2012 TCAP Executive Summary Report

for

Ellicott 22 School District

Accountability Version



Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.

Report generated: Wednesday, November 7, 2012

Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.
3194 N. Industrial Way, Suite A
Castle Rock, Colorado 80109

Phone: 720-963-9200
Fax: 720-963-9700
Email: info@alpineachievement.com

Executive Summary Report

Overview of this Document

This report includes graphic and tabular representations of your 2012 TCAP test results for the district and for schools. It is designed with the following audiences in mind:

- School Board
- Press / Public
- District and School Accountability Committees
- District and School Administrative Leadership Team

The *Executive Summary Report* is available immediately after the test scores are released to districts, at a time when the most important questions to answer are:

- How does our performance compare to that of the state?
- How did our performance change this year compared with our performance in prior years?
- Are the students from various disaggregated groups performing equally well? If not, where are the gaps?

The *Executive Summary Report* addresses these questions directly. More extensive reports for the district and schools are generated after the state releases additional information in the weeks that follow the initial press conference.

Various accountability mandates require data to be reported in several different ways. The data in this report are presented for *all students* including students who eventually will be excluded from the School Accountability Reports and/or No Child Left Behind reports. Separate reports are produced by Alpine based on the specific inclusion and exclusion rules for each of these accountability mandates.

The minimum number of students in any given group necessary to generate a graph appears in the lower right corner of each page of this report. This number is set by the district or by the end user directly. If you print a report with a minimum of fewer than 10 students, we strongly suggest that you not share it publicly to protect the privacy rights of students.

The accuracy of the information in this report depends upon the accuracy of information in the TCAP/CSAP files and in other documents downloaded from the Colorado Department of Education (CDE) website. Historic achievement data were updated where necessary to reflect the state's rescaling of reading prior to 2001 and writing and math prior to 2002. The records are spot checked before reports are released, but if any school does not appear on this report (e.g., a new school this year), or if any result does not make sense, please contact Alpine and we will make any corrections necessary.

Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.

Overall Guiding Questions

This report contains a great deal of information. It is a report you probably will return to regularly as you share your results with many different audiences in the months ahead.

Look for patterns and trends throughout the report and consider the most important issues facing your district/school. You should be able to summarize the results for the district or for any school in 3-5 descriptive statements. This report is focused on the big picture, not on the details.

Below are some questions to keep in mind as you review your results. Additional questions that are specific to the interpretation of a particular graph format are shown below sample graphs on the next several pages. The questions below are just a starter list. You will have many more to add.

- How well did students in the district/schools perform on the tests overall? Were you pleased overall with student performance this year?
- Which grade levels did you think would do well? Did they perform as well as they should have?
- In which content areas did you expect the highest performance? What do the data suggest?
- In what ways are these data similar to other achievement data you have collected about students? In what ways are they different?
- Which schools showed the most improvement? Which showed the least improvement? Why do you think this occurred?
- What is the good news story from these results?
- What was a surprise?
- What concerns do you have after reviewing these results?
- Did you target specific areas for improvement this year? Was there a relationship between your focus area and performance? Were there trade offs in other areas?
- How might this information guide curriculum and instructional planning decisions in the district?
- What factors do you think are related to your performance?
- What targets will you set for achievement and/or improvement for next year's tests?
- What will you do now?


Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.

All Students Totals


District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 3rd Grade Reading (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	13	25	62	0	**62**	0	13	24	60	0	**60**	0
Colorado	8	18	67	7	**74**	0	5047	11219	42278	4565	**46843**	276




District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 3rd Grade Reading (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	20	22	55	0	**55**	3	15	16	41	0	**41**	2
2008	11	27	57	5	**63**	0	6	15	32	3	**35**	0
2009	10	17	69	4	**73**	0	7	12	49	3	**52**	0
2010	21	32	47	0	**47**	0	13	20	29	0	**29**	0
2011	9	25	60	5	**65**	1	7	19	46	4	**50**	1
2012	13	25	62	0	**62**	0	13	24	60	0	**60**	0



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 3rd Grade Reading

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	97	62	38	0
Female	42	62	38	0
Male	55	62	38	0
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	1	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	2	X	X	X
Hisp	24	46	54	0
Wht	67	67	33	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	3	X	X	X
IEP	20	15	85	0
ELL	9	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 4th Grade Reading (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	13	25	61	1	**62**	0	10	19	46	1	**47**	0
Colorado	11	22	63	4	**67**	0	6650	13969	39502	2797	**42299**	219




District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 4th Grade Reading (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	35	13	49	4	**53**	0	25	9	35	3	**38**	0
2008	11	30	58	1	**59**	0	8	21	41	1	**42**	0
2009	13	20	57	9	**65**	2	6	9	26	4	**30**	1
2010	6	30	62	3	**65**	0	4	21	44	2	**46**	0
2011	15	41	44	0	**44**	0	10	28	30	0	**30**	0
2012	13	25	61	1	**62**	0	10	19	46	1	**47**	0



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 4th Grade Reading

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	76	62	38	0
Female	33	55	45	0
Male	43	67	33	0
AmIndAK	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	2	X	X	X
Hisp	21	43	57	0
Wht	49	69	31	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	3	X	X	X
IEP	7	X	X	X
ELL	11	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 5th Grade Reading (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	24	28	45	3	**48**	0	14	16	26	2	**28**	0
Colorado	12	18	61	8	**69**	1	7242	11514	38452	5119	**43571**	463




District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 5th Grade Reading (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	27	24	47	0	**47**	2	16	14	28	0	**28**	1
2008	22	24	47	7	**54**	0	17	18	36	5	**41**	0
2009	19	34	47	0	**47**	0	13	24	33	0	**33**	0
2010	14	18	61	7	**68**	0	8	10	35	4	**39**	0
2011	21	21	55	3	**57**	0	16	16	41	2	**43**	0
2012	24	28	45	3	**48**	0	14	16	26	2	**28**	0



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 5th Grade Reading

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	58	48	52	0
Female	31	55	45	0
Male	27	41	59	0
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	1	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	20	20	80	0
Wht	34	65	35	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	3	X	X	X
IEP	4	X	X	X
ELL	12	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 6th Grade Reading (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	12	22	61	5	**66**	0	9	17	46	4	**50**	0
Colorado	8	18	62	12	**73**	0	5268	11149	38219	7146	**45365**	299




District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 6th Grade Reading (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	10	24	60	6	**65**	1	7	17	43	4	**47**	1
2008	21	27	51	2	**52**	0	13	17	32	1	**33**	0
2009	18	19	58	3	**61**	1	12	13	39	2	**41**	1
2010	13	33	52	2	**53**	0	8	20	31	1	**32**	0
2011	15	26	54	5	**58**	0	10	17	35	3	**38**	0
2012	12	22	61	5	**66**	0	9	17	46	4	**50**	0



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 6th Grade Reading

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	76	66	34	0
Female	31	84	16	0
Male	45	53	47	0
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	4	X	X	X
Hisp	13	X	X	X
Wht	56	70	30	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	3	X	X	X
IEP	9	X	X	X
ELL	4	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.

