



# TOC

SECT	ION	PAGE
FXFC	TITIVE	SUMMARY ES-1
I.		RAL1
II.		BILITY TO COLLECT ALTERNATIVE FEES5
	A.	Eligibility to Receive State Funds5
	В.	Statutory Requirements5
	C.	Eligibility to Collect Alternative Fees7
III.		ECTED UNHOUSED STUDENTS FROM RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT OVER
	THE	NEXT FIVE YEARS8
	Α.	Projected Student Enrollment8
	B.	Current Capacity
	C.	Projected Unhoused Students 12
IV.	SURP	LUS SCHOOL SITES AND EXISTING SURPLUS LOCAL FUNDS 13
V.		RNATIVE NO. 2 FEE 14
	A.	Alternative No. 2 Fee School Facility Costs 14
	B.	Credit for Local Funds 20
	C.	Alternative No. 2 Fee Calculation 20
VI.	ALTE	RNATIVE NO. 3 FEE 23
<b>元</b> 载	Α.	Alternative No. 3 Fee School Facility Costs 23
	B.	Alternative No. 3 Fee Calculation24
VII.	SECT	ION 66000 OF THE GOVERNMENT CODE 25
EXHI	RITS	
LXIII	DIIO	
EXHI	BIT A:	Current SAB Form 50-01
	BIT B:	Current SAB Form 50-02
EXHI	BIT C:	Current SAB Form 50-03
	BIT D:	Eligibility Determination from the SAB
EXHI	BIT E:	Summary of School Facility Planning Policies and Estimates of Actual School
		Facility Costs
EXHI	BIT F:	Information on Measure D
EXHI	BIT G:	Bonding Capacity Calculation
EXHI	BIT H:	Correspondence with the Cities and County
EXHI	BIT I:	Reconstruction
EXHI	BIT J:	Updated School Facilities Capacity Calculation
EXHI	BIT K:	Adjusted School Facilities Capacity Calculation
	BIT L:	Matriculation and Allocation of Surplus Seats
	BIT M:	Surplus Site Determination
EXHI	BIT N:	Identification and Consideration of Local Funding Sources per Section 65995.5(c)(2)
		and Section 65995.6(b)(3)
EXHI	BIT O:	Calculation of Additional Grants for General Site Development

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This School Facilities Needs Analysis ("Analysis") has been prepared in accordance with applicable laws to provide the factual basis for the Oxnard School District ("School District") to consider and, if desired, adopt alternative school facility fees ("Alternative Fees") that may be collected from residential development in the School District consistent with Section 17620 of the Education Code and Sections 65995.5, 65995.6, and 65995.7 of the Government Code (future code section references are to the Government Code unless otherwise specified). The Analysis provides factual information as to the following three (3) elements:

- (i) Determination by the State Allocation Board ("SAB") of eligibility to receive funds from the State of California ("State") for new school facility construction;
- (ii) Designation by the School District of satisfying at least two (2) of the four (4) statutory school requirements ("Statutory Requirements") set forth in Section 65995.5(b)(3); and
- (iii) Calculation of the amount of the permissible Alternative Fees authorized by Section 65995.5 ("Alternative No. 2 Fee") and by Section 65995.7 ("Alternative No. 3 Fee").

#### A. Eligibility for New Construction Funding from the State

The School District has taken action electing to participate in the School Facilities Program ("SFP") established by Section 17070.10 of the Education Code and authorized a designated representative to (i) approve, certify, and submit the SAB Forms 50-01, 50-02, and 50-03 to the SAB and (ii) request an eligibility determination ("Eligibility Determination") for new construction funding as required by the SFP.

As shown in Exhibits A, B, C, and D, the School District is eligible to receive new construction funding under the SFP.

#### B. Compliance with Statutory Requirements

A review of the records of the School District was accomplished to ascertain if the School District satisfies at least two (2) of the Statutory Requirements. Table ES-1 summarizes the Statutory Requirements and identifies those satisfied by the School District as of the date hereof.

Table ES-1 Summary of Statutory Requirements

Statutory Requirements	Status
Substantial enrollment as defined in Section 65995.5(b)(3)(A) of its students on a multi-track year-round calendar	Not Met
Placed at least one (1) general obligation ("GO") bond measure on the ballot in the last four (4) years, and the measure received at least 50 percent plus one (1) of the votes cast	Met
Issued debt or incurred obligations for capital outlay in an amount equivalent to the percentage of its bonding capacity specified in Section 65995.5(b)(3)(C)	Met
At least 20 percent of the teaching stations are relocatable classrooms	Not Met

#### C. Calculation of Alternative No. 2 Fee and Alternative No. 3 Fee

The facts set forth herein justify on a roughly proportional and a reasonably related basis that the following amounts meet the requirements of Sections 66000 *et seq.*, as well as other applicable legal requirements, including but not limited to Sections 65995.5, 65995.6 and 65995.7. The Alternative No. 2 Fee and Alternative No. 3 Fee for the School District are listed in Table ES-2.

Table ES-2 Alternative Fees (2019\$)

Fee	Amount per Square Foot
Alternative No. 2 Fee	\$4.09
Alternative No. 3 Fee	\$8.38

Attached as Exhibit E is (i) a summary of the school facility planning policies of the School District and (ii) an estimate of the school facilities cost impacts per square foot of residential construction. As can be seen from comparing Exhibit E to the recommended Alternative No. 2 Fee and the Alternative No. 3 Fee in Table ES-2, the Alternative Fees are less than the comparable amounts set forth in Exhibit E and are not sufficient to cover all of the actual school facilities cost impacts caused by new residential development on the School District. Therefore, the Alternative No. 2 Fees and the Alternative No. 3 Fees are reasonably related and roughly proportional to the cost of school facilities for the future development identified in the Analysis in accordance with applicable laws.

#### D. Imposition of Alternative No. 2 Fee and Alternative No. 3 Fee

Prior to the adoption of the Analysis, the public is given a 30-day period to review and comment on the Analysis, and any written comments received by the Governing Board of the School District must be responded to. The Governing Board is also required to hold a public hearing prior to its consideration of the Analysis.

Should the Governing Board of the School District approve the resolution that adopts the Analysis and the accompanying Alternative No. 2 Fee and Alternative No. 3 Fee, those amounts would be effective immediately for a period not to exceed 12 months. By approving the Analysis and the accompanying Alternative Fees, the Governing Board is authorizing the imposition of the Alternative No. 2 Fee for those periods when the State has new construction bond funds available and the Alternative No. 3 Fee for those periods when the SAB is no longer approving apportionments for new construction due to a lack of funds available and the conditions in Section 65995.7 have been met.

#### I. GENERAL

Upon adoption of Alternative Fees by a school district, such Alternative Fees may be required in accordance with applicable law. It is anticipated that such adoption will specify that Alternative No. 2 Fees will be required as provided in Section 65995.5(a) if the SAB is approving apportionments for new construction funding, and Alternative No. 3 Fees will be required as provided in Section 65995.7(a), if the SAB is not approving apportionments for new construction funding.

The Analysis is divided into seven (7) main sections.

- Section I is the introductory section that generally describes the methodology used in preparing the Analysis.
- Section II describes the Eligibility Determination that has been obtained from the SAB, as well as documents which of the four (4) Statutory Requirements the School District presently satisfies.
- Section III projects the unhoused students to be generated by residential development anticipated to occur in the School District over the next five (5) years ("Future Units") in accordance with Section 65995.6(a).
- Section IV identifies any surplus school sites or existing surplus local funds that the School District might elect in whole or part to use to reduce the impact of the Future Units on the School District.
- Section V of the Analysis sets forth the recommended amount of the Alternative No. 2 Fee.
- Section VI of the Analysis sets forth the recommended amount of the Alternative No. 3 Fee.
- Finally, Section VII documents facts whereby the School District may make determinations regarding compliance of the Alternative Fees with Sections 66000 *et seq.*

#### **Eligibility to Collect Alternative Fees**

#### Eligibility to Receive State Funds

A school district must have been determined by the SAB to be eligible for new construction funding under the SFP pursuant to Section 65995.5(b)(1).

#### **Statutory Requirements**

A school district must satisfy at least two (2) of the four (4) Statutory Requirements in order to adopt and impose Alternative Fees. The Statutory Requirements are summarized as follows:

- 1. A school district has a substantial enrollment, as defined in Section 65995.5(b)(3)(A) ("Substantial Enrollment") of its students on a multi-track year-round calendar;
- 2. A school district has placed at least one (1) GO bond measure on the ballot in the last four (4) years, and the measure received at least 50 percent plus one (1) of the votes cast;
- 3. A school district has issued debt or incurred obligations for capital outlay in an amount equivalent to a certain percentage of its bonding capacity; and/or
- 4. At least 20 percent of the teaching stations within a school district are relocatable classrooms.

#### Projected Unhoused Students from Future Residential Development

#### Total Projected Student Enrollment

In determining the amount of any proposed Alternative Fees, a school district must project in accordance with Section 65995.6 the total number of students to be generated by Future Units ("Projected Student Enrollment"). This projection is performed by applying the student generation rates for residential development over the previous five (5) years of a type similar to that of the Future Units either in the school district or in the city or the county in which the school district is located. The projection may be modified by relevant planning agency information.

#### **Excess Capacity**

A school district must identify and consider the number of excess seats, if any, which are available at each school level (i.e., elementary school and intermediate school). If surplus seats exist at one (1) or more school levels, the school district must

determine what portion of the excess seats, if any, should be made available to accommodate the Projected Student Enrollment. The determination may include such considerations as matriculation of existing students, advance funding from mitigated future residential units, long term needs of the school district, as well as other relevant factors. Excess seats shall be determined by comparing capacity as calculated pursuant to Section 17071.25 of the Education Code to student enrollment.

#### Projected Unhoused Students

Lastly, a school district must reduce the Projected Student Enrollment by the excess capacity, if any, that is identified and allocated by the school district to the Future Units to calculate the number of projected unhoused students ("Projected Unhoused Students").

#### **Surplus Property and Existing Surplus Local Funds**

#### **Surplus Property**

A school district must identify and make a reasonable allocation of surplus property, if any, which could be (i) used as a school site and/or (ii) sold to finance additional school facilities needed to accommodate the Projected Unhoused Students.

#### **Existing Surplus Local Funds**

A school district must identify and make a reasonable allocation of existing surplus local sources, including local funds, which includes commercial/industrial school fees ("Local Funds"), if any, that could be available to finance the construction of school facilities needed to accommodate the Projected Unhoused Students as referred to in Section 65995.5(c)(2) and 65995.6(b)(3).

#### Alternative No. 2 Fee

#### Student Capacity and Site Size of Future School Facilities

A school district must determine the appropriate number of students to be housed at each school level. Pursuant to Section 65995.5(h), after this determination has been made, the school district must calculate the appropriate site size for each school level based on the "School Site Analysis and Development Handbook" published by the State Department of Education as that handbook read as of January 1, 1998.

#### Site Acquisition and Site Development Costs

A school district must establish a factual basis for the estimated cost of acquiring property(s) for a school site(s) or the appraised value of a proposed school site(s). Additionally, the school district must establish an estimate of the permissible cost of developing such site(s). The site development cost includes utilities, off-site, and service site development costs.

#### Total School Facility Costs per Student and Total School Facility Costs

A school district must estimate the total school facility costs per student based on the site acquisition and the site development costs mentioned above, as well as the amounts specified in Section 65995.5, which may or may not be adequate to fund the necessary school facilities. Thereafter, the total school facility costs must be calculated. This calculation involves multiplying the number of Projected Unhoused Students by the school facility costs per student set forth in Section 65995.5 and subtracting any available local sources, including Local Funds, identified by the school district and dedicated to such portion of future development in the school district.

