

Thank you for attending the second Springfield-Clark Career Technology Center (CTC) Facilities Advisory Forum! Attached is the presentation shared in case you were unable to attend.

Last week’s forum was a pivotal step forward for CTC and our facilities process. We:

- Provided answers to your questions from the first meeting.
- Reviewed the OFCC updated building assessments and cost information.

This led to the group unanimously eliminating renovating existing facilities as an option. We then proceeded with small-group breakout sessions to weigh the pros and cons of replacing/building new and doing nothing.

GROUP 1

Replace / Build New	Pros	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increased security, energy efficiency, and ADA-compliant facilities. • Higher enrollment due to lack of space constraints. • More cost-efficient than renovating due OFCC co-funding offer.
	Cons	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cost uncertainty due to inflation. • Concerns about voter turnout/support countywide.
Do Nothing	Pros	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cost (No bond/levy issue necessary).
	Cons	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enrollment will remain consistent/decline due to program elimination, and the local workforce economy will be hurt. • Cost: the OFCC co-funding offer will be lost while operating and maintenance costs escalate and facilities age.

GROUP 2

Replace / Build New	Pros	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Anticipates the community’s growth and provides flexibility by preparing young people with leadership and hands-on skills for a changing workforce. • Having state-of-the-art facilities encourages students and families to attend SCCTC and avoid post-secondary student and family debt. • Save money with increased energy-efficient facilities.
	Cons	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Auditor’s reappraisal led to increased values, so voters may hesitate to support a bond/levy issue if not communicated accurately.
Do Nothing	Pros	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Easier route, because it avoids a bond/levy issue.
	Cons	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enrollment will remain stagnant, and costs will increase as the facilities’ flaws are magnified, and OFCC funding is lost. • Nearly all of Clark Co districts have new or updated high schools, and without SCCTC receiving new facilities, students will elect to remain at their home school, and there will be a missed opportunity for investment into our workforce.

GROUP 3

Replace / Build New	Pros	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Energy-efficient facilities will be adaptable to changing workforce needs, provide room for additional students, and increase security/safety. • The local cost to build new is less than to renovate. • New facilities would allow SCCTC to compete with the school districts' new high school buildings.
	Cons	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requires SCCTC to ask taxpayers for support when the facilities final design plan is unclear. • Potential soil/site care. • Partner schools may push back to avoid losing students.
Do Nothing	Pros	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lower upfront cost. • Students could stay at their home school.
	Cons	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Higher maintenance cost with no solution for space constraints, HVAC issues, safety, or ADA liabilities.

As a result of the information shared and discussion, the group unanimously **recommended that SCCTC move forward with replacing/building new facilities.** Please visit [SCCTC.org/FacilityProject](https://www.scctc.org/FacilityProject) for more details.