2010 CSAP Executive Summary Report

for

Ellicott 22 School District

Accountability Version



Copyright 2001-2010 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.

Report generated: Thursday, September 23, 2010

Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.
3194 N. Industrial Way, Suite A
Castle Rock, Colorado 80109

Phone: 720-963-9200
Fax: 720-963-9700
Email: info@alpineachievement.com

Executive Summary Report

Overview of this Document

This report includes graphic and tabular representations of your 2010 CSAP test results for the district and for schools. It is designed with the following audiences in mind:

- School Board
- Press / Public
- District and School Accountability Committees
- District and School Administrative Leadership Team

The *Executive Summary Report* is available immediately after the test scores are released to districts, at a time when the most important questions to answer are:

- How does our performance compare to that of the state?
- How did our performance change this year compared with our performance in prior years?
- Are the students from various disaggregated groups performing equally well? If not, where are the gaps?

The *Executive Summary Report* addresses these questions directly. More extensive reports for the district and schools are generated after the state releases additional information in the weeks that follow the initial press conference.

Various accountability mandates require data to be reported in several different ways. The data in this report are presented for *all students* including students who eventually will be excluded from the School Accountability Reports and/or No Child Left Behind reports. Separate reports are produced by Alpine based on the specific inclusion and exclusion rules for each of these accountability mandates.

The minimum number of students in any given group necessary to generate a graph appears in the lower right corner of each page of this report. This number is set by the district or by the end user directly. If you print a report with a minimum of fewer than 10 students, we strongly suggest that you not share it publicly to protect the privacy rights of students.

The accuracy of the information in this report depends upon the accuracy of information in the CSAP files and in other documents downloaded from the Colorado Department of Education (CDE) website. Historic achievement data were updated where necessary to reflect the state's rescaling of reading prior to 2001 and writing and math prior to 2002. The records are spot checked before reports are released, but if any school does not appear on this report (e.g., a new school this year), or if any result does not make sense, please contact Alpine and we will make any corrections necessary.

Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2010 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.

Overall Guiding Questions

This report contains a great deal of information. It is a report you probably will return to regularly as you share your results with many different audiences in the months ahead.

Look for patterns and trends throughout the report and consider the most important issues facing your district/school. You should be able to summarize the results for the district or for any school in 3-5 descriptive statements. This report is focused on the big picture, not on the details.

Below are some questions to keep in mind as you review your results. Additional questions that are specific to the interpretation of a particular graph format are shown below sample graphs on the next several pages. The questions below are just a starter list. You will have many more to add.

- How well did students in the district/schools perform on the tests overall? Were you pleased overall with student performance this year?
- Which grade levels did you think would do well? Did they perform as well as they should have?
- In which content areas did you expect the highest performance? What do the data suggest?
- In what ways are these data similar to other achievement data you have collected about students? In what ways are they different?
- Which schools showed the most improvement? Which showed the least improvement? Why do you think this occurred?
- What is the good news story from these results?
- What was a surprise?
- What concerns do you have after reviewing these results?
- Did you target specific areas for improvement this year? Was there a relationship between your focus area and performance? Were there trade offs in other areas?
- How might this information guide curriculum and instructional planning decisions in the district?
- What factors do you think are related to your performance?
- What targets will you set for achievement and/or improvement for next year's tests?
- What will you do now?


Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2010 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.

All Students Totals


District and State Performance on CSAP 2010 3rd Grade Reading (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	21	32	47	0	**47**	0	13	20	29	0	**29**	0
Colorado	11	18	64	6	**70**	0	6940	11284	38898	3762	**42660**	211




District Historic Trends on CSAP 3rd Grade Reading (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
1998	22	33	36	7	**43**	2	13	19	21	4	**25**	1
1999	19	23	50	7	**57**	1	14	17	37	5	**42**	1
2000	17	20	54	9	**63**	0	9	11	29	5	**34**	0
2001	15	18	62	6	**68**	0	10	12	42	4	**46**	0
2002	13	24	56	6	**62**	1	9	16	38	4	**42**	1
2003	10	31	53	5	**58**	1	8	24	41	4	**45**	1
2004	16	22	57	4	**61**	0	11	15	38	3	**41**	0
2005	20	30	45	0	**45**	5	12	18	27	0	**27**	3
2006	28	22	49	1	**50**	0	22	17	38	1	**39**	0
2007	20	22	55	0	**55**	3	15	16	41	0	**41**	2
2008	11	27	57	5	**63**	0	6	15	32	3	**35**	0
2009	10	17	69	4	**73**	0	7	12	49	3	**52**	0
2010	21	32	47	0	**47**	0	13	20	29	0	**29**	0



Disaggregation Summary Performance on CSAP 2010 3rd Grade Reading

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	62	47	53	0
Female	33	48	52	0
Male	29	45	55	0
Am Ind	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
Black	1	X	X	X
Hispanic	11	X	X	X
White	50	42	58	0
IEP	8	X	X	X
ELL	12	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2010 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on CSAP 2010 4th Grade Reading (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	6	30	62	3	**65**	0	4	21	44	2	**46**	0
Colorado	10	23	62	3	**66**	1	6169	14363	38452	2106	**40558**	458




