Indigo 2021–22 School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2021–22 School Year

California Department of Education

Address:	530 Gettysburg Dr. San Jose, CA , 95123- 3234	Principal:	Genvieve Dorsey
Phone:	408-225-1881	Grade Span:	

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements and access to prior year reports, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

California School Dashboard

The California School Dashboard (Dashboard) https://www.caschooldashboard.org/ reflects California's new accountability and continuous improvement system and provides information about how LEAs and schools are meeting the needs of California's diverse student population. The Dashboard contains reports that display the performance of LEAs, schools, and student groups on a set of state and local measures to assist in identifying strengths, challenges, and areas in need of improvement.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Genvieve Dorsey

• Principal, Indigo

About Our School —

Contact —

Indigo 530 Gettysburg Dr. San Jose, CA 95123-3234

Phone: 408-225-1881 Email: gdorsey@oakgrovesd.net

Contact Information (School Year 2022–23)

District Contact Information (School Year 2022–23)				
District Name Oak Grove Elementary				
Phone Number	408-225-1881			
Superintendent Manzo, José				
Email Address	Email Address jmanzo@ogsd.net			
Website	https://indigo.ogsd.net			

School Contact Information (School Year 2022–23)

School Name	Indigo
Street	530 Gettysburg Dr.
City, State, Zip	San Jose, CA , 95123-3234
Phone Number	408-225-1881
Principal	Genvieve Dorsey
Email Address	gdorsey@ogsd.net
Website	https://indigo.ogsd.net
County-District-School (CDS) Code	43696250140590

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2022–23)

The Indigo Program is part of the Oak Grove School District, a district that is committed to developing

an inclusive, caring, educational community in which each individual has many opportunities to achieve

his or her potential. We respect the individuality and diversity of students, staff, and community and

have high expectations for all individuals.

Indigo is a safe and caring K-8 program designed to educate the whole child. We provide multi-age interactions and constructivist learning activities through a positive discipline approach. This approach is supported by strong parent involvement. Indigo values the unique learning styles, skills and abilities of each child so they will be prepared to make a positive impact on the world. ??

The Indigo Program school is housed on the Frost campus and is a unique, parent-supported,

elementary and middle school option. We are proud to be in our seventeenth year of providing a nurturing

and stimulating learning environment for our students, teachers and parents in grades K-8. Parent

participation, whole-child, hands-on learning, positive discipline, multi-age interaction, wonderful

enrichment programs, dedicated teachers, a strong PTA, and fun community events have made Indigo

a place where students and families learn and thrive. Specialized instruction is provided during the

regular school day in Art, Choral Music, Instrumental Music, P.E, Project Based Learning, and

Community Service. Students at Indigo demonstrate proficiency and progression in core subjects and

the habits of mind and scholarship through portfolios.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2021–22)

Grade Level	Number of Students	45
Kindergarten	28	40
Grade 1	41	35
Grade 2	42	25
Grade 3	29	20
Grade 4	36	15
Grade 5	36	10
Grade 6	40	5
Grade 7	11	0
Grade 8	21	Kinderganen Grade ¹ Grade ² Grade ³ Grade ⁴ Grade ⁵ Gra
Total Enrollment	284	

Minimum students was not met in the provided examples. Future development will include messages on the table to explain what the minimums are to display data.

Last updated: 1/25/23

Grade 6

6 Grade¹ Grade⁸

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment	Student Group	
emale	47.90%	(Other)	
Male	52.10%	English Learners	
ויומוש	52.10%	Foster Youth	
Non-Binary	0.00%	Homology	
American Indian	0.00%	Homeless	
or Alaska Native		Migrant	
Asian	19.70%	Socioeconomically	
Black or African	3.20%	Disavantaged	
American	can Students with Disabilities		
Filipino			
пріпо	5.50%		

Student Enrollment by Student Group (School Year 2021–22)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Hispanic or Latino	25.70%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.00%
Two or More Races	7.40%
White	39.80%

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

Teacher Preparation and Placement (School Year 2020–21)

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)			385.40	91.56	228366.10	83.12
Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned			8.30	1.98	4205.90	1.53
Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments ("ineffective" under ESSA)			1.60	0.39	11216.70	4.08
Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field ("out-of-field" under ESSA)			3.10	0.74	12115.80	4.41
Unknown			22.40	5.32	18854.30	6.86
Total Teaching Positions			420.90	100.00	274759.10	100.00

