



School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) Template

Instructions and requirements for completing the SPSA template may be found in the SPSA Template Instructions.

School Name	County-District-School (CDS) Code	Schoolsite Council (SSC) Approval Date	Local Board Approval Date
Mission Early College High School	43-69674-0135970	April 26, 2023	June 8, 2023

Purpose and Description

Briefly describe the purpose of this plan (Select from Schoolwide Program, Comprehensive Support and Improvement, Targeted Support and Improvement, or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement)

Schoolwide Program

Briefly describe the school's plan for effectively meeting the ESSA requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan and other federal, state, and local programs.

Table of Contents

SPSA Title Page	1
Purpose and Description.....	1
Table of Contents.....	3
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components	5
Data Analysis	5
Surveys	5
Classroom Observations.....	5
Analysis of Current Instructional Program.....	5
Educational Partner Involvement	8
Resource Inequities	8
School and Student Performance Data	9
Student Enrollment.....	9
CAASPP Results.....	11
ELPAC Results	15
Student Population.....	17
Overall Performance	19
Academic Performance.....	21
Academic Engagement.....	27
Conditions & Climate.....	30
Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures.....	32
Goal 1.....	32
Goal 2.....	36
Goal 3.....	40
Goal 4.....	43
Goal 5.....	45
Budget Summary	49
Budget Summary	49
Other Federal, State, and Local Funds	49
Budgeted Funds and Expenditures in this Plan.....	50
Funds Budgeted to the School by Funding Source.....	50
Expenditures by Funding Source	50
Expenditures by Budget Reference	50
Expenditures by Budget Reference and Funding Source	50
Expenditures by Goal.....	50
School Site Council Membership	52
Recommendations and Assurances	53

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components

Data Analysis

Please refer to the School and Student Performance Data section where an analysis is provided.

Surveys

This section provides a description of surveys (i.e., Student, Parent, Teacher) used during the school-year, and a summary of results from the survey(s).

MECHS will conduct an annual survey to provide the administration with feedback on areas of strength, areas of need, and desired direction for the school. Students and parents will be surveyed on an ad hoc basis. Such surveys include a recent survey on the proposed SPSA goals.

Classroom Observations

This section provides a description of types and frequency of classroom observations conducted during the school-year and a summary of findings.

Administrator conducts an average of 1 classroom observation each week. This includes shorter informal drop-in visits and longer formal observations. The majority of observations showed highly engaged lessons with students participating in their learning. A structure will be set to enable teachers to visit other Early/Middle College High Schools to observe other teachers, with substitute teachers being provided to allow this to happen.

Analysis of Current Instructional Program

The following statements are derived from the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 1965 and Essential Program Components (EPCs). In conjunction with the needs assessments, these categories may be used to discuss and develop critical findings that characterize current instructional practice for numerically significant subgroups as well as individual students who are:

- Not meeting performance goals
- Meeting performance goals
- Exceeding performance goals

Discussion of each of these statements should result in succinct and focused findings based on verifiable facts. Avoid vague or general descriptions. Each successive school plan should examine the status of these findings and note progress made. Special consideration should be given to any practices, policies, or procedures found to be noncompliant through ongoing monitoring of categorical programs.

Standards, Assessment, and Accountability

Use of state and local assessments to modify instruction and improve student achievement (ESEA)

All students are assessed using state-mandated and district-adopted assessments. Data is regularly analyzed by teachers and administrator to monitor student learning and improve instruction.

Use of data to monitor student progress on curriculum-embedded assessments and modify instruction (EPC)

All students are assessed using multiple measures including site-based, classroom and curriculum-embedded assessments. Data is frequently analyzed by teachers and administrators to monitor student learning and improve instruction.

Staffing and Professional Development

Status of meeting requirements for highly qualified staff (ESEA)

100% of MECHS teachers are highly qualified.

Sufficiency of credentialed teachers and teacher professional development (e.g., access to instructional materials training on SBE-adopted instructional materials) (EPC)

Teachers of core academic subjects possess the appropriate credential, authorization or intern credential and demonstrate subject matter knowledge and competence. The school/district provides professional development for teachers in all subject areas, grade levels, special education, ELD and technology that focus on full implementation of district-adopted curriculum, pacing guides, and California Standards.

