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## Executive Summary

The Demographic and Enrollment Report for the Salt Lake City School District (District) incorporates information on enrollment (also known as the student population), demographic trends, housing occupancy rates, household characteristics and residential development into District-level and small-area projections of enrollment through 2031/32. In addition to the District-wide enrollment forecasts, projections are developed for 169 small-area planning geographies, referred to as "grids", which provide additional detail to support facility and boundary area planning activities.

Some of the main findings and conclusions from this report include:

- Kindergarten through 12th grade ( $\mathrm{K}-12$ ) non self-contained enrollment in the District was 19,245 students in the fall of the 2021/22 school year. This represents a decrease of nearly 674 students ( 3.4 percent) compared to 2020/21, following a decline of roughly 1,400 students ( 6.5 percent) last year that is attributed to the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic. Prior to 2020/21, the District had experienced a steady decline in enrollment since 2016/17 of 2.8 percent per year on average. Total enrollment this year remains at an all-time low despite the return of many students "lost" last year to the COVID-19 pandemic.
- Overall, 2021/22 average enrollment per grade for all four cohorts remains lower than 2019/20 (pre-pandemic) levels. At roughly 1,400 students per grade, average enrollment is very similar in the two youngest cohorts and 6-8 average enrollment is slightly higher, at nearly 1,500 students per grade. Average enrollment in the 9-12 cohort remains the largest in 2021/22, with nearly 1,700 students per grade.
- Between 2010 and 2020 the District's population grew by an average of 0.7 percent per year, after declining slightly during the previous 10 -year period. The majority of the recent growth occurred in households, due to the addition of nearly 11,500 housing units; however, it should be noted that some of the growth can be attributed to population increases in group quarters (i.e. dormitories, assisted living facilities, etc.) over the last 10 years.
- The share of the population under five years of age in the District has dropped by 1.4 percent since 2010; in addition, the share of the school-age population (5 to 17 years) has steadily declined, dropping from 15.7 percent in 2000 to 13.4 percent in 2020. The share of 22 - to 44 -year-olds in the District (the primary parenting cohort) has increased slightly since 2010, but the strongest growth over the past 10 years has been in the oldest cohort ( 65 years and up), increasing by 2.0 per year on average. The overall aging of the District population is further evidenced by the fact that persons over the age of 45 years now account for nearly 32 percent of the population, up from 27.5 percent in 2000 and 29.7 percent in 2010.
- Roughly 92 percent of the new housing units added since 2010 were multifamily, which likely resulted in the increased share of renter-occupied housing units since 2010. The District's population per household has declined slowly over the past 20 years, driven by an increase in the share of renter-households and the overall aging of householders in the District. While owner-occupied units will typically increase enrollment in the nearterm, enrollment will generally decline over time as households age in place. In contrast, rental units tend to have higher turnover rates which serve to stabilize the age structure of the student population since these households tend be replaced by new households with similar characteristics.
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- Since 2010, increases in the number of persons under 18 years of age are highly concentrated in the Downtown area but they are of much smaller magnitude than the increases associated with either housing units or household population. In fact, Districtwide many of the areas that show strong overall household population increase show flat or declining populations under the age of 18 years. These trends can be explained by the preponderance of new multifamily housing in the District, which tends to attract a younger householder profile and fewer families with children.
- The Utah State Charter School Board has identified nine charter schools that primarily serve students in the District; three of these charter schools are also authorized by the District. These schools enrolled approximately 3,400 K-12 students in the 2019-20 school year. There are also 11 private schools operating within the District that serve an estimated $3,500 \mathrm{~K}-12$ students; together, these alternative providers enroll roughly 7,000 local K 12 students.
- Total population and households in the District are expected to increase throughout the projection period as new housing supply enters the market and occupancy rates stabilize at 91 percent. Population per household, however, is expected to decline slowly throughout the projection period, falling to 2.28 persons per household by 2031/32.
- The District's ratio of enrollment-to-population (E-P) peaked in 2015/16 at 0.89 and has declined slowly since ( 0.79 in 2021/22) due to increased charter school enrollment. The District's E-P ratio is expected to decrease slowly over the next 10 years, dropping to 0.76 by 2031/32 due to continued competition from alternative providers and a projected decline in the District's school-age population. Non-charter general education enrollment is projected to total roughly 16,600 students in 2031/32; this represents a decrease of about 2,600 students (14 percent) compared to 2021/22.
- During the first five years of the projection period, only five elementary boundary areas are projected to experience nominal enrollment gains, ranging from just 6 to 24 students each; the largest enrollment loss is expected in the Meadowlark boundary area ( -117 students). Overall, elementary enrollment is projected to decrease by nearly 600 students by 2026/27. During the second five-year period, enrollment is projected to decline in all of the elementary boundary areas; including a 70 -student decline in out-of-District enrollment, total elementary enrollment is expected to decrease by another roughly 400 students during this period. The largest declines during the second half of the projection period are expected in the Edison (-42 students) and Mountain View (-45 students).
- Over the next five years, middle school enrollment is expected to remain unchanged or decline in each of the boundary areas; the largest losses are projected for the Northwest ( -150 students) and Glendale ( -170 students) boundary areas. In the second five-year period, middle school enrollment losses will continue in all but the Hillside boundary area, resulting in a net enrollment decrease of about another 110 students during the period.
- Overall, high school boundary area enrollment is projected to decline during both time periods, although the enrollment loss during the second five-year period is projected to be somewhat stronger than the first five-year period. By 2026/27, enrollment declines in all but the Highland boundary area, and a small drop in out-ofDistrict enrollment, result in a net loss of nearly 550 high school students. In the second five-year period, enrollment declines in all three high schools will total about 300 students.
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### 1.0 Introduction

The 2021/22 Demographic and Enrollment Report for the Salt Lake City School District (District) incorporates up to date information on enrollment (also known as the student population), demographic trends and residential development into District-level and small-area projections of enrollment by grade through 2031/32. The Districtlevel projections use long-term demographic and housing trends for the District and projected trends for the region in a macroeconomic, top-down analysis of population and enrollment.

In addition to the District-level enrollment forecasts, projections are presented for small-area planning geographies, or "grids", that are generally one-quarter square mile, or 160 acres, as shown on Map 1. The planning grids divide the District into 169 sub-areas that provide additional detail to support facility and boundary area planning activities. The data and analysis supporting these enrollment projections are separated into four sections: Existing Conditions, Residential Development, District Projections and Sub-District Projections.

Section 2, Existing Conditions, provides a historical context for interpreting the current District enrollment levels, and a detailed review of student distribution by grade and geography. This section also compares 2000, 2010 and 2020 Census data, and presents some 2020 estimates, that identify trends in key District population and housing characteristics that impact enrollment.

Section 3, Residential Development, presents information on current construction activity and the future supply potential of additional housing units. It provides estimates for the timing of housing construction based on regional growth forecasts, current construction activity, and the ownership and zoning status of vacant land available for future residential development. The housing potential is segmented both by the type and density of housing product and the timing of known housing projects within the District. These projections are instrumental in predicting the future level and distribution of enrollment within the District.

District enrollment projections are provided in Section 4. These projections are created by analyzing past demographic and housing market trends and extending them based on the expected additions in residential housing and the associated change in school-age population. The projections of future enrollment are also impacted by the share of the District's population that will choose to attend District schools. These projections account for regional and local trends in socioeconomic conditions and economic growth forecasts.

Section 5, Sub-District Projections, details enrollment projections by boundary area and school. District-level student generation rates are combined with expected housing additions, market conditions, and demographic trends at the grid level to estimate future enrollment by place of residence. The grid-level data is aggregated to form projections by boundary area, and can also be used to examine potential changes to facilities and boundaries. The relationship between the number of students that reside within an boundary area and that school's enrollment shows the impact of student movement, including students from outside the District. This relationship is applied to boundary area projections to forecast enrollment by school.
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## MAP 1

DISTRICT GRID PLANNING GEOGRAPHY


The information and observations contained in this report are based on present knowledge of the land use and development patterns of the area under analysis, current physical and socioeconomic conditions, and regional forecasts. Estimates and projections made in this report are based on hypothetical assumptions. Even if the assumptions outlined in this report occur, there will usually be differences between the estimates and projections and the actual results because events and circumstances frequently do not occur precisely as expected. Applied Economics is under no obligation to update this report for events occurring after the date of its release.

### 2.0 Existing Conditions

### 2.1 Enrollment

Kindergarten through $12^{\text {th }}$ grade ( $\mathrm{K}-12$ ) non self-contained enrollment in the District was 19,245 students in the fall of the $2021 / 22$ school year. This represents a decrease of nearly 674 students ( 3.4 percent) compared to 2020/21, following a decline of roughly 1,400 students ( 6.5 percent) last year that is attributed to the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic. Prior to 2020/21, the District had experienced a steady decline in enrollment since 2016/17 of 2.8 percent per year on average.

The District's historic enrollment totals are illustrated in Figure 1. Despite a few years with limited enrollment gains, enrollment in the District has generally been in decline since 2010/11. Unfortunately, total enrollment this year remains at an all-time low despite the return of many students "lost" last year to the COVID-19 pandemic.

FIGURE 1
HISTORIC ENROLLMENT


[^0]Figure 2 displays the average number of students in each grade level since 2000/01, grouped into cohorts representing non self-contained Kindergarten through $2^{\text {nd }}$ grade ( $\mathrm{K}-2$ ), $3^{\text {rd }}$ through $5^{\text {th }}$ grade ( $3-5$ ), $6^{\text {th }}$ through $8^{\text {th }}$ grade (6-8), and $9^{\text {th }}$ through $12^{\text {th }}$ grade ( $9-12$ ). By showing the average enrollment per grade, the difference between the three and four-grade groupings is normalized. The historic distribution of enrollment in these cohorts gives further insight into enrollment characteristics and the associated demographic changes that have occurred in the District since 2000/01.

From 2000/01 through 2013/14 average enrollment in all four grade cohorts was relatively stable, although some more sizeable declines did occur prior to 2008/og. Beginning in 2014/15, average enrollment per grade in the K2 cohort began to decline sharply, dropping by an average of 4.4 percent per year over a six-year period (ending 2019/20); during this same time period, 3-5 enrollment declined by an average of 2.5 percent per year and average enrollment in the 6-8 cohort was generally stable. Only the 9-12 cohort experienced an average enrollment gain between 2014/15 and 2019/20, increasing by an average of 1.3 percent per year over six years.