Note: The data in this table is based on Full Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

Last updated: 1/25/23

Teacher Preparation and Placement (School Year 2021–22)

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)						
Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned						
Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments ("ineffective" under ESSA)						
Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field ("out-of-field" under ESSA)						
Unknown						
Total Teaching Positions						

Note: The data in this table is based on Full Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

Last updated: 1/25/23

Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (considered "ineffective" under ESSA)

Authorization/Assignment	2020–21 Number	2021–22 Number
Permits and Waivers		
Misassignments		
Vacant Positions		
Total Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments		

Last updated: 1/11/23

Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (considered "out-of-field" under ESSA)

Indicator	2020–21 Number	2021–22 Number
Credentialed Teachers Authorized on a Permit or Waiver		
Local Assignment Options		
Total Out-of-Field Teachers		

Last updated: 1/11/23

Class Assignments

Indicator	2020– 21 Percent	2021– 22 Percent
Misassignments for English Learners (a percentage of all the classes with English learners taught by teachers that are misassigned)		
No credential, permit or authorization to teach (a percentage of all the classes taught by teachers with no record of an authorization to teach)		

Note: For more information refer to the Updated Teacher Equity Definitions web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/pd/ee/teacherequitydefinitions.asp.

Last updated: 1/11/23

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials (School Year 2022–23)

Year and month in which the data were collected: August 2022

Subject	Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	K-2: Core Knowledge Lang. Arts (CKLA) Adopted 2015 3-8: Expeditionary Learning Adopted 2015	Yes	0%
Mathematics	6-8: College Prep Math (CPM) Adopted 2015 K-5: EngageNY Adopted 2015, not SBE adopted, local board approved	Yes	0%
	Our district went through a math pilot process in 2016 but was not able to reach a consensus decision to bring a recommendation to our governing board. Fiscal impacts		
	to declining enrollment also impacted the district's decision to reach a consensus decision. Further, the effects of the COVID pandemic paused our ability to begin a new pilot/adoption process. However, there is		
	objective evidence that EngageNY curricula are aligned with CCSS. In 2015, EdReports reviewed 26 well-known K–8 mathematics textbooks for their alignment with CCSS and found Eureka Math (which is a		
	commercial variant of the free and open version featured within EngageNY) to be well-aligned with CCSS for the grade levels		

Subject	Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
	and CCSS-related areas for which they were reviewed.		
Science	K-5 Harcourt Science Adopted 2001 6-8 Prentice Hall Adopted 2007	No	0%
History-Social Science	K-5 Studies Weekly Adopted 2019 6-8 Discovery Adopted 2019	Yes	0%
Foreign Language	N/A		0%
Health	N/A		0%
Visual and Performing Arts	N/A		0%
Science Lab Eqpmt (Grades 9-12)	N/A	N/A	0%

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Last updated: 1/31/23

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

Indigo Elementary is comprised of separate classroom portable buildings and a central administration building.

Indigo Elementary shares a campus site with Frost Elementary and underwent a major site improvement project completed Summer '22 that included new blacktop striping, playground rubber fall protection, exterior fences and gates, and a new roof. Indigo received all new HVAC units fot the classroom portable buildings and work will continue Summer '23 to received new heating, ventilation, and cooling system, and a new fire alarm system. The overall condition of the site was found to be good. There are still several projects planned for modernization of the classroom interiors and restrooms.

Last updated: 2/1/23

School Facility Good Repair Status

Using the **most recently collected** Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), provide the following:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The rate for each system inspected
- The overall rating

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: January 2023

System Inspected	Rating	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Good	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	Good	
Electrical: Electrical	Good	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	Good	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Fair	
External : Playground/School Grounds, Windows/Doors/Gates/Fences	Fair	

Overall Facility Rate

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: January 2023

Overall Rating

Good

Last updated: 2/1/23

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

• **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities).

The CAASPP System encompasses the following assessments and student participation requirements:

1. **Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for ELA** in grades three through eight and grade eleven.

2. Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for mathematics in grades three through eight and grade eleven.