Alignment of staff development to content standards, assessed student performance, and professional needs (ESEA)

All professional development is designed to support transition to and implementation of the California Standards and 21st century teaching and learning practices.

Ongoing instructional assistance and support for teachers (e.g., use of content experts and instructional coaches) (EPC)

Teachers receive support with instruction through ongoing professional development, coaching and collaboration. Instructional leadership providers include TOSA's, administrator, department chairpersons, grade level leads, teacher leaders, and outside consultants.

Teacher collaboration by grade level (kindergarten through grade eight [K–8]) and department (grades nine through twelve) (EPC)

Designated time for teacher collaboration occurs on a regular basis.

Teaching and Learning

Alignment of curriculum, instruction, and materials to content and performance standards (ESEA)

All instructional materials are aligned, or in the process of becoming aligned, to the California Standards (e.g., Common Core, History and Social Studies, and ELA/ELD Framework).

Adherence to recommended instructional minutes for reading/language arts and mathematics (K–8) (EPC)

The school complies with and monitors the daily implementation of recommended and required instructional time for all content areas, specifically, English Language Arts, Social Studies, and Mathematics.

Lesson pacing schedule (K–8) and master schedule flexibility for sufficient numbers of intervention courses (EPC)

Supporting struggling students is a priority across our TK-12 programs. In Elementary, teachers collaborate with specialists, SAI teachers and administrative staff to determine intervention schedules. In Secondary, building the master schedule is centered upon prioritization of Intervention courses.

Availability of standards-based instructional materials appropriate to all student groups (ESEA)

Every student has access to standards-based instructional materials as required by the Williams Act.

Use of SBE-adopted and standards-aligned instructional materials, including intervention materials, and for high school students, access to standards-aligned core courses (EPC)

All teachers use instructional materials aligned to the California standards, including intervention materials to help differentiate instruction.

Opportunity and Equal Educational Access

Services provided by the regular program that enable underperforming students to meet standards (ESEA)

Underperforming students are offered a range of supports including (for example) differentiated instruction, inclusion, tutoring, homework clubs, and academic workshop classes. Supports are based on individual student needs.

Evidence-based educational practices to raise student achievement

The district's teachers consistently use research-based educational practices to raise student achievement, including (for example) Reading/Writing Workshop, Reading Recovery, RIS, Balanced Literacy (shared and guided reading/writing), leveled readers/reading materials, direct instruction (including spelling, phonics and vocabulary development), Total Physical Response (TPR), oral language development, SDAIE, ALEKS, READ 180, target meetings, assessment walls, Marzano's Effective Strategies, Understanding by Design (UbD), and Gradual Release of Responsibility.

Parental Engagement

Resources available from family, school, district, and community to assist under-achieving students (ESEA)

Programs that support at-risk students include PIP, support classes, SOAR after school intervention, clubs, mentoring programs, tutoring, counseling, LIT, Reading Recovery, Extended Day, State Preschool, Before and After School Programs sports, library, Healthy Play, summer school, alternative and opportunities programs, and educational options.

Involvement of parents, community representatives, classroom teachers, other school personnel, and students in secondary schools, in the planning, implementation, and evaluation of ConApp programs (5 California Code of Regulations 3932)

The School Site Council (SSC) determines the activities and services that will be implemented to support all students' academic achievement. The SSC will monitor the school's SPSA throughout the year.

Funding

Services provided by categorical funds that enable underperforming students to meet standards (ESEA)

The School Site Council (SSC) allocates funds towards activities, materials, staffing and other services that accelerate learning for underperforming students. MECHS staff and administrator provide recommendations to the SSC on the particular needs of at risk student groups.

Fiscal support (EPC)

The school's/district's general and categorical funds are coordinated, prioritized and allocated to align with the district's LCAP goals and the school's SPSA goals.

Educational Partner Involvement

How, when, and with whom did the school consult as part of the planning process for this SPSA/Annual Review and Update?

Involvement Process for the SPSA and Annual Review and Update

The SPSA was created through the efforts of all MECHS community stakeholders, including students, parents, staff, and administration. Feedback was gathered through various surveys of parents and students. Additionally, school data was collected and analyzed during the 2022-23 school year. Information was shared regularly with the School Site Council which reviews the SPSA annually. Updates are made as needed during the school year to accommodate necessary services and supports for implementation of the SPSA.

