



Neilson Research Corporation
245 S Grape St
Medford, OR 97501
TEL: (541) 770-5678 FAX: (541) 770-2901
Website: www.nrclabs.com

April 28, 2022

Ron Havniar
Medford School District
815 S Oakdale Ave
Medford, OR 97501
TEL: 541-842-3646
FAX 541-842-1160

RE: 21-40 Lone Pine Lead Testing

Order No.: 22040997

Dear Ron Havniar:

Neilson Research Corporation received 2 sample(s) on 4/20/2022 for the analyses presented in the following report.

The results relate only to the parameters tested or to the sample as received by the laboratory. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of Neilson Research Corporation. If you have any questions regarding these test results, please feel free to call.

Sincerely,
Neilson Research Corporation

Tamra Schmedemann
Senior Project Manager
245 S Grape St
Medford, OR 97501



Original



**NEILSON
RESEARCH
CORPORATION**

Neilson Research Corporation
245 S Grape St
Medford, OR 97501
TEL: (541) 770-5678 FAX: (541) 770-2901
Website: www.nrclabs.com

Case Narrative

WO#: 22040997
Date: 4/28/2022

CLIENT: Medford School District
Project: 21-40 Lone Pine Lead Testing

The analyses were performed according to the guidelines in the Neilson Research Corporation Quality Assurance Program. This report contains analytical results for the sample(s) as received by the laboratory.

Neilson Research Corporation certifies that this report is in compliance with the requirements of NELAP. No unusual difficulties were experienced during analysis of this batch except as noted below or qualified with data flags on the reports.

Original



Neilson Research Corporation
 245 S Grape St
 Medford, OR 97501
 TEL: (541) 770-5678 FAX: (541) 770-2901
 Website: www.nrclabs.com

Analytical Report

WO#: 22040997
 Date Reported: 4/28/2022

Medford School District
 815 S Oakdale Ave
 Medford, OR 97501

Lab Order: 22040997
Received Date: 4/20/2022 11:05:00 AM
Reported Date: 4/28/2022 11:25:18 AM

Sample Information:

Lab ID: 22040997-01 Client Sample ID: Staff Break Room Fridge- Ice Maker (Lt)
 Collection Date: 4/20/2022 7:50:00 AM Collected By: Roger Moulard
 Matrix: Drinking Water Sample Location: Staff Break Room Fridge- Ice Maker (Lt)

Trace Metals by EPA 200.8 ICP-MS							Analyst: SJS		
Analyses	Result	Qual	MRL	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	MCL	NELAP Status	
Lead	7.42		0.500	ppb	1	4/22/2022	15.0	A	

Lab ID: 22040997-02 Client Sample ID: Kitchen-Hand Sink-Eye Wash (On Island)
 Collection Date: 4/20/2022 7:45:00 AM Collected By: Roger Moulard
 Matrix: Drinking Water Sample Location: Kitchen-Hand Sink-Eye Wash (On Island)

Trace Metals by EPA 200.8 ICP-MS							Analyst: SJS		
Analyses	Result	Qual	MRL	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	MCL	NELAP Status	
Lead	351	*	5.00	ppb	10	4/27/2022	15.0	A	

QUALIFIERS	* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	C1 Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified at testcode
	E Value above quantitation range	H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
	J Analyte detected below quantitation limits	MI Recovery outside control limits due to Matrix Interference
	ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit	PL Permit Limit
	R RPD outside accepted recovery limits	

NELAP NELAP A Accredited in accordance with NELAP ORELAP 100016, OR-028

Original

Results are out of the EPA limits



Neilson Research Corporation
 245 S Grape St
 Medford, OR 97501
 TEL: (541) 770-5678 FAX: (541) 770-2901
 Website: www.nrclabs.com

QC SUMMARY REPORT

WO#: 22040997
 28-Apr-22

Client: Medford School District
Project: 21-40 Lone Pine Lead Testing

TestCode: LEAD_DW

Sample ID: MB-16514	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: LEAD_DW	Units: ppb	Prep Date: 4/21/2022	RunNo: 29334
Client ID: PBW	Batch ID: 16514	TestNo: E200.8	E200.8	Analysis Date: 4/22/2022	SeqNo: 480825
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC LowLimit HighLimit RPD Ref Val %RPD RPDLimit Qual

Lead ND 0.500

Sample ID: LCS-16514	SampType: LCS	TestCode: LEAD_DW	Units: ppb	Prep Date: 4/21/2022	RunNo: 29334
Client ID: LCSW	Batch ID: 16514	TestNo: E200.8	E200.8	Analysis Date: 4/22/2022	SeqNo: 480826
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC LowLimit HighLimit RPD Ref Val %RPD RPDLimit Qual

Lead 103 0.500 100 0 103 85 115

Sample ID: 22040997-02AMS	SampType: MS	TestCode: LEAD_DW	Units: ppb	Prep Date: 4/21/2022	RunNo: 29423
Client ID: Kitchen-Hand Sink-	Batch ID: 16514	TestNo: E200.8	E200.8	Analysis Date: 4/27/2022	SeqNo: 482535
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC LowLimit HighLimit RPD Ref Val %RPD RPDLimit Qual

Lead 450 5.00 100 351 98.7 70 130

Sample ID: 22040997-02AMSD	SampType: MSD	TestCode: LEAD_DW	Units: ppb	Prep Date: 4/21/2022	RunNo: 29423
Client ID: Kitchen-Hand Sink-	Batch ID: 16514	TestNo: E200.8	E200.8	Analysis Date: 4/27/2022	SeqNo: 482536
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC LowLimit HighLimit RPD Ref Val %RPD RPDLimit Qual

