



# Alternative Language Services





#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**









Identification, Assessment and Placement	3
• Identification, Assessment and Placement for ALS Students	
Parent Communication	7
English Language Development Services	
English Language Development Services	8
English Learner Service Plan	9
• Elementary English Language Development Services	10
Secondary English Language Development Services	11
Student Services	13
Assessment, Exiting ALS Services and Monitoring MLL Students	
Yearly Assessment	15
<ul> <li>Determining Exit from ELD Services and Implementing MLL</li> </ul>	
Student Monitoring	17
ALS Staffing and Teacher Support	
ALS Staffing	19
Park City School District Alternative Language Services	
Professional Development	20
Utah State Rule	
ALS Program Evaluation	22
Alternative Language Resources (Appendix)	22
itterrative marigaage recoarded (/ ppc//d///	20

#### ALS Identification, Assessment and Placement



#### Identification

Responsible Person: Registrar/Secretary

#### Procedure:

- Registrar/Secretaries at each site print the Primary or Home Language Other than English (PHLOTE) form within 2 school days of receiving the enrollment.
- The PHLOTE form is given to the interventionists.

#### Documentation:

In the student's MLL File and Cumulative School Record File:

- PHLOTE Form
- Program Qualification Letter which will include:
- Student English Proficiency Level
- How the level was determined (WIDA Test Date)
- Methods of instruction proposed to increase language acquisition.
- Specific ALS exit requirements



#### Assessment

Responsible Person: Building ALS Coordinator

#### Procedure:

- The WIDA screener is given to all new students, within 30 calendar days of the first day of school.
- If the student starts after the first day, they must be screened within 10 calendar days of enrollment.

#### Documentation:

#### Initial Screener:

- · Results are scanned to the Administrative Assistant to Student Services.
- A Program Qualification Letter is emailed to parents, classroom teachers and a copy is placed in the ELL File.

#### WIDA-ACCESS test:

- Parent Communication: Student WIDA Score Sheet, and Program Qualification Letter.
- MLL File: Copy of Student WIDA Score Sheet and Program Qualification Letter.



#### **Placement**

Responsible Persons: Building ALS Coordinator, and Secondary Counselors
Procedure:

- If a language other than English is identified as the primary home language, the WIDA screener is administered.
- Students who score a Screener composite Screener level 1-4.9 are eligible for English Language
  Development services. Those who receive a composite score of 5.0 or higher on the WIDA Screener
  do not require EL services.
- The WIDA Screener is for initial placement purposes. ALL students identified as Language Learners will be given the official WIDA-ACCESS test yearly as required by the State of Utah

#### Documentation:

- · Parent Communication: WIDA Screener Student Score Report and Program Qualification Letter.
- MLL File: Copy of Student WIDA Screener Student Score Report and Program Qualification Letter.

# Student Identification, Assessment and Placement for ALS Students

#### **PCSD Mission and Vision Statement:**

Our mission is to inspire and support all students equitably to achieve their academic and social potential.

Park City School District is student-centered with a focus and emphasis on the whole child; our students are safe, engaged, challenged and healthy.

#### **Identification of Students needing Alternative Language Services:**

During registration, families will complete the information requested on the PHLOTE form. If the student speaks a primary home language other than English, the school secretary or Registrar will notify the ALS Site Coordinator that the student should be tested for possible English Language Development (ELD) services.

#### Registrar/Secretary Role:

- The Registrar/Secretary is responsible for initially identifying all students according to information acquired on the PHLOTE (Primary or Home Language Other Than English) form.
- The Registrar/Secretary will ensure that identified students are referred to the appropriate academic counselor and the ALS Site Coordinator within 2 school days so that the student may be tested by using the WIDA Screener and placed in classes appropriate to the student's language level.

#### **Required Documentation:**

- Home Language Survey (HLS, formerly the PHLOTE) form: a copy will be placed
  in the student's cumulative file and MLL file. The ALS Site Coordinator will keep
  a copy of the WIDA screener in the student's MLL file.
- The ALS Site Coordinator will create an ELL Qualification Form and send it to the Assistant to the Student Services at the District Office.
- Parents will be notified of the student's enrollment in language services or if a student qualifies to be exited from services within 21 days of WIDA Score Reports.
- Teachers will be notified of the student's WIDA level and be provided with CAN-Do Descriptors appropriate to the student's level.



# Student Identification, Assessment and Placement for ALS Students

#### **Assessment of Identified PHLOTE Students:**

If a student is identified through the Home Language Survey (HLS), the student will be referred to the ALS Site Coordinator //WIDA Coordinator and assessed for English proficiency by using the WIDA Screener.

#### **ALS Site Coordinator:**

The Coordinator is required to administer the WIDA Screener within 30 calendar days of enrollment within the first month of school, and within 10 school days of enrollment thereafter (Utah Rule).

#### **Assessment of Transfer Students:**

- Student from another district in Utah: If a student arrives from another district in Utah, school personnel should call the previous school to see if they have completed the WIDA, and request scores if the student reports were not transferred with the student's cumulative record.
- Student from another WIDA state: If a student arrives in Utah from another WIDA state and had previously reached a 4.2 Composite & 3.5 Speaking or higher on their ACCESS test, screening is required.
- Student from another non-WIDA state or another country: If a student arrived in Utah from a NON-WIDA state they need to be screened to determine initial placement or if ELD services are required.
- **Unusual Transfers**: If a student lived in Utah, moved out of state, then returned to an Utah school, do they need to be screened?
  - Yes, if it has been more than 2 years.
  - No, if it has been less than 2 years.

A student who has completed a PHLOTE form is not designated as ELL (English Language Learner) or LEP (Limited English Proficient) until they have been given a language screener or a previous WIDA assessment has been obtained.





# Student Identification, Assessment and Placement for ALS Students

#### **Placement of Students English Development Services:**

If a language other than English is identified as the primary home language, the initial English Language Proficiency screener is administered to confirm ELD Status.

- Students who score a composite ELP level 1-4.9 on the WIDA Screener 1-12 or WIDA Screener for Kindergarten are eligible for English Language Development services.
- Those who receive a composite score of 5.0 or higher on the WIDA Screener do not qualify for EL services.
- The WIDA Screener is only given for initial placement purposes. ALL students identified as Language Learners will be given the official WIDA-ACCESS test each year as required by the State of Utah.

Students and families who qualify for ELD services will be sent an English Language Services Qualification Letter within 30 calendar days.

The Program Qualification Letter (See Appendix) will include the following information:

- Student English Proficiency Level
- WIDA Screener or WIDA Access Test Date
- Methods of instruction proposed to increase language acquisition
- A copy of the Individual Language Development Plan (ILDP)
- A specific description of how a student can exit the ELD services.

#### **Protocol for Student Placement After Testing:**

#### The ALS Site Coordinator will:

- Provide WIDA screening and WIDA testing for each identified student to assess student need and set goals for language development.
- Schedule students with the intent to place students in classes taught by ESL endorsed teachers who have demonstrated mastery with scaffolding, differentiation and language instruction.
- Ensure that students who are pre-emergent or emergent language learners or students with gaps in formal education receive push-in support from paraprofessionals in core classes.
- If the student started after the beginning of the school year, ELD teachers/WIDA coordinators will notify the parents within 30 calendar days with the ELD Program Qualification Letter (See Appendix) will include the following information:

#### **School Counselor Role:**

The building counselor will:

- Work closely with the ALS Site Coordinator /WIDA Coordinator to ensure that English language development courses and core classes that fit the MLL student's needs and language goals.
- Be intentional about placing students who are language learners with core subject teachers who have an ESL Endorsement.





#### Parent Communication

By law, parents must be informed if their student is receiving supplemental services outside of the general education program. Parents also have the right to waive these services.

**Objective:** To notify parent/guardian annually of a student's placement and progress in an alternative language program.

**Timeline**: Letters will be sent home with the student upon determination of a student's eligibility for alternative language services by July 31. For those students who have not been identified at the beginning of the school year, they must be assessed within 10 calendar days from enrollment, and parents/guardians should be notified of placement in a language instruction education program within 30 calendar days of enrollment.

Person Responsible: ALS Site Coordinator and Academic Counselor

#### Training:

- Yearly training within WIDA website.
- District ALS staff will provide annual training for school registrar/secretary and ALS site coordinators.
- ALS site coordinators will also be responsible to attend monthly meetings with the district ALS Supervisor/Coordinator to discuss MLL issues at school sites.

#### Procedure:

- Schools must provide student test results for all assessments, as required by state mandates.
- Parents must be provided with a copy of the Individual Language Development Plan (ILDP) for their child.



#### **Parent OPT-Out of ELD Services**:

If parents choose not to have their child participate in language services,

- a Written Parent Refusal of ELL services is needed and required yearly. Copies of this form must be retained in the student's ELL file.
- When a student qualifies to exit ELL services, parents must be notified. Documentation of exit must be retained in the student's cumulative file.
- The Monitor Form should be used to review progress at the end of each term and for four years following a student's exit from the program.
- Anecdotal records including grades, interim test results, and other data to indicate students' progress (e.g., reading and math scores, district testing, etc.) should be shared with parents and appropriate faculty to inform the ILDP.
- If the parent has a complaint regarding their student's free language assistance they should be referred to the building principal.

<sup>\*</sup>Parents can not opt-students out of WIDA Access Annual Testing.

## English Language Development Services



Each school will address the needs of multilingual students as part of their Student Success Plan (SSP) and submit to the School Leadership Team, Superintendent, and Board of Education for approval, upon completion of the following steps:

- Consult with district ALS staff for information regarding appropriate models and programs.
- Review current school ALS accountability section in SSP.
- Review data, including the number of multilingual students per grade, languages spoken, English
  proficiency levels, and student performance data relative to the effectiveness of current program
  models and services and make recommendations or changes as needed
- Review all teacher and staff endorsements and degree of training.
- Consider approved models of instruction appropriate for the student population at the school, considering implications related to training, staffing, materials needed, and methods to evaluate progress.
- Select an approved instructional model (SIOP), implement it and hold educators accountable for its implementation. Consider the needs of MLL students when making program and staffing decisions, as it relates to school funding.

PCSD schools implement ALS programs in a manner that ensures that multilingual students have comparable access to educational facilities, programs, and services that are made available to all students. Multilingual students are assigned to appropriate classes according to their English proficiency level as assessed by the WIDA ACCESS and academic needs in accordance with the following guidelines:

- The number of MLLs assigned to the regular classroom (elementary or general education secondary level classroom) should not exceed 50% (or a percentage equal to the representative school population) of the total number of students in each class.
- To the extent that resources and facilities allow, the following guidelines for staffing with qualified personnel should be considered:
- ELD courses for students at the Entering (1) level should not exceed fifteen students.
- ELD courses for students at the Beginning (2) level should not exceed fifteen students.
- ELD courses for students at the Developing (3) and Expanding (4) Formerly (A Advanced) level should not exceed twenty-five students.

#### English Learner Service Pattern



**Objective**: All Multilingual Learners will receive a block of Tier 1 English Language Arts instruction. Differentiated instruction beyond the Tier 1 ELA instruction is designed and implemented for all learners. In addition to Tier I ELA, differentiated instruction is provided to homogeneous groups of students to extend learning, provide additional support or to provide intervention for students at risk or with identified skill gaps.

**Course Progressions**: The PCSD course progressions are designed to support Multilingual Learners. The progressions are differentiated based on school schedules and WIDA levels. The purpose of a progression is to provide students with a path to develop language competency while ensuring that all students feel academically successful and prepared for high school and beyond. Placement is fluid and should reflect WIDA levels, classroom performance and ongoing assessments.

#### **Persons Responsible**:

Identification: District Registrar, Site Registrar/Secretary, and ALS Site Coordinator

Screening: ALS Site Coordinator

Placement: ALS Site Coordinator, Academic Counselor

#### **Course Progressions**:

Progression 1:

- WIDA 1 and in a US School one year or less with interrupted schooling
- Interrupted Schooling: MLLs who have experienced interrupted education, or who have never had the opportunity to participate in any type of schooling before entering school in the US; or who have had a limited education due to lack of resources.

#### Progression 2:

- WIDA 1 or 2 and in US schools one year or less with prior formal schools
- Prior Formal Schooling: MLLs who have attended a formal school in the US or another country within the last twelve months. These students are fluent in the heritage language.

# Elementary English Language Development Services



#### **Elementary English Language Development, Placement and Instructional Plan:**

**Objective:** English language development (ELD) means instruction designed specifically for English language learners to develop their listening, speaking, reading, and writing skills in English.

Timeline: On-going

Person Responsible: ALS Site Coordinator

**Training:** Interventionists will obtain initial training based on the program chosen to use with our ELL students. After this, all new interventionists will receive training from the ESL Coach.

As a guide for all ELL students in the Park City School District. **Under the guidance of the Interventionists, ELL students will receive:** 

- 20-45 minutes a day 4 days a week for WIDA level 1s & 2s.
- Push-in support will happen with the support of the classroom teacher for ELL students who are WIDA levels 1-5.
- Classroom teachers will differentiate their lessons in Tier 1 based on each student's WIDA level and provide appropriate scaffolding.

#### Secondary English Language Development Services



#### Secondary English Language Development, Placement and Instructional Plan:

Students in grades 6-12 will receive language instruction (one full class period) appropriate to their WIDA level from a licensed and endorsed ESL teacher. The ALS Site Coordinator will work closely with general education teachers to ensure that all curriculum delivered is linguistically and developmentally appropriate for each student, as students will be mainstreamed in general education core classes. Teachers will collaborate and utilize an individualized language plan to ensure that the student is thriving academically. Sheltered Instruction will be offered, as needed, as will interventions. The student's overall academic success will drive all scheduling decisions.

The following process will help to ensure equitable access for multilingual students as they enter secondary schools:

- Counselors will review transcript (if available) of new multilingual students and begin the Student Education Occupation Plan (SEOP) process by meeting with the student, a parent/quardian, and interpreter (if necessary) to explain graduation requirements and SEOP.
- The ALS Site Coordinator and Academic Counselor will meet annually with the student, parent, and interpreter (if necessary) to check student progress and review and/or revise the SEOP.

The following options are available to students whose graduation is in jeopardy:

- Continued attendance at regular high school through completion of academic year of nineteenth birthday
- · Summer courses offered by the school or district
- · Attendance at after school or evening courses

#### Course Progressions and Placement in Park City Secondary English Language Development Grades 6-8:

Identification Factor	Suggested Course	Content
WIDA Score of 1-2 or Newcomer	☐ English Language Development - Level 1-2 (Beginning) Daily	<ul> <li>Students receive extension support for the general education content.</li> </ul>
WIDA Score of 2.1-3.9 (Screener/Access 2.0)	☐ English Language Development – Level 3-4 (Intermediate)	Teachers will reinforce content-related academic language and pre-teach core content in small groups in an extension class (Dream Big or Content Link)
WIDA Score of 4.1-4.9 (Screener/Access 2.0)	☐ English Language Development – Level 4-5 Advanced	

- Educators are intentional about placing students who are language learners with teachers who have an ESL Endorsement.
- Counselors and ALS Site Coordinator make efforts to place students with teachers who have demonstrated mastery
  with scaffolding, differentiation and language instruction.
- Students who score as pre-emergent or emergent on the WIDA or students with interrupted formal education receive push-in support from paraprofessionals in core classes.

# Secondary English Language Development Services



High school (Grades 9-12) students who test as Limited in English Proficiency will take classes from highly- qualified, ESL Endorsed teachers. Coordination of services is increasingly critical as students begin to work toward the completion of graduation credit. Specific English Language Development instruction is required in addition to courses required for graduation. The high school service plan will include ongoing support and monitoring of ALS services. These may include:

- ELD classes based on the student's language proficiency level. The district adopted curriculum for language instruction is English-3D, a research-based program designed for language learners AND
- ESL Language Arts and/or Reading courses with qualified teachers;
- · Sheltered core curriculum courses with qualified teachers.
- · Support in other courses by teachers who are trained in SIOP.