District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 7th Grade Reading (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	19	27	51	3	**54**	0	12	17	32	2	**34**	0
Colorado	11	20	59	9	**68**	1	6933	12069	36116	5447	**41563**	328


District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 7th Grade Reading



District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 7th Grade Reading (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	11	42	45	3	**47**	0	8	32	34	2	**36**	0
2008	15	35	48	3	**51**	0	11	26	36	2	**38**	0
2009	18	28	49	3	**52**	2	11	17	30	2	**32**	1
2010	22	16	59	1	**61**	1	16	12	44	1	**45**	1
2011	19	34	45	1	**47**	0	15	26	35	1	**36**	0
2012	19	27	51	3	**54**	0	12	17	32	2	**34**	0


District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 7th Grade Reading



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 7th Grade Reading

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	63	54	46	0
Female	30	57	43	0
Male	33	52	48	0
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	3	X	X	X
Hisp	14	X	X	X
Wht	43	56	44	0
HwPacIs	1	X	X	X
Mult	2	X	X	X
IEP	11	X	X	X
ELL	5	X	X	X

Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 7th Grade Reading




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 8th Grade Reading (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	13	36	49	1	**51**	0	10	27	37	1	**38**	0
Colorado	10	22	59	8	**67**	1	6258	13045	35279	4896	**40175**	438




District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 8th Grade Reading (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	18	31	48	3	**51**	0	12	21	32	2	**34**	0
2008	20	23	53	3	**56**	1	16	18	42	2	**44**	1
2009	14	24	55	8	**62**	0	9	16	36	5	**41**	0
2010	15	24	58	1	**60**	1	10	16	39	1	**40**	1
2011	19	28	51	3	**54**	0	13	19	35	2	**37**	0
2012	13	36	49	1	**51**	0	10	27	37	1	**38**	0



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 8th Grade Reading

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	75	51	49	0
Female	35	57	43	0
Male	40	45	55	0
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	3	X	X	X
Hisp	16	19	81	0
Wht	54	61	39	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	2	X	X	X
IEP	12	X	X	X
ELL	8	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 9th Grade Reading (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	12	30	58	0	**58**	0	9	22	43	0	**43**	0
Colorado	7	24	63	4	**67**	2	3965	14709	38092	2370	**40462**	914




District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 9th Grade Reading (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	11	27	59	1	**61**	1	8	19	42	1	**43**	1
2008	8	32	58	1	**59**	0	6	23	41	1	**42**	0
2009	8	26	66	0	**66**	0	5	17	43	0	**43**	0
2010	4	29	66	1	**68**	0	3	22	51	1	**52**	0
2011	10	24	66	0	**66**	0	7	16	44	0	**44**	0
2012	12	30	58	0	**58**	0	9	22	43	0	**43**	0



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 9th Grade Reading

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	74	58	42	0
Female	37	62	38	0
Male	37	54	46	0
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	14	X	X	X
Wht	56	64	36	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	4	X	X	X
IEP	6	X	X	X
ELL	8	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 10th Grade Reading (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	6	34	58	2	**59**	0	4	22	37	1	**38**	0
Colorado	7	22	60	8	**68**	2	4168	12755	34903	4480	**39383**	1429




District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 10th Grade Reading (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	13	32	47	2	**48**	7	8	19	28	1	**29**	4
2008	12	24	54	5	**59**	5	7	14	32	3	**35**	3
2009	3	30	61	7	**67**	0	2	18	37	4	**41**	0
2010	9	16	72	3	**75**	0	6	11	49	2	**51**	0
2011	4	25	66	4	**71**	0	3	17	45	3	**48**	0
2012	6	34	58	2	**59**	0	4	22	37	1	**38**	0



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 10th Grade Reading

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	64	59	41	0
Female	31	68	32	0
Male	33	52	48	0
AmIndAK	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	3	X	X	X
Hisp	11	X	X	X
Wht	49	59	41	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	5	X	X	X
ELL	6	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 3rd Grade Reading (Spanish) (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	X	X	X	X	**X**	X	X	X	X	X	**X**	X
Colorado	17	24	49	9	**58**	1	205	289	590	105	**695**	10




District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 3rd Grade Reading (Spanish) (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	X	X	X	X	**X**	X	X	X	X	X	**X**	X
2008	X	X	X	X	**X**	X	X	X	X	X	**X**	X
2009	X	X	X	X	**X**	X	X	X	X	X	**X**	X
2010	X	X	X	X	**X**	X	X	X	X	X	**X**	X
2011	X	X	X	X	**X**	X	X	X	X	X	**X**	X
2012	X	X	X	X	**X**	X	X	X	X	X	**X**	X



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 3rd Grade Reading (Spanish)

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	1	X	X	X
Female	0	X	X	X
Male	1	X	X	X
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	1	X	X	X
Wht	0	X	X	X
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	0	X	X	X
ELL	1	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 3rd Grade Writing (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	11	46	41	2	**43**	0	11	44	39	2	**41**	0
Colorado	6	41	45	7	**52**	0	3659	26189	28657	4604	**33261**	290




District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 3rd Grade Writing (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	11	46	42	1	**43**	0	8	35	32	1	**33**	0
2008	5	54	39	2	**41**	0	3	30	22	1	**23**	0
2009	4	52	35	8	**44**	0	3	37	25	6	**31**	0
2010	6	63	27	3	**31**	0	4	39	17	2	**19**	0
2011	5	57	29	9	**38**	0	4	43	22	7	**29**	0
2012	11	46	41	2	**43**	0	11	44	39	2	**41**	0



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 3rd Grade Writing

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	96	43	57	0
Female	41	41	59	0
Male	55	44	56	0
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	1	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	2	X	X	X
Hisp	23	35	65	0
Wht	67	43	57	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	3	X	X	X
IEP	20	5	95	0
ELL	9	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 4th Grade Writing (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	4	50	39	7	**46**	0	3	38	30	5	**35**	0
Colorado	8	43	42	7	**49**	0	4743	27030	26599	4516	**31115**	260




District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 4th Grade Writing (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	14	49	35	3	**38**	0	10	35	25	2	**27**	0
2008	10	52	35	3	**38**	0	7	37	25	2	**27**	0
2009	2	43	43	9	**52**	2	1	20	20	4	**24**	1
2010	10	56	31	3	**34**	0	7	40	22	2	**24**	0
2011	9	47	40	4	**44**	0	6	32	27	3	**30**	0
2012	4	50	39	7	**46**	0	3	38	30	5	**35**	0



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 4th Grade Writing

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	76	46	54	0
Female	33	42	58	0
Male	43	49	51	0
AmIndAK	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	2	X	X	X
Hisp	21	38	62	0
Wht	49	51	49	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	3	X	X	X
IEP	7	X	X	X
ELL	11	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.

District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 5th Grade Writing (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	16	48	31	5	**36**	0	9	28	18	3	**21**	0
Colorado	5	36	48	11	**58**	0	3257	22590	29881	6808	**36689**	256


District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 5th Grade Writing



District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 5th Grade Writing (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	19	61	20	0	**20**	0	11	36	12	0	**12**	0
2008	11	51	34	4	**38**	0	8	39	26	3	**29**	0
2009	16	57	26	1	**27**	0	11	40	18	1	**19**	0
2010	4	39	53	5	**58**	0	2	22	30	3	**33**	0
2011	5	51	41	1	**43**	1	4	38	31	1	**32**	1
2012	16	48	31	5	**36**	0	9	28	18	3	**21**	0


District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 5th Grade Writing



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 5th Grade Writing

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	58	36	64	0
Female	31	35	65	0
Male	27	37	63	0
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	1	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	20	15	85	0
Wht	34	50	50	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	3	X	X	X
IEP	4	X	X	X
ELL	12	X	X	X

Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 5th Grade Writing




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 6th Grade Writing (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	7	58	30	5	**36**	0	5	44	23	4	**27**	0
Colorado	5	38	47	9	**56**	1	3229	23712	28991	5810	**34801**	347




District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 6th Grade Writing (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	7	38	48	5	**53**	1	5	28	35	4	**39**	1
2008	14	44	41	0	**41**	0	9	28	26	0	**26**	0
2009	6	51	40	1	**42**	1	4	34	27	1	**28**	1
2010	8	47	38	7	**45**	0	5	28	23	4	**27**	0
2011	6	43	48	3	**51**	0	4	28	31	2	**33**	0
2012	7	58	30	5	**36**	0	5	44	23	4	**27**	0



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 6th Grade Writing

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	76	36	64	0
Female	31	55	45	0
Male	45	22	78	0
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	4	X	X	X
Hisp	13	X	X	X
Wht	56	38	63	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	3	X	X	X
IEP	9	X	X	X
ELL	4	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 7th Grade Writing (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	6	48	38	8	**46**	0	4	30	24	5	**29**	0
Colorado	3	35	48	14	**62**	1	1832	21120	29025	8586	**37611**	334




