

School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) Template

Instructions and requirements for completing the SPSA template may be found in the SPSA Template Instructions.

School Name

Peter J. Shields Elementary School County-District-School (CDS) Code

34673306033211

School Site Council (SSC) Approval Date

September 20, 2022

Local Board Approval Date

October 20, 2022

Table of Contents

SPSA Title Page	1
Table of Contents	2
Purpose and Description	4
School Vision and Mission	4
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components	4
Data Analysis	4
Surveys	5
Classroom Observations	5
Analysis of Current Instructional Program	5
Educational Partner Involvement	9
Resource Inequities	9
School and Student Performance Data	11
Student Enrollment	11
CAASPP Results	13
ELPAC Results	18
Student Population	22
Overall Performance	24
Academic Performance	25
Academic Engagement	30
Conditions & Climate	33
Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures	35
Goal 1	35
Goal 2	39
Goal 3	43
Goal 4	47
Budget Summary	51
Budget Summary	51
Other Federal, State, and Local Funds	51
Budgeted Funds and Expenditures in this Plan	52
Funds Budgeted to the School by Funding Source	52
Expenditures by Funding Source	52
Expenditures by Budget Reference	52
Expenditures by Budget Reference and Funding Source	52
Expenditures by Goal	53
School Site Council Membership	54
English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC)	55

Recommendations and Assurances	56
Instructions	57
Instructions: Linked Table of Contents	57
Purpose and Description	58
Educational Partner Involvement	58
Resource Inequities	58
Goals, Strategies, Expenditures, & Annual Review	59
Annual Review	60
Budget Summary	61
Appendix A: Plan Requirements	63
Appendix B:	66
Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs	68

Purpose and Description

Briefly describe the purpose of this plan (Select from Schoolwide Program, Comprehensive Support and Improvement, Targeted Support and Improvement, or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement)

Schoolwide Program

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

Targeted Support and Improvement

Additional Targeted Support and Improvement

Title I Schoolwide

Briefly describe the school's plan for effectively meeting the ESSA requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan and other federal, state, and local programs.

The goal at Peter J. Shields (PJS) is to continue to assist all students in moving towards proficiency in reading and math as measured by Oral Reading Passages (ORP), Systematic Instruction in Phonological Awareness, Phonics, and Sight Words (SIPPS), Renaissance assessments, other local assessment measures, and the California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress (CAASPP) administered in the Spring of 2022. We have chosen to direct our effort toward supporting our evidence-based and place-based intervention programs. All students receive targeted intervention support four or more days a week for at least 30 minutes. All classroom teachers and intervention staff participate in this effort. Title 1 funds support professional development, training in assessments and intervention curricula, Social Emotional Learning (SEL) supports, and additional needed supplies. While PJS shows increases in many areas, including English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics for our English Learners and Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students, our students with disabilities are showing the same growth in both areas. Additional efforts will be made to close this gap and provide needed support to these students and support the District's Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP) Goals.

School Vision and Mission

Peter J. Shields Elementary School will create students who are inspired, compassionate, and creative individuals who are empowered to excel beyond their own expectations. The staff, students, and parents will collaborate to provide a healthy and safe learning environment where students have the confidence to take academic and social risks. The high expectations we have for our students will prepare them for their educational future. As positive role models, it is our mission to develop proficient learners that have the skills they need to navigate through an ever changing and complex world.

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components

Data Analysis

Please refer to the School and Student Performance Data section where an analysis is provided.

Surveys

This section provides a description of surveys (i.e., Student, Parent, Teacher) used during the school-year, and a summary of results from the survey(s).

Peter J. Shields became a Title I school approximately ten (10) years ago based on the percentage of our population enrolled in the National School Lunch program. As a Title 1 school, PJS conducts an annual Title 1 Survey with parents at the beginning of the school year. The survey provides us with information about how parents support student achievement from home. We will look at the results and target our parent involvement efforts to address these needs. In addition, the school staff is surveyed at the beginning of the school year. This survey provides valuable data that enables the administration to target needs perceived by the teaching staff.

Classroom Observations

This section provides a description of types and frequency of classroom observations conducted during the school-year and a summary of findings.

Classroom observations reveal all grades are implementing Common Core State Standards as well as implementing English Language Development (ELD) strategies. Teaching techniques incorporate Common Core style instruction that supports learning in a collaborative manner, fostering critical thinking skills and increased writing across the curriculum. Our primary teachers are beginning their sixth year of using the Systematic Instruction in Phonological Awareness, Phonics, and Sight Words (SIPPS) program, K-2. In addition, teachers are integrating technology into the instructional program.

Our teachers and students use Chromebooks for Accelerated Reading (AR) tests, i-Ready assessment (in previous years), Renaissance beginning in Fall 202, access to adopted curriculum and lessons to develop 21st Century skills. Classroom teachers also use Google programs during the writing process. Informal classroom visits are done on an ongoing basis throughout the year. Teachers that are on the evaluation cycle have goal-setting meetings, formal and informal observations.

Analysis of Current Instructional Program

The following statements are derived from the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 1965 and Essential Program Components (EPCs). In conjunction with the needs assessments, these categories may be used to discuss and develop critical findings that characterize current instructional practice for numerically significant subgroups as well as individual students who are:

- Not meeting performance goals
- · Meeting performance goals
- Exceeding performance goals

Discussion of each of these statements should result in succinct and focused findings based on verifiable facts. Avoid vague or general descriptions. Each successive school plan should examine the status of these findings and note progress made. Special consideration should be given to any practices, policies, or procedures found to be noncompliant through ongoing monitoring of categorical programs.

Standards, Assessment, and Accountability

Use of state and local assessments to modify instruction and improve student achievement (ESEA)

Renaissance Assessments are conducted three times per year to monitor student progress. These results are used to plan instruction in the classroom and during Multi-Tiered Systems of Support (MTSS) blocks. Because the California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress (CAASPP) was not administered last Spring (2021), we do not have that data to use for targeted instruction and intervention. However, the English Language Proficiency Assessments for California (ELPAC) provides the staff with data that can be used to target specific skill deficits during classroom instruction and interventions. Additional assessments include in-class chapter/theme tests, Lexia, in-class writing performance, SIPPs, and Oral Reading Passages (ORP), to name a few.

Use of data to monitor student progress on curriculum-embedded assessments and modify instruction (EPC)

In additional to the CAASPP assessments done in Spring 2022, teachers will conduct curriculum assessments regularly, as directed by the district's adopted curriculum, to regularly monitor student progress. These results will qualify students for before and after school interventions, as well as help identify needs for MTSS and other intervention groupings.

Staffing and Professional Development

Status of meeting requirements for highly qualified staff (ESEA)

All teaching staff is highly qualified and credentialed in the subject area that they teach.

Sufficiency of credentialed teachers and teacher professional development (e.g., access to instructional materials training on SBE-adopted instructional materials) (EPC)

All staff participate in on-going professional development that covers a wide range of topics including instructional delivery, English Language Development, and writing instruction. Specific professional development topics include Benchmark Reading, Guided Language Acquisition Design (GLAD) SIPPS, Renaissance, and Professional Learning Communities (PLC).

Alignment of staff development to content standards, assessed student performance, and professional needs (ESEA)

Professional development is based on student needs and addresses the on-going transition to Common Core. Additionally, Professional Development (PD) focuses on the new ELA adoption and on technology tools that are required to both participate in and teach collaboration.

Ongoing instructional assistance and support for teachers (e.g., use of content experts and instructional coaches) (EPC)

Site lead teachers in math, ELA, technology, and district lead teachers in math, English/Language Arts (ELA) and English Language Development (ELD) will continue to work with our staff to support instruction in Common Core. Additional support in the form of workshop and/or speakers will be as an as-needed basis. Additional follow-up support provided by Mathematics, ELA, Technology, and Program Monitor.

Teacher collaboration by grade level (kindergarten through grade eight [K–8]) and department (grades nine through twelve) (EPC)

Teachers regularly collaborate with their grade level partners. They do this informally on a daily basis and formally on a monthly basis. In addition, they collaborate and plan three times a year as well as informally among themselves as needed. The staff meeting schedule was restructured to include writing collaboration, math Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Realistic, and Timely (SMART) goals monitoring, and PLC professional development. Teachers will be meeting with the principal and support team every trimester to monitor student progress and problem-solving.

Teaching and Learning

Alignment of curriculum, instruction, and materials to content and performance standards (ESEA)

The teaching staff is participating in ELA professional development to assist in the implementation of the recently adopted Benchmark ELA curriculum. In addition, the staff attends district mandated Instructional Focus Meetings. Early childhood teachers follow the alignment of the California Preschool Learning Foundations with Key Early Education Resources and utilize a district lesson plan template to support instructional planning.

Adherence to recommended instructional minutes for reading/language arts and mathematics (K–8) (EPC)

Teachers adhere to the required instructional minutes.

Lesson pacing schedule (K–8) and master schedule flexibility for sufficient numbers of intervention courses (EPC)

Teachers adhere to the district pacing schedules. Multi-Tier Systems of Support (MTSS) is scheduled during the academic day as well as after school. The school has moved to a coordinated school-wide schedule for MTSS to better maximize the use of personnel.

Availability of standards-based instructional materials appropriate to all student groups (ESEA) The district provides standards-based materials aligned to the change to Common Core.

Use of SBE-adopted and standards-aligned instructional materials, including intervention materials, and for high school students, access to standards-aligned core courses (EPC)

Teachers use adopted and standards-aligned materials in their classrooms and intervention classes as well as materials and software programs from other sources.

Opportunity and Equal Educational Access

Services provided by the regular program that enable underperforming students to meet standards (ESEA)

Interventions are run continually throughout the school year. We have a staffed learning center that provides extra support for identified, non-identified, and students being monitored through the Response to Invention (RtI) process. Second language students receive extra support through our bilingual aides and the Lexia computer program in addition to the focused ELD curriculum through our adopted curriculum, Benchmark Advanced. The Second Step curriculum promotes and recognizes positive school wide behavior. Positive Behavior Intervention Supports (PBIS) has also been implemented this year, using the theme "It's Cool to be Kind" In addition, PJS offers Special Friends, Mentoring/social skills groups, Crusader Coupons, Lunch Bunch rewards for accumulated Crusader Coupons, AR rewards for goals met and school-wide assemblies to recognize academic achievement and positive behavior. Recognition assemblies are scheduled for behavior every 6 weeks and include attendance and grades each trimester.

Evidence-based educational practices to raise student achievement

Interventions are run continually throughout the school year. We have a staffed learning center that provides extra support for identified, non-identified, and students being monitored through the Response to Invention (RtI) process. Second language students receive extra support through our bilingual aides and the Lexia computer program in addition to the focused ELD curriculum through our adopted curriculum, Benchmark Advanced. The Second Step curriculum promotes and recognizes positive school wide behavior. Positive Behavior Intervention Supports (PBIS) have continued and focus on the Social-Emotional Learning (SEL) of our students, Mentoring/social skills groups, Crusader Coupons, Lunch Bunch rewards for accumulated Crusader Coupons, AR rewards for goals met, and school-wide assemblies to recognize academic achievement and positive behavior. Recognition assemblies are scheduled for behavior every 6 weeks and include attendance and grades each trimester.

