2013 F.I.R.S.T. REPORT





Greenville Independent School District

A Financial Management Report For the Year Ended August 31, 2012 This page left blank intentionally.

Greenville Independent School District 2013 Fiscal Management Report Table of Contents

Schools FIRST Introduction and Cover Letter	4
Notice of Public Meeting	6
2011-2012 District Summary Data	8
2011-2012 Schools FIRST Indicators	14
2011-2012 State-Wide Data Summary	48
Required Supplementary Disclosures:	
2010-2011 District Summary Data	55
Superintendent's Contract	61
Reimbursements to Board Members and Superintendent	63
Summary Schedule of Data Submitted Under Financial	
Solvency Provisions of TEC §39.0822	68
Management Report Contact Information	71



This page left blank intentionally.



The Honorable Board of Trustees and Taxpayers of Greenville ISD:

The 2012 Schools FIRST Rating is being presented for your information. FIRST stands for "Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas." It is the annual rating performed by the Texas Education Agency to test the financial performance of school districts as required by Title 19, Texas Administrative Code, Section 109.

The District is required to hold a public meeting to distribute a financial management report that explains the district's performance under each of the 20 indicators and the resultant district's rating. The 2013 rating was based upon analysis of staff and student data reported for the 2011-2012 school year, and budgetary and actual financial data for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2012.

Greenville ISD received a **"Superior Achievement"** rating for 2013, which represents the highest rating a school district can receive. Greenville ISD received a score of 69 out of a possible 70 total points. A district is assigned one of four ratings: Superior Achievement, Above Standard Achievement, Standard Achievement, and Substandard Achievement.

We feel that receiving the designation of Above Standard Achievement is more significant due to the complexity of accounting associated with the Texas' school finance system. The Schools FIRST accountability rating makes sure that Texas school districts are accountable not only for student learning, but also for achieving these results cost-effectively and efficiently. The information provided by the Schools FIRST system will guide us in our continued efforts to make the most of each taxpayer dollar.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dal C. Cat.

David C. Carter, CPA Chief Financial Officer

This page left blank intentionally.

Greenville Independent School District Schools FIRST Rating Notice of Public Meeting

Published September 20, 2013 and September 27, 2013 in the Greenville Herald Banner

NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING TO DISCUSS 2013 SCHOOL FIRST RATING

The Greenville Independent School District will hold a public meeting at 6:00 pm, October 15, 2013, at the Wesley Martin Administration Building, 4004 Moulton St. Greenville, Texas 75401. The purpose of this meeting is to discuss the school district's performance under the School FIRST (Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas). A financial management report will be distributed and public participation in the discussion is invited.

This page left blank intentionally.



Help

Home

Log Out

User: David Carter User Role: District			
FIRST RATING FOR FISCAL YEAR	2011-2012 💌	Select An Option	•



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2011-2012 DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL

Name: GREENVILLE ISD(116905) Pu		Publication Level 1: 6/21/2013 3:0	5:18 PM		
Status: Passed		Publication Level 2: 9/12/2013 6:29:59 PM			
Rati	Rating: Superior Achievement Last Updated: 9/12/2013 6:29:59 PM				
Dist	rict Score: 69	Passing Score: 52			
#	Indicator Description		Updated	Score	
1	Was The Total Fund Balance Less Nonspend Greater Than Zero In The General Fund?	dable and Restricted Fund Balance	4/26/2013 5:20:30 PM	Yes	
2 Was the Total Unrestricted Net Asset Balance (Net of Accretion of Interest on Capital Appreciation Bonds) In the Governmental Activities Column in the Statement of Net Assets Greater than Zero? (If the District's 5 Year % Change in Students was 10% more) 4/26/2013 5:20:30 Yean		Yes			
3	Sources Of Information Concerning Default On Bonded Indebtedness		4/26/2013 5:20:31 PM	Yes	
4	4 <u>Was The Annual Financial Report Filed Within One Month After November 27th</u> or January 28th Deadline Depending Upon The District's Fiscal Year End Date (June 30th or August 31st)?		Yes		
5	5 <u>Was There An Unqualified Opinion in Annual Financial Report?</u> 4/26/2013 5:20:31 PM		Yes		
6			4/26/2013 5:20:31 PM	Yes	
				1 Multiplier Sum	
7	Was The Three-Year Average Percent Of To Delinguent) Greater Than 98%?	tal Tax Collections (Including	4/26/2013 5:20:32 PM	5	

5/13	District Status Detail	:	:
8	Did The Comparison Of PEIMS Data To Like Information In Annual Financial Report Result In An Aggregate Variance Of Less Than 3 Percent Of Expenditures Per Fund Type (Data Quality Measure)?	4/26/2013 5:20:32 PM	5
9	Were Debt Related Expenditures (Net Of IFA And/Or EDA Allotment) < \$350.00 Per Student? (If The District's Five-Year Percent Change In Students = Or > 7%, Or If Property Taxes Collected Per Penny Of Tax Effort > \$200,000 Per Student)	4/26/2013 5:20:32 PM	4
10	<u>Was There No Disclosure In The Annual Audit Report Of Material</u> <u>Noncompliance?</u>	4/26/2013 5:20:33 PM	5
11	<u>Did The District Have Full Accreditation Status In Relation To Financial</u> <u>Management Practices? (e.g. No Conservator Or Monitor Assigned)</u>	4/26/2013 5:20:33 PM	5
12	Was The Aggregate Of Budgeted Expenditures And Other Uses Less Than The Aggregate Of Total Revenues, Other Resources and Fund Balance In General Fund?	4/26/2013 5:20:33 PM	5
13	If The District's Aggregate Fund Balance In The General Fund And Capital Projects Fund Was Less Than Zero, Were Construction Projects Adeguately Financed? (To Avoid Creating Or Adding To The Fund Balance Deficit Situation)	4/26/2013 5:20:34 PM	5
14	Was The Ratio Of Cash And Investments To Deferred Revenues (Excluding Amount Equal To Net Delinguent Taxes Receivable) In The General Fund Greater Than Or Equal To 1:1? (If Deferred Revenues Are Less Than Net Delinguent Taxes Receivable)	4/26/2013 5:20:34 PM	5
15	Was The Administrative Cost Ratio Less Than The Threshold Ratio?	4/26/2013 5:20:34 PM	5
16	<u>Was The Ratio Of Students To Teachers Within the Ranges Shown Below</u> <u>According To District Size?</u>	4/26/2013 5:20:34 PM	5
17	Was The Ratio Of Students To Total Staff Within the Ranges Shown Below According To District Size?	4/26/2013 5:20:35 PM	5
18	Was The Decrease In Undesignated Unreserved Fund Balance < 20% Over Two Fiscal Years?(If Total Revenues > Operating Expenditures In The General Fund,Then District Receives 5 Points)	4/26/2013 5:20:35 PM	5
19	<u>Was The Aggregate Total Of Cash And Investments In The General Fund More</u> <u>Than \$0?</u>	4/26/2013 5:20:35 PM	5
20	Were Investment Earnings In All Funds (Excluding Debt Service Fund and Capital Projects Fund) Meet or Exceed the 3-Month Treasury Bill Rate?	4/26/2013 5:20:36 PM	5
			69 Weighted