#### Course Progressions and Placement in Park City Secondary English Language Development Grades 9-12:

Identification Factor	Suggested Course	Content
WIDA Score (Screener/Access 2.0)	☐ 1-2 English Language Development ☐ 3-4 English Language Development	The ALS Coordinator works closely with the general education teacher to reinforce basic skills across the curriculum
WIDA Score of 1-4 or Newcomer Status	☐ Content Link Extension	Students receive extension/academic support to ensure access to the general education content.
Multilingual students wishing to take an AP course at PCHS:	□ Dream Big     □ Students must complete a Dream Big contract.     □ Students require a good attendance record.     □ Students must be able to attend a summer program.	These are students who are progressing or have reached proficiency (including long-term language learners, monitored or exited students). Students interested in enrolling in AP classes. Students on track to attend college.
Multilingual students with foundational deficiencies	☐ Miner Advantage	Students can recover lost credits. Students may obtain more support due to absences or behavioral issues. This class can also support students with time for class support.
Placement for Graduation	☐ Math Course ☐ Science Course ☐ ELA Course ☐ Social Studies Course ☐ CTE Coursework ☐ Fine Arts ☐ Electives	Dream Big     ELD     Content Link Extension     LIA (Latinos in Action)     Miner Advantage *These courses are all electives.
Newcomers	Newcomers will follow a course progression designed to keep them on a graduation track while reinforcing language acquisition.	Sheltered Instruction in Math, Social Studies and Science is recommended

#### Student Services

#### **Special Education Services:**

**Objective**: To ensure that culturally and linguistically diverse students are appropriately identified and served in Special Education Programs.

Timeline: Ongoing

Person Responsible: Interventionists, Classroom teachers, SPED Staff, Student Support Team (SST)

**Training**: Regular yearly training.

#### Procedures:

Determining whether or not an ELL student's difficulties in the classroom are the result of a disability, the challenges typical of second language acquisition, or other factors, is not an easy determination to make. These guidelines are intended to provide direction in the use of appropriate tools and procedures to eliminate possible factors and determine other causal factors for a student's difficulty. We have a responsibility to locate and serve students with disabilities and, at the same time, provide accurate assessments which would not incorrectly classify a student as disabled whose difficulties are the result of factors associated with second language acquisition, previous schooling, primary language literacy, or cultural differences.

During the pre-referral meeting with district-level SPED staff, ELL services must be considered when determining eligibility for Special Education Services. If an ELL student qualifies for SPED services, they may continue to receive ELL services as well until they exit the program. (See exiting section for more details).

Referral procedures for Special Education do not differ for ELL students. Please follow PCSD's SPED referral process.

#### **Pre-Referral Meeting:**

- Use the district form for SST.
- WIDA scores are available for review.
- PHLOTE is available for review.
- Student's ELD programming is available for review, including length, targeted skills, and outcomes.
- An ELL expert/interventionist is available to collaborate with the team.
- Work samples are available for review.
- All other documentation is available for review. The process for an ELL student to qualify for SPED services is no different than any other student.
- If testing is warranted, then the following must occur:
- All testing must be completed in their native language as well as English, when possible.



#### **Student Services**

#### Gifted (PACE) and Advanced Placement Education Services:

**Objective**: Identify and Encourage Multilingual Learners to participate in Advanced-level classes.

#### Timeline:

The secondary schools within the Park City School District have an open enrollment policy with regard to Advanced-level classes

Students who are recommended to the PACE teacher for gifted placement who have limited English are given a non-verbal alternate assessment. Teacher recommendations for enrichment services are granted for language learners.

There is a 8/9 Gifted Math class available at Treasure Mountain by taking a skills test and a non-verbal cognitive assessment. High school students self-select their educational pathway. The Bright Futures and Dream Big are available for Latino students who choose to spend time over the summer working with core teachers who pre-teach AP courses.

Person Responsible: Elementary Building PACE teacher, Secondary Advanced/Honors or AP Teachers

Training: Elementary PACE teachers are trained to proctor the gifted assessment. AP Teachers are trained to prepare students for the Advanced Placement Exams.



#### Yearly Assessment



**Objective**: To assess/evaluate the annual progress of (or lack thereof) previously identified ELL students and determine if the student has developed the English language skills necessary to succeed in the English curricula.

**Timeline**: Tested annually within the testing window set by the state office of education, typically done in February/March.

Person(s) Responsible: Trained and certified staff

**Training**: Staff involved with the administration of the annual WIDA-Access test will/must be trained yearly by qualified personnel.

**Procedure**: The assessment instrument used to evaluate a student's academic English language proficiency levels is the WIDA-ACCESS. This instrument has been designed specifically to test a student's language proficiency levels in the four domains of listening, speaking, reading, and writing.

#### **English Language Proficiency Levels**

- **1- Entering** The student has limited or no understanding of oral or written English, so he/she participates by listening. The student may demonstrate comprehension by using a few isolated words or expressions of speech. The student typically draws, copies, or responds verbally in his/her native language to simple commands, statements and questions. The student may understand the relationship between oral and written languages. The student is required to receive DELD, and continues to be assessed annually with the WIDA-ACCESS.
- **2- Emerging** The student has begun to understand that written language represents oral language. The student understands and responds to basic social conventions, simple questions, simple directions, and appropriate level text. He/she participates in classroom routines. In general, the student speaks, reads, and writes using single words, short phrases, or simple sentences with support. Overall, the student has a minimal expressive vocabulary. The student is required to receive DELD and continues to be assessed annually with the WIDA-ACCESS.
- **3- Developing** The student begins to understand and use more abstract, unfamiliar, academic, and formal language. The student participates in content area discussions and tasks. The student uses simple, comprehensible sentences and questions marked with developmental errors. He/she understands common words, phrases, and everyday topics; however, they need continued support to read and write more complex narrative and expository texts. The student is required to receive DELD and continues to be assessed annually with the WIDA-ACCESS.

#### Yearly Assessment



- **4- Expanding** The student understands and uses more abstract, academic, and formal language and literacy skills. He/she participates actively in most social and classroom tasks, using idioms and more content-specific language in speech and writing. The student reads and writes independently for personal academic purposes. The student reads and writes about various topics using different genres for a variety of audiences. The student is not required to receive DELD but must have an ILDP on file. The student continues to be assessed annually with the WIDA-ACCESS.
- **5- Bridging** The student is exited from the ELL program, having achieved fluency, and is participating fully in mainstream classes. Academic progress is monitored for a minimum of two years. For the purpose of calculating AYP, the student's scores are counted in the ELL subgroup for two years after exit. The student no longer receives federal funds. During the two-year monitoring period, the school may reevaluate the student to change proficiency level as appropriate. At the end of the two years, an exit date is attached along with the F designation. The student is no longer assessed annually with the WIDA-ACCESS.
- **6- Reaching** The student is exited from the ELL program, having achieved fluency, and is participating fully in mainstream classes. Reaching specialized or technical language reflective of the content area at grade level a variety of sentence lengths of varying linguistic complexity in extended oral or written discourse as required by the specified grade level oral or written communication in English comparable to proficient English peers

Recently arrived ELLs and/or students with significant disabilities may be administered WIDA Screener Paper with appropriate accommodations.

The WIDA-ACCESS is given annually to all identified English Language Learners (ELL) in the Entering, Emerging, Developing, and Expanding levels.

Testing may be done by any district employee who has been trained in WIDA-ACCESS testing procedures. Elementary interventionists, Secondary ELL teachers, and ELL specialists may assist in administering the test.

Adequate growth from year to year is determined by the state office of education (see page 20 of the <u>Utah Accountability Technical Manual)</u>.



# Determining Exit from ELD Services and Implementing MLL Student Monitoring

**Objective**: To determine if, once a student has reached the 4.2 Composite & 3.5 Speaking WIDA (Bridging; Fluent) Level, they should be:

- Reclassified from needing active/direct services to monitor status or
- Exited out of the Alternative Language Services program.

Timeline: (4) years of monitoring academic progress following a student exiting by Bridging.

Person(s) Responsible: Site MLL Coordinator, Principal, and School Counselor

**Training**: Annually, Interventionists and staff administering any WIDA assessment will receive WIDA training.

**Procedure**: Each identified MLL student will be tested annually using the WIDA ACCESS test. Once a student's composite score reflects fluency in all four areas of speaking, listening, reading, and writing, they are no longer tested annually.

Special Situations:

• If a student arrives in Utah from another WIDA state and previously attained a 4.2 Composite & 3.5 Speaking or higher on their ACCESS test, do they need to be screened? YES, to ensure whether they do or do not require ELL services in Utah.

**Parent Communication**: Once a student has demonstrated English language proficiency, parents and/or guardians shall receive notification that the student is to enter a (4)-year monitoring phase and will no longer receive direct English language acquisition instruction.

#### Monitoring:

- The student's academic progress will be monitored for a period of (4) years following reclassification to monitoring status.
- Student progress shall be reviewed at least twice yearly for (4) years.
- Classroom teachers, along with the site ALS Coordinators, will review each monitored student's academic progress to ascertain whether the student is, in fact, succeeding.
- The team will meet yearly to evaluate current student data to determine if the student meets or is below/above grade-level academic standards or benchmarks and complete the Monitoring Form by the end of the 1st Term.
- The monitoring form will be used yearly and updated in PowerSchool.

Students who do not demonstrate appropriate academic success will be referred to the ALS team, where a return to active/direct services or an evaluation for Special Education will be considered. If the student does not meet grade-level academic standards or benchmarks, the student will be placed on a Monitor Action Plan.



#### Determining Exiting from ELD Services and Implementing Monitoring Status

The Monitor Action Plan must be completed by the end of the 1st Term and reviewed in the 3rd Term. The student will then receive targeted support that may include but is not limited to:

- The student will remain in ELD services.
- Tier 2 Interventions as determined by the interventionist and ALS Coordinator.
- Additional training for general education teachers and staff, and/or
- Targeted ELD instruction in the core content area.

Students demonstrating academic success will continue to be monitored for a period of (4) years, at which time they will be exited from Alternative Language Services. Students will not be exited from the alternative language services program until they have demonstrated proficiency in English, as evidenced by a variety of assessments. An Exit Form documenting the exit procedure will be completed and placed in the student's cumulative file.

Parents will be notified by letter, email and or call that the child has been exited from the program and is no longer required to participate in the ELD class. The ALS Coordinator will share additional information and steps to parents about the monitoring efforts of the school to ensure the academic success of the child.

The ALS site coordinator, in collaboration with teachers, annually monitors the progress of students who have exited the ALS program using the Exit Plan. The student's SEOP, report card, and records of academic assessment results shall be considered. In the event that a former MLL student experiences insufficient academic progress, the student will be referred to the school or district-level ALS team to determine appropriate steps to remediate identified academic deficiencies. Recommended interventions may include, but are not limited to, strategies such as:

- Use of recommended in-class instructional techniques.
- Tier II Intervention in the specific content area.
- Support from ELD teachers.
- Provision of tutoring and/or academic counseling.
- Re-entry into the ALS program as necessary.

The ALS site coordinator, in collaboration with teachers and counselors, monitors the progress of students who have exited the ALS program using the Exit Plan (Appendix 5). Recommended interventions may include, but are not limited to, strategies such as:

- Use of recommended in-class instructional techniques.
- Tier II Intervention in the specific content area.
- Support from ELD teachers.
- Provision of tutoring and/or academic counseling.
- Re-entry into the ALS program as necessary



#### **ALS Staffing**



**Objective**: To ensure that PCSD has highly qualified staff to provide an appropriate, accessible and equitable learning experience for all multilingual students. PCSD holds all staff accountable for implementation of and fidelity to SIOP strategies across the secondary curriculum.

**Timeline**: This will be reviewed each school year.

**Persons Responsible:** PCSD Human Resources, District ALS Coordinator, WIDA Test Coordinator, Site-Based Coaches, ALS Site Coordinators, Support Staff and Building Administrators

**Training**: An ESL endorsement will be mandatory for all certified staff within the first three years of employment.

**Professional Development**: Ongoing and authentic professional development will be provided to licensed educators and paraprofessionals ranging from WIDA training (How to utilize the Can-Do Descriptors for differentiation) to SIOP (best instructional practice for all students).

**Staffing and Supporting ELD Student Programs**: ALS District Coordinator and Building Administrators will ensure that ESL-Endorsed, qualified educators are teaching ELD classes, at Elementary levels all supports will be under the guidance of the interventionists. Support staff will push-in to core classes under the supervision of the ALS Site Coordinator.

**Chief Student Services Officer**: The CSSO is expected to attend regular USBE meetings addressing roles, responsibilities, strategies, and program implementation for MLLs.

The ALS Site Coordinator oversees and coordinates data and record-keeping efforts at the site under the direction of the ALS District Administrator. The ALS Coordinator oversees and coordinates annual language proficiency testing (WIDA) at the site under the direction of an The ALS District Administrator. Site Coordinators oversee and coordinate student placement in appropriate core classes and English Language Development classes and are always represented on the district educational equity committee when intervention and accommodation decisions are made for MLLs. The ALS Site Coordinator must also be represented in the development of Individualized Education Plans for all students including MLLs in special education.

**ALS Faculty and Staff**: The faculty and staff at all schools are charged with providing English education instructional programs in accordance with ESSA and Title III guidelines. The faculty and staff are required to meet all highly qualified criteria for ESSA ESL providers. We support our staff in bilingualism, biculturaism, phonology, syntax, language acquisition pedagogy, language transfer, and parent community relations as related to MLLs.

All faculty advocate for implementation of SIOP in the general education setting under the direction of and determined by the site administrator.

General Education Faculty: All teachers are expected to be highly qualified under ESSA in their subject area(s) as well as knowledgeable and current in best practices for Multilingual Learners (MLL). General education faculty and staff are accountable to implement Structured Immersion Observation Protocol (SIOP) based instruction and to provide meaningful English language instruction and academic support.

General education and enrichment faculty and staff must be aware of the English language proficiency levels (WIDA level) of their students as well as the WIDA English Language Proficiency standards for all levels of their MLLs. All data at the site regarding enrichment, intervention, and after school programs should be disaggregated to include MLL and migrant student information in order to monitor achievement, equity, access, and work toward AMO and AMAO targets for each subgroup and aggregate at the school. The faculty must also complete Migrant Assessment and Performance System (MAPS) forms for migrant students in their classrooms.

#### PCSD ALS Professional Development



#### PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT

#### Introduction:

It is Park City Schools' goal to keep our teachers current with contemporary best practices for teaching English learners through district level and on-site professional development.

#### **District Responsibility**:

PCSD offers two ESL endorsement courses per semester. Our purpose is to encourage teachers to become endorsed through continuing education. The endorsement is provided at no charge. The courses are offered in a revolving way so that teachers can work as a cohort in attaining the endorsement.

#### **Courses Required for the ESL Endorsement**: (3 credits each)

- EESL 5300/6300 Foundations of Bilingual/ESL Instruction
- EESL 5310/6310 Understanding Language Acquisition and Cognition
- EESL 5320/6320 Assessment for a Diverse Linguistic Population
- EESL 5330/6330 Methods and Materials for the Bilingual/ESL Classroom
- EESL 5340/6340 Integrating Language Acquisition Into Content Instruction
- EESL 5350/6350 Family/Parent Involvement in Education

**ESL Certification Benefits**: Obtaining ESL training to achieve ESL Certification provides salary benefits and state ESL endorsement.

#### **Utah State Rule**



**Objective:** To ensure full transparency to each school community of State and Local requirements.

Timeline: Ongoing

Person Responsible: Chief Student Services Officer, Administrators, ALS Site Coordinator, and Classroom Teachers

**Training:** Each school principal will train their staff on R277-716 to ensure full transparency. The following items must be reviewed at the beginning of each school year:

#### **Purpose of Board Rule R277-716**

- Definitions
- LEA responsibilities
- Superintendent responsibilities
- Teacher qualifications

#### **Shared Responsibility**

- Review district ALS plan
- Identifying MLLs
- Providing consistency across Park City School District

#### **Site Level Responsibility**

- Home language survey
- · Language proficiency for program placement
- Uses valid and reliable assessment of a student's English proficiency in:
- Listening, Speaking, Reading, Writing, and Comprehension
- Establish exit criteria using Utah English Language Proficiency Standards
- After receiving Title III funds, determine type of services for identified students
- Implement an approved language acquisition instructional program
- Ensure all identified students receive English language instructional services
- Provide adequate professional learning opportunities
- Provide state and/or district approved curricular materials
- If there is evidence that a student with limited English proficiency has a disability, the staff shall refer the student for possible evaluation for eligibility under IDEA.

