International Leadership of Texas Grand Prairie Elementary 2023-2024 Campus Improvement Plan

Mission Statement

The mission of ILTexas is to prepare students for exceptional leadership roles in the international community by emphasizing servant leadership, mastering the English, Spanish, and Chinese languages, and strengthening the mind, body and character.

La misión de ILTexas es preparar a nuestros alumnos para roles de liderazgo excepcionales en la comunidad internacional, haciendo hincapié en el liderazgo de servicio, el dominio de los idiomas inglés, español y chino, y el fortalecimiento de mente, cuerpo y carácter.

 $\overset{a_{3_{4}}}{=} \overset{a_{1}}{=} \overset{a_{2}}{=} \overset{a_{2}}{=} \overset{a_{2}}{=} \overset{a_{2}}{=} \overset{a_{2}}{=} \overset{a_{2}}{=} \overset{a_{3}}{=} \overset{a_{3}}{=}$

Vision

Our Students are curious and creative learners who succeed through personal initiative and sustained effort to reach high academic goals. They are critical thinkers who seek knowledge and possess trilingual competence and collaborative skills. Our students embrace diversity, act responsibly, and contribute to our community by putting others before self.

Our Educators believe that all students can meet or exceed rigorous academic standards. Teachers, staff, and administrators together form a rich professional learning community where all are supported to hone our professional craft and improve our effectiveness. Through the examination of our instructional practices and data, we adjust our teaching and operational systems in order to continuously improve. We are supportive community of lifelong learns.

Our Families and Community are actively engaged partners that are integral to the success of our students and school.

Core Beliefs

We believe in Honor to be the best you can be, Courage to take risks, and Commitment to never give up!

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Student Learning	6
School Processes & Programs	8
Perceptions	10
Priority Problem Statements	11
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	12
Goals	13
Goal 1: By the end of the 23-24 school year, 85% of ILTexas campuses will receive an overall rating of C or higher for their statewide accountability rating, an increase from 75% achieving a C or higher.	14
Goal 2: By the end of the 23-24 school year, at least 75% of students graduate meeting their language goals (students enrolled at ILTexas 7 years or more are at least at Intermediate or above), 95% of students will have completed their service hour requirements and 75% of graduates will have met their Interactive Health Technology goals.	25
Goal 3: By the end of the 23-24 school year ILTexas will retain 75% of teachers, an increase from 70% achieved in the previous school year.	29
Campus Funding Summary	33

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Revised/Approved: June 1, 2023

Demographics

Demographics	Demographics Summary					
School Population (2022 - 2023)						
Student Total	942	100%				
Kindergarten	157	16.67%				
1st Grade	161	17.09%				
2nd Grade	160	16.99%				
3rd Grade	157	16.24%				
4th Grade	155	16.45%				
5th Grade	156	16.56%				

Student Demographics

Gender

Female	499	52.97%

47.03% Male 443

Ethnicity

Hispanic-Latino 489 51.91%

Race

American Indian - Alaskan Native 2 0.21% Grand Prairie Elementary Generated by Plan4Learning.com

Asian	43	4.56%
Black - African American	341	36.20%
White	29	3.08%
Two-or-More	38	4.03%
Other Student Information		
At Risk	747	79.30%
Economically Disadvantaged	738	78.34%
Gifted and Talented	30	3.18%
Special Education (SPED)	51	5.41%
Emergent Bilingual (EB)	338	38.00%
Section 504	23	2.44%

We use a number of sources to find high caliber staff such as our campus website, the Teacher Job Network (TJN), college career fairs, and Region 10 and 11 job fairs. The majority of core and LOTE teachers are at a highly qualified status in accordance with ESSA. Our interview process clearly outlines the mission, philosophy, and expectations for our school. We have recruited teachers from Spain and China to teach our trilingual model. ILT will continue to work with region 10 & 11 and the district to actively find qualified teacher candidates to fill positions within the school. Teachers have the professional freedom to be innovative and creative. They have opportunities to have input into decision making on campus.