Parental Engagement

Resources available from family, school, district, and community to assist under-achieving students (ESEA)

Parents are encouraged to attend all school functions and include, but are not limited to, Family Welcome Night, Back to School Night, movie nights, a Harvest Festival, and parent conferences. Parents receive intervention information from teachers to assist underperforming students. This Fall 2022 we held our annual Family Welcome Day before school started for parents and students to come and meet their teachers. Our annual Title I meeting was held in a virtual format. Annual parent surveys and parent compacts are handed out and discussed at parent teacher conferences in November. Parents are able to access the school's website, classroom websites & email addresses by using home computers or the parent access computer in the front office. Adults who are bilingual are available for parents when needed.

Involvement of parents, community representatives, classroom teachers, other school personnel, and students in secondary schools, in the planning, implementation, and evaluation of ConApp programs (5 California Code of Regulations 3932)

We have parent representation through our PTA leadership, School Site Council (SSC), English Language Acquisition Committee (ELAC), and on the Superintendent Communication Committee. SSC and ELAC have filled parent positions. PTA was very active this year with events and activities well-organized and attended.

Funding

Services provided by categorical funds that enable underperforming students to meet standards (ESEA)

Title I funds are used to support instruction through the funding of a Title I Academic Coach, materials, purchase of technology, parent information nights, texts and materials as well as provide for professional development and interventions for underperforming students, economically deprived, and second language children.

Fiscal support (EPC)

The district provides funding for professional development, personnel and materials to support instruction.

Educational Partner Involvement

How, when, and with whom did the school consult as part of the planning process for this SPSA/Annual Review and Update?

Involvement Process for the SPSA and Annual Review and Update

Peter J Shields utilized a variety of outreach efforts to gain input and feedback on the development of the Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA). These include:

Every Child by Name Conferences

1x1 teacher meeting

Regularly scheduled staff meetings

School Site Council (SSC) meetings

English Language Advisory Council (ELAC) Meetings

Staff Data Walk

Parent Teacher Association (PTA) meetings

Site Council meeting

Weekly Meeting with Academic Coach and Intervention Teacher

Staff Welcome Back meeting

Back to School Night Presentation

Finger Printing night for volunteers

Oct.-May: Regular morning receptions

Resource Inequities

Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment, as applicable.

Peter J. Shields Elementary School (PJS) has a diverse student population of approximately 320 students; preschool through 5th grade. In addition to our General Education program, PJS also hosts two (2) Special Day Classes (SDC) for students with Individual Education Plans (IEP) that proved them with more intense and focused instruction to meet their unique needs. We have a significant English Language Learner (ELL) population with nearly 90 students classified as ELL. The majority of our ELL students are Eastern European with the majority of them being Russian and Ukrainian. However, other languages include English, Armenian, Farsi, and Spanish. We support our English Language Learners through our targeted English Language Development block with

assistance from bilingual aides and teachers. Our English Language Advisory Committee (ELAC) is active and helps to assist in our goals and culture.

Peter J. Shields Elementary is a Title 1 school with approximately 83% of our families being designated as socioeconomically disadvantaged. Being a Title 1 school allows Peter J. Shields to have a full time Academic Coach and Intervention teacher to help support students during our MTSS groups. They use targeted evidence-based instruction for English Language Arts/English Language Development to increase proficiency in listening, speaking, reading, and writing. Rtl and Every Child by Name conferences are held throughout the year to analyze data from our state-wide and local assessments. From the data gathered, small group instruction and interventions, target students who need additional support. Extended day interventions have been implemented to support students in ELA/ELD.

PJS has a strong PBIS system in place which assists in better ensuring students are in class, on task, engaged, and learning. In addition, PJS has a dedicated mental health specialist on site 2 days a week. The strong parent and community support we receive with the backing from our PTA, helps reinforce the goal of providing an excellent educational program in a warm, caring, and safe environment.

Student Enrollment By Student Group

	Student Enrollment by Subgroup											
	Per	cent of Enrollr	ment	Number of Students								
Student Group	19-20	20-21	21-22	19-20	20-21	21-22						
American Indian	0%	%	%	0								
African American	7.34%	6.0%	4.76%	27	18	15						
Asian	2.72%	4.0%	3.49%	10	12	11						
Filipino	1.9%	1.9% 3.0%		7	9	9						
Hispanic/Latino	29.35%	32.0%	35.56%	108	96	112						
Pacific Islander	1.36%	2.0%	1.90%	5	6	6						
White	45.92%	42.3%	39.68%	169	127	125						
Multiple/No Response	10.6%	10.0%	11.11%	39	30	35						
		To	tal Enrollment	368	300	315						

Student Enrollment By Grade Level

	Student Enrollment by Grade Level										
	Number of Students										
Grade	19-20	20-21	21-22								
Kindergarten	71	49	44								
Grade 1	59	53	46								
Grade 2	60	50	53								
Grade3	67	48	45								
Grade 4	50	60	59								
Grade 5	61	40	68								
Total Enrollment	368	300	315								

- 1. The enrollment at PJS has been fairly consistent over the last several years, but has decreased in 2020-2021 due to the COVID pandemic and school closures and Virtual Learning.
- 2. PJS's enrollment usually starts the school year low and consistently grows throughout the year as PJS tends to become a school for "overflow" students from other Rancho Cordova Elementary Schools.
- While our majority population continues to be White, they are primarily from Eastern European countries which contributes to our high English Learner (EL) population.

Student Enrollment English Learner (EL) Enrollment

English Learner (EL) Enrollment										
24 1 42	Number of Students Percent of Students									
Student Group	19-20	20-21	21-22	19-20	20-21	21-22				
English Learners	96	65	84	26.1%	21.70%	26.7%				
Fluent English Proficient (FEP)	36	32	20	9.8%	10.70%	6.3%				
Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP)	11	10		11.5%	3.30%					

- 1. The reclassification rate of our EL students remained consistent from 2019-2020 to 2020-2021.
- PJS has created an MTSS schedule where our EL students are receiving systematic instruction, daily dedicated ELD instruction, and "integrated" ELD into all grades/classes. This was implemented in 2017-2018 resulting in a dramatic increase in reclassification in 2018-2019. The percentage of reclassification has now stabilized.
- PJS has develop a program to increase out EL populations English proficiency and vocabulary in the area of mathematics as well as reading comprehension.

CAASPP Results English Language Arts/Literacy (All Students)

	Overall Participation for All Students												
Grade	# of Stu	udents E	nrolled	# of St	tudents 1	Гested	# of \$	Students	with	% of Er	% of Enrolled Students		
Level	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	
Grade 3	55	49	46	54	0	44	54	0	44	98.2	0.0	95.7	
Grade 4	62	62	68	61	0	58	61	0	57	98.4	0.0	85.3	
Grade 5	33	46	69	33	0	67	33	0	67	100	0.0	97.1	
All Grades	150	157	183	148	0	169	148	0	168	98.7	0.0	92.3	

The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

	Overall Achievement for All Students														
Grade Mean Scale Score			Score	% Standard			% Standard Met			% Standard Nearly			% Standard Not		
Level	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22
Grade 3	2400.		2393.	14.81		18.18	22.22		15.91	25.93		20.45	37.04		45.45
Grade 4	2464.		2429.	32.79		15.79	13.11		17.54	16.39		19.30	37.70		47.37
Grade 5	2487.		2475.	12.12		11.94	36.36		34.33	21.21		19.40	30.30		34.33
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	21.62		14.88	21.62		23.81	20.95		19.64	35.81		41.67

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Reading Demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts											
% Above Standard % At or Near Standard % Below Stan											
Grade Level	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22		
Grade 3	11.11		13.64	57.41		52.27	31.48		34.09		
Grade 4	27.87		10.53	40.98		64.91	31.15		24.56		
Grade 5	21.21		19.40	51.52		61.19	27.27		19.40		
All Grades	20.27		14.88	49.32		60.12	30.41		25.00		

2019-20 Data:

Writing Producing clear and purposeful writing											
One de l'avel	% At	ove Stan	dard	% At o	r Near St	andard	% Ве	% Below Standard			
Grade Level	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22		
Grade 3	9.26		6.82	55.56		61.36	35.19		31.82		
Grade 4	22.95		3.57	47.54		57.14	29.51		39.29		
Grade 5 15.15 10.45 60.61 55.22 24.24											
All Grades	16.22		7.19	53.38		57.49	30.41		35.33		

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Listening Demonstrating effective communication skills											
Our de Lours	% At	ove Stan	ndard	% At o	r Near St	andard	% Ве	% Below Standard			
Grade Level	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22		
Grade 3	18.52		11.36	59.26		56.82	22.22		31.82		
Grade 4	21.31		10.53	63.93		70.18	14.75		19.30		
Grade 5	12.12		19.40	72.73		65.67	15.15		14.93		
All Grades	18.24		14.29	64.19		64.88	17.57		20.83		

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Research/Inquiry Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information											
% Above Standard % At or Near Standard % Below Stand											
Grade Level	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22		
Grade 3	9.26		11.36	53.70		65.91	37.04		22.73		
Grade 4	16.39		15.79	49.18		63.16	34.43		21.05		
Grade 5	18.18		8.96	45.45		65.67	36.36		25.37		
All Grades	14.19		11.90	50.00		64.88	35.81		23.21		

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

- 1. All District schools went to distance learning on March 13, 2020 and did not return for the remainder of that year and were in a Hybrid or Distance Learning model for all of the 2020-2021 school year. State testing (CAASPP) was not administered in the 2019-2020 or 2020-2021 school year. We are currently waiting for the results from the 2022 CAASPP testing.
- 2. Through professional development, a focus on ELA, and the PLC model, PJS has been able to improve student's progression through the curriculum over the years and will continue to do so.
- 3. Overall, as students' progress through their grade level trajectory, scores have improved with more students at, near or above standard than below standard. PJS is still struggling with how to move students to proficiency when they begin two to three grade levels below.

