

Krum Independent School District
Krum Middle School
2021-2022 Campus Improvement Plan



Mission Statement

Krum ISD's mission is to create a collaborative atmosphere with parents, students, and members of the community in order to ensure students will reach their full potential by providing every child with an exceptional education. We will strive to prepare our students academically and socially to embrace their future challenges, as well as ignite the desire to be lifelong learners by providing a safe, respectful, and positive learning environment.

Vision

In partnership with the community and parents Krum Middle school will *educate*, *engage*, and *empower* students to become lifelong learners committed to academic excellence, integrity, and service to others.

Value Statement

We believe...

- All students have the capacity to learn and achieve and are expected to succeed.
- We are responsible and accountable for results and must lead by example.
- We respect individual differences and believe that each individual has inherent worth.
- We are transparent and honest in all our interactions with students, parents, staff, and the community.
- We attract and retain the most qualified, committed, accountable, and highly productive employees.
- We are committed to providing access to excellent educational opportunities for students in a fiscally responsible manner.

Table of Contents

- Comprehensive Needs Assessment 4
 - Demographics 4
 - Student Learning 5
 - School Processes & Programs 6
 - Perceptions 9
 - Priority Problem Statements 11
 - Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation 13
 - Goals 15
 - Goal 1: By May 2022, Krum Middle School will increase the number of students at Approaches and Meets Grade Level by 15% for all grades and all subjects on the STAAR exam by using a well-balanced curriculum and enhanced learning opportunities provided to all students. 16
 - Goal 2: By the end the 2021-2022 school year the number students in grades 6-8 identified as at-risk that approach, meet, and master grade level on the Mathematics STAAR assessment will increase by 25%. 19
 - Goal 3: By May 2022, KMS will have a fully a developed Professional Learning Community and Data Driven Culture. 22
 - Goal 4: By May 2022, KMS will have a fully developed Response to Intervention Program. 23
 - Goal 5: By May 2022, the learner annual attendance rate will be 96.0%; thereafter it will be maintained or improved annually. 25
- Campus Funding Summary 26

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary

Krum Middle School is located on FM 1173 in Krum, Texas, five miles west of the county seat of Denton County, Texas. Krum Middle School serves students in grades 6-8.

KMS Enrollment:

- 501 Students
- Male 264
- Female 237
- 6th Grade 178
- 7th Grade 167
- 8th Grade 156

Ethnic Distribution:

- Asian 1.20%
- Black-African American 2.40%
- Hispanic/Latino 30.74%
- American Indian - Native Alaskan 0.60%
- Two or More 2.59%
- White 62.48%

At-Risk Factors

- At-Risk 53.49%
- Economically Disadvantaged 27.15%
- English Learners (EL) 12.18%

Student Enrollment by Program

- Bilingual/ESL Education 11.98%
- Career & Technical Education 31.65%
- Gifted & Talented Education 9.98%
- Special Education 11.38%
- 504 18.16%
- Dyslexia 8.38%

Staff Information

- Administrative Support 6.90%

- Teacher 79.31%
- Educational Aide 13.79%

Demographics Strengths

Krum Middle School continues to grow. With new community planners transplanting to our town, the middle school will continue to experience larger class sizes and student needs. Our campus is supported by stakeholders who have high expectations for academic and extracurricular programs. Our stakeholders have high expectations for students and the campus as we are building young men and women who will be adequately equipped to be creative problem solvers, coupled with strong work ethics, in order to be globally competitive in the 21st century workforce.