#### **Evaluation of ALS Program**

Analyze results and determine the program's success or failure;

If the state has identified a school for improvement, it must:

- Evaluate current practices
- · Modify program where needed
- Establish a process for MLL students who qualify under IDEA

#### **Teacher Qualifications**

An educator whose primary assignment is to provide English language instruction to a student learning English shall have:

- English as a Second Language (ESL) endorsement (Rule R277-520).
- · Advanced degree or certification in teaching ESL
- · Bilingual endorsement

#### **Program Evaluation**



Objective: To evaluate the effectiveness of the PCSD Alternative Language Services Program

**Timeline**: Annually (May of each school year)

**Person Responsible**: Chief Student Services Officer (CSSO), District Data Analyst, Principals, Instructional Coaches, ALS Site Coordinator and Classroom Teachers.

**Training**: The CSSO will review evaluations annually with principals.

**Procedure**: PCSD will conduct an annual evaluation of the district's Alternative Language Services Program. Data will be collected and maintained using ECRISS.

Classroom observations will be conducted 2-3 times yearly in the Tier 1 setting. These will be conducted by the Chief Student Services Officer, District ALS Coordinator and the Site Administrator. The principal may invite others to participate.

The End of Year Evaluation will consist of three parts:

- 1. Program implementation: The implementation report, requires that each school complete and maintain an accurate student documentation file.
- 2. Program self-evaluation: requires that each school complete any required School Compliance Report no later than the end of the school year. The following data will be collected and reported by each school:
  - A comparative longitudinal study
  - The percentage of limited English proficient students who have attained English
  - Proficiency (5 or 6) by the end of the school year.
  - The percentage of limited English proficient students who made adequate growth per the State formula.
  - The percentage of limited English proficient students who made growth in math and language arts as defined by Utah's accountability standards.
- 3- The Longitudinal Study, will be conducted annually to evaluate the effectiveness of the alternative language program. Each principal will gather this information to present to the Director of Student Services each school year in May.

Achievement and non-achievement data available from the previous school year will be used. Each year, data collection will include but is not limited to:

- · Identification of all new students
- English proficiency growth
- Individual student and school achievement data
- Other indicators that may be used to evaluate program effectiveness include attendance, dropout rates, referral to special programs, and State testing.

# Alternative Language Services Forms and Letters



<b>Forms</b>	and	<b>Letters</b>	•
--------------	-----	----------------	---

ESL Qualification Form	24
ESL Program Qualification Letter (Spanish)	25
ESL Program Qualification Letter (English)	26
Exit ESL Program Qualification Form	27
Tested Deemed Not MLL	28
Individual Language Development Plan	.29
Monitoring Action Plan	30



#### **Teacher Supports:**

WIDA Speaking Scoring Scale	. 3
WIDA Writing Scoring Scale	. 3

#### **Can Do Charts for Teacher Communication and Goal Setting**

•	Kindergarten	35
•	1st Grade	38
•	2nd-3rd Grade	41
•	4th-5th Grade	44
•	6th-8th Grade	47
_	Oth 19th Crada	ΕO





2700 KEARNS BOULEVARD PARK CITY, UT 84060

OFFICE: (435) 645-5600 FAX: (435) 645-5609

#### **ESL Services Qualification Form**

School:	ESL	_Teacher:	
In district transfer?	Student Inform	nation	
First Name:	Last Name	::	
Grade Level:		ent #: dent #:	
	District std		
ELL Program Entry Date:			
ELL Program Exit Date:			
LEP Instruction type:			
Initial Placement Design	ation (WIDA test sco	ore):	
WIDA Test Date:			
Specific Instructions /Add	itional Information:	Please enter	into the ESL

#### EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE



Director de informacion de PCSD: Andrew Frink

Firma de director de informacion de PCSD

Fecha:		
ID Alur	nno:	
Escuela		₹
Grado:		

000	OTTICE, [435] 043			Locucia.		
PARK CITY SCHOOLD ISTRICT	FAX:  435  645-56	09		Grado:		
Estimados Padres/	Apoderado:	Nombre Ap	ellido			
WIDA. Los resulta resultados, su hijo/s  Programa de recibirán instr	dos de dicha eva a califica para rec Inglés Segundo I rucción directa p	inglés de su estudiante ha s luación indican que el nive cibir los servicios del Progr nivel inicial Idioma Educación Primar ara el desarrollo del Lengu ofesores certivicados en Es	el de competencia de su rama ESL y ha sido ubic servicios co ia (ESL): Los alumnos d aje (ELD) en las áreas A	hijo/a es ado en el siguiente ontinuales de Primaria cuyo r Auditiva, Verbal, I	En base a estos programa. nivel esté entre 1.	.0 a 4.
a 4.9 recibirán tiempo de rec	la clase de ESL ibir instrucción	Idioma Educación Secund o clases planificdas para re directa en el Desarrollo del strucción de profesores ce	forzar el contenido cen Lenguaje (ELD) en las	tral de las clases ol áreas Auditiva, V	oligatorias al mis erbal, Lectura y	
otro 50% en u La totalidad de los p cada alumno. Dicho composición escrita para los estudiantes cumplir los estándar que sus compañeros profesores de cada d	in idioma global. rogramas incluye os programas se o , verbal, auditiva Emergentes Bilir res académicos ao s de habla inglesa	én Dual: En dicho progra en instrucción estratégica c lirigen a asistir a que cada a y lograr el éxito en todos lo gües (EBs) es lograr alcanz decuados y avanzar al próxi Para aquellos estudiantes bajarán en forma coordinad n incorporados a la instruc	uyo propósito es diferen dumno logre competendo es ramos que comprendo ar el punto de transición imo nivel, junto con grad que participan en ambo da con el fin de asegurar	iciar el nivel de con cia del inglés en las en el currículo acad n total hacia las cla duarse de high sch s programas, ESL y que los objetivos d	npetencia de ingl áreas de lectura, lémico. Las expec ses regulares exig ool al mismo tien Educación Espec	és de ctativa gidas, npo
Parámetros de salid inglés. El alumno de servicios del Progra	la programa ESI ebe cumplir los p ima de ESL: al gi	grama de servicios linguist : Para salir del programa : arámetros y lograr la comp aduarse de high school el r a sale del programa de ESI	se deben cumplir las no petencia respectiva del i nivel de puntaje debe es	dioma inglés para j tar entre 5.0 a 6.0	ooder abandonar conforme al exan	los
La fecha y exp	ectativa de salida	a del programa para su alu nación de su alumno es:		•	<del>-</del>	
ESL, deben dirigir s continuarán hasta q	u petición por es ue su estudiante usar a los servici	rehusar: Si tienen razones crito a la escuela de su hijo/ califique con un resultado o los es únicamente válido po	a declinando los servicio de salida de 5.0 o superio	os. Las evaluacione or en la evaluación	s anuales del leng WIDA y compet	guaje encia
The state of the s	Coordinador	resultados y puntajes de le ESL de su escuela. s.	e su hijo/a o el nivel Agradecemos el he			

Director de escuela:

Coordinador del programa de ESL:

25



Date:		I
Studer	nt ID:	İ
Schoo	l:	<b>T</b>
Grade	Level:	

To the Parent or Guardian of:			
	First Last	i .	_
Assessment. The results of this asses your child qualifies for ESL Program in Elementary English as a Second	ssment show that your student a services and has been placed i nitial placement ond Language (ESL) Program:	continuing placement  Elementary students whose proficiency level is to	1.0 - 4.9
ESL endorsed teachers using		nstruction in Listening, Speaking, Reading and W	riding by
be placed in ESL class/classe	s designed to give support to co	Secondary students whose proficiency level is 1.0 ore content areas as well as provide direct English ading and Writing by ESL endorsed teachers usin	Languag
Dual Language Immersion I 50% in the student's other w		ram receive about 50% of their instruction in Eng	ilish and
language proficiency. These program Writing in English, and succeed acad to fully transition into mainstream of graduate from high school at the sam	ms are used to help each studer demically in all core subjects. T lasses, meet appropriate acader ne rate as their native speaking chers of each department will c	I to differentiate for each student's level of English int reach proficiency in Listening, Speaking, Readi The expectations for Emergent Bilingual Students mic achievement standards for grade promotion, a peers. For those students who participate in both coordinate to ensure that the objectives of the India.	ng and (EBs) are and to a ESL and
	t must meet the following crite n graduation from high school	eria in order to achieve English language proficien or score a level 5.0 - 6.0 on the WIDA Access test ng language services	•
Your student's expected exit d Your student's expected grade		is:	
must submit a written request to dec	line to your child's school. Ar of 5.0 or higher on the WIDA	er your child not receive direct ESL program servi nnual language proficiency testing will continue t English language proficiency assessment. A refus ed each year.	ıntil your
If you have questions about your chil school. Thank you for sharing your		ent, please contact the ESL Coordinator in your c h us.	hild's
PCSD Chief Information Officer: An	ndrew Frink	School Principal:	<b>*</b>
1271		School ESL Coordinator or Interventionist:	
PCSD Chief Information Office	er (Signature)		₹



OFFICE: (435) 645-5600 FAX: (435) 645-5609

#### **EXIT ESL Services Qualification Form** School: ESL Teacher/s: Student Information First Name: Last Name State Student Id # (SSID): Grade Level: District Student Id #: ESL Program EXIT Date (last day of school year): LEP Instruction Type: EXIT Annual WIDA Test Score: Annual WIDA Test Date: 03 / 01 / Specific Instructions / Additional Information: Student qualified to EXIT ESL special programs with annual test score. school year = Monitor year 1 of 4.

#### EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE



#### Tested Deemed NOT ELL

School:	ESL Teacher:	
	Student Information	
First Name:	Last Name:	
Grade Level:	State Student #: District Student #:	
	NER score of 1st semester Kindergarten student is between 5.0 - 6 CREENER score for students in grades 1-12 is between 5.0 - 6.0.	3.0
ELL Program Exit Date (T	Test Date):est Date):est Date):est Date):eignation WIDA SCREENER test score (between 5.0-6.0):tional Information:	
·		
Please add the following	ng to the "Comment" section in PowerSchool:	

EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE

" WIDA SCREENER scores do not indicate that direct ELD services are required."



#### Monitoring Action Plan



	Programs (score)	from entry) graduation = 2033	(Grade)	Overall	Listening	Speaking	Reading	Writing	Growth Goal
			K-MODEL						
			WIDA						
			screener						
		<b></b>	20 ()						0.6 - 1.0
			20 ()						0.5 - 1.0
			20 ()						0.3 - 1.0
			20 ()						0.2 - 1.0
			20 ()						0.1 - 1.0
			20 ()						0.1 - 1.0
			20 ()						0.1 - 1.0
Domain Pro	Proficiency Level:	<u>e.</u> 		Domain	nain		_ Proficie	Proficiency Level:	
				1	0015	Tier 1 One to among the death and includes		-	

	K-MODEL				
	WIDA				
	screener				
	20 ()				0.6 - 1.0
	20 ()				0.5 - 1.0
	20 ()				0.3 - 1.0
	20 ()				0.2 - 1.0
	20 ()				0.1 - 1.0
	20 ()				0.1 - 1.0
	20 ()				0.1 - 1.0
Domain Proficiency Level:		Domain	Proficie	Proficiency Level: _	
Tier 1 Goal to support student achievement.		Tier 1 Goal to	r 1 Goal to support student achievement.	ement.	
Signature: Date:		Signature:		Date:	

#### WIDA Speaking Scoring Scale **GRADES 1-12**

For scoring only. To interpret ACCESS for ELLs and WIDA Screener scores or to evaluate classroom performance, see the WIDA Speaking Rubric.

Score point	Response characteristics
Exemplary use of oral language to provide an elaborated response	Language use comparable to or going beyond the model in sophistication     Clear, automatic, and fluent delivery     Precise and appropriate word choice
Strong use of oral language to provide a detailed response	Language use approaching that of model in sophistication, though not as rich     Clear delivery     Appropriate word choice
Adequate use of oral language to provide a satisfactory response	Language use not as sophisticated as that of model     Generally comprehensible use of oral language     Adequate word choice
Attempted use of oral language to provide a response in English	Language use does not support an adequate response     Comprehensibility may be compromised     Word choice may not be fully adequate
No response (in English)	Does not respond (in English)

#### Scoring processes

Select the score point that best describes the overall response relative to the qualities of the model

- Check to ensure each bullet point is met
- If not, check one level below

#### Scoring notes & rules

- For P1 tasks, assign a score of Adequate and above if the response includes more than one word in English. This includes an article plus noun (e.g., "a chair"), and words repeated verbatim from the model.
- For P3 and P5 tasks, students may take up and use language from the model and should not be penalized for this. This is particularly relevant for personal-preference tasks.
- At all task levels, simply repeating or reading all or part of the task question should be scored Attempted.
- At all task levels, responses of "I don't know" should be scored Attempted.

Off-task response: The response shows no understanding of or interaction with the prompt. It may answer another, unrelated task. A response that is entirely off task receives a score of Attempted.

Off-topic response: The response shows a misinterpretation of the instructions. An off-topic response is related to the prompt, but does not address it. (Note that this does not refer to task completion—for example, if a student is asked for 3 reasons and gives 1, this should be scored based on language use and is not considered off topic.) The maximum score for an off-topic response is Adequate. If any part of the response is on topic, the entire response is scored as on topic.



### WIDA Writing Scoring Scale GRADES 1-12

#### For scoring only. To interpret ACCESS for ELLs and WIDA Screener scores or to evaluate classroom performance, see the WIDA Writing Rubric.

#### Score Point 6

- Sophisticated organization of text that clearly demonstrates an overall sense of unity throughout, tailored to context (e.g., purpose, situation, and audience)
- S: Purposeful use of a variety of sentence structures that are essentially error-free
- W: Precise use of vocabulary with just the right word in just the right place

5+

#### Score Point 5

- Strong organization of text that supports an overall sense of unity, appropriate to context (e.g., purpose, situation, and audience)
- S: A variety of sentence structures with very few grammatical errors
- W: A wide range of vocabulary, used appropriately and with ease

 $\mathcal{L}_{I^{\oplus}}$ 

#### Score Point 4

- Organized text that presents a clear progression of ideas, demonstrating an awareness of context (e.g., purpose, situation, and audience)
- S: Complex and some simple sentence structures, containing occasional grammatical errors that don't generally interfere with comprehensibility
- W: A variety of vocabulary beyond the stimulus and prompt, generally conveying the intended meaning

3+

#### Score Point 3

- D: Text that shows developing organization including the use of elaboration and detail, though the progression of ideas may not always be clear
- S: Simple and some complex sentence structures, whose meaning may be obscured by noticeable grammatical errors
- W: Some vocabulary beyond the stimulus and prompt, although usage is noticeably awkward at times

2+

#### Score Point 2

- D: Text that shows emerging organization of ideas but with heavy dependence on the stimulus and prompt and/or resembles a list of simple sentences (which may be linked by simple connectors)
- S: Simple sentence structures; meaning is frequently obscured by noticeable grammatical errors when attempting beyond simple sentences
- W: Vocabulary primarily drawn from the stimulus and prompt

1+

#### Score Point 1

- D: Minimal text that represents an idea or ideas
- S: Primarily words, chunks of language, and short phrases rather than complete sentences
- W: Distinguishable English words that are often limited to high frequency words or reformulated expressions from the stimulus and prompt

D: Discourse Level

S: Sentence Level

W: Word/Phrase Level



#### WIDA Writing Scoring Scale GRADES 1-12

#### Scoring process

The 11 possible score points are as follows:

1 1\* 2 2\* 3 3\* 4 4\* 5 5\* 6

After reading the entire response, make an initial decision about which score point best captures the response as a whole (e.g., Score Point 3). Then, check the three descriptors (discourse, sentence, and word/phrase) for that score point.

