District 11 Mid-Year Data Report

DII



Organizational Systems and Structures





Coherence + Alignment Drive Academics



High Leverage Strategies

Supported, Trained, Motivated Adults



4

Every Student is Known



Supported, Trained, Motivated Adults

Enrollment õ **Student Achievement** Increased



Supported, Trained, Motivated Adults





Every Student is

Known

DISTRICT BENCHMARK STAR ASSESSMENTS WINTER RESULTS

PERFORMANCE AND FALL TO WINTER ACADEMIC GROWTH



AGENDA

- How are percentile, performance levels, and median growth percentiles used?
- District results
- Elementary results
- Middle results
- High results
- Priority Improvement results
- Appendix

PERCENTILE RESULTS

THE DISTRICT BENCHMARK RESULTS PLACING STUDENTS IN PERCENTILE QUARTILES

Percentiles help us understand where our students rank in comparison to their peers across the nation. Percentile Quarters give the percentage of our students in the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, or 4th quartile. For example, in the image 20% of students in District 11 were in the top quartile and 36% of students fell in the bottom quartile in math.



PERFORMANCE LEVEL RESULTS

THE DISTRICT BENCHMARK PREDICTIONS OF CURRENT STATE ALIGNED PERFORMANCE LEVELS

The State of Colorado uses 5 performance levels to determine if Level 5: Exceeded students are on track for college 22 Level 4: Met readiness. Levels 4 and 5 are Level 3: Approached considered "On track." 20% of 33 35 Level 2: Partially Met DII 6th grade students were On Level I: Did Not Yet Meet Track in math on the Winter-28 25 District Benchmark. le l Winter

06

MEDIAN GROWTH PERCENTILE RESULTS

THE DISTRICT BENCHMARK PREDICTIONS OF CURRENT STATE ALIGNED PERFORMANCE LEVELS

- Student Growth Percentile shows how a student has grown by comparing their growth with their academic peers' growth. These peers include students in the same grade with a similar score on the last I or 2 tests.
- The Median Growth Percentile (MGP) is the middle growth score for students in a group.
- Represents between 52-53 teaching days



- A group with a median score above 50 indicates students are growing faster than their peers.
- For example, 3rd grade students are growing slightly slower than their peers while 4th grade students are growing faster than their peers in math.
- To close the performance vs growth gap we need SGPs of 60 and above



STRENGTHS IN DISTRICT PERFORMANCE

Elementary & Priority Schools Lead Overall in MGP Progress

- Queen Palmer (66), Steele (60), Rudy (59), Bristol (58), and Stratton (56) demonstrated the most growth in ELA
- Chipeta (68), Steele (65), Grant (60), Scott (59), and Queen Palmer (58) demonstrated the most growth in Math
- Holmes (58) and JSAA (52) met expected growth in ELA, while Holmes (51) and Sabin (54) met expected growth in Math
- Coronado (58), Odyssey (56), and Palmer (50) met expected growth in ELA, while Coronado (53) and Odyssey (52) met in Math

Percentile and Performance Level Progress Made in Each Content Area

- In Math, the number of students "On Track" grew by 5% while "Did Not Yet Meet" shrunk by 4%
- Grades **4 and 5** met expected growth in **Math**
- Grades 4,5,9,10, and 11 met expected growth in ELA

CHALLENGES IN DISTRICT PERFORMANCE

DII Students are Generally Growing at Slower Rate as Their Peers Around the Country

- 19% of D11 students are **On Track** in **MATH**
- 33% of DII students are **On Track** in **ELA**
- Overall district results indicate a slightly below expectations result for growth percentiles (MGP<50) in ELA and Math

Too Many Students are not Reaching Grade-Level Performance in ELA & MATH

- If given today, the district performance rating would remain at "Improvement"
- Significant gaps persist between subgroups
- Gaps in Minority and Economically Disadvantaged students persist in both Math and ELA

DISTRICT PERCENTILE RESULTS

Math





Saw growth in Math and consistent performance in ELA

DISTRICT PERFORMANCE LEVEL RESULTS PERCENT OF STUDENTS AT EACH PERFORMANCE LEVEL

Math



ELA

In Math, the number of students "On Track" grew by 5% while "Did Not Yet

Meet" shrunk by 4%. In ELA, the percent "On Track" grew by 2%



DISTRICT MEDIAN GROWTH PERCENTILE – GRADES 3-11 FALL TO WINTER

MEDIAN GROWTH PERCENTILE GIVES INFORMATION ABOUT ACADEMIC GROWTH FOR STUDENTS COMPARED TO THEIR PEERS.