District Historic Trends on CSAP 4th Grade Reading (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
1997	26	11	55	0	**55**	8	10	4	21	0	**21**	3
1998	26	26	45	3	**48**	0	16	16	28	2	**30**	0
1999	18	27	52	3	**55**	0	13	19	37	2	**39**	0
2000	18	27	50	4	**54**	1	13	20	37	3	**40**	1
2001	18	35	43	4	**47**	0	9	18	22	2	**24**	0
2002	22	16	55	7	**62**	0	15	11	38	5	**43**	0
2003	18	26	55	0	**55**	1	13	19	41	0	**41**	1
2004	9	37	53	0	**53**	1	7	29	41	0	**41**	1
2005	18	28	50	4	**54**	0	12	19	34	3	**37**	0
2006	16	33	51	0	**51**	0	9	18	28	0	**28**	0
2007	35	13	49	4	**53**	0	25	9	35	3	**38**	0
2008	11	30	58	1	**59**	0	8	21	41	1	**42**	0
2009	13	20	57	9	**65**	2	6	9	26	4	**30**	1
2010	6	30	62	3	**65**	0	4	21	44	2	**46**	0



Disaggregation Summary Performance on CSAP 2010 4th Grade Reading

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	71	65	35	0
Female	36	69	31	0
Male	35	60	40	0
Am Ind	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
Black	1	X	X	X
Hispanic	21	62	38	0
White	49	65	35	0
IEP	5	X	X	X
ELL	7	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

Copyright 2001-2010 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.

District and State Performance on CSAP 2010 5th Grade Reading (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	14	18	61	7	**68**	0	8	10	35	4	**39**	0
Colorado	11	18	61	9	**70**	1	6766	11130	36601	5312	**41913**	437


District and State Performance on CSAP 2010 5th Grade Reading



District Historic Trends on CSAP 5th Grade Reading (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2001	17	20	60	3	**63**	0	12	14	42	2	**44**	0
2002	27	27	42	2	**43**	3	16	16	25	1	**26**	2
2003	12	18	57	7	**64**	6	8	12	38	5	**43**	4
2004	11	22	62	5	**66**	2	7	14	40	3	**43**	1
2005	18	33	47	1	**49**	0	14	25	36	1	**37**	0
2006	20	17	57	7	**63**	0	15	13	43	5	**48**	0
2007	27	24	47	0	**47**	2	16	14	28	0	**28**	1
2008	22	24	47	7	**54**	0	17	18	36	5	**41**	0
2009	19	34	47	0	**47**	0	13	24	33	0	**33**	0
2010	14	18	61	7	**68**	0	8	10	35	4	**39**	0


District Historic Trends on CSAP 5th Grade Reading



Disaggregation Summary Performance on CSAP 2010 5th Grade Reading

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	57	68	32	0
Female	29	69	31	0
Male	28	68	32	0
Am Ind	0	X	X	X
Asian	1	X	X	X
Black	3	X	X	X
Hispanic	18	83	17	0
White	35	60	40	0
IEP	7	X	X	X
ELL	3	X	X	X

Disaggregation Summary Performance on CSAP 2010 5th Grade Reading




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2010 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on CSAP 2010 6th Grade Reading (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	13	33	52	2	**53**	0	8	20	31	1	**32**	0
Colorado	9	18	59	13	**72**	1	5364	10645	35167	7640	**42807**	565




District Historic Trends on CSAP 6th Grade Reading (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2001	24	20	51	3	**54**	1	22	18	46	3	**49**	1
2002	18	22	56	1	**58**	2	15	19	48	1	**49**	2
2003	8	38	45	3	**48**	6	5	24	29	2	**31**	4
2004	13	16	60	10	**70**	1	9	11	42	7	**49**	1
2005	14	23	55	4	**59**	4	10	16	39	3	**42**	3
2006	18	33	46	3	**49**	0	14	25	35	2	**37**	0
2007	10	24	60	6	**65**	1	7	17	43	4	**47**	1
2008	21	27	51	2	**52**	0	13	17	32	1	**33**	0
2009	18	19	58	3	**61**	1	12	13	39	2	**41**	1
2010	13	33	52	2	**53**	0	8	20	31	1	**32**	0



Disaggregation Summary Performance on CSAP 2010 6th Grade Reading

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	60	53	47	0
Female	31	55	45	0
Male	29	52	48	0
Am Ind	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
Black	2	X	X	X
Hispanic	15	X	X	X
White	43	60	40	0
IEP	9	X	X	X
ELL	7	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2010 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on CSAP 2010 7th Grade Reading (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	22	16	59	1	**61**	1	16	12	44	1	**45**	1
Colorado	11	20	59	9	**68**	1	6285	11797	34331	5353	**39684**	655




District Historic Trends on CSAP 7th Grade Reading (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
1999	18	36	46	0	**46**	0	12	24	31	0	**31**	0
2000	18	34	42	1	**43**	5	13	25	31	1	**32**	4
2001	23	30	45	0	**45**	2	19	25	37	0	**37**	2
2002	27	32	37	3	**40**	1	25	29	34	3	**37**	1
2003	14	18	60	5	**65**	4	12	15	51	4	**55**	3
2004	13	35	45	2	**47**	5	8	21	27	1	**28**	3
2005	16	15	58	4	**62**	6	13	12	46	3	**49**	5
2006	22	18	53	7	**60**	0	16	13	39	5	**44**	0
2007	11	42	45	3	**47**	0	8	32	34	2	**36**	0
2008	15	35	48	3	**51**	0	11	26	36	2	**38**	0
2009	18	28	49	3	**52**	2	11	17	30	2	**32**	1
2010	22	16	59	1	**61**	1	16	12	44	1	**45**	1



