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What is the impact of the levy?
The net increase of the combined school district, city and county levy is 2.8 percent.

The individual levies that make up the net increase are:
• School district = 9.4 percent
• City of St. Paul = zero percent
• Ramsey County = 2.7 percent

Adding the percentages together will give residents an inaccurate net increase, so it is important that the numbers not be added together.

Basic Levy Background Information
• Ramsey County and the state of Minnesota have the final say on property tax levels for homes within the school district’s boundaries.
• Schools, unlike cities and counties, have no discretionary levy authority.
• Several general education schools levies have aid penalties. If the school district does not levy for the state authorized amount, state aid is also reduced and that results in cuts to classrooms.
• School levies make up only a portion (18 percent in 2010) of the total school budget. Increases in levies do not translate to increases in the overall budget. In fact, even with the levy increase, the overall budget will go down.
• School levies are based on a number of factors, including property wealth of the homes, the number of students enrolled, the state’s match for the levy and the total amount of state aid.
• During the past eight years, the state of Minnesota has significantly shifted the obligation of funding public education to property taxes. This has led to a total statewide property tax increase of nearly $3 billion since 2002.
Why is the school portion of the levy going up by 9.4 percent?
The state of Minnesota calculates how much a school district can levy for the
following school year. It includes levies for general education, community
education, including early childhood programs, and debt service (payments for
bonds to maintain and improve facilities).

What is driving the levy increase?
Much of the proposed increase is related to adjustments designed to save
money for Saint Paul’s taxpayers in the long-term.

The majority of the levy increase, $6 million, is due to increases in debt service
related to facilities. Saint Paul Public Schools has nearly 100 educational
facilities. The average age of most buildings is over 50 years old.

- Of that $6 million, slightly more than $4 million is a result of refinancing
  $129 million in bonds to take advantage of lower interest rates and the
  interest and principle payments for bonds sold to maintain and improve
  facilities so they are safe, accessible and educationally sound.
- The other $2 million is a result of an adjustment the state made last year
  that reduced the school district levy by the same amount.

The second factor is related to retiree health insurance costs that the school
district inherited years ago. This accounts for $3.6 million. This employee benefit
existed before the school district was an independent school district for
employees. The district has discontinued these benefits and, with the
cooperation of the state and our employees, is implementing a more fiscally
prudent strategy to fund the remaining obligations.

- The district successfully negotiated to eliminate this employee benefit in
  1996 for retirees and also cut the early retiree benefit in the last contract.
  The school district uses this levy money to pay for a portion of the cost
  for retirees under the old obligations, so that it doesn’t have to come out
  of the classroom.
- The state raised the levy cap this year so that districts could levy the
  actual amounts of their retiree obligations if they had eliminated the
  retiree health benefit for all future retirees, which the Saint Paul Public
  Schools has done.

The third factor is a result of increased re-employment insurance as a result of
staff layoffs. This accounts for slightly less than $1 million.

What is Saint Paul Public Schools doing to live within its means?
The School Board has taken many steps to ensure that instructional dollars will
provide a good return on investment.
• In 2009, the school district cut 236 full time equivalent (FTE) positions; more than 1,200 FTEs have been cut in the last 10 years.
• The school district’s general fund budget has been reduced each of the last four years. Even with the referendum that passed in 2006 for the 2007-08 school year, the adopted 2010-11 general fund budget is nearly identical to what was spent during 2006-07 school year.
• Central administration was streamlined and reorganized to reduce redundancies.
• Eight school programs were matched up and co-located into four building locations.
• Two schools have been relocated to allow their popular programs to better meet the needs of students and enroll more students, resulting in increased revenue.
• The school district has maintained its AAA Standard and Poor’s and Aa2 Moody’s credit ratings.
• Seven school buildings have been temporarily closed and the district has moved out of leased space, and continues to do so, as leases expire.
• The school district kept its referendum commitments, which support essential programs at all grade levels, including:
  o Half-day, pre-kindergarten for more than 900 four-year-olds
  o Early childhood education
  o All-day kindergarten at every elementary school
  o Targeted resources to middle and high schools
  o ESL services and special education

**Why can't the school district have a zero percent levy increase like the city?**

Local government levies tend to fluctuate for many reasons, including action by the state and property values. For example, due to actions by the Governor, the Legislature and changes in property value, the city’s levy has fluctuated in the previous three years, including increases of 15.1 percent, 8.5 percent and 6 percent.

Under current law, the city is expected to receive $10.3 million in local government aid, which was built into their budget assumptions.