DI I STUDENTS CONTINUE TO NOT GROW AS FAST AS THEIR PEERS AROUND THE NATION







Fall-Winter Fall-Spring



Fall-Winter Fall-Spring



SUBGROUP MEDIAN GROWTH PERCENTILE – FALL TO WINTER



3 **Best First Instruction: Coherence** Instruction -Tier

ELEMENTARY PERFORMANCE TAKE-AWAYS

District Elementary School Math Growth Outperforms Peer Schools Nationwide

Overall district **elementary schools** showed strong improvement by increasing the number of students scoring "On Track" in **Math** by 7%

Grades 3,4, and 5 all showed strong improvement in moving more students into "On Track" in Math (8%, 8%, and 10% respectively)

10 schools met the district goal of achieving a **Median Growth Percentile** greater than 55 with the highest being **Chipeta** (68) and **Steele** (65)

19 schools are growing faster than peer schools across the nation

Too Many Students Continue To Not Reach Grade-Level Performance in ELA & MATH

More than 1/3 of district elementary students perform in the **bottom 25%** of the nation in **ELA**

Less than 25% of district elementary students are performing at grade level in Math

Several achievement gaps persist among subpopulations in ELA and Math growth

ELEMENTARY SCHOOL PERCENTILE RESULTS

Math



ELA

Increased the number of students performing in the top 25% of the nation in both Math and ELA while reducing the number of students performing in the bottom 25%

% of students on Percentile Bands

ELEMENTARY SCHOOL PERFORMANCE LEVEL RESULTS PERCENT OF STUDENTS AT EACH PERFORMANCE LEVEL



In Math, the number of students "On Track" grew significantly by 7% while "Did Not Yet Meet" shrunk by 5%. In ELA, the percent "On Track" grew by 4% while "Did Not Yet Meet" shrunk by 5%.



ELEMENTARY MEDIAN GROWTH PERCENTILE – FALL TO WINTER

DI I STUDENTS ARE GROWING FASTER THAN THEIR PEERS IN MATH

DISTRICT ACADIENCE (LITERACY) RESULTS



Percent of Students at Benchmark on Acadience Reading - All Grades

Well Below Benchmark

% of K-3 students scoring well below 17:18 80⁴ 17:18 18:19 80⁴ 18:19 18:19 18:19 18:04 18:04 19:20 10:20 20:20 10:20 20:20 10:20 20:20 10:20 20:20 10:20 20:20

% of K-3 Students Scoring SRD

YEAR, Begin, Middle, End

Pandemic levels significantly increased student performance in the "Significant Reading Deficiency" category. Post 2020-2021 school year, we are beginning to see recovery toward prepandemic early literacy performance.
25

ELEMENTARY MATH PERFORMANCE PRE-POST PANDEMIC PERCENT OF STUDENTS AT EACH PERFORMANCE LEVEL PRE, MID, AND POST PANDEMIC



Winter Benchmark results show significant recovery from pandemic performance levels but are still under prepandemic levels

Far fewer students are performing at the lowest performance level than during the pandemic

ELEMENTARY ELA PERFORMANCE PRE-POST PANDEMIC PERCENT OF STUDENTS AT EACH PERFORMANCE LEVEL PRE, MID, AND POST PANDEMIC



Winter Benchmark results show significant recovery from pandemic performance levels and are close to pre-pandemic levels Far fewer students are performing at the lowest performance level than during the pandemic Far more students are performing at the meets/exceeds performance level than during the pandemic