	Sum
	1 Multiplier Sum
	69 Score

DETERMINATION OF RATING

A. Did The District Answer 'No' To Indicators 1, 2, 3 Or 4? OR Did The District Answer 'No' To Both 5 and 6? If So, The District's Rating Is Substandard Achievement.
 B. Determine Rating By Applicable Range For summation of the indicator scores (Indicators 7-20)
 Superior Achievement 64-70
 Above Standard Achievement 58-63
 Standard Achievement 52-57
 Substandard Achievement <

INDICATOR 16 & 17 RATIOS

Indicator 16	Ranges for Ratios		Ratios		Indicator 17	Range Ratios	s for
District Size - Number of Students Between	Low	High	District Size - Number of Students Between	Low	High		
< 500	7	22	< 500	5	14		
500-999	10	22	500-999	5.8	14		
1000-4999	11.5	22	1000-4999	6.3	14		
5000-9999	13	22	5000-9999	6.8	14		
=> 10000	13.5	22	=> 10000	7.0	14		

Audit Home Page: <u>School Financial Audits</u> | Send comments or suggestions to <u>schoolaudits@tea.state.tx.us</u>

THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u> 1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE • AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 • (512) 463-9734

2011-2012 Schools FIRST Indicators

Indicator Tests 1 to 20

Select An Option Home Help Ŧ

Log Out



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2011-2012 INDICATOR TEST 1

Name:	GREENVILLE ISD (116905)
Indicator:	Was The Total Fund Balance Less Nonspendable and Restricted Fund Balance Greater Than Zero In The General Fund?
Status	Passed
Last Updated:	4/26/2013 5:20:30 PM

FORMULA

Field	Value	
(
		-
Total Fund Balance	8,316,326	0
- Nonspendable and Restricted Fund Balance	16,663	2
)		
)		
> Bankrupt Threshold	0	(2)
Mathematical Breakdown: 8,299,663 > 0		

RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

Financial distress avoidance is ascertained when the result of the formula is greater than zero.

Audit Home Page: <u>School Financial Audits</u> | Send comments or suggestions to <u>schoolaudits@tea.state.tx.us</u>

THE TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

1701 NORTH CONGRESS A VENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734

Select An Option	-	Help	Home	Log Out
ociect All option	-		Home	Logo



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2011-2012 INDICATOR TEST 2

Name:	GREENVILLE ISD (116905)
Indicator:	Was the Total Unrestricted Net Asset Balance (Net of Accretion of Interest on Capital Appreciation Bonds) In the Governmental Activities Column in the Statement of Net Assets Greater than Zero? (If the District's 5 Year % Change in Students was 10% more)
Status	Passed
Last Updated:	4/26/2013 5:20:30 PM

FORMULA

2012 Total Students	4,733	2
- 2008 Total Students	4,860	2
)		
2008 Total Students	4,860	2
= Threshold for Five-Year Percent Change in Students	0.1	2
r		
(
Total Unrestricted Net Asset Balance	9,610,356	2
+ Accretion of Interest for Capital Appreciation Bonds	0	2

RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

This Indicator will be considered PASSED if EITHER of the following CONDITIONS is TRUE:

1. The District's Five-Year Percent Change in Students was 10% or MORE.

---- OR ----

2. On the 'Statement of Net Assets', in the 'Government Activities Column', was the Total Unrestricted Net

Asset Balance (Net of Accretion of Interest on Capital Appreciation Bonds) GREATER THAN ZERO?

Audit Home Page: School Financial Audits | Send comments or suggestions to schoolaudits@tea.state.tx.us

THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u> 1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE • AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 • (512) 463-9734

Select An Option 🛛 🔽 Help Home Log Out



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2011-2012 INDICATOR TEST 3

Name:	GREENVILLE ISD (116905)
Indicator:	Were There No Disclosures In The Annual Financial Report And/Or Other Sources Of Information Concerning Default On Bonded Indebtedness Obligations?
Status	Passed
Last Updated:	4/26/2013 5:20:31 PM

FORMULA

	Field	Value	
Not	Default Disclosures	false	8

RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

This indicator will be considered PASSED if there were no disclosures in the annual financial report and/or other sources of information concerning default on bonded indebtedness obligations.

The district was able to make all bond payments.