We will have wonderful ethnic diversity in our faculty/staff population, we are truly international. We have faculty/staff from Mexico, Puerto Rico, Spain, China, and more.

Finding highly qualified teachers to teach our trilingual model has required us to look outside of the US for teachers. This year we have the recruitment of teachers from Spain and China. This year we have also increased the number of teachers that meet certified status. There have also been salary increases for teachers, making our salary a little more competitive with local ISDs.

Demographics Strengths

- Diverse population
- Teachers are enrolling into the district Alternative Certification Program
- GPK8 has a very diverse teacher and staff environment

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): High percentage of economically disadvantaged and at-risk students Root Cause: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): High percentage of language learners. Root Cause: About 30 percent of our students are EL/EB.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): Lack of experienced and certified teachers. Root Cause: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

Problem Statement 4 (Prioritized): Inefficient progress monitoring of students' data and progress on computer programs Root Cause: PD needed on how to use the data and how to monitor students usage on such programs.

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

Below are the preliminary STAAR Scores for 2023

3rd Grade ELAR

DNM - 40% Approaches - 30.7% Meets - 26% Masters - 3.3%

3rd Grade Math

DNM - 45.3% Approaches - 34% Meets - 15.3% Masters - 5.3%

4th Grade ELAR

DNM - 34% Approaches - 40.1% Meets - 17% Masters - 8.8%

4th Grade Math

DNM - 57.8% Approaches - 17.7% Meets - 18.4% Masters - 6.1%

5th Grade ELAR

DNM - 28.9% Approaches - 31.6% Meets - 30.9% Masters - 8.6%

5th Grade Math

DNM - 40.1% Approaches - 31.6% Meets - 17.8% Masters - 10.5%

5th Grade Science

DNM - 49% Approaches - 31.7% Meets - 16% Masters - 3.3%

Student Learning Strengths

Scores were accurately reflected from previous assessments throughout the year (ECA, MAP, etc.)

We have accurate data on most students to begin targeted instruction to close gaps.

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): High percentage of economically disadvantaged and at-risk students Root Cause: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): High percentage of language learners. Root Cause: About 30 percent of our students are EL/EB.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): Lack of experienced and certified teachers. Root Cause: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

Problem Statement 4 (Prioritized): Ineffective mentorship and coaching for new teachers. **Root Cause:** The current mentor/mentee program and level of coaching for teachers does not always provide enough support to adequately onboard and to ultimately retain those who are new to teaching.

Problem Statement 5 (Prioritized): Inefficient progress monitoring of students' data and progress on computer programs Root Cause: PD needed on how to use the data and how to monitor students usage on such programs.

School Processes & Programs

School Processes & Programs Summary

We use a number of sources to find high caliber staff such as our campus website, the Teacher Job Network (TJN), university career fairs, and Region 10 and 11 job fairs. The majority of core and LOTE teachers are at a highly qualified status in accordance with NCLB. Our interview process clearly outlines the mission, philosophy, and expectations for our school. We have recruited teachers from Spain and China to teach our trilingual model. ILT will continue to work with Region 10 & 11 and the district to actively find qualified teacher candidates to fill positions within the school. Teachers have the professional freedom to be innovative and creative. They have opportunities to have input into decision making on campus.

We will have wonderful ethnic diversity in our faculty/staff population; we are truly international. We have faculty/staff from Mexico, Puerto Rico, Spain, China, and more.

Finding highly qualified teachers to teach our trilingual model has required us to look outside of the US for teachers. This year we have the recruitment of teachers from Spain and China. This year we have also increased the number of teachers that meet highly qualified status. There have also been significant salary increases for teachers, making our salary a little more competitive with local ISDs.

We will have a professional learning community and an atmosphere of collegiality. Our grade level teams plan together work towards common goals. Our staff members are able to rely on each other for support, suggestions, and assistance.

Our parents work in a partnership with teachers by engaging in open communication through a weekly newsletter, emails, and phone calls. Our PTO assists with events that will better connect parents to the school community.

The student council, the voice of the students, will engage in monthly meetings with the principal for an open discussion about the school. These conversations allow the students to highlight the positive as well as identify areas of improvement within the school.