ADJUSTED ACTIONS BASED ON DATA – ELEMENTARY

SEEING AND FEELING THE DIFFERENCE IN THE CLASSROOM

| | Best First Instruction Coherence | Focus on Content Specific Professional Learning | Differentiation of Instruction/Special Populations |
|---|--|---|--|
| • | Cascading Professional Learning All leaders, and TLCs Direct To Teacher learning | Focus on module and lesson planning in mathematics | • Target additional support to align with student needs in special populations |
| • | One Plan Check-Ins, increased focus on MOY data • Targeting specific standards | • Launching literacy unit and lesson planning (similar to math process for coherence) | Increased use of core instruction (Tier I) differentiation, with added Tier II intervention supports |
| | through growth data Move to online curricular | Targeted curricular program training in Math and launching in | Dyslexia Supports and Pilot School Work |
| | Utilize early literacy data | | Expanded Learning Opportunities Launching additional After School |
| • | Pilot of Star 360 (Focus on STAR Early Literacy) to review options for early literacy assessment | walks, observations, and feedback cycles around applying Professional Learning | Planning for Summer Programming |

MIDDLE SCHOOL PERFORMANCE TAKE-AWAYS

3 of 9 Middle Schools Demonstrated 5% or More of Students Scoring ON TRACK in Math

3 out of 9 middle schools posted gains of 5% or greater in the number of students scoring "On Track" in Math with North and Sabin being the highest (6%)

Holmes and Sabin met or exceeded expected growth in Math (51 and 54 MGP respectively)

Holmes and JSAA met or exceeded expected growth in ELA (58 and 52 MGP respectively)

Too Many Students Continue To Not Reach Grade-Level Performance in ELA & MATH

16% of **district middle school** students are performing at grade level in **Math**

Did not meet expected growth across all district middle schools in Math or ELA

Significant gaps persist between subgroups

MIDDLE SCHOOL PERCENTILE RESULTS PERCENT OF STUDENTS AT EACH QUARTILE OF PERCENTILES



In Math, the number of students scoring in the top 25% nationwide grew by 4% while the bottom 25% nationwide shrunk by 4%. In ELA, fall to winter results remained static.

30

MIDDLE SCHOOL PERFORMANCE LEVEL RESULTS PERCENT OF STUDENTS AT EACH PERFORMANCE LEVEL

Math



ELA

In Math, the number of students "On Track" grew by 4% while "Did Not Yet Meet" shrunk by 4%. In ELA, results remained static.



MIDDLE -MEDIAN GROWTH PERCENTILE – FALL TO WINTER

DII STUDENTS CONTINUE TO NOT GROW AS FAST AS THEIR PEERS AROUND THE NATION

MIDDLE MATH PERFORMANCE PRE-POST PANDEMIC PERCENT OF STUDENTS AT EACH PERFORMANCE LEVEL PRE, MID, AND POST PANDEMIC



Winter Benchmark results show no recovery from pandemic performance levels and are below pre-pandemic levels Fewer students are performing at the lowest performance level than mid-pandemic Score variability during pandemic years is due to limited sample size related to quarantine restrictions

MIDDLE ELA PERFORMANCE PRE-POST PANDEMIC PERCENT OF STUDENTS AT EACH PERFORMANCE LEVEL PRE, MID, AND POST PANDEMIC



Winter Benchmark results show significant recovery from mid-pandemic performance levels and approaching pre-pandemic levels More students are performing at the meets/exceeds performance level than during the pandemic Score variability during pandemic years is due to limited sample size related to quarantine restrictions

ADJUSTED ACTIONS BASED ON DATA – MIDDLE SCHOOL

SEEING AND FEELING THE DIFFERENCE IN THE CLASSROOM

| | Best First Instruction Coherence | Focus on Content Specific Professional Learning | Differentiation of Instruction/ Special Populations |
|---|---|--|--|
| • | Cascading Professional Learning All leaders and Dept. Chairs Direct To Teacher learning | Focus on module and lesson planning in mathematics | Target additional support to student needs in special populations |
| • | One Plan Check-Ins, increased focus on MOY data • Targeting specific standards | Launching plan for increased fidelity through text first planning in secondary core literacy program (StudySync) | Increased use of core instruction (Tier I) differentiation, with added Tier II intervention supports |
| | Move to online curricular embedded assessments in Math | Targeted curricular program training in Math and launching in ELA (StudySync) | Pilot of online Tier II intervention program to review options for early literacy assessment |
| | Tiatii | Increased consistency in learning walks, observations, and feedback cycles around applying | Expanded Learning Opportunities Launching additional After School Tutoring Opportunities Planning for Summer Programming |