CAASPP Results Mathematics (All Students)

	Overall Participation for All Students													
Grade	# of Stu	udents E	nrolled	# of St	tudents	Tested	# of \$	Students	with	% of Er	% of Enrolled Students			
Level	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22		
Grade 3	56	49	46	54	0	44	54	0	44	96.4	0.0	95.7		
Grade 4	62	62	68	61	0	63	61	0	63	98.4	0.0	92.6		
Grade 5	33	46	69	33	0	68	33	0	68	100	0.0	98.6		
All Grades	151	157	183	148	0	175	148	0	175	98	0.0	95.6		

^{*} The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

				C	Overall	Achiev	ement	for All	Studer	nts					
Grade	Mean	Scale	Score	%	Standa	ard	% St	andard	l Met	% Sta	ndard l	Nearly	% St	andard	l Not
Level	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22
Grade 3	2403.		2419.	9.26		13.64	25.93		34.09	25.93		27.27	38.89		25.00
Grade 4	2442.		2418.	13.11		12.70	18.03		9.52	34.43		31.75	34.43		46.03
Grade 5	2468.		2482.	12.12		17.65	6.06		20.59	33.33		27.94	48.48		33.82
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	11.49		14.86	18.24		20.00	31.08		29.14	39.19		36.00

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

	Applying		epts & Pr atical con			ures								
Grade Level % Above Standard % At or Near Standard % Below Standard														
Grade Level	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22					
Grade 3	29.63		27.27	24.07		50.00	46.30		22.73					
Grade 4	18.03		7.94	22.95		39.68	59.02		52.38					
Grade 5	12.12		27.94	27.27		38.24	60.61		33.82					
All Grades	20.95		20.57	24.32		41.71	54.73		37.71					

2019-20 Data:

Using appropriate			g & Mode es to solv				ical probl	ems							
O	Grade Level % Above Standard % At or Near Standard % Below Standard														
Grade Level	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22						
Grade 3	9.26		15.91	53.70		50.00	37.04		34.09						
Grade 4	13.11		7.94	54.10		44.44	32.79		47.62						
Grade 5	12.12		13.24	36.36		50.00	51.52		36.76						
All Grades	11.49		12.00	50.00		48.00	38.51		40.00						

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Demo	onstrating		unicating o support			clusions								
Grade Level % Above Standard % At or Near Standard % Below Standard														
Grade Level	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22					
Grade 3	12.96		20.45	55.56		59.09	31.48		20.45					
Grade 4	14.75		12.70	44.26		47.62	40.98		39.68					
Grade 5	12.12		14.71	45.45		58.82	42.42		26.47					
All Grades	13.51		15.43	48.65		54.86	37.84		29.71					

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

- 1. All District schools went to distance learning on March 13, 2020 and did not return for the remainder of that year and were in a Hybrid or Distance Learning model for all of the 2020-2021 school year. State testing (CAASPP) was not administered in the 2019-2020 or 2020-2021 school year. We are currently waiting for the results from the 2022 CAASPP testing.
- 2. 3rd grade students continue to struggle the most with Problem Solving & Modeling/Data Analysis.
- 3. PJS is implementing targeted mathematics interventions within the MTSS model when possible and focusing on essential math standards during 1st instruction to assist in improving math competency and skills.

ELPAC Results

		Nu	mber of				ssment Scores		tudents			
Grade		Overall		Ora	al Langua	age	Writt	en Lang	uage	-	lumber o dents Te	-
Level	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22
K	1428.1	1417.1	*	1442.2	1418.6	*	1395.3	1413.5	*	22	20	9
1	1471.5	1440.4	1454.2	1477.8	1445.6	1462.9	1464.7	1434.9	1445.2	16	16	17
2	1519.9	1464.8	1455.8	1511.8	1454.5	1447.9	1527.5	1474.4	1463.3	20	17	20
3	*	1502.8	1470.2	*	1516.0	1465.4	*	1489.1	1474.5	9	13	13
4	1495.9	1510.4	1498.1	1493.4	1510.9	1485.9	1498.3	1509.7	1509.7	13	16	18
5	*	*	1514.9	*	*	1509.7	*	*	1519.6	6	4	17
All Grades										86	86	94

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

		Pe	rcentaç	ge of St	tudents		all Lan ch Perf		ce Lev	el for A	II Stud	ents			
Grade		Level 4	ļ		Level 3	}		Level 2	2		Level 1			al Num Studer	
Level	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22
K	4.55	10.00	*	50.00	30.00	*	40.91	50.00	*	4.55	10.00	*	22	20	*
1	12.50	0.00	5.88	56.25	31.25	41.18	31.25	50.00	35.29	0.00	18.75	17.65	16	16	17
2	45.00	5.88	20.00	30.00	47.06	40.00	15.00	29.41	10.00	10.00	17.65	30.00	20	17	20
3	*	15.38	0.00	*	53.85	23.08	*	30.77	61.54	*	0.00	15.38	*	13	13
4	15.38	25.00	27.78	23.08	43.75	27.78	38.46	18.75	16.67	23.08	12.50	27.78	13	16	18
5	*	*	17.65	*	*	35.29	*	*	29.41	*	*	17.65	*	*	17
All Grades	18.60	10.47	15.96	39.53	43.02	34.04	31.40	34.88	26.60	10.47	11.63	23.40	86	86	94

2019-20 Data:

		Pe	rcentaç	ge of St	tudents	Ora at Ead	l Lang ch Perf		ce Leve	el for A	II Stud	ents			
Grade		Level 4	ļ		Level 3	;		Level 2	2		Level 1			al Num Studer	
Level	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22
K	27.27	5.00	*	40.91	40.00	*	27.27	35.00	*	4.55	20.00	*	22	20	*
1	25.00	18.75	23.53	37.50	25.00	29.41	31.25	43.75	35.29	6.25	12.50	11.76	16	16	17
2	50.00	17.65	30.00	25.00	47.06	40.00	15.00	23.53	0.00	10.00	11.76	30.00	20	17	20
3	*	30.77	15.38	*	69.23	30.77	*	0.00	30.77	*	0.00	23.08	*	13	13
4	23.08	56.25	33.33	46.15	18.75	33.33	15.38	12.50	5.56	15.38	12.50	27.78	13	16	18
5	*	*	35.29	*	*	29.41	*	*	17.65	*	*	17.65	*	*	17
All Grades	31.40	27.91	27.66	37.21	37.21	32.98	22.09	23.26	15.96	9.30	11.63	23.40	86	86	94

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

		Pe	rcenta	ge of S	tudents		en Lan ch Perf		ce Leve	el for A	II Stude	ents			
Grade		Level 4			Level 3	}		Level 2	2		Level 1			al Num Studer	
Level	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22
K	0.00	20.00	*	27.27	25.00	*	72.73	45.00	*	0.00	10.00	*	22	20	*
1	6.25	0.00	5.88	43.75	25.00	17.65	50.00	43.75	52.94	0.00	31.25	23.53	16	16	17
2	30.00	11.76	20.00	50.00	29.41	25.00	5.00	35.29	25.00	15.00	23.53	30.00	20	17	20
3	*	0.00	7.69	*	30.77	0.00	*	38.46	69.23	*	30.77	23.08	*	13	13
4	7.69	0.00	11.11	23.08	31.25	38.89	15.38	50.00	16.67	53.85	18.75	33.33	13	16	18
5	*	*	5.88	*	*	23.53	*	*	41.18	*	*	29.41	*	*	17
All Grades	11.63	6.98	11.70	31.40	26.74	21.28	41.86	45.35	37.23	15.12	20.93	29.79	86	86	94

2019-20 Data:

		Percent	age of St	tudents I		ing Dom		_evel for	All Stud	ents		
Grade	Wel	II Develo	ped	Somew	/hat/Mod	lerately	E	Beginnin	g		tal Numl f Studen	
Level	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22
K	18.18	15.00	*	81.82	80.00	*	0.00	5.00	*	22	20	*
1	56.25	18.75	23.53	43.75	68.75	70.59	0.00	12.50	5.88	16	16	17
2	45.00	29.41	15.00	50.00	58.82	55.00	5.00	11.76	30.00	20	17	20
3	*	61.54	7.69	*	38.46	92.31	*	0.00	0.00	*	13	13
4	23.08	31.25	55.56	61.54	56.25	16.67	15.38	12.50	27.78	13	16	18
5	*	*	17.65	*	*	70.59	*	*	11.76	*	*	17
All Grades	31.40	30.23	25.53	62.79	61.63	58.51	5.81	8.14	15.96	86	86	94

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

		Percent	age of St	tudents l		ing Dom		_evel for	All Stud	ents		
Grade	Wel	I Develo	ped	Somew	/hat/Mod	lerately	E	Beginnin	g		tal Numl f Studen	
Level	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22
K	27.27	5.00	*	59.09	55.00	*	13.64	40.00	*	22	20	*
1	12.50	6.25	17.65	75.00	68.75	58.82	12.50	25.00	23.53	16	16	17
2	45.00	23.53	25.00	45.00	58.82	55.00	10.00	17.65	20.00	20	17	20
3	*	46.15	23.08	*	53.85	30.77	*	0.00	46.15	*	13	13
4	46.15	62.50	33.33	38.46	31.25	38.89	15.38	6.25	27.78	13	16	18
5	*	*	47.06	*	*	29.41	*	*	23.53	*	*	17
All Grades	34.88	30.23	27.66	52.33	51.16	43.62	12.79	18.60	28.72	86	86	94

2019-20 Data:

		Percent	age of S	tudents I		ng Doma in Perfo		_evel for	All Stud	ents		
Grade	Wel	II Develo	ped	Somew	/hat/Mod	lerately	E	Beginnin	g		tal Numl f Studen	
Level	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22
K	0.00	5.00	*	90.91	85.00	*	9.09	10.00	*	22	20	*
1	6.25	12.50	11.76	87.50	75.00	58.82	6.25	12.50	29.41	16	16	17
2	20.00	17.65	10.00	60.00	52.94	65.00	20.00	29.41	25.00	20	17	20
3	*	0.00	0.00	*	61.54	46.15	*	38.46	53.85	*	13	13
4	0.00	0.00	16.67	38.46	75.00	50.00	61.54	25.00	33.33	13	16	18
5	*	*	11.76	*	*	52.94	*	*	35.29	*	*	17
All Grades	6.98	6.98	11.70	70.93	70.93	55.32	22.09	22.09	32.98	86	86	94

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

		Percent	age of S	tudents l		ng Doma in Perfo		_evel for	All Stud	ents		
Grade	We	II Develo	ped	Somew	/hat/Mod	lerately	E	Beginnin	g		tal Numb f Studen	
Level	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22
K	40.91	50.00	*	54.55	30.00	*	4.55	20.00	*	22	20	*
1	12.50	6.25	5.88	87.50	62.50	70.59	0.00	31.25	23.53	16	16	17
2	35.00	5.88	35.00	55.00	70.59	35.00	10.00	23.53	30.00	20	17	20
3	*	7.69	7.69	*	84.62	69.23	*	7.69	23.08	*	13	13
4	15.38	0.00	16.67	61.54	87.50	55.56	23.08	12.50	27.78	13	16	18
5	*	*	11.76	*	*	70.59	*	*	17.65	*	*	17
All Grades	25.58	15.12	18.09	63.95	66.28	54.26	10.47	18.60	27.66	86	86	94

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

- 1. EL students at PJS are progressing in their language acquisition in spite of all District schools being shut down on March 13, 2020 due to COVID-19 and being moved to Hybrid or Distance model for all of the 2020-2021 school year.
- 2. While the number of PJS students in level 4 has decreased, it is mainly due to the large number EL student being reclassified the previous year.
- 3. PJS still needs to do a better job at addressing upper grade EL students.