3. California Science Test (CAST) and CAAs for Science in grades five, eight, and once in high school (i.e., grade ten, eleven, or twelve).

• **College and Career Ready:** The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA and Mathematics for All Students Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven taking and completing a stateadministered assessment

Subject	School 2020– 21	School 2021– 22	District 2020– 21	District 2021– 22	State 2020– 21	State 2021– 22
English Language Arts / Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	N/A	65%	N/A	50%	N/A	47%
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	N/A	50%	N/A	41%	N/A	33%

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Note: Where it was the most viable option, in 2020–21, LEAs were required to administer the statewide summative assessment in ELA and mathematics and where a statewide summative assessment was not the most viable option for the LEA, LEAs were permitted report results from a different assessment that meets the criteria established by the California State Board of Education on March 16, 2021. The 2020–

21 data cells for the school, district, state have N/A values because these data are not comparable to 2021–22 data.

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group for students taking and completing a state-administered assessment Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2021–22)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	166	161	96.99	3.01	64.60
Female	74	70	94.59	5.41	71.43
Male	92	91	98.91	1.09	59.34
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0
Asian	34	33	97.06	2.94	81.82
Black or African American					
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	42	41	97.62	2.38	51.22
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0
Two or More Races	15	14	93.33	6.67	78.57
White	62	60	96.77	3.23	65.00
English Learners					
Foster Youth	0	0	0	0	0
Homeless					
Military	0	0	0	0	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	16	16	100.00	0.00	50.00
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0	0
Students with Disabilities	20	19	95.00	5.00	10.53

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of

students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group for students taking and completing a state-administered assessment Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2021–22)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	166	161	96.99	3.01	50.00
Female	74	70	94.59	5.41	47.14
Male	92	91	98.91	1.09	52.22
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0
Asian	34	32	94.12	5.88	59.38
Black or African American					
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	42	41	97.62	2.38	35.00
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0
Two or More Races	15	14	93.33	6.67	71.43
White	62	61	98.39	1.61	55.74
English Learners					
Foster Youth	0	0	0	0	0
Homeless				0	
Military	0	0	0	0	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	16	16	100.00	0.00	18.75
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0	0
Students with Disabilities	20	20	100.00	0.00	15.00

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number

of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students Grades Five, Eight and High School

Subject	School	School	District	District	State	State
	2020–	2021–	2020–	2021–	2020–	2021–
	21	22	21	22	21	22
Science (grades 5, 8, and high school)	NT	58.49	NT	36.27	28.72	29.47

Note: Science test results include the CAST and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the CAST plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: For any 2020–21 data cells with N/T values indicate that this school did not test students using the CAASPP for Science.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	54	53	98.15	1.85	58.49
Female	25	25	100.00	0.00	64.00
Male	29	28	96.55	3.45	53.57
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0
Asian					
Black or African American					
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	16	16	100.00	0.00	43.75
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0
Two or More Races					
White	19	19	100.00	0.00	73.68
English Learners					
Foster Youth	0	0	0	0	0
Homeless					
Military	0	0	0	0	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged					
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0	0
Students with Disabilities					

CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group Grades Five, Eight and High School (School Year 2021–22)

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

• Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2021–22) Percentage of Students Participating in each of the five Fitness Components

For the SARC reporting year (2021–22), the percentage of students participating in each of the five Fitness Components is reported below.

The formula for the participation rate (percent) of students meeting each of the five FITNESSGRAM Components, by grade is:

(The number of students who participated in the FITNESSGRAM Component) - divided by -

(The total number of students enrolled at time of testing)

Grade	Component 1: Aerobic Capacity	Component 2: Abdominal Strength and Endurance	Component 3: Trunk Extensor and Strength and Flexibility	Component 4: Upper Body Strength and Endurance	Component 5: Flexibility
5	88%	94%	88%	85%	85%
7	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
9					

Note: Due to changes to the 2021–22 PFT administration, only participation results are required for these five fitness areas.