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1: KMS STAAR percentage at Approaches Grade Level for At-Risk students across all grade levels 6-8 and all subjects is 56% which is below the total KMS student percentage of 74% and 19% at Meets Grade Level compared to the total KMS student percentage of 44%. **Root Cause:** Lack of resources to meet basic human needs, Challenges of learning a new language; Various levels of literacy; Difficulty with academic vocabulary; Cultural differences, Limited exposure to grade level instruction; Reading below grade level.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): KMS STAAR percentage at Approaches Grade Level for Special Education students across all grade levels 6-8 and all subjects is 27% which is below the total KMS student percentage of 74% and 8% at Meets Grade Level compared to the total KMS student percentage of 44%. **Root Cause:** Limited exposure to grade level instruction; Reading below grade level.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): KMS STAAR percentage at Approaches Grade Level for English Language Learners across all grade levels 6-8 and all subjects is 49% which is below the total KMS student percentage of 74% and 14% at Meets Grade Level compared to the total KMS student percentage of 44%. **Root Cause:** Challenges of learning a new language; Various levels of literacy; Difficulty with academic vocabulary; Cultural differences.

Problem Statement 4 (Prioritized): KMS STAAR percentage at Approaches Grade Level for Economically Disadvantaged students across all grade levels 6-8 and all subjects is 64% which is below the total KMS student percentage of 74% and 31% at Meets Grade Level compared to the total KMS student percentage of 44%. **Root Cause:** Lack of resources to meet basic human needs.

Problem Statement 5 (Prioritized): 33% of English Learner students progressed at least 1 proficiency level on TELPAS. **Root Cause:** Challenges of learning a new language; Various levels of literacy; Difficulty with academic vocabulary; Cultural differences.

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

Krum Middle School is rated a C (72) campus according to the current Texas Education Agency accountability system.

- Student Achievement 77
- School Progress Academic Growth 66
- School Progress Relative Performance 59
- Closing the Gaps 59

Current data illustrates needs in the ELL, Special Education, Economically Disadvantaged, and Hispanic/Latino subgroups in the area of achievement and state testing. Our greatest strengths are in the areas of mathematics and reading. Currently, KMS is addressing areas of weakness in writing, social studies, science, and the overall discrepancy between student population groups and the ALL student groups. Moreover, it is imperative that all students demonstrate growth, including those who were close to achieving Meets Grade Level and Masters Grade Level status.

Student Learning Strengths

Krum Middle School has demonstrated strengths in the core curriculum. In addition, our students have also experienced a well-rounded education by demonstrating excellence in areas not measured by standardized testing. Whether our students are competing in band, choir, athletics, UIL, One Act Play, agriculture science, or other enrichment activities, they have been successful on district, area, state, and national levels.

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): KMS STAAR percentage at Approaches Grade Level across all grade levels 6-8 and all subjects is 74% which is below the campus goal of 80% or higher. **Root Cause:** Lack of data driven instruction; Lack of fully developed Response to Intervention.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): KMS STAAR percentage at Meets Grade Level across all grade levels 6-8 and all subjects is 44% which is below the campus goal of 50% or higher. **Root Cause:** Teacher and student focus on meeting the passing standard of approaches grade level, Lack of data driven instruction, Accelerated instruction focused only on those that do not Approach Grade Level.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): Insufficient number of students that meet or exceed STAAR Progress Measures. **Root Cause:** Lack of data driven instruction; Lack of fully developed Response to Intervention.

School Processes & Programs

School Processes & Programs Summary

Krum Middle School services all 6th-8th graders in Krum ISD in Denton County. KMS is designated as a UIL 4A middle school for the 2019-20209 school year. KMS serves students through an eight period day with a 30 WINN period. To best serve the students of KMS, we have 1 full time counselor, 1 full time student services coordinator, and 1 full time assistant principal. We share a nurse with Krum High School. All grade levels are separated into three main hallways. KMS provides opportunities for all students to attend tutorials before school and after school. In addition, time is built into the bell schedule to allow for targeted instruction.

Krum Middles School is committed providing students with a well rounded educational experience designed to prepare them to be successful in an ever changing world and society.

Core Curriculum:

- English Language Arts and Reading
- Mathematics
- Social Studies
- Science

Advanced Curriculum:

- Advanced Math
- Pre-Algebra
- Algebra I
- Advanced English Language Arts and Reading
- Spanish I
- Gifted and Talented

Elective Curriculum:

- Athletics
- Physical Education
- Art
- Band
- Choir
- Career Portals
- Agriculture
- Speech
- Health
- Technology Applications
- Yearbook
- Office Aide

Enhanced Curriculum:

- Resource
- Hybrid Resource
- Dyslexia

- Advisory (WINN) Period
- Double Block ELAR and Mathematics

Krum Middle School is committed to the effective use of technology in order to enhance our curriculum and instructional practices and to support a 21st Century learning environment..