The pandemic-related decrease in average enrollment was strongest in the K-2 and 3-5 cohorts, dropping by 14 and 12 percent in 2020/21, respectively; average enrollment in the $6-8$ cohort declined by 5.2 last year. Although average enrollment in the $9-12$ cohort increased by 2.0 percent in 2020/21, the cohort experienced a decline this year ( -1.5 percent). Average enrollment in both the $3-5$ and $6-8$ cohorts also declined by about six percent this year, while the K-2 cohort's enrollment per grade decreased by 3.9 percent. Overall, 2021/22 average enrollment per grade for all four cohorts remains lower than 2019/20 (pre-pandemic) levels. At roughly 1,350 students per grade, average enrollment is very similar in the two youngest cohorts and 6-8 average enrollment is slightly higher, at nearly 1,450 students per grade. Average enrollment in the 9-12 cohort remains the largest in 2021/22, with nearly 1,700 students per grade.

FIGURE 2
AVERAGE ENROLLMENT PER GRADE BY COHORT


Source: Salt Lake City School District; Applied Economics.

As students progress, the changes in one grade cohort are generally reflected in the subsequent cohorts for about two to three years thereafter. However, market conditions, including the type of housing (single versus multifamily), housing prices and the aging of the existing population, play a large role in determining housing turnover rates and the profile of new households, including the ages of the children present.

The trend in self-contained enrollment resembles that of regular classroom K-2 enrollment with a steady decline since 2013/14, and large drops due to COVID last year followed by much smaller drops this year as shown in Figure 3. Last year, self-contained enrollment was down by 14.4 percent compared to 14.0 percent for $\mathrm{K}-2$, and is down 2.8 percent this year compared to down 0.3 percent for K-2.

FIGURE 3
ENROLLMENT IN SELF-CONTAINED PROGRAMS


Source: Salt Lake City School District.

In addition to the composition of enrollment by grade level, the geographic distribution of enrollment also provides valuable insights into the conditions and trends impacting the District. Map 2 shows the current point location of students attending District schools, including those living outside District boundaries. In all, nearly 2,300 (about 11 percent) of the District's K-12 students reside outside of the District's boundaries in 2021/22.

## GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION OF STUDENTS: 2021/22
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Map 3 normalizes the distribution of current student data by showing the number of District students per planning grid. The Northwest and West Salt Lake parts of the city show the highest levels of enrollment density, while the Downtown and Capitol Hill areas have the lowest concentration among the developed areas. The Central Community, East Bench and Sugar House areas have moderate levels of enrollment now, but may experience future declines due to the gentrification of those areas.

MAP 3
ENROLLMENT DENSITY: 2021/22


Map 4 shows the change in K-12 enrollment by planning grid between 2014/15 and 2019/20. Although enrollment losses are found throughout the District, they are heavily concentrated in areas west of $1-15$. The limited number of areas with enrollment gains during this period are scattered throughout the portion of the District that lies east of I-15.

MAP 4
CHANGE IN ENROLLMENT: 2014/15-2019/20


The change in enrollment by planning grid since 2019/20 is illustrated in Map 5, which shows that the vast majority of the grids have lost students during this time period. Although these losses remain most severe in areas west of $\mathrm{I}-15$, enrollment declines have intensified throughout the remainder of the District.

## MAP 5 <br> CHANGE IN ENROLLMENT: 2019/20-2021/22
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### 2.2 Demographic Trends

The following tables display Census demographic data for key population and housing characteristics of the District for 2000, 2010 and some 2020 data points (total population, population by race, population over 18 years and housing units); other 2020 demographic figures have been estimated by Applied Economics. This data helps to quantify the changes in the characteristics of the area, explain factors affecting recent enrollment changes, and provide insight for the enrollment projections.

Table 1 shows the basic growth in the District population. Between 2000 and 2010 the population decreased slightly. Between 2010 and 2020 this trend reversed as the total population grew by an average of 0.7 percent per year. The majority of this growth occurred in households, due to the addition of nearly 11,500 housing units; however, it should be noted that some of the growth can be attributed to population increases in group quarters (i.e., dormitories, assisted living facilities, etc.) over the last 10 years.

## TABLE 1 <br> DEMOGRAPHIC TRENDS

|  | $\begin{array}{r} 2000 \\ \text { Census } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2010 \\ \text { Census } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2020 * \\ \text { Census } \end{gathered}$ | Change (2000-2010)** |  | Change (2010-2020)** |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total | Percent* | Total | Percent* |
| Population | 188,980 | 186,440 | 199,719 | -2,540 | -0.1\% | 13,279 | 0.7\% |
| In Households | 97.5\% | 97.4\% | 96.0\% | -2,664 | -0.1\% | 10,141 | 0.5\% |
| Housing Units | 80,135 | 80,724 | 92,171 | 589 | 0.1\% | 11,447 | 1.3\% |
| Households | 74,298 | 74,513 | 84,351 | 215 | 0.0\% | 9,838 | 1.2\% |

Sources: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000, 2010 and 2020;American Community Survey, 2020; Applied Economics, 202

* Applies to total population, population by race and housing unit counts only.
** Annual compound rate of change.

The population within the District has become slightly more diverse over the last 20 years (Table 2), although much of the change occurred during the first 10-year period. In 2000, the population was 70.9 percent white and 19.0 percent Hispanic. By 2020, the white share of the population dropped to 63.4 percent and the share of Hispanics increased to 22.8 percent. During the same 20-year period, the District's African-American and Asian populations have grown more quickly than the overall population.

TABLE 2
DEMOGRAPHIC TRENDS - RACE \& ETHNICITY

|  | $\begin{array}{r} 2000 \\ \text { Census } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2010 \\ \text { Census } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2020 * \\ \text { Census } \end{array}$ | Change (2000-2010)** |  | Change (2010-2020)** |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total | Percent* | Total | Percent* |
| Population | 188,980 | 186,440 | 199,719 | -2,540 | -0.1\% | 13,279 | 0.7\% |
| By Race \& Ethnicity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 70.9\% | 65.6\% | 63.4\% | -11,598 | -0.9\% | 4,352 | 0.4\% |
| African American | 1.7\% | 2.5\% | 2.7\% | 1,424 | 3.8\% | 852 | 1.7\% |
| Native American | 1.1\% | 0.9\% | 0.8\% | -481 | -2.6\% | -61 | -0.4\% |
| Asian | 5.2\% | 6.4\% | 7.5\% | 1,942 | 1.8\% | 3,060 | 2.3\% |
| Hispanic | 19.0\% | 22.3\% | 22.8\% | 5,780 | 1.5\% | 3,863 | 0.9\% |
| Other | 1.6\% | 2.4\% | 2.8\% | 1,393 | 3.9\% | 1,213 | 2.5\% |

[^1]As shown in Table 3, the share of the population under five years of age has dropped by 1.4 percent since 2010, which has a direct impact on enrollment projections; in addition, the share of the school-age population ( 5 to 17 years) has steadily declined, dropping from 15.7 percent in 2000 to 13.4 percent in 2020 . It is somewhat encouraging to note that the share of 22- to 44-year-olds in the District, which makes up the primary parenting cohort, has increased slightly since 2010, after holding fairly steady between 2000 and 2010 . The strongest growth over the past 10 years has been in the oldest cohort ( 65 years and up), increasing by 2.0 per year on average. The overall aging of the District population is further evidenced by the fact that persons over the age of 45 years now account for nearly 32 percent of the population, up from 27.5 percent in 2000 and 29.7 percent in 2010.

TABLE 3
DEMOGRAPHIC TRENDS - AGE

|  | $\begin{array}{r} 2000 \\ \text { Census } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2010 \\ \text { Census } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2020* } \\ \text { Census } \end{array}$ | Change (2000-2010)** |  | Change (2010-2020)** |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total | Percent* | Total | Percent* |
| Population | 188,980 | 186,440 | 199,719 | -2,540 | -0.1\% | 13,279 | 0.7\% |
| By Age: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age 0-4 | 7.9\% | 7.8\% | 6.8\% | -374 | -0.3\% | -1,963 | -1.4\% |
| Age 5-13 | 11.1\% | 10.6\% | 9.7\% | -1,265 | -0.6\% | -1,900 | -1.0\% |
| Age 14-17 | 4.6\% | 4.2\% | 3.7\% | -811 | -1.0\% | -1,076 | -1.5\% |
| Age 18-24 | 15.3\% | 14.0\% | 13.5\% | -2,867 | -1.0\% | 1,443 | 0.5\% |
| Age 25-44 | 33.7\% | 33.8\% | 34.4\% | -719 | -0.1\% | 7,279 | 1.1\% |
| Age 45-64 | 16.4\% | 20.3\% | 21.3\% | 6,873 | 2.0\% | 5,623 | 1.4\% |
| Age 65 Up | 11.1\% | 9.4\% | 10.5\% | -3,377 | -1.7\% | 3,873 | 2.0\% |

Sources: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000, 2010 and 2020;American Community Survey, 2020; Applied Economics, 2021.

* Applies to total population, population by race and housing unit counts only.
** Annual compound rate of change.

Table 4 displays the growth in housing units in the District, which has been stronger between 2010 and 2020; during the most recent 10-year period the number of units increased by 1.3 percent per year on average, adding a total of roughly 11,500 units. The vast majority of these new units were multifamily ( 92 percent), which likely resulted in the increased share of renter-occupied housing units since 2010 ( 50.2 percent versus 47.6 percent in 2010). There has also been a slight increase in the District's housing vacancy rate since 2010, increasing from 7.7 percent to 8.5 percent in 2020.