Note: Percentages are not calculated and double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Last updated: 2/1/23

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

• Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions regarding the school district and at each school site

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2022–23)

Due to the nature of the pandemic, parent involvement offerings were made virtually and continued at parent request through the 2022-2023 school year. We are offering both in-person and virtual parent involvement opportunities. Parents at Indigo are an integral part of decision-making through participation in a leadership role on the School Site Council and Parent Teacher Association, and through the Indigo DEIB (Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Belonging) committee. Parents are also involved at Indigo in the

following areas:

- Classroom volunteers
- Recess supervisors
- * District/ Parent Advisory Council
- Support for annual activities such as Fun Run, Harvest Festival and various fundraisers
- Annual Back-to-School Night in the fall
- Annual Open House (Exhibit Night) in the spring
- Annual Exploration Night (Literacy, Science, Math)
- Monthly meetings of the PTA
- Monthly meetings of the School Site Council
- * DEIB meetings

• Goal Setting and student-led parent, student, teacher conferences held twice annually

- Koffee Klatch meetings
- Latino parent meetings
- •Quarterly ELAC Meetings
- •Emergency Preparedness Preparation and Participation
- •Community Events (Plant Sale, School Beautification, etc.)
- *Monthly Parent Education meetings
- *Family Gallery Walks and end of unit celebrations

State Priority: Pupil Engagement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Engagement (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates;
- High school graduation rates; and
- Chronic Absenteeism

Chronic Absenteeism by Student Group (School Year 2021–22)

Student Group	Cumulative Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Eligible Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Count	Chronic Absenteeism Rate
All Students	293	286	39	13.6
Female	142	138	19	13.8
Male	151	148	20	13.5
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0.0
Asian	59	56	5	8.9
Black or African American	9	9	1	11.1
Filipino	10	10	3	30.0
Hispanic or Latino	74	74	13	17.6
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0.0
Two or More Races	22	21	2	9.5
White	118	115	15	13.0
English Learners	18	16	2	12.5
Foster Youth	0	0	0	0.0
Homeless	0	0	0	0.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	27	27	6	22.2
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0.0
Students with Disabilities	31	31	6	19.4

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety

Suspensions and Expulsions for School Year 2019–20 Only (data collected between July through February, partial school year due to the COVID-19 pandemic)

Rate	School 2019–20	District 2019–20	State 2019–20
Suspensions	0.00%	0.84%	2.45%
Expulsions	0.00%	0.00%	0.05%

Note: The 2019–20 suspensions and expulsions rate data are not comparable to other year data because the 2019–20 school year is a partial school year due to the COVID-19 crisis. As such, it would be inappropriate to make any comparisons in rates of suspensions and expulsions in the 2019–20 school year compared to other school years. **Suspensions and Expulsions**

(data collected between July through June, each full school year respectively)

Rate	School 2020–21	School 2021–22	District 2020–21	District 2021–22	State 2020–21	State 2021–22
Suspensions	0.00%	1.37%	0.02%	2.08%	0.20%	3.17%
Expulsions	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.07%

Note: Data collected during the 2020–21 school year may not be comparable to earlier years of this collection due to differences in learning mode instruction in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Student Group	Suspensions Rate	Expulsions Rate
All Students	1.37	0.00
Female	0.00	0.00
Male	2.65	0.00
Non-Binary	0.00	0.00
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.00	0.00
Asian	0.00	0.00
Black or African American	0.00	0.00
Filipino	0.00	0.00
Hispanic or Latino	2.70	0.00
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.00	0.00
Two or More Races	0.00	0.00
White	0.85	0.00
English Learners	0.00	0.00
Foster Youth	0.00	0.00
Homeless	0.00	0.00
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	7.41	0.00
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0.00	0.00
Students with Disabilities	6.45	0.00

Suspensions and Expulsions by Student Group (School Year 2021–22)

Last updated: 1/25/23

School Safety Plan (School Year 2022–23)

Providing a safe environment is a high priority for the Indigo Program. To this end, there are continuous improvement processes and collaborative efforts that provide comprehensive health education and a strong academic foundation. Our community is committed to providing a safe and secure setting for students to focus on learning within an environment that is free of violence and fear. The essential tenet is that a safe environment with an absence of threat creates the most positive atmosphere for learning