The State is facing nearly a $6 billion deficit. The new majorities have stated that they are going to balance the budget without raising taxes, which translates into substantial proposed cuts in state funding. There is no scheduled increase in the general education formula, and the state has borrowed funds and delayed both property tax and aid payments to schools as a way of balancing the state budget. Districts like Saint Paul Public Schools that receive designated funding for students in poverty, English Language Learners and special education and integration programs have been disproportionally impacted in the past.
We will continue to do everything we can to work with our legislature and Governor to ensure adequate resources for the students of Saint Paul, because they are the future of our state. At the same time, we must continue to be conservative with our budgeting, which means we will continue to plan for decreasing resources and make the most of what we have.

**Does a substantial reduction in the school levy equal a substantial change in a homeowner’s property tax?**

No, a reduction of the school levy does not result in a proportional reduction in property taxes since school districts are just one leg of the three-legged stool, including the city and county that makes up a homeowner’s property taxes.

If the school district reduced the levy to 5 percent, it would only change taxes on a low-value home by 0.05 percent and on a high-value home by 1.8 percent.

For more information about school levy or Saint Paul Public Schools, please call the Office of Communications at 651-767-8100.

www.spps.org
Who Determines Your Property Tax?

State Legislature
- Sets Property Tax Policy
- Establishes Property Classes & Class Rates
- Determines Levels of State Aid
- Underfunded Mandates to Local Governments
- Levies State Business Tax

Property Tax

Taxing Jurisdictions
- Determines Levy Amount

County Assessor
- Determines Market Value
- Assigns Property Class

Prepared by: Ramsey County, Property Records & Revenue
## Estimated Percentage Change in 2011 Property Tax

On Median Value Single Family Properties (as of 11/15/10)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>School</th>
<th>Payable 2010 City Median Taxable Value</th>
<th>Payable 2011 City Median Taxable Value</th>
<th>Median % Change In Value</th>
<th>Estimated % Change in Tax on Median Valued Home</th>
<th>Estimated Change From 2010 Total Tax</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>County</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arden Hills</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>623</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falcon Heights</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gem Lake</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
<td>-0.9%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauderdale</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Canada</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>624</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maplewood</td>
<td>622</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>623</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>-1.3%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>624</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mounds View</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>-4.3%</td>
<td>-2.5%</td>
<td>-0.2%</td>
<td>-1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Brighton</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>621</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Oaks</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>624</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North St. Paul</td>
<td>622</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>-2.2%</td>
<td>-1.2%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>-0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roseville</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>-1.3%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>623</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>-0.6%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Anthony</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>-1.9%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Paul</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>-3.4%</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
<td>-0.3%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoreview</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>-1.9%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>623</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>-1.1%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring Lake Park</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>-5.0%</td>
<td>-2.4%</td>
<td>-0.1%</td>
<td>-1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vadnais Heights</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>-3.2%</td>
<td>-1.9%</td>
<td>-0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>624</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Bear Lake</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Bear Town</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>20.1%</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Median Taxable Market Value of Residential Property
**By St. Paul Planning District**
**Taxes Payable Year 2010 to Estimated 2011**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planning District</th>
<th>Median Taxable Home Market Values</th>
<th>Final Payable 2010 Rate</th>
<th>Proposed Payable 2011 Rate</th>
<th>Estimated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Values as of:</td>
<td>01/02/09 2010</td>
<td>01/02/10 2011</td>
<td>% Change From '10 - '11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Sunray/Battlecreek/Highwood</td>
<td>$158,800</td>
<td>$149,500</td>
<td>-5.9%</td>
<td>$1,911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Greater East Side</td>
<td>141,500</td>
<td>125,100</td>
<td>-11.6%</td>
<td>1,662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. West Side</td>
<td>155,600</td>
<td>147,500</td>
<td>-5.2%</td>
<td>1,865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Dayton's Bluff</td>
<td>123,450</td>
<td>105,400</td>
<td>-14.6%</td>
<td>1,404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Payne/Phalen</td>
<td>141,300</td>
<td>116,700</td>
<td>-17.4%</td>
<td>1,660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. North End</td>
<td>135,800</td>
<td>114,000</td>
<td>-16.1%</td>
<td>1,581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Thomas Dale</td>
<td>113,000</td>
<td>96,500</td>
<td>-14.6%</td>
<td>1,253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Summit/University</td>
<td>188,700</td>
<td>176,800</td>
<td>-6.3%</td>
<td>2,341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. West Seventh</td>
<td>166,500</td>
<td>155,850</td>
<td>-6.4%</td>
<td>2,022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Como</td>
<td>206,100</td>
<td>198,400</td>
<td>-3.7%</td>
<td>2,592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Hamline/Midway</td>
<td>173,100</td>
<td>160,100</td>
<td>-7.5%</td>
<td>2,117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. St. Anthony Park</td>
<td>264,700</td>
<td>252,100</td>
<td>-4.8%</td>
<td>3,434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Merriam Park/Snelling/Lexington/Hamlin</td>
<td>259,500</td>
<td>247,400</td>
<td>-4.7%</td>
<td>3,359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Macalester/Groveland</td>
<td>262,900</td>
<td>259,600</td>
<td>-1.3%</td>
<td>3,408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Highland</td>
<td>268,000</td>
<td>257,800</td>
<td>-3.8%</td>
<td>3,482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Summit Hill</td>
<td>353,400</td>
<td>343,650</td>
<td>-2.8%</td>
<td>4,710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Downtown</td>
<td>141,600</td>
<td>140,200</td>
<td>-1.0%</td>
<td>1,664</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Notes: Tax rates and taxes will be slightly higher for the small portion of the City located in the Ramsey/Washington Metro Watershed District.