District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 7th Grade Writing (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	4	53	41	3	**43**	0	3	40	31	2	**33**	0
2008	1	56	35	8	**43**	0	1	42	26	6	**32**	0
2009	5	54	36	3	**39**	2	3	33	22	2	**24**	1
2010	8	46	45	0	**45**	1	6	34	33	0	**33**	1
2011	13	53	34	0	**34**	0	10	41	26	0	**26**	0
2012	6	48	38	8	**46**	0	4	30	24	5	**29**	0



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 7th Grade Writing

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	63	46	54	0
Female	30	53	47	0
Male	33	39	61	0
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	3	X	X	X
Hisp	14	X	X	X
Wht	43	49	51	0
HwPacIs	1	X	X	X
Mult	2	X	X	X
IEP	11	X	X	X
ELL	5	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 8th Grade Writing (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	4	65	28	3	**31**	0	3	49	21	2	**23**	0
Colorado	3	41	45	10	**55**	1	1839	24651	26944	5873	**32817**	619




District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 8th Grade Writing (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	4	58	32	4	**36**	1	3	40	22	3	**25**	1
2008	3	62	33	1	**34**	1	2	49	26	1	**27**	1
2009	3	55	41	2	**42**	0	2	36	27	1	**28**	0
2010	3	57	36	3	**39**	1	2	38	24	2	**26**	1
2011	9	51	41	0	**41**	0	6	35	28	0	**28**	0
2012	4	65	28	3	**31**	0	3	49	21	2	**23**	0



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 8th Grade Writing

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	75	31	69	0
Female	35	37	63	0
Male	40	25	75	0
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	3	X	X	X
Hisp	16	13	88	0
Wht	54	35	65	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	2	X	X	X
IEP	12	X	X	X
ELL	8	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 9th Grade Writing (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	5	51	42	1	**43**	0	4	38	31	1	**32**	0
Colorado	4	43	44	7	**51**	2	2465	25923	26584	4071	**30655**	1024




District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 9th Grade Writing (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	6	51	35	6	**41**	3	4	36	25	4	**29**	2
2008	3	56	37	3	**39**	1	2	40	26	2	**28**	1
2009	2	57	40	2	**42**	0	1	37	26	1	**27**	0
2010	1	61	36	1	**38**	0	1	47	28	1	**29**	0
2011	9	42	49	0	**49**	0	6	28	33	0	**33**	0
2012	5	51	42	1	**43**	0	4	38	31	1	**32**	0



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 9th Grade Writing

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	74	43	57	0
Female	37	46	54	0
Male	37	41	59	0
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	14	X	X	X
Wht	56	46	54	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	4	X	X	X
IEP	6	X	X	X
ELL	8	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 10th Grade Writing (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	3	59	38	0	**38**	0	2	38	24	0	**24**	0
Colorado	6	43	43	6	**48**	2	3416	25060	24561	3337	**27898**	1372




District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 10th Grade Writing (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	13	53	27	0	**27**	7	8	32	16	0	**16**	4
2008	14	51	29	2	**31**	5	8	30	17	1	**18**	3
2009	5	57	34	3	**38**	0	3	35	21	2	**23**	0
2010	9	53	34	4	**38**	0	6	36	23	3	**26**	0
2011	6	49	43	3	**46**	0	4	33	29	2	**31**	0
2012	3	59	38	0	**38**	0	2	38	24	0	**24**	0



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 10th Grade Writing

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	64	38	63	0
Female	31	48	52	0
Male	33	27	73	0
AmIndAK	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	3	X	X	X
Hisp	11	X	X	X
Wht	49	41	59	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	5	X	X	X
ELL	6	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 3rd Grade Writing (Spanish) (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	X	X	X	X	**X**	X	X	X	X	X	**X**	X
Colorado	17	26	39	18	**57**	0	205	310	467	217	**684**	4




District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 3rd Grade Writing (Spanish) (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	X	X	X	X	**X**	X	X	X	X	X	**X**	X
2008	X	X	X	X	**X**	X	X	X	X	X	**X**	X
2009	X	X	X	X	**X**	X	X	X	X	X	**X**	X
2010	X	X	X	X	**X**	X	X	X	X	X	**X**	X
2011	X	X	X	X	**X**	X	X	X	X	X	**X**	X
2012	X	X	X	X	**X**	X	X	X	X	X	**X**	X



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 3rd Grade Writing (Spanish)

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	1	X	X	X
Female	0	X	X	X
Male	1	X	X	X
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	1	X	X	X
Wht	0	X	X	X
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	0	X	X	X
ELL	1	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 3rd Grade Math (in percentages)

District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	12	20	34	33	**67**	1	12	19	33	32	**65**	1
Colorado	8	21	40	31	**71**	0	5092	13486	26050	19802	**45852**	148




District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 3rd Grade Math (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	9	39	38	13	**51**	0	7	30	29	10	**39**	0
2008	4	32	43	21	**64**	0	2	18	24	12	**36**	0
2009	10	32	38	20	**58**	0	7	23	27	14	**41**	0
2010	10	40	40	10	**50**	0	6	25	25	6	**31**	0
2011	7	26	39	28	**67**	0	5	20	30	21	**51**	0
2012	12	20	34	33	**67**	1	12	19	33	32	**65**	1



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 3rd Grade Math

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	97	67	32	1
Female	41	63	34	2
Male	56	70	30	0
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	1	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	2	X	X	X
Hisp	24	46	54	0
Wht	67	75	24	1
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	3	X	X	X
IEP	20	30	70	0
ELL	10	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 4th Grade Math (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	5	16	33	46	**79**	0	4	12	25	35	**60**	0
Colorado	8	20	41	30	**71**	0	5271	12569	25978	19224	**45202**	194




District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 4th Grade Math (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	12	29	38	21	**59**	0	9	21	28	15	**43**	0
2008	13	28	41	18	**59**	0	9	20	29	13	**42**	0
2009	4	17	39	37	**76**	2	2	8	18	17	**35**	1
2010	7	23	38	32	**70**	0	5	16	27	23	**50**	0
2011	7	25	44	24	**68**	0	5	17	30	16	**46**	0
2012	5	16	33	46	**79**	0	4	12	25	35	**60**	0



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 4th Grade Math

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	76	79	21	0
Female	33	64	36	0
Male	43	91	9	0
AmIndAK	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	2	X	X	X
Hisp	21	67	33	0
Wht	49	84	16	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	3	X	X	X
IEP	7	X	X	X
ELL	11	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 5th Grade Math (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	12	38	29	21	**50**	0	7	22	17	12	**29**	0
Colorado	10	26	36	28	**64**	0	6068	16020	22762	17711	**40473**	215




District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 5th Grade Math (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	24	22	42	12	**54**	0	14	13	25	7	**32**	0
2008	13	42	26	18	**45**	0	10	32	20	14	**34**	0
2009	24	44	20	11	**31**	0	17	31	14	8	**22**	0
2010	7	25	39	30	**68**	0	4	14	22	17	**39**	0
2011	12	32	37	19	**56**	0	9	24	28	14	**42**	0
2012	12	38	29	21	**50**	0	7	22	17	12	**29**	0



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 5th Grade Math

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	58	50	50	0
Female	31	52	48	0
Male	27	48	52	0
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	1	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	20	25	75	0
Wht	34	68	32	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	3	X	X	X
IEP	4	X	X	X
ELL	12	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 6th Grade Math (in percentages)

District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	16	43	34	7	**41**	0	12	33	26	5	**31**	0
Colorado	12	26	37	25	**61**	1	7546	15969	22840	15224	**38064**	501




