JUNE 30, 2016

**MERCED CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT
BUILDING FUND (MEASURES S AND M)**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS
JUNE 30, 2016**

FINANCIAL SECTION

Independent Auditor's Report	2
Building Fund (Measures S and M)	
Balance Sheet	4
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance	5
Notes to Financial Statements	6

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Schedule of Long-Term Obligations	13
Reconciliation of Annual Financial Report With Audited Building Fund (Measures S and M)	19
Note to Supplementary Information	20

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	22
--	----

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Financial Statement Findings	25
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	26

FINANCIAL SECTION



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Governing Board and
Citizens Oversight Committee
Merced City School District
Merced, California

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Merced City School District's (the District), Building Fund (Measures S and M), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of financial statements, whether due to error or fraud. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting principles used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Building Fund (Measures S and M) of the Merced City School District at June 30, 2016, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Building Fund specific to Measures S and M, and are not intended to present fairly the financial position and changes in financial position of Merced City School District in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Other Matters

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements of the Merced City School District's Building Fund (Measures S and M). The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements.

The accompanying supplementary information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the accompanying supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by *Government Auditing Standards*

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 11, 2016, on our consideration of the District's Building Fund (Measures S and M) internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's Building Fund (Measures S and M) internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Vavrinek, Trine, Day & Co. LLP

Fresno, California
December 11, 2016

**MERCED CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT
BUILDING FUND (MEASURES S AND M)**

**BALANCE SHEET
JUNE 30, 2016**

ASSETS

Deposits and investments	\$ 23,426,617
Total Assets	<u><u>\$ 23,426,617</u></u>

LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE

LIABILITIES

Accounts payable	\$ 3,208,822
Total Liabilities	<u>3,208,822</u>

FUND BALANCE

Restricted	20,217,795
Total Fund Balance	<u>20,217,795</u>
Total Liabilities and Fund Balance	<u><u>\$ 23,426,617</u></u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

**MERCED CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT
BUILDING FUND (MEASURES S AND M)**

**STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES
IN FUND BALANCE
FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2016**

REVENUES

Interest income	\$ 144,765
Total Revenues	<u>144,765</u>

EXPENDITURES

Current

Services and other operating expenditures	20,684
Capital outlay	8,890,033
Bond issuance costs	249,890
Total Expenditures	<u>9,160,607</u>

DEFICIENCY OF REVENUES OVER EXPENDITURES

(9,015,842)

Other Financing Sources:

Proceeds from bond issuance	18,264,008
-----------------------------	------------

NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES

9,248,166

FUND BALANCE - BEGINNING

10,969,629

FUND BALANCE - ENDING

\$ 20,217,795

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

MERCED CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT BUILDING FUND (MEASURES S AND M)

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2016

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accounting policies of the Merced City School District's (the District) Building Fund (Measures S and M) conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA). The Merced City School District Building Fund accounts for financial transactions in accordance with the policies and procedures of the California School Accounting Manual.

Financial Reporting Entity

The financial statements include only the Building Fund of the Merced City School District used to account for Measures S and M projects. This Fund was established to account for the expenditures of general obligation bonds issued under Measures S and M. These financial statements are not intended to present fairly the financial position and results of operations of the Merced City School District in compliance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Building Fund are accounted for in a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues, and expenditures. Resources are allocated to and accounted for in the fund based upon the purpose for which they are to be spent and the means by which spending activities are controlled.

Basis of Accounting

The Building Fund is accounted for using a flow of current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. With this measurement focus, only current assets and current liabilities generally are included on the balance sheet. The statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance reports on the sources (revenues and other financing sources) and uses (expenditures and other financing uses) of current financial resources.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

Annual budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for all governmental funds. The District's governing board adopts an operating budget no later than July 1 in accordance with State law. A public hearing must be conducted to receive comments prior to adoption. The District's governing board satisfied these requirements. The Board revises this budget during the year to give consideration to unanticipated revenue and expenditures primarily resulting from events unknown at the time of budget adoption. The District employs budget control by minor object and by individual appropriation accounts. Expenditures cannot legally exceed appropriations by major object account.