Student Population

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021.

This section provides information about the school's student population.

	2020-21 Stu	dent Population	
Total Enrollment	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	English Learners	Foster Youth
300	68.0	21.7	This is the percent of students whose well-being is the responsibility of a court.
This is the total number of students enrolled.	This is the percent of students who are eligible for free or reduced priced meals; or have parents/guardians who did not receive a high school diploma.	This is the percent of students who are learning to communicate effectively in English, typically requiring instruction in both the English Language and in their academic courses.	•

2020-21 Enrollment for All Students/Student Group		
Student Group	Total	Percentage
English Learners	65	21.7
Foster Youth		
Homeless	3	1.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	204	68.0
Students with Disabilities	41	13.7

Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity		
Student Group	Total	Percentage
African American	18	6.0
American Indian or Alaska Native		
Asian	12	4.0
Filipino	9	3.0
Hispanic	96	32.0
Two or More Races	30	10.0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	6	2.0
White	127	42.3

^{1.} White students make up nearly half of our student population, with a large percentage of these white students being from Eastern Europe and being EL students.

- 2. Many of our Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students are recent immigrants from Eastern Europe.
- 3. Hispanic students are our next largest group of students including being EL students.

Overall Performance

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

Academic Performance Academic Engagement Conditions & Climate English Language Arts Yellow Chronic Absenteeism Orange Mathematics

Conclusions based on this data:

Orange

- 1. According to the Dashboard, while still in Yellow, PJS continues to show that our EL population is progressing.
- 2. According to the Dashboard, the suspension rate at PJS continues to decrease year to year, however, due to the move to distance learning and hybrid class configuration in 2020-2021, the behavior of students was difficult to accurately track.
- 3. According to the Dashboard, while PJS has made gains in mathematics, it is still an area where PJS needs to improve.

Academic Performance English Language Arts

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

Lowest Performance









Rlug

Highest Performance


This section provides number of student groups in each color.


	2019 Fall Dashbo	ard English Language <i>F</i>	Arts Equity Report	
Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue
0	0	3	1	0


This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.


2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance for All Students/Student Group

Yellow 14.7 points below standard Increased ++13.6 points









2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance by Race/Ethnicity

African American

No Performance Color

41.6 points below standard

Increased Significantly ±±27 0 nainta 17

American Indian

No Performance Color 0 Students

Asian

No Performance Color

Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy

Filipino

No Performance Color

Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy

2

Hispanic



22 points below standard

Increased Significantly TTOU 4 points 31

Two or More Races

No Performance Color

39.5 points below standard

19

Pacific Islander

No Performance Color

Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy

2

White



0.3 points below standard

Increased ++14.7 points

69

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Data Comparisons for English Learners

Current English Learner

72.4 points below standard

Increased Significantly LL10 1 nainta 25

Reclassified English Learners

41.3 points above standard

Increased ++10 points

32

English Only

19.7 points below standard

Increased Significantly ±±15 Q nainta 86

- 1. According to the Dashboard as well as internal assessments, PJS continues to show steady growth in the area of ELA, however COVID-19 and the move to distance and hybrid learning reduced the gains we were achieving.
- The sub group labeled "White" declined slightly, however this may be caused by our increase in EL from Eastern Europe that are classified as White.
- EL and Socioeconomically disadvantaged students showed the greatest overall increases.

Academic Performance Mathematics

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

Lowest Performance









Rlug

Highest Performance


This section provides number of student groups in each color.


2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Equity Report				
Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue
0	3	1	0	0


This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.


2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance for All Students/Student Group

Orange 40.3 points below standard Maintained ++1 points









2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance by Race/Ethnicity


No Performance Color 69.1 points below standard Increased ++6.8 points


American Indian


No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy


2


Asian











This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Data Comparisons for English Learners

Current English Learner
77.4 points below standard
Increased ++8.1 points
25

Reclassified English Learners	
2.3 points below standard	
Declined -13 points	
32	

English Only	
45 points below standard	Ī
Increased ++4.7 points	
86	

- 1. According to the Dashboard as well as internal assessments, Mathematics continues to be an area where PJS is struggling to address the diverse needs of our students, particularly students with disables.
- 2. EL learners are struggling with mathematics standards. After reviewing the 2019 CAASPP data as well as recent teacher developed assessment, the PJS staff has determined that a major cause of this is due to the increased reading and language competency needed in demonstrate mathematics skills. Using the initial Spring 2022 CAASPP data, the PJS staff has developed and implement targeted interventions.
- 3. PJS staff is continuing to develop schedules and targeted intervention to address the diverse needs of students in the area of mathematics, particularly the recent growth of the language components.

Academic Performance English Learner Progress

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students making progress towards English language proficiency or maintaining the highest level.

2019 Fall Dashboard English Learner Progress Indicator

No Performance Color 70.7 making progress towards English language proficiency Number of EL Students: 58 Performance Level: Very High

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students who progressed at least one ELPI level, maintained ELPI level 4, maintained lower ELPI levels (i.e, levels 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H), or decreased at least one ELPI Level.

Decreased One ELPI Level 12.0 Maintained ELPI Level 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H Maintained ELPI Level 4 17.2 Maintained ELPI Level 4 6.8 Progressed At Least One ELPI Level 63.7

- 1. PJS is proud of the success we have had moving our students to become more fluent in the past and based on more recent assessments, PJS continues to move students toward proficiency.
- 2. EL students at PJS are performing better on ELA assessments but are struggling with mathematics program aligned to Common Core due the content being language rich.
- 3. PJS is attempting to design classroom schedules that enable EL students to receive the ELD instruction they need to become fluent while ensuring that they miss little to no core curriculum instruction.

Academic Engagement Chronic Absenteeism

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

Lowest Performance









Blue

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

2019 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Equity Report				
Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue
0	4	2	1	0

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 8 who are absent 10 percent or more of the instructional days they were enrolled.


2019 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism for All Students/Student Group

All Students
Orange
13.2
Increased +0.8
370

English Learners
Yellow
5
Increased +1
100
5 Increased +1

Foster Youth
No Performance Color
Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy
4

Homeless				
No Performance Color				
Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy				
9				




Students with Disabilities				
Orange				
18.5				
Increased +7.2				
54				


2019 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity

African American				
Orange				
27.3				
Declined -2				
33				


No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 0





Asian



Hispanic				
Orange				
15.5				
Increased +5.6				
97				







- 1. PJS had an attendance rate of approximately 94.5% before COVID-19, which is below the District's goal.
- 2. Attendance rates fell considerably during the 2021-2022 school year, but a large portion of tis was due to mandatory quarantining as well as encouraging parents to keep children home if possible exposed to COVID-19 or if/when the child shows even minor symptoms.
- 3. Working with our PBIS team, the staff at PJS has developed a system of rewards and incentives that will hopefully create an environment that encourages students to attend more regularly.

Academic Engagement Graduation Rate Additional Report

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021.

2021 Graduation Rate by Student Group					
	Student Group	Number of Students in the Graduation Rate	Number of Graduates	Number of Fifth Year Graduates	Graduation Rate
All	Students				
Eng	lish Learners				
Fos	ter Youth				
Ho	neless				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged					
Stu	dents with Disabilities				
Afr	can American				
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asi	an				
Fili	oino				
Hispanic					
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander					
White					
Two or More Races					
Conclusions based on this data:					
1.	N/A				
2.	N/A				
3.	N/A				

Conditions & Climate Suspension Rate

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

Lowest Performance









Rlue

Highest Performance


This section provides number of student groups in each color.

2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate Equity Report				
Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue
0	0	0	7	0


This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 12 who have been suspended at least once in a given school year. Students who are suspended multiple times are only counted once.


2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate for All Students/Student Group

All Students				
Green				
1.9				
Declined Significantly -3.6 377				




Foster Youth
No Performance Color
Less than 11 Students - Data Not
·








2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate by Race/Ethnicity


African American
Green
3
Declined -8.1 33














This section provides a view of the percentage of students who were suspended.

2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate by Year			
2017	2018	2019	
	5.5	1.9	

- 1. The trend of suspensions at PJS is declining year to year over the last 5 years.
- 2. Our implementation of PBIS strategies school-wide, implementing alternatives to suspension, as well as building positive relationships with our parent community have aided in this decrease.
- 3. While our suspension rate showed a decline prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, we will need to use the 2022-23 schools year as a new baseline due to the social-emotional effects caused by it the pandemic.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal Subject

At Peter J. Shields, all students will receive instruction from a highly-qualified teacher and have access to grade level curriculum and standards.

LEA/LCAP Goal

Goal 1 - All students will receive equitable instruction from highly-qualified teachers and have access to curriculum which promotes college and career readiness (State Priority 1)

- 1.1 Maintain the appropriate assignment of fully credentialed teachers and provide new teacher support.
- 1.2 Maintain schools in good repair
- 1.3 Educators use linguistically and culturally responsive instructional strategies and materials designed to address academic content standards and the cultural, social, physical, and emotional well-being of all students

Goal 1

School Goal: Focus on high quality, standards-based instruction.

Identified Need

Continued Professional Development (PD) for English Learner (EL)/Low Income (LI) students will be important in increasing academic achievement for ALL students. District Coaches will help support some of the PD for PJS.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
Credential audit	PJS is currently at 100%	Maintain 100%
Williams Act facilities audit	PJS is currently at 100%	Maintain 100%
Williams Act instructional materials audit	PJS is currently at 100%	Maintain 100%

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Strategy/Activity 1

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students with a particularity focus on English Learners

Strategy/Activity

Maintain a Title I Resource Teacher/Academic Coach as well as qualified substitutes for collaboration in order to close the achievement gap in reading and math specifically.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
13,319	Title I 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries Title 1 specialist is hired to support disadvantaged students close the achievement gap through small group targeted instruction and classroom teacher support.
1212	Title I 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries Teacher subs for staff collaboration and planning and PLC leadership meeting time for planning & collaboration Curriculum Dev and planning, academic support.
125	Title I 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries Extra Time for classified staff for translation, registration, conferences, and parent engagement.
1045	Title I 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries Extra time for BIA
5338	Title I 3000-3999: Employee Benefits Employee benefits

Strategy/Activity 2

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students with a particularity focus on English Learners

Strategy/Activity

Purchase needed supplies and materials for teachers to close the achievement gap and to increase communication between school and home.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
486	Title I
	4000-4999: Books And Supplies

	Instructional supplies including supplies for technology and technology related needs to assist in closing the achievement gap
500	Title I 4000-4999: Books And Supplies Additional books for the library and for student rewards to help improve fluency.
250	Title I 5900: Communications Postage

Strategy/Activity 3

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students with a particularity focus on English Learners

Strategy/Activity

Send teachers and other staff to various professional development opportunities to gain more and/or better knowledge in a variety of targeted areas of need in order to close the achievement gap.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)	
	Title I 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating	
	Expenditures Registration and fees associated with ongoing professional development opportunities	

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2021-22

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Through the continued use of our Academic Coach and the purchase of needed supplies to supplement the adopted curriculum, students' academic needs are being met and appropriate interventions are being provided. Data is regularly reviewed and acted upon to best ensure each child is being provided what they need to be successful and access the curriculum. Through the use of substitutes, teachers are regularly released to collaborate and address the needs of

students. The Academic Coach, Intervention teacher, Special Ed teacher, principal, and grade level team review data and adjust instruction groupings and practices based on the findings. Target interventions are then created and implemented. Due to these strategies, our i-Ready and ELPAC scores have shown improvement from the beginning of the year to the end of the year.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Due to COVID related health and safety protocols, we were unable to place students in leveled groups across classrooms. This limited our ability to effectively target all students as we have in past years.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

For the 2022-2023 school year, PJS will use the newly created Common Planning Time on Thursdays to better look at student data and make appropriate "on the fly" changes to student groupings for intervention. During this time the Academic Coach, Intervention teachers, Special Ed teacher, and principal to work with grade level teams to discuss student data and identify, develop, and provide more targeted interventions.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal Subject

Family & Student Engagement (LCAP Goal 2)

LEA/LCAP Goal

Goal 2 - Increase parent and student engagement and provide a safe, healthy, and positive school climate with an intentional focus on social emotional learning and outcomes for LCAP student groups (state priority 3, 5 and 6).