and healthy development to take place. Prevention education at Indigo includes supplemental programs and policies including Positive Behavior Intervention Support (PBIS), conflict resolution, emotional regulation instruction, Positive Discipline, prevention education, developmental assets, and the Wellness Policy including physical education and nutritional education. Project Cornerstone is in its thirteenth year of implementation for Indigo. Attendance is closely monitored and students with unexcused absences of 10% or more receive notification and school support. Truancy abatement is a common goal of the school/district and other agency collaborative partnerships. With the prevalence of Covid-19, flu, and RSV, one particular strategy is the use of Independent Study. In order to reduce the number of incidents of bullying and harassment among students and to ensure that students feel neither intimidated nor threatened by bullying or harassment, Indigo has an ongoing program that specifically addresses issues of race, ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, perceived sexual orientation, and perceptions which commonly surface in bullying/harassment incidences. We also have ongoing classroom instruction and use PBIS, Positive Discipline, and anti-bias inside and outside the classroom to focus on positive conflict resolution and alternatives to aggressive behavior. This year we are implementing the Project Cornerstone program in our K-8 classes. Indigo students also participate in bimonthly communication lab lessons. Additionally, Indigo is implementing restorative practices outlined in "Don't Suspend Me," for misbehavior. Each year staff is educated, trained, and practices the concepts of the National **Emergency Management** System which includes fire drills, earthquake evacuation, first aid procedures, communicable disease action plan, and emergency evacuation maps. We balance the school discipline plan with positive incentives, as well as appropriate alternative consequence plans. Alternatives reviewed as options for positive discipline include campus clean-up, campus projects, incentives, community services, behavior plans/contracts, site or off-site group or individual counseling, and/or alternative placements.

D. Other SARC information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary) School Year 2019–20

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes* 1-20	Number of Classes* 21-32	
К				
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
Other**				

* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

** "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes* 1-20	Number of Classes* 21-32	
К				
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
Other**				

Average Class Size and	d Class Size Distribution	(Elementary) School Year 2020–21
------------------------	---------------------------	----------------------------------

* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

** "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes* 1-20	Number of Classes* 21-32	Number of Classes* 33+
К	21.00		1	
1	24.00		1	
2	21.00		2	
3	23.00		1	
4				
5				
6	13.00	3		
Other**	26.00		4	

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary) School Year 2021–22

* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

** "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Ratio of Pupils to Academic Counselor (School Year 2021–22)

Title	Ratio
Pupils to Academic Counselor*	.00

* One full time equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Last updated: 1/25/23

Student Support Services Staff (School Year 2021–22)

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School
Counselor (Academic, Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	
Psychologist	0.50
Social Worker	
Nurse	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.40
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	
Other	0.00

* One full time equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2020–21)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
	•			
School Site	\$11548.00	\$3602.00	\$7946.00	\$90944.00
District	N/A	N/A	\$7501.00	\$86461.00
Percent Difference – School Site and District	N/A	N/A	3.80%	3.34%
State	N/A	N/A	\$6593.62	\$87271.00

Level	Total	Expenditures	Expenditures	Average
	Expenditures	Per Pupil	Per Pupil	Teacher
	Per Pupil	(Restricted)	(Unrestricted)	Salary
Percent Difference – School Site and State	N/A	N/A	12.03%	2.73%

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2021–22)

Indigo provides part time Library support, social work interns, therapists, health clerk, English

Language Teacher Partner and part-time Instructional Assistant, Resource Specialists with Instructional


Assistants, Speech and Language Pathologist, Occupational Therapist, Adaptive PE, School Psychologist, and personnel for small group interventions

Last updated: 1/25/23

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$53709.00	\$52640.75
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$84060.00	\$83981.39
Highest Teacher Salary	\$103129.00	\$107521.97
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$138613.00	\$136246.56
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$150958.00	\$142247.57
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$0.00	\$139199.00
Superintendent Salary	\$298043.00	\$242165.89
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	35.24%	34.07%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5.13%	5.47%

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2020–21)

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.



Beginning Teacher Salary Mid-Range Teacher Salary

Advanced Placement (AP) Courses (School Year 2021–22)

Percent of Students in AP Courses

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*
Computer Science	0
English	0
Fine and Performing Arts	0
Foreign Language	0
Mathematics	0
Science	0
Social Science	0
Total AP Courses Offered*	0.00%

* Where there are student course enrollments of at least one student.

Last updated: 1/25/23

Professional Development

Measure	2020–	2021–	2022–
	21	22	23
Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement	3	3	3