TABLE 4
DEMOGRAPHIC TRENDS - HOUSING UNITS

|  | $\begin{array}{r} 2000 \\ \text { Census } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2010 \\ \text { Census } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2020 * \\ \text { Census } \end{array}$ | Change (2000-2010)** |  | Change (2010-2020)** |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total | Percent* | Total | Percent* |
| Housing Units | 80,135 | 80,724 | 92,171 | 589 | 0.1\% | 11,447 | 1.3\% |
| Occupied | 92.7\% | 92.3\% | 91.5\% | 215 | 0.0\% | 9,838 | 1.2\% |
| Owner | 47.8\% | 44.7\% | 41.3\% | -2,225 | -0.6\% | 1,994 | 0.5\% |
| Renter | 44.9\% | 47.6\% | 50.2\% | 2,440 | 0.7\% | 7,844 | 1.9\% |
| Vacant | 7.3\% | 7.7\% | 8.5\% | 374 | 0.6\% | 1,609 | 2.3\% |
| Seasonal Vacant | 1.2\% | 1.1\% | 1.0\% | -73 | -0.8\% | 54 | 0.6\% |
| By Unit Type: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Single Family | 54.3\% | 53.2\% | 47.6\% | -588 | -0.1\% | 939 | 0.2\% |
| Multifamily | 45.7\% | 46.8\% | 52.4\% | 1,177 | 0.3\% | 10,508 | 2.5\% |

Sources: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000, 2010 and 2020;American Community Survey, 2020; Applied Economics, 2021.

* Applies to total population, population by race and housing unit counts only.
** Annual compound rate of change.
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At 2.37 persons the District's population per household has declined slowly over the past 20 years (Table 5), driven by an increase in the share of renter-households ( 55 percent in 2020 versus 48.5 percent in 2000) and the overall aging of householders in the District. The share of householders 55 years and older has increased from nearly 29 percent in 2000 to 33 percent in 2020 . While owner-occupied units will typically increase enrollment in the nearterm, the school-age population will generally decline over time as households age in place. Eventually, as housing ownership turns over and neighborhoods begin to regenerate, the student population can increase, although not likely to the levels originally present. In contrast, rental units tend to have higher turnover rates which serve to stabilize the age structure of the student population since these households tend be replaced by new households with similar characteristics, rather than aging in place.

|  | TABLE 5 |  |  |  |  | Change (2010-2020)** |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DEMOGRAPHIC TRENDS - HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 2010 | 2020* | Change | 2010)** |  |  |
|  | Census | Census | Census | Total | Percent* | Total | Percent* |
| Households | 74,298 | 74,513 | 84,351 | 215 | 0.0\% | 9,838 | 1.2\% |
| By Age of Householder: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 to 24 | 10.8\% | 9.4\% | 8.1\% | -1,033 | -1.4\% | -168 | -0.2\% |
| 25 to 34 | 24.0\% | 25.5\% | 26.0\% | 1,140 | 0.6\% | 2,960 | 1.5\% |
| 35 to 44 | 19.8\% | 17.9\% | 17.2\% | -1,402 | -1.0\% | 1,185 | 0.9\% |
| 45 to 54 | 16.5\% | 16.4\% | 15.7\% | -70 | -0.1\% | 1,050 | 0.8\% |
| 55 to 64 | 9.3\% | 14.6\% | 15.1\% | 3,947 | 4.6\% | 1,858 | 1.6\% |
| Over 65 | 19.6\% | 16.4\% | 17.9\% | -2,367 | -1.8\% | 2,954 | 2.2\% |
| Owners By Householder Age: | 51.5\% | 48.4\% | 45.1\% | -2,225 | -0.6\% | 1,994 | 0.5\% |
| 15 to 24 | 1.4\% | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | -214 | -3.2\% | -34 | -0.6\% |
| 25 to 34 | 13.0\% | 7.6\% | 6.9\% | -229 | -0.4\% | 126 | 0.2\% |
| 35 to 44 | 15.2\% | 9.4\% | 9.2\% | -1,204 | -1.6\% | 769 | 1.1\% |
| 45 to 54 | 18.1\% | 9.9\% | 8.9\% | -801 | -1.0\% | 201 | 0.3\% |
| 55 to 64 | 13.4\% | 9.7\% | 10.4\% | 2,505 | 4.4\% | 1,572 | 2.0\% |
| 65 and up | 11.2\% | 11.1\% | 9.1\% | -2,282 | -2.4\% | -640 | -0.8\% |
| Renters By Householder Age: | 48.5\% | 51.6\% | 54.9\% | 2,440 | 0.7\% | 7,844 | 1.9\% |
| 15 to 24 | 3.6\% | 8.6\% | 7.4\% | -819 | -1.2\% | -134 | -0.2\% |
| 25 to 34 | 6.9\% | 17.8\% | 19.1\% | 1,369 | 1.1\% | 2,834 | 2.0\% |
| 35 to 44 | 10.5\% | 8.5\% | 8.0\% | -198 | -0.3\% | 416 | 0.6\% |
| 45 to 54 | 4.3\% | 6.5\% | 6.8\% | 731 | 1.6\% | 849 | 1.6\% |
| 55 to 64 | 0.7\% | 4.9\% | 4.7\% | 1,442 | 5.1\% | 286 | 0.8\% |
| 65 and up | 1.7\% | 5.2\% | 8.9\% | -85 | -0.2\% | 3,594 | 6.8\% |
| Population Per | 2.48 | 2.44 | 2.37 | -0.11 | -0.2\% | -0.07 | -0.3\% |

Sources: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000, 2010 and 2020;American Community Survey, 2020; Applied Economics, 2021.

* Applies to total population, population by race and housing unit counts only.
** Annual compound rate of change.
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As Map 6 illustrates, the changes in household population that have occurred in the District over the past 10 years generally mirror the geographic distribution of housing unit changes, with the strongest population growth occurring in the Downtown area, the southernmost part of the Northwest area and in several areas along E 400 S. This map also suggests that some turnover in existing housing is occurring in the East Bench area; in this area, along the District's eastern border, you can see pockets of growth in household population that are not associated with an increase in the number of housing units. At the same time, the decreases in household population in much of the Northwest and West Salt Lake areas, and in the vicinity of the University of Utah, are substantially stronger than the corresponding changes in the number of housing units, suggesting that families are aging in place or moving out of these areas.

## Map 6

CHANGE IN HOUSEHOLD POPULATOIN: 2010-2020
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Another major factor affecting enrollment in schools is the recent decline in the birth rate, both regionally and nationally. As shown in Figure 4, the birthrate (births per 1,000 for the population aged 15 to 45) in Utah has declined dramatically over the last 10 years. Since 2010 birth rates in Utah have declined by 25 percent, driven by a 12.3 percent reduction in the number of births and a total population increase of 15 percent. Although Utah's birth rate remains higher than the national average, the rate of decline in the state's birthrate has outpaced the decline in the national average, bringing Utah's birthrate more closely in line with the national average in 2020.

FIGURE 4
BIRTHRATES IN UTAH AND UNITED STATES: 2010-2020


Population Estimates: National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) through a collaborative agreement with the U.S. Census Bureau, IBIS Version 2020 Utah Birth Certificate Database, Office of Vital Records and Statistics, Utah Department of Health National

Finally, Map 7 depicts the geographic change in the District's population under the age of 18 years between 2010 and 2020. In this case, the increases are highly concentrated in the Downtown area but they are of much smaller magnitude than the increases associated with either housing units or household population. In fact, Districtwide many of the areas that show strong overall household population increase show flat or declining populations under the age of 18 years. These trends can be explained by the preponderance of new multifamily housing in the District, which tends to attract a younger householder profile and fewer families with children.

CHANGE IN POPULATION UNDER 18 YEAS: 2010-2020


### 2.3 Alternative Providers

In its January 2021 Annual Report, the Utah State Charter School Board identified nine charter schools that primarily serve students in the District; three of these charter schools are also authorized by the District. These schools (shown in Table 6) enrolled approximately 3,400 K-12 students in the 2019-20 school year. According to annual school report cards found on the Utah State Board of Education website, charter enrollment in these nine schools has remained nearly unchanged since the 2017-18 school year. The Wallace Stegner Academy is the largest charter school in the ( 700 K-8 students) and two schools (Dual Immersion Academy and Salt Lake Arts Academy) enroll more than 400 students each.

TABLE 6
ENROLLMENT IN LOCAL CHARTER SCHOOLS

|  |  |  |  | $2019-20$ <br> School Name | Address |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |

Source: Utah State Board of Education,School Report Cards; Utah State Charter School Board, Annual Report January 2021; Applied Economics, 2021.

* Schools authorized by the Salt Lake City School District

In addition to charter schools, private schools also operate as alternative education providers within the District. The Private School Survey conducted by the National Center for Education Statistics is the only consistent source of private school enrollment data and the most current enrollment data available using this survey is for the 2017/18 school year. Based on this information, and local sources, there are 12 private schools operating within the District that serve an estimated 3,600 K-12 students, as shown in Table 7. With enrollment of more than 850 K-12 students, Rowland Hall is the largest of these private schools, followed closely by Judge Memorial Catholic High School which enrolls more than 700 9-12 students.

## TABLE 7

ENROLLMENT IN LOCAL PRIVATE SCHOOLS

| School Name | Address | City | Zip | Grades | Total K-12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capitol Hill Academy | 503 N 400 West | Salt Lake City | 84103 | PK-12th | 90 |
| Carden Memorial School | 1452 E 2700 S | Salt Lake City | 84106 | PK-8th | 307 |
| Elizabeth Academy | 2870 S Connor Street | Salt Lake City | 84109 | PK-6th | 140 |
| J E Cosgriff Memorial Catholic School | 2335 E Redondo Avenue | Salt Lake City | 84108 | PK-8th | 312 |
| Judge Memorial Catholic High School | 650 S 1100 E | Salt Lake City | 84102 | 9th-12th | 720 |
| Montessori Community School of Salt Lak | ,2416E 1700 S | Salt Lake City | 84108 | PK-6th | 86 |
| Our Lady of Lourdes Catholic School | 1065 E 700 S | Salt Lake City | 84102 | K-8th | 172 |
| Redeemer Lutheran School | 1955 E Stratford Avenue | Salt Lake City | 84106 | K-8th | 34 |
| Reid School | 2965 E Evergreen Avenue | Salt Lake City | 84109 | PK-10th | 83 |
| Rowland Hall | 720 S Guardsman Way | Salt Lake City | 84108 | PK-12th | 857 |
| The Madeleine Choir School | 205 E 1st Avenue | Salt Lake City | 84103 | PK-8th | 383 |
| The McGillis School | 668 S 1300 E | Salt Lake City | 84102 | K-8th | 438 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 3,622 |

Source: NCES Private School Universe Survey 2017-18 school year data; Applied Economics 2022.

