



INTRODUCTION

I will be researching the car's aerodynamics (i.e., body lines, curvature, drag, wheelbase), sentiment (strong, rugged, utility, innovational), and how they have changed over time due to socioeconomics. I aim to find a correlation between socioeconomic periods (wars, recessions, social issues) throughout history and how that affected this areas of study.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGIES

The research will be pure research, seeing how I am not conducting any experiments or creating new theories, only improving upon existing research. My data will consist of quantitative data such as drag coefficient, car measurements and fuel economy, and qualitative data such as the cars' sentiment (strong, artisanal, innovative, utility). My methodology is observational because I will not be conducting an experiment, solely making observations. The general population I wish to study will be the most popular automobile during the socioeconomic era I research (Obama Era, Iran War, Watergate Crisis, Great Depression, etc.) and how it changes.

DATA AND FINDINGS

| | A | В | C | D | E | F | G | н | 1 | J | K | L | M | N | 0 | P |
|----|-------|------------------|---------------|---|----------------------|--------|-------|------------|------------------|-------------------|--------|--------------|--|--------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | | Top Selling | | | Height | Length | Width | Wheel Base | Body Lines | Accessories | Drag | Fuel Economy | Emotion (1-4) |) Reason | | |
| 2 | 20s | Chevy Nationa | AB | | 1.75m | 4.31m | 1.7m | 2.7m | straight, square | e none | 0.4 | 19mpg | 1 Rugged, 2 St Ornate design for wealthy clients | | | |
| 3 | 30s | Ford Roadster V8 | | | 1.42m | 3.86m | 1.7m | 2.7m | straight, slight | none | 0.39 | 19mpg | 1 Rugged, 4 Sti Sleek, fast, powerful for the time | | | ne |
| 4 | 40s | Ford Woody | | | 1.86m | 4.95m | 1.9m | 2.9m | Flared fenders | , none | 0.4 | 13mpg | 2 Rugged, 2 S | Sti Large, boxy, u | used for camping | |
| 5 | 50s | Chevy Bel Air | | | 1.5m | 5.10m | 1.88m | 2.9m | straight body, | c none | 0.38 | 17mpg | 1 Rugged, 2 S | St Chrome accen | ts, classic look, h | uxury |
| 6 | 60s | Chevy Impala | | | 1.39m | 5.41m | 2.02m | 3.02m | straight body, l | l none | 0.37 | 12mpg | 1 Rugged, 3 S | St V8 engine, mu | uscle car design | |
| 7 | 70s | Oldsmobile Cu | tlass | | 1.36m | 5.32m | 1.89m | 2.84m | curved roof, st | r hood scoops | 0.35 | 13mpg | 1 Rugged, 2 S | St Aggressive, a | ngular design, spo | orty |
| 8 | 80s | Honda Accord | | | 1.38m | 4.61m | 1.7m | 2.6m | Very straight, l | b flip up headlig | 1 0.3 | 24mpg | 3 Utility, 4 In | n Fuel-efficient, | reliable, high-teo | ch for the time |
| 9 | 90s | Ford Taurus | | | 1. <mark>41m</mark> | 5.02m | 1.85m | 2.76m | Angular, slight | t none | 0.3 | 21mpg | 2 Strong, 4 Ut | til Spacious, prac | ctical, family car | |
| 10 | 2000s | Toyota Prius | | | 1.47m | 4.45m | 1.72m | 2.7m | Curved hood, | " none | 0.26 | 46mpg | 4 Utility, 4 In | n Hybrid techno | logy, eco-friendly | y, unique shape |
| 11 | 2010s | Toyota Camry | | | 1. <mark>4</mark> 7m | 4.85m | 1.82m | 2.78m | Curvier than a | r none | 0.28 | 26mpg | 4 Utility, 2 Ar | rti Reliable, prac | tical, family car | |
| 12 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | | Best Acclaimed | Ľ | | Height | Length | Width | Wheel Base | Body | Accessories | Drag | Horsepower | Emotion (1-4) |) Reason | | |
| 14 | 20s | Bentley 41/2-li | re Blower | | 1.42m | 4.4m | 1.73m | 2.95m | Boxy shape, st | none | 0.7 | 240 | Powerful 1, A | r Distinctive cu | rves, handcrafted | interiors, refined d |
| 15 | 30s | Bugatti Type 5 | SC Atlantic | | 1.2m | 4.73m | 1.72m | 2.98m | Streamlined, a | e none | 0.55 | 200 | Powerful 4, A | r Streamlined d | esign, high-end fi | inishes, exquisite c |
| 16 | 40s | Jaguar XK120 | | | 1.29m | 4.37m | 1.6m | 2.59m | Sleek, aerodyn | none | 0.43 | 160 | Artisan 2, Reg | ga Sleek lines, ch | nrome accents, ele | gant interior |
| 17 | 50s | Mercedes 300S | L Gullwing | | 1.3m | 4.49m | 1.79m | 2.4m | Aerodynamic | d Iconic Gullwir | n 0.27 | 215 | Powerful 3, A | r Iconic gullwin | ng doors, aerodyn | amic shape, luxuri |
| 18 | 60s | Lamborghini N | liura | | 1.05m | 4.38m | 1.78m | 2.55m | Iconic "wedge | " Front and rear | 0.38 | 350 | Powerful 2, R | e Aggressive sty | yling, pop-up head | dlights, Italian craf |
| 19 | 70s | Lamborghini C | ountach LP400 | | 1.07m | 4.14m | 1.99m | 2.45m | "Wedge" shape | e Pop-up headlig | g 0.43 | 375 | Powerful 4, Ir | nr Striking wedg | e-shaped design, | scissor doors, futu |
| 20 | 80s | Ferrari F40 | | | 1.12m | 4.43m | 1.98m | 2.45m | Aggressive "W | Large rear win | 0.34 | 471 | Powerful 4, Ir | nr Bold aerodyna | amic lines, iconic | rear wing, racing- |
| 21 | 90s | McLaren F1 | | | 1.14m | 4.29m | 1.82m | 2.72m | Streamline sha | Distinctive Dil | h 0.32 | 618 | Powerful 4, A | r Sleek aerodyn | amic <mark>design, unic</mark> | que 3-seat configur |
| 22 | 2000s | Bugatti Veyron | | | 1.20m | 4.46m | 2.00m | 2.71m | Futuristic shap | C-shaped from | t 0.36 | 987 | Powerful 4, A | r Futuristic curv | ves, iconic horsesl | hoe grille, top-of-t |
| 23 | 2010s | McLaren P1 | | | 1.19m | 4.59m | 1.95m | 2.67m | Sharp lines, fro | Large air intak | . 0.34 | 903 | Powerful 4, A | r Striking desig | n, advanced hybri | id technology, best |