Audit Home Page: School Financial Audits | Send comments or suggestions to schoolaudits@tea.state.tx.us

THE TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

1701 NORTH CONGRESS A VENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734

Select An Option 🔹 Help Home Log

Out



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2011-2012 INDICATOR TEST 4

Name:	GREENVILLE ISD (116905)
Indicator:	Was The Annual Financial Report Filed Within One Month After November 27th or January 28th Deadline Depending Upon The District's Fiscal Year End Date (June 30th or August 31st)?
Status	Passed
Last Updated:	4/26/2013 5:20:31 PM

FORMULA

Field	Value	
Date Received	2013/01/17	2
<=Due Date (Fiscal Year End + Deadline in Days After Fiscal Year End)	2013/02/28	0

RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

This indicator will be considered PASSED if the audit report was on time or filed within 30 days of the deadline.

Audit Home Page: School Financial Audits | Send comments or suggestions to schoolaudits@tea.state.tx.us

THE TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

1701 NORTH CONGRESS A VENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734

Select An Option 🗾 Help He

Home

Log Out



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2011-2012 INDICATOR TEST 5

Name:	GREENVILLE ISD (116905)
Indicator:	Was There An Unqualified Opinion in Annual Financial Report?
Status	Passed
Last Updated:	4/26/2013 5:20:31 PM

FORMULA

Field	Value	
Clean Audit	true	2

RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

This indicator will be considered PASSED if the district received a "dean audit" (unqualified opinion).

Audit Home Page: <u>School Financial Audits</u> | Send comments or suggestions to <u>schoolaudits@tea.state.tx.us</u>

THE TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE • AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 • (512) 463-9734

Select An Option 📃 Help Home Log Out



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2011-2012 INDICATOR TEST 6

Name:	GREENVILLE ISD (116905)
Indicator:	Did The Annual Financial Report Not Disclose Any Instance(s) Of Material Weaknesses In Internal Controls?
Status	Passed
Last Updated:	4/26/2013 5:20:31 PM

FORMULA

	Field	Value	
Not	Weak Internal Controls	false	0

RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

This indicator will be considered PASSED if the external auditor reported no material weaknesses in the audit report.

Audit Home Page: School Financial Audits | Send comments or suggestions to schoolaudits@tea.state.tx.us

THE TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE • AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 • (512) 463-9734

Select An Option -Help

Home

Log Out



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2011-2012 INDICATOR TEST 7

Name:	GREENVILLE ISD (116905)
Indicator:	Was The Three-Year Average Percent Of Total Tax Collections (Including Delinquent) Greater Than 98%?
Result/Points	5
	4/26/2013 5:20:32 PM

FORMULA

Field	Value	
		8
2012 Tax Collections	18,447,985	2
+ 2011 Tax Collections	18,476,817	0
+ 2010 Tax Collections	17,258,292	2
)		
(
2012 Tax Levy	18,624,269	2
+ 2011 Tax Levy	18,723,803	2
+ 2010 Tax Levy	17,509,918	2
)		
Acceptable Tax Collection Rate	0.98	2
Mathematical Breakdown: 0.9877 > 0.9	8	

RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

DETERMINATION OF POINTS						
5	4	3	2	1	0	
> 98%	> 95% =< 98%	> 92% =< 95%	> 89% =< 92%	> 86% =< 89%	<	

9/16/13			Indicator Test		
	1		1	1	

Audit Home Page: School Financial Audits | Send comments or suggestions to schoolaudits@tea.state.tx.us

THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u> 1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE • AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 • (512) 463-9734

Select An Option

Help

Home

Log Out



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2011-2012 INDICATOR TEST 8

Name:	GREENVILLE ISD (116905)
Indicator:	Did The Comparison Of PEIMS Data To Like Information In Annual Financial Report Result In An Aggregate Variance Of Less Than 3 Percent Of Expenditures Per Fund Type (Data Quality Measure)?
Result/Points	5
Last Updated:	4/26/2013 5:20:32 PM

FORMULA

Field	Value	
Sum of Differences	378	2
/ Denominator	45,764,733	0
< Acceptable Level of Variance	0.03	3
Mathematical Breakdown: 0 < 0.03		

RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

DETERMIN	IATION OF POINT	S	
	5	0	
	< 3%	>= 3%	

Audit Home Page: <u>School Financial Audits</u> | Send comments or suggestions to <u>schoolaudits@tea.state.tx.us</u>

THE TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE \cdot AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 \cdot (512) 463-9734

Select An Option 💽 Help Home Log Out



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2011-2012 INDICATOR TEST 9

Name:	GREENVILLE ISD (116905)
Indicator:	Were Debt Related Expenditures (Net Of IFA And/Or EDA Allotment) < \$350.00 Per Student? (If The District's Five-Year Percent Change In Students = Or > 7%, Or If Property Taxes Collected Per Penny Of Tax Effort > \$200,000 Per Student)
Result/Points	4
Last Updated:	4/26/2013 5:20:32 PM

FORMULA

	Field	Value	
-			
(
(2012 Total Students		2
		4,733	
-	2008 Total Students	4,860	2
)			
/	2008 Total Students	4,860	2
)			
<	Threshold for 5 Year Student Population Growth	0.07	0
nd			
(
	Total Tax Collection	18,447,985	2
/			
(
	Total Tax Rate	1.1816	3
k	* 100		
)			
)			
<	Threshold for Revenue Collection Efficiency	200,000	2
hen			
(Function 71 Expanditures		2
	Function 71 Expenditures		

		2,584,984	
- IF	A and EDA Allotments	0	3
)			
/ 20	012 Total Students	4,733	3
)			

RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

5 4 3 2 1 0 >= \$350 < >= \$600 < >= \$850 < >= \$1,100 < >= \$350 \$600 \$850 \$1,100 \$1,350 \$1,350		RMINATION	OF POINT	S		
	5	4	3	2	1	0
	<	>= \$350 <	>= \$600 <	>= \$850 <	>= \$1,100 <	>=

Audit Home Page: School Financial Audits | Send comments or suggestions to schoolaudits@tea.state.tx.us

THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u> 1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734

Select An Option 📃 Help Home Log Out



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2011-2012 INDICATOR TEST 10

Name:	GREENVILLE ISD (116905)
Indicator:	Was There No Disclosure In The Annual Audit Report Of Material Noncompliance?
Result/Points	5
Last Updated:	4/26/2013 5:20:33 PM

FORMULA

	Field	Value	
Not	Material Non-Compliance	false	2

RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

This indicator will be considered PASSED if the Audit Reported No Material Noncompliance.