- 2.1 Increase student attendance rates and reduce chronic absences for all students.
- 2.2 Increase the high school graduation rate and decrease the dropout rate.
- 2.3 Reduce student suspensions, expulsion rates, and bullying incidents.
- 2.4 Increase opportunities for family engagement and parent input and the utilization of volunteers.
- 2.5 Foster community partnerships that support student learning and build effective understanding and advocacy of District goals for student success and whole child well-being.
- 2.6 Improve the efficacy and accessibility of district communications to increase two-way engagement with all stakeholders.

Goal 2

At Peter J. Shields Elementary, we will continue to increase parent and student engagement and provide a safe, healthy, and positive learning environment.

Identified Need

PJS will work to increase student attendance rates and reduce chronic absences for all students PJS will work to foster community partnerships that support student learning and build effective understanding and advocacy of District goals for student success and whole child well-being.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
Attendance Rates	94.7%	96%
Suspension Rates	5.8%	3%
Parent Surveys	None to date	End of year parent/staff survey

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Strategy/Activity 1

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students with a particularity focus on English Learners

Strategy/Activity

Title I Resource Teacher/Academic Coach and Teacher substitutes for collaboration

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
13,319	Title I 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries Title 1 specialist is hired to support disadvantaged students close the achievement gap through small group targeted instruction and classroom teacher support.
1212	Title I 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries Teacher subs for staff collaboration and planning and PLC leadership meeting time for planning & collaboration Curriculum Dev and planning, academic support.
125	Title I 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries Extra Time for classified staff for translation, registration, conferences, and parent engagement.
1044	Title I 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries Extra time for BIA.
5338	Title I 3000-3999: Employee Benefits Employee benefits

Strategy/Activity 2

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students with a particularity focus on English Learners

Strategy/Activity

Purchase needed supplies and materials for teachers to close the achievement gap and to increase communication between school and home.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
486	Title I
	4000-4999: Books And Supplies

	Instructional supplies including supplies for technology and technology related needs to assist in closing the achievement gap
500	Title I 4000-4999: Books And Supplies Additional books for the library and for student rewards to help improve fluency.
250	Title I 5900: Communications Postage

Strategy/Activity 3

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students with a particularity focus on English Learners

Strategy/Activity

Send teachers and other staff to various professional development opportunities to gain more and/or better knowledge in a variety of targeted areas of need in order to close the achievement gap.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)	
	Title I Part A: Professional Development (PI Schools) 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures Registration and fees associated with ongoing professional development opportunities	

Strategy/Activity 4

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students with a particularity focus on English Learners

Strategy/Activity

Funding of the Special Friends Program

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s) Source(s)

3000	Title I
	5700-5799: Transfers Of Direct Costs
	Provides funding for a trained para-professional
	to assist students in addressing their
	social/emotional needs in Special Friends
	Program.

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2021-22

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

The COVID-19 pandemic and the move to distance learning and a hybrid/in-person model greatly reduced the suspension rate at PJS, PJS had previously substantially reduced the suspension rate over the last several years. Alternatives to home suspension, in addition to better adherence to our PBIS plan and our discipline policy, have resulted in the reduction of suspensions. Additionally, through a focused effort to break down the barriers to volunteering (namely though bringing the fingerprinting service to events such as Back to School Night and Open House), PJS continues to add new volunteers each school year. This has aided in community connections and a positive welcoming feel at the school.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

If funding was increased for Social Emotional Learning (SEL) programs at PJS to help students, this would increase the student's connection to the school and be able to address the student's social/emotional needs, therefore, allowing them to better attend in class and be able to better see the school as a resource.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

In order to better address the Social Emotional Learning (SEL) needs of our students (which in turn should improve attendance, behavior and academic progress), PJS will continue to implement our own SEL supports through the use of our Academic Coach and Intervention Teacher.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal Subject

Professional Learning to Support High Quality Instruction (LCAP Goal 3)

LEA/LCAP Goal

Goal 3 - Provide all students with high quality classroom instruction and access to a broad course of study (State Priority 2, 4 and 7)

- 3.1 Provide Social Emotional Learning (SEL) and culturally relevant professional development on instructional strategies and essential standards.
- 3.2 Through a collaborative process, complete the work on K 12 Guaranteed and Viable Curriculum with Set Essential Standards.
- 3.3 Ensure all teachers/students have access to research-based EL instructional strategies to improve achievement.
- 3.4 Provide access to A-G, Career Technical Education (CTE), IB, Advanced Placement (AP) and Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM) courses to ensure students are college and career ready.

Goal 3

Peter J. Elementary School provides staff with opportunities for professional learning to optimize classroom instruction and practices.

Identified Need

PJS will need funds to pay for substitutes and projects that further the goals of the site: common formative assessment development, essential standard work in ELA or Math, student engagement, and SEL

PJS will structure Thursday staff meeting time in a manner that will better utilize the support staff to include them in various grade level PLCs. Time will be allotted for both grade levels to meet in PLC teams to review data, plan instruction, and plan interventions and to better implement Rtl prior to SST's.

PJS will need funds to pay for substitutes and projects that further the goals of the site for improving EL instruction.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
Professional Development	All teachers have completed or are in process of completing District provide professional Development in new curriculum adoptions, follow up support and training in reading, math and science.	Maintain the current level of professional development baseline
EL instructional strategies	Currently most PJS teachers are GLAD trained	Increase the level of professional development particularly as it relates to SEL and EL practices

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
Access STEM	Currently school has access to STEM materials and activities.	All teachers will have receive special training in STEM provide curriculum.

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Strategy/Activity 1

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students with a particularity focus on English Learners

Strategy/Activity

Title I Resource Teacher/Academic Coach and Teacher substitutes for collaboration

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
13319	Title I 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries Title 1 specialist is hired to support disadvantaged students close the achievement gap through small group targeted instruction and classroom teacher support.
1212	Title I 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries Teacher subs for staff collaboration and planning and PLC leadership meeting time for planning & collaboration Curriculum Dev and planning, academic support.
125	Title I 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries Extra Time for classified staff for translation, registration, conferences, and parent engagement.
5337	Title I 3000-3999: Employee Benefits Employee Benefits

Strategy/Activity 2

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students with a particularity focus on English Learners

Strategy/Activity

Purchase supplemental instructional supplies to close achievement gap.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
485	Title I 4000-4999: Books And Supplies Instructional supplies including supplies for technology and technology related needs to assist in closing the achievement gap
500	Title I 4000-4999: Books And Supplies Additional books for the library and for student rewards to help improve fluency.

Strategy/Activity 3

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students with a particularity focus on English Learners

Strategy/Activity

Send teachers and other staff to various professional development opportunities to gain more and/or better knowledge in a variety of targeted areas of need in order to close the achievement gap.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
	Title I 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures Registration and fees associated with ongoing professional development opportunities

Strategy/Activity 4

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

Strategy/Activity

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s) Source(s)

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2021-22

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Most PJS teachers have been well trained in EL strategies including GLAD. Students are given not only dedicated, focus instruction in the EL curriculum, but these materials and strategies are integrated throughout the day and other curriculum to best ensure all students learn. Supplemental materials have been purchased and to best address the needs of not only EL students but all low performing students.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

The major difference between the budgeted and the implemented strategies is that due to the COVID-19 pandemic and subsequent school closures, hybrid models, and the health and safety protocols that forced students into quarantine, many of our most needed students did not have the regular access to the interventions we provide under normal conditions.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Moving forward, PJS will purchase supplemental materials and curriculum to address those areas and needs that were not properly addressed last year.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal Subject

At Peter J. Shields, student progress and educational outcomes will be monitored for success using assessment results.

LEA/LCAP Goal

Goal 4 - Student progress and educational outcomes will be monitored to increase and improve success with an emphasis on historically marginalized and most vulnerable student populations (State Priority 4 and 8)

- 4.1 Ensure students are reading at grade level (1st, 3rd, 5th, 8th, 11th grades).
- 4.2 Ensure students are meeting grade level standards in math (1st, 3rd, 5th, 8th, and 11th grades).
- 4.3 Ensure English Learners make grade level progress through access to grade level curriculum and quality first instruction.
- 4.4 Ensure Special Education students make grade level progress through access to grade level curriculum and quality first instruction.
- 4.5 Improve Kindergarten readiness as measured by curriculum embedded assessment.
- 4.6 Graduation rate focus; monitor yearly high school progress to ensure all students make annual progress toward graduating within their 4-year cohort.

Goal 4

All students at PJS will improve scores based on multiple measures.

Identified Need

PJS recognize the need for time to do the more work to plan for maximum student engagement around essential standards. We will provide time to monitor student learning and mastery of said essential skills in language arts and math. We will participate in District progress assessments, plan time to look at assessment results, and make informed decisions about the next steps in learning for students. PJS will adhere to a more systematic structure of common assessments and data analysis of those assessments to better ensure that all students, regardless of classroom, are learning and mastering the same material and content.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
CAASPP	Spring 2022 CAASPP	All students will progress from their 2022 scores and our percentage of At or Above grade level will increase from the Spring 2022 scores.
Renaissance	Diagnostic 1 from Fall 2022	All students will increase their scores in Renaissance by at least one grade level in ELA/Math by the end of the school year.
ELPAC	Spring and Fall 2022 ELPAC scores	All EL students will be increase their English proficiency and/or be reclassified

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
ORP	Fall 2022 ORP scores	All students will increase ORP scores to meet leaves set by the District and Benchmark for their grade levels.
SIPPS	Fall 2022 SIPPS assessment scores	Students in SIPPS groups by the end of the year will test out of their placed SIPPS groups.
Adopted Curriculum Assessments (enVision Math and Benchmark)	Beginning Theme/topic tests	Students will reach mastery in enVision Math and Benchmark assessments.

