# The Anti-Bullying Bill of Rights Act:

# Implications and Requirements for Public School Districts

Presented to the Staff Members of the Maywood School District by:

#### Stephen R. Fogarty, Esq.

Fogarty & Hara, Esqs., 16-00 Route 208 South, Fair Lawn, New Jersey 07410

Phone: 201-791-3340 | Fax: 201-791-3432 | E-Mail: sfogarty@fogartyandhara.com

### The Original Law

- The Harassment, Intimidation and Bullying Prevention Statute was originally enacted in 2001, and was revised in both 2006 and 2008 (to address electronic communications and notice of policy to the public).
- The original legislative rationale (*N.J.S.A.* 18A:37-13):
  - A **safe and civil environment** in school is necessary for students to learn and achieve high academic standards;
  - Harassment, intimidation or bullying ("HIB"), like other disruptive or violent behaviors, is conduct that disrupts both a student's ability to learn and a school's ability to educate its students in a safe environment; and
  - Since students learn by example, school administrators, faculty, staff, and volunteers should be commended for demonstrating appropriate behavior, treating others with civility and respect, and refusing to tolerate harassment, intimidation or bullying.

# **New Legislative Findings**

- The Legislature specifically stated in *N.J.S.A.* 18A:37-13.1 that the Anti-Bullying Bill of Rights is necessary for a number of reasons, including:
  - **32% of students aged 12 through 18 were bullied** in the previous school year.
    - Source: 2009 study by the US DOJ and DOE entitled "Indicators of School Crime and Safety"
  - 25% of the responding public schools indicated that bullying was a daily or weekly problem;
    - Source: 2009 study by the US DOJ and DOE entitled "Indicators of School Crime and Safety"
  - The percentage of students bullied in New Jersey is 1 percentage point higher than the national median.
    - Source: 2009 study by the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention entitled "Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance"

# **New Legislative Findings**

- Additional Findings:
  - Significant research has emerged since the original law was enacted.
  - School districts, students, parents, teachers, principals, other school staff and board members would **benefit** from the establishment of **clearer standards\*** on:
    - What constitutes HIB; and
    - How to prevent, report, investigate and respond to HIB.
  - The Legislature intends to **strengthen** the standards and procedures for preventing, reporting, investigating and responding to incidents of HIB (on and off school premises).

# **New Legislative Findings**

- Additional Findings:
  - **Fiscal responsibility** requires New Jersey to take a smarter, clearer approach to fight school bullying by ensuring that existing resources are better managed and used to make our schools safer for students.
  - Existing personnel and resources shall be utilized in every possible instance to accomplish the goals of increased prevention, reporting, and responsiveness to incidents of HIB, including in the appointment of school anti-bullying specialists and district anti-bullying coordinators.
  - Strengthening standards for preventing, reporting, investigating, and responding to incidents of HIB will help to **reduce the risk of suicide** among students.

### Other State and Federal Laws

- The **New Jersey Constitution** guarantees all students the right to a thorough and efficient education.
- *N.J.S.A.* **18A:36-20** prohibits discrimination in schools by reason of race, color, creed, sex or national origin.
- *Title IX* of the Education Amendments of 1972, 30 *U.S.C.* §1681 et seq., prohibits sexual discrimination and/or harassment of students.
  - Under **Title IX**, liability occurs when the district is:
    - **Deliberately indifferent** to sexual harassment
    - Where the District has actual knowledge
    - · That is so severe, pervasive and objectively offensive
    - That it **deprives victims of access** to educational opportunities or benefits

### Other State and Federal Laws

- The New Jersey Law Against Discrimination ("LAD") prohibits discrimination based on race, creed, color, national origin, ancestry, age, marital status, civil union status, domestic partnership status, affectional or sexual orientation, familial status, disability, nationality, sex (including pregnancy), gender identity or expression, atypical hereditary cellular or blood trait, or genetic information.
- Liability under the LAD for bullying was confirmed in the New Jersey Supreme Court case of *L.W. v. Toms River Regional Sch. Bd. of Educ.*, 189 *N.J.* 381 (2007).
  - Landmark case in whether or not a District's response is adequate to address victims of HIB.