MERCED CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT BUILDING FUND (MEASURES S AND M)

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2016

Encumbrances

The District utilizes an encumbrance accounting system under which purchase orders, contracts and other commitments for the expenditure of monies are recorded in order to reserve that portion of the applicable appropriation. Encumbrances are liquidated when the commitments are paid and all outstanding encumbrances lapse at June 30.

Fund Balances - Building Fund (Measures S and M)

As of June 30, 2016, fund balance of the Building Fund is classified as follows:

Restricted – amounts that can be spent only for specific purposes because of constitutional provisions or enabling legislation or because of constraints that are externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or the laws or regulations of other governments.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures/expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Change in Accounting Principles

In February 2015, the GASB issued Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*. This Statement addresses accounting and financial reporting issues related to fair value measurements. The definition of *fair value* is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. This Statement provides guidance for determining a fair value measurement for financial reporting purposes. This Statement also provides guidance for applying fair value to certain investments and disclosures related to all fair value measurements.

The District has implemented the provisions of this Statement as of June 30, 2016.

In June 2015, the GASB issued Statement No. 76, *The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles for State and Local Governments*. The objective of this Statement is to identify—in the context of the current governmental financial reporting environment—the hierarchy of generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). The "GAAP hierarchy" consists of the sources of accounting principles used to prepare financial statements of state and local governmental entities in conformity with GAAP and the framework for selecting those principles. This Statement reduces the GAAP hierarchy to two categories of authoritative GAAP and addresses the use of authoritative and non-authoritative literature in the event that the accounting treatment for a transaction or other event is not specified within a source of authoritative GAAP.

This Statement supersedes Statement No. 55, *The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles for State and Local Governments*.

MERCED CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT BUILDING FUND (MEASURES S AND M)

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2016

The District has implemented the provisions of this Statement as of June 30, 2016.

In December 2015, the GASB issued Statement No. 79, *Certain External Investment Pools and Pool Participants*. This Statement addresses accounting and financial reporting for certain external investment pools and pool participants. Specifically, it establishes criteria for an external investment pool to qualify for making the election to measure all of its investments at amortized cost for financial reporting purposes. An external investment pool qualifies for that reporting if it meets all of the applicable criteria established in this Statement. The specific criteria address (1) how the external investment pool transacts with participants; (2) requirements for portfolio maturity, quality, diversification, and liquidity; and (3) calculation and requirements of a shadow price. Significant noncompliance prevents the external investment pool from measuring all of its investments at amortized cost for financial reporting purposes. Professional judgment is required to determine if instances of noncompliance with the criteria established by this Statement during the reporting period, individually or in the aggregate, were significant.

If an external investment pool does not meet the criteria established by this Statement, that pool should apply the provisions in paragraph 16 of Statement No. 31, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Investments and for External Investment Pools*, as amended. If an external investment pool meets the criteria in this Statement and measures all of its investments at amortized cost, the pool's participants also should measure their investments in that external investment pool at amortized cost for financial reporting purposes. If an external investment pool does not meet the criteria in this Statement, the pool's participants should measure their investments in that pool at fair value, as provided in paragraph 11 of Statement No. 31, as amended.

This Statement establishes additional note disclosure requirements for qualifying external investment pools that measure all of their investments at amortized cost for financial reporting purposes and for governments that participate in those pools. Those disclosures for both the qualifying external investment pools and their participants include information about any limitations or restrictions on participant withdrawals.

The District has implemented the provisions of this Statement as of June 30, 2016.

NOTE 2 - INVESTMENTS

Policies and Practices

The District is authorized under *California Government Code* to make direct investments in local agency bonds, notes, or warrants within the State; U.S. Treasury instrument; registered State warrants or treasury notes; securities of the U.S. Government, or its agencies; bankers acceptances; commercial paper; certificates of deposit placed with commercial banks and/or savings and loan companies; repurchase or reverse repurchase agreement; medium term corporate notes; shares of beneficial interest issued by diversified management companies, certificates of participation, obligations with first priority security, and collateralized mortgage obligations.