Disaggregation Summary Performance on CSAP 2010 7th Grade Reading

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	74	61	38	1
Female	35	74	23	3
Male	39	49	51	0
Am Ind	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
Black	3	X	X	X
Hispanic	10	X	X	X
White	60	68	32	0
IEP	7	X	X	X
ELL	5	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2010 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on CSAP 2010 8th Grade Reading (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	15	24	58	1	**60**	1	10	16	39	1	**40**	1
Colorado	10	20	59	9	**68**	1	5909	11838	34443	4940	**39383**	853




District Historic Trends on CSAP 8th Grade Reading (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2001	25	35	34	0	**34**	6	18	25	24	0	**24**	4
2002	24	22	49	1	**51**	3	21	19	43	1	**44**	3
2003	8	30	51	7	**58**	4	7	28	47	6	**53**	4
2004	20	13	61	3	**63**	4	15	10	46	2	**48**	3
2005	21	36	43	0	**43**	0	13	22	26	0	**26**	0
2006	20	28	48	4	**52**	0	14	20	34	3	**37**	0
2007	18	31	48	3	**51**	0	12	21	32	2	**34**	0
2008	20	23	53	3	**56**	1	16	18	42	2	**44**	1
2009	14	24	55	8	**62**	0	9	16	36	5	**41**	0
2010	15	24	58	1	**60**	1	10	16	39	1	**40**	1



Disaggregation Summary Performance on CSAP 2010 8th Grade Reading

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	67	60	39	1
Female	31	68	32	0
Male	36	53	44	3
Am Ind	2	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
Black	2	X	X	X
Hispanic	12	X	X	X
White	51	65	33	2
IEP	6	X	X	X
ELL	6	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2010 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on CSAP 2010 9th Grade Reading (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	4	29	66	1	**68**	0	3	22	51	1	**52**	0
Colorado	7	23	63	4	**68**	2	4444	13744	38215	2653	**40868**	1378




District Historic Trends on CSAP 9th Grade Reading (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2001	21	29	40	6	**46**	4	15	21	29	4	**33**	3
2002	17	32	48	0	**48**	3	11	21	32	0	**32**	2
2003	11	34	49	2	**51**	3	10	30	43	2	**45**	3
2004	10	32	56	2	**58**	0	9	29	50	2	**52**	0
2005	7	16	75	1	**77**	0	5	12	55	1	**56**	0
2006	14	45	39	0	**39**	2	9	30	26	0	**26**	1
2007	11	27	59	1	**61**	1	8	19	42	1	**43**	1
2008	8	32	58	1	**59**	0	6	23	41	1	**42**	0
2009	8	26	66	0	**66**	0	5	17	43	0	**43**	0
2010	4	29	66	1	**68**	0	3	22	51	1	**52**	0



Disaggregation Summary Performance on CSAP 2010 9th Grade Reading

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	77	68	32	0
Female	40	68	33	0
Male	37	68	32	0
Am Ind	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
Black	3	X	X	X
Hispanic	17	53	47	0
White	56	71	29	0
IEP	9	X	X	X
ELL	6	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2010 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on CSAP 2010 10th Grade Reading (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	9	16	72	3	**75**	0	6	11	49	2	**51**	0
Colorado	9	21	57	9	**66**	4	5177	12123	32730	5207	**37937**	2024




District Historic Trends on CSAP 10th Grade Reading (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2001	6	31	51	3	**54**	9	4	21	35	2	**37**	6
2002	10	31	52	3	**55**	3	7	21	35	2	**37**	2
2003	10	28	60	0	**60**	2	5	14	30	0	**30**	1
2004	16	34	45	4	**49**	1	12	26	35	3	**38**	1
2005	12	25	55	8	**63**	0	9	19	41	6	**47**	0
2006	18	14	61	6	**68**	0	14	11	47	5	**52**	0
2007	13	32	47	2	**48**	7	8	19	28	1	**29**	4
2008	12	24	54	5	**59**	5	7	14	32	3	**35**	3
2009	3	30	61	7	**67**	0	2	18	37	4	**41**	0
2010	9	16	72	3	**75**	0	6	11	49	2	**51**	0



Disaggregation Summary Performance on CSAP 2010 10th Grade Reading

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	68	75	25	0
Female	30	87	13	0
Male	38	66	34	0
Am Ind	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
Black	2	X	X	X
Hispanic	15	X	X	X
White	51	82	18	0
IEP	4	X	X	X
ELL	5	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2010 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.