## Assumptions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Levy</th>
<th>2010 Levy</th>
<th>Proposed 2011 Levy</th>
<th>Levy Change</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>County Levy</td>
<td>$259,971,049</td>
<td>$267,120,252</td>
<td>$7,149,203</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Levy</td>
<td>94,609,221</td>
<td>94,609,221</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISD 625 Levy</td>
<td>111,692,236</td>
<td>122,230,862</td>
<td>10,538,626</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Rail Authority Levy</td>
<td>19,938,811</td>
<td>19,938,811</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Paul HRA</td>
<td>3,178,148</td>
<td>3,178,148</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Process to Appeal Your Estimated Market Value in Ramsey County

Spring 2010 (Past)

March - June
- Appeal 2010 values for taxes payable in 2011

April 30
- Last day to submit a 2010 appeal to MN Tax Court

End of June
- Board of Appeals and Equalization meets.
  - Last chance for a formal appeal for a 2010 value (payable 2011)
    - other than MN Tax Court

Present

Proposed Budget Meeting
- The meeting on the proposed budget is a Public Forum to allow taxpayers to voice opinions about local government budgets as they impact 2011 taxes.

2011 Assessment (payable 2012)
- The assessor calculates your 2011 Estimated Market Value through analysis of recent market data.

The time has passed to discuss individual valuations for taxes payable 2011 with the property tax appraiser for your area.

Your only option to appeal your value for taxes payable in 2011 is by filing an appeal with the MN Tax Court.

If you purchased your home in the past year, and the sale meets strict Abatement policy standards, you may be eligible for an administrative adjustment.

*At this time you may start discussions with a property appraiser to review existing data on your property which affects the 2011 assessment (payable 2012). Contact us for an interior review of your property at 651-266-2000

Spring 2011 (Future)

March 16, 2011
- Payable 2011 Tax Statements and 2011 Value Notice mailed to taxpayers

March 16 to June 10
- Informal Appeals
  - Begins with mailing of value notices on March 16th. An appraiser will schedule a time to visit your property to verify data characteristics. Within this informal appeal window, we hold Open Book Meetings. These meetings will be scheduled from April 2 to April 4, 2011.
  - Property owners wishing further appeal can submit written application to the Board of Appeal and Equalization (BOE)
  - The BOE appeal application must be submitted by May 6, 2011

June 13 to June 24, 2011
- BOE Meets
  - Board of Appeals and Equalization (BOE) meets. At this time, the homeowner is responsible to support their opinion of value.
  - A neutral board, consisting of realtors, appraisers, and homeowners, will review the supporting information provided by the County and the homeowner. Their final reconciliation of value can only be challenged in MN Tax Court.

May 6 to June 10, 2011
- Administrative Open Books
  - If you miss the date to file with the BOE, an Administrative Open Book appeal can still be done, but MN Tax Court is the only outlet to appeal the newly reconciled value.

After the BOE closes on June 24, 2011, the only option to appeal is MN Tax Court (until the process begins again next spring)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Programs that may Reduce Your Property Taxes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Refunds / Deferrals Available**

1. Some homeowners will qualify for a **Special Property Tax Refund**. There is **No Income Limit** for this refund.

2. Homeowners, with household income under $99,240, can apply for a **Regular Property Tax Refund**. Higher income limits apply if you have dependents or if you are a senior or disabled.

3. Senior Citizens may qualify to defer a portion of their homestead property taxes to a later time.

For details on these **Property Tax Refund** and deferral opportunities, go to:  
www.taxes.state.mn.us  
or call (651) 296-3781

**Market Value Exclusion on Homestead Property of Disabled Veterans**

If you are a disabled veteran with a 70-100% service disability, you may be eligible for a market value exclusion. This will reduce property taxes for the homestead of qualifying disabled veterans. Application qualifications and deadlines apply.