Resource Inequities

Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment, as applicable.

School and Student Performance Data

Student Enrollment Enrollment By Student Group

Student Enrollment Enrollment By Grade Level

Student Enrollment by Grade Level			
Grade	Number of Students		
	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 9		43	41
Grade 10	31	30	51
Grade 11	45	32	39
Grade 12	40	41	28
Total Enrollment	116	146	159

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Classifications for SBAC do not align with ethnic categories in Aeries, e.g., Filipino is not reported in Aeries and students are classified as Pacific Islander.
2. Lack of race/ethnicity choices seems to skew reporting data. For example, many Middle Eastern and North African students who do not consider themselves as Black/African American, choose "white" ethnicity or "Other Asian."

School and Student Performance Data

Student Enrollment English Learner (EL) Enrollment

English Learner (EL) Enrollment						
Student Group	Number of Students			Percent of Students		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
English Learners	6	8	10	5.20%	5.5%	6.3%
Fluent English Proficient (FEP)	54	60	70	46.60%	41.1%	44.0%
Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP)	1			16.7%		

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Higher number of students at RFEP level (38% of students) as compared to FEP and EL.
2. Reasonable given the minimum EL level needed for mainstream English only classes. No SDAIE or EL courses offered.

School and Student Performance Data

CAASPP Results English Language Arts/Literacy (All Students)

Overall Participation for All Students												
Grade Level	# of Students Enrolled			# of Students Tested			# of Students with			% of Enrolled Students		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 11	45	31		34	30		34	30		75.6	96.8	
All Grades	45	31		34	30		34	30		75.6	96.8	

The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

Overall Achievement for All Students															
Grade Level	Mean Scale Score			% Standard			% Standard Met			% Standard Nearly			% Standard Not		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 11	2677.	2691.		52.94	56.67		35.29	33.33		5.88	6.67		5.88	3.33	
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	52.94	56.67		35.29	33.33		5.88	6.67		5.88	3.33	

Reading Demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 11	55.88	46.67		41.18	46.67		2.94	6.67	
All Grades	55.88	46.67		41.18	46.67		2.94	6.67	

Writing Producing clear and purposeful writing									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 11	35.29	66.67		61.76	26.67		2.94	6.67	
All Grades	35.29	66.67		61.76	26.67		2.94	6.67	

Listening Demonstrating effective communication skills									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 11	35.29	16.67		55.88	76.67		8.82	6.67	
All Grades	35.29	16.67		55.88	76.67		8.82	6.67	

Research/Inquiry Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 11	44.12	43.33		55.88	50.00		0.00	6.67	
All Grades	44.12	43.33		55.88	50.00		0.00	6.67	

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Approximately 46% of students exceeded ELA standards.
2. Approximately 26% of students met ELA standards.
3. Percentage of students that performed below standard was 3% higher than the previous year. As there is no correlation between 2017 and 2018 student groups, this may be due to the deliberate concerted effort to recruit students who may not necessarily be high performing, but who have the desire and capability of growing into their potential.

School and Student Performance Data

CAASPP Results Mathematics (All Students)

Overall Participation for All Students												
Grade Level	# of Students Enrolled			# of Students Tested			# of Students with			% of Enrolled Students		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 11	45	31		34	30		34	30		75.6	96.8	
All Grades	45	31		34	30		34	30		75.6	96.8	

* The “% of Enrolled Students Tested” showing in this table is not the same as “Participation Rate” for federal accountability purposes.

Overall Achievement for All Students															
Grade Level	Mean Scale Score			% Standard			% Standard Met			% Standard Nearly			% Standard Not		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 11	2644.	2619.		26.47	16.67		20.59	33.33		38.24	20.00		14.71	30.00	
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	26.47	16.67		20.59	33.33		38.24	20.00		14.71	30.00	

Concepts & Procedures Applying mathematical concepts and procedures									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 11	26.47	20.00		64.71	56.67		8.82	23.33	
All Grades	26.47	20.00		64.71	56.67		8.82	23.33	

Problem Solving & Modeling/Data Analysis Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve real world and mathematical problems									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 11	29.41	23.33		52.94	56.67		17.65	20.00	
All Grades	29.41	23.33		52.94	56.67		17.65	20.00	

Communicating Reasoning Demonstrating ability to support mathematical conclusions									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
Grade 11	26.47	20.00		61.76	50.00		11.76	30.00	
All Grades	26.47	20.00		61.76	50.00		11.76	30.00	

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Approximately 23% of students exceeded Math standards.
2. Approximately 26% of students met Math standards.