District and State Performance on CSAP 2010 3rd Grade Writing (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	6	63	27	3	**31**	0	4	39	17	2	**19**	0
Colorado	7	42	42	9	**50**	1	4074	25880	25614	5202	**30816**	402


District and State Performance on CSAP 2010 3rd Grade Writing



District Historic Trends on CSAP 3rd Grade Writing (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2002	11	44	43	1	**44**	0	8	31	30	1	**31**	0
2003	6	51	37	6	**43**	0	5	40	29	5	**34**	0
2004	12	41	38	9	**47**	0	8	27	25	6	**31**	0
2005	18	46	34	2	**36**	0	10	26	19	1	**20**	0
2006	14	45	41	1	**42**	0	10	33	30	1	**31**	0
2007	11	46	42	1	**43**	0	8	35	32	1	**33**	0
2008	5	54	39	2	**41**	0	3	30	22	1	**23**	0
2009	4	52	35	8	**44**	0	3	37	25	6	**31**	0
2010	6	63	27	3	**31**	0	4	39	17	2	**19**	0


District Historic Trends on CSAP 3rd Grade Writing



Disaggregation Summary Performance on CSAP 2010 3rd Grade Writing

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	62	31	69	0
Female	33	33	67	0
Male	29	28	72	0
Am Ind	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
Black	1	X	X	X
Hispanic	11	X	X	X
White	50	30	70	0
IEP	8	X	X	X
ELL	12	X	X	X

Disaggregation Summary Performance on CSAP 2010 3rd Grade Writing




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2010 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.

District and State Performance on CSAP 2010 4th Grade Writing (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	10	56	31	3	**34**	0	7	40	22	2	**24**	0
Colorado	8	41	42	9	**50**	1	4805	25267	25750	5277	**31027**	466


District and State Performance on CSAP 2010 4th Grade Writing



District Historic Trends on CSAP 4th Grade Writing (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
1997	26	42	21	3	**24**	8	10	16	8	1	**9**	3
1998	23	48	26	3	**29**	0	14	30	16	2	**18**	0
1999	15	54	24	6	**30**	1	11	38	17	4	**21**	1
2000	15	49	31	4	**35**	1	11	36	23	3	**26**	1
2001	16	45	33	6	**39**	0	8	23	17	3	**20**	0
2002	14	43	36	6	**41**	1	10	30	25	4	**29**	1
2003	14	53	32	0	**32**	1	10	39	24	0	**24**	1
2004	3	51	38	6	**45**	1	2	40	30	5	**35**	1
2005	10	51	34	4	**38**	0	7	35	23	3	**26**	0
2006	13	55	31	2	**33**	0	7	30	17	1	**18**	0
2007	14	49	35	3	**38**	0	10	35	25	2	**27**	0
2008	10	52	35	3	**38**	0	7	37	25	2	**27**	0
2009	2	43	43	9	**52**	2	1	20	20	4	**24**	1
2010	10	56	31	3	**34**	0	7	40	22	2	**24**	0


District Historic Trends on CSAP 4th Grade Writing



Disaggregation Summary Performance on CSAP 2010 4th Grade Writing

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	71	34	66	0
Female	36	47	53	0
Male	35	20	80	0
Am Ind	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
Black	1	X	X	X
Hispanic	21	29	71	0
White	49	35	65	0
IEP	5	X	X	X
ELL	7	X	X	X

Disaggregation Summary Performance on CSAP 2010 4th Grade Writing




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2010 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on CSAP 2010 5th Grade Writing (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	4	39	53	5	**58**	0	2	22	30	3	**33**	0
Colorado	5	37	48	10	**57**	1	3148	22026	28828	5743	**34571**	506




District Historic Trends on CSAP 5th Grade Writing (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2002	13	55	27	2	**28**	3	8	33	16	1	**17**	2
2003	9	46	36	3	**39**	6	6	31	24	2	**26**	4
2004	6	49	40	3	**43**	2	4	32	26	2	**28**	1
2005	8	54	34	3	**37**	1	6	41	26	2	**28**	1
2006	13	46	37	4	**41**	0	10	35	28	3	**31**	0
2007	19	61	20	0	**20**	0	11	36	12	0	**12**	0
2008	11	51	34	4	**38**	0	8	39	26	3	**29**	0
2009	16	57	26	1	**27**	0	11	40	18	1	**19**	0
2010	4	39	53	5	**58**	0	2	22	30	3	**33**	0



Disaggregation Summary Performance on CSAP 2010 5th Grade Writing

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	57	58	42	0
Female	29	45	55	0
Male	28	71	29	0
Am Ind	0	X	X	X
Asian	1	X	X	X
Black	3	X	X	X
Hispanic	18	61	39	0
White	35	57	43	0
IEP	7	X	X	X
ELL	3	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2010 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on CSAP 2010 6th Grade Writing (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	8	47	38	7	**45**	0	5	28	23	4	**27**	0
Colorado	5	37	47	10	**57**	1	2819	22109	28067	5861	**33928**	531




District Historic Trends on CSAP 6th Grade Writing (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2002	14	45	36	2	**38**	2	12	38	30	2	**32**	2
2003	3	56	31	2	**33**	8	2	36	20	1	**21**	5
2004	6	24	56	13	**69**	1	4	17	39	9	**48**	1
2005	11	34	42	8	**51**	4	8	24	30	6	**36**	3
2006	8	51	36	5	**41**	0	6	39	27	4	**31**	0
2007	7	38	48	5	**53**	1	5	28	35	4	**39**	1
2008	14	44	41	0	**41**	0	9	28	26	0	**26**	0
2009	6	51	40	1	**42**	1	4	34	27	1	**28**	1
2010	8	47	38	7	**45**	0	5	28	23	4	**27**	0