District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 6th Grade Math (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	16	34	34	15	**49**	0	12	25	25	11	**36**	0
2008	29	27	38	6	**44**	0	18	17	24	4	**28**	0
2009	16	39	30	13	**43**	1	11	26	20	9	**29**	1
2010	13	23	48	15	**63**	0	8	14	29	9	**38**	0
2011	12	31	31	26	**57**	0	8	20	20	17	**37**	0
2012	16	43	34	7	**41**	0	12	33	26	5	**31**	0



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 6th Grade Math

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	76	41	59	0
Female	31	39	61	0
Male	45	42	58	0
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	4	X	X	X
Hisp	13	X	X	X
Wht	56	34	66	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	3	X	X	X
IEP	9	X	X	X
ELL	4	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 7th Grade Math (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	27	35	22	16	**38**	0	17	22	14	10	**24**	0
Colorado	15	32	29	24	**53**	0	8917	19348	17601	14764	**32365**	279




District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 7th Grade Math (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	9	55	24	12	**36**	0	7	42	18	9	**27**	0
2008	21	45	21	12	**33**	0	16	34	16	9	**25**	0
2009	26	33	31	10	**41**	0	16	20	19	6	**25**	0
2010	22	45	23	9	**32**	1	16	33	17	7	**24**	1
2011	19	48	25	8	**32**	0	15	37	19	6	**25**	0
2012	27	35	22	16	**38**	0	17	22	14	10	**24**	0



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 7th Grade Math

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	63	38	62	0
Female	30	33	67	0
Male	33	42	58	0
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	3	X	X	X
Hisp	14	X	X	X
Wht	43	44	56	0
HwPacIs	1	X	X	X
Mult	2	X	X	X
IEP	11	X	X	X
ELL	5	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 8th Grade Math (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	28	39	19	15	**33**	0	21	29	14	11	**25**	0
Colorado	21	27	27	24	**52**	1	12519	16160	16293	14571	**30864**	371




District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 8th Grade Math (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	29	46	21	4	**25**	0	20	31	14	3	**17**	0
2008	24	48	23	4	**27**	1	19	38	18	3	**21**	1
2009	21	36	32	11	**42**	0	14	24	21	7	**28**	0
2010	25	39	28	6	**34**	1	17	26	19	4	**23**	1
2011	25	35	29	12	**41**	0	17	24	20	8	**28**	0
2012	28	39	19	15	**33**	0	21	29	14	11	**25**	0



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 8th Grade Math

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	75	33	67	0
Female	35	34	66	0
Male	40	33	68	0
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	3	X	X	X
Hisp	16	13	88	0
Wht	54	39	61	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	2	X	X	X
IEP	12	X	X	X
ELL	8	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 9th Grade Math (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	43	34	19	4	**23**	0	32	25	14	3	**17**	0
Colorado	31	30	24	14	**37**	2	18466	17989	14127	8330	**22457**	1146




District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 9th Grade Math (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	49	31	15	3	**18**	1	35	22	11	2	**13**	1
2008	39	38	18	4	**23**	0	28	27	13	3	**16**	0
2009	46	32	22	0	**22**	0	30	21	14	0	**14**	0
2010	34	40	21	5	**26**	0	26	31	16	4	**20**	0
2011	39	34	19	7	**27**	0	26	23	13	5	**18**	0
2012	43	34	19	4	**23**	0	32	25	14	3	**17**	0



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 9th Grade Math

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	74	23	77	0
Female	37	22	78	0
Male	37	24	76	0
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	14	X	X	X
Wht	56	27	73	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	4	X	X	X
IEP	6	X	X	X
ELL	8	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 10th Grade Math (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	36	56	5	3	**8**	0	23	36	3	2	**5**	0
Colorado	28	37	26	6	**33**	2	16154	21520	15291	3592	**18883**	1178




District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 10th Grade Math (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	46	36	7	7	**14**	5	27	21	4	4	**8**	3
2008	36	46	14	2	**15**	3	21	27	8	1	**9**	2
2009	43	38	18	2	**20**	0	26	23	11	1	**12**	0
2010	34	44	19	1	**21**	1	23	30	13	1	**14**	1
2011	29	47	19	4	**24**	0	20	32	13	3	**16**	0
2012	36	56	5	3	**8**	0	23	36	3	2	**5**	0



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 10th Grade Math

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	64	8	92	0
Female	31	6	94	0
Male	33	9	91	0
AmIndAK	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	3	X	X	X
Hisp	11	X	X	X
Wht	49	10	90	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	5	X	X	X
ELL	6	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 5th Grade Science (in percentages)

District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	22	40	28	10	**38**	0	13	23	16	6	**22**	0
Colorado	15	37	35	14	**49**	0	9108	23022	21723	8741	**30464**	181




District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 5th Grade Science (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	41	37	22	0	**22**	0	24	22	13	0	**13**	0
2008	26	45	24	5	**29**	0	20	34	18	4	**22**	0
2009	30	43	23	4	**27**	0	21	30	16	3	**19**	0
2010	12	40	39	9	**47**	0	7	23	22	5	**27**	0
2011	21	45	27	7	**33**	0	16	34	20	5	**25**	0
2012	22	40	28	10	**38**	0	13	23	16	6	**22**	0



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 5th Grade Science

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	58	38	62	0
Female	31	39	61	0
Male	27	37	63	0
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	1	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	20	15	85	0
Wht	34	53	47	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	3	X	X	X
IEP	4	X	X	X
ELL	12	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 8th Grade Science (in percentages)

District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	21	49	29	0	**29**	0	16	37	22	0	**22**	0
Colorado	23	28	41	7	**49**	1	13527	16670	24831	4418	**29249**	445




District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 8th Grade Science (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	40	25	34	1	**35**	0	27	17	23	1	**24**	0
2008	32	37	30	0	**30**	1	25	29	24	0	**24**	1
2009	24	29	38	9	**47**	0	16	19	25	6	**31**	0
2010	25	28	40	4	**45**	1	17	19	27	3	**30**	1
2011	36	22	41	1	**42**	0	25	15	28	1	**29**	0
2012	21	49	29	0	**29**	0	16	37	22	0	**22**	0



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 8th Grade Science

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	75	29	71	0
Female	35	31	69	0
Male	40	28	73	0
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	3	X	X	X
Hisp	16	6	94	0
Wht	54	37	63	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	2	X	X	X
IEP	12	X	X	X
ELL	8	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 10th Grade Science (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott 22	32	34	34	0	**34**	0	21	22	22	0	**22**	0
Colorado	26	22	43	7	**49**	2	15163	12839	24629	3886	**28515**	1215




District Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 10th Grade Science (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	44	22	25	0	**25**	8	28	14	16	0	**16**	5
2008	34	25	36	2	**37**	3	20	15	21	1	**22**	2
2009	26	23	49	2	**51**	0	16	14	30	1	**31**	0
2010	35	31	31	3	**34**	0	24	21	21	2	**23**	0
2011	35	21	37	7	**44**	0	24	14	25	5	**30**	0
2012	32	34	34	0	**34**	0	21	22	22	0	**22**	0



Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 10th Grade Science

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	65	34	66	0
Female	32	44	56	0
Male	33	24	76	0
AmIndAK	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	3	X	X	X
Hisp	11	X	X	X
Wht	50	38	62	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	5	X	X	X
ELL	6	X	X	X



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16


 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.

