

**WHITNEY INDEPENDENT
SCHOOL DISTRICT**

**FINANCIAL INTEGRITY RATING
SYSTEM OF TEXAS**

DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL

2006-2007

YEAR

Help

Home

Log Out

FIRST

Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2006-2007 DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL

Name: WHITNEY ISD(109911)		Publication Level 1: 6/9/2008 1:55:51 PM	
Status: Passed		Publication Level 2: 8/21/2008 1:46:21 PM	
Rating: Superior Achievement		Last Updated: 8/21/2008 1:46:21 PM	
District Score: 84		Passing Score: 55	
#	Indicator Description	Updated	Score
1	<u>Was The Total Fund Balance Less Reserved Fund Balance Greater Than Zero In The General Fund?</u>	4/18/2008 10:23:40 AM	Yes
2	<u>Was the Total Unrestricted Net Asset Balance (Net of Accretion of Interest on Capital Appreciation Bonds) In the Governmental Activities Column in the Statement of Net Assets Greater than Zero? (If the District's 5 Year % Change in Students was 10% more)</u>	4/18/2008 10:23:40 AM	Yes
3	<u>Were There No Disclosures In The Annual Financial Report And/Or Other Sources Of Information Concerning Default On Bonded Indebtedness Obligations?</u>	4/18/2008 10:23:40 AM	Yes
4	<u>Was The Annual Financial Report Filed Within One Month After November 27th or January 28th Deadline Depending Upon The District's Fiscal Year End Date (June 30th or August 31st)?</u>	4/18/2008 10:23:40 AM	Yes
5	<u>Was There An Unqualified Opinion in Annual Financial Report?</u>	4/18/2008 10:23:41 AM	Yes
6	<u>Did The Annual Financial Report Not Disclose Any Instance(s) Of Material Weaknesses In Internal Controls?</u>	4/18/2008 10:23:41 AM	Yes
			1 Multiplier Sum
7	<u>Did the Districts Academic Rating Exceed Academically</u>	4/18/2008	5

	<u>Unacceptable?</u>	10:23:41 AM	
8	<u>Was The Three-Year Average Percent Of Total Tax Collections (Including Delinquent) Greater Than 98%?</u>	4/18/2008 10:23:41 AM	5
9	<u>Did The Comparison Of PEIMS Data To Like Information In Annual Financial Report Result In An Aggregate Variance Of Less Than 3 Percent Of Expenditures Per Fund Type (Data Quality Measure)?</u>	4/18/2008 10:23:41 AM	5
10	<u>Were Debt Related Expenditures (Net Of IFA And/Or EDA Allotment) < \$250.00 Per Student? (If The District's Five-Year Percent Change In Students = Or > 7%, Or If Property Taxes Collected Per Penny Of Tax Effort > \$200,000 Per Student)</u>	4/18/2008 10:23:41 AM	4
11	<u>Was There No Disclosure In The Annual Audit Report Of Material Noncompliance?</u>	4/18/2008 10:23:42 AM	5
12	<u>Did The District Have Full Accreditation Status In Relation To Financial Management Practices? (e.g. No Conservator Or Monitor Assigned)</u>	4/18/2008 10:23:42 AM	5
13	<u>Was The Percent Of Operating Expenditures Expended For Instruction More Than 65%? (Functions 11, 36, 93, 95) (Phased in over three years, 55% for 2006-2007; 60% for 2007-2008; and 65% for 2008-2009)</u>	4/25/2008 12:04:55 PM	3
14	<u>Was The Percent Of Operating Expenditures Expended For Instruction More Than or equal to 65%? (Functions 11, 12, 31, 33, 36, 93, 95)</u>	4/18/2008 10:23:42 AM	3
15	<u>Was The Aggregate Of Budgeted Expenditures And Other Uses Less Than The Aggregate Of Total Revenues, Other Resources and Fund Balance In General Fund?</u>	4/18/2008 10:23:42 AM	5
16	<u>If The District's Aggregate Fund Balance In The General Fund And Capital Projects Fund Was Less Than Zero, Were Construction Projects Adequately Financed? (To Avoid Creating Or Adding To The Fund Balance Deficit Situation)</u>	4/18/2008 10:23:43 AM	5
17	<u>Was The Ratio Of Cash And Investments To Deferred Revenues (Excluding Amount Equal To Net Delinquent Taxes Receivable) In The General Fund Greater Than Or Equal To 1:1? (If Deferred Revenues Are Less Than Net Delinquent Taxes Receivable)</u>	4/18/2008 10:23:43 AM	5
18	<u>Was The Administrative Cost Ratio Less Than The Threshold Ratio?</u>	4/18/2008 10:23:43 AM	5

19	<u>Was The Ratio Of Students To Teachers Within the Ranges Shown Below According To District Size?</u>	4/18/2008 10:23:43 AM	5
20	<u>Was The Ratio Of Students To Total Staff Within the Ranges Shown Below According To District Size?</u>	4/18/2008 10:23:43 AM	5
21	<u>Was The Total Fund Balance In The General Fund More Than 50% And Less Than 150% Of Optimum According To The Fund Balance And Cash Flow Calculation Worksheet In The Annual Financial Report?</u>	4/18/2008 10:23:44 AM	5
22	<u>Was The Decrease In Undesignated Unreserved Fund Balance < 20% Over Two Fiscal Years?(If 1.5 Times Optimum Fund Balance < Total Fund Balance In General Fund Or If Total Revenues > Operating Expenditures In The General Fund,Then District Receives 5 Points)</u>	4/18/2008 10:23:44 AM	5
23	<u>Was The Aggregate Total Of Cash And Investments In The General Fund More Than \$0?</u>	4/18/2008 10:23:44 AM	5
24	<u>Were Investment Earnings In All Funds (Excluding Debt Service Fund and Capital Projects Fund) More Than \$20 Per Student?</u>	4/18/2008 10:23:44 AM	4
			84 Weighted Sum
			1 Multiplier Sum
			84 Score

DETERMINATION OF RATING

A.	Did The District Answer 'No' To Indicators 1, 2, 3 Or 4? OR Did The District Answer 'No' To Both 5 and 6? If So, The District's Rating Is Substandard Achievement .	
B.	Determine Rating By Applicable Range For summation of the indicator scores (Indicators 7-24)	
	Superior Achievement	75-85 and Yes to indicator 7
	Above Standard Achievement	65-74 or ≥ 75 and No to indicator 7
	Standard Achievement	55-64
	Substandard Achievement	<55 or No to one default indicator

INDICATOR 19 & 20 RATIOS

Indicator 19		Ranges for Ratios		Indicator 20		Ranges for Ratios	
District Size - Number of Students Between		Low	High	District Size - Number of Students Between		Low	High
< 500		7	22	< 500		5	14
500-999		10	22	500-999		5.8	14
1000-4999		11.5	22	1000-4999		6.3	14
5000-9999		13	22	5000-9999		6.8	14
=> 10000		13.5	22	=> 10000		7.0	14

OPTIONS

Update Unpassed

Update All

Lower Publication Level

Suspend

Suspension Reason.

Audit Home Page: [School Financial Audits](#) | Send comments or suggestions to schoolaudits@tea.state.tx.us

THE **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE • AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 • (512) 463-9734