Disaggregation Summary Performance on CSAP 2010 6th Grade Writing

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	60	45	55	0
Female	31	52	48	0
Male	29	38	62	0
Am Ind	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
Black	2	X	X	X
Hispanic	15	X	X	X
White	43	51	49	0
IEP	9	X	X	X
ELL	7	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2010 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on CSAP 2010 7th Grade Writing (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	8	46	45	0	**45**	1	6	34	33	0	**33**	1
Colorado	4	37	45	13	**58**	1	2388	21518	26435	7432	**33867**	655




District Historic Trends on CSAP 7th Grade Writing (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
1999	7	42	42	3	**45**	6	5	28	28	2	**30**	4
2000	4	58	31	1	**32**	5	3	43	23	1	**24**	4
2001	8	61	28	0	**28**	2	7	51	23	0	**23**	2
2002	14	54	28	1	**29**	2	13	50	26	1	**27**	2
2003	5	46	41	5	**46**	4	4	39	35	4	**39**	3
2004	10	57	30	0	**30**	3	6	34	18	0	**18**	2
2005	5	42	35	10	**46**	8	4	33	28	8	**36**	6
2006	7	38	49	5	**55**	0	5	28	36	4	**40**	0
2007	4	53	41	3	**43**	0	3	40	31	2	**33**	0
2008	1	56	35	8	**43**	0	1	42	26	6	**32**	0
2009	5	54	36	3	**39**	2	3	33	22	2	**24**	1
2010	8	46	45	0	**45**	1	6	34	33	0	**33**	1



Disaggregation Summary Performance on CSAP 2010 7th Grade Writing

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	74	45	54	1
Female	35	54	43	3
Male	39	36	64	0
Am Ind	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
Black	3	X	X	X
Hispanic	10	X	X	X
White	60	50	50	0
IEP	7	X	X	X
ELL	5	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2010 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.

District and State Performance on CSAP 2010 8th Grade Writing (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	3	57	36	3	**39**	1	2	38	24	2	**26**	1
Colorado	3	40	44	11	**55**	2	1959	23307	25701	6136	**31837**	891


District and State Performance on CSAP 2010 8th Grade Writing



District Historic Trends on CSAP 8th Grade Writing (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2002	16	54	25	0	**25**	5	14	47	22	0	**22**	4
2003	5	64	23	3	**26**	4	5	59	21	3	**24**	4
2004	4	57	30	4	**34**	5	3	44	23	3	**26**	4
2005	10	62	28	0	**28**	0	6	38	17	0	**17**	0
2006	14	41	38	7	**45**	0	10	29	27	5	**32**	0
2007	4	58	32	4	**36**	1	3	40	22	3	**25**	1
2008	3	62	33	1	**34**	1	2	49	26	1	**27**	1
2009	3	55	41	2	**42**	0	2	36	27	1	**28**	0
2010	3	57	36	3	**39**	1	2	38	24	2	**26**	1


District Historic Trends on CSAP 8th Grade Writing



Disaggregation Summary Performance on CSAP 2010 8th Grade Writing

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	67	39	60	1
Female	31	48	52	0
Male	36	31	67	3
Am Ind	2	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
Black	2	X	X	X
Hispanic	12	X	X	X
White	51	45	53	2
IEP	6	X	X	X
ELL	6	X	X	X

Disaggregation Summary Performance on CSAP 2010 8th Grade Writing




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2010 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on CSAP 2010 9th Grade Writing (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	1	61	36	1	**38**	0	1	47	28	1	**29**	0
Colorado	5	44	42	7	**49**	2	3228	26388	25085	4397	**29482**	1344




District Historic Trends on CSAP 9th Grade Writing (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2002	12	59	26	0	**26**	3	8	39	17	0	**17**	2
2003	7	53	35	0	**35**	5	6	47	31	0	**31**	4
2004	4	50	41	4	**46**	0	4	45	37	4	**41**	0
2005	4	37	55	3	**58**	1	3	27	40	2	**42**	1
2006	2	76	21	0	**21**	2	1	50	14	0	**14**	1
2007	6	51	35	6	**41**	3	4	36	25	4	**29**	2
2008	3	56	37	3	**39**	1	2	40	26	2	**28**	1
2009	2	57	40	2	**42**	0	1	37	26	1	**27**	0
2010	1	61	36	1	**38**	0	1	47	28	1	**29**	0



Disaggregation Summary Performance on CSAP 2010 9th Grade Writing

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	77	38	62	0
Female	40	48	53	0
Male	37	27	73	0
Am Ind	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
Black	3	X	X	X
Hispanic	17	29	71	0
White	56	41	59	0
IEP	9	X	X	X
ELL	6	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2010 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.