ELLICOTT MIDD

School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 6th Grade Reading (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
School, District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
ELLICOTT MIDD	12	22	61	5	**66**	0	9	17	46	4	**50**	0
Ellicott 22	12	22	61	5	**66**	0	9	17	46	4	**50**	0
Colorado	8	18	62	12	**73**	0	5268	11149	38219	7146	**45365**	299


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 6th Grade Reading



ELLICOTT MIDD Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 6th Grade Reading (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	10	24	60	6	**65**	1	7	17	43	4	**47**	1
2008	21	27	51	2	**52**	0	13	17	32	1	**33**	0
2009	18	19	58	3	**61**	1	12	13	39	2	**41**	1
2010	13	33	52	2	**53**	0	8	20	31	1	**32**	0
2011	15	26	54	5	**58**	0	10	17	35	3	**38**	0
2012	12	22	61	5	**66**	0	9	17	46	4	**50**	0


ELLICOTT MIDD Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 6th Grade Reading



ELLICOTT MIDD Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 6th Grade Reading

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	76	66	34	0
Female	31	84	16	0
Male	45	53	47	0
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	4	X	X	X
Hisp	13	X	X	X
Wht	56	70	30	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	3	X	X	X
IEP	9	X	X	X
ELL	4	X	X	X

ELLICOTT MIDD Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 6th Grade Reading




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 7th Grade Reading (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
ELLICOTT MIDD	19	27	51	3	**54**	0	12	17	32	2	**34**	0
Ellicott 22	19	27	51	3	**54**	0	12	17	32	2	**34**	0
Colorado	11	20	59	9	**68**	1	6933	12069	36116	5447	**41563**	328




ELLICOTT MIDD Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 7th Grade Reading (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	11	41	45	3	**48**	0	8	31	34	2	**36**	0
2008	15	35	48	3	**51**	0	11	26	36	2	**38**	0
2009	18	28	49	3	**52**	2	11	17	30	2	**32**	1
2010	22	16	59	1	**61**	1	16	12	44	1	**45**	1
2011	19	34	45	1	**47**	0	15	26	35	1	**36**	0
2012	19	27	51	3	**54**	0	12	17	32	2	**34**	0



ELLICOTT MIDD Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 7th Grade Reading

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	63	54	46	0
Female	30	57	43	0
Male	33	52	48	0
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	3	X	X	X
Hisp	14	X	X	X
Wht	43	56	44	0
HwPacIs	1	X	X	X
Mult	2	X	X	X
IEP	11	X	X	X
ELL	5	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 8th Grade Reading (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
ELLICOTT MIDD	13	36	49	1	**51**	0	10	27	37	1	**38**	0
Ellicott 22	13	36	49	1	**51**	0	10	27	37	1	**38**	0
Colorado	10	22	59	8	**67**	1	6258	13045	35279	4896	**40175**	438




ELLICOTT MIDD Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 8th Grade Reading (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	18	31	48	3	**51**	0	12	21	32	2	**34**	0
2008	19	23	54	3	**56**	1	15	18	42	2	**44**	1
2009	14	24	55	8	**62**	0	9	16	36	5	**41**	0
2010	15	24	58	1	**60**	1	10	16	39	1	**40**	1
2011	19	28	51	3	**54**	0	13	19	35	2	**37**	0
2012	13	36	49	1	**51**	0	10	27	37	1	**38**	0



ELLICOTT MIDD Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 8th Grade Reading

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	75	51	49	0
Female	35	57	43	0
Male	40	45	55	0
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	3	X	X	X
Hisp	16	19	81	0
Wht	54	61	39	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	2	X	X	X
IEP	12	X	X	X
ELL	8	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 6th Grade Writing (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
ELLICOTT MIDD	7	58	30	5	**36**	0	5	44	23	4	**27**	0
Ellicott 22	7	58	30	5	**36**	0	5	44	23	4	**27**	0
Colorado	5	38	47	9	**56**	1	3229	23712	28991	5810	**34801**	347




ELLICOTT MIDD Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 6th Grade Writing (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	7	38	48	5	**53**	1	5	28	35	4	**39**	1
2008	14	44	41	0	**41**	0	9	28	26	0	**26**	0
2009	6	51	40	1	**42**	1	4	34	27	1	**28**	1
2010	8	47	38	7	**45**	0	5	28	23	4	**27**	0
2011	6	43	48	3	**51**	0	4	28	31	2	**33**	0
2012	7	58	30	5	**36**	0	5	44	23	4	**27**	0



ELLICOTT MIDD Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 6th Grade Writing

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	76	36	64	0
Female	31	55	45	0
Male	45	22	78	0
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	4	X	X	X
Hisp	13	X	X	X
Wht	56	38	63	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	3	X	X	X
IEP	9	X	X	X
ELL	4	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 7th Grade Writing (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
ELLICOTT MIDD	6	48	38	8	**46**	0	4	30	24	5	**29**	0
Ellicott 22	6	48	38	8	**46**	0	4	30	24	5	**29**	0
Colorado	3	35	48	14	**62**	1	1832	21120	29025	8586	**37611**	334




ELLICOTT MIDD Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 7th Grade Writing (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	4	52	41	3	**44**	0	3	39	31	2	**33**	0
2008	1	56	35	8	**43**	0	1	42	26	6	**32**	0
2009	5	54	36	3	**39**	2	3	33	22	2	**24**	1
2010	8	46	45	0	**45**	1	6	34	33	0	**33**	1
2011	13	53	34	0	**34**	0	10	41	26	0	**26**	0
2012	6	48	38	8	**46**	0	4	30	24	5	**29**	0



ELLICOTT MIDD Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 7th Grade Writing

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	63	46	54	0
Female	30	53	47	0
Male	33	39	61	0
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	3	X	X	X
Hisp	14	X	X	X
Wht	43	49	51	0
HwPacIs	1	X	X	X
Mult	2	X	X	X
IEP	11	X	X	X
ELL	5	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 8th Grade Writing (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
ELLICOTT MIDD	4	65	28	3	**31**	0	3	49	21	2	**23**	0
Ellicott 22	4	65	28	3	**31**	0	3	49	21	2	**23**	0
Colorado	3	41	45	10	**55**	1	1839	24651	26944	5873	**32817**	619




ELLICOTT MIDD Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 8th Grade Writing (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	4	58	32	4	**36**	1	3	40	22	3	**25**	1
2008	3	62	33	1	**35**	1	2	48	26	1	**27**	1
2009	3	55	41	2	**42**	0	2	36	27	1	**28**	0
2010	3	57	36	3	**39**	1	2	38	24	2	**26**	1
2011	9	51	41	0	**41**	0	6	35	28	0	**28**	0
2012	4	65	28	3	**31**	0	3	49	21	2	**23**	0



ELLICOTT MIDD Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 8th Grade Writing

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	75	31	69	0
Female	35	37	63	0
Male	40	25	75	0
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	3	X	X	X
Hisp	16	13	88	0
Wht	54	35	65	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	2	X	X	X
IEP	12	X	X	X
ELL	8	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 6th Grade Math (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
ELLICOTT MIDD	16	43	34	7	**41**	0	12	33	26	5	**31**	0
Ellicott 22	16	43	34	7	**41**	0	12	33	26	5	**31**	0
Colorado	12	26	37	25	**61**	1	7546	15969	22840	15224	**38064**	501




ELLICOTT MIDD Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 6th Grade Math (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	16	34	34	15	**49**	0	12	25	25	11	**36**	0
2008	29	27	38	6	**44**	0	18	17	24	4	**28**	0
2009	16	39	30	13	**43**	1	11	26	20	9	**29**	1
2010	13	23	48	15	**63**	0	8	14	29	9	**38**	0
2011	12	31	31	26	**57**	0	8	20	20	17	**37**	0
2012	16	43	34	7	**41**	0	12	33	26	5	**31**	0



ELLICOTT MIDD Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 6th Grade Math

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	76	41	59	0
Female	31	39	61	0
Male	45	42	58	0
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	4	X	X	X
Hisp	13	X	X	X
Wht	56	34	66	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	3	X	X	X
IEP	9	X	X	X
ELL	4	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 7th Grade Math (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
ELLICOTT MIDD	27	35	22	16	**38**	0	17	22	14	10	**24**	0
Ellicott 22	27	35	22	16	**38**	0	17	22	14	10	**24**	0
Colorado	15	32	29	24	**53**	0	8917	19348	17601	14764	**32365**	279




ELLICOTT MIDD Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 7th Grade Math (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	9	55	24	12	**36**	0	7	41	18	9	**27**	0
2008	21	45	21	12	**33**	0	16	34	16	9	**25**	0
2009	26	33	31	10	**41**	0	16	20	19	6	**25**	0
2010	22	45	23	9	**32**	1	16	33	17	7	**24**	1
2011	19	48	25	8	**32**	0	15	37	19	6	**25**	0
2012	27	35	22	16	**38**	0	17	22	14	10	**24**	0



ELLICOTT MIDD Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 7th Grade Math

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	63	38	62	0
Female	30	33	67	0
Male	33	42	58	0
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	3	X	X	X
Hisp	14	X	X	X
Wht	43	44	56	0
HwPacIs	1	X	X	X
Mult	2	X	X	X
IEP	11	X	X	X
ELL	5	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.