**MERCED CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT
BUILDING FUND (MEASURES S AND M)**

**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
JUNE 30, 2016**

Investment in County Treasury

The District is considered to be an involuntary participant in an external investment pool as the District is required to deposit all receipts and collections of monies with their County Treasurer (*Education Code* Section 41001). The fair value of the District's investment in the pool is reported in the accounting financial statement at amounts based upon the District's pro-rata share of the fair value provided by the County Treasurer for the entire portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by the County Treasurer, which is recorded on the amortized cost basis.

General Authorizations

Limitations as they relate to interest rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk are indicated in the schedules below:

Authorized Investment Type	Maximum Remaining Maturity	Maximum Percentage of Portfolio	Maximum Investment in One Issuer
Local Agency Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
Registered State Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
U.S. Treasury Obligations	5 years	None	None
U.S. Agency Securities	5 years	None	None
Banker's Acceptance	180 days	40%	30%
Commercial Paper	270 days	25%	10%
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	5 years	30%	None
Repurchase Agreements	1 year	None	None
Reverse Repurchase Agreements	92 days	20% of base	None
Medium-Term Corporate Notes	5 years	30%	None
Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Money Market Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Mortgage Pass-Through Securities	5 years	20%	None
County Pooled Investment Funds	N/A	None	None
Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)	N/A	None	None
Joint Powers Authority Pools	N/A	None	None

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value is to changes in market interest rates. The District manages its exposure to interest rate risk by investing in the Merced County Investment Pool. The District maintains a Building Fund (Measures A and M) investment of \$23,426,617 with the Merced County Investment Pool, with an average maturity of 468 days.

**MERCED CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT
BUILDING FUND (MEASURES S AND M)**

**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
JUNE 30, 2016**

NOTE 3 - FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The District categorizes the fair value measurements of its investments based on the hierarchy established by generally accepted accounting principles. The fair value hierarchy, which has three levels, is based on the valuation inputs used to measure an asset's fair value. The following provides a summary of the hierarchy used to measure fair value:

Level 1 - Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets that the District has the ability to access at the measurement date. Level 1 assets may include debt and equity securities that are traded in an active exchange market and that are highly liquid and are actively traded in over-the-counter markets.

Level 2 - Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable, such as interest rates and curves observable at commonly quoted intervals, implied volatilities, and credit spreads. For financial reporting purposes, if an asset has a specified term, a Level 2 input is required to be observable for substantially the full term of the asset.

Level 3 - Unobservable inputs should be developed using the best information available under the circumstances, which might include the District's own data. The District should adjust that data if reasonably available information indicates that other market participants would use different data or certain circumstances specific to the District are not available to other market participants.

Uncategorized - Investments in the Merced County Treasury Investment Pool and SISC GASB 45 Trust Pool are not measured using the input levels above because the District's transactions are based on a stable net asset value per share. All contributions and redemptions are transacted at \$1.00 net asset value per share.

NOTE 4 - ACCOUNTS PAYABLE

Accounts payable at June 30, 2016, consisted of the following:

Vendor payables	<u>\$ 3,208,822</u>
-----------------	---------------------

**MERCED CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT
BUILDING FUND (MEASURES S AND M)**

**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
JUNE 30, 2016**

NOTE 5 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Construction Commitments

As of June 30, 2016, the Building Fund (Measures S and M) had the following commitments with respect to the unfinished capital projects that were fully or partially funded with bond proceeds:

Capital Projects	Remaining Construction Commitment	Expected Date of Completion
Burbank modernization	\$ 1,882,929	December 2016
Chenoweth modernization and parking lot	1,117,930	December 2016
Chenoweth HVAC	2,387,529	August 2017
Cruickshank roofing	568,222	October 2016
Franklin modernization	1,617,308	2017-2018
Fremont modernization and HVAC	3,171,497	2017-2018
Hoover modernization and HVAC	5,719,982	August 2017
Muir modernization and roofing	111,608	October 2016
Peterson modernization	834,854	2017-2018
Rivera elementary preschool	197,755	October 2016
Sheehy modernization	454,662	December 2016
Tenaya roofing	69,431	October 2016
Stowell modernization	23,601	September 2016
Wright modernization	4,129,311	2017-2018
Security camera projects	60,807	December 2016
Total	<u>\$ 22,347,426</u>	