District and State Performance on CSAP 2010 10th Grade Writing (in percentages)

District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	9	53	34	4	**38**	0	6	36	23	3	**26**	0
Colorado	9	41	38	9	**47**	4	5100	23275	21936	4914	**26850**	2050


District and State Performance on CSAP 2010 10th Grade Writing



District Historic Trends on CSAP 10th Grade Writing (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2001	3	51	34	3	**37**	9	2	35	23	2	**25**	6
2002	6	57	31	1	**32**	4	4	39	21	1	**22**	3
2003	4	61	29	2	**31**	4	2	31	15	1	**16**	2
2004	8	56	32	3	**35**	1	6	43	25	2	**27**	1
2005	11	51	37	1	**39**	0	8	38	28	1	**29**	0
2006	13	42	44	0	**44**	1	10	32	34	0	**34**	1
2007	13	53	27	0	**27**	7	8	32	16	0	**16**	4
2008	14	51	29	2	**31**	5	8	30	17	1	**18**	3
2009	5	57	34	3	**38**	0	3	35	21	2	**23**	0
2010	9	53	34	4	**38**	0	6	36	23	3	**26**	0


District Historic Trends on CSAP 10th Grade Writing



Disaggregation Summary Performance on CSAP 2010 10th Grade Writing

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	68	38	62	0
Female	30	50	50	0
Male	38	29	71	0
Am Ind	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
Black	2	X	X	X
Hispanic	15	X	X	X
White	51	45	55	0
IEP	4	X	X	X
ELL	5	X	X	X

Disaggregation Summary Performance on CSAP 2010 10th Grade Writing




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2010 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on CSAP 2010 3rd Grade Math (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	10	40	40	10	**50**	0	6	25	25	6	**31**	0
Colorado	8	21	38	33	**71**	1	4891	13094	23687	20373	**44060**	355




District Historic Trends on CSAP 3rd Grade Math (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2005	17	34	34	10	**44**	5	10	20	20	6	**26**	3
2006	17	34	34	14	**49**	0	13	26	26	11	**37**	0
2007	9	39	38	13	**51**	0	7	30	29	10	**39**	0
2008	4	32	43	21	**64**	0	2	18	24	12	**36**	0
2009	10	32	38	20	**58**	0	7	23	27	14	**41**	0
2010	10	40	40	10	**50**	0	6	25	25	6	**31**	0



Disaggregation Summary Performance on CSAP 2010 3rd Grade Math

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	62	50	50	0
Female	33	52	48	0
Male	29	48	52	0
Am Ind	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
Black	1	X	X	X
Hispanic	11	X	X	X
White	50	48	52	0
IEP	8	X	X	X
ELL	12	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2010 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.

District and State Performance on CSAP 2010 4th Grade Math (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	7	23	38	32	**70**	0	5	16	27	23	**50**	0
Colorado	8	21	41	29	**70**	1	5145	12821	25495	17798	**43293**	418


District and State Performance on CSAP 2010 4th Grade Math



District Historic Trends on CSAP 4th Grade Math (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2005	21	25	37	17	**54**	0	16	19	28	13	**41**	0
2006	13	21	54	13	**66**	0	7	12	30	7	**37**	0
2007	12	29	38	21	**59**	0	9	21	28	15	**43**	0
2008	13	28	41	18	**59**	0	9	20	29	13	**42**	0
2009	4	17	39	37	**76**	2	2	8	18	17	**35**	1
2010	7	23	38	32	**70**	0	5	16	27	23	**50**	0


District Historic Trends on CSAP 4th Grade Math



Disaggregation Summary Performance on CSAP 2010 4th Grade Math

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	71	70	30	0
Female	36	69	31	0
Male	35	71	29	0
Am Ind	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
Black	1	X	X	X
Hispanic	21	67	33	0
White	49	71	29	0
IEP	5	X	X	X
ELL	7	X	X	X

Disaggregation Summary Performance on CSAP 2010 4th Grade Math




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2010 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.

District and State Performance on CSAP 2010 5th Grade Math (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	7	25	39	30	**68**	0	4	14	22	17	**39**	0
Colorado	9	25	37	28	**66**	1	5270	15117	22411	17065	**39476**	394


District and State Performance on CSAP 2010 5th Grade Math



District Historic Trends on CSAP 5th Grade Math (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2001	14	33	44	7	**51**	1	10	23	31	5	**36**	1
2002	17	35	37	8	**45**	3	10	21	22	5	**27**	2
2003	14	35	30	17	**47**	5	9	23	20	11	**31**	3
2004	6	33	47	12	**59**	2	4	22	31	8	**39**	1
2005	8	41	41	11	**51**	0	6	31	31	8	**39**	0
2006	12	32	35	21	**56**	0	9	25	27	16	**43**	0
2007	24	22	42	12	**54**	0	14	13	25	7	**32**	0
2008	13	42	26	18	**45**	0	10	32	20	14	**34**	0
2009	24	44	20	11	**31**	0	17	31	14	8	**22**	0
2010	7	25	39	30	**68**	0	4	14	22	17	**39**	0


District Historic Trends on CSAP 5th Grade Math



Disaggregation Summary Performance on CSAP 2010 5th Grade Math

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	57	68	32	0
Female	29	62	38	0
Male	28	75	25	0
Am Ind	0	X	X	X
Asian	1	X	X	X
Black	3	X	X	X
Hispanic	18	83	17	0
White	35	63	37	0
IEP	7	X	X	X
ELL	3	X	X	X

Disaggregation Summary Performance on CSAP 2010 5th Grade Math




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2010 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on CSAP 2010 6th Grade Math (in percentages)