School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 8th Grade Math (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
ELLICOTT MIDD	28	39	19	15	**33**	0	21	29	14	11	**25**	0
Ellicott 22	28	39	19	15	**33**	0	21	29	14	11	**25**	0
Colorado	21	27	27	24	**52**	1	12519	16160	16293	14571	**30864**	371


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 8th Grade Math



ELLICOTT MIDD Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 8th Grade Math (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	29	46	21	4	**25**	0	20	31	14	3	**17**	0
2008	23	49	23	4	**27**	1	18	38	18	3	**21**	1
2009	21	36	32	11	**42**	0	14	24	21	7	**28**	0
2010	25	39	28	6	**34**	1	17	26	19	4	**23**	1
2011	25	35	29	12	**41**	0	17	24	20	8	**28**	0
2012	28	39	19	15	**33**	0	21	29	14	11	**25**	0


ELLICOTT MIDD Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 8th Grade Math



ELLICOTT MIDD Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 8th Grade Math

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	75	33	67	0
Female	35	34	66	0
Male	40	33	68	0
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	3	X	X	X
Hisp	16	13	88	0
Wht	54	39	61	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	2	X	X	X
IEP	12	X	X	X
ELL	8	X	X	X

ELLICOTT MIDD Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 8th Grade Math




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 8th Grade Science (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
ELLICOTT MIDD	21	49	29	0	**29**	0	16	37	22	0	**22**	0
Ellicott 22	21	49	29	0	**29**	0	16	37	22	0	**22**	0
Colorado	23	28	41	7	**49**	1	13527	16670	24831	4418	**29249**	445




ELLICOTT MIDD Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 8th Grade Science (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	40	25	34	1	**35**	0	27	17	23	1	**24**	0
2008	31	37	31	0	**31**	1	24	29	24	0	**24**	1
2009	24	29	38	9	**47**	0	16	19	25	6	**31**	0
2010	25	28	40	4	**45**	1	17	19	27	3	**30**	1
2011	36	22	41	1	**42**	0	25	15	28	1	**29**	0
2012	21	49	29	0	**29**	0	16	37	22	0	**22**	0



ELLICOTT MIDD Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 8th Grade Science

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	75	29	71	0
Female	35	31	69	0
Male	40	28	73	0
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	3	X	X	X
Hisp	16	6	94	0
Wht	54	37	63	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	2	X	X	X
IEP	12	X	X	X
ELL	8	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.

Ellicott Elem


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 3rd Grade Reading (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
School, District and State	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott Elem	13	25	62	0	**62**	0	13	24	60	0	**60**	0
Ellicott 22	13	25	62	0	**62**	0	13	24	60	0	**60**	0
Colorado	8	18	67	7	**74**	0	5047	11219	42278	4565	**46843**	276




Ellicott Elem Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 3rd Grade Reading (in percentages)

	Percentages						Ns					
Years	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	20	22	55	0	**55**	3	15	16	41	0	**41**	2
2008	11	27	57	5	**63**	0	6	15	32	3	**35**	0
2009	10	17	69	4	**73**	0	7	12	49	3	**52**	0
2010	21	32	47	0	**47**	0	13	20	29	0	**29**	0
2011	9	25	60	5	**65**	1	7	19	46	4	**50**	1
2012	13	25	62	0	**62**	0	13	24	60	0	**60**	0



Ellicott Elem Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 3rd Grade Reading

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	97	62	38	0
Female	42	62	38	0
Male	55	62	38	0
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	1	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	2	X	X	X
Hisp	24	46	54	0
Wht	67	67	33	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	3	X	X	X
IEP	20	15	85	0
ELL	9	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 4th Grade Reading (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott Elem	13	25	61	1	**62**	0	10	19	46	1	**47**	0
Ellicott 22	13	25	61	1	**62**	0	10	19	46	1	**47**	0
Colorado	11	22	63	4	**67**	0	6650	13969	39502	2797	**42299**	219




Ellicott Elem Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 4th Grade Reading (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	35	13	49	4	**53**	0	25	9	35	3	**38**	0
2008	11	30	58	1	**59**	0	8	21	41	1	**42**	0
2009	13	20	57	9	**65**	2	6	9	26	4	**30**	1
2010	6	30	62	3	**65**	0	4	21	44	2	**46**	0
2011	15	41	44	0	**44**	0	10	28	30	0	**30**	0
2012	13	25	61	1	**62**	0	10	19	46	1	**47**	0



Ellicott Elem Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 4th Grade Reading

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	76	62	38	0
Female	33	55	45	0
Male	43	67	33	0
AmIndAK	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	2	X	X	X
Hisp	21	43	57	0
Wht	49	69	31	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	3	X	X	X
IEP	7	X	X	X
ELL	11	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 5th Grade Reading (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott Elem	24	28	45	3	**48**	0	14	16	26	2	**28**	0
Ellicott 22	24	28	45	3	**48**	0	14	16	26	2	**28**	0
Colorado	12	18	61	8	**69**	1	7242	11514	38452	5119	**43571**	463




Ellicott Elem Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 5th Grade Reading (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2009	19	34	47	0	**47**	0	13	24	33	0	**33**	0
2010	14	18	61	7	**68**	0	8	10	35	4	**39**	0
2011	21	21	55	3	**57**	0	16	16	41	2	**43**	0
2012	24	28	45	3	**48**	0	14	16	26	2	**28**	0



Ellicott Elem Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 5th Grade Reading

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	58	48	52	0
Female	31	55	45	0
Male	27	41	59	0
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	1	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	20	20	80	0
Wht	34	65	35	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	3	X	X	X
IEP	4	X	X	X
ELL	12	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.

School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 3rd Grade Reading (Spanish) (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott Elem	X	X	X	X	**X**	X	X	X	X	X	**X**	X
Ellicott 22	X	X	X	X	**X**	X	X	X	X	X	**X**	X
Colorado	17	24	49	9	**58**	1	205	289	590	105	**695**	10


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 3rd Grade Reading (Spanish)



Ellicott Elem Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 3rd Grade Reading (Spanish)

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	1	X	X	X
Female	0	X	X	X
Male	1	X	X	X
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	1	X	X	X
Wht	0	X	X	X
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	0	X	X	X
ELL	1	X	X	X

Ellicott Elem Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 3rd Grade Reading (Spanish)




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.