Legend

Powerful/Strong: Performance-focused (1-4)

Rugged: Durable (1-2), function over form (1-2)

Artisan: Streamlined (1-2), curvature-focused style (1), accessories (1)

Innovative: New tech for the decade (1-4)

Utility: Basic (1), efficient transportation (2), cost efficient (1)

Regal: Status-focused (1-2), limited availability (1-2)

How Socio-Economics Has Impacted Car Design Carter Ghere and David Hilton

aguna Beach HIgh SChool

DISCUSSION, ANALYSIS, AND EVALUATION







f-the-line tech espoke interior









CAFE: US created CAFE in 1975 to improve fuel economy in cars and light trucks by penalizing automakers for producing inefficient vehicles. (Fuel Economy $\leftarrow \rightarrow \text{Design}$)



CONCLUSIONS, IMPLICATIONS, AND NEXT STEPS

Comparing the two data sets shows how the automotive industry targeted different demographics based on socioeconomic trends. In the 1960s, during the counterculture movement and focus on the EPA, mainstream cars shifted towards artisanal ranking, while the best-acclaimed cars focused on innovation. The shift in artisanal quality reflected the younger generation's involvement in protests against the war and government. High-end cars of the decade reflected class division during times of economic downturn. During the Great Recession and 9/11 Terrorist Attack in the 2000s, mainstream automobiles solely focused on ruggedness. In contrast, the Bugatti Veyron became the best-acclaimed car and symbolized regality and future prosperity for high-class individuals. This same trend appears in during the Great Depression of the 1930s when the common autos only focused on ruggedness and survival. Compared to the Ford Roadster, the Bugatti Type 57SC Atlantic still emits the same level of sovereignty today as it did when it was first built. This furthers the argument that, despite any economic event, high-end autos focus more on the consumer than the economic landscape they're living in. Using these trends from our socioeconomic, car manufacturers can analyze the current landscape and how new lines of cars can be designed optimally. Socioeconomics isn't the only driving force affecting car design, but they greatly influence consumer behavior, leading to change.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS / REFERENCES

***Special thanks to David Hilton and Jun Shen for helping make this project possible.

*****Works Cited:**



Legen Dom 😑 Impo Eight Total