3. The Problem Solving and Modeling Data/Analysis section of the test is the area of greatest challenge for students as it reflects the greatest percentage of students performing below standard.

School and Student Performance Data

ELPAC Results

ELPAC Summative Assessment Data Number of Students and Mean Scale Scores for All Students												
Grade Level	Overall			Oral Language			Written Language			Number of Students Tested		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
10	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
All Grades										4	4	

Overall Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students															
Grade Level	Level 4			Level 3			Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
10	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
All Grades	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	

Oral Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students															
Grade Level	Level 4			Level 3			Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
10	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
All Grades	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	

Written Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students															
Grade Level	Level 4			Level 3			Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
10	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
All Grades	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	

Listening Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students													
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students			
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	
10	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		
All Grades	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		

Speaking Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
10	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
All Grades	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	

Reading Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
10	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
All Grades	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	

Writing Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23	20-21	21-22	22-23
10	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
All Grades	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	

Conclusions based on this data:

- 1.

School and Student Performance Data

Student Population

For the past two years, many state and federal accountability requirements were waived or adjusted due to the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on LEAs, schools, and students. Beginning with the 2021-22 school year, the requirements to hold schools and districts accountable for student outcomes has returned with the release of the 2022 California School Dashboard (Dashboard). The Every Student Succeeds Act is requiring all states to determine schools eligible for support. Similarly, under state law, Assembly Bill (AB) 130, which was signed into law in 2021, mandates the return of the Dashboard using only current year performance data to determine LEAs for support. Therefore, to meet this state requirement, only the 2021-22 school year data will be reported on the 2022 Dashboard for state indicators. (Data for Change [or the difference from prior year] and performance colors will not be reported.)

This section provides information about the school's student population.

2021-22 Student Population			
Total Enrollment	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	English Learners	Foster Youth
146	23.3	5.5	Students whose well being is the responsibility of a court.
Total Number of Students enrolled in Mission Early College High School.	Students who are eligible for free or reduced priced meals; or have parents/guardians who did not receive a high school diploma.	Students who are learning to communicate effectively in English, typically requiring instruction in both the English Language and in their academic courses.	

2021-22 Enrollment for All Students/Student Group		
Student Group	Total	Percentage
English Learners	8	5.5
Foster Youth		
Homeless		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	34	23.3
Students with Disabilities	4	2.7

Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity		
Student Group	Total	Percentage
African American	13	8.9
American Indian	1	0.7
Asian	41	28.1
Filipino	5	3.4
Hispanic	31	21.2
Two or More Races	11	7.5
Pacific Islander	1	0.7
White	41	28.1

Conclusions based on this data:


1. The Race/Ethnicity categories do not capture all students given the total student enrollment number is not met with these specific subgroup categories and numbers.
2. Need expanded race/ethnicity options.

School and Student Performance Data

Overall Performance

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, state law allows the 2022 Dashboard to only display the most current year of data (also known as Status). For this year only, performance levels will be reported using one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low) for state measures. Please note that the Status levels associated with the Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension Rate Indicators are reversed (ranging from Very Low, Low, Medium, High, and Very High). Information regarding this year's Dashboard data is available within the [Dashboard Communications Toolkit](#).

Because performance on state measures is based on current year (i.e., 2021-22) results only for the 2022 Dashboard, the color dials have been replaced with one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low).



2022 Fall Dashboard Overall Performance for All Students

Academic Performance	Academic Engagement	Conditions & Climate
English Language Arts Very High	Graduation Rate Very High	Suspension Rate Medium
Mathematics Medium		
English Learner Progress No Performance Level		
College/Career Not Reported in 2022		

Conclusions based on this data:


1. Doing well with moving students to high school graduation.
2. Making progress with preparing students for college and career readiness.