#### Residential Square Footage to be Constructed during the Next Five (5) Years

Based on information from the county, the city(s) or one (1) or more independent third party market reports, a school district must estimate the total assessable square footage of the Future Units.

#### Alternative No. 2 Fee

A school district must calculate the Alternative No. 2 Fee by dividing the total school facility costs by the total assessable square footage of the Future Units in accordance with Section 65995.5(c).

#### Alternative No. 3 Fee

#### Alternative No. 3 Fee

The Alternative No. 3 Fee is determined by increasing the Alternative No. 2 Fee by an amount that may not exceed the amount calculated pursuant to Section 65995.5(c), provided that the calculation of such amount excludes reductions for available local sources, including Local Funds, identified and dedicated in accordance with Section 65995.7(a).

#### II. ELIGIBILITY TO COLLECT ALTERNATIVE FEES

Section 65995.5 requires that a school district (i) be eligible for new construction funding under the SFP and (ii) satisfy at least two (2) of the Statutory Requirements to be eligible to impose an Alternative No. 2 Fee or an Alternative No. 3 Fee. Section II.A provides an evaluation of the eligibility of the School District for new construction funding under the SFP and Section II.B documents the School District's satisfaction of at least two (2) Statutory Requirements.

#### A. Eligibility to Receive State Funds

The School District has taken action electing to participate in the SFP established by Section 17070.10 of the Education Code. Additionally, the School District authorized a designated representative to (i) approve, certify, and submit the SAB Forms 50-01, 50-02, and 50-03 to the SAB and (ii) request an Eligibility Determination for new construction funding as required by the SFP. The School District filed SAB Forms 50-01, 50-02, and 50-03 and requested an Eligibility Determination for new construction funding as required by the SFP on August 23, 1999. On March 22, 2000 the Eligibility Determination of the School District was approved by the SAB. Subsequently, the School District submitted updated SAB Forms 50-01, 50-02, and 50-03 as part of its ongoing facilities planning and financing program. The most current SAB Forms 50-01, 50-02, and 50-03 are incorporated herein as Exhibits A, B, and C, respectively. As shown in the School District's most current Eligibility Determination from the SAB (attached and incorporated as Exhibit D), the School District is eligible for new construction funding under the SFP for 724 students in grades kindergarten through 6, 265 students in grades 7 and 8, 114 non-severe special day class students, and 42 severe special day class students.

#### B. Statutory Requirements

As stated in Section I, a school district must satisfy at least two (2) of the four (4) Statutory Requirements in order to levy Alternative Fees. What follows are facts establishing that the School District satisfies at least two (2) of the Statutory Requirements.

#### 1. Substantial Enrollment on Multi-track Year-Round Schedule

This Statutory Requirement is met if the school district has Substantial Enrollment on a multi-track year-round schedule. Substantial Enrollment is defined differently for different types of school districts, as follows:

- a. *Unified School Districts and Elementary School Districts*. At least 30 percent of the school district's students in grades kindergarten through 6 are on a multi-track year-round schedule in the high school attendance area in which all or some of the new residential units identified in the Analysis are planned for construction.
- b. *High School Districts*. (i) At least 30 percent of the high school district's students are on a multi-track year-round schedule, or (ii) at least 40 percent of the students in grades kindergarten through 12 within the boundaries of the high school attendance area in which all or some of the new residential units identified in the Analysis are planned for construction are on a multi-track year-round schedule.

The School District has determined that this Statutory Requirement has not been satisfied.

#### 2. <u>General Obligation Bond Measure</u>

This Statutory Requirement is met if the school district has placed a GO bond measure on the ballot in the last four (4) years and received at least 50 percent plus one (1) of the votes cast on one (1) such measure.

The School District has determined that this Statutory Requirement has been satisfied. This determination is based on the fact that Measure D was placed before the voters of the School District on the November 8, 2016, ballot and the measure received an approval rate of 69.94 percent. Please see Exhibit F for more information on Measure D.

#### 3. <u>Debt or Obligations for Capital Outlay</u>

This Statutory Requirement is met if the school district has issued debt or incurred obligations for capital outlay in an amount equivalent to a specified percent of its local bonding capacity. If the debt does not include debt associated with a Mello-Roos Community Facilities District ("CFD") formed by a landowner election after November 4, 1998, the threshold is 15 percent. If the debt includes debt associated with a Mello-Roos CFD formed by a landowner election after November 4, 1998, the threshold is increased to 30 percent. All debt and obligations to be repaid from property taxes, parcel taxes, special taxes, and the school district's general fund may be included.

The School District has determined that this Statutory Requirement has been satisfied. The School District currently has \$251,503,139.10 in outstanding debt. This debt represents 88.46 percent of the School District's bonding capacity (see Exhibit G for a calculation of the School District's bonding capacity). Please note the District applied for and was granted a waiver of this limit by the State Board of Education allowing the District to issue GO bonds in an amount not to exceed 2.12 percent of assessed value.

#### 4. Relocatable Classrooms

This Statutory Requirement is met if at least 20 percent of the school district's teaching stations are relocatable classrooms.

The School District has determined that this Statutory Requirement has not been satisfied. The School District currently has a total of 608 permanent classrooms and 151 relocatable classrooms. This equates to a 19.89 percent relocatable classroom utilization rate.

#### C. Eligibility to Collect Alternative Fees

As determined above, the School District is eligible to receive new construction funding and currently satisfies at least two (2) of the four (4) Statutory Requirements. As a result, the School District is eligible to adopt and impose Alternative Fees as provided by applicable law.

### III. PROJECTED UNHOUSED STUDENTS FROM RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT OVER THE NEXT FIVE YEARS

Section 65995.6(a) requires that the School District determine the need for new school facilities for the Projected Unhoused Students. The calculation of the Projected Unhoused Students shall be based on historical student generation rates ("SGRs") of new residential units constructed during the previous five (5) years of a type similar to that of the Future Units. Section III.A calculates the Projected Student Enrollment. Section III.B sets forth the relevant facts as to the identification of any excess seats which might be considered by the School District as available at each school level to house the Projected Student Enrollment, as determined in Section III.A. Finally, Section III.C calculates the Projected Unhoused Students.

#### A. Projected Student Enrollment

As stated above, Section 65995.6(a) specifies the methodology the School District must use to calculate the Projected Student Enrollment. What follows is a step-by-step description of this calculation.

#### 1. Student Generation Rates

In order to calculate SGRs in accordance with Section 65995.6(a), the School District must identify residential units that (i) were constructed during the previous five (5) years and (ii) are representative of the Future Units. Residential data pertaining to the School District was obtained by Cooperative Strategies, LLC from the Office of the Assessor ("Assessor") of the County of Ventura ("County"). Using data from the Assessor of the County and the School District, Cooperative Strategies compiled a database from such information containing the addresses of the units that met the criteria listed above. Parcels in the database were then classified by housing type (i.e., single family detached, single family attached, and multifamily

- Residential units classified as single family detached ("SFD") are defined as units with no common walls each assigned a unique Assessor's parcel number.
- The category of single family attached ("SFA") consists of units with common walls each assigned a unique Assessor's parcel number (e.g., townhomes, condominiums, etc.).
- The third type of residential unit, multifamily ("MF"), is defined as a unit with common walls on an Assessor's parcel on which other units are located.

Cooperative Strategies determined that there were an insufficient number of units built over the past five (5) years to calculate SGRs that would be representative of the residential development expected to occur within the School District over the next five (5) years. Since the construction of SFD, SFA, and MF units is expected to occur within the School District over the next five (5) years, the Analysis has employed the portion of Section 65995.6(a) that permits a school district to use SGRs of new residential units constructed over the previous five (5) years that are a similar type of unit to those anticipated to be constructed in either the city or county in which the school district is located. The Analysis uses the SGRs for units that have been experienced and documented by Rio School District ("RSD"), which is also located in the County as shown in Table 1.

Table 1
Student Generation Rates for SFD, SFA, and MF Units

School Level	SFD SGR <sup>[1]</sup>	SFA SGR <sup>[1]</sup>	MF SGR <sup>[1]</sup>	
Elementary School	0.5000	0.1980	0.1740	
Intermediate School	0.5000	0.1540	0.0610	
Total	1.0000	0.3520	0.2350	
[1] Source: Analysis for RSD dated October 2018.				

#### 2. Future Units


In order to obtain information regarding future residential units, the planning departments of the cities of Oxnard, Port Hueneme, and Ventura (collectively, "Cities") and the County were contacted (please refer to the map on the following page for a geographic profile of the School District). Based on correspondence from the Cities and County (see Exhibit H), Cooperative Strategies has determined that the School District could experience the construction of 1,540 Future Units over the next five (5) years. Table 2 distinguishes between Future Units by unit type.

Table 2
Future Units by Unit Type

	Total
Unit Type	Future Units
Single Family Detached	339
Single Family Attached	511
Multifamily	690
<b>Total Units</b>	1,540

### **OXNARD SCHOOL DISTRICT**

### GEOGRAPHIC PROFILE





The projected number of future residential units identified in Table 2 includes units that may result from existing structures that are voluntarily demolished in order to be replaced by new residential development ("Reconstruction"). For additional information regarding the imposition of the Alternative No. 2 Fee and Alternative No. 3 Fee on Reconstruction please refer to Exhibit I.

It should be noted these projections are based on the best available information at this time and are independent of the projected residential development reported to the State in SAB Form 50-01.

#### 3. Projected Student Enrollment

To calculate the Projected Student Enrollment, the number of Future SFD units, Future SFA units, and Future MF units listed in Table 2 were multiplied by the SGRs shown in Table 1. The results of this operation are shown in Table 3.

Table 3
Projected Student Enrollment

School Level	Total Projected Students from Future Units
Elementary School	391
Intermediate School	291
Total	682

#### B. Current Capacity

Collectively, the School District's school facilities in school year 2018/2019 have a capacity of 19,702 seats per Section 17071.25 of the Education Code, of which 16,051 are at the elementary school level and 3,651 are at the intermediate school level (the School District's school level configuration in this comparison has been altered to be consistent with SAB Form 50-02). These capacities include seats from all new school facility construction projects funded by the State as well as any projects that have been completed and occupied and are awaiting reimbursement form the State. Based on student enrollment data for school year 2018/2019, the enrollment of the School District is 16,133 students. As shown in Table 4, facilities capacity exceeds student enrollment at the elementary school level while student enrollment exceeds facilities capacity at the intermediate school level in school year 2018/2019.

Table 4
Existing School Facilities Capacity and Student Enrollment

School Level [1]	2018/2019 Facilities Capacity [2]	2018/2019 Student Enrollment [3]	Excess/ (Shortage) Capacity
Elementary School (Grades K-6)	16,051	12,459	3,592
Intermediate School (Grades 7-8)	3,651	3,674	(23)
Total	19,102	16,133	2,969

<sup>[1]</sup> The School District operates elementary schools that serve grades K-5 and intermediate schools that serve grades 6-8. To compare capacity and enrollment consistent with SAB Form 50-02, the School District's school level configuration has been altered in this section.