**Homestead Applications Due December 15, 2010**

You must contact your County Assessor to file a homestead application if one of the following applies:

- You are a new owner.
- You have changed your marital status.
- You have changed your name.
- You have changed residence or mailing address.
- You have added or removed an owner.

If you sell, move, or for any reason no longer qualify for the homestead classification, you are required to notify the County Assessor within 30 days of the change in homestead status.

**Special Homestead Classification (1B) for Persons who are**

- Blind or Permanently and Totally Disabled — If you own and occupy a home and are 100% disabled or legally blind, you may qualify for this program. This is in addition to the benefit provided to regular homesteads and will reduce your property taxes. Application qualifications and deadlines apply.

For information on any of these topics, go to: www.co.ramsey.mn.us/prr  
call, 651-266-2000, or  
Email: AskPropertyTaxandRecords@co.ramsey.mn.us
I. CALL TO ORDER

The hearing was called to order at 6:30 p.m. by Board Chair Street-Stewart. Following an explanation of the purpose of the meeting she stated one of the primary jobs of the Board of Education is to balance the educational needs of St. Paul's children with the property tax impact. The District has cut $27.2 million from the 10-11 budget, including over $10 million in direct funding to schools and $17.2 million in cuts to centrally funded programs, district administration and excess fund balance. She went on to state the District is facing a shortfall of approximately $23 to $28 million in its budget in the 2011-12 school year.

She then turned the meeting over to the Chief Business Officer who noted the State of Minnesota has authorized SPPS to levy a maximum of $122.2 million which is a 9.4% increase from the Pay10 District Levy. He then presented a PowerPoint presentation which highlighted the following points:

A. Why does SPPS levy?
   He noted that some levies contain penalties impacting SPPS if not levied fully.

B. General information on the property tax levy.
   The Chief Business Officer noted Spring is the time for stakeholders to affect their individual property tax assessments. The Ramsey County materials provides information about refunds and assessments.

C. Points of interest on the Pay11 proposed levy including three reasons for the levy increase.

The Board Chair then provided information on the process for the public hearing and turned the microphone over to the those of the community in attendance. Fifteen individuals out of the approximately 35 in attendance spoke to the proposed levy.

2. ADJOURNMENT

The hearing was adjourned at 7:12 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Marilyn Polsfuss
Assistant Clerk
Saint Paul Public Schools Public Hearing
2010 Proposed Property Tax Levy

Special Board of Education Meeting

November 30, 2010

Michael A. Baumann
Chief Business Officer
Why Does SPPS Levy?

- State funding does not provide all of General Education expense requirements (Equity, Transition, Integration, Operating Capital, etc.)
- Mandates from the State and Federal governments require additional funding (alternative maintenance)
- Leased space support
- Health Care support
- Pension support
- Operating costs

Some levies contain penalties if not levied fully
Property Tax Levy
(General Information)

• Property Tax Levy laws are established by the Legislature of the State of Minnesota.

• Boards of Education set the overall Levy for School Districts up to the maximum set by the State.

• The 2011 Levy will be paid by taxpayers in May and October of 2011.

• The proceeds of the 2011 Levy provide funds for the 2011-12 school year.

• Calculations for individual property taxes are administered by Ramsey County.
Property Tax Levy
(General Information)

• MN law was changed last year so each taxing jurisdiction (Ramsey County, City of St. Paul, SPPS) holds its own hearing. SPPS is holding its hearing tonight to hear from you.

• The Board of Education will certify the Pay11 levy at its meeting on December 14, 2010.

• SPPS continues to work with the City and County to reduce overall impact.

• Spring is the time for stakeholders to affect their individual property tax assessments. See your packets for Ramsey County information about refunds and assessments.
### Property Tax Levy Proposal (Comparison Pay10 to Pay101)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>Final Pay 10</th>
<th>Proposed Pay 11</th>
<th>Percent Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>$72,209,685</td>
<td>$76,688,599</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Service</td>
<td>$3,668,391</td>
<td>$3,571,836</td>
<td>-2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt</td>
<td>$35,814,161</td>
<td>$41,970,427</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$111,692,237</strong></td>
<td><strong>$122,230,862</strong></td>
<td><strong>9.4%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Board of Education proposed **ceiling** for property tax levy is $122.2 million or 9.4%
Pay 11 Proposed Levy

(Points of Interest)

• Three reasons for levy increase:

  – OPEB (Other Post Employment Benefits) – a levy to help cover the costs of retiree health insurance. District continues to take steps to control these costs

  – Debt levy – costs and adjustments to maintain our building infrastructure

  – Re-employment Insurance – from staff layoffs
Board of Education
Hears from the Public