School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 3rd Grade Writing (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott Elem	11	46	41	2	**43**	0	11	44	39	2	**41**	0
Ellicott 22	11	46	41	2	**43**	0	11	44	39	2	**41**	0
Colorado	6	41	45	7	**52**	0	3659	26189	28657	4604	**33261**	290


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 3rd Grade Writing



Ellicott Elem Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 3rd Grade Writing (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	11	46	42	1	**43**	0	8	35	32	1	**33**	0
2008	5	54	39	2	**41**	0	3	30	22	1	**23**	0
2009	4	52	35	8	**44**	0	3	37	25	6	**31**	0
2010	6	63	27	3	**31**	0	4	39	17	2	**19**	0
2011	5	57	29	9	**38**	0	4	43	22	7	**29**	0
2012	11	46	41	2	**43**	0	11	44	39	2	**41**	0


Ellicott Elem Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 3rd Grade Writing



Ellicott Elem Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 3rd Grade Writing

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	96	43	57	0
Female	41	41	59	0
Male	55	44	56	0
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	1	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	2	X	X	X
Hisp	23	35	65	0
Wht	67	43	57	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	3	X	X	X
IEP	20	5	95	0
ELL	9	X	X	X

Ellicott Elem Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 3rd Grade Writing




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 4th Grade Writing (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott Elem	4	50	39	7	**46**	0	3	38	30	5	**35**	0
Ellicott 22	4	50	39	7	**46**	0	3	38	30	5	**35**	0
Colorado	8	43	42	7	**49**	0	4743	27030	26599	4516	**31115**	260




Ellicott Elem Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 4th Grade Writing (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	14	49	35	3	**38**	0	10	35	25	2	**27**	0
2008	10	52	35	3	**38**	0	7	37	25	2	**27**	0
2009	2	43	43	9	**52**	2	1	20	20	4	**24**	1
2010	10	56	31	3	**34**	0	7	40	22	2	**24**	0
2011	9	47	40	4	**44**	0	6	32	27	3	**30**	0
2012	4	50	39	7	**46**	0	3	38	30	5	**35**	0



Ellicott Elem Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 4th Grade Writing

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	76	46	54	0
Female	33	42	58	0
Male	43	49	51	0
AmIndAK	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	2	X	X	X
Hisp	21	38	62	0
Wht	49	51	49	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	3	X	X	X
IEP	7	X	X	X
ELL	11	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 5th Grade Writing (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott Elem	16	48	31	5	**36**	0	9	28	18	3	**21**	0
Ellicott 22	16	48	31	5	**36**	0	9	28	18	3	**21**	0
Colorado	5	36	48	11	**58**	0	3257	22590	29881	6808	**36689**	256




Ellicott Elem Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 5th Grade Writing (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2009	16	57	26	1	**27**	0	11	40	18	1	**19**	0
2010	4	39	53	5	**58**	0	2	22	30	3	**33**	0
2011	5	51	41	1	**43**	1	4	38	31	1	**32**	1
2012	16	48	31	5	**36**	0	9	28	18	3	**21**	0



Ellicott Elem Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 5th Grade Writing

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	58	36	64	0
Female	31	35	65	0
Male	27	37	63	0
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	1	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	20	15	85	0
Wht	34	50	50	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	3	X	X	X
IEP	4	X	X	X
ELL	12	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 3rd Grade Writing (Spanish) (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott Elem	X	X	X	X	**X**	X	X	X	X	X	**X**	X
Ellicott 22	X	X	X	X	**X**	X	X	X	X	X	**X**	X
Colorado	17	26	39	18	**57**	0	205	310	467	217	**684**	4



Ellicott Elem Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 3rd Grade Writing (Spanish)

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	1	X	X	X
Female	0	X	X	X
Male	1	X	X	X
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	1	X	X	X
Wht	0	X	X	X
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	0	X	X	X
ELL	1	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.

School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 3rd Grade Math (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott Elem	12	20	34	33	**67**	1	12	19	33	32	**65**	1
Ellicott 22	12	20	34	33	**67**	1	12	19	33	32	**65**	1
Colorado	8	21	40	31	**71**	0	5092	13486	26050	19802	**45852**	148


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 3rd Grade Math



Ellicott Elem Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 3rd Grade Math (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	9	39	38	13	**51**	0	7	30	29	10	**39**	0
2008	4	32	43	21	**64**	0	2	18	24	12	**36**	0
2009	10	32	38	20	**58**	0	7	23	27	14	**41**	0
2010	10	40	40	10	**50**	0	6	25	25	6	**31**	0
2011	7	26	39	28	**67**	0	5	20	30	21	**51**	0
2012	12	20	34	33	**67**	1	12	19	33	32	**65**	1


Ellicott Elem Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 3rd Grade Math



Ellicott Elem Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 3rd Grade Math

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	97	67	32	1
Female	41	63	34	2
Male	56	70	30	0
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	1	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	2	X	X	X
Hisp	24	46	54	0
Wht	67	75	24	1
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	3	X	X	X
IEP	20	30	70	0
ELL	10	X	X	X

Ellicott Elem Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 3rd Grade Math




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.

School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 4th Grade Math (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott Elem	5	16	33	46	**79**	0	4	12	25	35	**60**	0
Ellicott 22	5	16	33	46	**79**	0	4	12	25	35	**60**	0
Colorado	8	20	41	30	**71**	0	5271	12569	25978	19224	**45202**	194


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 4th Grade Math



Ellicott Elem Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 4th Grade Math (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	12	29	38	21	**59**	0	9	21	28	15	**43**	0
2008	13	28	41	18	**59**	0	9	20	29	13	**42**	0
2009	4	17	39	37	**76**	2	2	8	18	17	**35**	1
2010	7	23	38	32	**70**	0	5	16	27	23	**50**	0
2011	7	25	44	24	**68**	0	5	17	30	16	**46**	0
2012	5	16	33	46	**79**	0	4	12	25	35	**60**	0


Ellicott Elem Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 4th Grade Math



Ellicott Elem Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 4th Grade Math

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	76	79	21	0
Female	33	64	36	0
Male	43	91	9	0
AmIndAK	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	2	X	X	X
Hisp	21	67	33	0
Wht	49	84	16	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	3	X	X	X
IEP	7	X	X	X
ELL	11	X	X	X

Ellicott Elem Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 4th Grade Math




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 5th Grade Math (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott Elem	12	38	29	21	**50**	0	7	22	17	12	**29**	0
Ellicott 22	12	38	29	21	**50**	0	7	22	17	12	**29**	0
Colorado	10	26	36	28	**64**	0	6068	16020	22762	17711	**40473**	215




Ellicott Elem Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 5th Grade Math (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2009	24	44	20	11	**31**	0	17	31	14	8	**22**	0
2010	7	25	39	30	**68**	0	4	14	22	17	**39**	0
2011	12	32	37	19	**56**	0	9	24	28	14	**42**	0
2012	12	38	29	21	**50**	0	7	22	17	12	**29**	0



Ellicott Elem Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 5th Grade Math

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	58	50	50	0
Female	31	52	48	0
Male	27	48	52	0
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	1	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	20	25	75	0
Wht	34	68	32	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	3	X	X	X
IEP	4	X	X	X
ELL	12	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.

School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 5th Grade Science (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott Elem	22	40	28	10	**38**	0	13	23	16	6	**22**	0
Ellicott 22	22	40	28	10	**38**	0	13	23	16	6	**22**	0
Colorado	15	37	35	14	**49**	0	9108	23022	21723	8741	**30464**	181


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 5th Grade Science



Ellicott Elem Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 5th Grade Science (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2009	30	43	23	4	**27**	0	21	30	16	3	**19**	0
2010	12	40	39	9	**47**	0	7	23	22	5	**27**	0
2011	21	45	27	7	**33**	0	16	34	20	5	**25**	0
2012	22	40	28	10	**38**	0	13	23	16	6	**22**	0


Ellicott Elem Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 5th Grade Science



Ellicott Elem Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 5th Grade Science

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	58	38	62	0
Female	31	39	61	0
Male	27	37	63	0
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	1	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	20	15	85	0
Wht	34	53	47	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	3	X	X	X
IEP	4	X	X	X
ELL	12	X	X	X

Ellicott Elem Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 5th Grade Science




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.