The capacities identified in Table 4 include seats from school facility reconstruction projects for which State funding applications have been submitted to the Office of Public School Construction ("OPSC") and have been completed or will be completed by the completion of this Analysis, based on the per-pupil grant amounts submitted for each project. However, due to the fact that these applications are for the reconstruction of existing school facilities whose capacities are included in the School District's SAB Form 50-02 (Exhibit B), Cooperative Strategies evaluated the original classroom inventories of reconstructed school facilities and removed those classrooms from the capacity calculation by multiplying the number of classrooms removed by the applicable State loading standards. Based on this calculation, it was determined that the elementary school capacity consists of 13,626 seats and middle school capacity consists of 3,651 seats (see Exhibit K for the adjusted school facilities capacity calculation). As shown in Table 5, the adjusted facilities capacity exceeds student enrollment at the elementary school level while student enrollment exceeds the adjusted facilities capacity at the intermediate school level in school year 2018/2019.

<sup>[2]</sup> See Exhibit B for SAB Form 50-02, and Exhibit J for the Updated School Facilities Capacity Calculation.

<sup>[3]</sup> Student enrollment from February 2019.

Table 5
Adjusted School Facilities Capacity and Student Enrollment

School Level [1]	2018/2019 Adjusted Facilities Capacity [2]	2018/2019 Student Enrollment [3]	Excess/ (Shortage) Capacity
Elementary School (Grades K-6)	13,626	12,459	1,167
Intermediate School (Grades 7-8)	3,651	3,674	(23)
Total	17,277	16,133	1,144

<sup>[1]</sup> The School District operates elementary schools that serve grades K-5 and intermediate schools that serve grades 6-8. To compare capacity and enrollment consistent with SAB Form 50-02, the School District's school level configuration has been altered in this section.

#### C. Projected Unhoused Students

As shown in Table 4, the existing facilities capacity of the School District determined in accordance with Section 65995.6(a) exceeds student enrollment currently being generated from existing residential units by 1,167 seats at the elementary school level. These surplus seats exist at facilities which will house (i) students generated from Future Units and (ii) students generated from units developed beyond the five-year period of the Analysis.

Due to a trend of increasing elementary school enrollment, Cooperative Strategies matriculated existing students forward five (5) years to determine whether any of the existing surplus elementary school, intermediate school and high school seats will be needed to house future students generated from existing residential units. This resulted in a reduction of surplus seats at the elementary school level to 774, and an increase of surplus seats at the intermediate school level to 139. (Exhibit L contains a more detailed explanation of the matriculation process.)

The School District will experience growth beyond the next five (5) years. Therefore, the surplus seats identified above must be allocated between the Future Units shown in Table 2 and residential units to be constructed beyond the next five (5) years. According to information obtained from the Southern California Association of Governments ("SCAG"), the School District can expect an additional 8,765 residential units through calendar year 2035. This number includes Future Units and residential units to be constructed beyond the next five (5) years.

<sup>[2]</sup> See Exhibit B for SAB Form 50-02, and Exhibit K for the Adjusted School Facilities Capacity Calculation.

<sup>[3]</sup> Student enrollment from February 2019.

Allocating the surplus seats identified above between Future Units and residential units to be constructed beyond the next five (5) years based on the number of students each group of units is expected to generate results in 88 surplus seats at the elementary school level and 13 surplus seats at the intermediate school level to be allocated over the next five (5) years (See Exhibit L).

Table 6
Projected Unhoused Students from Future Units

	Projected Student	Surplus Seat	Projected Unhoused
School Level	Enrollment	Determination	Students
Elementary School	391	88	303
Intermediate School	291	13	278
Total	682	101	581

#### IV. SURPLUS SCHOOL SITES AND EXISTING SURPLUS LOCAL FUNDS

Section 65995.6(b) states that the School District must identify and consider (i) surplus property, if any, owned by the School District that can be used as a school site or that is available for sale to finance school facilities, (ii) the extent to which projected enrollment growth can be accommodated at existing school facilities, and (iii) local sources that are available to finance the construction or reconstruction of school facilities needed to accommodate any growth in enrollment attributable to the construction of new residential units. Additionally, Section 65995.5(c)(2) requires the School District to subtract from the school facilities cost impact created by Future Units the amount of Local Funds that the governing board has dedicated to facilities necessitated by new residential units. To comply with Section 65995.6(b), the School District has identified and considered property it owns and has determined that it does possess one (1) site that could be considered surplus (see Exhibit M for information on this site). The Governing Board will review and re-adopt this Analysis annually, including a review of this determination and any need to consider property that may then be surplus to fund school facilities required to accommodate students being generated from existing residential units, or other students.

As for identifying and considering existing excess capacity that could accommodate the Projected Student Enrollment generated from Future Units, Table 5 in Section III.C. of this Analysis illustrates that the School District has considered and determined that 88 excess seats exist at the elementary school level and 13 excess seats exist at the intermediate school level and has reduced the Projected Student Enrollment generated from Future Units accordingly.

Finally, in accordance with Sections 65995.6(b) and 65995.5(c)(2), the School District has determined that \$465,367, including Local Funds, are available to finance the construction or reconstruction of school facilities needed to accommodate any Projected Student Enrollment generated from Future Units (see Exhibit N for more detail on local sources, including Local Funds).

#### V. ALTERNATIVE NO. 2 FEE

As discussed in Section I, the objective of this Analysis is (i) to determine whether the School District may adopt Alternative Fees and (ii) to determine the permissible amount of the Alternative No. 2 Fee and the Alternative No. 3 Fee that the School District is permitted to levy on new residential development. Based on the findings, determinations, and projections made in Sections II through IV, Section V contains a step-by-step calculation of the permissible Alternative No. 2 Fee in accordance with Section 65995.5.

#### A. Alternative No. 2 Fee School Facility Costs

As stated in Section 65995.5(c)(1), the initial step in calculating the maximum Alternative No. 2 Fee is to multiply the number of unhoused students generated from Future Units by the appropriate per-pupil grant amounts provided in Section 17072.10(a) of the Education Code. In addition, the sum shall be added to the site acquisition and site development costs determined pursuant to Section 65995.5(h).

#### 1. <u>Per-Pupil Grant Amounts</u>

The per-pupil grant amounts identified in Section 17072.10(a) of the Education Code were adjusted by the SAB on January 23, 2019, pursuant to Section 17072.10(b) of the Education Code. The per-pupil grant amounts specified in Section 17072.10 are adjusted annually by the SAB to reflect construction cost changes as set forth in the statewide cost index for class B construction. Further, pursuant to SAB Regulation 1859.71.2 and Section 17074.56 of the Education Code, the per-pupil grants have been increased to account for automatic fire alarm detection systems and fire sprinkler systems. Table 7 shows the base per-pupil grant amounts.

Table 7
Base Per-Pupil Grant Amounts (2019\$)

School Level	Per-Pupil Grant Amount	Additional Grants for Auto Alarm and Fire Sprinkler System	Base Per-Pupil Grant Amount
Elementary School	\$12,197	\$220	\$12,417
Intermediate School	\$12,901	\$263	\$13,164

In addition to the base per-pupil grant amounts shown in Table 7, SAB Regulation 1859.76 provides additional grants for general site development on new school construction projects. Currently, these additional grants are calculated as (i) 6 percent of the base per-pupil grants for elementary and intermediate school projects and (ii) a grant of \$19,853 per new useable acre acquired for new school construction. To determine the general site development grant for each school level, Cooperative Strategies first applied the percentages mentioned above to the base per-pupil grant amounts shown in Table 7.

Second, Cooperative Strategies applied the grant per new useable acre mentioned above to the student capacity of future school facilities and corresponding site size requirements for the School District listed in Table 10 to derive a grant amount per student (see Exhibit O for more information on the calculation of the additional grants for general site development). Table 8 shows these additional grants as well as the total per-pupil grant amount.

Table 8
Total Per-Pupil Grant Amount (2019\$)

School Level	Base Per-Pupil Grant Amount	Additional Grants for General Site Development	Total Per-Pupil Grant Amount
Elementary School	\$12,417	\$1,026	\$13,443
Intermediate School	\$13,164	\$1,266	\$14,430

Applicable law specifies the per-pupil grant amounts specified in Section 17072.10 are adjusted annually by the SAB to reflect construction cost changes as set forth in the statewide cost index for class B construction as provided in Section 17072.10(b) of the Education Code.

#### 2. Total New School Construction Grants

To determine the total new school construction grants under Section 65995.5, the number of Projected Unhoused Students to be generated from Future Units, as shown in Table 6, is multiplied by the total per-pupil grant amounts set forth in Section 17072.10(a) and (b) of the Education Code, as shown in Table 8. Table 9 shows the total new school construction grants of the School District pursuant to Section 65995.5(c)(1).

Table 9
Total New School Construction Grants for Projected
Unhoused Students from Future Units (2019\$)
(In Accordance with Section 65995.5(c)(1) of the Government Code)

School Level	Projected Unhoused Students	Total Per- Pupil Grant Amount	Total New Construction Grants
Elementary School	303	\$13,443	\$4,073,229
Intermediate School	278	\$14,430	\$4,011,540
Total	581	N/A	\$8,084,769

#### 3. <u>Total School Site Acquisition and Site Development Costs</u>

In addition to the total new school construction grants specified by Section 17072.10 of the Education Code, Section 65995.5(c)(1) permits the Alternative No. 2 Fee to include site acquisition and site development costs determined pursuant to Section 65995.5(h) and the applicable statutory provisions referred to therein. What follows is the calculation for determining the appropriate site acquisition and site development costs in accordance with Section 65995.5(h).

#### a. Site Size Requirement

To calculate the amount of site acquisition and site development costs that may be included in the Alternative No. 2 Fee, a school district must determine the student capacity of future school facilities that will be needed to accommodate the Projected Unhoused Students, as well as students to be generated from residential development anticipated to occur over the next 20 years. Based on the educational programs of the School District, the School District has determined that future elementary school facilities will be designed to accommodate 750 students and future intermediate school facilities will be designed to accommodate 1,200 students. Based on these capacities, the guidelines included in the "School Site Analysis and Development Handbook" published by the State Department of Education as that handbook read as of January 1, 1998, identify the following site sizes for the School District.

Table 10
Student Capacities and Site Sizes of Future School Facilities

School Level	Student Capacity	Site Size (Acres)
Elementary School	750	10.60
Intermediate School	1,200	28.80

It should be emphasized that the site sizes shown in Table 10 are based on site sizes recommended by the State Department of Education as of January 1, 1998. Since that time, the State Department of Education has prepared a revised Handbook that contains site size recommendations more consistent with School District policy. Please refer to Exhibit E for the site sizes more consistent with the revised Handbook.

#### b. <u>Site Acquisition and Site Development Costs per Acre</u>

The School District currently owns one (1) surplus school site where it is constructing its next elementary school (see Exhibit M) and is in the process of purchasing an additional school site which will be used to house future elementary school and intermediate school facilities. Due to this fact, site acquisition costs have been excluded from the Analysis. As for site development, the School District estimates the cost to be \$394,469 per acre at both school levels based on site development costs submitted to OPSC for its next elementary school. Table 11 lists the total estimated site acquisition costs and site development costs of the School District in accordance with Section 65995.5(h).

Table 11
Site Acquisition and Site Development Costs
of Future School Facilities (2019\$)

School Level	Site Acquisition Cost	Site Development Cost [1]
Elementary School	N/A	\$4,181,371
Intermediate School	N/A	\$11,360,707

[1] The site development costs are equal to the per acre costs listed above multiplied by the number of acres, as listed in Table 10.

#### c. School Facilities Needed

To ensure that Future Units are being charged an Alternative No. 2 Fee that is reasonably related to the school facilities that are required to house the Projected Unhoused Students to be generated from Future Units, the School District must identify the number of future school facilities that will be needed to house the Projected Unhoused Students to be generated from Future Units, as well as students to be generated from mitigated Future Units and residential development anticipated to occur over the next 20 years. To calculate the number of school facilities that the School District will need to adequately house the Projected Unhoused Students, the number of Projected Unhoused Students for each school level, as listed in Table 6, was divided by the applicable student capacity, as listed in Table 10. The number of school sites expected to be needed to house the Projected Unhoused Students generated from Future Units is shown in Table 12.