Together, these alternative providers enroll roughly 7,000 local $\mathrm{K}-12$ students; while it is unlikely that all of these students reside within the District, many of them certainly do.

### 3.0 Residential Development

Map 8 depicts the geographic distribution of these new units; areas of strong growth are indicated by shades of red, while areas of decline are marked in shades of blue. As previously mentioned, nearly 11,500 housing units were added in the District between 2010 and 2020. This map clearly illustrates that the majority of the new units were built in the Downtown area and in the vicinity of E 400 S . In fact, the area bounded by $\mathrm{I}-15$ in the west, 300 North in the north, 1000 East in the east and 900 South in the south comprised $60 \%$ of the total new housing additions during the 2010's.

Map 8
CHANGE IN HOUSING UNITS: 2010-2020


Table 8 summarizes survey data on a sample of projects that were completed in the downtown area between 2010 and 2019. This list of projects (also illustrated below on Map 9 ) is just a sample and is not intended to be comprehensive; it likely contains some errors since the data were collected from a large number of on-line sources. However, fewer than 100 District students were found to reside in the 4,600 units in the survey; this is in stark contrast to Census data which indicates an increase of between 700 and 800 persons under 18 years of age in this area between 2010 and 2020.

TABLE 8
SURVEY OF PROJECTS COMPLETED IN DOWNTOWN: 2010-2019


Adding a list of new and future downtown projects (Table g) to the survey, suggests that another 4,000 units are set to be added in the area, bringing the total number of downtown units in the survey to roughly 8,700 . Since nearly all of the under construction and planned projects are of a similar type, not designed for or marketed to families, the future impact on enrollment is expected to be minimal.

## TABLE 9

SURVEY OF NEW AND FUTURE PROJECTS IN DOWNTOWN*

| ID | Name | Address | Units | Afforadable |  |  | Monthly Rent |  | 3-year Ave. Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Opened | Units | UnitMix | Low | High |  |
| 28 | Harmony 390 | 390 S West Temple | 287 | 2020 |  | s,1,2 | \$1,250 | \$2,900 | 0 |
| 29 | Avia Apartments | 330 E 400 S | 286 | 2021 | 50\% | s,1,2,3 | \$1,635 | \$2,660 | 2 |
| 30 | Mya Apartments | 447 Blair Street | 126 | 2021 | Part | s,1,2 | \$1,000 up |  | 0 |
| 31 | Hardison | 480 E South Temple | 139 | 2021 |  | s,1,2 | \$1,400 | \$2,500 | 0 |
| 32 | The Beverly | 63 S 600 W | 48 | 2021 |  | 1,2,3 | \$1,675 | \$3,500 | 0 |
| 33 | Charli | 825 S Richards St | 91 | 2021 |  | s,1 | \$1,400 | \$1,800 | 0 |
| 34 | Fair Park | 940 W North Tempe | 152 | 2021 |  | s | \$800 | \$1,200 | 0 |
| 35 | The Olive | 378 W Broadway | 120 | Early 2022 |  | s,1,2 | \$1,500 | \$5,000 | 0 |
| 36 | Central Station Apartments | 549 W 200 S | 65 | Late 2021 | 80\% | s,1,2,3,4 | \$360 | \$1,775 | 0 |
| 37 | Liberty Sky | 151 S State Street | 272 | Late 2021 |  | s,1,2 | \$1,500 | \$4,800 | 0 |
| 38 | Paperbox Lofts | 160 S 300 W | 184 | Late 2021 |  | s,1,2 | \$1,250 | \$3,700 | 0 |
| 39 | Harvest | 588 N 300 W | 252 | Early 2022 |  | s,1,2 | \$1,500 | \$3,100 | 0 |
| 40 | Seven02 Main | 702 E Main St | 239 | Early 2022 |  | 1,2 | \$1,400 | \$1,900 | 0 |
| Open Post 2020 |  |  | 1,974 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 | The Randi | 218 S 200 E | 61 | 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42 | The Magnolia | 165 S 300 E | 65 | 2022 | Homeles | $s$ |  |  |  |
| 43 | Central West Apartments | 2nd South and 5th Wes | 65 | 2022 | Yes | s,1,2,3 |  |  |  |
| 44 | The Revival | NEC 400 S 200 W | 142 | 2022 |  | s,1,2,3 |  |  |  |
| 45 | 255 S State St | 255 S State St | 190 | 2022 |  | 1,2,3 |  |  |  |
| 46 | MODA Luxe / Rebuild | 242 S 200 E | 220 | 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 | The Charles at The West Quarter | 251 W 100 S | 240 | 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 | Kensington Tower | 200 E 300 S | 359 | 2024 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49 | Main Street Apartments | 150 S Main Street | 400 | 2024 | 10\% |  |  |  |  |
| 50 | Astra Tower | NEC State St \& 200 S | 372 | 2024 |  | s,1,2,3 |  |  |  |
| Under Construction \& Planned |  |  | 2,114 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Total |  |  | 8,734 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^2]Map 9
DOWNTOWN HOUSING SURVEY PROPERTIES*


* This list of projects is just a sample and is not intended to be comprehensive; it likely contains some errors since the data were collected from a large number of on-line sources.

Applied ECONOMICS

### 4.0 District Projections

### 4.1 Population \& Housing

Long-term demographic projections for the District, as shown in Table 10, are calculated using housing unit additions by type, occupancy rates, and demographic trends impacting household size. Currently the District contains approximately 85,100 households (occupied housing units), up nearly 800 units from last year, with a population per household of about 2.36 persons. Total population and households are expected to increase throughout the projection period as new housing supply enters the market and occupancy rates stabilize at 91 percent. Population per household is expected to decline slowly throughout the projection period, falling to 2.28 persons per household by 2031/32.

## TABLE 10 <br> HISTORIC AND PROJECTED POPULATION AND HOUSING

| Year | Population | Housing Units |  | Occupancy Rate | Vacant <br> Units | Households |  | Pop/HH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total* | New |  |  | Total | Change |  |
| 2000/01 | 184,309 | 80,135 |  | 92.7\% | 5,837 | 74,298 |  | 2.481 |
| 2010/11 | 181,645 | 80,724 |  | 92.3\% | 6,211 | 74,513 |  | 2.438 |
| 2011/12 | 182,289 | 81,324 | 600 | 92.2\% | 6,322 | 75,002 | 489 | 2.430 |
| 2012/13 | 183,373 | 82,124 | 800 | 92.1\% | 6,449 | 75,675 | 673 | 2.423 |
| 2013/14 | 184,890 | 83,124 | 1,000 | 92.1\% | 6,593 | 76,531 | 856 | 2.416 |
| 2014/15 | 186,614 | 84,224 | 1,100 | 92.0\% | 6,747 | 77,477 | 946 | 2.409 |
| 2015/16 | 188,543 | 85,424 | 1,200 | 91.9\% | 6,911 | 78,513 | 1,036 | 2.401 |
| 2016/17 | 190,894 | 86,824 | 1,400 | 91.8\% | 7,093 | 79,731 | 1,218 | 2.394 |
| 2017/18 | 193,224 | 88,224 | 1,400 | 91.8\% | 7,277 | 80,947 | 1,216 | 2.387 |
| 2018/19 | 195,533 | 89,624 | 1,400 | 91.7\% | 7,463 | 82,161 | 1,214 | 2.380 |
| 2019/20 | 197,604 | 90,924 | 1,300 | 91.6\% | 7,643 | 83,281 | 1,120 | 2.373 |
| 2020/21 | 199,719 | 92,171 | 1,247 | 91.5\% | 7,820 | 84,351 | 1,070 | 2.368 |
| 2021/22 | 200,851 | 93,139 | 968 | 91.4\% | 8,010 | 85,129 | 778 | 2.359 |
| 2022/23 | 201,937 | 94,187 | 1,049 | 91.2\% | 8,288 | 85,899 | 770 | 2.351 |
| 2023/24 | 202,816 | 95,129 | 942 | 91.0\% | 8,562 | 86,567 | 669 | 2.343 |
| 2024/25 | 204,590 | 96,322 | 1,193 | 91.0\% | 8,669 | 87,653 | 1,085 | 2.334 |
| 2025/26 | 206,873 | 97,796 | 1,475 | 91.0\% | 8,802 | 88,994 | 1,342 | 2.325 |
| 2026/27 | 209,004 | 99,193 | 1,397 | 91.0\% | 8,927 | 90,265 | 1,271 | 2.315 |
| 2027/28 | 210,633 | 100,318 | 1,125 | 91.0\% | 9,029 | 91,289 | 1,024 | 2.307 |
| 2028/29 | 211,848 | 101,218 | 900 | 91.0\% | 9,110 | 92,108 | 819 | 2.300 |
| 2029/30 | 212,922 | 102,043 | 825 | 91.0\% | 9,184 | 92,859 | 751 | 2.293 |
| 2030/31 | 213,857 | 102,793 | 750 | 91.0\% | 9,251 | 93,541 | 683 | 2.286 |
| 2031/32 | 214,652 | 103,468 | 675 | 91.0\% | 9,312 | 94,155 | 614 | 2.280 |
| 2022/23-2031/32 |  |  | 10,329 |  |  |  | 9,027 |  |

Source: Applied Economics, 2021.
** Units in age-restricted and age-targeted communities.
Bolding Indicates Actuals

APPLIED ECONOMICS

Over the next ten years, 10,300 new housing units are projected to be added to the District's housing inventory, resulting in the addition of more than 9,000 households. As the population per household declines, new households are expected to produce a population increase of 13,800 persons by 2031/32.

### 4.2 Enrollment

### 4.2.1 School-age Population and Enrollment

In addition to the volume and market orientation of housing development, trends in per-household student generation rates and enrollment-to-population (E-P) ratios are key factors used in determining future enrollment levels, as detailed on Table 11. The first element, student generation, refers to the expected size of the schoolage population (persons aged 5 to 17 years old) per household. The average number of school-age persons per household has decreased from 0.370 in 2010/11 to 0.286 in 2021/22. Due to the aging of the population, the school-age population per household is projected to continue to decline over the next 10 years, falling to 0.233 by 2031/32.