Litigation

The District is not currently a party to any legal proceedings regarding bond projects.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

MERCED CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT BUILDING FUND (MEASURES S AND M)

SCHEDULE OF LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS JUNE 30, 2016

Measure S Bonds

Measure S bonds were authorized at an election held on November 4, 2003, at which 55 percent or more of voters authorized the issuance of \$26,500,000 in general obligation bonds.

2003 General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004

On March 1, 2004, the Merced City School District issued \$14,999,268 in General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, consisting of 12,290,000 in current interest bonds and 2,709,268 in capital appreciation bonds. The Bonds were issued to renovate and construct school facilities for the District. The Series 2004 Bonds were authorized for the purpose of acquiring and construction of school facilities. As of June 30, 2016, the current interest bonds have been refunded in full.

2003 General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005

On May 20, 2005, the Merced City School District Merced City Elementary School District issued \$11,500,303 in General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, consisting of 3,085,000 in current interest bonds and 8,415,303 in capital appreciation bonds. The Bonds were issued to renovate and construct school facilities for the District. The Series 2005 Bonds were authorized for the purpose of acquiring and construction of school facilities. As of June 30, 2016, the current interest bonds have been refunded in full.

2013 General Obligation Refunding Bonds

On June 5, 2013, the Merced City School District refunded the outstanding Merced City School District General Obligation Bonds, Election of 2003, Series 2004, in the amount of \$9,560,000 maturing on August 1 in the years 2014 through 2023.

Measure M Bonds

Measure M bonds were authorized at an election held on June 3, 2014, at which 55 percent or more of voters authorized the issuance of not to exceed \$60,000,000 in general obligation bonds.

2014 General Obligation Bonds, Series 2014

On August 12, 2014, Merced City School District issued \$11,458,568 in General Obligation Bonds, Series 2014, consisting of \$10,260,000 in current interest bonds and \$1,198,568 in capital appreciation bonds. The bonds were issued to finance critical core facility improvements.

The Series 2014 Bonds are payable solely from ad valorem property taxes levied and collected by the County of Merced. The Board of Supervisors of the County has the power and is obligated to annually levy ad valorem taxes for the payment of interest and principal of the Series 2014 Bonds. Interest accrues from August 1, 2014, and is payable semiannually on February 1 and August 1 of each year commencing February 1, 2015.

**MERCED CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT
BUILDING FUND (MEASURES S AND M)**

**SCHEDULE OF LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS
JUNE 30, 2016**

2014 General Obligation Bonds, Series 2015

On September 3, 2015, the Merced City School District issued \$18,264,008 in General Obligation Bonds, Series 2015, consisting of \$16,140,000 in current interest bonds and \$2,124,008 in capital appreciation bonds. These bonds represent the second series of the Measure M General Obligation Bonds.

The Series 2015 Bonds are payable solely from ad valorem property taxes levied and collected by the County of Merced. The Board of Supervisors of the County has the power and is obligated to annually levy ad valorem taxes for the payment of interest and principal of the Series 2015 Bonds. Interest is payable semiannually on February 1 and August 1 of each year commencing February 1, 2016.