A focus on modeling, monitoring and enforcing the 3 Bs.

Campus leadership will work with staff to develop a course of action so that all students have the opportunity to successfully meet grade-level academic performance gains and STAAR performance gains. Teachers will follow the TEKS RS Scope and Sequence and curriculum for all core subject areas, as well as participate in activities designed to analyze data based on the state, district and local assessments. Teachers will meet with instructional coaches weekly to review the lesson plans for the following week. The focus will be on alignment and rigor.

3rd-grade students will take STAAR Reading and Mathematics tests, 4^{th} graders will take STAAR Reading, Writing and Mathematics tests and 5^{th} graders will take STAAR Reading, Mathematics and Science. Students will MAP in grades $2^{nd}-5^{th}$. All students K- 5^{th} will take district progress measurement assessments. Critical thinking and problemsolving skills will be emphasized in all core subject areas. Students in 2nd-8th Grade will take math BOY and MOY assessment. Students in grades 3rd-8th Grade will take reading BOY and MOY. All students will complete the monthly IStation assessment.

Economically disadvantaged, LEP and at-risk student population groups who have established a pattern of non-mastery will be targeted for remediation. Small group instruction, tutorials, parent-teacher conferences and consistent written and oral communication between home and school will ensure that home and school work together provide the necessary support to students to bring about student success. ILTexas GP will provide student support services as outlined in IEPs, and 504 accommodations for qualifying students.

Organize and foster a positive, safe environment that is conducive to meeting the needs of all students, staff and parents.

All teachers have been trained on how to best use current technology students have to best support their learning.

All staff members have been provided a laptop. We have document cameras and Interactive/whiteboards in all classrooms. In addition, all students in grades k through 5 are provided their own Chromebooks.

Grand Prairie Elementary Generated by Plan4Learning.com

School Processes & Programs Strengths

We will have a learning community and an atmosphere of collegiality. Our grade level teams plan together work towards common goals. Our staff members are able to rely on each other for support, suggestions, and assistance.

Our parents work in a partnership with teachers by engaging in open communication through a weekly newsletter, emails, and phone calls. Our PTO has been formed and assists with events that will better connect parents to the school community.

Teachers utilize technology daily in their instruction.

Students in grade K-5 have access to chrome books for class/home assignments.

Students can utilize technology for homework assignments and projects

Utilize media specialist to coach teachers in the effective use of technology as an instructional tool.

We are guided by the TEKS and follow TEKS RS. Teachers are required to use these resources to guide their planning, instruction and assessment. Teachers plan with their grade level teams. Teachers have dedicated Data analysis days every 6 weeks to review student levels and instructional needs. They also have extended time daily to meet with grade level team or partner teachers.

- Teachers have the support of partners and team members,
- Teachers plan lessons, activities and assessments together as a team,
- Teachers have access to several on-line programs: Study Island, Mathia, All-in-Learning, Measuring Up, etc.
- Teachers utilize Eduphoria
- Teachers have the support of the District Content Coaches
- Additional instructional assistants

Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): High percentage of economically disadvantaged and at-risk students Root Cause: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Lack of experienced and certified teachers. Root Cause: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): Inefficient progress monitoring of students' data and progress on computer programs Root Cause: PD needed on how to use the data and how to monitor students usage on such programs.

Problem Statement 4 (Prioritized): Low parent participation on PTO. Root Cause: Lack of time due to work or other priorities.

Problem Statement 5 (Prioritized): Ineffective mentorship and coaching for new teachers. Root Cause: The current mentor/mentee program and level of coaching for teachers does not always provide enough support to adequately onboard and to ultimately retain those who are new to teaching.

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

We will have a learning community and an atmosphere of collegiality. Our grade level teams plan together work towards common goals. Our staff members are able to rely on each other for support, suggestions, and assistance.

Our parents work in a partnership with teachers by engaging in open communication newsletters, emails, and phone calls. Our PTO has been formed and assists with events that will better connect parents to the school community.

The student council, the voice of the students, will engage in monthly meetings with the principal for an open discussion about the school. These conversations allow the students to highlight the positive as well as identify areas of improvement within the school.