Professional Learning

HIGH SCHOOL PERFORMANCE TAKE-AWAYS

Coronado, Mitchell, and Odyssey Make Strong Progress In ELA & Math

Mitchell MGP was on par with all the other district high schools; Mitchell shrunk the number of students performing at "Does Not Meet" by 7% in Math

Odyssey Early College and Career Options students score above 59% of students in the nation in Math

Coronado grew the number of students performing in the top half of the country by 6% in ELA; **Coronado** grew the number of students performing "On Track" in **Math by 8%**

Coronado and Odyssey exceeded expected growth in **ELA** (58 and 56, respectively) and met expected growth in **Math** (53 and 52, respectively)

Percentage of Students Who Meet Grade-Level Expectations Remains a Concern

18% of district high school students are performing at grade-level expectations in Math

Close to 1/2 of district high school students perform in the **bottom 25%** of the nation in **ELA**

More than 1/3 of district high school students perform in the bottom 25% in Math

District high schools did not meet expected growth in Math

36
HIGH SCHOOL PERCENTILE RESULTS PERCENT OF STUDENTS AT EACH QUARTILE OF PERCENTILES







High school results across Math and ELA remained static.

HIGH SCHOOL PERFORMANCE LEVEL RESULTS PERCENT OF STUDENTS AT EACH PERFORMANCE LEVEL



In Math, the number of students "On Track" grew by 3% while "Did Not Yet Meet" shrunk by 4%. In ELA, results remained static.



HIGH SCHOOL MEDIAN GROWTH PERCENTILE – FALL TO WINTER

DII STUDENTS ARE GROWING AS FAST AS THEIR PEERS AROUND THE NATION IN ELA

HIGH MATH PERFORMANCE PRE-POST PANDEMIC PERCENT OF STUDENTS AT EACH PERFORMANCE LEVEL PRE, MID, AND POST PANDEMIC



Winter Benchmark results show moderate recovery from mid-pandemic performance levels. Pre-pandemic level data not available Fewer students are performing at the Does Not Meet performance level than during the pandemic

Changes in performance level percentages are rough estimates due to differences in scale score cut points from Galileo to STAR

HIGH ELA PERFORMANCE PRE-POST PANDEMIC PERCENT OF STUDENTS AT EACH PERFORMANCE LEVEL PRE, MID, AND POST PANDEMIC



Winter Benchmark results show some recovery from mid-pandemic performance levels. Pre-pandemic level data not available Fewer students are performing at the Does Not Meet performance level than during the pandemic Changes in performance level percentages are rough estimates due to differences in scale score cut points from Galileo to STAR

ADJUSTED ACTIONS BASED ON DATA – HIGH SCHOOL

SEEING AND FEELING THE DIFFERENCE IN THE CLASSROOM

| Best First Instruction Coherence | Focus on Content Specific Professional Learning | Differentiation of Instruction/ Special Populations |
|---|--|--|
| Cascading Professional Learning All leaders and Dept. Chairs in Best First Instruction Direct To Teacher learning in competency and on track to graduate One Plan Check-Ins, increased focus on MOY data | Launching plan for increased fidelity through text first planning in secondary core literacy program (StudySync) Setting common competency criteria to ensure deeper demonstrations of learning | Target additional support to student needs in special populations Increased use of core instruction (Tier I) differentiation, with added Tier II intervention supports and tutoring |
| Targeting specific standards through growth data Course embedded assessment Capstones for competency | Increased consistency in learning walks, observations, and feedback cycles around applying Professional Learning (Pilot of video observations at Mitchell) | Use of EdReady to accelerate math proficiency Monthly collaborative analysis and next step planning: 'on track to graduate' and early warning |

PRIORITY IMPROVEMENT SCHOOL WINTER PERFORMANCE TAKE-AWAYS

16 of 17 Priority Schools Showed Math Improvement

Students in grades 4, 5, 7, and 11 met expected growth in Math

Grades 3 – 7 all grew the number of students scoring "On Track" in Math by between 5% to 9%

9 of the 17 Priority Improvement schools met or exceeded expected growth in Math

9 of the 17 Priority Improvement schools posted gains of 5% or greater in the number of students scoring "On Track" in **Math**

All priority middle schools showed improvement in Math from between 1 to 6 percentiles

Mitchell increased the percentage of students scoring in the middle and upper percentiles by 9% and their math performance improved by 4 percentiles