### L.W. v. Toms River (Facts)

- L.W., was subjected to discrimination and harassment by other students based on his **perceived\* sexual orientation**. The school *allegedly* had a "Zero Tolerance" policy against discrimination and harassment.
  - Starting in 4<sup>th</sup> grade, L.W. was taunted with homosexual epithets such as "gay,"
     "homo," and "fag," even before he understood what those words meant.
  - It increased in regularity and severity as he advanced in school. In 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> grade the frequency of the ridicule increased from once a month or once a week to almost daily. That was when school officials first learned about the problem (at one point in 5<sup>th</sup> grade, LW was so upset he refused to attend school).
    - The offenders wrote apology letters, and while L.W. returned to school, problems continued.
  - In 7<sup>th</sup> grade, the harassment took place **almost daily** and even escalated to **physical aggression** and **molestation**.
    - He was hit on the head by a student (while surrounded by a ring of 10 to 15 students) and called homosexual epithets. He reported this and **the administration counseled L.W. and his aggressor**, who claimed he did it in retaliation to something L.W. said to him.
    - He was humiliated by another student who essentially told him that if L.W. had female parts, he would "f\*\*\* [him] up and down." **The District took no action.**
    - He was harassed at play practice by different children, but the **aggressors were just** warned.

### L.W. v. Toms River (Facts)

- 7<sup>th</sup> Grade (continued).
  - L.W. said that if he made it through a day without comments, he was lucky.
  - The administration's response to L.W.'s being heckled during physical education: discouraged students from using such language and warned them of future consequences.
  - L.W.'s guidance counselor's advice: "Toughen up and turn the other cheek."
  - Mid-March: While in the lunch line, 2 students assaulted him, one **grabbed his privates, called him homosexual epithets, and proceeded to "hump" him,** asking "Do you like it like this?" more than once. Administration response was to speak with all three attackers, tell them that the conduct was "inappropriate" and that if repeated, "it would be dealt with more severely." After that incident, L.W. did not return to school for several days.
    - Upon return, L.W. was told that the Principal had an open door policy, he could report problems directly to him, he had special permission to leave class, and that first-time offenders would be dealt with **immediately with counseling** and **more drastic action for repeat offenders.**
  - The next day back, L.W. was harassed twice. Because they were repeat offenders, they were **given detention** and told they would be suspended if it happened again.

### L.W. v. Toms River (Facts)

- 7<sup>th</sup> Grade (continued).
  - L.W. was also assaulted a month later by 2 students, **slapped on the face, whipped with a necklace chain, and taunted with homosexual epithets**. The children were **suspended 5 days each**; L.W. did not return to school for a week. Upon his return, he was still subject to verbal abuse (though unreported).
- 8th Grade was better (security guard present in the building).
- In High School, L.W. was continually bullied, and he began walking home due to the constant harassment on the school bus. One day walking home he was **taunted with homosexual slurs**, **punched in the face**, and **threatened to be "knifed"** if he reported the incident. High School response: **10 day suspension**. They were not aware of L.W.'s past problems in the District.
- Last incident before withdrawal from school (mid-September): At lunch he was **knocked down and threatened if he ever had a "crush" on the aggressor,** who then covered L.W.'s shirt with dirt. High School response: **10 day suspension**. L.W. then enrolled in separate school at District expense.

### L.W. v. Toms River (Analysis)

- The initial factfinder noted that the District:
  - DID NOT reinforce its "Zero Tolerance" policy through assemblies, letters to parents or any other widespread communication.
  - DID NOT provide training or notices to its staff.
  - DID NOT do "anything more than progressively counsel, warn and discipline each individual offender"
  - **DID NOT** "treat the individual offenses any differently than non-bias based taunting or fighting."
  - **DID NOT** review its policies or procedures to "affirmatively act to clarify and reinforce to the student body as a whole that anti-gay harassment would not be tolerated."
- Based upon those facts, the initial factfinder noted that the District was **deliberately indifferent** to the reality that "L.W.'s educational environment was permeated by anti-gay hostility."