- KISD provides a 1:1 Chromebook initiative for all students in grades 6-8.
- Most classrooms are equipped with Apple TVs and large televisions to serve as projectors.
- BYOD policy to allow students to use personal electronic devices for educational purposes.
- Many teachers have expanded technology use through Google Chrome, including Google Classroom with students submitting assignments online.

Krum Middles School is committed to hiring and retaining a 100% highly qualified staff. Each Semester KMS hosts students from TWU and UNT to complete student observations hours and student teaching. KMS administrators seek to employ high-energy teachers who are ESL and GT certified. Continued focus areas include Inclusion Support Model for Special Education, technology integration, and quality instruction practices.

Total Staff 43.4

- Teachers 79.2%
- Professional Support 2.3%
- Campus Administration (School Leadership) 4.6%
- Educational Aides 13.8%
- Counselors 2.3%

Number of Students per Teacher: 14.6

Teachers by Highest Degree Held

- Bachelors 72.7%
- Masters 27.3%

Teachers by Years of Experience

- Beginning Teachers 14.5%
- 1-5 Years Experience 19.2%
- 6-10 Years Experience 20.8%
- 11-20 Years Experience 29.7%
- Over 20 Years Experience 15.7%

Average Years Experience of Teachers: 11.5

Average Years Experience of Teachers with District: 5.4

School Processes & Programs Strengths

Krum Middle School is committed to the utilization of the district scope and sequence. Beginning teachers collaborate with veteran teachers to utilize the TEKS Resource System as one component of the curriculum. Veteran teachers provide the experience in supplementing the curriculum components to promote ownership and to meet individual needs of all students. A Campus Leadership Team (CLT) was created across all grade levels and content areas to ensure programming strives for student success. Faculty and staff regularly hold parent meetings to address various student needs. KMS is developing Professional Learning Communities based on Data Driven Instruction in partnership with ESC 11.

Krum Middle School teachers use a variety of best practices to reach all students during classroom instruction.

- Working to be 100% ESL and GT certified in core areas
- Fundamental 5 instructional model
- Nine Week Exam/Benchmark data disaggregation
- Regular Response to Intervention Meetings
- Intervention courses built into the master schedule
- Acceleration courses built into the master schedule
- Data disaggregation and planning days built into school calendar

Krum Middle School has a dynamic staff that is dedicated to students and their success. It is evident that the staff is focused on meeting the needs of all learners and represent a broad range of experiences and skills. Our professional development opportunities provide staff with the support to learn and focus on campus and curriculum initiatives.

- 100% Highly Qualified Staff
- Numerous Professional Development opportunities
- Administrative walk-through observations
- New Teacher Mentor Program

The strengths of Krum Middle School staff lies within the accomplishments of our students guided by a dedicated staff. We continue to develop a mindset that pushes each student to improve and meet state standards. Our motto at KMS is "Bobcats Do Right!" at school, at home, and in the community.

Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Lack of opportunities for accelerated instruction for students that approach, meet or master grade level on STAAR exams. **Root Cause:** Focus of accelerated instruction has centered on students did not approach grade level on STAAR exams.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Lack of fully developed Professional Learning Communities. **Root Cause:** Previous lack of training and dedication of time and resources.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): Lack of fully developed Response to Intervention. **Root Cause:** Lack of resources and dedicated time.

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

Krum Middle School is proud to have a positive, affirming, and collaborative school culture, as well as, a safe school climate. KMS is known to be positive with a focus on high expectations and excellence. Students are encouraged to get involved in their school through participation in co-curricular and extra-curricular activities. There is a strong sense that students, staff, and parents are proud to be a part of this school community.