Audit Home Page: <u>School Financial Audits</u> | Send comments or suggestions to <u>schoolaudits@tea.state.tx.us</u>

THE TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE • AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 • (512) 463-9734

Select An Option 🔹 Help Home Log Out



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2011-2012 INDICATOR TEST 11

Name:	GREENVILLE ISD (116905)
Indicator:	Did The District Have Full Accreditation Status In Relation To Financial Management Practices? (e.g. No Conservator Or Monitor Assigned)
Result/Points	5
Last Updated:	4/26/2013 5:20:33 PM

FORMULA

Field	Value	
Full Accreditation	true	0

RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

This indicator will be considered PASSED if the district had No Financial Conservator Or Monitor Assigned.

Audit Home Page: <u>School Financial Audits</u> | Send comments or suggestions to <u>schoolaudits@tea.state.tx.us</u>

THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u> 1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734

Select An Option 🔹 Help Home

Log Out



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2011-2012 INDICATOR TEST 12

Name:	GREENVILLE ISD (116905)
Indicator:	Was The Aggregate Of Budgeted Expenditures And Other Uses Less Than The Aggregate Of Total Revenues, Other Resources and Fund Balance In General Fund?
Result/Points	5
Last Updated:	4/26/2013 5:20:33 PM

FORMULA

Field	Value	
(
Budgeted Appropriations in the General Fund	32,848,441	2
+Budgeted Other Uses in the General Fund	0	2
Budgeted Revenues in the General Fund	31,974,289	3
+Budgeted Other Resources in the General Fund	0	2
+Fund Balance In General Fund At July 1 or September 1 Depending On Fiscal Year End	9,883,661	3
Standard for Annual Budget Surplus/Margin	0	0
Mathematical Breakdown: -9,009,509 < 0		

RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

This indicator will be considered PASSED if the district adopts a balanced budget.

Audit Home Page: <u>School Financial Audits</u> | Send comments or suggestions to <u>schoolaudits@tea.state.tx.us</u>

THE TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734

Select An Option Help •

Home

Log Out



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2011-2012 INDICATOR TEST 13

Name:	GREENVILLE ISD (116905)
Indicator:	If The District's Aggregate Fund Balance In The General Fund And Capital Projects Fund Was Less Than Zero, Were Construction Projects Adequately Financed? (To Avoid Creating Or Adding To The Fund Balance Deficit Situation)
Result/Points	5
Last Updated:	4/26/2013 5:20:34 PM

FORMULA

	Field	Value	
If			
(
	Fund Balance In General Fund At July 1 or September 1 Depending On Fiscal Year End	8,316,326	3
+	Fund Balance In Capital Projects Fund At July 1 or September 1 Depending On Fiscal Year End	0	0
)			
<	Standard Capital Fund Margin	0	0
The	n		
(0
	Expenditures Function 81 In General Fund and Capital Projects Fund	0	0
-	Other Resources For Real Property Financing In General Fund and Capital Projects Fund	182,260	2
-			
(
	Fund Balance In General Fund At July 1 or September 1 Depending On Fiscal Year Start	9,883,661	3
+	Fund Balance In Capital Projects Fund At July 1 or September 1 Depending On Fiscal Year Start	0	0
)			
)			
<	Standard Construction Margin	0	2
	1athematical Breakdown: If 8,316,326 < 0 Then -10,065,921 < 0		
ľ	$a = \frac{1}{2} + $		

RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

The district adequately budgeted construction projects.

Audit Home Page: <u>School Financial Audits</u> | Send comments or suggestions to <u>schoolaudits@tea.state.tx.us</u>

THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u> 1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734

Select An Option 🗾 Help Home Log Out



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2011-2012 INDICATOR TEST 14

Name:	GREENVILLE ISD (116905)
Indicator:	Was The Ratio Of Cash And Investments To Deferred Revenues (Excluding Amount Equal To Net Delinquent Taxes Receivable) In The General Fund Greater Than Or Equal To 1:1? (If Deferred Revenues Are Less Than Net Delinquent Taxes Receivable)
Result/Points	5
Last Updated:	4/26/2013 5:20:34 PM

FORMULA

	Field	Value	
[f			
(
	Deferred Revenue in the General Fund	1,612,473	3
-	Property Tax Receivable Net of Uncollectible	1,161,962	3
)			
>	Standard Deferred Revenue Margin	0	2
)			
Then			
(
	Cash in the General Fund	9,583,263	2
		9,000,200	-
+	Investments in the General Fund	0	2
+	Investments in the General Fund		2
+	Investments in the General Fund		2
+	Investments in the General Fund		2
+) / (Investments in the General Fund Deferred Revenue in the General Fund		2
+) / (0	
) / (Deferred Revenue in the General Fund	0	2

Mathematical Breakdown: If 450,511 > 0 Then 21.272

RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

=> 0.85 <

0.90

0

< 0.80

=> 0.80 < 0.85

>=

1.00

=> 0.95 <

1.00

DETERI		F POINTS			
5	4	3	2	1	

=> 0.90 <

0.95

Audit Home Page: School Financial Audits | Send comments or suggestions to schoolaudits@tea.state.tx.us

THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u> 1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734

Select An Option -Home Help

Log Out



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2011-2012 INDICATOR TEST 15

Name:	GREENVILLE ISD (116905)
Indicator:	Was The Administrative Cost Ratio Less Than The Threshold Ratio?
Result/Points	5
Last Updated:	4/26/2013 5:20:34 PM

FORMULA

Field	Value	
Acceptable Administrative Cost Ratio	0.1401	2
> District Administrative Cost Ratio	0.0989	0

RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

DETERMINATION OF POINTS

ADA Group	Standard
10,000 and Above	0.1105
5,000 to 9,999	0.1250
1,000 to 4,999	0.1401
500 to 999	0.1561
Less than 500	0.2654
Sparse	0.3614
5	0
Cost Ratio < Threshold	Cost Ratio >= Threshold

Audit Home Page: School Financial Audits | Send comments or suggestions to schoolaudits@tea.state.tx.us

THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u> 1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE • AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 • (512) 463-9734

Select An Option	Help	Home	Log Out
------------------	------	------	---------



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2011-2012 INDICATOR TEST 16

Name:	GREENVILLE ISD (116905)
Indicator: Was The Ratio Of Students To Teachers Within the Ranges Shown Below According To Size?	
Result/Points	5
Last Updated:	4/26/2013 5:20:34 PM

FORMULA

Field	Value	
Number of Students	4,733	2
/ Number of FTE Teachers	350.9064	2
)		
Mathematical Breakdown: 13.487	9	

RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

Students	Low	High		
< 500	7.0	22		
500 - 999	10.0	22		
1000 - 4999	11.5	22		
5000 - 9999	13.0	22		

6/13	Indicator Test						
	UL <= 100%	> 100% =< 105%	> 105% =< 110%	> 110% =< 115%	> 115% =< 120%	> 120%	
	LL => 100%	=> 95% < 100%	=> 90% < 95%	=> 85% < 90%	=> 80% < 85%	< 80%	

Audit Home Page: <u>School Financial Audits</u> | Send comments or suggestions to <u>schoolaudits@tea.state.tx.us</u>

THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u> 1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE • AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 • (512) 463-9734
User: David Carter User Role: District

Select An Option 🚽 Help Home Lo



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2011-2012 INDICATOR TEST 17

Name:	GREENVILLE ISD (116905)
Indicator:	Was The Ratio Of Students To Total Staff Within the Ranges Shown Below According To District Size?
Result/Points	5
Last Updated:	4/26/2013 5:20:35 PM

FORMULA

Field	Value	
Number of Students	4,733	2
/ Number of FTE Staff	613.1675	0
)		
Mathematical Breakdown: 7.2	/189	

RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

Students	Low	High		
< 500	5.0	14		
500 - 999	5.8	14		
1000 - 4999	6.3	14		
5000 - 9999	6.8	14		
			-	

9/16/13			Ir	ndicator Test			
	UL <= 100%	> 100% =< 105%	> 105% =< 110%	> 110% =< 115%	> 115% =< 120%	> 120%	
	LL => 100%	=> 95% < 100%	=> 90% < 95%	=> 85% < 90%	=> 80% < 85%	< 80%	

Audit Home Page: <u>School Financial Audits</u> | Send comments or suggestions to <u>schoolaudits@tea.state.tx.us</u>

THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u> 1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE • AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 • (512) 463-9734

User: David Carter User Role: District

Select An Option

Help

Home

Log Out



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2011-2012 INDICATOR TEST 18

Name:	GREENVILLE ISD (116905)
Indicator:	Was The Decrease In Undesignated Unreserved Fund Balance < 20% Over Two Fiscal Years? (If Total Revenues > Operating Expenditures In The General Fund,Then District Receives 5 Points)
Result/Points	5
Last Updated:	4/26/2013 5:20:35 PM

FORMULA

Field	Value	
f		
Total Revenues in the General Fund	31,964,921	(?)
Expenditures in the General Fund in Functions 11-61 and Expenditure Object Codes 6100-6400	32,639,526	2
Acceptable Expenditure Revenue Gap	0	2
)r		
Undesignated, Unreserved Fund Balance In General Fund At June 30 or August 31, Depending On Fiscal Year End, Two Fiscal Years Prior	6,913,752	3
Maximum Allowable 2 Year Change in Fund	0.8	2
Unassigned Fund Balance In General Fund For The Last Fiscal Year	6,299,663	2
)r		
)r		
)r (
Dr (Unassigned Fund Balance In General Fund For The Last Fiscal Year	6,299,663	0
(6,299,663 6,913,752	2
(Unassigned Fund Balance In General Fund For The Last Fiscal Year -Undesignated, Unreserved Fund Balance In General Fund At June 30 or		
(Unassigned Fund Balance In General Fund For The Last Fiscal Year -Undesignated, Unreserved Fund Balance In General Fund At June 30 or		

DETER	MINATION	OF POINTS			
5	4	3	2	1	0
< 20%	=> 20% < 21%	=> 21% < 22%	=> 22% < 23%	=> 23% < 24%	=> 24%

Audit Home Page: <u>School Financial Audits</u> | Send comments or suggestions to <u>schoolaudits@tea.state.tx.us</u>

THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u> 1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734

User: David Carter User Role: District

Select An Option 🔹 Help Home

Log Out



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2011-2012 INDICATOR TEST 19

Name:	GREENVILLE ISD (116905)
Indicator:	Was The Aggregate Total Of Cash And Investments In The General Fund More Than \$0?
Result/Points	5
Last Updated:	4/26/2013 5:20:35 PM

FORMULA

Field	Value	
Cash in the General Fund	9,583,263	3
-Investments in the General Fund	0	2
Acceptable Lower Limit for Cash and Investments	0	2

.....

RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE



Audit Home Page: <u>School Financial Audits</u> | Send comments or suggestions to <u>schoolaudits@tea.state.tx.us</u>

THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u> 1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE • AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 • (512) 463-9734

User: David Carter User Role: District

Select An Option Help Ŧ

Home

Log Out



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2011-2012 INDICATOR TEST 20

Name:	GREENVILLE ISD (116905)
Indicator:	Were Investment Earnings In All Funds (Excluding Debt Service Fund and Capital Projects Fund) Meet or Exceed the 3-Month Treasury Bill Rate?
Result/Points	5
Last Updated:	4/26/2013 5:20:36 PM

FORMULA

Field	Value	
Investment Earnings In All Funds Except Debt Service Fund and Capital Projects Fund	21,509	2
<u>/</u>		
<u>(</u>		_
Cash and Investments in All Funds Except Debt Service Fund and Capital projects Fund Current Fiscal Year-End	9,583,263	2
+Cash and Investments in All Funds Except Debt Service Fund and Capital projects Fund Prior Fiscal Year-End	10,236,516	2
)		
$\frac{1}{2}$		
* 100		_
Mathematical Breakdown: 0.217		

RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

DETERMINATION OF POINTS	
5	0
>= 0.05917%	< 0.05917%

Audit Home Page: School Financial Audits | Send comments or suggestions to schoolaudits@tea.state.tx.us

THE TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734



User:	David	Carter
User R	ole: D	istrict

FIRST RATING FOR FISCAL YEAR 2011-2012 Select An Option

Log Out

Home



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

OVERALL STATISTICS 2011-2012 STATUS COUNTS

Status	Count	% Total	Enrollment	% Total Enrollment
Passed	1,015	98.64 %	4,806,432	99.64 %
Failed	14	1.36 %	17,410	0.36 %
Total	1,029	100.00 %	4,823,842	100.00 %

2011-2012 RATING COUNTS

Ratings	Count	% Total	Enrollment	% Total Enrollment
Superior Achievement	909	88.34 %	4,627,929	95.94 %
Above Standard Achievement	87	8.45 %	163,708	3.39 %
Standard Achievement	19	1.85 %	14,795	0.31 %
Substandard Achievement	14	1.36 %	17,410	0.36 %
Total	1,029	100.00 %	4,823,842	100.00 %

2011-2012 ALL RESULTS BY INDICATOR

Indicator	Result	Count	% of Districts	Enrollment	% Total Enrollment
1	Yes	1025	99.61 %	4814620	99.81 %
	No	4	0.39 %	9222	0.19 %
2	Yes	1026	99.71 %	4822753	99.98 %
	No	3	0.29 %	1089	0.02 %
3	Yes	1029	100.00 %	4823842	100.00 %
	No	0	0.00 %	0	0.00 %

9/16/13	

Overall Statistics

0/13 Overall Statistics							
Yes	1024	99.51 %	4816884	99.86 %			
No	5	0.49 %	6958	0.14 %			
Yes	1028	99.90 %	4823795	100.00 %			
No	1	0.10 %	47	0.00 %			
Yes	998	96.99 %	4620562	95.79 %			
No	31	3.01 %	203280	4.21 %			
5	889	86.39 %	4550561	94.33 %			
4	122	11.86 %	242225	5.02 %			
3	14	1.36 %	14922	0.31 %			
2	2	0.19 %	8231	0.17 %			
1	1	0.10 %	7685	0.16 %			
0	1	0.10 %	218	0.00 %			
5	1017	98.83 %	4816403	99.85 %			
0	12	1.17 %	7439	0.15 %			
5	620	60.25 %	4223772	87.56 %			
4	136	13.22 %	209474	4.34 %			
3	90	8.75 %	144745	3.00 %			
2	66	6.41 %	118040	2.45 %			
1	40	3.89 %	66531	1.38 %			
0	77	7.48 %	61280	1.27 %			
5	995	96.70 %	4606277	95.49 %			
0	34	3.30 %	217565	4.51 %			
5	1025	99.61 %	4802931	99.57 %			
0	4	0.39 %	20911	0.43 %			
5	1021	99.22 %	4728298	98.02 %			
0	8	0.78 %	95544	1.98 %			
lfirot/formo/Admin.01		<u>.</u>					
	No Yes No S 4 3 2 1 0 5 4 3 2 1 0 5 4 3 2 1 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 <	No 5 Yes 1028 No 1 Yes 998 Yes 998 No 31 Yes 31 Yes 122 1 122 1 122 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Yes 1024 99.51 % No 5 0.49 % Yes 1028 99.90 % No 1 0.10 % Yes 998 96.99 % No 31 3.01 % No 31 3.01 % S 889 86.39 % 4 122 11.86 % 3 14 1.36 % 1 1 0.10 % 1 1 0.10 % 1 1 0.10 % 1 1 0.10 % 1 1 0.10 % 1 1017 98.83 % 1 12 1.17 % 5 620 60.25 % 1 40 3.89 % 1 40 3.89 % 1 40 3.89 % 1 40 3.30 % 1 995 96.70 % 1 1025 99.61 % 1 1021	Yes102499.51 %481684No50.49 %6958Yes102899.90 %4823795No10.10 %47Yes99896.99 %4620562No313.01 %203280So88986.39 %455056141221.186 %242253141.36 %44223140.10 %63110.10 %68511.10.10 %68511.10.10 %2185101798.83 %48164036121.17 %743913.060.25 %204743908.75 %14474541363.89 %665311403.89 %663119.59.670 %4606277159.959.61 %482931510219.22 %47282865.413.99 %3.91			

9/16/13	
0/10/10	

Overall Statistics

6/13 Overall Statistics							
13	5	1029	100.00 %	4823842	100.00 %		
14	5	1027	99.81 %	4815397	99.82 %		
	0	2	0.19 %	8445	0.18 %		
15	5	954	92.71 %	4733912	98.14 %		
	0	75	7.29 %	89930	1.86 %		
16	5	985	95.72 %	4786815	99.23 %		
	4	22	2.14 %	30941	0.64 %		
	3	4	0.39 %	2150	0.04 %		
	2	6	0.58 %	2590	0.05 %		
	1	4	0.39 %	282	0.01 %		
	0	8	0.78 %	1064	0.02 %		
17	5	819	79.59 %	4464070	92.54 %		
	4	82	7.97 %	260156	5.39 %		
	3	53	5.15 %	61137	1.27 %		
	2	23	2.24 %	13996	0.29 %		
	1	20	1.94 %	17329	0.36 %		
	0	32	3.11 %	7154	0.15 %		
18	5	1007	97.86 %	4698779	97.41 %		
	3	1	0.10 %	1076	0.02 %		
	0	21	2.04 %	123987	2.57 %		
19	5	1025	99.61 %	4822363	99.97 %		
	0	4	0.39 %	1479	0.03 %		
20	5	1008	97.96 %	4791992	99.34 %		
	0	21	2.04 %	31850	0.66 %		