School and Student Performance Data


Academic Performance English Language Arts

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, state law allows the 2022 Dashboard to only display the most current year of data (also known as Status). For this year only, performance levels will be reported using one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low) for state measures. Please note that the Status levels associated with the Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension Rate Indicators are reversed (ranging from Very Low, Low, Medium, High, and Very High). Information regarding this year's Dashboard data is available within the [Dashboard Communications Toolkit](#).


Because performance on state measures is based on current year (i.e., 2021-22) results only for the 2022 Dashboard, the color dials have been replaced with one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low).




This section provides number of student groups in each level.



This section provides a view of how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on either the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.



2022 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance by Race/Ethnicity



This section provides additional information on distance from standard for current English learners, prior or Reclassified English learners, and English Only students in English Language Arts.

2022 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Data Comparisons for English Learners

Current English Learner	Reclassified English Learners	English Only
	3 Students	87.7 points above standard 17 Students

Conclusions based on this data:

- 1.

School and Student Performance Data


Academic Performance Mathematics

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, state law allows the 2022 Dashboard to only display the most current year of data (also known as Status). For this year only, performance levels will be reported using one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low) for state measures. Please note that the Status levels associated with the Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension Rate Indicators are reversed (ranging from Very Low, Low, Medium, High, and Very High). Information regarding this year's Dashboard data is available within the [Dashboard Communications Toolkit](#).


Because performance on state measures is based on current year (i.e., 2021-22) results only for the 2022 Dashboard, the color dials have been replaced with one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low).




This section provides number of student groups in each level.



This section provides a view of how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance either on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.



2022 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance by Race/Ethnicity



This section provides additional information on distance from standard for current English learners, prior or Reclassified English learners, and English Only students in mathematics

2022 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Data Comparisons for English Learners

Current English Learner	Reclassified English Learners	English Only
	3 Students	0.5 points above standard 17 Students

Conclusions based on this data:

1.


School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance English Learner Progress

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, state law allows the 2022 Dashboard to only display the most current year of data (also known as Status). For this year only, performance levels will be reported using one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low) for state measures. Please note that the Status levels associated with the Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension Rate Indicators are reversed (ranging from Very Low, Low, Medium, High, and Very High). Information regarding this year's Dashboard data is available within the [Dashboard Communications Toolkit](#).

This section provides information on the percentage of current EL students making progress towards English language proficiency or maintaining the highest level.

2022 Fall Dashboard English Learner Progress Indicator



This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students who progressed at least one ELPI level, maintained ELPI level 4, maintained lower ELPI levels (i.e., levels 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H), or decreased at least one ELPI Level.

2022 Fall Dashboard Student English Language Acquisition Results

Decreased One ELPI Level	Maintained ELPI Level 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H	Maintained ELPI Level 4	Progressed At Least One ELPI Level
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Conclusions based on this data:

- Two students with moderately developed performance on the ELPAC.
- One student with somewhat developed performance on the ELPAC.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance College/Career Report

College/Career data provides information on whether high school students are prepared for success after graduation based on measures like graduation rate, performance on state tests, and college credit courses. College/Career data was not reported in 2022.


Conclusions based on this data:

- 1.


School and Student Performance Data

Academic Engagement Graduation Rate


Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, state law allows the 2022 Dashboard to only display the most current year of data (also known as Status). For this year only, performance levels will be reported using one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low) for state measures. Please note that the Status levels associated with the Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension Rate Indicators are reversed (ranging from Very Low, Low, Medium, High, and Very High). Information regarding this year's Dashboard data is available within the [Dashboard Communications Toolkit](#).




This section provides number of student groups in each level.



This section provides information about students completing high school, which includes students who receive a standard high school diploma.



2022 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate by Race/Ethnicity



Conclusions based on this data:

- 1.

School and Student Performance Data


Conditions & Climate Suspension Rate

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, state law allows the 2022 Dashboard to only display the most current year of data (also known as Status). For this year only, performance levels will be reported using one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low) for state measures. Please note that the Status levels associated with the Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension Rate Indicators are reversed (ranging from Very Low, Low, Medium, High, and Very High). Information regarding this year's Dashboard data is available within the [Dashboard Communications Toolkit](#).


Because performance on state measures is based on current year (i.e., 2021-22) results only for the 2022 Dashboard, the color dials have been replaced with one of five Status levels (ranging from Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low).




This section provides number of student groups in each level.



This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 12 who have been suspended at least once in a given school year. Students who are suspended multiple times are only counted once.