All the stakeholders of KMS are committed to student success. A family atmosphere is fostered and teamwork is the catalyst for success throughout the campus. It is a requirement for all staff members to be student-centered and make decisions based upon what is best for the students. KMS continually collaborates with parents and community stakeholders to ensure a positive support for students.

The Campus Leadership Team meets regularly. Additionally, a public meeting was held at Krum Middle School to keep parents informed on October 2, 2019.

The Krum community and families are highly involved in our school through the performance of their students in academics, athletics, and fine arts. Parents are involved in our booster clubs, PTO, various student organizations, and after school events. Some events that are included, but not limited to:

- Open House
- Orientation Nights
- Krum PTO
- Krum Education Foundation
- Athletic Games/Events
- Krum Athletic Boosters
- Band Concerts
- Choir Concerts
- FFA Activities
- FFA Parents and Friends
- Student Council Activities
- National Jr. Honor Society
- UIL Academic Meets
- One Act Play Performances
- Parent Teacher Conferences
- Team Meetings
- Field Trips
- Red Ribbon Week
- Coats for Kids Community Drive
- Backpack Blessings
- Veteran's Day Celebration
- Homecoming Festivities
- Pep Rallies
- Special Olympics
- Read Across America Day
- Campus fundraisers to help community members in need of assistance

Perceptions Strengths

Krum Middle School is a positive and safe learning environment that promotes collaboration and teamwork. We have talented learner-centered educators who place importance on the whole child. All stakeholders are appreciative of the activities and programs offered. Our staff receives support from parents and work together to make decisions based upon the best interest of students. KMS staff exhibit a "Can Do" attitude in order to meet the needs of all students.

Parent and student surveys indicate that the vast majority of KMS parents and students agree or strongly agree with the following statements:

- There are many different ways that I can be involved with the school, either at the school itself, at home, or in the community.
- I feel welcome at school.
- My principal is accessible to me.
- There is at least one adult at school that my child/I trusts and can go to for help with a school problem.
- Order and discipline are consistently maintained.
- The school expects quality work from the students.
- KMS is a safe place to learn.
- KMS has an excellent learning environment.

Regardless of which program students are participating KMS families have high expectations for great success. The school district serves as the center of our community and families are supportive of all programs. Each extra-curricular program boasts a parent group to encourage parental involvement and support of the programs.

- Weekly updates on campus web-page
- Weekly updates to campus social media (Facebook and Twitter)
- District Weekly newsletter sent to all KMS families
- Campus articles in the local Krum and Denton newspapers
- Incentive days for students
- Close working relationship with the Krum PTO, Krum Education Foundation, and booster clubs.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 7: KMS STAAR percentage at Approaches Grade Level across all grade levels 6-8 and all subjects is 74% which is below the campus goal of 80% or higher.

Root Cause 7: Lack of data driven instruction; Lack of fully developed Response to Intervention.

Problem Statement 7 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 8: Lack of opportunities for accelerated instruction for students that approach, meet or master grade level on STAAR exams.

Root Cause 8: Focus of accelerated instruction has centered on students did not approach grade level on STAAR exams.

Problem Statement 8 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 2: KMS STAAR percentage at Approaches Grade Level for Special Education students across all grade levels 6-8 and all subjects is 27% which is below the total KMS student percentage of 74% and 8% at Meets Grade Level compared to the total KMS student percentage of 44%.

Root Cause 2: Limited exposure to grade level instruction; Reading below grade level.

Problem Statement 2 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 5: KMS STAAR percentage at Meets Grade Level across all grade levels 6-8 and all subjects is 44% which is below the campus goal of 50% or higher.

Root Cause 5: Teacher and student focus on meeting the passing standard of approaches grade level, Lack of data driven instruction, Accelerated instruction focused only on those that do not Approach Grade Level.

Problem Statement 5 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 10: Lack of fully developed Professional Learning Communities.

Root Cause 10: Previous lack of training and dedication of time and resources.