2011-2012 ANSWERS BY INDICATOR

Indicator	Yes	Νο	5	4	3	2	1	0	Total

9/16/1	3				Overall Statist	ics				
	1	1025	4	x	X	×	х	х	×	1029
	2	1026	3	х	х	х	x	х	х	1029
	3	1029	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	1029
	4	1024	5	х	х	х	х	х	х	1029
	5	1028	1	х	х	х	х	х	х	1029
	6	998	31	х	х	х	х	х	х	1029
	7	х	х	889	122	14	2	1	1	1029
	8	х	х	1017	х	х	х	х	12	1029
	9	х	х	620	136	90	66	40	77	1029
	10	х	х	995	х	х	х	х	34	1029
	11	х	х	1025	х	х	х	х	4	1029
	12	х	х	1021	х	х	х	х	8	1029
	13	х	х	1029	х	х	х	х	х	1029
	14	х	x	1027	х	x	х	х	2	1029
	15	х	х	954	х	х	х	х	75	1029
	16	х	х	985	22	4	6	4	8	1029
	17	x	x	819	82	53	23	20	32	1029
	18	х	х	1007	x	1	х	х	21	1029
	19	х	х	1025	x	х	х	х	4	1029
	20	х	х	1008	х	х	х	х	21	1029
	Last Undated: Thursday, Sentember 12, 2013 6:27:59 PM									

Last Updated: Thursday, September 12, 2013 6:27:59 PM

Audit Home Page: <u>School Financial Audits</u> | Send comments or suggestions to <u>schoolaudits@tea.state.tx.us</u> THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u> 1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734

Required Supplemental Disclosures

2010-2011 District Summary Data

User:	David	Carter
User R	ole: D	istrict

FIRST RATING FOR FISCAL YEAR	2010-2011 💌	Select An Option	Help	Home
				Log Out



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2010-2011 DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL

Name: GREENVILLE ISD(116905)		Publication Level 1: 6/28/2012 12:33:14 PM					
Status: Passed		Publication Level 2: 9/20/2012 3:18:39 PM					
Rati	ng: Superior Achievement	Last Updated: 9/20/2012 3:18:39 P	Μ				
Dist	rict Score: 64	Passing Score: 52					
#	Indicator Description		Updated	Score			
1	Was The Total Fund Balance Less Nonspen Greater Than Zero In The General Fund?	6/15/2012 3:40:46 PM	Yes				
2	Was the Total Unrestricted Net Asset Balan Capital Appreciation Bonds) In the Governr Statement of Net Assets Greater than Zero in Students was 10% more)	6/15/2012 3:40:46 PM	Yes				
3	Were There No Disclosures In The Annual I Sources Of Information Concerning Default Obligations?	6/15/2012 3:40:47 PM	Yes				
4	Was The Annual Financial Report Filed With or January 28th Deadline Depending Upon (June 30th or August 31st)?	6/15/2012 3:40:47 PM	Yes				
5 <u>Was There An Unqualified Opinion in Annual Financial Report?</u>				Yes			
6 <u>Did The Annual Financial Report Not Disclose Any Instance(s) Of Material</u> Weaknesses In Internal Controls?				Yes			
				1 Multiplier Sum			
7	Was The Three-Year Average Percent Of To Delinguent) Greater Than 98%?	otal Tax Collections (Including	6/15/2012 3:40:48 PM	5			

5/13	District Status Detail	:	
8	Did The Comparison Of PEIMS Data To Like Information In Annual Financial Report Result In An Aggregate Variance Of Less Than 3 Percent Of Expenditures Per Fund Type (Data Quality Measure)?	6/15/2012 3:40:48 PM	0
9	Were Debt Related Expenditures (Net Of IFA And/Or EDA Allotment) < \$350.00 Per Student? (If The District's Five-Year Percent Change In Students = Or > 7%, Or If Property Taxes Collected Per Penny Of Tax Effort > \$200,000 Per Student)	6/15/2012 3:40:48 PM	4
10	<u>Was There No Disclosure In The Annual Audit Report Of Material</u> <u>Noncompliance?</u>	6/15/2012 3:40:49 PM	5
11	<u>Did The District Have Full Accreditation Status In Relation To Financial</u> <u>Management Practices? (e.g. No Conservator Or Monitor Assigned)</u>	6/15/2012 3:40:49 PM	5
12	Was The Aggregate Of Budgeted Expenditures And Other Uses Less Than The Aggregate Of Total Revenues, Other Resources and Fund Balance In General Fund?	6/15/2012 3:40:49 PM	5
13	<u>If The District's Aggregate Fund Balance In The General Fund And Capital</u> <u>Projects Fund Was Less Than Zero, Were Construction Projects Adeguately</u> <u>Financed? (To Avoid Creating Or Adding To The Fund Balance Deficit Situation)</u>	6/15/2012 3:40:50 PM	5
14	Was The Ratio Of Cash And Investments To Deferred Revenues (Excluding Amount Equal To Net Delinguent Taxes Receivable) In The General Fund Greater Than Or Equal To 1:1? (If Deferred Revenues Are Less Than Net Delinguent Taxes Receivable)	6/15/2012 3:40:50 PM	5
15	Was The Administrative Cost Ratio Less Than The Threshold Ratio?	6/15/2012 3:40:50 PM	5
16	<u>Was The Ratio Of Students To Teachers Within the Ranges Shown Below</u> <u>According To District Size?</u>	6/15/2012 3:40:51 PM	5
17	Was The Ratio Of Students To Total Staff Within the Ranges Shown Below According To District Size?	6/15/2012 3:40:51 PM	5
18	Was The Decrease In Undesignated Unreserved Fund Balance < 20% Over Two Fiscal Years?(If Total Revenues > Operating Expenditures In The General Fund,Then District Receives 5 Points)	6/15/2012 3:40:51 PM	5
19	<u>Was The Aggregate Total Of Cash And Investments In The General Fund More</u> <u>Than \$0?</u>	6/15/2012 3:40:52 PM	5
20	<u>Were Investment Earnings In All Funds (Excluding Debt Service Fund and Capital Projects Fund) Meet or Exceed the 3-Month Treasury Bill Rate?</u>	6/15/2012 3:40:52 PM	5
			64 Weighted