### L.W. v. Toms River (Analysis)

- The NJ Supreme Court held that the LAD recognizes a cause of action against a school district for student-on-student affectional or sexual orientation harassment.
- A district may be liable for such harassment when it **knew or should** have **known** of the harassment, but **failed to take actions reasonably calculated to end the offensive conduct**. It held that to determine liability:
  - A "factfinder must determine whether the district, with actual or constructive knowledge of the maltreatment, took actions reasonably calculated to end the harassment."
  - The **reasonableness** of the response must be determined under on the **totality of the circumstances** on a case-by-case basis.
  - The **cumulative effect of all incidents of HIB** against the student and of **all efforts by the district to curtail the maltreatment** will be considered.
  - Each successive episode has its predecessors, such that the impact of the separate incidents may accumulate and the environment created may exceed the sum of the individual episodes.

# Importance of the L.W. Case

- The school district was liable for \$50,000 damages to the child, \$10,000 to the mother, as well as fines and other penalties.
- Even though all of the incidents predated the HIB Prevention Statute (most occurred in January 1999 through September 2000), and the NJDOE had not provided any guidance on HIB, the Supreme Court said **that is only a factor** to be reviewed in determining whether or not the award of damages was appropriate.
- It changed the way Districts looked at how to approach the prevention of HIB and the District's responses to HIB.

# Climate Change on HIB: Why?

- The District is **required** to attempt to change the climate as per the newly-enacted law.
- If the District successfully changes the school climate and reduces or eliminates HIB, it **prevents future tragedies** and leads to a **better learning environment** for children.
- If the District takes steps to change the school climate and reduces or eliminates HIB, but a tragedy still occurs, it is **much harder** for a parent or guardian to claim that the District is responsible for what happened to his/her child, and it is thus less likely that the District will be financially responsible.

### Themes to Remember

- A main goal is the **prevention** of HIB, and the new law's procedures and policy requirements should assist with that goal.
- **Strictly following** the new law's procedures and related reporting requirements **should** mean that District's potential exposure to liability is **significantly reduced** if not eliminated.
- The distinction between **H**arassment, **I**ntimidation and **B**ullying within the meaning of the law, and harassment, intimidation and bullying that does not fall within the statutory definition.
  - Not every episode of "bullying" will fall under this law, but there are **disciplinary consequences nonetheless**.

- "Harassment, intimidation or bullying" means any gesture, any written, verbal or physical act, or any electronic communication, whether it be a single incident or a series of incidents, that is reasonably perceived as being motivated either by any actual or perceived characteristic, such as race, color, religion, ancestry, national origin, gender, sexual orientation, gender identity and expression, or a mental, physical or sensory disability, or by any other distinguishing characteristic, that takes place on school property, at any school-sponsored function, on a school bus, or off school grounds as provided for in *N.J.S.A.* 18A:37-15.3, that substantially disrupts or interferes with the orderly operation of the school or the rights of other students and that:
  - A reasonable person should know, under the circumstances, will have the effect of physically or emotionally harming a student or damaging the student's property, or placing a student in reasonable fear of physical or emotional harm to his person or damage to his property;
  - Has the effect of insulting or demeaning any student or group of students; or
  - Creates a hostile educational environment for the student by interfering with a student's education or by severely or pervasively causing physical or emotional harm to the student.

- Breaking it down:
  - WHAT: Any gesture, any written, verbal or physical act, or any electronic communication,
    - "Electronic communication" means a communication transmitted by means of an electronic device, including, but not limited to, a telephone, cellular phone, computer, or pager.
      - This includes e-mail, text messages, Facebook, Twitter, Tumblr, other social networking sites and the like.
  - Whether it be a **single incident or a series of incidents**, [new]
  - WHO AND WHY: That is reasonably perceived as being motivated either by any actual or perceived characteristic (such as race, color, religion, ancestry, national origin, gender, sexual orientation, gender identity and expression, or a mental, physical or sensory disability),
  - or by any other distinguishing characteristic,

#### Breaking it down (continued):

- **WHERE AND WHEN:** that takes place on school property, at any school-sponsored function, on a school bus, OR off school grounds as provided for in *N.J.S.A.* 18A:37-15.3,
- HOW: that substantially disrupts or interferes with the orderly operation of the school or the rights of other students [new]

#### AND

- Meets one of the following three additional criteria:
  - If the actions are such that a reasonable person should know, under the circumstances, will either: (1) have the effect of **physically or emotionally harming** a student OR **damaging the student's property,** or (2) placing the student in *reasonable fear* of **physical** or **emotional** harm; OR
  - Has the effect of **insulting or demeaning** any student or group of students; OR
  - · Creates a **hostile educational environment** for the student by **interfering with a student's education** OR by **severely or pervasively causing physical or emotional harm** to the student [new].