Perceptions Strengths

Current parents convey a feeling of support and that a quality education is being provided by all stakeholders.

Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): High percentage of economically disadvantaged and at-risk students Root Cause: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Lack of experienced and certified teachers. Root Cause: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): Inefficient progress monitoring of students' data and progress on computer programs Root Cause: PD needed on how to use the data and how to monitor students usage on such programs.

Problem Statement 4 (Prioritized): Low parent participation on PTO. Root Cause: Lack of time due to work or other priorities.

Problem Statement 5 (Prioritized): Ineffective mentorship and coaching for new teachers. Root Cause: The current mentor/mentee program and level of coaching for teachers does not always provide enough support to adequately onboard and to ultimately retain those who are new to teaching.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: High percentage of economically disadvantaged and at-risk students
Root Cause 1: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others
Problem Statement 1 Areas: Demographics - Student Learning - School Processes & Programs - Perceptions

Problem Statement 2: Lack of experienced and certified teachers.Root Cause 2: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.Problem Statement 2 Areas: Demographics - Student Learning - School Processes & Programs - Perceptions

Problem Statement 3: Inefficient progress monitoring of students' data and progress on computer programsRoot Cause 3: PD needed on how to use the data and how to monitor students usage on such programs.Problem Statement 3 Areas: Demographics - Student Learning - School Processes & Programs - Perceptions

Problem Statement 4: Low parent participation on PTO.Root Cause 4: Lack of time due to work or other priorities.Problem Statement 4 Areas: School Processes & Programs - Perceptions

Problem Statement 5: High percentage of language learners.Root Cause 5: About 30 percent of our students are EL/EB.Problem Statement 5 Areas: Demographics - Student Learning

Problem Statement 6: Ineffective mentorship and coaching for new teachers.

Root Cause 6: The current mentor/mentee program and level of coaching for teachers does not always provide enough support to adequately onboard and to ultimately retain those who are new to teaching.

Problem Statement 6 Areas: Student Learning - School Processes & Programs - Perceptions

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

• District goals

Accountability Data

• Effective Schools Framework data

Student Data: Assessments

- State and federally required assessment information
- Local diagnostic reading assessment data
- Local benchmark or common assessments data

Student Data: Student Groups

- Economically disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- · At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- Section 504 data
- Homeless data
- Gifted and talented data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

• Discipline records

Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- State certified and high quality staff data
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- Professional development needs assessment data

Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Community surveys and/or other feedback

Support Systems and Other Data

- Organizational structure data
- Budgets/entitlements and expenditures data

Goals

Revised/Approved: June 14, 2023

Goal 1: By the end of the 23-24 school year, 85% of ILTexas campuses will receive an overall rating of C or higher for their statewide accountability rating, an increase from 75% achieving a C or higher.

Performance Objective 1: By the end of the 23-24 school year, 30% of all students will perform at the meets level of achievement on STAAR Reading/ Language Arts and Math and 25% of EB students will perform at the meets level of achievement on STAAR Reading/Language Arts and Math.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: MAP, Interim assessments, STAAR

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: ILTexas will bring in subject matter experts and consultants to help teachers, administrators, and charter staff		Formative		
strengthen their ability to support ALL students including Emergent Bilinguals with focus on increasing the Meets Target goal on the STAAR Reading and Math assessment.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student achievement				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 1 - School Processes & Programs 1 - Perceptions 1				

Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Provide small group tutoring before school, after school, and on Saturdays. Provide opportunity for teachers to	Formative		Summative	
plan for small group tutoring before school, after school, and on Saturdays. Create partnerships with local community organizations that provide tutoring. Provide supplemental tutoring sessions to Emergent Bilingual students to accelerate their performance on both formative and state assessments.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Teachers				
 Title I: 2.5, 2.6 TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 1 - School Processes & Programs 1 - Perceptions 1 Funding Sources: - 211 - Title 1-A - \$2,500 				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: ILTexas will send campus, area, and headquarters staff to conferences (e.g. Title III Symposium, La Cosecha,		Formative		Summative
TESOL, Beam Symposium) to assist ILTexas teachers, administrators, and charter staff strengthen their ability to support ALL students including Emergent Bilinguals.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals				
 Title I: 2.5 TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Demographics 1, 3 - Student Learning 1, 3 - School Processes & Programs 1, 2 - Perceptions 1, 2 				

Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 4: ILTexas will purchase supplemental resources for students including Emergent Bilinguals such as instructional		Formative		Summative
materials (bilingual dictionaries, leveled readers, classroom libraries) and online platforms to increase access to language acquisition and differentiation.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals				
Title I:				
2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities:				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 1 - School Processes & Programs 1 - Perceptions 1				
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 5: ILTexas campuses will set STAAR goals for ALL students and TELPAS goals with their Emergent Bilingual		Formative		Summative
students and will monitor progress during data days.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals. Teachers				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				

Formative Jan United States St	May	Summative June Summative
Re	eviews	
		Summative
		Summative
		Summative
Formative		Summative
Jan	May	June
	continue	

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

 Demographics

 Problem Statement 1: High percentage of economically disadvantaged and at-risk students
 Root Cause: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

 Problem Statement 2: High percentage of language learners.
 Root Cause: About 30 percent of our students are EL/EB.

 Problem Statement 3: Lack of experienced and certified teachers.
 Root Cause: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: High percentage of economically disadvantaged and at-risk students Root Cause: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 2: High percentage of language learners. Root Cause: About 30 percent of our students are EL/EB.

Problem Statement 3: Lack of experienced and certified teachers. Root Cause: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: High percentage of economically disadvantaged and at-risk students Root Cause: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 2: Lack of experienced and certified teachers. Root Cause: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

Problem Statement 4: Low parent participation on PTO. Root Cause: Lack of time due to work or other priorities.

Perceptions

Problem Statement 1: High percentage of economically disadvantaged and at-risk students Root Cause: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 2: Lack of experienced and certified teachers. Root Cause: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

Problem Statement 4: Low parent participation on PTO. Root Cause: Lack of time due to work or other priorities.

Goal 1: By the end of the 23-24 school year, 85% of ILTexas campuses will receive an overall rating of C or higher for their statewide accountability rating, an increase from 75% achieving a C or higher.

Performance Objective 2: By the end of the 23-24 school year, students' learning readiness indicator as measured by Rhithm, will increase 10% with the help of subject matter experts and specialized programs/resources aimed at improving the social emotional health of our students with a focus on safety.

Evaluation Data Sources: Rhithm Insight reports and assessments compared to 2021-2022 data.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 1: Bring in subject matter experts (such as but not limited to Youth Equipped to Succeed) and purchase resources		Formative		Summative
(such as but not limit to SEL and mental health curriculum for counselors) aimed at improving school safety (physical safety, cybersecurity, internet safety and addressing the social emotional health of our students) to improve students' readiness to learn.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improve learning readiness measured by Rhithm				
 Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Counselors Title I: 2.4, 2.5 - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture 				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 1 - School Processes & Programs 1 - Perceptions 1				
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Demogra	aphics
Problem Statement 1 : High percentage of economically disadvantaged and at-risk students more often than others	Root Cause: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools
Student L	earning
Problem Statement 1 : High percentage of economically disadvantaged and at-risk students more often than others	Root Cause: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: High percentage of economically disadvantaged and at-risk students Root Cause: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 1: High percentage of economically disadvantaged and at-risk students **Root Cause**: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Goal 1: By the end of the 23-24 school year, 85% of ILTexas campuses will receive an overall rating of C or higher for their statewide accountability rating, an increase from 75% achieving a C or higher.