2022 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate by Race/Ethnicity



Conclusions based on this data:

- 1.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal Subject

English Language Arts (ELA)

District Priorities/LCAP Goals/District Focus Areas Alignment

LCAP Goals:

1. All students will make measurable annual progress toward mastering California Standards and toward graduation. We will raise student achievement overall and reduce the achievement gap between student groups.
2. All students will demonstrate progress in elements of the SCUSD Vision 2035 Graduate Portrait.
3. SCUSD will partner with family, business and community stakeholders to ensure that all students make progress in elements of the Graduate Portrait.

Goal 1

At least 85% of students will score Meets Standard and/or Above Standard on the ELA CAASPP

Identified Need

Improve English, reading and writing level of students not meeting performance goals.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
At least 85% of students meet proficiency on the CAASPP SBAC ELA test.	90% of students measured proficient or above on the CAASPP SBAC ELA.	Maintain a minimum of 85% of students who meet/exceed proficiency on the CAASPP SBAC ELA test.

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Strategy/Activity 1

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

Strategy/Activity

Work with students to ensure progress is being made for all those reading two or more years below grade level.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

None Specified
Planning/writing, professional development

Strategy/Activity 2

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

Strategy/Activity

Provide and update supplementary instructional materials to ELA and/or SS.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

3,000.00

Targeted Allocation
4000-4999: Books And Supplies
Materials, supplies

Strategy/Activity 3

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

Strategy/Activity

Purchase books, magazines, subscriptions, videos or other relevant materials for classroom libraries and Book Room to support students' literacy development in ELA and/or SS.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

2,000.00

Targeted Allocation
4000-4999: Books And Supplies
Professional development, conferences, travel, substitutes

Strategy/Activity 5

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

Strategy/Activity

Provide opportunities for field trips to enhance learning and expose students to places, events, and activities with historical and literary relevance.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

2,000.00

Source(s)

Targeted Allocation
5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures
Travel, Transportation, Food

Strategy/Activity 6

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

Strategy/Activity

WASC Recommendation: School-wide use of Common Core State Standards to plan and assess student learning to ensure all students achieve 21st century learning goals.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

None Specified

Strategy/Activity 7

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

Strategy/Activity

WASC Recommendation: Teacher consensus regarding school-wide practices for instruction and assessment to increase equitable outcomes for students.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2022-23

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

English teachers were able to create lesson plans that aligned with and incorporated the CCSS.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

None.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

To further support this goal, we will be utilizing additional supplemental resources designed to build stronger writers through interest-based curriculum, adaptive exercises, and actionable data.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal Subject

Mathematics

District Priorities/LCAP Goals/District Focus Areas Alignment

LCAP Goals:

1. All students will make measurable annual progress toward mastering California Standards and toward graduation. We will raise student achievement overall and reduce the achievement gap between student groups.
2. All students will demonstrate progress in elements of the SCUSD Vision 2035 Graduate Portrait.
3. SCUSD will partner with family, business and community stakeholders to ensure that all students make progress in elements of the Graduate Portrait.

Goal 2

Improve Math CAASPP scores by 5% in Meets Standard or Above Standard.

Identified Need

Improve math level of students not meeting performance goals.
50% of students scored Meets Standard or higher on the SBAC mathematics test.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
47% of students met proficiency on the SBAC mathematics test in 2020-21 and set for 5% increase	50% met proficiency on the CAASPP SBAC in 2021-22	52% of students were expected to meet/exceed proficiency on the math SBAC.

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Strategy/Activity 1

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All algebra students

Strategy/Activity

Utilize ALEKS or other online/digital math program as a tool to support math achievement, build student skills and improve assessment performance.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

1,307.00

Source(s)

Targeted Allocation
4000-4999: Books And Supplies
Licenses

Strategy/Activity 2

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

Strategy/Activity

Provide opportunities for field trips to enhance learning and expose students to places, events, and activities with STEM relevance.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

2,000.00

Source(s)

Targeted Allocation
5000-5999: Services And Other Operating
Expenditures
Travel, transportation, food.