District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	13	23	48	15	**63**	0	8	14	29	9	**38**	0
Colorado	12	25	37	25	**61**	1	7384	15039	21743	14738	**36481**	468




District Historic Trends on CSAP 6th Grade Math (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2002	15	36	38	8	**46**	2	13	31	32	7	**39**	2
2003	13	52	20	11	**31**	5	8	33	13	7	**20**	3
2004	11	36	37	14	**51**	1	8	25	26	10	**36**	1
2005	9	43	39	6	**44**	4	6	30	27	4	**31**	3
2006	14	54	26	5	**32**	0	11	41	20	4	**24**	0
2007	16	34	34	15	**49**	0	12	25	25	11	**36**	0
2008	29	27	38	6	**44**	0	18	17	24	4	**28**	0
2009	16	39	30	13	**43**	1	11	26	20	9	**29**	1
2010	13	23	48	15	**63**	0	8	14	29	9	**38**	0



Disaggregation Summary Performance on CSAP 2010 6th Grade Math

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	60	63	37	0
Female	31	55	45	0
Male	29	72	28	0
Am Ind	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
Black	2	X	X	X
Hispanic	15	X	X	X
White	43	65	35	0
IEP	9	X	X	X
ELL	7	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2010 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.

District and State Performance on CSAP 2010 7th Grade Math (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	22	45	23	9	**32**	1	16	33	17	7	**24**	1
Colorado	18	33	28	21	**49**	1	10300	19170	16332	12034	**28366**	619


District and State Performance on CSAP 2010 7th Grade Math



District Historic Trends on CSAP 7th Grade Math (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2002	35	43	15	5	**21**	1	32	40	14	5	**19**	1
2003	19	48	20	8	**28**	6	16	41	17	7	**24**	5
2004	37	43	10	8	**18**	2	22	26	6	5	**11**	1
2005	16	46	25	6	**32**	6	13	36	20	5	**25**	5
2006	29	44	22	5	**27**	0	21	32	16	4	**20**	0
2007	9	55	24	12	**36**	0	7	42	18	9	**27**	0
2008	21	45	21	12	**33**	0	16	34	16	9	**25**	0
2009	26	33	31	10	**41**	0	16	20	19	6	**25**	0
2010	22	45	23	9	**32**	1	16	33	17	7	**24**	1


District Historic Trends on CSAP 7th Grade Math



Disaggregation Summary Performance on CSAP 2010 7th Grade Math

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	74	32	66	1
Female	35	29	69	3
Male	39	36	64	0
Am Ind	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
Black	3	X	X	X
Hispanic	10	X	X	X
White	60	38	62	0
IEP	7	X	X	X
ELL	5	X	X	X

Disaggregation Summary Performance on CSAP 2010 7th Grade Math




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2010 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on CSAP 2010 8th Grade Math (in percentages)

District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	25	39	28	6	**34**	1	17	26	19	4	**23**	1
Colorado	19	28	28	23	**51**	1	11247	16394	16403	13147	**29550**	800




District Historic Trends on CSAP 8th Grade Math (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2000	49	25	18	3	**21**	4	33	17	12	2	**14**	3
2001	56	28	8	0	**8**	7	40	20	6	0	**6**	5
2002	47	34	13	2	**15**	3	41	30	11	2	**13**	3
2003	45	33	14	4	**18**	4	41	30	13	4	**17**	4
2004	32	33	29	3	**32**	4	24	25	22	2	**24**	3
2005	30	43	21	7	**28**	0	18	26	13	4	**17**	0
2006	42	34	15	8	**24**	0	30	24	11	6	**17**	0
2007	29	46	21	4	**25**	0	20	31	14	3	**17**	0
2008	24	48	23	4	**27**	1	19	38	18	3	**21**	1
2009	21	36	32	11	**42**	0	14	24	21	7	**28**	0
2010	25	39	28	6	**34**	1	17	26	19	4	**23**	1



Disaggregation Summary Performance on CSAP 2010 8th Grade Math

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	67	34	64	1
Female	31	45	55	0
Male	36	25	72	3
Am Ind	2	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
Black	2	X	X	X
Hispanic	12	X	X	X
White	51	39	59	2
IEP	6	X	X	X
ELL	6	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2010 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on CSAP 2010 9th Grade Math (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	34	40	21	5	**26**	0	26	31	16	4	**20**	0
Colorado	29	30	25	14	**39**	2	17681	17833	15370	8260	**23630**	1280




District Historic Trends on CSAP 9th Grade Math (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2002	59	30	6	0	**6**	5	39	20	4	0	**4**	3
2003	45	38	11	2	**14**	3	40	33	10	2	**12**	3
2004	49	32	12	6	**18**	1	44	29	11	5	**16**	1
2005	38	45	18	0	**18**	0	28	33	13	0	**13**	0
2006	64	21	9	5	**14**	2	42	14	6	3	**9**	1
2007	49	31	15	3	**18**	1	35	22	11	2	**13**	1
2008	39	38	18	4	**23**	0	28	27	13	3	**16**	0
2009	46	32	22	0	**22**	0	30	21	14	0	**14**	0
2010	34	40	21	5	**26**	0	26	31	16	4	**20**	0