Lead 449 5.00 100 351 97.9 70 130 450 0.182 20

Qualifiers: * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. C1 Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified at testcode H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
 MI Recovery outside control limits due to Matrix Interference ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit PL Permit Limit
 RL Reporting Detection Limit




Original

Sample Log-In Check List

Client Name: **MedfordSchoolDist**

Work Order Number: **22040997**

RcptNo: **1**

Logged by:	Haylee Crowe	4/20/2022 11:05:00 AM	
Completed By:	Michelle Harsh	4/21/2022 10:22:09 AM	
Reviewed By:	Dorie Maier	4/28/2022 11:21:19 AM	

Chain of Custody

1. Is Chain of Custody complete? Yes No Not Present
2. How was the sample delivered? Client

Log In

3. Coolers are present? Yes No NA
4. Shipping container/cooler in good condition? Yes No
- Custody seals intact on shipping container/cooler? Yes No Not Present
- No. Seal Date: Signed By:
5. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes No NA
6. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes No NA
7. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes No
8. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes No
9. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes No
10. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No NA
11. Is the headspace in the VOA vials less than 1/4 inch or 6 mm? Yes No HNO3 pH <2
No VOA Vials
12. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No
13. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No
(Note discrepancies on chain of custody)
14. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No
15. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No
16. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No
(If no, notify customer for authorization.)

Special Handling (if applicable)

17. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA

Person Notified:	<input type="text"/>	Date	<input type="text"/>
By Whom:	<input type="text"/>	Via:	<input type="checkbox"/> eMail <input type="checkbox"/> Phone <input type="checkbox"/> Fax <input type="checkbox"/> In Person
Regarding:	<input type="text"/>		
Client Instructions:	<input type="text"/>		

18. Additional remarks:

Cooler Information

Cooler No	Temp °C	Condition	Seal Intact	Seal No	Seal Date	Signed By
-----------	---------	-----------	-------------	---------	-----------	-----------

-
- B Analyte detected in the associated method blank.
 - BA BOD Alternative Calculation: The initial results performed by Standard Methods did not fall within parameters of the Standard Methods calculation. An alternate approved calculation was performed using the HACH method and the value reported is an estimated concentration.
 - C Sample(s) does not meet NELAP/ORELAP sample acceptance criteria. See Case Narrative.
 - C1 Sample(s) does not meet NELAP/ORELAP sample acceptance criteria for temperature.
 - CF Results confirmed by re-analysis.
 - CU Cleanup performed as specified by method.
 - D1 The diesel elution pattern for the sample is not typical.
 - D2 The sample appears to be a heavier hydrocarbon range than diesel.
 - D3 The sample appears to be a lighter hydrocarbon range than diesel.
 - D4 Detected hydrocarbons do not have pattern and range consistent with typical petroleum products and may be due to biogenic interference.
 - D5 Detected hydrocarbons in the diesel range appear to be weathered diesel.
 - E Estimated value.
 - ER Elevated reporting limit due to matrix. Report limits (MDLs, MRLs & PQLs) are adjusted based on variations in sample preparation amounts, analytical dilutions, and percent solids, where applicable.
 - FC Fecal Coliforms: Sample(s) received past 40 CFR Part 136 specified holding time. Results reported as estimated values.
 - G1 The gasoline elution pattern for the sample is not typical.
 - G2 The sample appears to be a heavier hydrocarbon range than gasoline.
 - G3 The sample appears to be a lighter hydrocarbon range than gasoline.
 - G4 Detected hydrocarbons in the gasoline range appear to be weathered gasoline.
 - HP Sample re-analysis performed outside of method specified holding time.
 - HR Sample received outside of method specified holding time.
 - HS Sample analyzed for volatile organics contained headspace.
 - HT At the client's request, the sample was analyzed outside of method specified holding time.
 - H Analysis performed outside of method specified holding time.
 - J Analyte detected below the Minimum Reporting Limit (MRL) and above the Method Detection Limit (MDL). The J flag result is an estimated value and the user should be aware that this data is of limited reliability.
 - L Dissolved metals were not filtered within 15 minutes of collection per 40 CFR Part 136.
 - MI Surrogate, Duplicate Sample (DUP) or Matrix Spikes recoveries are out of control limits due to matrix interference. Sample results may be biased.
 - N See Case Narrative on page 2 of report.
 - NLR No Legionella Recovered.
 - PLR Presence of Legionella Recovered.
 - Q Initial calibration verification (ICV), continuing calibration verification (CCV) or laboratory control sample (LCS) exceeded high recovery limits, but associated samples are non-detect and the sample results are not affected. Data meets EPA/NELAP requirements.
 - R Relative percent difference (RPD) is outside of the accepted recovery limits.
 - R1 Relative percent difference (RPD) is outside of the accepted recovery limits. However, analyses are not controlled on RPD values for sample concentrations that are less than the reporting limit.
 - R3 The relative percent difference (RPD) and/or percent recovery for the duplicate (DUP) or matrix spike (MS)/matrix spike duplicate (MSD) cannot be accurately calculated due to the concentration of analyte already present in the sample.
 - R4 Duplicate analysis failed due to result being at or near the method reporting limit.
 - S Surrogate and/or matrix spike recovery is outside of the accepted recovery limits. Sample results may be biased.
 - S1 Surrogate or matrix spike recovery is outside of control limits due to dilution necessary for analysis.
 - SC Sub-contracted to another laboratory for analysis.
 - SP Sample(s) were not collected per EPA Method 5035A protocols. The results are considered minimum values.
 - # Value exceeds regulatory level for TCLP contaminant.
 - X1 The motor oil elution pattern for the sample is not typical.
 - X2 The sample appears to be a heavier hydrocarbon range than motor oil.
 - X3 The sample appears to be a lighter hydrocarbon range than motor oil.
 - * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level or is outside the acceptable range.