- If all three descriptors are a good fit for the response, award the whole score point (e.g., Score Point 3).
- If there is clear evidence that one or two descriptors at a higher score point are a better fit, award a plus score
  point (e.g., Score Point 3\*).
- If there is clear evidence that one or two descriptors at a lower score point are a better fit, go down one score
  point and award a plus score point (e.g., Score Point 2\*).

#### Additional scoring rules

Nonscorable: The response is blank; consists only of verbatim copied text; consists only of text that is completely off task; or is entirely in a language other than English.

Completely off-task response: The entire response shows no understanding of or interaction with the prompt. It may be a memorized, previously practiced response or appear to answer another, unrelated prompt. A response that is entirely off task is nonscorable.

Completely off-topic response: The entire response shows a misinterpretation or misunderstanding of the prompt.

An off-topic response is related to the prompt, but does not seem to address it as intended. However, the response is clearly not a memorized, previously practiced response. These responses are scored in their entirety using the scoring scale; however, the maximum holistic score for a completely off-topic response is 2\*.

Partially off-task response: The response contains both off-task and on-task writing. These responses are scored by ignoring the off-task portion (which may be memorized and previously practiced) and scoring only the on-task portion using the scoring scale.

Partially off-topic response: The response contains both off-topic and on-topic writing (i.e., a portion of the response shows a misinterpretation or misunderstanding of the prompt). These responses are scored in their entirety using the scoring scale.



#### WIDA Writing Scoring Scale GRADES 1-12

#### Glossary

Awareness of audience: Words, sentence structures, and text forms that are deliberately selected to suit the intended readers of a response

Awareness of purpose: Words, sentence structures, and text forms that are deliberately selected to serve the purpose of a writing task

Awareness of situation: Words, sentence structures, and text forms that are deliberately selected for the situation in which the writing task is taking place

Chunks of language: Memorized strings of very simple language

Complex sentence structures: Sentences with more than a subject, verb, and possible object (for more information, see the Sentence Complexity Guidelines)

Discourse: Extended written language conveying multiple connected ideas

Minimal text: Letters, words, or chunks of language

Overall sense of unity: Unity within text and across ideas

Reformulated expressions: Paraphrasing and adaptation of language used in the prompt and stimulus

Simple sentence structures: Subject and verb, may include a direct or indirect object (for more information, see the Sentence Complexity Guidelines)

Vocabulary: Words and phrases





# CAN DO DESCRIPTORS BY DOMAIN, PROFICIENCY LEVEL, AND KEY USE OF LANGUAGE: KINDERGARTEN

By the end of each of the English language proficiency levels 1-5 English language learners can...

SPEAKING

LISTENING

Level 6 Reaching	Level 5 Bridging	Level 4 Expanding	Level 3 Developing	Level 2 Emerging	Level 1 Entering	Language Proficiency Level
						Students
<ul> <li>Identifying drawings or other visual displays from elaborate descriptions with details</li> <li>Identifying detailed information in oral discourse or through multimedia</li> </ul>	Arranging content-related objects or illustrations according to oral discourse with a partner discourse with a partner which partners from real objects or pictures based on detailed oral descriptions from a model (e.g., "Follow me, But two blue crayons on your table. Then put two red crayons, Now put two more crayons of another color.")	Role playing in response to illustrated stories read aloud  Matching extended oral descriptions of content-related topics to illustrations or graphics (e.g., "The bright yellow boil is shining in the sky.")	<ul> <li>Acting out songs, chants, stories and poems with gestures as a whole group</li> <li>Following sequential language for oral directions one step at a time (e.g., "Walk to the door. Now, come to the circle.")</li> </ul>	Responding with gestures to songs, chants, or stories modeled by teachers  Matching familiar pictures, objects, or moyements to oral statements (e.g., "Clap your hands.")	Pointing to pictures described orally in context (e.g., "the big dog")  Finding familiar people, places, or objects named orally (e.g., "Where's a chair?")	Process Recounts by:
<ul> <li>Recognizing language related to scientific or mathematical processes</li> <li>Identifying patterns in procedures or natural phenomena in illustrated stories read aloud</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Identifying illustrations related to cause and effect from oral information</li> <li>Reenacting procedural information obtained from videos or other media (e. g., "Show me how to make day and night.")</li> </ul>	Drawing individual phases or steps to "how" questions (e.g., "How does a caterpillar change into a butterfly?")     Pointing out illustrated details that match oral descriptions of cycles or procedures	<ul> <li>Identifying language associated with features of objects or print (e.g., "Show me a word in the title.")</li> <li>Following peer-modeled oral commands with a partner</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Matching real-life objects to illustrations about their use based on oral statements</li> <li>Identifying people and places associated with everyday events described orally (e.g., "It is Manday. You are at school.")</li> </ul>	o identifying illustrated activities described orally Following modeled actions to show likes or dislikes (e.g., using "or" words and phrases, "read" or "write")	Process <b>Explanations</b> by:
<ul> <li>Interpreting which side to take and why from dialogs or short conversations</li> <li>Identifying details of stories or scenarios read aloud that represent different points of view</li> </ul>	Agreeing or disagreeing with oral claims using gestures (e.g., "Tomorrow will be hotter than today.")     Identifying reasons for choices in real life scenarios read aloud (e.g., by circling pictures)	Drawing to make predictions from illustrated stonies read aloud (e.g., "What hoppens next?")     "What hoppens next?")     Classifying fact from fiction in oral discourse (e.g., through physical responses or sorting pictures)	<ul> <li>Acting out opposites using gestures (e.g., through songs or chants)</li> <li>Responding nonverbally to show agreement or disagreement with opinions of others (e.g., thumbs up, thumbs down)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Discrimnating between words and phrases related to personal choices (e.g., "The park or the 200?")</li> <li>Identifying oral preferences stated by others (e.g., choosing pictures or objects)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Identifying personal choices (e.g., "Show me your favorite") from different examples  Classifying everyday objects by descriptive features (e.g., red ones, blue ones)</li> </ul>	Process <b>Arguments</b> by:
Rea	_	П	0			
Level 6 Reaching	Level 5 Bridging	Level 4 Expanding	Level 3 Developing	Level 2 Emerging	Level  1  Entering	Language Proficiency Level
evel 6 aching	Level 5	Level 4	Level 3 Peveloping	Level 2 Emerging	Level 1 Entering	Proficiency Students
Retelling familiar stories, including key details with prompting and support     Describing details about characters, settings, and major events in illustrated stories with prompting and support	Relating school-based content and personal experiences with and personal experiences with personal experiences with personal experiences with personal experiences with a partner or information with a partner (e.g., class rules or routines) sridging	Retelling familiar stories through a series of pictures     Sharing personal stories or experiences with others (e.g., in multiple languages)	Retelling main events in short narrative stories to peers using pictures     Describing attributes of familiar objects, people, and places	Level  Restating some language associated with illustrated short stories or informational text (e.g., "see." "lheor.")  Re-enarting various roles when interacting in pairs or in small groups	Level Repeating words, simple phrases or expressions from familiar stories as a whole class whole chants, or poems using gestures or physical movement	
• •		•••	• •	• •	• •	Students

<sup>\*\*</sup>The descriptors in Level 6 represent the language performance of students who have met all the criteria for Level 5. Unlike the descriptors at Levels 1–5 that provide examples of performance at the end of the level, the descriptors at Level 6 are examples of performance within Level 6. There is no ceiling for level 6.

For three of the Key Uses (Recount, Explain, and Argue) you'll see descriptors for the four language domains (Listening, Reading, Speaking, and Writing). The descriptors for the Key Use Discuss are only shown for oral language. The Key Use Discuss highlights the importance of oral language development for meaningful participation of all language learners, regardless of their level of language proficiency.

The WIDA Can Do Descriptors, Key Uses Edition and the example descriptors are not exhaustive but are meant to help guide the planning and conversation around meaningful participation of language learners in standards-based contest curriculum, instruction, and assessment.

# WIDA

# CAN DO DESCRIPTORS BY DOMAIN, PROFICIENCY LEVEL, AND KEY USE OF LANGUAGE: KINDERGARTEN By the end of each of the English language proficiency levels 1-5 English language learners can...

# ORAL LANGUAGE

# READING

		ONAL PANADAGE
Language	Students	District by:
Level		
Level		Attending to the speaker to demonstrate
-		<ul> <li>Following routines, chants, and songs</li> </ul>
Entering		
Level		<ul> <li>Addressing others according to relationship (e.g., student-student, student-teacher)</li> </ul>
2		<ul> <li>Participating in exchanges between peers (e.g., thumb buddies, turn and talk)</li> </ul>
Emerging		
Level		<ul> <li>Working together collaboratively (e.g., taking turns, listening to others)</li> </ul>
ω		<ul> <li>Using language and body movement to include others in conversations</li> </ul>
Developing		
Level		<ul> <li>Proposing ideas to contribute to conversations</li> <li>Asking questions to request clarification</li> </ul>
4		
Expanding		
Level		Asking questions to extend conversations     Demonstrating active listening to show respect
Bridging 5		
Level		
6		Ballining on collingual responses of officers
Reaching		

				1
Language Proficiency Level	Students	Process <b>Recounts</b> by:	Process Explanations by:	Pro
Level		<ul> <li>Matching icons and symbols to corresponding pictures</li> <li>Identifying labeled real-life classroom objects (e.g., tables, books, door!</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Matching illustrations with modeled language with a partner</li> <li>Identifying steps in procedures from illustrations and icons (e.g., "It copes up. it comes down.")</li> </ul>	• •
Level 2 Emerging		Reproducing content-related information in oral text through drawings     Acting out familiar rhymes from text read aloud or chanted in small groups	<ul> <li>Identifying illustrated words or icons to show wity (e.g., in play-based activities)</li> <li>Following illustrated directions with a peer (e.g., to form shapes or patterns)</li> </ul>	002220
Level 3 Developing		<ul> <li>Identifying familiar words in context (e.g., in Big Books or wall charts) in small groups</li> <li>Recognizing persons and settings in illustrated text read aloud</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Pointing out causes or motives in illustrated stories read aloud</li> <li>Showing relationships depicted in informational text with real-life objects (e.g., "5 is more than 3.")</li> </ul>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Level 4 Expanding		<ul> <li>Identifying words in picture dictionaries (e.g., in multiple languages)</li> <li>Recognizing common types of text (e.g., storybooks, poems)</li> <li>read aloud</li> </ul>	Demonstrating the relationship between objects, people, or animals from detailed descriptions read aloud using gestures (e.g., "the big tall giraffe and the teeny tiny mouse")     Classifying how to resolve situations faced by characters or in content-related text using graphic organizers	\$ 0.0 x =
Level 5 Bridging		<ul> <li>Ordering words to form short sentences from oral models (e.g., using pocket charts, cards)</li> <li>Identifying language related to spatial relations (e.g., in front of, next to, in between)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Matching familiar descriptive phrases to objects or illustrations with a partner (e.g., steps in morning routines)</li> <li>Comparing how to do something in different ways from illustrated stories (e.g., making fruit salad)</li> </ul>	e E
Level 6 Reaching		<ul> <li>Identifying major events in stories with prompting and support</li> <li>Identifying main ideas and details in common types of illustrated text (e.g., trade books, rhymes)</li> </ul>	Drawing sketches or models to show how to solve problems read from illustrated informational text     Locating descriptive language related to "how" or "why" in illustrated text in small groups	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

<sup>\*\*</sup>The descriptors in Level 6 represent the language performance of students who have met all the criteria for Level 5. Unlike the descriptors at Levels 1–5 that provide examples of performance at the end of the level, the descriptors at Level 6 are examples of performance within Level 6. There is no ceiling for level 6.



# CAN DO DESCRIPTORS BY DOMAIN, PROFICIENCY LEVEL, AND KEY USE OF LANGUAGE: KINDERGARTEN By the end of each of the English language proficiency levels 1-5 English language learners can...

### WRITING

Stating information to answer modeled questions about experiences with guidance   Using new words and phrases acquired through conversations or oral reading in short illustrated sentences	Level  Describing everyday experiences using illustrated phrases and short sentences  Producing illustrated stories about self or family (e.g., using one or more languages)  Bridging	Producing familiar words and phrases from environmental print and illustrated text     Drawing and describing liferent parts of stories, personal experiences, or events (e.g., written conversations) with a peer	Reproducing familiar words from labeled models or illustrations (e.g., labeled dilorana).  Restating facts about personal experiences shared with classmates (e.g., through illustrated text)	Level  Reproducing symbols, numbers, and illustrated words from models in context and Drawing and labeling familiar people, objects, or events from models (e.g., word walls, posters, cords)	Level  Dictating personal information scribed by adults (e.g., about self and family members)  Reproducing icons or environmental print related to self from models	Proficiency Level Students Recount by:
Stating steps of familiar routines or events by drawing, neudance dictating, and writing     Responding to "how" questions and suggestions from peers, with guidance from adults, to add details to text	Describing uses of tools or objects with a peer (e.g., from illustrated phrase walk)  It self or Sequencing content-related processes by drawing and describing objects (e.g., from seeds to plants)  describing objects (e.g., from seeds to plants)	Describing how to do something through a sequence of pictures and words pictures and words pictures and words     Composing group drafts on different processes based on oral input or experiences modeled by teachers or	<ul> <li>Describing familiar events or phenomena using sentence starters and drawings</li> <li>Identifying self as an author through pictures and invented words (e.g., by keeping a journal)</li> </ul>	Connecting oral language to print (e.g., through context language experience)  Proposed in the context of the	Describing familiar routines by drawing pictures and dictating to adults (e.g., in one or more languages)  ntal print     Drawing what comes next (e.g., in stories or experiments)	Explain by:
Composing opinion pieces using content-related language with prompting and support     Making claims using content-related language about topics or books (e.g., dictated to adults)	<ul> <li>Making requests to indicate preferences (e.g., "Con I have?")</li> <li>Listing reasons for content-related choices with guidance and support (e.g., "Why do you like number 5?")</li> </ul>	Producing statements about choices using different models as examples (e.g., "I want to "".")  Building short sentences from personal preferences using pictures or photos with partners	<ul> <li>Agreeing or disagreeing with choices (e.g., producing "yes" or "no") from models</li> <li>Completing text about personal opinions on different topics (e.g., "I like")</li> </ul>	Drawing and reproducing words about preferences (e.g., from charts or posters)     Stating personal choices from models (e.g., labeling photos or drawings of self)	Illustrating likes or dislikes from real-life objects or pictures:     Drawing or making collages about personal interests or content-related topics in small groups	Argue by:

The WIDA Can Do Descriptors, Key Uses Edition provides examples of academic language use for four specific communicative purposes. These purposes, referred to as Key Uses, were identified based on reviews of literature and a language analysis of college and career readiness standards:

KEY USE	KEY USE DEFINITION	EXAMPLES
Recount	Recount To retell to display knowledge or narrate experiences or events	telling or summarizing stories, producing information reports, and sharing past experiences, stating the steps to make something, describing experiences, ordering steps to get an answer
Explain	To clarify the "why" or the "how" of ideas, actions, or phenomena	describing life cycles, sharing why or how things work, stating causes and effects, sharing results of experiments, stating consequences of behaviors, describing factors that contribute to events, examining relationships among content-related ideas and concepts
Argue	To persuade by making claims supported by evidence	stating preferences or opinions, constructing arguments supported with evidence, critiquing the reasoning of others, giving reasons for a stance
Discuss	To interact with others to build meaning and share knowledge	Participating in small or large group activities and projects, contributing ideas to a conversation, extending knowledge with a mentor, elaborating ideas with peers, questioning and critiquing ideas in small groups
	Con Do Donatistone Karrillan Falishan and Laboratoria	

### The WIDA Can Do Descriptors, Key Uses Edition can help....

- Differentiate curriculum, instruction, and assessments designed in English based on language learners' levels of English language proficiency
   Collaborate and engage in instructional conversations about the academic success of language learners in English environments
   Advocate for equitable access to content for language learners based on their level of language proficiency



### CAN DO DESCRIPTORS BY DOMAIN, PROFICIENCY LEVEL, AND KEY USE OF LANGUAGE: GRADE 1 By the end of each of the English language proficiency levels 1-5 English language learners can...