Bonded Debt

The outstanding general obligation bonded debt is as follows:

Debt Service Requirements to Maturity

Issue Date	Maturity Date	Interest Rate	Original Issue	Bonds Outstanding July 1, 2014	Interest Accreted/ Issued	Redeemed	Bonds Outstanding June 30, 2015
Capital Appreciation Bonds:							
3/1/04	8/1/28	5.13-5.23%	\$ 2,709,268	\$ 4,860,779	\$ 255,365	\$ -	\$ 5,116,144
Capital Appreciation Bonds:							
5/1/05	6/1/30	4.00-5.07%	8,415,303	13,172,314	671,387	680,000	13,163,701
Current Interest Bonds:							
6/5/13	8/1/23	3.25-5.00%	9,560,000	8,775,000	-	675,000	8,100,000
Capital Appreciation Bonds:							
10/2/14	8/1/37	4.76-5.04%	1,198,568	1,245,497	62,044	-	1,307,541
Current Interest Bonds:							
10/2/14	8/1/44	3.00-3.25%	10,260,000	10,260,000	-	1,125,000	9,135,000
Capital Appreciation Bonds:							
9/3/15	8/1/39	2.00-5.00%	2,124,008	-	2,172,391	-	2,172,391
Current Interest Bonds:							
9/3/15	8/1/45	1.94-4.52%	16,140,000	-	16,140,000	-	16,140,000
Total			<u>\$ 50,407,147</u>	<u>\$ 38,313,590</u>	<u>\$ 19,301,187</u>	<u>\$ 2,480,000</u>	<u>\$ 55,134,777</u>

**MERCED CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT
BUILDING FUND (MEASURES S AND M)**

**SCHEDULE OF LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS
JUNE 30, 2016**

Series 2004 Bonds

The bonds mature as follows:

Capital Appreciation Bonds:

<u>Maturity</u>	<u>Accreted Obligation</u>	<u>Interest to Accrete</u>	<u>Final Accreted Value</u>
2025	\$ 1,033,540	\$ 516,460	\$ 1,550,000
2026	1,026,350	598,650	1,625,000
2027	1,023,264	686,736	1,710,000
2028	1,018,483	776,517	1,795,000
2029	1,014,507	870,493	1,885,000
Total	<u>\$ 5,116,144</u>	<u>\$ 3,448,856</u>	<u>\$ 8,565,000</u>

Series 2005 Bonds

The bonds mature as follows:

Capital Appreciation Bonds:

<u>Maturity</u>	<u>Accreted Obligation</u>	<u>Interest to Accrete</u>	<u>Final Accreted Value</u>
2016	\$ 720,000	\$ -	\$ 720,000
2017	728,127	36,873	765,000
2018	729,330	75,670	805,000
2019	732,870	117,130	850,000
2020	738,720	161,280	900,000
2021-2025	3,807,518	1,537,482	5,345,000
2026-2030	5,707,136	4,882,864	10,590,000
Total	<u>\$ 13,163,701</u>	<u>\$ 6,811,299</u>	<u>\$ 19,975,000</u>

**MERCED CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT
BUILDING FUND (MEASURES S AND M)**

**SCHEDULE OF LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS
JUNE 30, 2016**

Series 2013 Bonds

The bonds mature as follows:

Current Interest Bonds:

<u>Maturity</u>	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest to Maturity</u>	<u>Total</u>
2016	\$ 740,000	\$ 173,925	\$ 913,925
2017	810,000	156,488	966,488
2018	880,000	137,476	1,017,476
2019	960,000	116,776	1,076,776
2020	1,040,000	94,276	1,134,276
2021-2024	3,670,000	128,138	3,798,138
Total	<u>\$ 8,100,000</u>	<u>\$ 807,079</u>	<u>\$ 8,907,079</u>

Series 2014 Bonds

The bonds mature through 2038 as follows:

<u>Maturity</u>	<u>Accreted Obligation</u>	<u>Interest to Accrete</u>	<u>Final Accreted Value</u>
2017	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
2018	-	-	-
2019	-	-	-
2020	-	-	-
2021	-	-	-
2022-2026	-	-	-
2027-2031	-	-	-
2032-2036	898,793	1,171,207	2,070,000
2037-2038	408,748	721,252	1,130,000
Total	<u>\$ 1,307,541</u>	<u>\$ 1,892,459</u>	<u>\$ 3,200,000</u>

**MERCED CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT
BUILDING FUND (MEASURES S AND M)**

**SCHEDULE OF LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS
JUNE 30, 2016**

Series 2014 Bonds

The bonds mature through 2045 as follows:

<u>Maturity</u>	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest to Maturity</u>	<u>Total</u>
2017	\$ 965,000	\$ 340,081	\$ 1,305,081
2018	-	311,131	311,131
2019	-	311,131	311,131
2020	-	311,131	311,131
2021	-	311,131	311,131
2022-2026	885,000	1,504,955	2,389,955
2027-2031	1,625,000	1,272,305	2,897,305
2032-2036	250,000	1,074,500	1,324,500
2037-2041	1,945,000	996,813	2,941,813
2042-2045	3,465,000	361,203	3,826,203
Total	<u>\$ 9,135,000</u>	<u>\$ 6,794,381</u>	<u>\$ 15,929,381</u>

Series 2015 Bonds

The bonds mature through 2038 as follows:

Capital Appreciation Bonds:

<u>Maturity</u>	<u>Accreted Obligation</u>	<u>Interest to Accrete</u>	<u>Final Accreted Value</u>
2017	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
2018	-	-	-
2019	-	-	-
2020	-	-	-
2021	101,816	8,184	110,000
2022-2026	439,378	100,622	540,000
2027-2031	1,052,976	607,024	1,660,000
2032-2036	-	-	-
2037-2040	578,221	906,779	1,485,000
Total	<u>\$ 2,172,391</u>	<u>\$ 1,622,609</u>	<u>\$ 3,795,000</u>

**MERCED CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT
BUILDING FUND (MEASURES S AND M)**

**SCHEDULE OF LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS
JUNE 30, 2016**

Series 2015 Bonds

The bonds mature through 2046 as follows:

Current Interest Bonds:

<u>Maturity</u>	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest to Maturity</u>	<u>Total</u>
2017	\$ -	\$ 675,419	\$ 675,419
2018	1,195,000	663,469	1,858,469
2019	-	651,519	651,519
2020	30,000	650,919	680,919
2021	-	650,319	650,319
2022-2026	-	3,251,595	3,251,595
2027-2031	-	3,251,592	3,251,592
2032-2036	3,225,000	3,020,972	6,245,972
2037-2041	3,445,000	2,493,012	5,938,012
2042-2046	8,245,000	1,161,875	9,406,875
Total	<u>\$ 16,140,000</u>	<u>\$ 16,470,691</u>	<u>\$ 32,610,691</u>

**MERCED CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT
BUILDING FUND (MEASURES S AND M)**

**RECONCILIATION OF ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT WITH AUDITED
GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND FUND
JUNE 30, 2016**

There were no adjustments to the Unaudited Actual Building Fund (Measures S and M), which required reconciliation to the audited financial statements at June 30, 2016.

**MERCED CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT
BUILDING FUND (MEASURES S AND M)**

**NOTE TO SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
JUNE 30, 2016**

NOTE 1 - PURPOSE OF SCHEDULES

Schedule of Long-Term Obligations

This schedule provides a debt repayment schedule associated with the bond proceeds received through issuance of Building Fund (Measures S and M) obligations.

Reconciliation of Annual Financial Report With Audited Financial Statements

This schedule provides the information necessary to reconcile the fund balance of the Building Fund (Measures S and M) reported on the Unaudited Actual Financial Report to the audited financial statements.

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT



**INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER
FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS
BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN
ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS***

Governing Board and
Citizens Oversight Committee
Merced City School District
Merced, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the accompanying financial statements of the Merced City School District (the District) Building Fund (Measures S and M), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes of the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 11, 2016.

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Building Fund specific to Measures S and M, and are not intended to present fairly the financial position and changes in financial position of Merced City School District in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered Merced City School District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Merced City School District's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Merced City School District's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. *A material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Merced City School District's Building Fund (Measures S and M) financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of This Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Vavrinek, Trine, Day + Co. LLP

Fresno, California
December 11, 2016

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

**MERCED CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT
BUILDING FUND (MEASURES S AND M)**

**FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS
JUNE 30, 2016**

None reported.

**MERCED CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT
BUILDING FUND (MEASURES S AND M)**

**SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS
JUNE 30, 2016**

There were no audit findings reported in the prior year's schedule of financial statement findings.