16 of the 17 Priority Improvement schools reduced the number of students scoring "Does Not Meet" in ELA or Math

Priority Improvement School Outcomes Responded Favorably to Strong Supports



SOUTHEAST AREA SCHOOL VISITS **SEMESTER I**



NORTHEAST AREA SCHOOL VISITS **SEMESTER I**



Small Group Teacher Support

- R&D School Support
- Other
- Individual Teacher Support
- BFI Goal: B.4b

BFI Goal: D.4

- BFI Goal B.4a
- AMP Support

 Elementary - VAPA Focus VAPA Rogers Elementary - DLI School Edison Elementary Palmer High School Adams Elementary Stratton Elementary Queen Palmer Elementary **Twain Elementary** Columbia Elementary VAPA North Middle School Innovation Steele Elementary - Focus Mann Middle Taylor AREA BY SCHOOL Central



AREA BY SCHOOL

Northeast

PRIORITY ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS MATH PERCENTILE RESULTS PERCENT OF STUDENTS AT EACH QUARTILE OF PERCENTILES



LESS THAN 25TH BETWEEN 25TH AND 50TH BETWEEN 50TH AND 75TH ABOVE 75TH

PRIORITY ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS ELA PERCENTILE RESULTS PERCENT OF STUDENTS AT EACH QUARTILE OF PERCENTILES



LESS THAN 25TH
 BETWEEN 25TH AND 50TH
 BETWEEN 50TH AND 75TH
 ABOVE 75TH

PRIORITY ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS MATH PERFORMANCE LEVEL RESULTS PERCENT OF STUDENTS AT EACH PERFORMANCE LEVEL



PRIORITY ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS ELA PERFORMANCE LEVEL RESULTS PERCENT OF STUDENTS AT EACH PERFORMANCE LEVEL



PRIORITY ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS MATH MGP MEDIAN GROWTH PERCENTILES BY SCHOOL



PRIORITY ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS ELA MGP MEDIAN GROWTH PERCENTILES BY SCHOOL



Median Growth

PRIORITY SECONDARY SCHOOLS MATH PERCENTILE RESULTS PERCENT OF STUDENTS AT EACH QUARTILE OF PERCENTILES



PRIORITY SECONDARY SCHOOLS ELA PERCENTILE RESULTS PERCENT OF STUDENTS AT EACH QUARTILE OF PERCENTILES



Secondary priority schools saw mixed results in ELA.

PRIORITY SECONDARY SCHOOLS MATH PERFORMANCE LEVEL RESULTS PERCENT OF STUDENTS AT EACH PERFORMANCE LEVEL



Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 Level 5

PRIORITY SECONDARY SCHOOLS ELA PERFORMANCE LEVEL RESULTS PERCENT OF STUDENTS AT EACH PERFORMANCE LEVEL



Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 Level 5

PRIORITY SECONDARY SCHOOLS MATH MGP MEDIAN GROWTH PERCENTILES BY SCHOOL



PRIORITY SECONDARY SCHOOLS ELA MGP MEDIAN GROWTH PERCENTILES BY SCHOOL



Median Growth

ADJUSTED ACTIONS BASED ON DATA – PRIORITY IMPROVEMENT SCHOOLS

SEEING AND FEELING THE DIFFERENCE IN THE CLASSROOM

| Best First Instruction Coherence | Focus on Content Specific Professional Learning | Differentiation of Instruction/ Special Populations |
|--|---|--|
| Cascading Professional Learning All leaders and Dept. Chairs Direct To Teacher learning (earliest opportunities) | • Increased frequency of math support visits (from bi-weekly to weekly) for planning implementation— Month of Jan. Intense focus | Target additional support to student needs in special populations Increased use of core instruction |
| One Plan Check-Ins, increased focus on MOY data Targeting specific standards through growth data | Targeted curricular program training in Math and launching in ELA | (Tier I) differentiation, with added Tier II intervention supports Pilot of online Tier II intervention |
| Move to online curricular embedded assessments in Math Increased support of principal and ILT through EASI grant | Increased consistency in learning walks, observations, and feedback cycles around applying Professional Learning | program to review options for early literacy assessment Expanded Learning Opportunities Launching additional After School Tutoring Opportunities |