The presence of educational alternatives, combined with open enrollment policies, makes it necessary to apply an E-P ratio to the projected school-age population when forecasting District enrollment. The E-P ratio is based on the net difference between the school-age population and total enrollment. This includes the loss of some inDistrict school-age persons to other providers and a gain of some students from outside the District. In addition to competition from alternative providers, there are a number of factors that can alter the E-P ratio, including specific program offerings within the District or in neighboring districts. In the 2021/22 school year the estimated school-age population within the District was about 24,300 persons, while total District enrollment was 19,245 students, resulting in a net difference of 5,060 persons and an E-P ratio of 0.79.

The District's E-P ratio peaked in 2015/16 at 0.89 and has declined slowly since then, likely due to increased charter school enrollment. The sharp drop in enrollment and the E-P ratio in the 2020/21 school year was due to the effects of the Covid-19 pandemic, but unfortunately it remained unchanged this year.

If in-District enrollment is used in the calculation instead of total enrollment, the resulting ratio (or Service Rate) is indicative of the share of the resident school-age persons being "serviced" by the District. As has been the case with the E-P ratio, the District's Service Rate has been in decline for many years, dropping from 81.6 percent in 2013/14 to 69.8 percent this year, which is slightly lower than last year's pandemic-driven Service Rate (71.8 percent).

The District's E-P ratio is expected to decrease slowly over the next 10 years, dropping to 0.76 by 2031/32 due to continued competition from alternative providers and a projected decline in the District's school-age population. Based on this trend, and a steady influx of about 2,300 students from outside the District, non-charter general education enrollment is projected to total roughly 16,600 students in 2031/32; this represents a decrease of about 2,600 students ( 14 percent) compared to 2021/22.

TABLE 11
SCHOOL-AGE POPULATION AND ENROLLMENT

| Year | Households | School-Age Population * |  | K-12 Enrollment ** |  | Net Difference | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E-P } \\ & \text { Ratio } \end{aligned}$ | Out-of District | Service Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Per Household | Total | Per Household |  |  |  |  |
| 2000/01 | 74,298 | 29,627 | 0.399 | 23,930 | 0.322 | 5,697 | 0.81 |  |  |
| 2010/11 | 74,513 | 27,551 | 0.370 | 23,140 | 0.311 | 4,411 | 0.84 |  |  |
| 2011/12 | 75,002 | 27,079 | 0.361 | 22,996 | 0.307 | 4,083 | 0.85 |  |  |
| 2012/13 | 75,675 | 26,678 | 0.353 | 22,897 | 0.303 | 3,781 | 0.86 |  |  |
| 2013/14 | 76,531 | 26,344 | 0.344 | 23,072 | 0.301 | 3,272 | 0.88 | 1,574 | 81.6\% |
| 2014/15 | 77,477 | 26,042 | 0.336 | 22,757 | 0.294 | 3,285 | 0.87 | 1,649 | 81.1\% |
| 2015/16 | 78,513 | 25,769 | 0.328 | 22,809 | 0.291 | 2,960 | 0.89 | 1,819 | 81.5\% |
| 2016/17 | 79,731 | 25,552 | 0.320 | 22,230 | 0.279 | 3,322 | 0.87 | 1,887 | 79.6\% |
| 2017/18 | 80,947 | 25,331 | 0.313 | 22,077 | 0.273 | 3,254 | 0.87 | 1,977 | 79.3\% |
| 2018/19 | 82,161 | 25,105 | 0.306 | 21,608 | 0.263 | 3,497 | 0.86 | 2,089 | 77.7\% |
| 2019/20 | 83,281 | 24,848 | 0.298 | 21,297 | 0.256 | 3,551 | 0.86 | 2,197 | 76.9\% |
| 2020/21 | 84,351 | 24,575 | 0.291 | 19,919 | 0.236 | 4,656 | 0.81 | 2,265 | 71.8\% |
| 2021/22 | 85,129 | 24,305 | 0.286 | 19,245 | 0.226 | 5,060 | 0.79 | 2,283 | 69.8\% |
| 2022/23 | 85,899 | 24,034 | 0.280 | 18,969 | 0.221 | 5,065 | 0.79 | 2,228 | 69.7\% |
| 2023/24 | 86,567 | 23,737 | 0.274 | 18,685 | 0.216 | 5,052 | 0.79 | 2,211 | 69.4\% |
| 2024/25 | 87,653 | 23,554 | 0.269 | 18,343 | 0.209 | 5,211 | 0.78 | 2,246 | 68.3\% |
| 2025/26 | 88,994 | 23,436 | 0.263 | 18,000 | 0.202 | 5,436 | 0.77 | 2,288 | 67.0\% |
| 2026/27 | 90,265 | 23,295 | 0.258 | 17,799 | 0.197 | 5,496 | 0.76 | 2,328 | 66.4\% |
| 2027/28 | 91,289 | 23,088 | 0.253 | 17,517 | 0.192 | 5,571 | 0.76 | 2,345 | 65.7\% |
| 2028/29 | 92,108 | 22,830 | 0.248 | 17,310 | 0.188 | 5,520 | 0.76 | 2,366 | 65.5\% |
| 2029/30 | 92,859 | 22,555 | 0.243 | 17,081 | 0.184 | 5,474 | 0.76 | 2,344 | 65.3\% |
| 2030/31 | 93,541 | 22,267 | 0.238 | 16,908 | 0.181 | 5,359 | 0.76 | 2,350 | 65.4\% |
| 2031/32 | 94,155 | 21,965 | 0.233 | 16,598 | 0.176 | 5,367 | 0.76 | 2,304 | 65.1\% |

Source: Applied Economics, January 2022.

* Population age 5 through 17, corresponds with Kindergartern through 12th grade.
** Excludes charter schools.
Bolding indicates historical data.

The relationship between school-age population and District enrollment from 2010/11 through 2031/32 is represented in Figure 5. The green bars show the school-age population and the blue bars show total enrollment. The historic and projected E-P ratio is represented by the red line, which is keyed to the percentages on the right axis.

FIGURE 5
SCHOOL-AGE POPULATION, ENROLLMENT AND E-P RATIO


Sources: Salt Lake City School District; Applied Economics, 2022.

Applied ECONOMICS

### 4.2.2 Enrollment by Grade Level

Table 12 provides a more detailed review of past and projected enrollment changes by showing enrollment by grade cohort, in this case employing a Preschool (PS), Kindergarten to $6^{\text {th }}$ grade (K-6), 7-8 and 9-12 cohort summation. In 2010/11 the recovery from the 2007 recession was at an early stage and growth was very limited, particularly in the youngest grades. By 2015/16 growth in the upper grades had increased but K-6 enrollment was in decline, a problem that has persisted every year since due to the impact of declining birth rates and increased competition from alternative providers. Although enrollment in the 7-8 and 9-12 cohorts has fluctuated up and down over the past six years, the net change in enrollment for both cohorts has been less dramatic than the change seen in the K-6 cohort.

TABLE 12
ENROLLMENT BY LEVEL

| Fall | Self <br> Contained | Enrollment by Level |  |  |  | K-12 Total* |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | K-6 | 7-8 | K-8 | 9-12 | Enrollment | Change | \% Change |
| 2010/11 | 832 | 13,715 | 3,080 | 16,795 | 6,345 | 23,140 | 233 | 1.0\% |
| 2011/12 | 923 | 13,735 | 3,002 | 16,737 | 6,259 | 22,996 | -144 | -0.6\% |
| 2012/13 | 862 | 13,536 | 3,003 | 16,539 | 6,358 | 22,897 | -99 | -0.4\% |
| 2013/14 | 896 | 13,691 | 3,123 | 16,814 | 6,258 | 23,072 | 175 | 0.8\% |
| 2014/15 | 859 | 13,363 | 3,025 | 16,388 | 6,369 | 22,757 | -315 | -1.4\% |
| 2015/16 | 813 | 13,108 | 3,155 | 16,263 | 6,546 | 22,809 | 52 | 0.2\% |
| 2016/17 | 844 | 12,530 | 3,138 | 15,668 | 6,562 | 22,230 | -579 | -2.5\% |
| 2017/18 | 784 | 12,134 | 3,107 | 15,241 | 6,836 | 22,077 | -153 | -0.7\% |
| 2018/19 | 793 | 11,775 | 3,131 | 14,906 | 6,702 | 21,608 | -469 | -2.1\% |
| 2019/20 | 721 | 11,367 | 3,186 | 14,553 | 6,744 | 21,297 | -311 | -1.4\% |
| 2020/21 | 617 | 9,991 | 3,052 | 13,043 | 6,876 | 19,919 | -1,378 | -6.5\% |
| 2021/22 | 600 | 9,548 | 2,929 | 12,477 | 6,768 | 19,245 | -674 | -3.4\% |
| 2022/23 | 591 | 9,377 | 2,813 | 12,190 | 6,779 | 18,969 | -276 | -1.4\% |
| 2023/24 | 582 | 9,215 | 2,616 | 11,831 | 6,854 | 18,685 | -284 | -1.5\% |
| 2024/25 | 571 | 9,166 | 2,477 | 11,643 | 6,700 | 18,343 | -342 | -1.8\% |
| 2025/26 | 560 | 9,058 | 2,444 | 11,502 | 6,498 | 18,000 | -343 | -1.9\% |
| 2026/27 | 554 | 8,997 | 2,543 | 11,540 | 6,259 | 17,799 | -201 | -1.1\% |
| 2027/28 | 545 | 8,978 | 2,485 | 11,463 | 6,054 | 17,517 | -282 | -1.6\% |
| 2028/29 | 539 | 8,838 | 2,460 | 11,298 | 6,012 | 17,310 | -207 | -1.2\% |
| 2029/30 | 532 | 8,663 | 2,503 | 11,166 | 5,915 | 17,081 | -229 | -1.3\% |
| 2030/31 | 527 | 8,458 | 2,468 | 10,926 | 5,982 | 16,908 | -173 | -1.0\% |
| 2031/32 | 517 | 8,222 | 2,432 | 10,654 | 5,944 | 16,598 | -310 | -1.8\% |

Source: Applied Economics, 2022.

* Excludes students in self-contained programs and charter schools.

Bolding indicates actuals.