LISTENING

Proficiency Student

SPEAKING

					4	nts
<ul> <li>Matching relevant details to main ideas presented in oral discourse</li> <li>Identifying different genres though multiple readings of text by adults (e.g., rhymes, stories, informational text)</li> </ul>	Constructing models based on instructions from extended oral discourse with a partner     Following multistep oral directions during content-related activities	Identifying characters, plots, and settings from oral stories     Finding details in illustrated narrative or informational text read aloud	<ul> <li>Sequencing pictures of stories read aloud (e.g., beginning, middle, end)</li> <li>Following modeled oral instructions related to content</li> </ul>	Acting out oral statements using manipulatives or real-life objects.     Pointing to objects, characters or places from oral descriptions	Mimicking gestures or movement associated with oral commands     Matching key words or expressions in songs, chants, and poems to illustrations	Process Recounts by:
Identifying details from oral descriptions of processes or procedures     Representing ideas from oral discussions or multimedia	Organizing causes and effects of various phenomena presented orally     Using strategies and procedures shared by peers	Following illustrated content-related procedures shared orally     Organizing real-life objects based on oral comparisons	Following peer statements to create projects     Identifying illustrated cycles or processes described orally	Classifying real-life objects according to their function based on oral directions     Interpreting oral descriptions and matching them to illustrations	<ul> <li>Identifying real-life objects based on descriptive oral phrases or short sentences</li> <li>Pointing to objects or people reflective of content-related vocabulary (e.g., family members)</li> </ul>	Process Explanations by:
Identifying reasons authors give to support points in text read aloud     Distinguishing opinions from reasons in oral discourse	<ul> <li>Identifying claims and reasons from oral discourse</li> <li>Identifying reasons for choices from oral stories</li> </ul>	Organizing information from oral comparisons of people or objects     Identifying claims about real-life objects or events based on observations or experiences	Classifying objects according to descriptive oral statements     Following conditional directions (e.g., "Raise two hands if you like ice cream.")	<ul> <li>Evaluating options to make personal choices from oral simple sentences</li> <li>Signaling agreement or disagreement with short oral statements using gestures (e.g., "Today is Monday," "Cigo one time for yes. Ciap two times for no.")</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Answering questions about likes and preferences</li> <li>Identifying words or phrases that express opinions</li> </ul>	Process <b>Arguments</b> by:
Level 6 Reaching	Level 5	Level 4 Expanding	Level 3 Developing	Level 2 Emerging	Level  1  Entering	Language Proficiency Level
						Students
Producing discourse appropriate to task and situation     Rehearsing content-related presentations with peers	Presenting information on content-related topics     Sharing details about personal experiences with peers and adults	Restating information with some details     Summarting a series of familiar events or routines	Retelling simple stories from picture cues     Participating in dialog with peers on familiar topics	Stating content-related facts in context (e.g., playing telephone)  Describing characters or places in picture books	Repeating words, phrases and memorized chunks of language related to different topics     Answering yes or no questions about stories or experiences	Recount by:
Asking and answering content-related "how" and "why" questions     Expressing connected ideas with supporting details	Stating conditions for cause and effect (e.g., "If it rains, I play inside.")     Elaborating on details of content-related procedures	Connecting ideas by building on guided conversations with peers     Describing in detail the function of objects or roles of people	Stating associations between two objects, people, or events (e.g., "Lidia is my sister and Lisa is my sister.")     Telling why something happened	Demonstrating how to do something using gestures or real-life objects (e.g., tie a bow)      Describing what people do from action pictures (e.g., jobs of community workers)	Answering questions with words or phrases (e.g., "Go washroom.")     Describing pictures or classroom objects	Explain by:
Defending solutions to simple problems     Elaborating reasons to justify content-related ideas	Comparing and contrasting content-related ideas (e.g., "Winter is hot in Howeli. Winter is cold in Alaska.") Providing evidence for specific claims	Justifying the use of objects for particular purposes     Supporting content-related ideas with examples	Describing characters or objects using pictures or actions     Stating choices of materials or supplies and reasons for their selection	Responding to short statements or questions about choices (e.g., "Jam sure." "Jam not sure.")     Stating likes and dislikes to participate in conversations with peers	Expressing preferences in naming and pointing to objects     Repeating language to express agreement or disagreement.	Argue by:

<sup>\*\*</sup>The descriptors in Level 6 represent the language performance of students who have met all the criteria for Level 5. Unlike the descriptors at Levels 1–5 that provide examples of performance at the end of the level, the descriptors at Level 6 are examples of performance within Level 6.

Bridging

5 Level

Level 6

Expanding

**4** 

Developing

ယ မူ

Emerging

Level

Entering

Level

For three of the Key Uses (Recount, Explain, and Argue) you'll see descriptors for the four language domains (Listening, Reading, Speaking, and Writing). The descriptors for the Key Use Discuss are only shown for oral language. The Key Use Discuss highlights the importance of oral language development for meaningful participation of all language learners, regardless of their level of language proficiency.



# CAN DO DESCRIPTORS BY DOMAIN, PROFICIENCY LEVEL, AND KEY USE OF LANGUAGE: GRADE 1 By the end of each of the English language proficiency levels 1-5 English language learners can...

### ORAL LANGUAGE

### READING

 <ul> <li>Sustaining conversations by responding to comments made in multiple exchanges</li> <li>Asking and answering questions about key details in social and academic contexts</li> </ul>		Level 6
Asking and answering questions to maintain conversations     Elaborate on someone else's comments to participate in conversations		Level 5 Bridging
Using intonation appropriate for the purposes of communication     Restating statements to clarify ideas		Level 4 Expanding
<ul> <li>Asking clarifying questions</li> <li>inviting others to participate</li> </ul>		Level  3  Developing
Following along familiar routines of small and large groups     Recognizing different types of intonation used by speakers		Level 2 Emerging
Tracking the speakers to demonstrate understanding     Sharing pictures, created work, or visuals to contribute to conversations		Level  1  Entering
 Discuss by:	Students	Language Proficiency Level

Level 6 Reaching	Level 5	Level 4 Expanding	Level 3 Developing	Level 2 Emerging	Level 1 Entering	Language Proficiency Level
						Students
<ul> <li>Identifying who is telling the story at various points in texts</li> <li>Matching original text to paraphrased versions</li> </ul>	Distinguishing among characters, settings, and events in narratives     Reconstructing texts read orally using drawings or reenacting text with performances	Identifying the main topic of texts     Ordering illustrations based on sequence of events from texts read aloud	<ul> <li>Identifying Wh- words in questions (e.g., who, what, when)</li> <li>Recalling content-related information from illustrated texts read aloud</li> </ul>	Pointing to icons, letters, or illustrated words that represent ideas     Identifying repetitive words and phrases in texts	<ul> <li>Using pictures and illustrations to identify themes or storylines</li> <li>Matching vocabulary to illustrated stories</li> </ul>	Process <b>Recounts</b> by:
Identifying phrases rel effect     Identifying actions in a poems	<ul> <li>Ordering α events acα information texts</li> <li>Identifying content-relevents from explanator</li> </ul>	Finding det narrative o texts read :     Identifying in oral stor	<ul> <li>Sorting illuwords and categories</li> <li>Matching I: illustration "why" que:</li> </ul>	Matching c or heading text     Identifying illustration questions	Identifying illustrated to with a part     Sorting objusted their use be illustration.	Process Ex



### CAN DO DESCRIPTORS BY DOMAIN, PROFICIENCY LEVEL, AND KEY USE OF LANGUAGE: GRADE 1 By the end of each of the English language proficiency levels 1-5 English language learners can...

### WRITING

Language Proficiency Level	Students	Recount by:	Explain by:	Argue by:
Level		Forming words using a variety of strategies     Answering Who oral questions or using     The strategies	Designing, drawing and labeling content-specific models     Identifying topics through	Indicating agreement with opinions of others using labeled drawings     Drawing icons or symbols to represent
Entering		icons to plan stories	photographs, illustrated word walls, or software	preferences
Level		Providing information in graphic	Labeling and illustrating observations	Producing simple sentences from
2		Presenting content related information	Describing people, places, or objects	(e.g., "I like, I don't like")
Emerging		labeling visuals or graphics	from illustrated examples	<ul> <li>Supplying facts about topics</li> </ul>
Level		Describing feelings or reactions to	Classifying illustrated words and	<ul> <li>Participating in interactive journals</li> </ul>
w		<ul> <li>Recalling information from events or</li> </ul>	phrases into groups (e.g., "Animals that fly. Animals that swim.")	<ul> <li>Stating preferences related to social</li> </ul>
Developing		experiences	<ul> <li>Comparing real-life objects, numbers, or animals using models</li> </ul>	and academic topics (e.g., "I want to go")
Level		Producing a series of related sentences     from transition word starters (e.g.,	Describing models related to	Describing patterns in processes and
4		first, next, last)	or real-life	Stating reasons for particular claims or
Expanding		<ul> <li>Describing observations firsthand or from media</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Expressing feelings and a reason related to situations or events</li> </ul>	opinions in content-related topics
Level		<ul> <li>Composing stories or narratives using sequential language</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Describing causes and effects of actions and strategies</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Providing simple edits to peers' writing</li> </ul>
G		<ul> <li>Editing personal narratives based on criteria for success</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Sequencing steps in solving problems using short sentences, illustrations.</li> </ul>	with examples
Bridging			and symbols	
Level		<ul> <li>Producing narratives with at least two sequential events</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Predicting how stories, events, or situations might end</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Using persuasive language in a variety of sentences</li> </ul>
σ Section σ		<ul> <li>Producing narrative sequences from timelines and labeled drawings</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Producing texts that can name a topic and supply topic-related facts</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Producing opinion pieces by stating an opinion and providing a connected reason</li> </ul>

The WIDA Can Do Descriptors, Key Uses Edition provides examples of academic language use for four specific communicative purposes. These purposes, referred to as Key Uses, were identified based on reviews of literature and a language analysis of college and career readiness standards:

KEY USE	KEY USE DEFINITION	EXAMPLES
Recount	Recount To retell to display knowledge or narrate experiences or events	telling or summarizing stories, producing information reports, and sharing past experiences, stating the steps to make something, describing experiences,
		ordering steps to get an answer
Explain	To clarify the "why" or the "how" of ideas, actions, or phenomena	describing life cycles, sharing why or how things work, stating causes and effects, sharing results of experiments, stating consequences of behaviors,
-		describing factors that contribute to events, examining relationships among content-related ideas and concepts
Argue	To persuade by making claims supported by evidence	stating preferences or opinions, constructing arguments supported with evidence, critiquing the reasoning of others, giving reasons for a stance
Discuss	To interact with others to build meaning and share knowledge	Participating in small or large group activities and projects, contributing ideas to a conversation, extending knowledge with a mentor, elaborating ideas
	to meet acc ment octobro so want intenting and strate violence acc	with peers, questioning and critiquing ideas in small groups

### The WIDA Can Do Descriptors, Key Uses Edition can help....

- Collaborate and engage in instructional conversations about the academic success of language learners in English environments

Differentiate curriculum, instruction, and assessments designed in English based on language learners' levels of English language proficiency

Advocate for equitable access to content for language learners based on their level of language proficiency

### Generously created for WIDA by Becky Linderholm

Student Name Chart may be copied and distributed for nonprofit educational use only. © 2016 Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System, on behalf of WIDA. The Can Do Descriptors, Key Uses Edition – Fillable



### CAN DO DESCRIPTORS BY DOMAIN, PROFICIENCY LEVEL, AND KEY USE OF LANGUAGE: GRADES 2-3 By the end of each of the English language proficiency levels 1-5 English language learners can...

### LISTENING

Language Proficiency

Level

### SPEAKING

		ळ्	8	QQ .	and the second s	Š e
						Students
<ul> <li>Identifying key ideas or details from texts read aloud or information presented or ally</li> <li>Determining the main ideas and supporting details of texts read aloud or information in diverse media and formats</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Identifying details of content-related topics from oral discourse</li> <li>Making designs or models following oral directions and specifications (e.g., maps, origami)</li> </ul>	situations or events from oral descriptions  e Identifying content-related ideas from oral discourse using multi-media (e.g., retracing steps of a process)	Identifying linking words or phrases related to passage of time in speech (e.g., "on Monday" "the next day")     Illustrating events in response to audio recordings of stories or poems	e Identifying the "who," "where" and "when" of illustrated statements • Identifying main materials or resources from oral descriptions	<ul> <li>Showing what happens next based on familiar oral stories (e.g., by pointing or drowing)</li> <li>Drawing or providing other visual displays of people, animals, or objects in response to oral prompts</li> </ul>	Process Recounts by:
Distinguishing shades of meaning among closely related words in oral discourse (e.g., jump/leap, huge/enormous)     Comparing strategies from extended oral discourse	Identifying the purpose of language/the message in each content area of short oral directions to create models of content-area phenomena or processes	Identifying connectors in speech or text read aloud clentifying content-related ideas and details in oral discourse	Carrying out steps described orally to solve problems     Completing graphic organizers or representations from oral comparisons	Matching oral descriptions to photos, pictures, or icons     Following simple sequences presented orally to create patterns or sequences	Pointing to visual     characteristics of models or     real-life objects from oral     clues     Pairing objects, pictures, or     equations as directed by a     partner	Process Explanations by:
ldentifying evidence to support claims/ opinions from multimedia Following agreed-upon rules for discussions around differing opinions	Comparing oral arguments with representations and models:     Identifying claims in oral presentations	Interpreting oral information from different sides     Identifying opposing sides of arguments in dialogues	Identifying similarities and differences from oral content-related materials or equipment     Identifying different points of view in short oral dialogues	Distinguishing opinions from facts from peers' oral presentations:     Categorizing content-based pictures or objects from oral descriptions (e.g., "animals that form groups to help members survive")	<ul> <li>Indicating personal points of view in response to oral phrases or short sentences (e.g., by thumbs up/thumbs down; agree/disagree cards)</li> <li>Identifying preferences from short oral statements</li> </ul>	Process <b>Arguments</b> by:
Level 6 Reaching	Level 5	Level 4 Expanding	Level 3 Developing	Level 2 Emerging	Level  1  Entering	Language Proficiency Level
						Students
Providing descriptive details of content-related information or activities     Naming the steps for producing multimedia presentations with some detail	Describing main ideas of content-related information     Asking and answering questions about information from speakers	Sequencing events in stories with temporal transitions (e.g., "4fer the sunset")     Describing situations and events from school and the community	Retelling simple stories from picture cues     Stating information from stream or school-related experiences	Reproducing facts or statements in context     Participating in multi-media presentations based on research	Responding to questions related to stories or experiences (e.g., "Who came to the door?")     Acting out and naming events or experiences throughout the school day	Recount by:
Elaborating on ideas in light of conversations among students:     Synthesizing main ideas from supporting details of text read aloud or information obtained from diverse media	Connecting ideas in content-related presentations     presentations on the cause of various phenomena (e.g., shooting stors, sunsets)	Stating details of processes or procedures     Describing consequences of behaviors or occurrences	Describe relationships between objects or uses for tools     Expressing cause and effect     of behaviors or events	Naming steps in processes or procedures     Describing familiar phenomena in words or phrases	<ul> <li>Describing the outcomes of experiments or stories with guidance and visual support Naming or answering Who- questions related to classroom routines (e.g., "What do we do now?")</li> </ul>	Explain by:
Connecting personal comments to the remarks of others to build a case for ideas or opinions     Summarizing ideas or opinions from two sides	Expressing and supporting different ideas with examples     Providing evidence to defend own ideas	Defend claims or opinions to content-related topics     Posing different solutions to content-related issues or problems	a Describing organizing categories for content-related information (e.g., /isi/birds, forests/ deserts)  - Asking and answering questions in collaborative groups	Telling what comes next and showing why     Sharing reasons for opinions or claims (e.g., science experiments)	Stating a claim or position from models or examples Sharing facts as evidence using sentence starters or sentence frames	Argue by:
	Distinguishing shades of meaning among closely related words in oral discourse (e.g., jump/leap, huge/ enormous)     Comparing strategies from cattering opinions around extended oral discourse      extended oral discourse	<ul> <li>Identifying the purpose of language/the message in with representations and each content area with representations and models.</li> <li>Following a series of short or crate and discourse (e.g., jump/leap, higgs enough or or lated words in oral discourse (e.g., jump/leap, higgs enough or or extended or all discourse (e.g., jump/leap, for discussions around differing opinions extended or all discourse (e.g., jump/leap, for discussions around differing opinions.)</li> <li>Identifying evidence to support claims/ opinions for discussions around differing opinions.</li> <li>Eaborating on ideas in content-related information of content-related presentations.</li> <li>Elaborating on ideas in content-related information of content-related presentations.</li> <li>Elaborating on ideas in inlight of content-related information or activities.</li> <li>Symthesizing main ideas of content-related information or activities.</li> <li>Symthesizing main ideas in content-related information or activities.</li> <li>Symthesizing main ideas in content-related information or activities.</li> <li>Symthesizing main ideas of content-related information or activities.</li> <li>Symthesizing main ideas in content-related information or activities.</li></ul>	Identifying connectors in studious or events from different sides   Stating details of processes   Stating details of proc	John Programment   John Progra	Matching and descriptions to   Matching and descriptions to   Matching and descriptions to   Matching and descriptions to   Matching and descriptions	Shaning what hispers met.   Proteining to valual