Disaggregation Summary Performance on CSAP 2010 9th Grade Math

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	77	26	74	0
Female	40	25	75	0
Male	37	27	73	0
Am Ind	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
Black	3	X	X	X
Hispanic	17	24	76	0
White	56	27	73	0
IEP	9	X	X	X
ELL	6	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2010 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on CSAP 2010 10th Grade Math (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	34	44	19	1	**21**	1	23	30	13	1	**14**	1
Colorado	31	36	25	5	**30**	3	17688	20440	14226	3065	**17291**	1834




District Historic Trends on CSAP 10th Grade Math (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2001	40	38	12	1	**13**	9	27	26	8	1	**9**	6
2002	53	29	15	0	**15**	3	36	20	10	0	**10**	2
2003	48	36	14	0	**14**	2	24	18	7	0	**7**	1
2004	51	34	14	0	**14**	1	39	26	11	0	**11**	1
2005	49	33	15	3	**17**	0	37	25	11	2	**13**	0
2006	41	42	15	0	**15**	1	32	33	12	0	**12**	1
2007	46	36	7	7	**14**	5	27	21	4	4	**8**	3
2008	36	46	14	2	**15**	3	21	27	8	1	**9**	2
2009	43	38	18	2	**20**	0	26	23	11	1	**12**	0
2010	34	44	19	1	**21**	1	23	30	13	1	**14**	1



Disaggregation Summary Performance on CSAP 2010 10th Grade Math

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	68	21	78	1
Female	30	13	87	0
Male	38	26	71	3
Am Ind	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
Black	2	X	X	X
Hispanic	15	X	X	X
White	51	27	71	2
IEP	4	X	X	X
ELL	5	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2010 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on CSAP 2010 5th Grade Science (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	12	40	39	9	**47**	0	7	23	22	5	**27**	0
Colorado	13	39	34	13	**47**	0	8121	23790	20424	7708	**28132**	204




District Historic Trends on CSAP 5th Grade Science (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2006	31	38	26	5	**31**	0	24	29	20	4	**24**	0
2007	41	37	22	0	**22**	0	24	22	13	0	**13**	0
2008	26	45	24	5	**29**	0	20	34	18	4	**22**	0
2009	30	43	23	4	**27**	0	21	30	16	3	**19**	0
2010	12	40	39	9	**47**	0	7	23	22	5	**27**	0



Disaggregation Summary Performance on CSAP 2010 5th Grade Science

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	57	47	53	0
Female	29	34	66	0
Male	28	61	39	0
Am Ind	0	X	X	X
Asian	1	X	X	X
Black	3	X	X	X
Hispanic	18	61	39	0
White	35	40	60	0
IEP	7	X	X	X
ELL	3	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2010 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.

District and State Performance on CSAP 2010 8th Grade Science (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	25	28	40	4	**45**	1	17	19	27	3	**30**	1
Colorado	23	27	41	7	**48**	1	13500	15880	24016	4082	**28098**	492


District and State Performance on CSAP 2010 8th Grade Science



District Historic Trends on CSAP 8th Grade Science (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2000	18	36	37	4	**42**	4	12	24	25	3	**28**	3
2001	46	16	29	0	**29**	10	32	11	20	0	**20**	7
2002	38	28	26	5	**31**	3	33	24	23	4	**27**	3
2003	27	32	30	7	**37**	4	25	29	28	6	**34**	4
2004	24	29	41	4	**45**	3	18	22	31	3	**34**	2
2005	41	33	25	2	**26**	0	25	20	15	1	**16**	0
2006	31	48	18	3	**21**	0	22	34	13	2	**15**	0
2007	40	25	34	1	**35**	0	27	17	23	1	**24**	0
2008	32	37	30	0	**30**	1	25	29	24	0	**24**	1
2009	24	29	38	9	**47**	0	16	19	25	6	**31**	0
2010	25	28	40	4	**45**	1	17	19	27	3	**30**	1


District Historic Trends on CSAP 8th Grade Science



Disaggregation Summary Performance on CSAP 2010 8th Grade Science

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	67	45	54	1
Female	31	52	48	0
Male	36	39	58	3
Am Ind	2	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
Black	2	X	X	X
Hispanic	12	X	X	X
White	51	53	45	2
IEP	6	X	X	X
ELL	6	X	X	X

Disaggregation Summary Performance on CSAP 2010 8th Grade Science




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2010 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on CSAP 2010 10th Grade Science (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	35	31	31	3	**34**	0	24	21	21	2	**23**	0
Colorado	28	22	41	6	**47**	3	16109	12611	23618	3221	**26839**	1705




District Historic Trends on CSAP 10th Grade Science (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2006	29	31	40	0	**40**	0	22	24	31	0	**31**	0
2007	44	22	25	0	**25**	8	28	14	16	0	**16**	5
2008	34	25	36	2	**37**	3	20	15	21	1	**22**	2
2009	26	23	49	2	**51**	0	16	14	30	1	**31**	0
2010	35	31	31	3	**34**	0	24	21	21	2	**23**	0



Disaggregation Summary Performance on CSAP 2010 10th Grade Science

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	68	34	66	0
Female	30	27	73	0
Male	38	39	61	0
Am Ind	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
Black	2	X	X	X
Hispanic	15	X	X	X
White	51	43	57	0
IEP	4	X	X	X
ELL	5	X	X	X



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2010 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.