APPLIED ECONOMICS

Enrollment in the K-6 cohort is expected to decline every year during the projection period, dropping by an average of 1.6 percent per year and ending the period down more than 1,400 students (nearly 15 percent). Enrollment losses in the $7-8$ cohort are projected to average 2.0 percent per year over the next 10 years, bringing enrollment in the cohort down to nearly 2,500 students in 2031/32. Although high school enrollment is projected to increase slightly over the next two years, losses in 9-12 enrollment are projected during most of the remainder of the projection period, resulting in a 10 percent decline in enrollment (roughly 700 students) by 2031/32.

Reviewing individual grades on Table 13 can provide some additional insight into enrollment patterns and the progressive impact of Kindergarten class size. Enrollment in the older grades has been bolstered by an increased proportion of older householders in the District, which is expected to help mitigate the effect of the smaller incoming class sizes. In addition, changes in the housing market and householder characteristics have a significant impact on enrollment. Re-occupied housing, and some of the new multifamily housing, could yield a larger percentage of younger students, while new single family housing would likely result in an increase in older children.

# TABLE 13 <br> HISTORIC AND PROJECTED 40TH DAY ENROLLMENT BY GRADE 

| Year | SE | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 12 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | | K-12 |
| :--- |
| Total |

[^3]It is possible that the strength of the continuing economic recovery combined with a possible rebound in birth rates will have a more positive effect on the school-age population than is being projected. However, the volume and characteristics of the current housing inventory and planned development favors an older householder profile in the District for the foreseeable future, which is likely to limit the growth potential in the elementary grades during the projection period.

### 5.0 Sub-District Projections

Sub-District enrollment projections are based on the current number of students in each study grid, the expected occupancy of existing housing units and absorption of new housing units, and the expected student generation from existing and newly created households. Expected levels of District-wide housing absorption are allocated to new residential developments on a project-by-project basis. Absorption is first allocated to active residential projects and then to vacant land planned for residential development according to the development schedule assigned to each project or project part. Using this data, annual projections of enrollment by grade through 2031/32 for each of the 169 grid areas have been developed.

The grid-level projections are aggregated by boundary area and used to cross-check the District enrollment projections. Matrices showing the relationship between where students live and where they attend school are provided for each elementary, middle, and high school boundary area. Finally, these relationships are combined with the boundary area projections to forecast enrollment by school.

### 5.1 Planning Area Projections

The projected changes in the number of students by grid over the next 10 years are depicted on Maps 10 and 11. The planning grids are color coded according to the degree of change, with increasing saturations of red for positive change and blue for negative change.

During the first five years of the projection period, pockets of enrollment growth are expected almost entirely in areas east of $\mathrm{I}-15$. Although areas with enrollment losses are widespread, they are heavily concentrated in areas west of $\mathrm{I}-15$; several areas with stronger losses are also found around the periphery of the eastern portion of the District during this five-year period. During the second five-year period, enrollment growth is projected to slow further and become even more limited, occurring primarily in areas that are east of I-15. Enrollment losses remain strongest in areas west of $1-15$ but also intensify in other parts of the district during the second half of the projection period.

MAP 10
ENROLLMENT CHANGE: 2021/22-2026/27


MAP 11
ENROLLMENT CHANGE: 2026/27-2031/32


APPLIED ECONOMICS

### 5.2 Enrollment by Boundary Versus School

The school boundary areas used to aggregate the planning grid-level projections for the District are shown on Maps 12 and 13, representing the primary and secondary boundary areas, respectively.

The variations between enrollment by boundary area and enrollment (student population) by school are detailed in Tables 14, 15 and 16. These matrix tables show the movement of students between schools, both within and outside District. Reading the table across shows the number of students attending a school from each boundary area (listed numerically across the top row as defined in the first column) and from outside the District. Reading down the columns details where students living in each boundary area choose to go to school. The number of students attending the school in their designated boundary area is shaded in green. For example, at the elementary level, there are 213 students attending Backman Elementary who also live in the Backman boundary area. There are seven students attending Backman from the Edison boundary area, 1 student attending from the Franklin boundary area, and so on. The aggregation of students from all 27 elementary boundary areas attending Backman, along with 15 students who reside outside District boundaries, results in a total enrollment of 361 students at the school. There is movement both in and out of the boundary area, but there are 46 more students attending Backman than residing in the boundary area, as shown in the rightmost column on the table. About 68 percent of the 315 students living in the Backman boundary area actually attended the school in 2021/22, as indicated in the bottom row.

Overall, elementary enrollment totals about 10,000 students, including about goo students who reside outside District boundaries in 2021/22. Of the District's resident elementary students, about 70 percent attend the school associated with their boundary area; this figure differs considerably among the schools, ranging from just 46 percent at Park View Elementary to 88 percent at Highland Park Elementary. This variation reflects a high level of movement within the District, as 30 percent of the students attend a school that is not assigned to the geographic location of their residence. Higher percentages typically suggest a higher level of satisfaction with the school, but they can also be distorted by a lack of alternatives, particularly in less urban portions of the District.

The net impact of the movement of elementary students ranges from a gain of 186 students at Emerson, to a loss of 114 students from Park View. Some of these shifts are due to designated programs, including special education, but most are due to campus-specific offerings and student/parent choice. While these movements may be fairly stable over time, they do add a significant degree of uncertainty to projections of enrollment by school (as opposed to boundary areas), as does the movement of students into and out of alternative providers and other public district schools.

MAP 12
2021/22 ELEMENTARY BOUNDARIES


MAP 13
2021/22 SECONDARY BOUNDARIES


TABLE 14
ELEMENTARY POPULATION BY SCHOOL VERSUS BOUNDARY: 2021/22
Boundary Area

| School |  | Boundary Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | Out of Total <br> District Attendance |  | Total Residents | Net Difference |
| Backman | 1 | 213 |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |  | 26 | 1 | 3 |  |  | 25 |  | 22 | 1 | 14 |  | 17 |  | 1 | 14 |  | 2 |  |  | 15 | 361 | 315 | 46 |
| Beacon Heights | 2 |  | 270 | 4 | 2 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 5 |  | 37 |  | 1 | 3 | 4 |  | 4 | 29 | 377 | 334 | 43 |
| Bennion | 3 |  |  | 121 |  |  | 3 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 8 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4 |  | 3 | 7 | 151 | 232 | -81 |
| Bonneville | 4 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 302 | 7 |  | 7 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 9 |  | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 16 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 29 | 399 | 380 | 19 |
| Dilworth | 5 | 1 | 24 | 1 | 4 | 305 |  | 5 |  | 1 |  | 4 | 6 | 6 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 14 |  |  | 3 |  | 19 |  | 2 | 6 | 63 | 467 | 349 | 118 |
| Edison | 6 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 317 |  |  | 3 | 4 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  | 5 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 7 | 350 | 456 | -106 |
| Emerson | 7 | 2 | 7 | 19 | 16 | 6 | 1 | 223 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 27 | 9 | 12 | 3 | 20 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 21 |  | 6 | 4 | 2 | 16 | 9 | 1 | 12 | 44 | 482 | 296 | 186 |
| Ensign | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 2 | 5 | 228 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |  | 6 | 1 | 2 |  | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 19 | 14 | 1 | 20 | 316 | 317 | -1 |
| Escalante | 9 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 290 |  |  |  |  | 10 | 3 | 15 | 1 | 4 |  | 12 | 3 | 4 | 3 |  |  |  | 3 | 24 | 386 | 423 | -37 |
| Franklin | 10 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 14 |  |  |  | 205 |  |  |  | 8 | 6 | 4 | 10 |  | 1 | 5 | 4 | 21 | 1 |  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 296 | 258 | 38 |
| Hawthorne | 11 | 2 | 8 | 6 | 15 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 23 | 4 | 1 | 151 | 11 | 24 |  | 9 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 13 |  | 1 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 12 | 8 | 13 | 18 | 360 | 231 | 129 |
| Highland Park | 12 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 4 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 2 | 359 | 3 | 2 | 3 |  |  | 1 | 39 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 1 | 92 | 520 | 409 | 111 |
| Indian Hills | 13 |  | 1 | 2 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 219 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 32 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 20 | 291 | 295 | -4 |
| MW Jackson | 14 | 11 |  | 1 |  |  | 8 | 1 |  | 3 | 10 | 1 | 1 |  | 248 |  | 12 | 2 | 5 |  | 5 | 1 | 5 | 17 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 27 | 366 | 381 | -15 |
| Liberty | 15 |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |  | 241 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  | 1 |  | 36 | 29 | 329 | 344 | -15 |
| Meadowlark | 16 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 298 | 6 | 1 |  | 7 | 4 |  | 7 |  |  | 2 |  | 10 | 352 | 425 | -73 |
| Mountain View | 17 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  | 7 | 2 |  |  | 1 |  | 3 | 2 | 354 |  |  |  | 17 | 21 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 58 | 487 | 473 | 14 |
| Newman | 18 | 12 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 13 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 19 |  | 187 |  | 9 | 2 |  | 14 |  |  |  |  | 13 | 274 | 263 | 11 |
| Nibley Park K8 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 5 | 7 |  | 1 |  | 149 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2 |  | 6 | 76 | 255 | 285 | -30 |
| North Star | 20 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 8 |  | 6 |  | 305 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 26 | 384 | 383 | 1 |
| Park View | 21 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 3 | 1 |  |  |  | 4 |  | 1 | 8 |  |  | 1 | 143 | 22 | 3 |  |  |  | 1 | 6 | 200 | 314 | -114 |
| Parkview Bus Are | 22 | 3 |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 2 | 4 | 2 | 11 |  |  |  | 3 | 180 | 4 |  |  |  | 1 | 16 | 233 | 328 | -95 |
| Riley | 23 | 8 |  | 1 |  |  | 3 |  | 1 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  | 4 |  | 7 | 2 | 16 |  | 2 |  |  | 171 |  |  | 2 |  | 36 | 258 | 283 | -25 |
| Riley Bus Area | 24 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 6 |  | 12 | 1 |  | 1 | 5 | 3 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  | 11 |  |  |  | 3 | 310 | 1 |  | 2 | 23 | 393 | 410 | -17 |
| Rose Park | 25 |  | 2 | 30 |  |  | 1 | 11 | 24 | 1 | 2 | 6 |  |  | 2 | 6 |  | 1 | 4 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 4 |  | 193 | 9 | 8 | 40 | 352 | 295 | 57 |
| Uintah | 26 | 9 |  | 2 |  |  | 3 |  | 2 | 5 | 6 |  |  |  | 37 | 1 | 6 |  | 6 |  | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 |  | 5 | 112 | 3 | 22 | 228 | 179 | 49 |
| Wasatch | 27 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 9 | 2 |  | 8 | 6 | 8 |  | 6 | 6 |  | 2 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 24 | 6 | 3 | 290 | 36 | 466 | 439 | 27 |
| Open Classroom |  | 7 | 1 | 9 | 1 |  | 1 | 4 | 14 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 3 |  | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 12 |  | 2 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 11 | 13 | 99 | 237 | 0 | 237 |
| Other |  | 13 | 5 | 6 | 15 | 8 | 71 | 7 | 4 | 18 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 9 | 6 | 66 | 6 | 7 | 12 | 39 | 45 | 16 | 6 | 11 | 3 | 23 | 54 | 476 | 0 | 476 |
| Total Residents |  | 315 | 334 | 232 | 380 | 349 | 456 | 296 | 317 | 423 | 258 | 231 | 409 | 295 | 381 | 344 | 425 | 473 | 263 | 285 | 383 | 314 | 328 | 283 | 410 | 295 | 179 | 439 | 949 | 10,046 | 9,097 | 949 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | School/Boundary Area Same (In-District) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6,384 |  |
|  |  | 68\% | 81\% | 52\% | 79\% | 87\% | 70\% | 75\% | 72\% | 69\% | 79\% | 65\% | 88\% | 74\% | 65\% | 70\% | 70\% | 75\% | 71\% | 52\% | 80\% | 46\% | 55\% | 60\% | 76\% | 65\% | 63\% | 66\% |  |  | 70\% |  |