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Strategy/Activity 1

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students with a particularity focus on English Learners

Strategy/Activity

1) Title I Resource Teacher/Academic Coach and Teacher substitutes for collaboration (LCAP1.1, 1.3, 2.1, 3.2, 4.1, 4.2, & 4.3)

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
13319	Title I 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries Title 1 specialist is hired to support disadvantaged students close the achievement gap through small group targeted instruction and classroom teacher support.
1212	Title I 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries Teacher subs for staff collaboration and planning and PLC leadership meeting time for planning & collaboration Curriculum Dev and planning, academic support.
125	Title I 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries Extra Time for classified staff for translation, registration, conferences, and parent engagement.

5337	Title I
	3000-3999: Employee Benefits
	Employee benefit portion

Strategy/Activity 2

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students with a particularity focus on English Learners

Strategy/Activity

Purchase supplemental instructional supplies to close achievement gap.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
485	Title I 4000-4999: Books And Supplies Instructional supplies including supplies for technology and technology related needs to assist in closing the achievement gap
500	Title I 4000-4999: Books And Supplies Additional books for the library and for student rewards to help improve fluency.

Strategy/Activity 3

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students with a particularity focus on English Learners

Strategy/Activity

Send teachers and other staff to various professional development opportunities to gain more and/or better knowledge in a variety of targeted areas of need in order to close the achievement gap.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
	Title I 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures

Registration and fees associated with ongoing professional development opportunities

Strategy/Activity 4

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

Strategy/Activity

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s) Source(s)

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2021-22

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

PJS has done a wonderful job of improving our students' ability in both Reading and math. All available indicators show positive movement for a vast majority of the student at PJS in all subgroups.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

PJS is provided the budget and District level support to continue to improve student's abilities in Reading, Math, Social Studies, and Science. The time needed to ensure that all students get what they need is a constant battle as some students could benefit from more targeted time in intervention in the areas of concern, but this time may come at the expense of other taught curriculum.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

PJS will focus on developing a better and more robust after-school intervention program for students who need extra time and more targeted interventions that can be done during the normal school day.

Budget Summary

Complete the table below. Schools may include additional information. Adjust the table as needed. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp, and/or that receive funds from the LEA for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI).

Budget Summary

Description	Amount
Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application	\$
Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI	\$
Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA	\$89,505.00

Other Federal, State, and Local Funds

List the additional Federal programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Adjust the table as needed. If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

Federal Programs	Allocation (\$)
Title I	\$89,505.00

Subtotal of additional federal funds included for this school: \$89,505.00

List the State and local programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Duplicate the table as needed.

State or Local Programs	Allocation (\$)
-------------------------	-----------------

Subtotal of state or local funds included for this school: \$

Total of federal, state, and/or local funds for this school: \$89,505.00

Budgeted Funds and Expenditures in this Plan

The tables below are provided to help the school track expenditures as they relate to funds budgeted to the school.

Funds Budgeted to the School by Funding Source

Funding Source	Amount	Balance
Title I	113631	24,126.00
LCFF - Supplemental		

Expenditures by Funding Source

Funding Source	Amount
Title I	89,505.00

Expenditures by Budget Reference

Budget Reference	Amount
1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries	58,124.00
2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries	2,589.00
3000-3999: Employee Benefits	21,350.00
4000-4999: Books And Supplies	3,942.00
5700-5799: Transfers Of Direct Costs	3,000.00
5900: Communications	500.00

Expenditures by Budget Reference and Funding Source

Budget Reference	Funding Source	Amount
1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries	Title I	58,124.00
2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries	Title I	2,589.00
3000-3999: Employee Benefits	Title I	21,350.00
4000-4999: Books And Supplies	Title I	3,942.00
5700-5799: Transfers Of Direct Costs	Title I	3,000.00

5900: Communications Title I 500.00

Expenditures by Goal

Goal Number

Goal 1
Goal 2
Goal 3
Goal 4

Total Expenditures

22,275.00
25,274.00
20,978.00
20,978.00

School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

- 1 School Principal
- 2 Classroom Teachers
- 2 Other School Staff
- 4 Parent or Community Members

Name of Members Role

Ted Bosque	Principal
LIz Leeper	Classroom Teacher
Alex Earp	Classroom Teacher
Lori Alexander-Moore	Other School Staff
Tracy McKnight	Other School Staff
Jennifer Menning	Parent or Community Member
James France	Parent or Community Member
Jenny Jiang	Parent or Community Member
Stacey Michelini	Parent or Community Member
Denise Lopez	Parent or Community Member

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC)

A committee comprised of parents, staff, and community members specifically designated to advise school officials on English Learner program services. Education Code Section 35147 (c), 52176 (b), and (c), 62002.5, and 64001 (a). The current make-up of the ELAC is as follows:

Name of ELAC Members Role

Ted Bosque	Principal
Lori Moore	Classroom Teacher
Svetlama Zayarchenko	Other School Staff
Celina Laguna	Other School Staff
Olga Lorents	Parent or Community Member
Alla Golodnea	Parent or Community Member
Inna Supryagin	Parent or Community Member
Dina Slivinskiy	Parent or Community Member
Beatriz Murguia	Parent or Community Member
Ana Pimental	Parent or Community Member
Olga Boger	Parent or Community Member

Parents of English learners must comprise the same percentage of the ELAC membership as English learners constitute of the school's total student population. Example, if 25% of the students in a school are English learners, then parent/guardians of English learners must comprise 25% of the ELAC membership. Other members can be parent/guardians, school staff, and/or community members as long as the minimum percentage requirement for EL parents is maintained.

Each California public school, grades kindergarten through 12, with 21 or more English learners must form an ELAC.

- 1. The ELAC shall be responsible for advising the principal and staff on programs and services for English learners and the School Site Council on the development of the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA)
- 2. The ELAC shall assist the school in the development of:
 - a. The school's needs assessment.
 - b. The school's annual language census.
- c. Ways to make parents aware of the importance of regular school attendance.

Recommendations and Assurances

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.

The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.

The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan:

Signature

Committee or Advisory Group Name

- Ivi Meore

English Learner Advisory Committee

The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.

This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.

This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on September 20, 2022.

Attested:

Principal, Ted Bosque on 09/20/2022

SSC Chairperson, Liz Leeper on 09/20/2022

Instructions

The School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a strategic plan that maximizes the resources available to the school while minimizing duplication of effort with the ultimate goal of increasing student achievement. SPSA development should be aligned with and inform the Local Control and Accountability Plan process.

The SPSA consolidates all school-level planning efforts into one plan for programs funded through the consolidated application (ConApp), and for federal school improvement programs, including schoolwide programs, Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI), and Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI), pursuant to California Education Code (EC) Section 64001 and the Elementary and Secondary Education Act as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). This template is designed to meet schoolwide program planning requirements. It also notes how to meet CSI, TSI, or ATSI requirements, as applicable.

California's ESSA State Plan supports the state's approach to improving student group performance through the utilization of federal resources. Schools use the SPSA to document their approach to maximizing the impact of federal investments in support of underserved students. The implementation of ESSA in California presents an opportunity for schools to innovate with their federally-funded programs and align them with the priority goals of the school and the LEA that are being realized under the state's Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF).

The LCFF provides schools and LEAs flexibility to design programs and provide services that meet the needs of students in order to achieve readiness for college, career, and lifelong learning. The SPSA planning process supports continuous cycles of action, reflection, and improvement. Consistent with EC 65001, the Schoolsite Council (SSC) is required to develop and annually review the SPSA, establish an annual budget, and make modifications to the plan that reflect changing needs and priorities, as applicable.

For questions related to specific sections of the template, please see instructions below:

Instructions: Linked Table of Contents

The SPSA template meets the requirements of schoolwide planning (SWP). Each section also contains a notation of how to meet CSI, TSI, or ATSI requirements.

Educational Partner Involvement

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Planned Strategies/Activities

Annual Review and Update

Budget Summary

Appendix A: Plan Requirements for Title I Schoolwide Programs

Appendix B: Plan Requirements for Schools to Meet Federal School Improvement Planning Requirements

Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs

For additional questions or technical assistance related to LEA and school planning, please contact the Local Agency Systems Support Office, at LCFF@cde.ca.gov.

For programmatic or policy questions regarding Title I schoolwide planning, please contact the local educational agency, or the CDE's Title I Policy and Program Guidance Office at TITLEI@cde.ca.gov.

For questions or technical assistance related to meeting federal school improvement planning requirements (for CSI, TSI, and ATSI), please contact the CDE's School Improvement and Support Office at SISO@cde.ca.gov.

Purpose and Description

Schools identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI), or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) must respond to the following prompts. A school that has not been identified for CSI, TSI, or ATSI may delete the Purpose and Description prompts.

Purpose

Briefly describe the purpose of this plan by selecting from Schoolwide Program, Comprehensive Support and Improvement, Targeted Support and Improvement, or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement)

Description

Briefly describe the school's plan for effectively meeting ESSA requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan and other federal, state, and local programs.

Educational Partner Involvement

Meaningful involvement of parents, students, and other stakeholders is critical to the development of the SPSA and the budget process. Schools must share the SPSA with school site-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., English Learner Advisory committee, student advisory groups, tribes and tribal organizations present in the community, as appropriate, etc.) and seek input from these advisory groups in the development of the SPSA.

The Stakeholder Engagement process is an ongoing, annual process. Describe the process used to involve advisory committees, parents, students, school faculty and staff, and the community in the development of the SPSA and the annual review and update.

[This section meets the requirements for TSI and ATSI.]

[When completing this section for CSI, the LEA shall partner with the school in the development and implementation of this plan.]

Resource Inequities

Schools eligible for CSI or ATSI must identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEAand school-level budgeting as a part of the required needs assessment. Identified resource inequities must be addressed through implementation of the CSI or ATSI plan. Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment and summarize how the identified resource inequities are addressed in the SPSA.

[This section meets the requirements for CSI and ATSI. If the school is not identified for CSI or ATSI this section is not applicable and may be deleted.]

Goals, Strategies, Expenditures, & Annual Review

In this section a school provides a description of the annual goals to be achieved by the school. This section also includes descriptions of the specific planned strategies/activities a school will take to meet the identified goals, and a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific strategies and activities.

Goal

State the goal. A goal is a broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed. A goal answers the question: What is the school seeking to achieve?

It can be helpful to use a framework for writing goals such the S.M.A.R.T. approach. A S.M.A.R.T. goal is one that is **S**pecific, **M**easurable, **A**chievable, **R**ealistic, and **T**ime-bound. A level of specificity is needed in order to measure performance relative to the goal as well as to assess whether it is reasonably achievable. Including time constraints, such as milestone dates, ensures a realistic approach that supports student success.