Level
2
Emerging

Entering

**L**evel

Bridging

Level

6

**Մ** են

Expanding

**4** 

Developing

ယ

Reaching

For three of the Key Uses (Recount, Explain, and Argue) you'll see descriptors for the four language domains (Listening, Reading, Speaking, and Writing). The descriptors for the Key Use Discuss are only shown for oral language. The Key Use Discuss highlights the importance of oral language development for meaningful participation of all language learners, regardless of their level of language proficiency.

<sup>\*\*</sup>The descriptors in Level 6 represent the language performance of students who have met all the criteria for Level 5. Unlike the descriptors at Levels 1-5 that provide examples of performance at the end of the level, the descriptors at Level 6 are examples of performance within Level 6.



### CAN DO DESCRIPTORS BY DOMAIN, PROFICIENCY LEVEL, AND KEY USE OF LANGUAGE: GRADES 2-3 By the end of each of the English language proficiency levels 1-5 English language learners can...

### ORAL LANGUAGE

Level 6 Reaching	Level 5 Bridging	Level 4 Expanding	Level 3 Developing	Level 2 Emerging	Level  1  Entering	Language Proficiency Level
						Students
Sharing topic-related information     Building on remarks of others by linking comments     Maintaining audience engagement through specific language and body movement	Initiating and maintaining conversations     Challenging ideas respectfully     Listening to, building, and extending ideas	Expressing own ideas and supporting ideas of others     Proposing new solutions to resolve conflict in small groups	Negotiating agreement in small groups     Expressing own ideas consistent with the topic discussed	Asking yes or no questions to request clarification     Recognizing how different intonation conveys different meanings	Expressing own ideas through drawings, gestures, words and phrases     Expressing agreement/ disagreement nonverbally (e.g., thumbs up or down)	Discuss by:

### READING

Level 6 Reaching	Level 5 Bridging	Level 4 Expanding	Level 3 Developing	Level 2 Emerging	Level  1  Entering	Language Proficiency Level
						Students
Identifying setting and character details from grade-level text     Determining the central messages, lessons, or morals of fables and folkrales from diverse cultures	Paraphrasing narratives or informational text with support (e.g., arranging paragraph strips)     Highlighting relevant information in grade-level texts to produce summaries	Ordering a series of events based on familiar texts     Identifying main ideas and details in illustrated texts	Creating timelines or graphic organizers from illustrated related statements or paragraphs     Identifying temporal-related words that signal order of events (e.g., "in the beginning")	Identifying time-related language in context (e.g., in biographies)     Illustrating experiences of characters in illustrated statements	<ul> <li>Identifying key words and phrases in illustrated text</li> <li>Signaling language associated with content-related information (e.g., during preview, view, &amp; review)</li> </ul>	Process Recounts by:
Describing the connection between a series of historical events, scientific ideas, or steps in technical procedures in texts     Connecting ideas with details in extended content area texts	Identifying relevant information from texts on the same content area topic (e.g., in open sorts)     Identifying the main purpose of texts	Illustrating cause/ effect relationships in content area texts     eclassifying main ideas and details in informational or explanatory texts	Sequencing sentences     descriptive of processes or     procedures in informational     texts     Locating details in content     area texts or media	Interpreting images, illustrations, and graphics     Identifying elements of expository texts (e.g., graphs, captions) in illustrated texts	Identifying words and phrases in titles and highlighted texts     Matching pictures with graphic information from illustrated texts	Process Explanations by:
Identifying authorized in texts     Evaluating characterings, and evariety of media.	Identifying data sources to supp     Matching opinia reasons in infor texts and literal	Sorting content information acc specific criteria and cons)     Identifying reas strengthen argu	<ul> <li>Identifying diffe opinions in writ</li> <li>Identifying genu and content-rel and phrases in to the genre/ke "once upon a ti a fairy tale)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Distinguishing f fiction (e.g., us) strips or highlig</li> <li>Identifying clair opinions in illus</li> </ul>	Identifying facts informational to incompare to likes, needs, labeled illustrate.	Process <b>Argum</b>

<sup>\*\*</sup>The descriptors in Level 6 represent the language performance of students who have met all the criteria for Level 5. Unlike the descriptors at Levels 1–5 that provide examples of performance at the end of the level, the descriptors at Level 6 are examples of performance within Level 6.

For three of the Key Uses (Recount, Explain, and Argue) you'll see descriptors for the four language domains (Listening, Reading, Speaking, and Writing). The descriptors for the Key Use Discuss are only shown for oral language. The Key Use Discuss highlights the importance of oral language development for meaningful participation of all language learners, regardless of their level of language proficiency.



### CAN DO DESCRIPTORS BY DOMAIN, PROFICIENCY LEVEL, AND KEY USE OF LANGUAGE: GRADES 2-3 By the end of each of the English language proficiency levels 1-5 English language learners can...

### WRITING

Level  6  Reaching	Level 5 Bridging	Level 4 Expanding	Level  Beveloping	Level 2 Emerging	Level  1  Entering	Language Proficiency Level
						Students
<ul> <li>Signaling order of events using temporal words and phrases</li> <li>Relating real or imagined experiences or events</li> </ul>	Describing the sequence of content-related ideas     Providing details and examples about narratives	Describing a series of events or procedures     Creating stories with details about characters and events	<ul> <li>Reteiling past experiences</li> <li>Expressing ideas in various genres (e.g., poetry, interactive journals)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Listing ideas using graphic organizers</li> <li>Describing visual information</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Labeling images that illustrate the steps for different processes (e.g., writing workshop)</li> <li>Creating visual representations of ideas or stories</li> </ul>	Recount by:
Elaborating topics with facts, definitions, and details     Comparing different strategies related to procedures or problem-solving	Describing details of processes, procedures, and events     Producing "how to" manuals based on personal experiences or scientific experiments	Relating details and illustrating stages of different cycles (e.g., frogs, plants)     Describing strategies to solve problems	Comparing causes of different phenomena     Stating ideas about content-related topics	Describing elements of processes or procedures     Stating how something happens using illustrations and sequential language (e.g., eruption of volcanoes)	<ul> <li>Listing and illustrating ideas</li> <li>Stating facts associated with images or illustrations</li> </ul>	Explain by:
Elaborating on opinions and reasons     Comparing and contrasting important points and details presented in two texts on the same topic	Producing persuasive pieces supported by multiple reasons or details     Stating reasoning for content-related choices	Supporting main ideas or opinions with evidence from texts     Providing evidence to support or refute peers' ideas	Communicating different content-related ideas or opinions Describing pros and cons related to social issues or familiar topics	<ul> <li>Participating in shared opinion writing experiences</li> <li>Connecting preferences, choices, or opinions to reasons</li> </ul>	Indicating decisions or preferences through labeled pictures, words, or phrases     Providing evidence of natural phenomena or opinions through labeled drawings.	Argue by:

The WIDA Can Do Descriptors, Key Uses Edition provides examples of academic language use for four specific communicative purposes. These purposes, referred to as Key Uses, were identified based on reviews of literature and a language analysis of college and career readiness standards:

KEY USE	KEY USE DEFINITION	EXAMPLES
Recount		telling or summarizing stories, producing information reports, and sharing past experiences, stating the steps to make something, describing experiences,
	is retail to disbial virowicage or rigitate experiences or exerts	
Evalais	_	describing life cycles, sharing why or how things work, stating causes and effects, sharing results of experiments, stating consequences of behaviors,
rypiani	is claimly airc withy of the flow of faceas, actions, or prictionlella	describing factors that contribute to events, examining relationships among content-related ideas and concepts
Argue	To persuade by making claims supported by evidence	stating preferences or opinions, constructing arguments supported with evidence, critiquing the reasoning of others, giving reasons for a stance
Discuss	To interact with others to build meaning and share knowledge	Participating in small or large group activities and projects, contributing ideas to a conversation, extending knowledge with a mentor, elaborating ideas
0100000		with peers, questioning and critiquing ideas in small groups

### The WIDA Can Do Descriptors, Key Uses Edition can help....

Collaborate and engage in instructional conversations about the academic success of language learners in English environments

Differentiate curriculum, instruction, and assessments designed in English based on language learners' levels of English language proficiency

- Advocate for equitable access to content for language learners based on their level of language proficiency

Student Name Chart may be copied and distributed for nonprofit educational use only. © 2016 Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System, on behalf of WIDA. The Can Do Descriptors, Key Uses Edition – Fillable



### CAN DO DESCRIPTORS BY DOMAIN, PROFICIENCY LEVEL, AND KEY USE OF LANGUAGE: GRADES 4-5 By the end of each of the English language proficiency levels 1-5 English language learners can...

### LISTENING

Language Proficiency

Level

Leve

Entering

Level

2

Emerging

Level

ω

### SPEAKING

						Students
Identifying the overall structure (e.g., chronology) of events, ideas, concepts, or information in oral presentations. Differentiating similarities and differences of information presented through multimedia and written text	oldentifying related information from multiple sources presented orally Recognizing the key historical, scientific or technical language used in a mini-lecture	Sequencing events or steps based on oral reading of informational text     Recognizing the language of related genes (e.g., news reports, historical accounts)	Identifying the beginning, middle and end in oral retelling of a text     Following tasks and directions retold by peers	Classifying time-related language in oral statements (e.g., present, post, future)     Connecting the context of narratives (e.g., the who, what, when, & where) to illustrations.	Matching oral words and phrases to content-related pictures or objects     elentifying the topic in oral statements	Process <b>Recounts</b> by:
Interpreting the specific language used to enhance descriptions of phenomena     Attending to the language related to events or phenomena in peer presentations	Recognizing language used to enhance the specificity of phenomena in class discussions electricity of components of systems (e.g., ecosystems) systems (e.g., ecosystems) branches of government) in small group interactions	Identifying precise details, descriptions, or comparisons that support conversation     Following oral information on how or why phenomena occur	<ul> <li>Interpreting cause and effect relationships in conversations</li> <li>Recognizing relationships in a series of oral statements</li> </ul>	Organizing routine causal or sequential relationships described orally     Following oral directions to show recurring steps in cycles or problem-solving	Sequencing oral procedures or cycles with images Distinguishing key words and phrases related to phenomena	Process <b>Explanations</b> by:
<ul> <li>Recognizing the strength of the quality of evidence presented in oral discourse</li> <li>Identifying the purpose of arguments</li> </ul>	Distinguishing certainty from uncertainty of spoken words or phrases in context     Identifying the degree of formality in oral presentations	Identifying evidence that supports predictions or hypotheses     Differentiating between multiple points of view in class discussions	Identifying different perspectives, stances, or points of view     Recognizing reasons for positions in oral presentations	Sorting evidence and claims from oral descriptions     Distinguishing words and phrases related to opinions or facts from oral statements	Matching illustrations with oral points of view identifying language related to facts or opinions from oral presentations	Process <b>Arguments</b> by:
Level 6 Reaching	Level 5 Bridging	Level 4 Expanding	Level 3 Developing	Level 2 Emerging	Level  1  Entering	Language Proficiency Level
						Students
						its I
Summarizing discussions on content-related topics     Expanding on topics with descriptive details using varied vocabulary	Conveying personal and content-related experiences in a team     Is a figure to the content related experiences.     Using technical and specific vocabulary when sharing content information.	Giving content-related oral reports     Sequencing steps to solve a problem	Presenting detailed content-related information that has been rehearsed     Stating main ideas in classroom conversations on social and academic topics	Retelling short stories or content-related events     Stating procedural steps across content areas	Stating key words and phrases associated with the content using visual or graphic support     Communicating personal experiences orally	its Recount by:
		Giving content-related oral reports     Sequencing steps to solve a problem  Content-related issues and concepts Presenting detailed information in small groups		Retelling short stories or content-related events     Stating procedural steps across content areas     Giving reasons why or how something works using diagrams, charts or images     Stating key words or phrases in processes in a sequential order	Stating key words and phenomena using phrases associated with the content using visual or graphic support     Communicating personal experiences orally	

<sup>\*\*</sup>The descriptors in Level 6 represent the language performance of students who have met all the criteria for Level 5. Unlike the descriptors at Levels 1–5 that provide examples of performance at the end of the level, the descriptors at Level 6 are examples of performance within Level 6.

Bridging

**Մ** են

Expanding

Developing

Level

4

Reaching

o eve

For three of the Key Uses (Recount, Explain, and Argue) you'll see descriptors for the Key Use Discuss are only shown for oral language. The Key Use Discuss highlights the importance of oral language development for meaningful participation of all language learners, regardless of their level of language proficiency.



# CAN DO DESCRIPTORS BY DOMAIN, PROFICIENCY LEVEL, AND KEY USE OF LANGUAGE: GRADES 4-5 By the end of each of the English language proficiency levels 1-5 English language learners can...