MERCED CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

**BUILDING FUND (MEASURES S AND M)
PERFORMANCE AUDIT**

JUNE 30, 2016

**MERCED CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT
BUILDING FUND (MEASURES S AND M)**

**PERFORMANCE AUDIT
TABLE OF CONTENTS
JUNE 30, 2016**

Independent Auditor's Report on Performance	1
Authority for Issuance	2
Purpose of Issuance	2
Authority for the Audit	3
Objectives of the Audit	4
Scope of the Audit	4
Procedures Performed	4
Conclusion	4
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	5
Summary Schedule of Prior Year Audit Findings	6



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON PERFORMANCE

Governing Board and
Citizens Oversight Committee
Merced City School District
Merced, California

We were engaged to conduct a performance audit of the Merced City School District (the District) Building Fund (Measures S and M) for the year ended June 30, 2016.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with the standards applicable to performance audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our conclusion based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our conclusions based on our audit objectives.

Our audit was limited to the objectives listed within the report which includes determining the District's compliance with the performance requirements as referred to in Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIII A, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution. Management is responsible for the District's compliance with those requirements.

In planning and performing our performance audit, we obtained an understanding of the District's internal control in order to determine if the internal controls were adequate to help ensure the District's compliance with the requirements of Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIII A, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion of the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

The results of our tests indicated that the District expended Building Fund (Measures S and M) funds only for the specific projects approved by the voters, in accordance with Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIII A, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution.

Vavrinek, Trine, Day & Co. LLP

Fresno, California
December 11, 2016

MERCED CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT BUILDING FUND (MEASURES S AND M)

JUNE 30, 2016

AUTHORITY FOR ISSUANCE - MEASURE S

The Proposition 39 Bonds associated with Measure S were issued pursuant to the Constitution and laws of the State of California (the State), including the provisions of Chapters 1 and 1.5 of Part 10 of the California *Education Code*, and other applicable provisions of law. The Bonds were authorized to be issued by a resolution adopted by the Board of Trustees of the District.

The District received authorization at an election held on November 4, 2003, to issue bonds of the District in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$26,500,000 to finance specific construction and renovation projects approved by eligible voters within the District. The proposition required approval by at least 55 percent of the votes cast by eligible voters within the District (the 2003 Authorization). The Bonds represent the first and second series of the authorized bonds to be issued under the 2003 Authorization.

PURPOSE OF ISSUANCE - MEASURE S

The net proceeds of the Bonds and any other series of general obligation bonds issued under the Authorization will be used for the purposes specified in the District bond proposition submitted at the election. An excerpt from the ballot language is as follows:

"To improve health and safety conditions of neighborhood elementary schools including replacing worn-out bathrooms, electrical and emergency systems, renovate and construct libraries, classrooms, buildings, support facilities, multipurpose rooms where children can have lunch, P.E. or classes during bad weather, acquire land for and build new schools, but not for salaries or operating expenses; with citizens' oversight, shall the Merced City Elementary School District issue and sell up to \$26,500,000 of bonds not to exceed the maximum legal interest rate".

AUTHORITY FOR ISSUANCE - MEASURE M

The Proposition 39 Bonds associated with Measure M were issued pursuant to the Constitution and laws of the State of California (the State), including the provisions of Chapters 1 and 1.5 of Part 10 of the California *Education Code*, and other applicable provisions of law. The Bonds were authorized to be issued by a resolution adopted by the Board of Trustees of the District.

The District received authorization at an election held on June 3, 2014, to issue bonds of the District in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$60,000,000 to finance critical core facility improvements approved by eligible voters within the District. The proposition required approval by at least 55 percent of the votes cast by eligible voters within the District (the 2014 Authorization). The Bonds represent the first series of the authorized bonds to be issued under the 2014 Authorization.