Planning for Summer Programming

APPENDIX

- Grade Level Percentiles
- Subgroup Percentiles
- Grade Level Performance
- Grade Level MGP
- School Level MGP
- School Level State Performance
- School Level Percentile
- Sample Longitudinal Results

GRADE LEVEL PERCENTILES – FALL AND WINTER





Grades

ABOVE 75TH BETWEEN 50TH AND 75TH BETWEEN 25TH AND 50TH LESS THAN 25TH

MATH PERCENTILE SUBGROUP – DISTRICT LEVEL



2022-2023

60

ELA PERCENTILE SUBGROUP – DISTRICT LEVEL

ABOVE 75TH



BETWEEN 25TH AND 50TH

LESS THAN 25TH

BETWEEN 50TH AND 75TH

2022-2023

GRADE LEVEL STATE PERFORMANCE LEVELS – FALL AND WINTER



Grades

Level 5 Level 4 Level 3 Level 2 Level 1





Grades



Fall-Winter Fall-Spring





GRADE LEVEL MEDIAN GROWTH PERCENTILE – FALL TO WINTER



4 YEAR GRADUATION RATE





Fall-Winter
 Fall-Spring



Fall-Winter
 Fall-Spring



Fall-Winter
 Fall-Spring



Fall-Spring

Fall-Winter

Elementary School





Middle School

● Fall-Winter ● Fall-Spring



- Priority School

71




Elementary School

Fall-Winter
Fall-Spring



Elementary School

Fall-Winter
Fall-Spring



Elementary School





Fall-Winter
Fall-Spring



High School



Alternative School



🔵 Level 5 🔹 Level 4 🛑 Level 3 🛑 Level 2 🛑 Level 1



🔵 Level 5 🛛 🔍 Level 4 🚽 Level 3 🚽 Level 2 📕 Level 1





Level 5 Level 4 Level 3 Level 2

Level 1





- Priority School

85



Level 4

Alternative School



🔵 Level 5 🛛 🔵 Level 4 🛛 🔴 Level 3 💛 Level 2 🔶 Level 1



🔵 Level 5 🛛 🔍 Level 4 🚽 Level 3 🚽 Level 2 🛑 Level 1





🔵 Level 5 🛛 🔵 Level 4 🛛 🛑 Level 3

🛑 Level 2 🛛 🛑 Level 1





- Priority School

92



Alternative School





Elementary School



ABOVE 75TH DETWEEN 50TH AND 75TH

l 🛛 😑 BETWEEN 25TH AND 50TH

TH 🛛 🛑 LESS THAN 25TH











Alternative School

LESS THAN 25TH







🔵 ABOVE 75TH 🛛 🔵 B

BETWEEN 50TH AND 75TH

😑 BETWEEN 25TH AND 50TH 👘 🛑 LESS

H 🛛 🛑 LESS THAN 25TH



BETWEEN 50TH AND 75TH

LESS THAN 25TH

- Priority School

105





- Priority School

107



- Priority School

108


02022-2023



02022-2023



02022-2023

- Priority School

111



02022-2023



2022-2023



2022-2023





CHIPETA LONGITUDINAL RESULTS



Chipeta has increased the number of students in Meets/Exceeds in both Math and ELA and is approaching working toward approaching pre-pandemic levels. Data is improving compared to mid-pandemic levels They also decreased the number of students in Does Not Meet in both Math and ELA Their strong systematic growth is demonstrating long-term gains in student performance

QUEEN PALMER LONGITUDINAL RESULTS



Queen Palmer has increased the number of students in Meets/Exceeds in both Math and ELA and is working toward approaching pre-pandemic levels. Data is improving compared to mid-pandemic levels

They also decreased the number of students in Does Not Meet in both Math and ELA Their strong systematic growth is demonstrating long-term gains in student performance

NORTH LONGITUDINAL RESULTS



North has increased the number of students in Meets/Exceeds in both Math and ELA and is now outperforming mid-pandemic levels

They also decreased the number of students in Does Not Meet in both Math and ELA Their strong systematic growth is demonstrating long-term gains in student performance

CORONADO LONGITUDINAL RESULTS



Coronado has increased the number of students in Meets/Exceeds in both Math and ELA and data is improving compared to mid-pandemic levels

They also decreased the number of students in Does Not Meet in both Math and ELA

Their strong systematic growth is demonstrating long-term gains in student performance