Table 12 School Facilities Needed

School Level	Projected Students from Future Units	Facilities Capacity	Total Facilities Needed
Elementary School	303	750	0.404
Intermediate School	278	1,200	0.232

It is important to realize that while the number of Projected Unhoused Students equates only to approximately 40.4 percent of an elementary school and 23.2 percent of an intermediate school, the School District will need to construct at least one (1) elementary school and one (1) intermediate school in the future to accommodate (i) existing unhoused students, (ii) students generated from Future Units, and (iii) students generated from future residential units beyond the next five (5) years.

### d. <u>Alternative No. 2 Fee Site Costs in Accordance with Section</u> 65995.5(h) of the Government Code

The calculation of the total school site acquisition and site development cost impacts under Section 65995.5(h) is a two-step process. The first step involves calculating the total school site acquisition and site development costs related to the Projected Unhoused Students generated from Future Units. The calculation of this first step is shown in Table 13.

Table 13
Total School Site Acquisition and Site Development
Costs for Students from Future Units (2019\$)

School Level	Facilities Needed for Students Generated from Future Units	Site Cost	Total Site Costs [1]
Elementary School	0.404	\$4,181,371	\$1,689,274
Intermediate School	0.232	\$11,360,707	\$2,635,684
[1] Numbers may not sum due to rounding.			

Only a portion of the total site costs may be included in the calculation of the Alternative No. 2 Fee. Accordingly, the total school site acquisition and site development costs under Section 65995.5(h) must be reduced by half to arrive at the Alternative Fee No. 2 Site Costs. The calculation of this step is shown in Table 14.

Table 14
Alternative No. 2 Fee Site Costs (2019\$)
(In Accordance with Section 65995.5(h) of the Government Code)

School Level	Total Site Costs	Multiplier	Alternative No. 2 Fee Site Cost
Elementary School	\$1,689,274	50.00%	\$844,637
Intermediate School	\$2,635,684	50.00%	\$1,317,842

#### 4. <u>Alternative No. 2 Fee School Facility Costs</u>

As stated previously, the initial step in calculating the maximum Alternative No. 2 Fee is to identify (i) the total new school construction grant, and (ii) the site acquisition and development costs pursuant to Section 65995.5(h). The sum of these amounts, which is the Alternative No. 2 Fee School Facility Costs, is the maximum amount of school facility costs that may be included in the Alternative No. 2 Fee before any local fund credits are applied. For the School District, the total new school construction grant is \$8,084,769 and the total site acquisition and site development cost pursuant to Section 65995.5(h) is \$2,162,479. These costs and the Alternative No. 2 Fee School Facility Costs are shown by school level in Table 15.

Table 15
Alternative No.2 Fee School Facility Costs (2019\$)
(In Accordance with Section 65995.5(c)(1) of the Government Code)

	Total New Construction	Alternative No. 2	Alternative No. 2 Fee School
School Level	Grants	Fee Site Costs	Facility Costs
Elementary School	\$4,073,229	\$844,637	\$4,917,866
Intermediate School	\$4,011,540	\$1,317,842	\$5,329,382
Total	\$8,084,769	\$2,162,479	\$10,247,248

#### B. Credit for Local Funds

The second step in calculating the maximum Alternative No. 2 Fee is to subtract the amount of local sources, including Local Funds, if any, the School District has decided to dedicate to school facilities necessitated by the construction of Future Units from the Alternative No. 2 Fee School Facility Costs in order to calculate the Net Alternative No. 2 Fee School Facility Costs. As stated in Section IV of the Analysis, the School District has determined that a \$465,367 credit is available to accommodate Projected Unhoused Students generated from Future Units (see Exhibit N for more detail on local sources, including Local Funds).

Table 16 Net Alternative No.2 Fee School Facility Costs (2019\$) (In Accordance with Section 65995.5(c)(2) of the Government Code)

(======================================		
Item	Amounts	
Alternative No. 2 Fee School Facility Costs	\$10,247,248	
Credit for Existing Surplus Local Funds	(\$465,367)	
Net Alternative No. 2 Fee School Facility Costs	\$9,781,881	

#### C. Alternative No. 2 Fee Calculation

The final step in calculating the maximum Alternative No. 2 Fee is to divide the Net Alternative No. 2 Fee School Facility Costs by the total square footage of assessable space for Future Units.

#### 1. Average Square Footage per Unit

In order to project the total square footage of assessable space of the Future Units, the Analysis must estimate the average square footage of Future SFD Units, Future SFA Units, and Future MF Units to be constructed in the School District. To estimate the average square footage of Future Units to be constructed in the School District, Cooperative Strategies analyzed information obtained from the County and confirmed those estimates with the Planning Departments of the Cities and County. Based on this information, the average Future SFD Unit to be constructed within the School District is estimated to contain 2,750 square feet, the average Future SFA Unit is estimated to contain 1,500 square feet, and the average Future MF Unit estimated to contain 1,000 square feet (see Exhibit H).

#### 2. <u>Total Square Footage of Assessable Space</u>

To calculate the total square footage of assessable space for Future Units, the average square footage of Future SFD Units, Future SFA Units, and Future MF Units listed above was multiplied by the number of Future Units listed in Table 2. The results of this operation are shown in Table 17.

Table 17 Estimated Total Residential Square Footage

Land Use	Future Units	Average Square Footage	Total Square Footage
Single Family Detached	339	2,750	932,250
Single Family Attached	511	1,500	766,500
Multifamily	690	1,000	690,000
Total	1,540	N/A	2,388,750

The projected total square footage of future residential units identified in Table 17 includes units that may result from Reconstruction. For additional information regarding the imposition of the Alternative No. 2 Fee and Alternative No. 3 Fee on Reconstruction please refer to Exhibit I.

#### 3. <u>Calculation of Alternative No. 2 Fee</u>

To calculate the Alternative No. 2 Fee, the Net Alternative No. 2 Fee School Facility Costs, as listed in Table 16, were divided by the total square footage of assessable space of the Future Units, as listed in Table 17. Table 18 provides the Alternative No. 2 Fee that can be adopted by the School District.

Table 18 Alternative No. 2 Fee (2019\$)

Item	Amount/Square Footage
Net Alternative No. 2 Fee School Facility Costs	\$9,781,881
Total Residential Square Footage	2,388,750
Alternative No. 2 Fee	\$4.09

#### VI. ALTERNATIVE NO. 3 FEE

The Alternative No. 2 Fee, which is the maximum Alternative Fee that may be imposed during periods when State funds for new construction are available, was calculated in Section V in accordance with Section 65995.5. During periods when the SAB is no longer approving apportionments for new construction due to a lack of funds available, the Alternative No. 3 Fee may be imposed by a school district. Additionally, in accordance with Section 1859.81 of the SAB regulations, a school district requesting financial hardship assistance funding is required to impose the maximum developer fee justified by law (the Alternative No. 2 Fee, or the Alternative No. 3 Fee when the State declares that such fees can be imposed), or an alternative source greater than or equal to the amount of such fees. Similar to the methodology of the calculations performed in Section V, this Section VI provides a calculation of the Alternative No. 3 Fee in accordance with Section 65995.7.

#### A. Alternative No. 3 Fee School Facility Costs

Pursuant to Section 65995.7, the Alternative No. 3 Fee School Facility Cost, which is the maximum amount of school facility costs that may be included in the Alternative No. 3 Fee, is calculated by increasing the Net Alternative No. 2 Fee School Facility Costs by an amount not to exceed the Alternative No. 2 Fee School Facility Costs. As required by Section 65995.7, this amount has been reduced by the amount of local funds (\$465,367 in the case of the School District) identified pursuant to Section 65995.5(c)(2). Accordingly, Table 19 shows the Net Alternative No. 2 Fee School Facility Costs previously shown in Table 16 and adds to that amount the Alternative No. 2 Fee School Facility Costs previously shown in Table 15. The result, shown in Table 19, is the Alternative No. 3 Fee School Facility Costs.

Table 19
Alternative No. 3 Fee School Facility Costs (2019\$)
(In Accordance with Section 65995.7 of the Government Code)

Item	Amounts
Net Alternative No. 2 Fee School Facility Costs	\$9,781,881
Alternative No. 2 Fee School Facility Costs	\$10,247,248
Alternative No. 3 Fee School Facility Costs	\$20,029,129

#### B. Alternative No. 3 Fee Calculation

To calculate the Alternative No. 3 Fee, the Alternative No. 3 Fee School Facility Costs were divided by the total square footage of assessable space of the Future Units listed in Table 17. This calculation is required by Section 65995.5(c)(3) and outlined in Section V.C. of the Analysis. Table 20 provides the Alternative No. 3 Fee that can be levied by the School District on new residential development where permitted by applicable law.

Table 20 Alternative No. 3 Fee (2019\$)

Item	Amount/Square Footage
Alternative No. 3 Fee School Facility Costs	\$20,029,129
Total Residential Square Footage	2,388,750
Alternative No. 3 Fee	\$8.38

#### VII. SECTION 66000 OF THE GOVERNMENT CODE

Sections 66000 *et seq.* were enacted by the State in 1987. These provisions are assumed to be applicable to the Alternative Fees. Sections 66000 *et seq.* require that all public agencies satisfy the following requirements when establishing, increasing or imposing a fee, such as the herein described Alternative Fees, as a condition of approval for a development project.

- 1. Determine the purpose of the fee.
- 2. Identify the facilities to which the fee will be put.
- 3. Determine that there is a reasonable relationship between the need for public facilities and the type of development on which a fee is imposed.
- 4. Determine that there is a reasonable relationship between the amount of the fee and the public facility or portion of the public facility attributable to the development on which the fee is imposed.
- 5. Provide an annual accounting of any portion of the fee remaining unexpended or uncommitted in the School District's accounts.

New residential development in the School District, as shown in the Analysis, will generate additional students who will require the School District to provide additional school facilities. The amount to be included in the Alternative Fees is specified by statute. The Alternative No. 2 Fee of \$4.09 per square foot and the Alternative No. 3 Fee of \$8.38 per square foot are justified in the Analysis. The estimated average school facilities cost impacts on the School District per square foot of residential development as estimated in Exhibit E is \$15.54. As the actual school facilities cost impacts per square foot of residential construction is greater than the Alternative Fees, it is reasonable for the School District to determine that the Alternative No. 2 Fee of \$4.09 per square foot and the Alternative No. 3 Fee of \$8.38 per square foot are roughly proportional and reasonably related to the actual impacts caused by residential development on the School District.

This Analysis and the information included in Exhibit E therefore establish that the Alternative Fees meet the requirements of Sections 66000 *et seq.* and such a determination by the School District as part of adopting the Alternative Fees is justified and appropriate. The School District, therefore, is justified in levying Alternative Fees on all new development.

By way of summary, the Analysis shows that Future Units will produce additional elementary school and intermediate school students and that the School District does not have the capacity or funds to accommodate all of those additional students. Alternative Fees, therefore, will be used to fund (i) new elementary school and intermediate school facilities, (ii) expansion of existing elementary school and intermediate school facilities, and (iii) other upgrades to existing school facilities, but only to the extent that such items are needed to accommodate the Projected Unhoused Students generated from Future Units and to the extent that the use of the Alternative Fees on such items is permitted by applicable law.