### ORAL LANGUAGE

### ge Students Discuss by:

Proficiency Level	Students	Discuss by:
Level		<ul> <li>Expressing own ideas in a variety of ways (e.g., drawing, using gestures, graphing)</li> </ul>
_		<ul> <li>Tracking the person speaking</li> <li>Sharing own work (e.g., graphic organizers</li> </ul>
Entering		graphic organizers, drawings) to contribute to the conversation
Level		Taking turns and applying conventions specific to
2		<ul> <li>Addressing others according to relationship (e.g.,</li> </ul>
Emerging		student-peers, student-teacher)
Level		<ul> <li>Asking clarifying questions to demonstrate engagement</li> </ul>
ω		statements  Answering questions to
Developing		contribute to a topic
Level		<ul> <li>Elaborating on statements of others to extend ideas</li> <li>Presenting creative solutions</li> </ul>
4		to resolve communication issues
Expanding		co-create group responses
Level		<ul> <li>Recognizing how language can be used to express bias and</li> </ul>
G		influence others  Challenging ideas respectfully
Bridging		stay focused on a topic
Level		<ul> <li>Examining the value of examples to bring clarity to</li> </ul>
0		<ul> <li>Extend conversations by developing topics with clear</li> </ul>
Reaching		examples and information

### READING

Rea	Bri	Expa	Deve	Em	Ent L	Profic Level
Level 6 Reaching	Level 5 Bridging	Level 4 Expanding	Level 3 Developing	Level 2 Emerging	Level  1  Entering	Proficiency Level
						Students
<ul> <li>identifying the overall structure (e.g., chronology) of events, ideas, concepts, or information in text</li> <li>Highlighting events or procedures that happened in historical, scientific, or technical text</li> </ul>	Becoming familiar with the language of related genres (e.g., news reports, historical accounts)     Summarizing information from multiple related sources	Connecting details to main ideas or themes     Identifying conclusions in multi-paragraph text	<ul> <li>Sequencing events in stories or content-related processes or lidentifying main ideas in narrative and informational text</li> </ul>	Classifying time-related language in text as present or past lidentifying the "who," "what," "when," and "when" in narrative text with a partner	<ul> <li>Identifying words in context during oral reading of illustrated text on familiar topics or experiences</li> <li>Highlighting previewed or familiar phrases</li> </ul>	Process Recounts by:
Identifying how text presents information in a factual or neutral manner     Evaluating the specific language used to enhance descriptions of phenomena	<ul> <li>Identifying how text provides clear cletals of the topic or phenomena identifying components of systems (e.g., ecosystems, government)</li> </ul>	Identifying the different words or phrases that are used to describe the same topic or phenomena     Organizing information on how or why phenomena occur	<ul> <li>Matching causes with effects</li> <li>Identifying words or phrases to determine the type of explanation (e.g., linear sequence, cycle, system)</li> </ul>	Identifying different types of connectors (e.g., first, next, because, so)     Identifying key words and phrases that describe the topic or phenomena	Matching illustrated words/ phrases to causal or sequential language     Sequencing sentences strips to show content-area processes from illustrated texts	Process Explanations by:
Evaluation eviluation of control chains a structure of chains a	exp exp evi- into Eva evi-	• Hyr bas • Cor vie	• Ide mu • Ide per poi	• Ide ind • Org on tex clai	opi de	Proc

<sup>\*\*</sup>The descriptors in Level 6 represent the language performance of students who have met all the criteria for Level 5. Unlike the descriptors at Levels 1–5 that provide examples of performance at the end of the level, the descriptors at Level 6 are examples of performance within Level 6.

The WIDA Can Do Descriptors, Key Uses Edition and the example descriptors are not exhaustive but are meant to help guide the planning and conversation around meaningful participation of language learners in standards-based contest curriculum, instruction, and assessment.

For three of the Key Uses (Recount, Explain, and Argue) you'll see descriptors for the four language domains (Listening, Reading, 3nd Writing). The descriptors for the Key Use Discuss are only shown for oral language. The Key Use Discuss highlights th importance of oral language development for meaningful participation of all language learners, regardless of their level of language proficiency.



### CAN DO DESCRIPTORS BY DOMAIN, PROFICIENCY LEVEL, AND KEY USE OF LANGUAGE: GRADES 4-5 By the end of each of the English language proficiency levels 1-5 English language learners can...

### WRITING

<b>Level</b> 6  Reaching	Level 5 Bridging	Level 4 Expanding	Level 3 Developing	Level 2 Emerging	Level  1  Entering	Language Proficiency Level
						Students
Summarizing content-related information     Using narrative themes to extend the storyline	Producing content-related reports     Creating narratives that connect personal experiences and content	<ul> <li>Relating a sequence of events using a variety of transitional words, phrases, and clauses</li> <li>Synthesizing information across related texts (e.g., author study)</li> </ul>	Using key,words and phrases reflective of main ideas     Conveying details using concrete words and phrases	Listing procedural steps across content areas     issing positive and negative effects of events in informational or narrative text	Communicating personal experiences through drawings and words     Reproducing a series of events through illustrated text	Recount by:
Presenting information on processes or phenomena supported by facts and details in essays and reports     Selecting the appropriate organizational structure for the particular purpose	Describing how factors contribute to events or outcomes     Describing how systems relate or interact	Presenting information on processes or phenomena from a variety of sources     Elaborating topics with facts, definitions, concrete details, or quotations and examples	<ul> <li>Connecting related ideas or concepts using linking words and phrases</li> <li>Answering "how" or "why questions (e.g., "How does the water cycle work?" "Why are there three branches of government?")</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Using key terms related to phenomena</li> <li>Ordering linear and cyclical sequences of phenomena (e.g., the steps of how a volcano erupts)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Producing short-answer responses to questions using word/ phrase banks</li> <li>Labeling charts and graphs to describe phenomena (e.g., organisms in ecosystems)</li> </ul>	Explain by:
Organizing ideas and information logically and coherently     Integrating information from multiple sources to provide evidence for claims	Evaluating positive and negative implications associated with various positions (e.g., historical events, scientific discoveries)     Including evidence from multiple sources	Comparing and contrasting evidence for claims     Providing reasons and evidence which support particular points	Connecting reasons to opinions supported by facts and details     Making adjustments for audience and context	<ul> <li>Stating reasons for particular points of view</li> <li>Listing pros and cons of issues</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Selecting words and phrases to represent points of view using facts from illustrated text or posters</li> <li>Using key,woods or phrases related to the topic</li> </ul>	Argue by:

The WIDA Can Do Descriptors, Key Uses Edition provides examples of academic language use for four specific communicative purposes. These purposes, referred to as Key Uses, were identified based on reviews of literature and a language analysis of college and career readiness standards:

<b>KEY USE</b>	KEY USE DEFINITION	EXAMPLES
Recount	To retall to display knowledge or parrate experiences or events	telling or summarizing stories, producing information reports, and sharing past experiences, stating the steps to make something, describing experiences,
1000011	in recent to arabia) vilomicage of narrate experiences of excite	ordering steps to get an answer
Fynlain	To clarify the "why" or the "how" of ideas actions or phenomena	describing life cycles, sharing why or how things work, stating causes and effects, sharing results of experiments, stating consequences of behaviors,
To produce	to county are many or the now or races) actions, or prictionicine	describing factors that contribute to events, examining relationships among content-related ideas and concepts
Argue	To persuade by making claims supported by evidence	stating preferences or opinions, constructing arguments supported with evidence, critiquing the reasoning of others, giving reasons for a stance
Discuss	To interact with others to build meaning and share knowledge	Participating in small or large group activities and projects, contributing ideas to a conversation, extending knowledge with a mentor, elaborating ideas
	0	with peers, questioning and critiquing ideas in small groups

- Advocate for equitable access to content for language learners based on their level of language proficiency
- The WIDA Can Do Descriptors, Key Uses Edition can help....
  Differentiate curriculum, instruction, and assessments designed in English based on language learners' levels of English language proficiency
  Collaborate and engage in instructional conversations about the academic success of language learners in English environments

### Generously created for WIDA by Becky Linderholm

Student Name Chart may be copied and distributed for nonprofit educational use only. © 2016 Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System, on behalf of WIDA. The Can Do Descriptors, Key Uses Edition – Fillable



# CAN DO DESCRIPTORS BY DOMAIN, PROFICIENCY LEVEL, AND KEY USE OF LANGUAGE: GRADES 6-8 By the end of each of the English language proficiency levels 1-5 English language learners can...

SPEAKING

LISTENING

Level 6 Reaching	Level 5 Bridging	Level 4 Expanding	Level 3 Developing	Level 2 Emerging	Level  1  Entering	Language Proficiency Level
						Students
<ul> <li>Identifying key ideas expressed orally</li> <li>Identifying new information expressed by others</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Categorizing details of content-related main ideas seen and heard in videos or other technologies</li> <li>Sequencing a series of illustrated events from oral passages (e.g., historical recaps)</li> </ul>	Identifying main ideas and details in oral discourse     Evaluating oral presentations of peers based on criteria for success	Matching main ideas of familiar text read aloud to visuals     Stating the next event in a series based on clues from narrative or informational oral texts	Sequencing labeled visuals per oral directions     Identifying settings or time frames in narrative or informational scenarios read aloud	Identifying familiar objects or places from oral statements     Pointing to objects, people, or places based on short oral descriptions	Process <b>Recounts</b> by:
<ul> <li>Evaluating main ideas and supporting details presented in diverse media and oral formats</li> <li>Developing models from oral discourse and multimedia (e.g., YouTube videos)</li> </ul>	Carrying out a series of oral directions to construct mathematical or scientific models     Connecting details to main ideas based on extended oral discourse	<ul> <li>Identifying relationships between people, ideas, or events in oral discourse</li> <li>Matching complex oral descriptions to images, graphs, or formulas</li> </ul>	Matching main ideas of familiar text read aloud with visuals     Showing differences between or among content-related phenomena described orally (e.g., descriptive statistics)	Classifying content-related visuals per oral descriptions (e.g., environmental v. genetic factors)  Matching oral sentences of cause and effect to cause and effect to climate conditions)	Matching instructional language, given orally, with visual representation (e.g., "Show me your schedule.")     Identifying functions of content-related topics based on short oral statements reinforced visually (e.g., organisms in ecosystems)	Process <b>Explanations</b> by:
Evaluating the soundness of opposing claims presented orally     Identifying bias within claims in oral discourse	Establishing connections among claims, arguments, and supporting evidence within oral discourse     Comparing opposing points of view presented within oral discourse	Matching evidence to claims in oral discourse     Formulating opinions based on evidence presented within oral discourse	Illustrating claims or reasons from oral narratives     Identifying opposing perspectives from oral text (e.g., sides in wors, regimes, or revolutions)	Identifying claims from a series of oral statements     Identifying evidence to support claims from charts and tables	Signaling agreement or disagreement of short oral statements or questions     Identifying points of view (e.g., first or third person) from short statements	Process Arguments by:
Level 6 Reaching	Level 5 Bridging	Level 4 Expanding	Level 3 Developing	Level 2 Emerging	Level  1  Entering	Language Proficiency Level
						Students
Restating new information expressed by others in extended speech     Posing questions that elicit elaboration and responding to others' questions and comments	on research from multiple sources  Tracing the evolution of literary characters, themes, and plots from different venues (e.g., digital text or video)	summarizing content-related ideas presented orally  Connecting ideas with supporting details in a variety of oral venues  Producing oral multimedia,	expressing time in multiple tenses  Connecting ideas in content-related discourse using transitions	Stating main ideas or points of classroom conversations     Restating details of content-related topics (in home language and English) in small groups	<ul> <li>Answering select         Wh-questions</li> <li>Naming and briefly describing         past community or school         events using visual support         (e.g., posters, photographs)</li> </ul>	Recount by:
<ul> <li>Adapting speech to a variety of contexts and tasks (e.g., use of register)</li> <li>Posing questions that connect several speakers' ideas and responding to others' ideas</li> </ul>	step-by-step details (e.g., converting frahrenheit to Celsius)  • Evaluating the significance of events, people, or phenomena in oral presentations	concepts  Connecting ideas with supporting details to show relationships (e.g., characters' actions to their feelings)  Giving demonstrations with	conduct experiments, engage in processes, or solve problems with supports  Stating why events occur, phenomena exist, or some things happen things happen.	Describing situations from modeled sentences     Connecting two content-related ideas that define "how" or "why"	Comparing attributes of real-life objects with a partner showing how to solve real-world problems using symbols, numerals, graphs, or diagrams	Explain by:
Paraphrasing new into expressed by others a when warranted, mod views or positions     Making presentations multimedia componer clarify claims and empsalient points	Ingaging in debates or content-related topics claims and countercial along with reasonable evidence     Defending points of vi specific claims			Answering simple que related to claims     Stating evidence to su claims (in home langue English)	Responding yes or no statements or questio related to a claim      Expressing personal proview (in home languaging list) in support of against a claim	Argue by:

<sup>\*\*</sup>The descriptors in Level 6 represent the language performance of students who have met all the criteria for Level 5. Unlike the descriptors at Levels 1–5 that provide examples of performance at the end of the level, the descriptors at Level 6 are examples of performance within Level 6.



# CAN DO DESCRIPTORS BY DOMAIN, PROFICIENCY LEVEL, AND KEY USE OF LANGUAGE: GRADES 6-8 By the end of each of the English language proficiency levels 1-5 English language learners can...

### ORAL LANGUAGE

<b>S</b> Reaching
Bridging
4 Expanding
Developing
Emerging
Entering
Language Proficiency Students Level

### READING

Proficiency Level	Students	Process Recounts by:	Process <b>Explanations</b> by:
Level		<ul> <li>Identifying responses to Wh-questions in charts or illustrated text</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Matching content-related objects, pictures, or media to words and phrases</li> </ul>
<b>1</b> Entering		<ul> <li>Identifying icons in graphs, charts, and environmental print related to familiar topics</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Identifying social or academic topics highlighted in text</li> </ul>
Level		Sequencing illustrated text of narrative or informational events	<ul> <li>Comparing ideas on the same topic in a series of simple sentences</li> </ul>
2 Emerging		<ul> <li>Locating main ideas in a series of simple sentences</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Identifying how content-related phenomena relate to one another in illustrated text or media</li> </ul>
Level		<ul> <li>Identifying topic sentences, main ideas, and details in paragraphs</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Illustrating relationships between main ideas and details in paragraphs</li> </ul>
<b>3</b> Developing		<ul> <li>Connecting people to actions based on oral descriptions with details</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Sequencing steps or events to describe processes (e.g., solving math problems)</li> </ul>
Level		<ul> <li>Ordering paragraphs in narrative and informational text</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Matching content-related cause to effect in graphically-supported text</li> </ul>
<b>4</b> Expanding		<ul> <li>Identifying summaries of passages in a variety of genres</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Highlighting text evidence that points to how systems function (e.g., different forms of government)</li> </ul>
Level		<ul> <li>Sequencing main ideas, events, and conclusions in narrative and informational text</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Sorting grade-level text by highlighting elements of the genre (e.g., differentiating the "how" from the "why")</li> </ul>
Bridging		<ul> <li>Matching details of content-related topics to main ideas</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Sequencing events based on cause and effect (e.g., how machines operate)</li> </ul>
Level		<ul> <li>Identifying a central idea of a text and how it is conveyed through particular details; summarizing text absent personal opinions or judgments</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Comparing and contrasting information from experiments, simulations, videos, or multimedia sources with that of text on the same todics</li> </ul>
Reaching		evaluating now a central event is introduced, illustrated, and elaborated in text (e.g., through examples or anecdotes)	<ul> <li>Identifying factors that contribute to phenomena in explanations</li> </ul>

<sup>\*\*</sup>The descriptors in Level 6 represent the language performance of students who have met all the criteria for Level 5. Unlike the descriptors at Levels 1–5 that provide examples of performance at the end of the level, the descriptors at Level 6 are examples of performance within Level 6.

For three of the Key Uses (Recount, Explain, and Argue) you'll see descriptors for the four language domains (Listening, Reading, Speaking, and Writing). The descriptors for the Key Use Discuss are only shown for oral language. The Key Use Discuss highlights the importance of oral language development for meaningful participation of all language learners, regardless of their level of language proficiency.



# CAN DO DESCRIPTORS BY DOMAIN, PROFICIENCY LEVEL, AND KEY USE OF LANGUAGE: GRADES 6-8 By the end of each of the English language proficiency levels 1-5 English language learners can...