- Breaking it down (continued):
- Considerations:
  - Not all conduct that is considered "classic bullying" fits under this definition.
    - Example: Student A threatens Student B with physical harm every day for a week unless he gives up his lunch money. It is not motivated by any actual or perceived characteristics. This is not "Bullying" under the statute.
  - So what? It still violates the code of conduct and requires both a response and consequences for the aggressor. If the District's policy does not have an expanded definition of HIB, then the policy does not apply, and that means that the **strict reporting requirements** will not apply.

- School districts must all have Anti-HIB policies, and those policies must meet the following standards:
  - The school district **shall** adopt the policy through a process that includes representation of parents or guardians, school employees, volunteers, students, administrators, and community representatives.
- Mandatory Policy Language
  - A statement **prohibiting** HIB of a student;
  - A definition of HIB **no less inclusive than\*** that set forth in *N.J.S.A.* 18A:37-14.
  - A description of the **type of behavior expected** from each student;

- Mandatory Policy Language (continued)
  - Consequences and appropriate remedial action for a person who commits an act of HIB
    - Remedial action must take into account the nature of the behavior, the developmental age of the student and the student's history of problem behaviors and performance. This may include behavioral assessment or evaluation including, but not limited to, a referral to the Child Study Team, as appropriate, as well as supportive interventions and referral services.
    - Consequences for a student who commits an act of HIB must be varied and graded according to the nature of the behavior, the developmental age of the student and the student's history of problem behaviors and performance. Any disciplinary consequences must be consistent with the laws regarding the suspension and expulsion of students.
    - The law specifically requires appropriate consequences and remedial action for any **staff member** who commits an act of HIB.

- Mandatory Policy Language (continued)
  - A procedure for **reporting** an act of HIB, including a provision that permits **anonymous reports**.
    - Formal disciplinary action **solely** on the basis of an anonymous report is not specifically permitted.
    - New Reporting Requirements:
      - All acts of HIB shall be reported **verbally to the school principal on the same day** when the school employee or contracted service provider witnessed or received reliable information regarding any such incident. **The principal shall inform the parents or guardians of all students involved** in the alleged incident, and may discuss, as appropriate, the availability of counseling and other intervention services.
      - All acts of HIB shall be reported in writing to the school principal within two school days of when the school employee or contracted service provider witnessed or received reliable information that a student had been subject to HIB.

- Mandatory Policy Language (continued)
  - A procedure for **prompt investigation** of reports of violations and complaints.
    - The **most significant changes** in the new Anti-Bullying Bill of Rights Act are the detailed investigation requirements and timelines.
  - The range of ways in which a school will respond once an incident of HIB must be identified
    - New: These responses shall be defined by the **Principal** in conjunction with the school **Anti-Bullying Specialist**, but shall include an appropriate combination of counseling, support services, intervention services, and other programs, as defined by the Commissioner.

- Mandatory Policy Language (continued)
  - A statement that **prohibits reprisal or retaliation** against any person who reports an act of HIB and the consequence and appropriate remedial action for a person who engages in reprisal or retaliation;
  - Consequences and appropriate remedial action for a person found to have **falsely accused another** as a means of retaliation or as a means of HIB;
  - A statement of how the policy is to be publicized, including notice that the policy applies to participation in school-sponsored functions;
  - A requirement that a link to the policy be prominently posted on the home page of the school district's website and distributed annually to parents and guardians who have children enrolled in a school in the school district; and

- Mandatory Policy Language (continued)
  - A requirement that the name, school phone number, school address and school email address of the **District Anti-Bullying Coordinator** be listed on the home page of the school district's website and that on the home page of each school's website the name, school phone number, school address and school email address of the **School Anti-Bullying Specialist** and the **District Anti-Bullying Coordinator** be listed.
    - The information concerning the District Anti-Bullying Coordinator and the School Anti-Bullying Specialists shall also be maintained on the NJDOE website.
- Districts must **annually review** the policy and transmit it to the Commissioner of Education annually.