Strategy/Activity 3

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

Strategy/Activity

Provide peer tutoring for students needing additional math support.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

None Specified

Strategy/Activity 4

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

Strategy/Activity

Utilize the Mission College tutoring center for students by referring those who may need additional math support.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

None Specified
None Specified
Website, student orientation

Strategy/Activity 5

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

Strategy/Activity

WASC Recommendation: School-wide use of Common Core State Standards to plan and assess student learning to ensure all students achieve 21st century learning goals.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

Strategy/Activity 6

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

Strategy/Activity

WASC Recommendation: Teacher consensus regarding school-wide practices for instruction and assessment to increase equitable outcomes for students.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2022-23

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

The math teacher was able to work to create lesson plans that aligned with and incorporated the CCSS. ALEKS licenses were provided for students who needed supplemental support to build their skill level in certain subject matter where gaps in understanding were evident. Students were informed through their On Course class about tutoring services available in the Mission College tutoring center.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

None.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

We plan to contract with an outside tutoring agency to provide on-site assistance and tutoring support for our most at-risk and lowest performing students in mathematics.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal Subject

College and Career Readiness

District Priorities/LCAP Goals/District Focus Areas Alignment

LCAP Goals:
 1. All students will make measurable annual progress toward mastering California Standards and toward graduation. We will raise student achievement overall and reduce the achievement gap between student groups.
 2. All students will demonstrate progress in elements of the SCUSD Vision 2035 Graduate Portrait.
 3. SCUSD will partner with family, business and community stakeholders to ensure that all students make progress in elements of the Graduate Portrait.

Goal 3

Maintain a minimum percentage of 90% of seniors meeting UC-CSU a-g admission requirements.

Identified Need

UC-CSU a-g eligibility for admission

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
At least 90% of graduating seniors will meet a-g eligibility requirements.	90% of graduating seniors met a-g eligibility requirements.	At least 90% of graduating seniors will meet a-g eligibility requirements.

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Strategy/Activity 2

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

Strategy/Activity

Provide college visits, host college day events, and provide college information workshops for students to gain greater exposure to post-secondary opportunities.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

2,000.00

Source(s)

Targeted Allocation
5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures
Travel, transportation, ticket fees, food

Strategy/Activity 3

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

Strategy/Activity

Offer elective class that provides students with college and career exploration opportunities through the use of supplemental curriculum.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

None Specified

Materials

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2022-23

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Phoenix teachers, academic counselor and principal worked with students throughout the school year to review a-g progress and ensure enrollment in appropriate courses.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

None.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

The newly reported UC-CSU a-g admission requirement data has helped to provide a metric to gauge the progress of students toward meeting this standard. In order to support this goal, the

counselor, teachers and staff will provide quarterly reviews of transcripts and registered courses to ensure progress toward completing the a-g requirements.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal Subject

Technology

District Priorities/LCAP Goals/District Focus Areas Alignment

LCAP Goals:

1. All students will make measurable annual progress toward mastering California Standards and toward graduation. We will raise student achievement overall and reduce the achievement gap between student groups.
2. All students will demonstrate progress in elements of the SCUSD Vision 2035 Graduate Portrait.
3. SCUSD will partner with family, business and community stakeholders to ensure that all students make progress in elements of the Graduate Portrait.

Goal 4

Provide Access to Use of Technology.

Identified Need

All students will have access to technology to support student learning and achievement.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
------------------	-------------------------	------------------

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Strategy/Activity 1

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

Strategy/Activity

Provide students with equipment needed to support academic outcomes in high school and college classes including laptops, cameras, video equipment, calculators, software, etc.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
2,000.00	Targeted Allocation 4000-4999: Books And Supplies

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2022-23

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Chrome books were made available to all students in accordance with the district 1:1 device plan for all students. Digital cameras and graphing calculators were purchased and issued to students for use in their Mission College courses.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

None

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

None

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal Subject

Social-Emotional Learning

District Priorities/LCAP Goals/District Focus Areas Alignment

LCAP Goals:

1. All students will make measurable annual progress toward mastering California Standards and toward graduation. We will raise student achievement overall and reduce the achievement gap between student groups.
2. All students will demonstrate progress in elements of the SCUSD Vision 2035 Graduate Portrait.
3. SCUSD will partner with family, business and community stakeholders to ensure that all students make progress in elements of the Graduate Portrait.

Goal 5

Implement social-emotional and academic supports to increase student retention and graduation rate.