### WRITING

Level  6  Reaching	Level 5 Bridging	Level 4 Expanding	Level 3 Developing	Level 2 Emerging	Level  1  Entering	Language Proficiency Level
						Students
Providing a concluding statement or section that follows from and supports the information presented     Conveying sequence, signaling shifts from one time frame to another, and showing the relationships among experiences and events	Producing research reports using multiple sources     Summarizing conclusions reached from steps in problem-solving or conducting experiments	Producing content-related reports     Reproducing a sequence of events or experiences using transitional words	Producing short paragraphs with main ideas and some details     Composing dialogues or blogs based on personal experiences	Completing sentences using word banks     Producing statements related to main ideas on familiar topics in home language and English	<ul> <li>Producing labeled illustrations of conclusions reached in problem-solving with a partner</li> <li>Reproducing words and phrases related to topics (e.g., Including cognates)</li> </ul>	Recount by:
Determining two or more central ideas in text and tracing their development     Evaluating the interactions between individuals, events, and ideas in text (e.g., how ideas influence individuals or events and the converse).	<ul> <li>Producing informational text around graphs and charts</li> <li>Comparing content-related ideas from multiple sources in essays, reports, and narratives</li> </ul>	Describing relationships between details or examples and supporting ideas     Connecting content-related themes or topics to main ideas	Comparing and contrasting information, events, or characters     Producing descriptive paragraphs around a central idea	<ul> <li>Connecting short sentences</li> <li>Comparing illustrated descriptions of content-related concepts (e.g., mitosis v. meiosis)</li> </ul>	Indicating relationships by drawing and labeling content-related pictures on familiar topics     Describing processes or cycles by labeling diagrams and graphs	Explain by:
<ul> <li>Introducing claims and opposing claims, along with their associated reasons and evidence</li> <li>Closing with concluding statements or paragraphs that support claims</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Presenting opinions in persuasive essays or reports backed by content-related research</li> <li>Justifying ideas using multiple sources</li> </ul>	Crafting persuasive pieces (e.g., editorials)     with a series of substantiated     content-related claims     Composing scripts with protagonists and     antagonists	Substantiating opinions with content-related examples and evidence     Providing feedback to peers on language used for claims and evidence	Stating opinions using evaluative language related to content (e.g., "lagree. Metric is better.")     Connecting simple sentences to form content-related ideas	<ul> <li>Generating words and phrases that represent opinions (e.g., "I think")</li> <li>Making lists of topic choices with peers</li> </ul>	Argue by:

The WIDA Can Do Descriptors, Key Uses Edition provides examples of academic language use for four specific communicative purposes. These purposes, referred to as Key Uses, were identified based on reviews of literature and a language analysis of college and caree readiness standards:

KEY USE	KEY USE DEFINITION	EXAMPLES
Recount	Recount To retell to display knowledge or parrate experiences or events	telling or summarizing stories, producing information reports, and sharing past experiences, stating the steps to make something, describing experiences,
	to the state of th	ordering steps to get an answer
Explain	Explain To clarify the "why" or the "how" of ideas actions or phenomena	describing life cycles, sharing why or how things work, stating causes and effects, sharing results of experiments, stating consequences of behaviors,
	to coming one may be one from or receast accounts of pricticality and	describing factors that contribute to events, examining relationships among content-related ideas and concepts
Argue	To persuade by making claims supported by evidence	stating preferences or opinions, constructing arguments supported with evidence, critiquing the reasoning of others, giving reasons for a stance
Discuss	Discuss To interact with others to build meaning and share knowledge	Participating in small or large group activities and projects, contributing ideas to a conversation, extending knowledge with a mentor, elaborating ideas
		with peers, questioning and critiquing ideas in small groups

- The WIDA Can Do Descriptors, Key Uses Edition can help....
  Differentiate curriculum, instruction, and assessments designed in English based on language learners' levels of English language proficiency
  Collaborate and engage in instructional conversations about the academic success of language learners in English environments
- Advocate for equitable access to content for language learners based on their level of language proficiency



# CAN DO DESCRIPTORS BY DOMAIN, PROFICIENCY LEVEL, AND KEY USE OF LANGUAGE: GRADES 9-12

By the end of each of the English language proficiency levels 1-5 English language learners can...

Level  Reaching	Bridging	<b>Б</b>	Level 4 Expanding	Level 3 Developing	Level 2 Emerging	Level  Pentering	Language Proficiency Level	
							Students	
e Identifying important information on specific events and concepts from lectures and presentations	ives of	<ul> <li>experiences or series or events based on oral discourse</li> <li>Recognizing nuanced meanings of words and phrases in extended oral discourse</li> </ul>		Identifying main ideas from short content-related oral presentations     Classifying examples of genres read aloud (e.g., types of narration)	Matching oral descriptions of characters or main events in content-related topics     Following modeled oral commands	Matching everyday oral content-related words and phrases to pictures, diagrams, or photographs     Selecting resources, places, products, or figures from oral statements and visual supports	Process Recounts by:	LISTENING
Recognizing and following language related to the same event or phenomenon throughout presentations	- 19	consequences or events and phenomena from class discussions  Identifying interdependence of different parts of systems		Recognizing relationships in a series of oral statements     Identifying causes for particular events or phenomena in short oral presentations	Sequencing steps in processes or procedures described orally     Comparing information, symbols, or icons on charts or tables described orally	<ul> <li>Ordering events or stages of phenomenal from oral statements</li> <li>Identifying words and phrases related to sequence</li> </ul>	Process <b>Explanations</b> by:	ရ 
Organizing claims and counter claims presented in debates	<ul> <li>Identifying strengths, limitations, and potential biases from oral presentations</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>choices connect to particular audiences</li> <li>Evaluating the purpose of arguments presented by others</li> </ul>	Classifying claims and evidence from oral presentations     Differentiating multiple     perspectives presented orally learning from the perspective presented orally	Organizing information related to different perspectives presented orally elemented orally elemented orally elemented orally statements of view from a series of oral statements.	Recognizing the pros or cons of issues from short oral statements     Identifying claims in oral statements	<ul> <li>Matching oral information to pictures, diagrams, or photographs that show points of view</li> <li>Distinguishing words and phrases related to opinions or facts from oral statements</li> </ul>	Process Arguments by:	
Level 6 Reaching	<b>5</b> Bridging	Level	Level 4 Expanding	Level 3 Developing	Level 2 Emerging	Level  Pentering	Language Proficiency Level	
							Students	
Adjusting presentation style, degree of formality, word choice, tone, and information to the context and audience     Presenting information that follows discipline-specific organization (e.g., orientation to topic, sequence of events, conclusion)	Giving multimedia oral presentations on content-related material learned from various sources	<ul> <li>Engaging in extended discussion of effects, impacts, or events related to content topics</li> </ul>	Presenting factual information on content-related topics to the class Paraphrasing and summarizing content-related ideas in content-related ideas in large and small groups	Posing and responding to questions in small group discussions     Describing the sequence of processes, cycles, procedures, or events with details	Restating information using content-specific terms     Providing examples of content-related information previously studied	Naming and briefly describing content topics using visual support (e.g., posters, diagrams, pictures)     Answering select yes/no or Wh-questions	Recount by:	SPEAKING
Providing precision and accuracy in classifications, procedures, processes, and accounts using abstraction, technical language, and a variety of active/passive verb forms of active/passive verb efollowing discipline-specific organization (e.g., orienting the reader, details, conclusion) and supporting presentations with graphs, formulas, quotes or other media.	Osing normalization to compress information and maintain coherence (e.g., "This expansion"  "Weathering," "An implication")	•	<ul> <li>Describing components of systems in small groups and class discussions</li> <li>Providing precise words and phrases to provide details, descriptions, classifications, comparisons, causes/effects, or procedures</li> </ul>	Connecting causes to effects in a series of statements     Sequencing processes, cycles, or procedures in short extended discourse	Naming properties, characteristics or features of illustrated content-related topics     Posing and responding to Wh-questions that relate to phenomena	Ordering events or stages of phenomena with sequential language (e.g., first, norst, step 1)     Using words and phrases to identify visually supported phenomena	Explain by:	<u> </u>
Organizing claims and counter claims in det with evidence from multiple sources     Negotiating differing cultural perspectives pairs or small groups	using persuasive lang	Challenging evidence claims in debates     Convincing audiences	Taking stances and defending them with evidence (e.g., using or citations)     Comparing and contr different points of vie different points.	Stating claims match evidence using a serii related sentences     Suggesting details or reasons to reinforce; of view	Responding to oral or written claims     Offering facts or opin statements as approprior to discussion	Relating points of viewisual support (e.g., posters, photographs     Stating pros and cons visually on a topic	Argue by:	



# CAN DO DESCRIPTORS BY DOMAIN, PROFICIENCY LEVEL, AND KEY USE OF LANGUAGE: GRADES 9-12 By the end of each of the English language proficiency levels 1-5 English language learners can...

### ORAL LANGUAGE

### READING

		OKAL LANGUAGE
Language Proficiency Level	Students	Discuss by:
Level		<ul> <li>Representing one's ideas using various media</li> <li>Responding to yes and no questions posed by the group</li> </ul>
Entering		<ul> <li>Using nonverbal signals to demonstrate engagement in conversations</li> </ul>
Level		Asking and answering questions     Communicating need for clarity of messages
2 Emerging		Recognizing intonation used to achieve various purposes of communication
Level		
ω		<ul> <li>Clarifying one's own ideas using a variety of strategies (e.g., analogies or metaphors, paraphrasing)</li> <li>Asking clarifying questions in a paraportial</li> </ul>
		ildilici
Level		Demonstrating stamina when building ideas in a small group     Validating ideas of others
4		<ul> <li>Sorting through one's own ideas to determine relevant ones</li> </ul>
Expanding		<ul> <li>Providing and receiving constructive feedback from others tactfully</li> </ul>
Level		<ul> <li>Co-creating novel perspectives on issues</li> <li>Responding to diverse perspectives and recognizing bias in one's own view</li> </ul>
ű		<ul> <li>Generating new ideas or questions to sustain conversations</li> </ul>
Bridging	9 9 9 9 9	
Level		<ul> <li>Identifying and reacting to subtle differences in speech and register (e.g., hyperbole, satire, comedy)</li> </ul>
6		<ul> <li>Producing coherent oral discourse appropriate to task, purpose, and audience</li> </ul>
Reaching		<ul> <li>Synthesizing and sharing information from a variety of sources and perspectives</li> </ul>

Level 6 Reaching	Level 5 Bridging	Level 4 Expanding	Level 3 Developing	Level 2 Emerging	Level 1 Entering	Language Proficiency Level
						Students
Analyzing and comparing how authors use language for specific purposes and audiences     Identifying how authors develop and maintain cohesion by connecting ideas or events in extended texts	Identifying how text structure supports comprehension and retrieval of information and details     Identifying the central idea or theme and how it is supported by clear descriptions and extended details	<ul> <li>Identifying how the authors make language choices and adjusts for audience and purpose</li> <li>Reflecting on various accounts of a subject told in different media (e.g., print and multimedia)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Recognizing lexical chains that show how characters and ideas are labeled across the text</li> <li>Identifying detailed descriptions, procedures, and information in paragraphs</li> </ul>	Identifying patterns specific to narrative or informational text (e.g., orientration, presentation of events, conclusion)     Locating main ideas in a series of related sentences	Matching key content-related terms and ideas to images, graphs, icons, or diagrams     Sequencing illustrated text of narrative or informational events	READING Process Recounts by:
Recognizing discipline-specific patterns (e.g., orienting the reader, part-whole classification, neutral/authoritative tone)     Identifying authors' precision and accuracy in classifications, comparisons, accounts, or procedures as a result of clear language choices	<ul> <li>Identifying how authors connect related ideas across paragraphs with abstraction, complex sentences, and technical terms</li> <li>Tracing the central idea of text and how it develops, including how it is shaped by specific details, extended definitions, facts, quotes, or examples</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Identifying the interdependence of parts of systems (e.g., technical, government, chemical)</li> <li>Comparing information on phenomena across a variety of multimedia sources</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Identifying how language provides clarity and precision in describing topics or phenomena</li> <li>Summarizing information with diagrams, models, flow charts, or illustrations</li> </ul>	Identifying different types of connectors that show relationships between topics and phenomena     Differentiating between technical and everyday vocabulary that describe phenomena	Identifying key words and phrases that describe the topics or phenomena     Recognizing sequence statements and illustrations that describe phenomena	Process <b>Explanations</b> by:

<sup>\*\*</sup>The descriptors in Level 6 represent the language performance of students who have met all the criteria for Level 5. Unlike the descriptors at Levels 1–5 that provide examples of performance at the end of the level, the descriptors at Level 6 are examples of performance within Level 6. There is no ceiling for level 6.



### CAN DO DESCRIPTORS BY DOMAIN, PROFICIENCY LEVEL, AND KEY USE OF LANGUAGE: GRADES 9-12 By the end of each of the English language proficiency levels 1-5 English language learners can...

### WRITING

Level 6 Reaching	Level 5 Bridging	Level 4 Expanding	Level 3 Developing	Level 2 Emerging	Level  1 Entering	Language Proficiency Level
						Students
<ul> <li>Summarizing content-related notes from lectures or readings</li> <li>Producing research reports using multiple sources of information</li> </ul>	Sequencing using language that creates coherence     Organizing information according to content-specific expectations	Creating narrative or informational extended text of past events or experiences (e.g., lab reports, current events) Connecting main points, events, and central ideas to conclusions	<ul> <li>Summarizing content-related material</li> <li>Including important information and related details</li> </ul>	Following patterns specific to narrative or informational text (e.g., orientation, presentation of events, conclusion)     Sequencing narratives or informational text using linking words and phrases	Listing content words or phrases that relate to the topic     Including images, diagrams, and charts to add details to the topic	Recount by:
<ul> <li>Developing ideas about phenomena with relevant and sufficient facts, extended descriptions, concrete details, or quotations</li> <li>Maintaining discipline-specific patterns that bridge across key uses (e.g., explanation to argument in history, explanation to recount for information reports)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Synthesizing information and details about phenomena from a variety of sources</li> <li>Organizing information and details logically and cohesively</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Presenting information objectively by using a neutral tone appropriate to the content area</li> <li>Integrating images, diagrams, formulas, or charts to describe phenomena</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Choosing words and phrases to provide precise details, descriptions, comparisons, and ordered procedures</li> <li>Integrating headings, introductory statements, and other features to organize text</li> </ul>	Using transitions and connectors to show causal relationships or procedures     Choosing everyday or technical language to describe phenomena	<ul> <li>Producing short responses to questions using word/phrase banks</li> <li>Labeling charts, graphs, timelines, or cycles to describe phenomena</li> </ul>	Explain by:
Evaluating positive and negative implications associated with various positions (e.g., historical events, scientific discoveries, individuals)     Organizing information logically and coherently to represent contrasting views	Organizing information to show logical reasoning     Integrating multiple perspectives and evidence from a variety of sources	Evaluating and challenging evidence presented     Creating persuasive essays or reports making adjustments for specific audiences	Justifying reasons or opinions with evidence evidence     Summarizing opposing positions with evidence	Expressing claims with evidence (e.g., "Socialism is a good government system because")      Listing content-related ideas that represent different points of view on issues	Selecting words and phrases to represent points of view     Listing pros and cons of issues	Argue by:

The WIDA Can Do Descriptors, Key Uses Edition provides examples of academic language use for four specific communicative purposes. These purposes, referred to as Key Uses, were identified based on reviews of literature and a language analysis of college and career readiness standards:

KEY USE	KEY USE DEFINITION	EXAMPLES
Recount	Recount To retell to display knowledge or narrate experiences or events	telling or summarizing stories, producing information reports, and sharing past experiences, stating the steps to make something, describing experiences, ordering steps to get an answer
Explain	<b>Explain</b> To clarify the "why" or the "how" of ideas, actions, or phenomena	describing life cycles, sharing why or how things work, stating causes and effects, sharing results of experiments, stating consequences of behaviors, describing factors that contribute to events, examining relationships among content-related ideas and concepts
Argue	To persuade by making claims supported by evidence	stating preferences or opinions, constructing arguments supported with evidence, critiquing the reasoning of others, giving reasons for a stance
Discuss	To interact with others to build meaning and share knowledge	Participating in small or large group activities and projects, contributing ideas to a conversation, extending knowledge with a mentor, elaborating ideas with peers, questioning and critiquing ideas in small groups
Do Donamina	Con De Descriptoro Karrillono Edition and half	

- The WIDA Can Do Descriptors, Key Uses Edition can help....

   Differentiate curriculum, instruction, and assessments designed in English based on language learners' levels of English language proficiency
- Collaborate and engage in instructional conversations about the academic success of language learners in English environments
   Advocate for equitable access to content for language learners based on their level of language proficiency

### Generously created for WIDA by Becky Linderholm