# Policy on Off-Campus Conduct

- Each Anti-HIB policy shall include provisions for appropriate responses to HIB, as defined, that occurs **off school grounds**, in cases in which a school employee is **made aware of such actions**. The responses to HIB that occurs off school grounds shall be consistent with the board of education's code of student conduct and other provisions of the Board's policy on HIB.
- Must still meet applicable disciplinary standards:
  - Exercised only when it is **reasonably necessary** for the student's physical or emotional safety, security and well-being or for reasons relating to the safety, security or well-being of other students, staff or school grounds, pursuant to *N.J.S.A.* 18A:25-2 and *N.J.S.A.* 18A:37-2; and
  - Exercised only when the conduct which is the subject of the proposed consequence **materially and substantially interferes with** the requirements of appropriate discipline in the operation of the school.

# **Reporting Timelines**

#### Reporting Timelines

- All acts of HIB shall be reported **verbally to the school principal on the same day** when the school employee or contracted service provider witnessed or received reliable information regarding any such incident. **The principal shall inform the parents or guardians of all students involved** in the alleged incident, and may discuss, as appropriate, the availability of counseling and other intervention services.
- All acts of HIB shall be reported **in writing to the school principal within two school days** of when the school employee or contracted service provider witnessed or received reliable information that a student had been subject to HIB.

### **Investigating Timelines**

#### Investigating Timelines: Initial Investigation

- The investigation shall be initiated by the Principal or the Principal's designee within one school day of the report of the incident and shall be conducted by a School Anti-Bullying Specialist. The Principal may appoint other personnel to assist in the investigation.
- The investigation shall be completed ASAP, but not later than 10 school days from the date of the written report of the incident HIB. In the event that there is information relative to the investigation that is anticipated but not yet received by the end of the 10-day period, the School Anti-Bullying Specialist may amend the original report of the results of the investigation to reflect the information.

# **Investigating Timelines**

- Investigating Timelines: Superintendent
  - The results of the investigation shall be reported to the Superintendent of Schools within two school days of the completion of the investigation, and in accordance with regulations\* promulgated by the State Board of Education.
  - The Superintendent issues a **decision** and may decide, as a result of the findings, to do the following:
    - Provide intervention services,
    - Establish training programs to reduce HIB and/or enhance the school climate,
    - Impose discipline,
    - Order counseling, or
    - Take or recommend other appropriate action;

### **Investigating Timelines**

#### Investigating Timelines: Board of Education

- The results of each investigation shall be **reported to the board of education** no later than the next scheduled board meeting after the investigation has been completed, along with information on any services provided, training established, discipline imposed, or other action taken or recommended by the superintendent;
- The Board must, within 5 school days after the results of the investigation are reported to the Board, provide parents or guardians of the students who are parties to the investigation with information about the investigation, in accordance with federal and State law and regulation, including:
  - The nature of the investigation,
  - Whether the district found evidence of HIB; and
  - Whether discipline was imposed or services provided to address the incident of HIB
- At the **next board of education meeting** following its receipt of the report, the **Board shall issue a decision**, in writing, to affirm, reject, or modify the Superintendent's decision.

# Parental Rights of Appeal

#### Following First Notification

• A parent or guardian may **request a hearing before the Board** after receiving the information, and the hearing shall be held **within 10 days of the request**. The Board shall meet in executive session for the hearing to protect the confidentiality of the students. At the hearing the board may hear from the School Anti-Bullying Specialist about the incident, recommendations for discipline or services, and any programs instituted to reduce such incidents

#### After the Board's Decision

- The board's decision may be appealed to the Commissioner of Education, in accordance with the procedures set forth in law and regulation, no later than 90 days after the issuance of the board's decision; and
- **Note:** Parents can also file complaints with the Division on Civil Rights within 180 days of the occurrence of any act of HIB if they fall under a protected class under the LAD.

# Sample Reporting Timeline

#### School Day I Monday 9/19/11

Student A bullies Student B, allegedly because of race



#### School Day I Monday 9/19/11

Student B reports the incident to Teacher



#### School Day I Monday 9/19/11

Teacher **MUST** report incident to Principal the same day



#### School Day 2 Tuesday 9/20/11

Principal must initiate investigation and refer the matter to the School Anti-Bullying Specialist within I school day



#### School Day 15 Friday 10/07/11

Superintendent issues decision/discipline on the same day as receipt of investigation report



#### School Day 15 Friday 10/07/11

Principal submits results of investigation to Superintendent within two days of completion of investigation



#### School Day 13 Wednesday 10/5/11

Investigation must be completed by this date within ten school days following receipt of written report.