Among the middle schools there is less movement of in-District students, with 80 percent of the students attending the school designated by their boundary area in 2021/22, although there is significant variation from school to school. Glendale has a total enrollment of 546 students, of which 492 also live in the boundary area ( 88 percent). However, even with an additional 25 students from outside of the District, the school enrolls 14 fewer students than reside in the boundary area. The lowest attend-reside ratio this year was at Nibley Park, where only 41 percent of the resident middle school students also chose to attend the school. Clayton and Hillside area the only middle schools with a defined boundary area that have a positive net movement of students, with 159 and 20 students, respectively. The other middle schools have a negative net movement of students, ranging from a loss of 56 students at Bryant to a loss of 14 students at Glendale.

## TABLE 15

MIDDLE SCHOOL POPULATION BY SCHOOL VERSUS BOUNDARY: 2021/22

| School | Boundary Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total <br> Attendance | Total <br> Residents | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Out of <br> District |  |  |  |
| Bryant | 1 | 319 | 7 | 30 | 7 | 34 | 1 | 19 | 417 | 473 | -56 |
| Clayton | 2 | 65 | 414 | 22 | 80 | 16 | 13 | 43 | 653 | 494 | 159 |
| Glendale | 3 | 6 | 1 | 492 | 2 | 20 |  | 25 | 546 | 560 | -14 |
| Hillside | 4 | 11 | 34 | 1 | 434 | 3 | 31 | 54 | 568 | 548 | 20 |
| Northwest | 5 | 17 | 2 | 11 |  | 601 |  | 17 | 648 | 702 | -54 |
| Nibley Park K8 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 33 | 21 | 58 | 80 | -22 |
| West High School | 7 | 41 | 29 | 2 | 24 | 18 | 1 | 29 | 144 | 0 | 144 |
| Other |  | 13 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 30 | 61 | 0 | 61 |
| Total Residents |  | 473 | 494 | 560 | 548 | 702 | 80 | 238 | 3,095 | 2,857 | 238 |
|  |  |  |  |  | School/Boundary Area Same (In-District) |  |  |  |  | 2,293 |  |
|  |  | 67\% | 84\% | 88\% | 79\% | 86\% | 41\% |  |  | 80\% |  |

The flow of students in and out of the high schools is more than the middle schools and the same as the elementary schools, with just 70 percent of the students attending the school designated by their boundary area in 2021/22. West High retains nearly 77 percent of the students who reside in its boundary area, the highest percentage among high schools, followed by Highland at 70 percent. Of the high schools with a designated boundary area, East High has the lowest retention rate ( 62 percent) and the largest net loss ( -234 students), despite enrolling 200 students from outside of the District. All the high schools attract 200 or more students from outside the District, but only West High has a net inflow this year (200 students).

TABLE 16
HIGH SCHOOL POPULATION BY SCHOOL VERSUS BOUNDARY: 2021/22

| School | Boundary Area |  |  |  |  |  | Total <br> Residents | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | Out of District | Total Attendance |  |  |
| East High | 1 | 1,331 | 264 | 107 | 200 | 1,902 | 2,136 | -234 |
| Highland | 2 | 223 | 1,386 | 49 | 257 | 1,915 | 1,992 | -77 |
| West High | 3 | 298 | 161 | 1,802 | 292 | 2,553 | 2,353 | 200 |
| SLCSE | 4 | 81 | 40 | 177 | 60 | 358 | 0 | 358 |
| SLSPA | 5 | 29 | 29 | 19 | 131 | 208 | 0 | 208 |
| Innovations |  | 60 | 47 | 71 | 106 | 284 | 0 | 284 |
| Horizonte |  | 114 | 65 | 128 | 50 | 357 | 0 | 357 |
| Total Residents |  | 2,136 | 1,992 | 2,353 | 1,096 | 7,577 | 6,481 | 1,096 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | School/Boundary Area Same (In-District) | 4,519 |  |
|  |  | 62\% | 70\% | 77\% |  |  | 70\% |  |
| In-District Students (K-12) |  |  |  |  |  | School/Boundary Area Same (In-District) | 13,196 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 71.6\% |  |

### 5.3 Boundary Area Projections

Table 17 shows District elementary school enrollment (student population) by boundary area for 2014/15 through 2021/22 and projected enrollment through the 2031/32 school year. These counts are based on the number of students living in each boundary area; therefore, they will differ from actual enrollment at each campus (as explained in Section 5.2). Historical changes in enrollment between 2014/15 and 2019/20, and 2019/20 to 2020/21 are shown, as well as projected changes for the periods between 2021/22 to 2026/27 and the 2026/27 to 2031/32. The figures are color-coded with increasing saturations of green indicating higher relative enrollment, and increasing saturations of red indicating lower relative enrollment. The shading also serves to illustrate growth or decline through the projection period.

During the first five years of the projection period, only five of the 27 elementary boundary areas are projected to experience nominal gains, ranging from just 24 students (Wasatch) to six students (Highland Park). During the same time period, the largest decline is expected in the Meadowlark boundary area ( -117 students) and losses of roughly 50 students each are anticipated in the Backman, Riley, Indian Hills, North Star and Beacon Heights boundary areas. Overall, elementary enrollment is projected experience a net decrease of about 500 students by 2026/27.

During the second five-year period, enrollment is projected to decline in all of the elementary boundary areas; including a 70 -student decline in out-of-District enrollment, total elementary enrollment is expected to decrease by another roughly 800 students during this period. The largest declines during the second half of the projection period are expected in the Edison ( -41 students) and Mountain View ( -44 students).

Enrollment (student population) projections for the junior high and high school boundary areas are provided in Tables 18 and 19. Over the next five years, middle school enrollment is expected to remain unchanged or decline in each of the boundary areas; the largest losses are projected for the Northwest ( -150 students) and Glendale (170 students) boundary areas during this period. In the second five-year period, enrollment losses will continue in all but the Hillside boundary area, resulting in a net enrollment decrease of about another 110 middle school students during the period.

Overall, high school boundary area enrollment is projected to decline during both time periods, although the enrollment loss during the second five-year period is projected to be somewhat stronger than the first five-year period. By 2026/27, enrollment declines in all but the Highland boundary area, and a small drop in out-of-District enrollment, result in a net loss of nearly 550 high school students. In the second five-year period, enrollment declines in all three high school boundary areas more than offset an 8o-student gain in out-of-District enrollment, resulting in a net loss of another 300 students for the period.