S:\Clients\OxnardSD\Demographics\SFNA\SY1819\Report\Final\SFNA\_OxnardSD\_2019\_D1.doc

	EXHIBIT A	
Unda	ted SAB Form 50-01	
Ориа	ted SAD Form 50-01	

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA

#### ENROLLMENT CERTIFICATION/PROJECTION

## STATE ALLOCATION BOARD OFFICE OF PUBLIC SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION Page 6 of 6

	1 (REV 05	/09)			20.				100				Page 6 o	
SCHOOL DISTRICT FIVE DIGIT DIST Oxnard Elementary 72538										TRICT CODE NUMBER (see California Plubic School Directory)				
・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・									ATTENDANCE AREA: (HSAA) OR SUPER HSAA ( // applicable )					
Check	one: 🗆 F	ifth-Year	Enrollmen	t Projectio	n 🗹 Tent	h-Year En	rollment P	rojection	Part G.	Number	of New Dw	elling Units		
		nly - Chec	ck one:	☐ Atter	dance	☐ Resid	dency	and Jack			r Projectio			
= .					cts Only -	(Fifth Year	Projection	Only)			- 3			
								Previous to				eld Factor		
Alternate Weighting - (Fill in boxes to the right): 2nd Prev.							to Prev.	Current		(Fifth-Yea	r Projection	n Only)		
						20,377			Part! D	rojected E	nrollmen	+ :		
Part A. I	K-12 Pupi	12 Pupil Data							1. Fifth-Year Projection					
	7th Prev.	6th Prev.	5th Prev.	4th Prev.	3rd Prev.	2nd Prev.	Previous	Current	Enrollment/Residency - (except Special Day Class pu			lass oubils		
Grade	2004 / 2005	2005/2008	2006 / 2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009 / 2010	2010/2011	2011/2012	K-6	7-8	9-12	TOTAL		
К	1835	1740	1721	1656	1750	1875	1861	2004						
1	1825	1852	1779	1795	1764	1851	1903	1927						
2	1747	1739	1741	1724	1734	1734	1820	1833	Specia	al Day Cla	ss pupils	only - Enrollment/R	esidency	
3	1795	1701	1654	1726	1740	1684	1723	1785		Elem	entary	Secondary	TOTA	
4	1794	1699	1645	1603	1708	1714	1674	1687	Non-Severe					
5	1772	1742	1634	1608	1612	1677	1706	1657	Severe					
6	1832	1718	1667	1594	1621	1613	1713	1707-	TOTAL			Transcond Control		
7	1783	1745	1.676	1613	1538	1569	1536	1628						
8	1780	1688	1.690	1596	1574	1504	1540	1512	2. Ter	nth-Year P	rojection			
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Enrollment/Residency - (except Special Day Class pupil				lass pupils	
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	K-6	7-8.	9-12	TOTAL		
11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16702	3981	0	20683		
12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
OTAL	16163 15624 15207 14915 15039 15221 15476 15740 Special Day Class pupils only - Enrollment/Res							esidency						
										Eleme	ntary	Secondary	TOTAL	
art B. F	upils Att	ending Sc	hools Cha	rtered By	Another D				Non-Severe	27		0 .	27.7	
	7th Prev.	6th Prev.		4th Prev.	3rd Prev.	2nd Prev.	Previous	Current	Severe	22		0	228	
Į	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	TOTAL	50	)5	0		
Dae+ C (	Continuat	ion High	School Pur	ile (Dietr	icte Only)				1 45	the Sisteri	t Oracean	atolica black the infi		
Grade	7th Prev.	6th Prev.	5th Prev.	4th Prev.	3rd Prev.	2nd Prev.	Dyerieus	Cumant				ntative, that the infol na pplicable, the High		
9.			0	0	0	0		Current	Attendance Area Residency Reporting Worksheet attached, is					
	0	0					0	0	true and correct and that:					
10	0	0	0.	. 0	0	0	0	0	<ul> <li>I am designated as an authorized district representative by the governing board of the district.</li> <li>If the district is requesting an augmentation in the enrollment</li> </ul>					
12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
OTAL	0	0	O	0	0	0.	0	α	projection pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.42.1 (a), the					
OTAL	U	U.	JU	, 0.	U.	U.	U ,	u				oproval authority has sed for augmentation		
Dart D	Cancial	Day Class	Busile -/F	lietricts or	County Sug	ociatondor	at of Cabon	(c)				dentified dwelling un		
rait D		entary	, ,	ndary	TOTAL	Jennenue	II UI SCIIUU	15)				vision maps used for		
n-Severe			_		209							available at the distr chool Construction (		
Severe		209 172		0		-						e (verbetim) of the fo		
OTAL		381		0 1					, ,	•		School Construction		
OTAL		01			l				event a con form will or		d exist, the	in the language in th	e OPSC	
Part F	Special	Day Class	Punile - (C	County Sur	erintenden	t of School	nlvi				Chan	cer	- 1	
	7th Prev.	_	5th Prev.	4th Prev.	3rd Prev.		Previous	Current	NAME OF DIST					
			-	-					1	10/	G.		-	
		_0,5,2000	20001 2001	2001 / 2000		2500 , 2010	23.07.2011	231112012	SIGNATURE OF	DISTRICT RE	RESENTATIVE	<u> </u>		
L		-		-					1					
Part F. Birth Data - (Fifth-Year Projection Only)								DATE TELEPHONE NUMBER						
☐ Cour	nty Birth D	ata 🗆 8	irth Data by	District Z	P Codes	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	11-2	23-11		805-385-	1501	
Part F. E	2004 / 2005 Birth Data nty Birth D	2005 / 2006 - (Fifth-Ye	2008/2007 ear Projection	on Only) District Z	2008 / 2009 P Codes	2009 / 2010	2010 / 2011	2011 / 2012	OATE  11-2  SMAIL ADDRESS	23-11	PRESENTATIVE	TELEPHONE NUMBER  805-385	_	

EXHIBIT B
Updated SAB Form 50-02
Opdated SAB Form 50-02

# STATE OF PALIFORNIA EXISTING SCHOOL BUILDING CAPACITY SAB 50-02 (Rev. 01/01) Exce (Rev. 01/25/2001)

PB (38 4 ( FIVE DIGIT DISTRICT CODE NUMBER (SEE CHIROMA PLONE SCHOOL DINGTOY) SCHOOL DISTRICT OXNARD ELEMENTARY 72538 MICH SCHOOL ATTENDANCE AREA (# 18 MICHO) COUNTY VENTURA

PART 1 - Classroom inventory	TED K-	74B	9-1Z	Setura:	Severe	Total
Line 1. Leased State Relocatable Classrooms	88			3		91
Line 2. Portable Classrooms leased less than 5 years						
Line 3. Interim Housing Portables leased less than 5 years						
Line 4. Interim Housing Portables leased at least 5 years						
Line 5. Ponable Classrooms leased at least 5 years						
Line 6. Portable Classrooms owned by district	47	12		8		67
Line 7. Permanent Classrooms	272	103		10	10	395
Line 8. Total (Lines 1 through 7)	407	115		21	70	553

PART II - Available Classrooms

CLIEN ACTION TO	100		TSE BEFORE		FEOTE
a. Part I, line 4					
b. Part I, line 5					
e. Part I, line 6	47	12	В		67
d. Part I. line 7	272	103	10	10	395
e. Total (a, b, c, & d)	. 319	115	18	70	482

	K.	7.0	9-12			Total
a. Part I. line 8	407	115		21	10	553
b. Part I, lines 1,2,5 and 6 (total only)	12 A 12 A	C 10.7 -1	<b>学</b> 与1980			158
c. 25 percent of Part I, line 7 (lotal only)	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	13.77	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1			99
d. Subtract c from b (enter 0 if negative)	51	4		4		· 59
e. Total (a minus d)	358	111		17	10	494

#### PART III - Determination of Existing School Building Capacity

	111 16-8	· 海头 计	Total William	
Line 1. Classroom capacity	7,975	3,105	234	-50.
Line 2. SER adjustment				
Line 3. Operational Grants	2,187			
Line 4. Greater of line 2 or 3	2,187			
Line 5. Total of lines 1 and 4	10,182	3,105	234	90

I certify, as the District Representative, that the information reported on this form is true and correct and that: I am designated as an authorized district representative by the governing board of the district; and, This form is an exact duplicate (verbatim) of the form provided by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC). In the event a conflict should exist, then the language in the OPSC form will prevail.

NATURE C	P 015	TRICT	PEPAES	SENTA	TIVE

	Sichnig	Durste
-		

EXHIBIT C
Updated SAB Form 50-03
1

OFFICE OF PUBLIC SCHOOL CONSTRU

SAB 50-03 (Rev. 07/01) Excel (Rev. 01/25/2001)	LEIVE DIGIT DISTRIC	T CODE NUMBER (SE	e Cilhorda Public Son	Sool Directory	Page
SCHOOL DISTRICT  OXNARD ELEMENTARY	72538				
BUSINESS ADDRESS	HIGH SCHOOL ATT	ENDANCE AREA U .	opiicable)		
CITY	COUNTY				
rt   - The following individual(s) have been designated as distri		tive(s) by sch	ool board mir	nutes:	
DISTRIOT REPRESENTATIVE TELEPHONE NUMBER		E-MAIL ADDRE			
DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE TELEPHONE NUMBER	A	E-MAIL ADDRES	SS		
Part II - New Construction Eligibility    NEW    ADJUSTED	K-6.	7-8	THE REAL	Mon-Severe	Sayeri
1, Projected Enrollment (Part G. Form SAB 50-01)	14,474	3,887		266	106
2. Existing School Building Capacity (Part III, line 5 of Form SAB 50-02)	10,162	3,105		234	90
3. New Construction Baseline Eligibility (line 1 minus line 2)	4,312	782		32	16
Part III - Modernization Eligibility    NEW    ADJUSTED	1. 1				,,,
1. SCHOOL NAME;					
Option A	K.B.	7	W 4125	NATI SEVERA	Severe
2. Permanent classrooms at least 25 years old					
3. Portable classrooms at least 20 years old					
4. Total (lines 2 and 3)					
5. Multiply line 4 by: 25 for K-6, 27 for 7-8 and 9-12; . 13 for non-severe and 9 for severe			12		
6. CBEDS enrollment at school					
demization eligibility (lesser of the totals of line 5 or 5)					
Option 8					
2. Permanent space at least 25 years old (report by classroom or square foots	190)				
3. Portable space at least 20 years old (report by classroom or square toolage	e)				
4. Total (lines 2 and 3)					
5. Remaining permanent and portable space (report by classroom or square fo	ootage)				
6. Total (lines 4 and 5)					
7. Percentage (divide line 4 by line 6)		0%		_	
	к-в	2.4	10	HOIF Savere	South
8. CBEDS enrollment at school site					
9. Modernization eligibility (multiply line 7 by each grade group on line 8)					
I certify, as the District Representative, that the information reported I am designated as an authorized district representative by the govern A resolution or other appropriate documentation supporting this applicammencing with Section 17070.10, et seq of the Education Code of the Information Code of the Information is an exact duplicate (verbation) of the form provided by the a conflict should exist, then the language in the OPSC form will prevent	rning board of the leation under Ch was adopted by	ne district; and: napter 12.5, Part the School Dist	10, Division 1 nct's Governing		
1 rehard Charle	2/21/01				

EXHIBIT D		
Eligibility Determination f	from the SAB	

2/14/2019 State of California

CA.gov | DGS | OPSC | Project Tracking

New Construction Eligibility

Severe

0

42

42



PROJECT TRACKING PTN GENERATOR REPORTS PTN HELP

# Project Main Page

#### **Return to Search Results**

DSA eTracker: 03-116806 Application: 50/72538-00-014

County: Ventura

Grade Level:

Established Eligibility:

SAB Approvals/Adjustments:

Details

District: Oxnard Elementary

Site: THURGOOD MARSHALL ELEMENTARY

**Budget Summary** 

District Rep: Ms. Janet Penanhoat

Fund Releases

District Code	Attendance Area	Original SAB Approval Date	Recent SAB Approval		
72538	0	3/22/2000	2/27/2019		
SAB 50-03 New Construction Eligibility Information					
New Construction Baseline Eligibility					

7 - 8

647

-382

265

Modernization Eligibility

9 - 12

0

0

0

Non-Severe

114

114

Transaction Detail

K - 6

4109

-3385

724

# Remaining Eligibility: SAB 50-03 Eligibility Document Status/Dates

 Status:
 PM Complete

 Date Signed:
 8/23/1999

 Date Received:
 8/27/1999

 SAB Approval Date:
 3/22/2000

Back to Top | Conditions of Use | Accessibility | Contact Us Copyright ⊚ 2010 State of California

	EVIIIDI		
	<u>EXHIBI</u>	II E	
	404 4- 44		
Sun	nmary of School Facility Pl Actual School F	lanning Policies and Estim	ates of
	Actual School F	acility Costs	

School Facility Cost Impacts per Residential Square Foot February 2019

### **School Facility Costs**

School Level	Site Acquisition Cost	Facility Construction	Total Cost
Elementary School	\$0	\$28,858,454	\$28,858,454
Middle School	\$0	\$91,017,188	\$91,017,188

### Costs per Student

School Level	Total Cost	Students Housed	Cost per Student
Elementary School	\$28,858,454	750	\$38,478
Middle School	\$91,017,188	1,200	\$75,848

#### School Facility Cost Impacts per Residential Unit

		Weighted Average	
School Level	Cost per Student	SGR	Cost per Unit
Elementary School	\$38,478	0.2539	\$9,769
Middle School	\$75,848	0.1890	\$14,332
Total School Facility Cost Impact			\$24,101
Average Square Footage <sup>[1]</sup>			1,551
School Facility Cost Impact per Square Foot			\$15.54
[1] See Table 17 of the Analysis.			

Summary of Estimated Costs Elementary School February 2019

A. Site \$0

Purchase Price of Property \$0

Acres<sup>[1]</sup>: 12 Cost/Acre: \$0

B. Plans \$1,584,563

Architect's Fee \$1,419,375
Preliminary Tests \$20,000
DSA/SDE Plan Check \$125,188
Energy Fee Analysis \$15,000
Other \$5,000

C. Construction \$24,637,500

(Includes Construction, Site Development, General Site Development, and Technology)

Square Feet / Studen 75 Cost / Square Feet \$438

D. Tests \$50,000

E. Inspection \$144,000

(\$12,000 per month for 12 months)

F. Furniture and Equipment \$466,875

(\$5 per Square Foot, includes Cost Index Adjustment of 66%)

G. Contingency \$405,244

(\$2,000 + 1.5% of items A-F)

H. Items Not Funded by the State \$1,570,272

Technology (5% of Construction) \$1,231,875 Library Books (8 books/student @ \$15) \$90,000 Landscaping (\$0.44/sq. ft x 12 acres) \$229,997 Landscape Architect Fees (8% of Landscaping) \$18,400

I. Total Estimated Cost \$28,858,454

Summary

School Facilities Capacity - Traditional Calendar 750
School Facilities Cost per Student - Traditional Calend \$38,478

Summary of Estimated Costs Middle School February 2019

A.	ite \$6	0

Purchase Price of Property \$0

Acres<sup>[1]</sup>: 28.8 Cost/Acre: \$0

[1] Assumes Net Usable Acres.

B. Plans \$4,596,600

Architect's Fee \$4,123,500
Preliminary Tests \$45,000
DSA/SDE Plan Check \$395,600
Energy Fee Analysis \$25,000
Other \$7,500

C. Construction \$78,720,000

(Includes Construction, Site Development, General Site Development, and Technology)

Square Feet / Studen 100 Cost / Square Feet \$656

D. Tests \$180,000

E. Inspection \$324,000

(\$12,000 per month for 18 months x 1.5 inspectors)

F. Furniture and Equipment \$1,195,200

(\$6 per Square Foot, includes Cost Index Adjustment of 66%)

G. Contingency \$1,277,237


(\$2,000 + 1.5% of items A-F)

H. Items Not Funded by the State \$4,724,151

Technology (5% of Construction)\$3,936,000Library Books (8 books/student @ \$20)\$192,000Landscaping (\$0.44/sq. ft. x 28.8 acres)\$551,992Landscape Architect Fees (8% of Landscaping)\$44,159

I. Total Estimated Cost \$91,017,188

Summary	
School Facilities Capacity - Traditional Calendar	1,200
School Facilities Cost per Student - Traditional Calend	\$75,848



November 8, 2016 — California General Election Ballot and voting information for Ventura County.

Measure D - 55% Approval Required	Back	Next
E <sub>lection</sub> Results		
<b>✔</b> Passed		
24,418 votes yes (69.94%)		
10,494 votes no (30.06%)		
<ul><li>★ 100% of precincts reporting (66/66).</li><li>★ 38,724 ballots counted.</li></ul>		

To acquire, construct and modernize additional classrooms and support facilities to reduce overcrowding, replace portable classrooms and older schools with new permanent facilities, increase student access to computers and modern classroom technology, improve student safety, reduce operating costs and qualify to receive State funds, shall Oxnard School District be authorized to issue up to \$142,500,000 in bonds at legal interest rates, with an independent Citizens' Oversight Committee, annual audits, and no money for administrator salaries?

#### Add choice to my list.



On your actual ballot, you can vote 'yes' or 'no' on this measure.

EXHIBIT G
Bonding Capacity Calculation

# **Bonding Capacity Calculation** Fiscal Year 2018/2019

	Description	Value
	Taxable property of the district including all unitary and operating	
(1)	nonunitary property for the 2018/2019 equalized roll [1].	\$13,410,386,931
	Enter applicable percentage bond debt limit	
	Section 15102 (School District) 1.25% [2]	
(2)	Section 15108 (Unified School District) 2.50%	2.12%
(3)	Bonding capacity	\$284,300,203
	Senate Bill 50 local bonding capacity threshold 15% of District's local	
(4)	bonding capacity	\$42,645,030
	Senate Bill 50 local bonding capacity threshold 30% of District's local	
(5)	bonding capacity	\$85,290,061

<sup>[1]</sup> Source: County of Ventura, Auditor-Controller's Office.

<sup>[2]</sup> Bonding capacity increased to 2.12 percent based on the School District's bonding capacity waiver, approved by the State Board of Education on March 8, 2017.

<u>EXHIBI</u>	ти	
EXIIIDI	111	
Correspondence with th	e Cities and County	



January 15, 2019

Mr. Isidro Figueroa Principal Planner, Planning Division City of Oxnard 214 South C Street Oxnard, CA 93030

Re: Residential Development Projections within Oxnard School District Boundaries

Dear Mr. Figueroa,

Cooperative Strategies, LLC is in the process of preparing a School Facilities Needs Analysis ("SFNA" or "Analysis") for the Oxnard School District ("School District"). Pursuant to Section 65995.5(c)(3) of the Government Code, one component of the Analysis is an estimate of the number, type, and square footage of expected future residential units ("Future Units") to be constructed in the area of the City of Oxnard ("City") served by the School District **over the next five (5) years.** 

Projections regarding the Future Units to be constructed within the area of the City served by the School District are shown on the following page. Based on information previously obtained from the City and the School District, Cooperative Strategies has prepared Future Unit estimates and Future Unit square footage estimates for the School District. Cooperative Strategies would like to provide the City with the opportunity to review, and if necessary, modify these projections. Please complete the attached page ("Certificate") and return to Cooperative Strategies by **February 1, 2019**.

Mr. Figueroa, should you have any questions regarding the projections please contact me at 949.250.8322. For your convenience you may also email a signed and scanned copy of the document to me at ccasarez@coopstrategies.com. We sincerely appreciate your assistance in providing this information and look forward to hearing from you soon.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Casarez

Associate

In its efforts to assist Cooperative Strategies, LLC in preparing the Analysis in accordance with the guidelines of Section 65995.5(c)(3) of the Government Code for the Oxnard School District, the City of Oxnard ("City"):

\_\_\_The City concurs with the residential development projections as provided below:

Unit Type	Projected Number of Units [1]	Estimated Average Square Footage per Unit
Single Family Detached (i.e. single family home)	315	2,750
Single Family Attached (i.e. condos, townhomes, etc.)	480	1,500
Multifamily (i.e. apartments, duplexes, triplexes, etc.)	525	1,000

<sup>[1]</sup> Excludes units designated as age restricted (i.e. requiring residents to be at least 55 years of age).

\_\_The residential development projected by the City is listed below:

Unit Type	Pr	1000	d Num Inits [1]	ıber		ated Avera e Footage p Unit	
Single Family Detached							
(i.e. single family home)							
Single Family Attached							
(i.e. condos, townhomes, etc.)							
Multifamily							
(i.e. apartments, duplexes, triplexes, e	etc.)						
[1] Excludes units designated as age restri	cted (i.e. req	uiring 1	esidents	to be at	least 55	years of age).	
Signed,	#	of	the	City	of	Oxnard	0
Printed Name:							
Title:							



January 15, 2019

Mr. Tony Stewart Community Development Director City of Port Hueneme 250 North Ventura Road Port Hueneme, CA 93041

Re: Residential Development Projections within Oxnard School District Boundaries

Dear Mr. Stewart,

Cooperative Strategies, LLC is in the process of preparing a School Facilities Needs Analysis ("SFNA" or "Analysis") for the Oxnard School District ("School District"). Pursuant to Section 65995.5(c)(3) of the Government Code, one component of the Analysis is an estimate of the number, type, and square footage of expected future residential units ("Future Units") to be constructed in the area of the City of Port Hueneme ("City") served by the School District **over the next five (5) years.** 

Projections regarding the Future Units to be constructed within the area of the City served by the School District are shown on the following page. Based on information previously obtained from the City and the School District, Cooperative Strategies has prepared Future Unit estimates and Future Unit square footage estimates for the School District. Cooperative Strategies would like to provide the City with the opportunity to review, and if necessary, modify these projections. Please complete the attached page ("Certificate") and return to Cooperative Strategies by **February 1, 2019**.

Mr. Stewart, should you have any questions regarding the projections please contact me at 949.250.8322. For your convenience you may also email a signed and scanned copy of the document to me at ccasarez@coopstrategies.com. We sincerely appreciate your assistance in providing this information and look forward to hearing from you soon.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Casarez

Associate

In its efforts to assist Cooperative Strategies, LLC in preparing the Analysis in accordance with the guidelines of Section 65995.5(c)(3) of the Government Code for the Oxnard School District, the City of Port Hueneme ("City"):

The City concurs with the residential development projections as provided below:

Unit Type	Projected Number of Units [1]	Estimated Average Square Footage per Unit	
Single Family Detached	0	27/4	
(i.e. single family home)	0	N/A	
Single Family Attached	0	27/4	
(e.g. condos, townhomes, etc.)	0	N/A	
Multifamily	W	1 000	
(i.e. apartments, duplexes, triplexes, etc.)	115	1,000	

<sup>[1]</sup> Excludes units designated as age restricted (i.e. requiring residents to be at least 55 years of age).