#### School Day 3 Wednesday 9/21/11

Teacher must provide a written report of the incident to the Principal within 2 school days of verbal report



#### School Day 21 Monday 10/17/11

Superintendent must report matter to the Board at its next meeting



#### School Day 26 Monday 10/26/11

District must provide notification in writing to the parents of all parties involved within five school days of Board meeting



#### School Day 28 Wednesday 10/28/11

District imposed deadline for parents to provide notice for a hearing meeting must be held within 10 days of a request



#### Monday | | 1/07/| |

Board issues a decision affirming, rejecting or modifying the Supt's decision at next regularly scheduled meeting

### **New Positions**

- School Anti-Bullying Specialist
  - Appointed by the Principal in each school.
  - Typically a school guidance counselor, school psychologist, or another individual similarly trained; otherwise another individual from currently employed school personnel.
  - Responsible for chairing the School Safety Team.
  - Responsible for **leading the investigation** of incidents of HIB in the school; and
  - Acts as the primary school official responsible for preventing, identifying, and addressing incidents of HIB in the school.

### New Positions (continued)

#### District Anti-Bullying Coordinator

- Appointed by the Superintendent and should be a current school employee rather than a new employee. Responsibilities include:
  - Coordinating and strengthening the school district's policies to prevent, identify, and address HIB of students;
  - **Collaborating** with school anti-bullying specialists in the district, the board of education, and the Superintendent of Schools to prevent, identify, and respond to HIB of students;
  - **Provide data**, in collaboration with the superintendent of schools, to the Department of Education regarding HIB of students; and
  - Executing such **other duties** related to school HIB as requested by the Superintendent of Schools.
  - **Meeting** at least **twice a school year** with the school antibullying specialists in the district to discuss and strengthen procedures and policies to prevent, identify, and address HIB in the district.

### The School Safety Team

- The law requires that each school have a School Safety Team to **develop**, **foster**, **and maintain a positive school climate** by focusing on the on-going, systemic process and practices in the school and to address school climate issues such as HIB.
- Shall meet at least two times per school year.
- Shall consist of the **Principal** or his/her designee who, if possible, shall be a senior administrator in the school and the following individuals appointed by the Principal:
  - A teacher in the school;
  - A school Anti-Bullying Specialist;
  - A parent of a student in the school; and
  - Other members to be determined by the Principal.
- The **School Anti-Bullying Specialist** shall serve as the chair of the School Safety Team.

### **School Safety Team Duties**

- Receive any complaints of HIB of students that have been reported to the Principal (parent member does not participate);
- **Receive copies of any report** prepared after an investigation of an incident of HIB (parent member does not participate);
- **Identify and address patterns of HIB** of students in the school (parent member does not participate);
- **Review and strengthen school climate** and the policies of the school in order to prevent and address HIB of students;
- **Educate the community,** including students, teachers, administrative staff, and parents, to prevent and address HIB of students;
- **Participate in the training** required pursuant to the provisions of the Anti-Bullying Bill of Rights Act and other training which the Principal or the District Anti-Bullying Coordinator may request;
- **Collaborate** with the District Anti-Bullying Coordinator in the collection of district-wide data and in the development of District policies to prevent and address HIB of students; and
- Execute such other duties related to HIB as requested by the Principal or District Anti-Bullying Coordinator.
- School Safety Team members shall be provided **professional development opportunities** that address effective practices of successful school climate programs or approaches.