Problem Statement 10 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: KMS STAAR percentage at Approaches Grade Level for English Language Learners across all grade levels 6-8 and all subjects is 49% which is below the total KMS student percentage of 74% and 14% at Meets Grade Level compared to the total KMS student percentage of 44%.

Root Cause 1: Challenges of learning a new language; Various levels of literacy; Difficulty with academic vocabulary; Cultural differences.

Problem Statement 1 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 9: Insufficient number of students that meet or exceed STAAR Progress Measures.

Root Cause 9: Lack of data driven instruction; Lack of fully developed Response to Intervention.

Problem Statement 9 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 11: Lack of fully developed Response to Intervention.

Root Cause 11: Lack of resources and dedicated time.

Problem Statement 11 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 3: KMS STAAR percentage at Approaches Grade Level for Economically Disadvantaged students across all grade levels 6-8 and all subjects is 64% which is below the total KMS student percentage of 74% and 31% at Meets Grade Level compared to the total KMS student percentage of 44%.

Root Cause 3: Lack of resources to meet basic human needs.

Problem Statement 3 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 4: 33% of English Learner students progressed at least 1 proficiency level on TELPAS.

Root Cause 4: Challenges of learning a new language; Various levels of literacy; Difficulty with academic vocabulary; Cultural differences.

Problem Statement 4 Areas: Demographics

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- Campus goals
- Performance Objectives with summative review (prior year)
- Campus/District improvement plans (current and prior years)
- Covid-19 Factors and/or waivers for Assessment, Accountability, ESSA, Missed School Days, Educator Appraisals, etc.
- Planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data
- State and federal planning requirements
- Covid-19 Factors and/or waivers

Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Domain 1 - Student Achievement
- Accountability Distinction Designations
- Federal Report Card Data

Student Data: Assessments

- State and federally required assessment information
- State and federally required assessment information (e.g. curriculum, eligibility, format, standards, accommodations, TEA information)
- (STAAR) current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR End-of-Course current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- Running Records results
- Observation Survey results
- Grades that measure student performance based on the TEKS

Student Data: Student Groups

- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and progress
- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress between groups
- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and progress
- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress for each student group
- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress for each student group
- Economically Disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance, progress, and participation data
- Economically disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- EL/non-EL or LEP data, including academic achievement, progress, support and accommodation needs, race, ethnicity, gender, etc.
- Section 504 data

- Dyslexia Data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Completion rates and/or graduation rates data
- Annual dropout rate data
- Attendance data
- Discipline records

Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- Campus leadership data
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- TTESS data

Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Community surveys and/or other feedback

Support Systems and Other Data

- Budgets/entitlements and expenditures data
- Study of best practices

Goals

Goal 1: By May 2022, Krum Middle School will increase the number of students at Approaches and Meets Grade Level by 15% for all grades and all subjects on the STAAR exam by using a well-balanced curriculum and enhanced learning opportunities provided to all students.

Performance Objective 1: Implement, maintain, and continually assess instructional programs to ensure student success through an aligned curriculum, meaningful professional development, and continuous data disaggregation.





Targeted or ESF High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Formal and Informative Assessments, District Benchmarks, and STAAR Assessments.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
<p>Strategy 1: Provide professional development opportunities in core areas.</p> <p>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Professional development certificate of completion, Summative Reviews, Effective implementation of strategies learned.</p> <p>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, Teachers</p> <p>Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - Comprehensive Support Strategy</p> <p>Funding Sources: Funding - 255 - Title II - \$400, ESC Professional Learning Opportunities - 199 - Local Funds (campus budget) - \$1,000</p>	Formative			Summative
	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
<p>Strategy 2: Continue to use the scope and sequence provided by TEKS Resource System while allowing teachers to adjust the Year at a Glance where necessary.</p> <p>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Usage reports that indicate that teaching staff is using the TEKS Resource System frequently.</p> <p>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, Teaching Staff</p> <p>Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.5, 2.6 - Comprehensive Support Strategy</p> <p>Funding Sources: - 199 - District Budget - 199-11-6239-00-041-899-000 - \$3,000</p>	Formative			Summative
	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
<p>Strategy 3: Continue to use the Fundamental 5 Lesson Plan Model through Eduphoria.</p> <p>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Weekly Lesson Plan Checks</p> <p>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, Teachers</p> <p>Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - Comprehensive Support Strategy</p>	Formative			Summative
	Nov	Jan	Mar	June

Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 4: Continue to post content and learning objectives noted in lesson plans in classrooms for students to read and discuss. "I will" and "We will" statements are posted each instructional day. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Walk through observations, Lesson plans Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, Teachers Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - Comprehensive Support Strategy	Formative			Summative
	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 5 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 5: Continue to use the Eduphoria TEKS Bank to create assessments and learning activities. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Usage reports Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, Teaching Staff Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - Comprehensive Support Strategy Funding Sources: - 199 - District Budget - 199-11-6329-00-041-899-000 - \$1,000	Formative			Summative
	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 6 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 6: Utilize Eduphoria to track student data for targeted instruction and planning effective lessons based on areas of weakness. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Aware data, Blue Day planning, Increased student performances on targeted TEKS Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, Teachers Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - Comprehensive Support Strategy	Formative			Summative
	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 7 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 7: Monitor student progress through structured benchmark testing and intervention. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: CBA data, Aware reports, Blue Day Agenda Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All Staff Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - Comprehensive Support Strategy	Formative			Summative
	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 8 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 8: Increase instruction time in ELAR and Math. Provide 6th students 90 minutes of ELAR and Math instruction daily. Provide 7th grade students enrolled in grade level courses 90 minutes of ELAR instruction daily. Provide sections of double blocks in 7th grade Math and 8th grade ELAR and Math for students needed additional support. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Master Schedule Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, Counselors Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Comprehensive Support Strategy	Formative			Summative
	Nov	Jan	Mar	June

Strategy 9 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 9: Utilize the DBQ curriculum and Brian Minor Curriculum Supplement in all Social Studies classrooms to provide opportunities for students to listen, speak, read, and write about the Social Studies. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased 8th grade Social Studies STAAR scores. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, Social Studies Teachers Comprehensive Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy Funding Sources: - 199 - District Budget - \$1,800	Formative			Summative
	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 10 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 10: Continue to purchase consumables for hands on experiments in all science classes. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Lesson plans, Purchase orders for materials Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, Science teachers Comprehensive Support Strategy Funding Sources: Consumables for Science Labs - 199 - Local Funds (campus budget) - \$1,000	Formative			Summative
	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 11 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 11: Utilize WIN Time to provide promote character building, study habits, testing strategies, and pull out tutorials for all students. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased effectiveness with the use of advisory time. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, Counselors, Teachers Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.6 - Comprehensive Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy	Formative			Summative
	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 12 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 12: Provide summer school for remediation and credit recovery. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Summer school schedule, Summer school attendance records Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, Counselors Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.6 - Comprehensive Support Strategy Funding Sources: - 263 - Title III - \$2,000	Formative			Summative
	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 13 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 13: Provide daily opportunities for students to attend tutorials based on current progress. Post the tutorial opportunities each morning in the cafeteria for students to view. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student tutorial attendance, Fewer students on the failure lists, Increased student performance. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, Teachers Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - Comprehensive Support Strategy	Formative			Summative
	Nov	Jan	Mar	June





Strategy 14 Details	Reviews			
<p>Strategy 14: KMS will implement Data Driven Instruction through the PLC process to help monitor student performance and instruction throughout the year.</p> <p>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved student performance with a specific increase in the meets and masters level.</p> <p>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals and Department Heads</p> <p>Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction</p>	Formative			Summative
	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: center;"> <div style="text-align: center;">  No Progress </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  Accomplished </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  Continue/Modify </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  Discontinue </div> </div>				

Goal 2: By the end the 2021-2022 school year the number students in grades 6-8 identified as at-risk that approach, meet, and master grade level on the Mathematics STAAR assessment will increase by 25%.