Performance Objective 3: Improve performance on state, district, and campus testing by increasing student daily attendance to at least 96% and ensure benchmark and district/campus assessment are taken by 100% of students.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR assessment, Attendance report

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Provide instructional materials for teachers and students for use in Reading/ELA, Social Studies, Math and	Formative			Summative
Science to include technology, consumable resources, manipulatives, bilingual dictionaries, as well as STAAR aligned resources to aid in preparation of State Assessments (including Computers, Computer monitors, computer equipment, Study Island, All in Learning, STAAR Master, Brain Pop, Measuring Up, MAP, etc.)	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student academic achievement				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, Grade Level Administrators, Teachers				
 Title I: 2.4, 2.5 TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 1 - School Processes & Programs 1 - Perceptions 1 				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Provide online programs to include Study Island, MAP, Measuring Up, and other online resources to enhance		Formative		Summative
 instruction and increase student academic achievement. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student academic achievement Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, Grade Level Administrator and Teachers Title I: 2.5 TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 1 - School Processes & Programs 1 - Perceptions 1 	Nov	Jan	May	June

Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: Provide incentives for students that are showing growth in attendance & usage in academic online programs	Formative		ative Summati	
 such as I-Station & Imagine Math. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student on all performance measures in achievement in math and Formative Summative Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, GLA 	Nov	Jan	May	June
 Title I: 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture Problem Statements: Demographics 1, 4 - Student Learning 1, 5 - School Processes & Programs 1, 3 - Perceptions 1, 3 Funding Sources: - 461 - Campus Activity - \$1,000 				
Image: Weight of the second	X Discont	tinue	1	1

Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: High percentage of economically disadvantaged and at-risk students Root Cause: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 4: Inefficient progress monitoring of students' data and progress on computer programs Root Cause: PD needed on how to use the data and how to monitor students usage on such programs.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: High percentage of economically disadvantaged and at-risk students Root Cause: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 5: Inefficient progress monitoring of students' data and progress on computer programs Root Cause: PD needed on how to use the data and how to monitor students usage on such programs.

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: High percentage of economically disadvantaged and at-risk students Root Cause: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 3: Inefficient progress monitoring of students' data and progress on computer programs Root Cause: PD needed on how to use the data and how to monitor students usage on such programs.

Perceptions

Problem Statement 1: High percentage of economically disadvantaged and at-risk students Root Cause: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 3: Inefficient progress monitoring of students' data and progress on computer programs Root Cause: PD needed on how to use the data and how to monitor students usage on such programs.

Goal 1: By the end of the 23-24 school year, 85% of ILTexas campuses will receive an overall rating of C or higher for their statewide accountability rating, an increase from 75% achieving a C or higher.

Performance Objective 4: Provide all students the opportunity to attend off campus field trips to enhance classroom instruction for the 2023-24 School Year

Strategy 1 Details Re			eviews		
Strategy 1: Assistant Principals and Grade Level Administrators will identify field trips for all grade levels that are aligned	Formative S			Summative	
to the classroom instruction and impact to student achievement. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in student achievement/ success as evidenced on formal and informal assessments. Provide off-campus hands-on learning opportunities for economically disadvantaged students. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Grade Level administrators, Teachers	Nov	Jan	May	June	
 Title I: 2.5 TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 1 - School Processes & Programs 1 - Perceptions 1 Funding Sources: - 211 - Title 1-A - \$2,500 					
Image: Weight of the second	X Discon	tinue	1	•	

Performance Objective 4 Problem Statements:

Demographics				
Problem Statement 1: High percentage of economically disadvantaged and at-risk students Root Cause: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others				
Student Learning				
Problem Statement 1: High percentage of economically disadvantaged and at risk students. Post Cause: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools				

Problem Statement 1: High percentage of economically disadvantaged and at-risk students Root Cause: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: High percentage of economically disadvantaged and at-risk students Root Cause: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 1: High percentage of economically disadvantaged and at-risk students **Root Cause**: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Goal 2: By the end of the 23-24 school year, at least 75% of students graduate meeting their language goals (students enrolled at ILTexas 7 years or more are at least at Intermediate or above), 95% of students will have completed their service hour requirements and 75% of graduates will have met their Interactive Health Technology goals.

Performance Objective 1: Improve performance on campus AAPPL testing and increase ACTFL performance rating by at least one language sub-level by June 2024.