/ \_The residential development projected by the City is listed below:

Unit Type	Projected Number of Units [1]	Estimated Average Square Footage per Unit
Single Family Detached		
(i.e. single family home)	Ø	
Single Family Attached	•	
(e.g. condos, townhomes, etc.)	4	1,500
Multifamily		
(i.e. apartments, duplexes, triplexes, etc.)	117	1,000

[1] Excludes units designated as age restricted (i.e. requiring residents to be at least 55 years of age).

\_\_\_ of the City of Port Hueneme on 1/16/9

e: Tory Stewart, AICP Committy Development Director City Hamer Title:



January 15, 2019

Ms. Veronica Ledesma Associate Planner City of Ventura 501 Poli Street, Room 117 Ventura, CA 93002

Re: Residential Development Projections within Oxnard School District Boundaries

Dear Ms. Ledesma,

Cooperative Strategies, LLC is in the process of preparing a School Facilities Needs Analysis ("SFNA" or "Analysis") for the Oxnard School District ("School District"). Pursuant to Section 65995.5(c)(3) of the Government Code, one component of the Analysis is an estimate of the number, type, and square footage of expected future residential units ("Future Units") to be constructed in the area of the City of Ventura ("City") served by the School District **over the next five (5) years.** 

Projections regarding the Future Units to be constructed within the area of the City served by the School District are shown on the following page. Based on information previously obtained from the City and the School District, Cooperative Strategies has prepared Future Unit estimates and Future Unit square footage estimates for the School District. Cooperative Strategies would like to provide the City with the opportunity to review, and if necessary, modify these projections. Please complete the attached page ("Certificate") and return to Cooperative Strategies by February 1, 2019.

Ms. Ledesma, should you have any questions regarding the projections please contact me at 949.250.8322. For your convenience you may also email a signed and scanned copy of the document to me at ccasarez@coopstrategies.com. We sincerely appreciate your assistance in providing this information and look forward to hearing from you soon.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Casarez

Associate

In its efforts to assist Cooperative Strategies, LLC in preparing the Analysis in accordance with the guidelines of Section 65995.5(c)(3) of the Government Code for the Oxnard School District, the City of Ventura ("City"):

The City concurs with the residential development projections as provided below:

Unit Type	Projected Number of Units <sup>Fil</sup>	Estimated Average Square Footage per Unit
Single Family Detached (i.e. single family home)	0	N/A
Single Family Attached (e.g. condos, townhomes, etc.)	0	N/A
Multifamily (i.e. apartments, duplexes, triplexes, etc.)	0	N/A

<sup>[1]</sup> Excludes units designated as age restricted (i.e. requiring residents to be at least 55 years of age).

The residential development projected by the City is listed below:

Unit Type	Projected Number of Units (1)	Estimated Average Square Footage per Unit
Single Family Detached		
(i.e. single family home)		
Single Family Attached		
(e.g. condos, townhomes, etc.)		
Multifamily		
(i.e. apartments, duplexes, triplexes, etc.)		
1] Excludes units designated as age restricted (i.e.	e. requiring residents to be at least	t 55 years of age).

Signed, Word of the City of Ventura on HU-19

Printed Name: VERGIUM LEDESMA

Title: PSSOCIATE PLANNER



Ms. Kimberly Prillhart Planning Division Director County of Ventura 800 South Victoria Avenue, L-1740 Ventura, CA 93009

Re: Residential Development Projections within Oxnard School District Boundaries

Dear Ms. Prillhart,

Cooperative Strategies, LLC is in the process of preparing a School Facilities Needs Analysis ("SFNA" or "Analysis") for the Oxnard School District ("School District"). Pursuant to Section 65995.5(c)(3) of the Government Code, one component of the Analysis is an estimate of the number, type, and square footage of expected future residential units ("Future Units") to be constructed in the area of the County of Ventura ("County") served by the School District **over the next five (5) years.** 

Projections regarding the Future Units to be constructed within the area of the County served by the School District are shown on the following page. Based on information previously obtained from the County and the School District, Cooperative Strategies has prepared Future Unit estimates and Future Unit square footage estimates for the School District. Cooperative Strategies would like to provide the County with the opportunity to review, and if necessary, modify these projections. Please complete the attached page ("Certificate") and return to Cooperative Strategies by **February 1, 2019**.

Ms. Prillhart, should you have any questions regarding the projections please contact me at 949.250.8322. For your convenience, you may also email a signed and scanned copy of the document to me at ccasarez@coopstrategies.com. We sincerely appreciate your assistance in providing this information and look forward to hearing from you soon.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Casarez

Associate

In its efforts to assist Cooperative Strategies, LLC in preparing the Analysis in accordance with the guidelines of Section 65995.5(c)(3) of the Government Code for the Oxnard School District, the County of Ventura ("County"):

\_\_\_The County concurs with the residential development projections as provided below:


Unit Type	Projected Number of Units [1]	Estimated Average Square Footage per Unit	
Single Family Detached	0	NT/A	
(i.e. single family home)	Ü	N/A	
Single Family Attached	0	NT/A	
(e.g. condos, townhomes, etc.)	U	N/A	
Multifamily	0	NT/A	
(i.e. apartments, duplexes, triplexes, etc.)	U	N/A	

<sup>[1]</sup> Excludes units designated as age restricted (i.e. requiring residents to be at least 55 years of age).

\_\_\_The residential development projected by the County is listed below:

Unit Type	Projected Number of Units [1]	Estimated Average Square Footage per Unit
Single Family Detached		
(i.e. single family home)		
Single Family Attached		
(e.g. condos, townhomes, etc.)		
Multifamily		
(i.e. apartments, duplexes, triplexes, etc.)		
1] Excludes units designated as age restricted (i.e. r	equiring residents to be at leas	t 55 years of age).

Signed,	, of the County of Ventura on	*
Printed Name:		
Title:		



Reconstruction is the act of replacing existing structures with new construction, which may have an alternative land use (i.e. commercial/industrial versus residential) or may consist of different residential unit types (e.g., single family detached versus multifamily, etc.).

#### A. Residential Reconstruction

Residential Reconstruction consists of voluntarily demolishing existing residential units and replacing them with new residential development. To the extent Reconstruction increases the residential square footage beyond what was demolished ("New Square Footage"), the increase in square footage is subject to the applicable Alternative No. 2 Fee or Alternative No. 3 Fee as such construction is considered new residential development. As for the amount of square footage constructed that replaces only the previously constructed square footage ("Replacement Square Footage"), the determination of the applicable fee, if any, is subject to a showing that the Replacement Square Footage results in an increase in student enrollment and, therefore, an additional impact being placed on the School District to provide school facilities for new student enrollment.

As of the date of this Analysis, the large-scale Reconstruction of residential development within the School District has not occurred to the point where statistically significant data can be utilized to determine if Replacement Square Footage increases student enrollment. Therefore, prior to the imposition of fees on Replacement Square Footage, the School District may undertake an analysis on any future proposed project(s) and may amend/update this Analysis. Such analysis will examine the extent to which an increase in enrollment can be expected from Replacement Square Footage due to any differential in student generation rates as identified in the Analysis for the applicable unit types between existing square footage and Replacement Square Footage. To the extent it can be demonstrated that Replacement Square Footage will increase student enrollment, the School District may then impose a fee on the Replacement Square Footage. This fee amount on Replacement Square Footage shall be calculated by determining the cost impacts associated with any growth in student enrollment from the Replacement Square Footage. Any such fee that is calculated for the Replacement Square Footage shall not exceed the Alternative No. 2 Fee or Alternative No. 3 Fee that is in effect at such time.

# B. Reconstruction of Commercial/Industrial Construction into Residential Construction

The voluntary demolition of existing commercial/industrial buildings and replacement of them with new residential development is a different category of Reconstruction. Cooperative Strategies is aware that such types of Reconstruction may occur within the School District over the next five (5) years, however, Cooperative Strategies was unable to find information (i) about the amount planned within the School District over the next five (5) years or (ii) historical levels, which might indicate the amount to be expected in the future. Due to the lack of information, the School District has decided to evaluate the impacts of Commercial/Industrial Reconstruction projects on a case-by-case basis and will make a determination of whether a fee credit is justified based on the nature of the project.

The fee credit determination will be based upon a comparison of the impacts of the planned residential project and the existing land use category (i.e. retail and services, office, research and development, industrial/warehouse/manufacturing, hospital, or hotel/motel). The actual impacts of the planned residential project (taken from Exhibit E) will be reduced by the impact of the existing commercial/industrial category (derived from calculations contained in the current Commercial/Industrial Development School Fee Justification Study adopted by the School District). Any reduction to the Alternative No. 2 Fee would only occur if the reduced amount falls below the Alternative No. 2 Fee. In such a case, the School District would levy the reduced amount per square foot of new residential construction for the subject Reconstruction project.

FX	KHIBIT J		
<b>Updated School Faci</b>	lities Capacity Calc	ulation	

# **School Facilities Capacity Calculation**

		Elementary	Middle
Application	Item	School	School
N/A	SAB Form 50-02	10,162	3,105
N/A	Non-Severe/Severe Capacity	221	63
N/A	Relocatables Added	0	54
50/72538-00-001	Ramona Elementary	625	0
50/72538-00-004	Thurgood Marshall Elementary	625	0
50/72538-00-005	Cesar Chavez Elementary	825	0
50/72538-00-006	Curren Elementary	300	0
50/72538-00-007	Kamala Elementary	550	0
50/72538-00-008	Juan Lagunas Soria Elementary	150	0
50/72538-00-009	Driffill Elementary	350	0
50/72538-00-011	Harrington Elementary	807	0
50/72538-00-013	Lemondwood Elementary	575	351
50/72538-00-015	Elm Street Elementary	600	0
50/72538-00-016	Emilie Ritchen Elementary	50	0
50/72538-00-018	Christa McAuliffe Elementary	26	0
50/72538-00-019	Lemonwood Elementary	85	78
50/72538-00-020	Elm Street Elementary	75	0
50/72538-00-024	Ramona Elementary	25	0
Total Capacity	N/A	16,051	3,651

EXHIBIT I	<u> </u>	
Adjusted School Facilities Ca	apacity Calculation	

# **School Facilities Capacity Calculation**

		Elementary	Middle
Application	Item	School	School
N/A	SAB Form 50-02	10,162	3,105
N/A	Non-Severe/Severe Capacity	221	63
N/A	Relocatables Added	0	54
50/72538-00-001	Ramona Elementary	625	0
50/72538-00-004	Thurgood Marshall Elementary	625	0
50/72538-00-005	Cesar Chavez Elementary	825	0
50/72538-00-006	Curren Elementary	300	0
50/72538-00-007	Kamala Elementary	550	0
50/72538-00-008	Juan Lagunas Soria Elementary	150	0
50/72538-00-009	Driffill Elementary	350	0
50/72538-00-011	Harrington Elementary	807	0
N/A	Harrington ES Reconstruction	(650)	0
50/72538-00-013	Lemonwood Elementary	575	351
N/A	Lemonwood ES Reconstruction	(1,000)	0
50/72538-00-015	Elm Street Elementary	600	0
N/A	Elm Street ES Reconstruction	(775)	0
50/72538-00-016	Emilie Ritchen Elementary	50	0
50/72538-00-018	Christa McAuliffe Elementary	26	0
50/72538-00-019	Lemonwood Elementary	85	78
50/72538-00-020	Elm Street Elementary	75	0
50/72538-00-024	Ramona Elementary	25	0
<b>Total Capacity</b>	N/A	13,626	3,651