### Other Aspects of the Law

- **Resources:** NJ Department of Education publishes a Model Policy and Guidance Documents located at <a href="http://www.state.nj.us/education/parents/bully.pdf">http://www.state.nj.us/education/parents/bully.pdf</a>.
- **Reprisal, Retaliation, False Accusation** (*N.J.S.A.* 18A:37-16); New provisions add board members and contracted service providers to these requirements:
  - A member of a board of education, school employee, student or volunteer **shall not engage in reprisal, retaliation or false accusation** against a victim, witness or one with reliable information about an act of HIB.
  - A member of a board of education, school employee, contracted service provider, student or volunteer who has witnessed, or has reliable information that a student has been subject to HIB **shall report the incident to the appropriate school official designated** by the school district's policy, or to any school administrator or safe schools resource officer, who shall immediately initiate the school district's procedures concerning school bullying.
  - A member of a board of education or a school employee who promptly reports an incident of HIB to the appropriate school official designated by the school district's policy, or to any school administrator or safe schools resource officer, and who makes this report in compliance with the procedures in the district's policy, is immune from a cause of action for damages arising from any failure to remedy the reported incident.
- Also new: A school administrator who receives a report of HIB from a district employee, and **fails to initiate or conduct an investigation**, or who should have known of an incident of HIB and **fails to take sufficient action** to minimize or eliminate the HIB, **may be subject to disciplinary action**.

### Other Aspects of the Law

- Establishment of Bullying Prevention Programs
- Schools and school districts **shall annually establish, implement, document, and assess** bullying prevention programs or approaches, and other initiatives involving school staff, students, administrators, volunteers, parents, law enforcement and community members. The programs or approaches shall be designed to create school-wide conditions to prevent and address harassment, intimidation, and bullying.
- A school district may apply to the NJDOE for a grant to be used for programs or approaches established pursuant to this subsection (to the extent funds are appropriated for these purposes)
- A school district shall:
  - Provide training on the school district's HIB policies to school employees and volunteers who have significant contact with students;
  - Ensure that the training includes instruction on preventing bullying on the basis of the
    protected categories enumerated in the Anti-Bullying Bill of Rights Act and other
    distinguishing characteristics that may incite incidents of discrimination, harassment,
    intimidation, or bullying; and
  - Develop a process for discussing the district's HIB policy with students.
- Information regarding the school district policy against HIB shall be **incorporated into a school's employee training program** and shall be provided to full-time and part-time staff, volunteers who have significant contact with students, and those persons contracted by the district to provide services to students.

# Other Aspects of the Law

#### NJDOE Inservice Workshops and Training Programs

- The Commissioner of Education is tasked with establishing inservice workshops and training programs to train selected public school employees to act as district anti-bullying coordinators and school anti-bullying specialists, and to ensure that such workshops and training are available through the NJDOE website.
  - Districts must provide time for such training.
- Upon completion of the initial inservice training program, the Commissioner shall ensure that programs and workshops that reflect the most current information on HIB in schools are prepared and made available to District Anti-Bullying Coordinators and school Anti-Bullying Specialists at regular intervals.
- The Commissioner shall establish an online tutorial on HIB designed to include best practices on the prevention of HIB.

### Other Legislative Changes

- *N.J.S.A.* 18A:6-112, which relates to suicide prevention, now requires professional development to address the **link between HIB and the risk of suicide**.
- *N.J.S.A.* 18A:12-33 (**new board member training**) requires training (only once) in HIB for new board members and re-elected board members within one year of election.
- *N.J.S.A.* 18A:37-2, which lists the different reasons for which students may be suspended, was **updated to specifically include HIB.**

### Other Legislative Changes

- *N.J.S.A.* 18A:37-23 requires all **candidates for administrative and supervisory certificates** to have completed a program in HIB prevention beginning with the 2012–2013 school year.
- N.J.S.A. 52:17B-71.8 requires **Safe School Resource Officers** to have training in protecting students from HIB.
- *N.J.S.A.* 18A:37-29: The legislature, recognizing the importance of character education, established the week beginning with the first Monday in October as the **Week of Respect**. Districts shall observe the week by providing age-appropriate instruction focusing on preventing HIB.

### L.W. v. Toms River Revisited

- What if Toms River had these policies and procedures in place in 1999?
  - Would L.W. have suffered from as much harassment, intimidation or bullying over the course of time? Probably not...
  - Would the students who harassed L.W. would have met with harsher punishment? Quite likely...
  - Would the school district have been found liable for L.W.'s damages if it had followed its policies?
    - **Almost certainly not.** The new law's provisions provide districts with a framework to address HIB and if followed strictly, should serve to demonstrate that the district was reasonable in responding to reported incidents of HIB.

# **Questions?**