MERCED CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT BUILDING FUND (MEASURES S AND M)

JUNE 30, 2016

PURPOSE OF ISSUANCE - MEASURE M

The net proceeds of the Bonds and any other series of general obligation bonds issued under the Authorization will be used for the purposes specified in the District bond proposition submitted at the election. An excerpt from the ballot language is as follows:

"To improve local elementary and middle school by upgrading classrooms to address overcrowding; meeting modern academic/technology/safety standards; repairing electrical systems, leaky roofs, plumbing and deteriorating restrooms; improving fire safety/school security; removing asbestos/lead paint; repairing, constructing and acquiring educational facilities, sites and equipment; shall the Merced City Elementary School District issue \$60,000,000 of bonds, at legal interest rates, with independent citizens' oversight, annual audits, and no money for administrators' salaries/pension".

AUTHORITY FOR THE AUDIT

On November 7, 2000, California voters approved Proposition 39, the Smaller Classes, Safer Schools and Financial Accountability Act. Proposition 39 amended portions of the California Constitution to provide for the issuance of general obligation bonds by school districts, community college districts, or county offices of education, "for the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of school facilities, including the furnishing and equipping of school facilities, or the acquisition or lease of rental property for school facilities", upon approval by 55 percent of the electorate. In addition to reducing the approval threshold from two-thirds to 55 percent, Proposition 39 and the enacting legislation (AB 1908 and AB 2659) requires the following accountability measures as codified in *Education Code* Sections 15278-15282:

1. Requires that the proceeds from the sale of the bonds be used only for the purposes specified in Article XIII A, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution, and not for any other purpose, including teacher and administrator salaries and other school operating expenses.
2. The school district must list the specific school facilities projects to be funded in the ballot measure, and must certify that the governing board has evaluated safety, class size reduction and information technology needs in developing the project list.
3. Requires the school district to appoint a citizens' oversight committee.
4. Requires the school district to conduct an annual independent financial audit and performance audit in accordance with the Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States of the bond proceeds until all of the proceeds have been expended.
5. Requires the school district to conduct an annual independent performance audit to ensure that the funds have been expended only on the specific projects listed.

MERCED CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT BUILDING FUND (MEASURES S AND M)

JUNE 30, 2016

OBJECTIVES OF THE AUDIT

1. Determine whether expenditures charged to the Building Fund have been made in accordance with the bond project list approved by the voters through the approval of Measures S and M.
2. Determine whether salary transactions, if any, charged to the Building Fund were in support of Measures S and M and not for District general administration or operations.

SCOPE OF THE AUDIT

The scope of our performance audit covered the period of July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2016. The population of expenditures tested included all object and project codes associated with the bond projects. The propriety of expenditures for capital projects and maintenance projects funded through other State or local funding sources, other than proceeds of the bonds, were not included within the scope of the audit. Expenditures incurred subsequent to June 30, 2016 were not reviewed or included within the scope of our audit or in this report.

PROCEDURES PERFORMED

We obtained the general ledger and the project expenditure reports prepared by the District for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, for the Building Fund (Measures S and M). Within the fiscal year audited, we obtained the actual invoices and other supporting documentation for a sample of expenditures to ensure compliance with the requirements of Article XIII A, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution and Measures S and M as to the approved bond projects list. We performed the following procedures:

1. We selected a sample of expenditures for the period starting July 1, 2015 and ending June 30, 2016, and reviewed supporting documentation to ensure that such funds were properly expended on the specific projects listed in the ballot text.
2. Our sample included transactions totaling \$5,573,070. This represents 61 percent of the total expenditures of \$9,160,607.
3. We verified that funds from the Building Fund (Measures S and M) were expended for the construction, renovation, furnishing and equipping of District facilities constituting authorized bond projects.

CONCLUSION

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the Merced City School District has properly accounted for the expenditures held in the Building Fund (Measures S and M) and that such expenditures were made for costs authorized by the voters of the District.

**MERCED CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT
BUILDING FUND (MEASURES S AND M)**

**SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
JUNE 30, 2016**

None reported.

**MERCED CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT
BUILDING FUND (MEASURES S AND M)**

**SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS
JUNE 30, 2016**

There were no audit findings reported in the prior year's schedule of financial statement findings.