A school may number the goals using the "Goal #" for ease of reference.

[When completing this section for CSI, TSI, and ATSI, improvement goals shall align to the goals, actions, and services in the LEA LCAP.]

Identified Need

Describe the basis for establishing the goal. The goal should be based upon an analysis of verifiable state data, including local and state indicator data from the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and data from the School Accountability Report Card, including local data voluntarily collected by districts to measure pupil achievement.

[Completing this section fully addresses all relevant federal planning requirements]

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that the school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal. A school may identify metrics for specific student groups. Include in the baseline column the most recent data associated with the metric or indicator available at the time of adoption of the SPSA. The most recent data associated with a metric or indicator includes data reported in the annual update of the SPSA. In the subsequent Expected Outcome column, identify the progress the school intends to make in the coming year.

[When completing this section for CSI the school must include school-level metrics related to the metrics that led to the school's identification.]

[When completing this section for TSI/ATSI the school must include metrics related to the specific student group(s) that led to the school's identification.]

Strategies/Activities

Describe the strategies and activities being provided to meet the described goal. A school may number the strategy/activity using the "Strategy/Activity #" for ease of reference.

Planned strategies/activities address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with state priorities and resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of the local educational agency's budgeting, its local control and accountability plan, and school-level budgeting, if applicable.

[When completing this section for CSI, TSI, and ATSI, this plan shall include evidence-based interventions and align to the goals, actions, and services in the LEA LCAP.]

[When completing this section for CSI and ATSI, this plan shall address through implementation, identified resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting.]

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

Indicate in this box which students will benefit from the strategies/activities by indicating "All Students" or listing one or more specific student group(s) to be served.

[This section meets the requirements for CSI.]

[When completing this section for TSI and ATSI, at a minimum, the student groups to be served shall include the student groups that are consistently underperforming, for which the school received the TSI or ATSI designation. For TSI, a school may focus on all students or the student group(s) that led to identification based on the evidence-based interventions selected.]

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

For each strategy/activity, list the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures for the school year to implement these strategies/activities. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal, identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Proposed expenditures that are included more than once in a SPSA should be indicated as a duplicated expenditure and include a reference to the goal and strategy/activity where the expenditure first appears in the SPSA. Pursuant to Education Code, Section 64001(g)(3)(C), proposed expenditures, based on the projected resource allocation from the governing board or governing body of the LEA, to address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with the state priorities including identifying resource inequities which may include a review of the LEA's budgeting, its LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable.

[This section meets the requirements for CSI, TSI, and ATSI.]

[NOTE: Federal funds for CSI shall not be used in schools identified for TSI or ATSI. In addition, funds for CSI shall not be used to hire additional permanent staff.]

Annual Review

In the following Analysis prompts, identify any material differences between what was planned and what actually occurred as well as significant changes in strategies/activities and/ or expenditures from the prior year. This annual review and analysis should be the basis for decision-making and updates to the plan.

Analysis

Using actual outcome data, including state indicator data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned strategies/activities were effective in achieving the goal. Respond to the prompts as instructed. Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal the Annual Review section is not required and this section may be deleted.

- Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
- Briefly describe any major differences between either/or the intended implementation or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
- Describe any changes that will be made to the goal, expected annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

[When completing this section for CSI, TSI, or ATSI, any changes made to the goals, annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities, shall meet the CSI, TSI, or ATSI planning requirements. CSI, TSI, and ATSI planning requirements are listed under each section of the Instructions. For example, as a result of the Annual Review and Update, if changes are made to a goal(s), see the Goal section for CSI, TSI, and ATSI planning requirements.]

Budget Summary

In this section a school provides a brief summary of the funding allocated to the school through the ConApp and/or other funding sources as well as the total amount of funds for proposed expenditures described in the SPSA. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp and that receive federal funds for CSI. If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

From its total allocation for CSI, the LEA may distribute funds across its schools that meet the criteria for CSI to support implementation of this plan. In addition, the LEA may retain a portion of its total allocation to support LEA-level expenditures that are directly related to serving schools eligible for CSI.

Budget Summary

A school receiving funds allocated through the ConApp should complete the Budget Summary as follows:

- Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application: This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school through the ConApp for the school year. The school year means the fiscal year for which a SPSA is adopted or updated.
- Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA: This amount is the total of
 the proposed expenditures from all sources of funds associated with the strategies/activities
 reflected in the SPSA. To the extent strategies/activities and/or proposed expenditures are
 listed in the SPSA under more than one goal, the expenditures should be counted only once.

A school receiving federal funds for CSI should complete the Budget Summary as follows:

Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI: This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school from the LEA.

[NOTE: Federal funds for CSI shall not be used in schools eligible for TSI or ATSI. In addition, funds for CSI shall not be used to hire additional permanent staff.]

Appendix A: Plan Requirements

Schoolwide Program Requirements

This School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) template meets the requirements of a schoolwide program plan. The requirements below are for planning reference.

A school that operates a schoolwide program and receives funds allocated through the ConApp is required to develop a SPSA. The SPSA, including proposed expenditures of funds allocated to the school through the ConApp, must be reviewed annually and updated by the SSC. The content of a SPSA must be aligned with school goals for improving student achievement.

Requirements for Development of the Plan

- I. The development of the SPSA shall include both of the following actions:
 - A. Administration of a comprehensive needs assessment that forms the basis of the school's goals contained in the SPSA.
 - 1. The comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school shall:
 - a. Include an analysis of verifiable state data, consistent with all state priorities as noted in Sections 52060 and 52066, and informed by all indicators described in Section 1111(c)(4)(B) of the federal Every Student Succeeds Act, including pupil performance against state-determined long-term goals. The school may include data voluntarily developed by districts to measure pupil outcomes (described in the Identified Need); and
 - b. Be based on academic achievement information about all students in the school, including all groups under §200.13(b)(7) and migratory children as defined in section 1309(2) of the ESEA, relative to the State's academic standards under §200.1 to—
 - Help the school understand the subjects and skills for which teaching and learning need to be improved; and
 - ii. Identify the specific academic needs of students and groups of students who are not yet achieving the State's academic standards; and
 - Assess the needs of the school relative to each of the components of the schoolwide program under §200.28.
 - iv. Develop the comprehensive needs assessment with the participation of individuals who will carry out the schoolwide program plan.
 - v. Document how it conducted the needs assessment, the results it obtained, and the conclusions it drew from those results.
 - B. Identification of the process for evaluating and monitoring the implementation of the SPSA and progress towards accomplishing the goals set forth in the SPSA (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and Annual Review and Update).

Requirements for the Plan

- II. The SPSA shall include the following:
 - A. Goals set to improve pupil outcomes, including addressing the needs of student groups as identified through the needs assessment.

- B. Evidence-based strategies, actions, or services (described in Strategies and Activities)
 - 1. A description of the strategies that the school will be implementing to address school needs, including a description of how such strategies will--
 - a. provide opportunities for all children including each of the subgroups of students to meet the challenging state academic standards
 - b. use methods and instructional strategies that:
 - i. strengthen the academic program in the school,
 - ii. increase the amount and quality of learning time, and
 - iii. provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum, which may include programs, activities, and courses necessary to provide a well-rounded education.
 - c. Address the needs of all children in the school, but particularly the needs of those at risk of not meeting the challenging State academic standards, so that all students demonstrate at least proficiency on the State's academic standards through activities which may include:
 - i. strategies to improve students' skills outside the academic subject areas;
 - ii. preparation for and awareness of opportunities for postsecondary education and the workforce;
 - iii. implementation of a schoolwide tiered model to prevent and address problem behavior;
 - iv. professional development and other activities for teachers, paraprofessionals, and other school personnel to improve instruction and use of data; and
 - v. strategies for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs.
- C. Proposed expenditures, based on the projected resource allocation from the governing board or body of the local educational agency (may include funds allocated via the ConApp, federal funds for CSI, any other state or local funds allocated to the school), to address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with the state priorities, including identifying resource inequities, which may include a review of the LEAs budgeting, it's LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable (described in Proposed Expenditures and Budget Summary). Employees of the schoolwide program may be deemed funded by a single cost objective.
- D. A description of how the school will determine if school needs have been met (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and the Annual Review and Update).
 - Annually evaluate the implementation of, and results achieved by, the schoolwide program, using data from the State's annual assessments and other indicators of academic achievement;
 - 2. Determine whether the schoolwide program has been effective in increasing the achievement of students in meeting the State's academic standards, particularly for those students who had been furthest from achieving the standards; and
 - 3. Revise the plan, as necessary, based on the results of the evaluation, to ensure continuous improvement of students in the schoolwide program.

- E. A description of how the school will ensure parental involvement in the planning, review, and improvement of the schoolwide program plan (described in Educational Partner Involvement and/or Strategies/Activities).
- F. A description of the activities the school will include to ensure that students who experience difficulty attaining proficient or advanced levels of academic achievement standards will be provided with effective, timely additional support, including measures to
 - 1. Ensure that those students' difficulties are identified on a timely basis; and
 - 2. Provide sufficient information on which to base effective assistance to those students.
- G. For an elementary school, a description of how the school will assist preschool students in the successful transition from early childhood programs to the school.
- H. A description of how the school will use resources to carry out these components (described in the Proposed Expenditures for Strategies/Activities).
- I. A description of any other activities and objectives as established by the SSC (described in the Strategies/Activities).

Authority Cited: S Title 34 of the Code of Federal Regulations (34 CFR), sections 200.25-26, and 200.29, and sections-1114(b)(7)(A)(i)-(iii) and 1118(b) of the ESEA. EC sections 6400 et. seq.

Appendix B:

Plan Requirements for School to Meet Federal School Improvement Planning Requirements

For questions or technical assistance related to meeting Federal School Improvement Planning Requirements, please contact the CDE's School Improvement and Support Office at SISO@cde.ca.gov.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

The LEA shall partner with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers, and parents) to locally develop and implement the CSI plan for the school to improve student outcomes, and specifically address the metrics that led to eligibility for CSI (Educational Partner Involvement).

The CSI plan shall:

- Be informed by all state indicators, including student performance against state-determined long-term goals (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable);
- Include evidence-based interventions (Strategies/Activities, Annual Review and Update, as applicable) (For resources related to evidence-based interventions, see the U.S. Department of Education's "Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments" at https://www2.ed.gov/policy/elsec/leg/essa/guidanceuseseinvestment.pdf);
- 3. Be based on a school-level needs assessment (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable); and
- 4. Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, to be addressed through implementation of the CSI plan (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities; and Annual Review and Update, as applicable).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(A), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(1) of the ESSA.

Targeted Support and Improvement

In partnership with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers, and parents) the school shall develop and implement a school-level TSI plan to improve student outcomes for each subgroup of students that was the subject of identification (Educational Partner Involvement).