<u>EXHI</u>	BIT L	
N.C. ( 1 ( 1 A 11		
Matriculation and Allo	cation of Surplus Seats	

#### Matriculation of Surplus Seats

#### Actual and Projected School Students from Existing Units

	School Year					
Grade Level	2018/2019	2019/2020	2020/2021	2021/2022	2022/2023	2023/2024
Kindergarten	1,855	1,855	1,855	1,855	1,855	1,855
Grade 1	1,722	1,855	1,855	1,855	1,855	1,855
Grade 2	1,718	1,722	1,855	1,855	1,855	1,855
Grade 3	1,794	1,718	1,722	1,855	1,855	1,855
Grade 4	1,771	1,794	1,718	1,722	1,855	1,855
Grade 5	1,809	1,771	1,794	1,718	1,722	1,855
Grade 6	1,790	1,809	1,771	1,794	1,718	1,722
Grade 7	1,871	1,790	1,809	1,771	1,794	1,718
Grade 8	1,803	1,871	1,790	1,809	1,771	1,794
Elementary School Students	12,459	12,524	12,570	12,654	12,715	12,852
Middle School Students	3,674	3,661	3,599	3,580	3,565	3,512

Allocation of Surplus Seats

#### Actual and Projected Surplus School Seats from Existing Units

	School Year	School Year
Item	2018/2019	2023/2024
Actual/Projected Elementary School Students from Existing Unit	12,459	12,852
Existing Elementary School Facilities Capacity	13,626	13,626
Excess Elementary School Seats	1,167	774
Actual/Projected Middle School Students from Existing Units	3,674	3,512
Existing Middle School Facilities Capacity	3,651	3,651
Excess Middle School Seats	(23)	139

# Units to be Constructed over the Next Five (5) Years ("Future Units") and Total Units to be Constructed (i.e. Next Five (5) Years + Beyond the Next Five (5) Years) ("Total Units")

	Number of	Number of
Item	Future Units	Total Units <sup>[1]</sup>
Number of SFD Units	339	5,697
Number of SFA Units	511	1,755
Number of MF Units	690	1,313
[1] Source: Southern California Association of Governments ("SCAG")		

#### Percent of Students Generated from Future Units

	Students Generated	Students Generated
Item	from Future Units	from Total Units
Elementary School Students from SFD Units	170	2,849
Elementary School Students from SFA Units	101	347
Elementary School Students from MF Units	120	228
Total Elementary School Students Generated	391	3,424
Middle School Students from SFD Units	170	2,849
Middle School Students from SFA Units	79	270
Middle School Students from MF Units	42	80
Total Middle School Students Generated 291		3,199
Percent of Elementary School Students Generated from Future Units		11.42%
Percent of Middle School Students Generated from Future Units		9.10%

#### Allocation of Excess School Seats to Students Generated from Future Units

		Generated from
School Level	Excess Seats	Future Units
Elementary School	774	11.42%
Middle School	139	9.10%
Excess Elementary School Seats Allocated Students Generated	88	
Excess Middle School Seats Allocated Students Generated from	13	

EXHIBIT M
Surplus Site Determination

Section 65995.6(b)(1) requires the School District to identify and consider any surplus property owned by the School District that may be used as a school site or that is available for sale to finance school facilities. The School District has identified one (1) site that may fall into this category.

### 1. Seabridge Site

The Seabridge site is an 8.79 acre site intended for use as the School District's next elementary school. Because of the School District's plans to utilize this site for the construction of a new elementary school, site acquisition costs have been excluded from the Analysis at the elementary school level. Therefore, this is not considered surplus.

<u>EXHIBIT N</u>				
	Identification and Consideration of Local Funding Sources per Section 65995.5(c)(2) and Section 65995.6(b)(3)			

Section 65995.6(b)(3) requires the School District to identify and consider any local sources other than fees, charges, dedications, or other requirements that can be used to offset the cost impacts of Future Units. Additionally, Section 65995.5(c)(2) requires the School District to subtract the amount of Local Funds, which includes commercial/industrial school fees, that the governing board has dedicated to facilities necessitated by Future Units. What follows is a summary of potential local sources, including Local Funds that were evaluated for reducing such impact.

#### 1. <u>Lease Financings</u>

Lease financings are a means of financing facilities through a pledge of lease payments, as opposed to a new revenue source, i.e., Certificates of Participation ("COPs"), Lease Revenue Bonds ("LRBs"), etc. All lease payments associated with lease financings must be paid by the issuing school district through its existing sources of revenue. The lease payments are secured by the issuing school district's general fund.

The School District has not issued any recent lease financings to offset the impact of Future.

#### 2. <u>General Obligation Bonds</u>

General Obligation ("GO") bonds are secured by the full faith, credit and taxing power of the issuing school district. A GO bond constitutes debts of the issuer and generally requires 2/3 approval by election prior to issuance; however, a Proposition 39 GO bond is approved by 55 percent of the votes. In return for a lower voter approval threshold under Proposition 39, the issuing school district (i) must identify a specific list of school facility projects, (ii) has limitations on the rate of maximum tax levy, and (iii) upon approval, the expenditures are monitored and audited by a citizens' oversight committee annually. Voter approval grants the school district the right to levy additional *ad valorem* taxes on all taxable property within its jurisdiction in order to pay debt service on the GO bonds.

On November 8, 2016, the voters of the School District approved Measure D, which authorized the issuance of \$142,500,000 in GO bonds. Of the \$142,500,000, authorized, \$107,181,809 has been earmarked for the construction of new school facilities. However, in addition to the Future Units listed in Table 2, the School District will experience growth beyond the next five (5) years. Therefore, the potential GO bond proceeds available must be apportioned between Future Units and residential units to be constructed beyond the next five (5) years. Based on information obtained from the Southern California Association of Governments ("SCAG"), the School District could experience an additional 8,765 residential units to be constructed through calendar year 2035. This number includes Future Units and residential units to be constructed beyond the next five (5) years.

Apportioning the \$107,181,809 in earmarked proceeds between students generated from Future Units and units to be constructed beyond the next five (5) years results in \$11,036,991 of proceeds being available to reduce the impact of students generated from Future Units over the next five (5) years. This potential funding will be discussed further below.

#### 3. Redevelopment Pass-Throughs

California redevelopment law allows school districts to share in tax increment income via pass-through agreements with local redevelopment agencies. The passage of AB X1 26 eliminated redevelopment agencies as of February 1, 2012 and replaced them with successor agencies. Though redevelopment agencies have been eliminated, local educational agency's pass-through entitlements remain.

The School District currently has pass-through agreements with the City of Oxnard. Over the last five (5) years, the School District has collected approximately \$1,688,124 in redevelopment revenue from these pass-through agreements. A similar amount of redevelopment revenue can be expected to be received over the next five (5) years. At this time, \$1,688,124 is considered to be available as potential funding for school facilities to house students generated from Future Units.

#### 4. Community Facilities Districts

The Mello-Roos Community Facilities Act provides an alternative method for public agencies to fund facilities with useful lives of five (5) years or more. The Community Facilities District ("CFD") is a financing entity through which a local government is authorized to levy special taxes to pay debt service on issued bonds or to pay for the direct construction of facilities. A two-thirds vote of the qualified voters is required to form the CFD.

The School District has not formed any CFDs to date.

#### 5. School Fees

Sections 17620 *et seq.* of the Education Code gives school districts the authority to collect statutory school fees ("School Fees") from commercial and industrial development if a justification study is prepared and certain nexus findings are made. Section 65995.5(c)(2) requires the School District to identify and consider Local Funds, which includes commercial/industrial School Fees, and to subtract such funds from the total impact created by Future Units, if such Local Funds are available.

The School District currently collects such fees in the amount of \$0.403 per square foot. In the previous five (5) years, the School District collected approximately \$1,295,021 in School Fees from commercial/industrial development. A similar amount of commercial/industrial School Fees can be expected to be received over the following five (5) years. This potential funding will be discussed further below.

#### 6. <u>Identification of Existing Surplus Local Funds</u>

As stated in Section III.B, the School District currently has 23 unhoused students from existing residential units. Based on per-student costs calculated in Exhibit E, these existing unhoused students have a cost impact to the School District of \$1,744,504.

Over the next five (5) years, the School District will also need to construct school facilities to house students to be generated from Future Units. Using per-student costs calculated in Exhibit E, providing adequate school facilities to the 581 Projected Unhoused Students identified in Section III.C will have a cost of \$32,744,578. Table N-1 shows a summary of the school facilities needs of the School District.

Table N-1
Identification of School Facilities Needs (2019\$)

Item	Amount
Current Unhoused Student Impact	\$1,744,504
Future Unhoused Student Impact	\$32,744,578
Total	\$34,489,082

As stated above, the School District has identified the following local funds: (i) \$11,036,991 in available GO Bond Proceeds, (ii) a potential for \$1,688,124 in funding redevelopment pass-through agreements, and commercial/industrial school fees in the amount of \$1,295,021. In addition, the School District also plans to pursue State funding for the construction of school facilities to adequately house students generated from existing residential development and Future Units. Based on the current per-pupil grant amounts established by the State and the School District's site costs, the 23 existing unhoused students would generate \$439,817 in State funding and the 581 Projected Unhoused Students would generate \$10,247,248 in State funding. Additionally, based on Table 15 of the Analysis, the School District can expect to receive \$10,247,248 from Alternative No. 2 Fees on new residential development. Table N-2 summarizes potential funding sources to fund the school facilities needs identified in Table N-1.

Table N-2 Identification of Local Funds (2019\$)

Item	Amount
Available GO Bond Proceeds	\$11,036,991
Projected Redevelopment Revenues	\$1,688,124
Projected Commercial/Industrial School Fees	\$1,295,021
State Funding for Current Unhoused Students	\$439,817
State Funding for Projected Unhoused Students	\$10,247,248
Projected Alternative No. 2 Fees	\$10,247,248
Total	\$34,954,449

As shown in Table N-3, when considering the current and future school needs of the School District, there is currently a \$465,367 funding credit. Therefore, the School District does have surplus funds available to offset the cost impact of Future Units.

Table N-3 Identification of Funding Credit (2019\$)

Item	Amount
School Facilities Needs	\$34,489,082
Local Funding Sources	(\$34,954,449)
Remaining Funding Credit	(\$465,367)

	EXHIBIT O		
Calculation of Additional	Grants for General Si	te Development	

#### General Site Development Grant per Student Calculation

# 1. Calculation of Additional Grant Amount as a percentage of Base Per-Pupil Grant at Each School Level

	Base Per-Pupil		
School Level	Grant [1]	Percent	Additional Grant
Elementary School	\$12,417	6.00%	\$745
Middle School	\$13,164	6.00%	\$790
[1] Includes Automatic Fire Detection/Sprinkler Grant.			

# 2a. Calculation of Total Grant Amount for a New School Facility at Each School Level

	Grant per New		Grant per
School Level	Usable Acre	Site Size	School Facility
Elementary School	\$19,853	10.6	\$210,442
Middle School	\$19,853	28.8	\$571,766

#### 2b. Calculation of Grant Amount per Student at Each School Level

	Grant per	Facility	<b>Grant per</b>
School Level	School Facility	Capacity	Student
Elementary School	\$210,442	750	\$281
Middle School	\$571,766	1,200	\$476

# 3. Determination of Total Grant per Student for General Site Development at Each School Level

	Additional Grant		
	as a percentage of		<b>Total Grant for</b>
	Base Per-Pupil	Grant per	General Site
School Level	Grant	Student	Development
School Level Elementary School	Grant \$745	Student \$281	Development \$1,026