Identified Need

Improve school climate by providing activities that build social and emotional wellness, and academic strength of students. Provide opportunities for student social engagement and connectedness to peers, staff and school.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
------------------	-------------------------	------------------

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Strategy/Activity 1

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

Strategy/Activity

Work with District Attendance/Tuancy officer to follow up with students and families regarding tardies, absences and trancies.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

None Specified

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

Strategy/Activity 2

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

Strategy/Activity

School Counselor will facilitate SST's with the Wellness Coordinator and academic interventions for students experiencing challenges personally or academically.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

[Redacted] None Specified

Strategy/Activity 3

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

Strategy/Activity

Counselor, Registrar, Principal, Teachers will evaluate course completion rates quarterly and examine trends with respect to successful performance or failure.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

Strategy/Activity 4

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

Strategy/Activity

Teachers will administer SEL and CHKS surveys to gauge student perceptions and behavior and provide feedback regarding health and wellness.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

None Specified

Survey

Strategy/Activity 6

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

Strategy/Activity

Purchase SEL, character education, college/career pathways curriculum to utilize in Phoenix courses.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

16,000.00

Targeted Allocation

4000-4999: Books And Supplies
Materials, supplies, licenses

Strategy/Activity 7

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

Strategy/Activity

WASC recommendation: Provide professional development to staff in multi-tiered systems of support and project based learning to meet the needs of under-performing students

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

Strategy/Activity 8

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

Strategy/Activity

WASC Recommendation: Increase opportunities for student social experiences to create a sense of community in order to decreased student sense of isolation

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

Source(s)

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2022-23

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Collaboration with counselor, Wellness Coordinator, and staff in bi-weekly meetings to review student academic outcomes, wellness concerns, and MTSS strategies. Teacher utilization of SEL curriculum, and review of student self-assessments to guide lesson planning. Re-introduction of student social events following school shutdown due to global pandemic.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

N/A

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

None

Budget Summary

Complete the table below. Schools may include additional information. Adjust the table as needed. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp, and/or that receive funds from the LEA for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI).

Budget Summary

Description	Amount
Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application	\$
Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI	\$
Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA	\$30,307.00

Other Federal, State, and Local Funds

List the additional Federal programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Adjust the table as needed. If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

Federal Programs	Allocation (\$)
------------------	-----------------

Subtotal of additional federal funds included for this school: \$

List the State and local programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Duplicate the table as needed.

State or Local Programs	Allocation (\$)
Targeted Allocation	\$30,307.00

Subtotal of state or local funds included for this school: \$30,307.00

Total of federal, state, and/or local funds for this school: \$30,307.00

Budgeted Funds and Expenditures in this Plan

The tables below are provided to help the school track expenditures as they relate to funds budgeted to the school.

Funds Budgeted to the School by Funding Source

Funding Source	Amount	Balance
Targeted Allocation	20,830.00	

Expenditures by Funding Source

Funding Source	Amount
Targeted Allocation	30,307.00

Expenditures by Budget Reference

Budget Reference	Amount
4000-4999: Books And Supplies	24,307.00
5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures	6,000.00

Expenditures by Budget Reference and Funding Source

Budget Reference	Funding Source	Amount
4000-4999: Books And Supplies	Targeted Allocation	24,307.00
5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures	Targeted Allocation	6,000.00

Expenditures by Goal

Goal Number	Total Expenditures
Goal 1	7,000.00
Goal 2	3,307.00
Goal 3	2,000.00
Goal 4	2,000.00
Goal 5	16,000.00

School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

- 1 School Principal
- 1 Classroom Teachers
- 1 Other School Staff
- 2 Parent or Community Members
- 1 Secondary Students

Name of Members	Role
Viola Smith	Principal
Delia McVay	Classroom Teacher
Carmen Padilla-moran	Other School Staff
Hannah Adams	Secondary Student
Melissa Gilbert	Parent or Community Member
Ayesha Tirqbal	Parent or Community Member

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

Recommendations and Assurances

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.

The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.

The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan:

Signature

Committee or Advisory Group Name

The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.

This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.

This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on April 26, 2023.

Attested:

Viola Smith

Principal, Viola Smith on April 26, 2023

Hannah Adams

SSC Chairperson, Hannah Adams on April 26, 2023