Ellicott Sr High


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 9th Grade Reading (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott Sr High	12	30	58	0	**58**	0	9	22	43	0	**43**	0
Ellicott 22	12	30	58	0	**58**	0	9	22	43	0	**43**	0
Colorado	7	24	63	4	**67**	2	3965	14709	38092	2370	**40462**	914




Ellicott Sr High Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 9th Grade Reading (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	11	27	59	1	**61**	1	8	19	42	1	**43**	1
2008	8	32	58	1	**59**	0	6	23	41	1	**42**	0
2009	8	26	66	0	**66**	0	5	17	43	0	**43**	0
2010	4	29	66	1	**68**	0	3	22	51	1	**52**	0
2011	10	24	66	0	**66**	0	7	16	44	0	**44**	0
2012	12	30	58	0	**58**	0	9	22	43	0	**43**	0



Ellicott Sr High Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 9th Grade Reading

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	74	58	42	0
Female	37	62	38	0
Male	37	54	46	0
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	14	X	X	X
Wht	56	64	36	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	4	X	X	X
IEP	6	X	X	X
ELL	8	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 10th Grade Reading (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott Sr High	6	34	58	2	**59**	0	4	22	37	1	**38**	0
Ellicott 22	6	34	58	2	**59**	0	4	22	37	1	**38**	0
Colorado	7	22	60	8	**68**	2	4168	12755	34903	4480	**39383**	1429




Ellicott Sr High Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 10th Grade Reading (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	14	32	47	2	**49**	5	8	19	28	1	**29**	3
2008	11	25	56	5	**61**	4	6	14	32	3	**35**	2
2009	3	30	61	7	**67**	0	2	18	37	4	**41**	0
2010	9	16	72	3	**75**	0	6	11	48	2	**50**	0
2011	4	25	66	4	**71**	0	3	17	45	3	**48**	0
2012	6	34	58	2	**59**	0	4	22	37	1	**38**	0



Ellicott Sr High Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 10th Grade Reading

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	64	59	41	0
Female	31	68	32	0
Male	33	52	48	0
AmIndAK	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	3	X	X	X
Hisp	11	X	X	X
Wht	49	59	41	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	5	X	X	X
ELL	6	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 9th Grade Writing (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott Sr High	5	51	42	1	**43**	0	4	38	31	1	**32**	0
Ellicott 22	5	51	42	1	**43**	0	4	38	31	1	**32**	0
Colorado	4	43	44	7	**51**	2	2465	25923	26584	4071	**30655**	1024




Ellicott Sr High Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 9th Grade Writing (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	6	51	35	6	**41**	3	4	36	25	4	**29**	2
2008	3	56	37	3	**39**	1	2	40	26	2	**28**	1
2009	2	57	40	2	**42**	0	1	37	26	1	**27**	0
2010	1	61	36	1	**38**	0	1	47	28	1	**29**	0
2011	9	42	49	0	**49**	0	6	28	33	0	**33**	0
2012	5	51	42	1	**43**	0	4	38	31	1	**32**	0



Ellicott Sr High Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 9th Grade Writing

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	74	43	57	0
Female	37	46	54	0
Male	37	41	59	0
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	14	X	X	X
Wht	56	46	54	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	4	X	X	X
IEP	6	X	X	X
ELL	8	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 10th Grade Writing (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott Sr High	3	59	38	0	**38**	0	2	38	24	0	**24**	0
Ellicott 22	3	59	38	0	**38**	0	2	38	24	0	**24**	0
Colorado	6	43	43	6	**48**	2	3416	25060	24561	3337	**27898**	1372




Ellicott Sr High Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 10th Grade Writing (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	14	54	27	0	**27**	5	8	32	16	0	**16**	3
2008	14	51	30	2	**32**	4	8	29	17	1	**18**	2
2009	5	57	34	3	**38**	0	3	35	21	2	**23**	0
2010	9	52	34	4	**39**	0	6	35	23	3	**26**	0
2011	6	49	43	3	**46**	0	4	33	29	2	**31**	0
2012	3	59	38	0	**38**	0	2	38	24	0	**24**	0



Ellicott Sr High Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 10th Grade Writing

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	64	38	63	0
Female	31	48	52	0
Male	33	27	73	0
AmIndAK	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	3	X	X	X
Hisp	11	X	X	X
Wht	49	41	59	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	5	X	X	X
ELL	6	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 9th Grade Math (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott Sr High	43	34	19	4	**23**	0	32	25	14	3	**17**	0
Ellicott 22	43	34	19	4	**23**	0	32	25	14	3	**17**	0
Colorado	31	30	24	14	**37**	2	18466	17989	14127	8330	**22457**	1146




Ellicott Sr High Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 9th Grade Math (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	49	31	15	3	**18**	1	35	22	11	2	**13**	1
2008	39	38	18	4	**23**	0	28	27	13	3	**16**	0
2009	46	32	22	0	**22**	0	30	21	14	0	**14**	0
2010	34	40	21	5	**26**	0	26	31	16	4	**20**	0
2011	39	34	19	7	**27**	0	26	23	13	5	**18**	0
2012	43	34	19	4	**23**	0	32	25	14	3	**17**	0



Ellicott Sr High Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 9th Grade Math

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	74	23	77	0
Female	37	22	78	0
Male	37	24	76	0
AmIndAK	0	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	0	X	X	X
Hisp	14	X	X	X
Wht	56	27	73	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	4	X	X	X
IEP	6	X	X	X
ELL	8	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 10th Grade Math (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott Sr High	36	56	5	3	**8**	0	23	36	3	2	**5**	0
Ellicott 22	36	56	5	3	**8**	0	23	36	3	2	**5**	0
Colorado	28	37	26	6	**33**	2	16154	21520	15291	3592	**18883**	1178




Ellicott Sr High Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 10th Grade Math (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	46	36	7	7	**14**	5	27	21	4	4	**8**	3
2008	35	46	14	2	**16**	4	20	26	8	1	**9**	2
2009	43	38	18	2	**20**	0	26	23	11	1	**12**	0
2010	34	43	19	1	**21**	1	23	29	13	1	**14**	1
2011	29	47	19	4	**24**	0	20	32	13	3	**16**	0
2012	36	56	5	3	**8**	0	23	36	3	2	**5**	0



Ellicott Sr High Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 10th Grade Math

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	64	8	92	0
Female	31	6	94	0
Male	33	9	91	0
AmIndAK	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	3	X	X	X
Hisp	11	X	X	X
Wht	49	10	90	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	5	X	X	X
ELL	6	X	X	X




Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.


School, District and State Performance on TCAP 2012 10th Grade Science (in percentages)

School, District and State	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
Ellicott Sr High	32	34	34	0	**34**	0	21	22	22	0	**22**	0
Ellicott 22	32	34	34	0	**34**	0	21	22	22	0	**22**	0
Colorado	26	22	43	7	**49**	2	15163	12839	24629	3886	**28515**	1215




Ellicott Sr High Historic Trends on TCAP/CSAP 10th Grade Science (in percentages)

Years	Percentages						Ns					
	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS	U	PP	P	A	**PA**	NS
2007	45	23	26	0	**26**	6	28	14	16	0	**16**	4
2008	32	26	37	2	**39**	4	18	15	21	1	**22**	2
2009	26	23	49	2	**51**	0	16	14	30	1	**31**	0
2010	36	30	31	3	**34**	0	24	20	21	2	**23**	0
2011	35	21	37	7	**44**	0	24	14	25	5	**30**	0
2012	32	34	34	0	**34**	0	21	22	22	0	**22**	0



Ellicott Sr High Disaggregation Summary Performance on TCAP 2012 10th Grade Science

Groups	Ns	% Prof. or Above	% Below	% NS
Total	65	34	66	0
Female	32	44	56	0
Male	33	24	76	0
AmIndAK	1	X	X	X
Asian	0	X	X	X
BlkAfAm	3	X	X	X
Hisp	11	X	X	X
Wht	50	38	62	0
HwPacIs	0	X	X	X
Mult	0	X	X	X
IEP	5	X	X	X
ELL	6	X	X	X



Legend: U=Unsatisfactory; PP=Partially Proficient; P=Proficient; A=Advanced; PA=Proficient + Advanced; NS=No Score
Notes: Results are reported in percentages. Percentages may not sum due to rounding. Minimum # of students required for summary reporting: 16

 Copyright 2001-2012 Alpine Achievement Systems, Inc.