	Sum
	1 Multiplier Sum
	64 Score

DETERMINATION OF RATING

A. Did The District Answer 'No' To Indicators 1, 2, 3 Or 4? OR Did The District Answer 'No' To Both 5 and 6? If So, The District's Rating Is Substandard Achievement.
B. Determine Rating By Applicable Range For summation of the indicator scores (Indicators 7-20)
Superior Achievement 64-70
Above Standard Achievement 58-63
Standard Achievement 52-57
Substandard Achievement <

INDICATOR 16 & 17 RATIOS

Indicator 16	Ranges for Ratios			Indicator 17		Ranges for Ratios	
District Size - Number of Students Between	Low	High		District Size - Number of Students Between	Low	High	
< 500	7	22		< 500	5	14	
500-999	10	22		500-999	5.8	14	
1000-4999	11.5	22		1000-4999	6.3	14	
5000-9999	13	22		5000-9999	6.8	14	
=> 10000	13.5	22		=> 10000	7.0	14	

Audit Home Page: <u>School Financial Audits</u> | Send comments or suggestions to <u>schoolaudits@tea.state.tx.us</u>

THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u> 1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE • AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 • (512) 463-9734 **Required Supplemental Disclosures**

Superintendent's Contract

Reporting requirements for the financial management report for Schools FIRST public hearing are found in **Title 19 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 109**, **Budgeting**, **Accounting**, **and Auditing**, **Subchapter AA**, **Commissioner's Rules Concerning Financial Accountability Rating System**. This rule describes requirements for the five (5) disclosures explained below that are to be presented as appendices in the Schools FIRST financial management report.

1. Superintendent's Employment Contract

The school district is to provide a copy of the superintendent's employment contract that is effective on the date of the Schools FIRST hearing in calendar year 2013. In lieu of publication in the Schools FIRST financial management report, the school district may choose to publish the superintendent's employment contract on the school district's Internet site. **If published on the Internet**, the contract is to remain accessible for twelve months.

http://www.greenvilleisd.com/domain/78

Required Supplemental Disclosures

Reimbursements to Board Members and Superintendent

2. Reimbursements Received by the Superintendent and Board Members for Fiscal Year 2012.

For the Twelve- month Period								
Ended August								
31, 2012								
		Board	Board		Board	Board	Board	Board
Description of	Super-	Member	Member	Board	Member	Member	Member	Member
Reimbursements	intendent	1	2	Member 3	4	5	6	7
Meals	\$303.58	\$-0-	\$-0-	\$426.02	\$-0-	\$-0-	\$-0-	\$-0-
Lodging				1,500.35				
Transportation	\$7,145.05	\$60.37	\$246.83		\$638.01	\$585.12	\$111.70	\$204.02
Motor Fuel								
Other	\$517.54							
Total	\$7 <i>,</i> 966.17	\$60.37	\$246.83	\$1,926.37	\$638.01	\$585.12	\$111.70	\$204.02

Note – The spirit of the rule is to capture all "reimbursements" for fiscal year 2011, regardless of the manner of payment, including direct pay, credit card, cash, and purchase order. Reimbursements to be reported per category include:

Meals – Meals consumed off of the school district's premises, and in-district meals at area restaurants (excludes catered meals for board meetings).

Lodging - Hotel charges.

Transportation - Airfare, car rental (can include fuel on rental), taxis, mileage reimbursements, leased cars, parking and tolls.

Motor fuel – Gasoline.

Other - Registration fees, telephone/cell phone, internet service, fax machine, and other reimbursements (or on-behalf of) to the superintendent and board member not defined above.

3. Outside Compensation and/or Fees Received by the Superintendent for Professional Consulting and/or Other Personal Services in Fiscal Year 2012.

For the Twelve-Month Period Ended August 31, 2012	
Name(s) of Entity(ies)	\$
Total	\$-0-

Note – Compensation does not include business revenues from the superintendent's livestock or agricultural-based activities on a ranch or farm. Report gross amount received (do not deduct business expenses from gross revenues). Revenues generated from a family business that have no relationship to school district business are not to be disclosed.

4. Gifts Received by the Executive Officer(s) and Board Members (and First Degree Relatives, if any) in Fiscal Year 2012.

For the								
Twelve-Month								
Period								
Ended August								
31, 2012								
		Board						
	Super-	Member						
	intendent	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Summary								
Amounts	\$-0-	\$-0-	\$-0-	\$-0-	\$-0-	\$-0-	\$-0-	\$-0-

Note – An executive officer is defined as the superintendent, unless the board of trustees or the district administration names additional staff under this classification. Gifts received by first degree relatives, if any, will be reported under the applicable school official.

5. Business Transactions Between School District and Board Members for Fiscal Year 2012.

For the Twelve- Month Period Ended August 31, 2012	Board						
	Board						
	Member						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Summary Amounts	\$-0-	\$-0-	\$-0-	\$-0-	\$-0-	\$-0-	\$-0-

Note - The summary amounts reported under this disclosure are not to duplicate the items reported in the summary schedule of reimbursements received by board members.

This page left blank intentionally.



End of Financial Management Report

Questions regarding this report can be directed to David Carter, CPA, Chief Financial Officer, at 903-457-2500.