Performance Objective 1: KMS will provide increased high quality differentiated and scaffold instruction as an accelerated learning strategy to address learning loss for at-risk students due to the affects of the Covid 19 pandemic.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
<p>Strategy 1: KMS will partner with the KISD Learning Acceleration Team and Engage 2 Learn through the RSSP process. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The Learning Acceleration Team will increase teacher capacity to provide high quality differentiated and scaffold instruction as an accelerated learning strategy to address learning loss for at-risk students due to the affects of the Covid 19 pandemic. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Comprehensive Support Strategy - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy</p>	Formative			Summative
	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
<p>Strategy 2: All core classroom teachers will become ESL certified within 2 years of hire. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: ESL certified staff in core areas Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - Comprehensive Support Strategy</p>	Formative			Summative
	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
<p>Strategy 3: Provide ELPS training updates throughout the school year, along with new staff training. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Workshop certificates, effective use of strategies notes in T-TESS walk-through observations. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: ELL coordinator, Campus Administration Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - Comprehensive Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy</p>	Formative			Summative
	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			
<p>Strategy 4: Effectively use strategies learned for teaching Limited English Proficient students through incorporating ELL TEKS into all lesson plans. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: ESL endorsements, Lesson plans, observation and learning artifacts Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, Teachers Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - Comprehensive Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy</p>	Formative			Summative
	Nov	Jan	Mar	June

Strategy 5 Details	Reviews			
<p>Strategy 5: Use of the Hybrid Special Education Inclusion Model featuring a Special Education teacher partnering with a General Education teacher to increase exposure to General Education instruction with Special Education support for Special Education students in ELAR and Math.</p> <p>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Master Schedule, Teacher schedules</p> <p>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, Counselors</p> <p>Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - Comprehensive Support Strategy</p>	Formative			Summative
	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 6 Details	Reviews			
<p>Strategy 6: Maintain Special Education Inclusion Support Model Science and Social Studies.</p> <p>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Master Schedule, Teacher schedules</p> <p>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, Counselors</p> <p>Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - Comprehensive Support Strategy</p>	Formative			Summative
	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 7 Details	Reviews			
<p>Strategy 7: Continue to provide in-class support for SpEd, 504, and ELL students in core classrooms and through morning and WIN Time tutorial sessions.</p> <p>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Master Schedule, ARD Notes, LPAC minutes, 504 plans</p> <p>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, Counselors, Teachers</p> <p>Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - Comprehensive Support Strategy</p>	Formative			Summative
	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 8 Details	Reviews			
<p>Strategy 8: Conduct SST (Student Support Team) meetings each grading period to address students that need additional support as part of a fully developed RTI process.</p> <p>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Grade reports, SST meeting notes in Eduphoria</p> <p>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Grade Level Team Leaders, Campus Administration, Counselors</p> <p>Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - Comprehensive Support Strategy</p>	Formative			Summative
	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 9 Details	Reviews			
<p>Strategy 9: Continue to utilize IXL for Math and Education Galaxy for MATH, ELAR, Science, and Social Studies to support increased STAAR growth measures for all learners.</p> <p>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased scores on tests, Lesson Plans</p> <p>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, Teachers</p> <p>Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - Comprehensive Support Strategy</p> <p>Funding Sources: Yearly Subscription Subscriptions - 199 - District Budget</p>	Formative			Summative
	Nov	Jan	Mar	June





Strategy 10 Details	Reviews			
<p>Strategy 10: The Krum Middle School campus will implement Data Driven Instruction through the PLC process to ensure student performance increases in high leverage areas.</p> <p>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student performance as compared with 2019's Domain III score by 10%.</p> <p>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals DCSI</p> <p>Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction</p>	Formative			Summative
	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: center;">  No Progress  Accomplished  Continue/Modify  Discontinue </div>				

Goal 3: By May 2022, KMS will have a fully a developed Professional Learning Community and Data Driven Culture.

Performance Objective 1: Professional Learning Communities will use research based strategies that promote data driven instruction, assessment, and remediation.

Targeted or ESF High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: PLC Meetings Schedule. Teacher Exemplars. Student Work, Formal and Informal Assessments.





Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
<p>Strategy 1: Data Driven Instruction training for administrators and department heads. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved Tier 1 instruction, assessment, re-teaching, and student mastery of TEKS. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration, Department Heads Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools</p>	Formative			Summative
	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
<p>Strategy 2: Identify high leverage TEKS to monitor during PLC meeting schedule. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved Tier 1 instruction, assessment, re-teaching, and student mastery of TEKS. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration, Department Heads Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools</p>	Formative			Summative
	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
<p>Strategy 3: Build common planning periods into the master schedule for core teachers. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Allows for common planning tie and PLC meetings during the school day. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration, Counselor, Student Services Coordinator. Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools</p>	Formative			Summative
	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
<p style="text-align: center;">  No Progress  Accomplished  Continue/Modify  Discontinue </p>				

Goal 4: By May 2022, KMS will have a fully developed Response to Intervention Program.

Performance Objective 1: KMS will identify students that are struggling and in need of intervention on a regular schedule each grading period

Targeted or ESF High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Meeting Minutes, Eduphoria., meeting schedules, student progress measures.





Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: KMS administration will regularly monitor student data to identify learners in need of assistance. Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Comprehensive Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy	Formative			Summative
	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: center;">  No Progress  Accomplished  Continue/Modify  Discontinue </div>				

Goal 4: By May 2022, KMS will have a fully developed Response to Intervention Program.

Performance Objective 2: KMS will provide struggling students with research based intervention that is continually monitored, evaluated, and adjusted when necessary.





Targeted or ESF High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Meeting Minutes, Eduphoria., meeting schedules, student progress measures.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Intervention data will be tracked through regular RTI meetings. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - Comprehensive Support Strategy	Formative			Summative
	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: center;">  No Progress  Accomplished  Continue/Modify  Discontinue </div>				

Goal 5: By May 2022, the learner annual attendance rate will be 96.0%; thereafter it will be maintained or improved annually.

Performance Objective 1: KMS attendance rate will reach or exceed 96%.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Increase parent awareness of student's attendance through increased communication via planners, website, letters, social media, and the KISD Weekly Newsletter. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Attendance Reports Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, Counselors, Teachers, Registrar	Formative			Summative
	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Utilize positive behavior supports with rewards to encourage attendance. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased 9 weeks attendance rates, Rewards for perfect attendance raffles Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, Registrar, Teachers Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.5 Funding Sources: Gift Cards, Sonic Drinks, Lunches - 199 - Local Funds (campus budget) - \$1,000	Formative			Summative
	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Identify chronic absenteeism students early through close monitoring of attendance records. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased 9 weeks attendance rates, Increased academic success. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, Counselors, Teachers Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.5, 2.6	Formative			Summative
	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 4: Utilization of truancy prevention measures by the Attendance Committee such as calling home each day a student is absent, parent/student conferences, attendance contracts, credit recovery, home visits from the Denton County Truancy Liaison, and filing truancy charges when necessary. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Call logs, Parents letters Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, Registrar, Teachers Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.5	Formative			Summative
	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: center;"> <div style="text-align: center;">  No Progress </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  Accomplished </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  Continue/Modify </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  Discontinue </div> </div>				

Campus Funding Summary

255 - Title II					
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	1	1	Funding		\$400.00
Sub-Total					\$400.00
263 - Title III					
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	1	12			\$2,000.00
Sub-Total					\$2,000.00
199 - Local Funds (campus budget)					
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	1	1	ESC Professional Learning Opportunities		\$1,000.00
1	1	10	Consumables for Science Labs		\$1,000.00
5	1	2	Gift Cards, Sonic Drinks, Lunches		\$1,000.00
Sub-Total					\$3,000.00
199 - District Budget					
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	1	2		199-11-6239-00-041-899-000	\$3,000.00
1	1	5		199-11-6329-00-041-899-000	\$1,000.00
1	1	9			\$1,800.00
2	1	9	Yearly Subscription Subscriptions		\$0.00
Sub-Total					\$5,800.00
Grand Total					\$11,200.00