Evaluation Data Sources: AAPPL and ACTFL rating.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: Bring in subject matter expert, Spanish or Bilingual Instructional coach and consultants to help ILTexas	Formative			Summative	
teachers, administrators, and district support staff strengthen their ability to support our ESL, DLI and other programs that will increase student achievement and language acquisition.	Nov	Jan	May	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased language acquisition in Spanish and Chinese					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals					
Title I: 2.5 - TEA Priorities:					
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 1 - School Processes & Programs 1 - Perceptions 1					
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 2: Incorporate more Spanish and Chinese usage throughout the campus with students and staff.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased language acquisition	Nov	Jan	May	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals, Assistant Principals, Teachers, Staff					
Title I:					
2.5					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 3: Positive School Culture					

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 3: Improve preparation for AAPPL testing by practicing on the platform and focusing on increasing student		Summative			
attendance to enable 100% completion.	Nov	Jan	May	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased completion of AAPPL testing					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, Teachers					
Title I:					
2.5					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 3: Positive School Culture					
Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 1 - School Processes & Programs 1 - Perceptions 1					
Image: No Progress Image: No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discont	inue			

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Demographics						
Problem Statement 1 : High percentage of economically disadvantaged and at-risk students more often than others	Root Cause: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools					
Student Le	earning					
Problem Statement 1 : High percentage of economically disadvantaged and at-risk students more often than others	Root Cause: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools					
School Processes	s & Programs					
Problem Statement 1 : High percentage of economically disadvantaged and at-risk students more often than others	Root Cause: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools					
Percept	tions					
Problem Statement 1 : High percentage of economically disadvantaged and at-risk students more often than others	Root Cause: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools					

Goal 2: By the end of the 23-24 school year, at least 75% of students graduate meeting their language goals (students enrolled at ILTexas 7 years or more are at least at Intermediate or above), 95% of students will have completed their service hour requirements and 75% of graduates will have met their Interactive Health Technology goals.

Performance Objective 2: By the end of 23-24 school year, at least 36% (federal target) of EL/EB students will have made one proficiency level progress in English language acquisition.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: TELPAS 22-23

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: Bring in subject matter expert, Spanish or Bilingual Instructional coach and consultants to help ILTexas	Formative			Summative	
teachers, administrators, and district support staff strengthen their ability to support our ESL, DLI and other programs that will increase student achievement and language acquisition.	Nov	Jan	May	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased language acquisition in Spanish and Chinese					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals					
Title I: 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Demographics 2 - Student Learning 2					
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 2: Provide opportunities for students to speak, read and write in English on an ongoing basis.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased academic achievement Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers	Nov	Jan	May	June	
Title I: 2.4 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Demographics 1, 2 - Student Learning 1, 2 - School Processes & Programs 1 - Perceptions 1					
Image: No Progress Image: No Pro	X Discont	inue			

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: High percentage of economically disadvantaged and at-risk students Root Cause: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 2: High percentage of language learners. Root Cause: About 30 percent of our students are EL/EB.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: High percentage of economically disadvantaged and at-risk students Root Cause: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 2: High percentage of language learners. Root Cause: About 30 percent of our students are EL/EB.

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: High percentage of economically disadvantaged and at-risk students Root Cause: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Perceptions

Problem Statement 1: High percentage of economically disadvantaged and at-risk students Root Cause: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Performance Objective 1: ILTexas will provide onboarding training for all new teachers in the classroom throughout the 2023-24 School Year.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Onboarding program, Campus Mentor/Mentee program

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: Create a process for onboarding new teachers to increase support and overall effectiveness of the teachers.	Formative			Summative	
Provide ongoing instructional support to teachers in planning and delivery of effective instruction.	Nov	Jan	May	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase teacher effectiveness and retention			2		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Dean, Instructional Coaches, Principals, Assistant Principals, Grade Level Administrators					
Title I:					
2.4					
- TEA Priorities:					
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers:					
Lever 3: Positive School Culture					
Problem Statements: Demographics 3 - Student Learning 3 - School Processes & Programs 2 - Perceptions 2					
From Statements . Demographics 5 - Student Learning 5 - School Frocesses & Frograms 2 - Fereptions 2					
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 2: Create a more effective mentee/mentor program.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase teacher effectiveness and retention	Nov	Jan	May	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal					
Title I:					
2.4					
- TEA Priorities:					
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 3: Positive School Culture					
Problem Statements: Demographics 3 - Student Learning 3, 4 - School Processes & Programs 2, 5 - Perceptions 2, 5					