## TABLE 17

## KINDERGARTEN THROUGH $6^{\text {TH }}$ GRADE POPULATION BY BOUNDARY AREA

Actual
Projected
Change


| Backman | 558 | 556 | 493 | 456 | 415 | 415 | 357 | 307 | 292 | 275 | 275 | 265 | 265 | 269 | 262 | 257 | 251 | 245 | -143 | -108 | -42 | -20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beacon Heights | 362 | 373 | 391 | 384 | 393 | 395 | 297 | 325 | 324 | 296 | 292 | 276 | 270 | 273 | 269 | 263 | 257 | 251 | 33 | -70 | -55 | -20 |
| Bennion | 358 | 312 | 315 | 291 | 289 | 253 | 231 | 226 | 224 | 229 | 232 | 225 | 219 | 215 | 216 | 211 | 205 | 200 | -105 | -27 | -7 | -20 |
| Bonneville | 509 | 486 | 480 | 490 | 477 | 457 | 384 | 370 | 361 | 358 | 356 | 344 | 342 | 346 | 339 | 332 | 325 | 316 | -52 | -87 | -28 | -26 |
| Dilworth | 518 | 513 | 492 | 473 | 455 | 425 | 340 | 340 | 331 | 323 | 329 | 332 | 339 | 330 | 326 | 321 | 313 | 305 | -93 | -85 | -1 | -34 |
| Edison | 718 | 683 | 624 | 596 | 563 | 562 | 492 | 444 | 430 | 424 | 420 | 415 | 416 | 404 | 403 | 395 | 386 | 375 | -156 | -118 | -28 | -41 |
| Emerson | 353 | 340 | 332 | 338 | 305 | 328 | 306 | 289 | 299 | 301 | 303 | 309 | 305 | 300 | 298 | 292 | 285 | 277 | -25 | -39 | 16 | -28 |
| Ensign | 380 | 359 | 351 | 385 | 369 | 362 | 326 | 309 | 301 | 297 | 291 | 291 | 282 | 280 | 279 | 273 | 266 | 259 | -18 | -53 | -27 | -23 |
| Escalante | 509 | 482 | 500 | 509 | 486 | 444 | 422 | 412 | 395 | 392 | 397 | 398 | 403 | 396 | 391 | 384 | 375 | 365 | -65 | -32 | -9 | -38 |
| Franklin | 461 | 460 | 461 | 430 | 379 | 338 | 280 | 251 | 244 | 243 | 240 | 243 | 239 | 241 | 236 | 232 | 227 | 221 | -123 | -87 | -12 | -18 |
| Hawthorne | 312 | 322 | 322 | 322 | 316 | 280 | 261 | 225 | 219 | 209 | 202 | 198 | 190 | 187 | 188 | 184 | 179 | 174 | -32 | -55 | -35 | -16 |
| Highland Park | 553 | 552 | 521 | 513 | 528 | 496 | 426 | 398 | 393 | 393 | 391 | 396 | 404 | 408 | 395 | 389 | 381 | 370 | -57 | -98 | 6 | -34 |
| Indian Hills | 412 | 401 | 397 | 399 | 382 | 394 | 310 | 287 | 267 | 262 | 258 | 250 | 242 | 237 | 239 | 233 | 227 | 221 | -18 | -107 | -45 | -21 |
| MW Jackson | 504 | 501 | 486 | 452 | 480 | 433 | 376 | 371 | 373 | 370 | 379 | 380 | 382 | 382 | 374 | 368 | 359 | 350 | -71 | -62 | 11 | -32 |
| Liberty | 534 | 511 | 504 | 449 | 456 | 446 | 367 | 335 | 336 | 338 | 339 | 332 | 325 | 333 | 325 | 318 | 311 | 303 | -88 | -111 | -10 | -22 |
| Meadowlark | 624 | 624 | 601 | 560 | 551 | 526 | 481 | 414 | 377 | 357 | 340 | 321 | 310 | 302 | 306 | 298 | 290 | 283 | -98 | -112 | -104 | -27 |
| Mountain View | 684 | 639 | 599 | 596 | 556 | 578 | 523 | 461 | 463 | 449 | 444 | 445 | 449 | 438 | 435 | 427 | 417 | 405 | -106 | -117 | -12 | -44 |
| Newman | 464 | 426 | 421 | 404 | 341 | 314 | 284 | 256 | 259 | 247 | 241 | 234 | 244 | 242 | 237 | 233 | 228 | 221 | -150 | -58 | -12 | -23 |
| Nibley Park K8 | 457 | 449 | 430 | 395 | 383 | 363 | 301 | 278 | 267 | 265 | 257 | 256 | 248 | 255 | 248 | 244 | 238 | 232 | -94 | -85 | -29 | -16 |
| North Star | 646 | 585 | 540 | 526 | 500 | 459 | 413 | 373 | 357 | 346 | 344 | 331 | 325 | 331 | 324 | 317 | 310 | 302 | -187 | -86 | -48 | -23 |
| Park View | 568 | 565 | 495 | 473 | 470 | 441 | 347 | 306 | 311 | 305 | 305 | 310 | 299 | 305 | 298 | 293 | 286 | 278 | -127 | -135 | -7 | -21 |
| Riley | 524 | 519 | 452 | 423 | 434 | 413 | 379 | 319 | 313 | 295 | 289 | 280 | 275 | 273 | 271 | 266 | 259 | 252 | -111 | -94 | -44 | -23 |
| Rose Park | 507 | 512 | 454 | 417 | 393 | 345 | 304 | 276 | 284 | 281 | 282 | 281 | 285 | 281 | 277 | 272 | 266 | 259 | -162 | -69 | 9 | -27 |
| Uintah | 576 | 577 | 538 | 533 | 496 | 482 | 414 | 399 | 402 | 407 | 403 | 407 | 397 | 395 | 391 | 383 | 374 | 364 | -94 | -83 | -2 | -33 |
| Wasatch | 392 | 379 | 375 | 357 | 351 | 339 | 290 | 287 | 289 | 301 | 304 | 303 | 312 | 315 | 304 | 300 | 294 | 286 | -53 | -52 | 24 | -26 |
| Washington | 323 | 281 | 272 | 235 | 211 | 219 | 176 | 174 | 178 | 184 | 181 | 174 | 174 | 178 | 173 | 170 | 166 | 162 | -104 | -45 | 0 | -13 |
| Whittier | 607 | 634 | 608 | 574 | 553 | 512 | 454 | 428 | 416 | 412 | 410 | 413 | 416 | 414 | 406 | 399 | 390 | 379 | -95 | -84 | -11 | -37 |
| Out of District | 702 | 784 | 807 | 862 | 916 | 958 | 953 | 925 | 933 | 928 | 943 | 929 | 918 | 928 | 909 | 891 | 871 | 848 | 256 | -33 | -6 | -70 |
| Sub-total | 14,115 | 13,825 | 13,261 | 12,842 | 12,448 | 11,977 | 10,494 | 9,785 | 9,637 | 9,485 | 9,446 | 9,338 | 9,277 | 9,258 | 9,118 | 8,943 | 8,738 | 8,502 | -2,138 | -2,192 | -508 | -775 |

Sources: Salt Lake City Schools; Applied Economics, 2022.

TABLE 18
$7^{\text {TH }}$ AND $8^{\text {TH }}$ GRADE POPULATION BY BOUNDARY AREA
Actual
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Actual } & & \text { Projected }\end{array}$
Change


## Middle School:

| Bryant | 529 | 524 | 571 | 531 | 527 | 525 | 508 | 457 | 447 | 411 | 389 | 420 | 445 | 435 | 440 | 453 | 441 | 436 | -4 | -68 | -11 | -10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clayton | 552 | 562 | 592 | 590 | 599 | 618 | 554 | 477 | 450 | 438 | 432 | 443 | 482 | 473 | 438 | 449 | 450 | 442 | 66 | -141 | 5 | -40 |
| Glendale | 598 | 617 | 566 | 581 | 593 | 598 | 583 | 541 | 467 | 413 | 407 | 374 | 373 | 381 | 363 | 352 | 360 | 354 | 0 | -57 | -167 | -19 |
| Hillside | 518 | 569 | 562 | 589 | 596 | 585 | 521 | 529 | 533 | 501 | 468 | 434 | 445 | 460 | 483 | 485 | 471 | 468 | 67 | -56 | -84 | 23 |
| Nibley Park K8 | 116 | 108 | 114 | 98 | 118 | 106 | 91 | 77 | 82 | 77 | 72 | 74 | 76 | 69 | 65 | 73 | 69 | 68 | -10 | -29 | -1 | -8 |
| Northwest | 923 | 981 | 920 | 846 | 810 | 795 | 741 | 678 | 658 | 602 | 545 | 534 | 530 | 498 | 505 | 507 | 502 | 494 | -128 | -117 | -148 | -36 |
| Out of District | 153 | 191 | 215 | 200 | 207 | 244 | 263 | 230 | 237 | 235 | 224 | 235 | 270 | 249 | 246 | 263 | 254 | 250 | 91 | -14 | 40 | -20 |
| Sub-total | 3,389 | 3,552 | 3,540 | 3,435 | 3,450 | 3,471 | 3,261 | 2,989 | 2,873 | 2,676 | 2,537 | 2,514 | 2,623 | 2,565 | 2,540 | 2,583 | 2,548 | 2,512 | 82 | -482 | -366 | -111 |

Sources: Salt Lake City Schools; Applied Economics, 2022.

## TABLE 19

$9^{\text {TH }}$ THROUGH $12{ }^{\text {TH }}$ GRADE POPULATION BY BOUNDARY AREA

|  | Actual |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Projected |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Change |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boundary Area | 2014/15 | 2015/16 | 2016/17 | 2017/18 | 2018/19 | 2019/20 | 2020/21 | 2021/22 | 2022/23 | 2023/24 | 2024/25 | 2025/26 | 2026/27 | 2027/28 | 2028/29 | 2029/30 | 2030/31 | 2031/32 | 2014-19 | 2019-21 | 2021-26 | 2026-31 |
| High School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| East High | 2,104 | 2,191 | 2,153 | 2,258 | 2,222 | 2,107 | 2,187 | 2,148 | 2,189 | 2,231 | 2,104 | 2,026 | 1,914 | 1,841 | 1,864 | 1,882 | 1,878 | 1,895 | 3 | 41 | -234 | -19 |
| Highland | 1,901 | 1,882 | 1,895 | 1,907 | 1,899 | 2,005 | 2,008 | 2,003 | 2,055 | 2,109 | 2,125 | 2,074 | 2,021 | 1,935 | 1,901 | 1,842 | 1,861 | 1,857 | 104 | -2 | 18 | -164 |
| West High | 2,371 | 2,416 | 2,429 | 2,545 | 2,407 | 2,446 | 2,382 | 2,366 | 2,324 | 2,340 | 2,288 | 2,194 | 2,098 | 2,023 | 1,945 | 1,906 | 1,920 | 1,884 | 75 | -80 | -268 | -214 |
| Out of District | 794 | 844 | 865 | 915 | 966 | 995 | 1,049 | 1,101 | 1,020 | 985 | 993 | 1,014 | 1,036 | 1,066 | 1,112 | 1,095 | 1,133 | 1,118 | 201 | 106 | -65 | 83 |
| Sub-total | 7,170 | 7,333 | 7,342 | 7,625 | 7,494 | 7,553 | 7,626 | 7,618 | 7,589 | 7,664 | 7,510 | 7,308 | 7,069 | 6,864 | 6,822 | 6,725 | 6,792 | 6,754 | 383 | 65 | -549 | -315 |
| Grand Total | 24,674 | 24,710 | 24,143 | 23,902 | 23,392 | 23,001 | 21,381 | 20,392 | 20,099 | 19,825 | 19,493 | 19,160 | 18,969 | 18,687 | 18,480 | 18,251 | 18,078 | 17,768 | -1,673 | -2,609 | -1,423 | -1,201 |

Sources: Salt Lake City Schools; Applied Economics, 2022.


[^0]:    Source: Salt Lake City School District.

[^1]:    Sources: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000, 2010 and 2020;American Community Survey, 2020; Applied Economics, 202

    * Applies to total population, population by race and housing unit counts only.
    ** Annual compound rate of change.

[^2]:    * This list of projects is just a sample and is not intended to be comprehensive; it likely contains some errors since the data were collected from a large number of on-line sources.

[^3]:    Source: Applied Economics, 2022.

    * Excludes students in self-contained programs and charter schools.