The TSI plan shall:

- Be informed by all state indicators, including student performance against state-determined long-term goals (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable); and
- Include evidence-based interventions (Planned Strategies/Activities, Annual Review and Update, as applicable). (For resources related to evidence-based interventions, see the U.S. Department of Education's "Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments" https://www2.ed.gov/policy/elsec/leg/essa/guidanceuseseinvestment.pdf.)

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(B), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B) and 1111(d)(2) of the ESSA.

Additional Targeted Support and Improvement

A school identified for ATSI shall:

1. Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, which will be addressed through implementation of its TSI plan (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities, and Annual Review and Update, as applicable).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(B), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(2)(c) of the ESSA.

Single School Districts and Charter Schools Identified for School Improvement

Single school districts (SSDs) or charter schools that are identified for CSI, TSI, or ATSI, shall develop a SPSA that addresses the applicable requirements above as a condition of receiving funds (EC Section 64001[a] as amended by Assembly Bill [AB] 716, effective January 1, 2019).

However, a SSD or a charter school may streamline the process by combining state and federal requirements into one document which may include the local control and accountability plan (LCAP) and all federal planning requirements, provided that the combined plan is able to demonstrate that the legal requirements for each of the plans is met (EC Section 52062[a] as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019).

Planning requirements for single school districts and charter schools choosing to exercise this option are available in the LCAP Instructions.

Authority Cited: EC sections 52062(a) and 64001(a), both as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019.

Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs

For a list of active programs, please see the following links:

Programs included on the Consolidated Application: https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/co/
ESSA Title I, Part A: School Improvement: https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/sw/t1/schoolsupport.asp
Available Funding: https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/fo/af/

Developed by the California Department of Education, January 2019

Date: Tuesday September 20th, 2022

Time: 3:00 - 3:30

Location: Virtual Microsoft Teams

Peter J. Shields Elementary School

School Site Council Meeting Agenda

- Welcome/Call to Order
- Share Agenda
- Review of Last SSC minutes (from 9/13/2022) size and force incomes
- School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) Review and Approval
- Questions
- Adjournment

Peter J. Shields Elementary School

Date: Tuesday September 20th, 2022

Time: 3:30 - 4:00 p.m.

Location: Virtual Microsoft Teams

ELAC Meeting Agenda

- Welcome/Call to Order
- Share Agenda
- Review/Approval of previous minutes
- Introductions
- COVID Updates (discuss class quarantine, recess, lunch)
- SPSA
- Test Scores May 2022
- Public Comment/Questions
- Adjournment

Peter J. Shields Elementary School

English Language Advisory Committee (ELAC) Meeting

Date: Tuesday September 20th, 2022 3:30-4:00 p.m.

Meeting: MicroSoft Teams Attendees

Parent/ Guardian Name Nombre del Padre/encargado Имена родителей	Children's Names Nombre de los niños Имя ребенка	Teacher(s) Maestra (o) учитель
Olga Boger H	David & Emma	Earp & Sherar
Ana Pimentel	Emily & Mateo	Martin & Sherar
Bogdan Lashchu K	Eva & Ross	Kingsbury & Benesch
Claudia Garcia Vixtual Meeting attended	Cynthia & Natalia	Earp & Bair
Staff Member/Position		
Ted Bosque/Principal		
Lori Alexander-Moore Academic Coach/Program Monitor		
Svetlana Zayarchenko Bilingual Instructional Assistant		
Celina Laguna Bilingual Instructional Assistant		

Peter J. Shields Elementary School Virtual ELAC Meeting - Microsoft Teams

Date: Tuesday September 20th, 2022 **Time**: 3:30 p.m.

- Call to Order The meeting was called to order at 3:35 p.m.
- Welcome Introductions Mr. Bosque welcomed everyone and thanked them for attending.
- Share Agenda Mrs. Moore emailed a copy of the agenda in English, Russian and Spanish to parents prior to the meeting. Mr. Bosque also shared the agenda from his computer screen at the beginning of the meeting.
- ❖ Review & Approve Minutes of Previous ELAC Meeting Mrs. Moore reviewed the minutes from the May 16th, 2022 virtual meeting. Mrs. Moore made a motion to approve the minutes and Svetlana Zayarchenko seconded the motion. Minutes were approved.
- ❖ Introductions: In attendance were Ted Bosque (Principal), Lori Alexander-Moore (Academic Coach), Svetlana Zayarchenko (BIA), Celina Laguna (BIA) and parents: Olga Boger, Bogdan Lashchuk, Ana Pimentel and Claudia Garcia.
- COVID Information Update: Mr. Bosque noted that there had only been a few cases of COVID reported and that our attendance rate has been strong this year. Our school nurse is in charge of COVID protocols.


SPSA (School Plan for Student Achievement):

Mr. Bosque explained that the SPSA is a document that represents a school's cycle of continuous improvement of student performance. He shared his screen so members of the ELAC committee could see the SPSA plan as he scrolled through it. He showed members the Table of Contents of the SPSA so that members had a better understanding of all that is included in the plan.

Peter J. Shields is a Title 1 school. Mr. Bosque discussed why Peter J. Shields receives Title 1 funding (80% of our families are below a certain income level). We receive money from the Federal Government to help close the achievement gap. This money is to be used to help students, raise test scores and engage families, especially those of our English Learners. These funds are spent on our Academic Coach Mrs. Moore, supplies, Parent Engagement and Professional Development Training. Some of this money is used to give our Bilingual Assistant Celina Laguna more hours to help our English Learners. We also use Title 1 money for our Special Friends program which is designed as a prevention/intervention program to help primary grade children grow socially and emotionally so they are more effective learners and get along more successfully with their peers in school.

- The goal at Peter J. Shields (PJS) is to continue to assist all students to move towards proficiency in reading and math as measured by Oral Reading Passages (ORP), SIPPS Assessments (Systematic Instruction in Phonological Awareness, Phonics & and Sight Words), STAR Reading & Math Assessments, and other local assessment measures. He explained to the committee that since the California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress (CAASPP) was administered in the Spring of 2022. PJS will be able to utilize those scores to determine growth or proficiency.
- Mr. Bosque shared the CAASPP Scores from the 2021-2022 school year. In ELA: 39% of our students were at or above grade level with 61% of our students scoring below grade level. In Math 35% of our students were 35% at or above grade level with 65% of students below grade level. Mr. Bosque noted that due to COVID none of the 3rd, 4th or 5th graders who took the CAASPP last school year have ever taken the CAASPP before.
- Mr. Bosque told ELAC members that he would be emailing them a copy of the SPSA plan and LCAP plan to review. Mr. Bosque asked the parents to think about how we can serve EL students better. He encouraged parents to bring these ideas to him and to the ELAC committee.
- Public Comment/Questions: Celina Laguna (BIA) spoke about Saturday school for Spanish speaking students. Parents asked about Saturday School for Russian speaking students.

Adjournment



Peter J. Shields Elementary School

School Site Council Meeting Agenda

- Welcome/Call to Order
- Share Agenda
- Review/Approval of previous minutes
- Introductions
- COVID Updates
- SPSA
- Public Comment/Questions
- Adjournment

School Site Council Meeting Minutes Peter J. Shields Elementary School

Tuesday September 13, 2022 3:00 p.m. Virtual -Zoom Meeting

- Welcome Introductions
- Attendees: Ted Bosque (Principal), Alex Earp (4th grade teacher), Liz Leeper (Special Ed. Teacher), Lori Alexander-Moore (Academic Coach/Program Monitor), Denise Lopez (parent of Stephanie Lopez), James France (parent of Jackson France), Stacey Michelini (parent of Joshua Watson), Jennifer Menning Gochenouer (Parent Coordinator)
- Mr. Bosque reviewed the Agenda for today's meeting.
- Review/Approval of previous minutes not on hand at time of meeting will review at the next meeting Tuesday September 20th at 3:00pm (Virtual Meeting)
- Mr. Bosque reviewed SPSA Table of Contents, reviewed the goals for the 2022-2023 school year such as Goal 1 - Where we are spending Title 1 money, Goal 2 - Increasing Parent Engagement and Goal 4 - Increasing scores
- Mr. Bosque talked about CAASPP Scores in ELA and Math. He mentioned that none of the kids who took the CAASPP had never taken a state test because of Covid. He reviewed the overall performance of each grade level's test scores and will be using results to determine needs.
- Mr. Bosque talked about the new program that will replace the old i-Ready, it's called Renaissance.
- Mr. Bosque reviewed the Title 1 Federal Program funding and projected spending
- Mr. Bosque announced the return of Special Friends
- Public Comment/Questions
- Adjournment

School Site Council Meeting Minutes Peter J. Shields Elementary School

Tuesday September 20, 2022 3:00 p.m. Virtual - Zoom Meeting

- Welcome Call to Order
- Review/Approval of previous minutes Minutes reviewed from 11/21/2021 meeting read by Lori Moore, motion made to approve, James France seconded, minutes approved.
 - Minutes reviewed from 9/13/2022 meeting read by Lori Moore, motion made to approve, Liz Leeper seconded, minutes approved.
- Mr. Bosque discussed with council if everyone had reviewed the SPSA, review by council was confirmed, motion made to approve by Lori Moore, James France seconded, SPSA was approved.
- Mr. Bosque mentioned that councils next meeting will be February or March of 2023, date to be determined
- Questions
- Adjournment

Peter J. Shields Elementary School Site Council Meeting

Date: September 13, 2022 3:00-3:30 p.m.

Sign-In Sheet

Parent/ Guardian Name	Children's Names	Teacher
Jenny Hykes Jiang	Asher & Kian	Ritchert & Kingsbury
Stacey Michelini	Joshua Watson	Kingsbury
Denise Lopez	Stephanie Lopez	Kingsbury
James France	Jackson France	Martin
Jennifer Menning Gochenouer Jennifer Menning Gochenouer	Lucas Gochenouer	Earp
Staff Member/Position		
Ted Bosque/Principal		
Lori Alexander-Moore/Academic Coach		
Liz Leeper/Special Ed. Teacher		
Alex Earp/4th Grade Teacher		
Con		
Tracy McKnight/Admin Assistant		
SAMAAA		

Peter J. Shields Elementary School Site Council Meeting

Date: September 20, 2022

Sign-In Sheet

Parent/ Guardian Name	Children's Names	Teacher
Jenny Hykes Jiang	Asher & Kian	Ritchert & Kingsbury
Stacey Michelini	Joshua Watson	Kingsbury
Denise Lopez	Stephanie Lopez	Kingsbury
James France	Jackson France	Martin
Jennifer Menning Gochenouer Jerufu Menning Gochenouer	Lucas Gochenouer	Earp
Staff Member/Position		
Ted Bosque/Principal		
12		
Lori Alexander-Moore/Academic Coach		
Liz Leeper/Special Ed. Teacher		
Alex Earp/4th Grade Teacher		
Cry fr		
Tracy McKnight/Admin Assistant		
Jia MAX		