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 3: Lack of experienced and certified teachers. Root Cause: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 3: Lack of experienced and certified teachers. Root Cause: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

Problem Statement 4: Ineffective mentorship and coaching for new teachers. **Root Cause**: The current mentor/mentee program and level of coaching for teachers does not always provide enough support to adequately onboard and to ultimately retain those who are new to teaching.

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 2: Lack of experienced and certified teachers. Root Cause: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

Problem Statement 5: Ineffective mentorship and coaching for new teachers. **Root Cause**: The current mentor/mentee program and level of coaching for teachers does not always provide enough support to adequately onboard and to ultimately retain those who are new to teaching.

Perceptions

Problem Statement 2: Lack of experienced and certified teachers. Root Cause: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

Problem Statement 5: Ineffective mentorship and coaching for new teachers. **Root Cause**: The current mentor/mentee program and level of coaching for teachers does not always provide enough support to adequately onboard and to ultimately retain those who are new to teaching.

Performance Objective 2: ILTexas will increase the percent retention of our special education, math and reading teachers by 1% by the end of the 2023-24 School year.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Teacher retention

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: Provide ongoing support, coaching, and positive culture/climate for teachers.	Formative			Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased teacher effectiveness, retention, and student achievement.	Nov	Jan May		June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, Grade Level Administrators					
Title I:					
2.4, 2.6					
- TEA Priorities:					
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
Problem Statements: Demographics 1, 3 - Student Learning 1, 3 - School Processes & Programs 1, 2 - Perceptions					
1, 2					
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 2: Provide class coverage to allow struggling or inexperienced teachers to observe master teachers on campus as	Formative Summ			Summative	
vell as off campus locations.	Nov	Jan	May	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased teacher effectiveness, retention, and student achievement.	1107	Jan	Iviay	Juic	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Grade Level Administrators					
Title I:					
2.5					
- TEA Priorities:					
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers:					
Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
Problem Statements: Demographics 3 - Student Learning 3, 4 - School Processes & Programs 2, 5 - Perceptions 2, 5					
		1	1		

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: High percentage of economically disadvantaged and at-risk students Root Cause: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 3: Lack of experienced and certified teachers. Root Cause: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: High percentage of economically disadvantaged and at-risk students Root Cause: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 3: Lack of experienced and certified teachers. Root Cause: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

Problem Statement 4: Ineffective mentorship and coaching for new teachers. **Root Cause**: The current mentor/mentee program and level of coaching for teachers does not always provide enough support to adequately onboard and to ultimately retain those who are new to teaching.

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: High percentage of economically disadvantaged and at-risk students Root Cause: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 2: Lack of experienced and certified teachers. Root Cause: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

Problem Statement 5: Ineffective mentorship and coaching for new teachers. **Root Cause**: The current mentor/mentee program and level of coaching for teachers does not always provide enough support to adequately onboard and to ultimately retain those who are new to teaching.

Perceptions

Problem Statement 1: High percentage of economically disadvantaged and at-risk students Root Cause: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 2: Lack of experienced and certified teachers. Root Cause: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

Problem Statement 5: Ineffective mentorship and coaching for new teachers. Root Cause: The current mentor/mentee program and level of coaching for teachers does not always provide enough support to adequately onboard and to ultimately retain those who are new to teaching.

Campus Funding Summary

	461 - Campus Activity						
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed Account Code	Amount			
1	3	3		\$1,000.00			
			Sub-Total	\$1,000.00			
			211 - Title 1-A				
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed Account Code	Amount			
1	1	2		\$2,500.00			
1	3	2		\$3,000.00			
1	4	1		\$2,500.00			
·		· · ·	Sub-Total	\$8,000.00			