Forney Independent School District District Improvement Plan 2022-2023



Mission Statement

In partnership with parents and community, Forney ISD will create and sustain an environment to maximize the potential of each learner.

Vision

Inspiring Students Through Innovative Education

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Student Learning	5
District Processes & Programs	7
Perceptions	8
Priority Problem Statements	9
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	10
Goals	12
Goal 1: We will have a sound, safe, and efficient learning organization that supports learning, provides opportunities, and prepares for the future.	13
Goal 2: We will design and implement an innovative curriculum that meets the academic, social-emotional, and future ready skills for all learners.	18
Goal 3: We will foster a culture of excellence that builds positive relationships and empowers our diverse population to achieve life-long success.	20
Goal 4: We will develop school, family, and community partnerships that expand opportunities for all.	23
State Compensatory	24
Budget for District Improvement Plan	25
Personnel for District Improvement Plan	25
Title I	26
2.2: Regular monitoring and revision	27
Title I Personnel	27
District Education Improvement Committee	28

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary

Forney ISD is one of the fastest growing districts in the nation, with expected enrollment of 35,000 students by 2035. As a district, our low income families make up about 35% of the district, with higher poverty rates on the north side of town. Additionally, our district is becoming more diverse, with 31% African American, 29% Hispanic/Latino, and 33% White. There are 1,148 Emerging Bilinguals (English Learners) or 8%, and 816, or almost 6% are identified as GT. The following table shows the student demographic data as of the 2021-2022 snapshot date.

Campus	Total Student Count	Asian	Black/African American	Hispanic/ Latino	Native American/ Pacific Islander	White	Two or More	Eco Dis	ЕВ	GT	Eco Dis %	Title I
Blackburn	603	20	263	133	1	162	24	294	58	22	48.76%	Yes
Claybon	515	4	96	137	1	255	22	132	27	22	25.63%	No
Criswell	754	4	109	451	4	173	13	298	25	38	39.52%	Yes
Crosby	681	14	317	151	6	158	35	327	52	16	48.02%	Yes
Griffin	830	21	368	203	6	186	46	293	91	9	35.30%	No
Henderson	762	13	171	179	0	371	28	177	48	31	23.23%	No
Johnson	611	9	150	140	2	285	25	182	45	28	29.79%	No
Lewis	749	25	319	178	6	169	52	291	115	24	38.85%	No
Rhodes	905	16	300	321	2	253	32	387	87	65	42.76%	Yes
Rhea	763	19	127	203	1	383	30	155	49	93	20.31%	No
Smith	521	14	239	117	1	122	28	216	40	30	41.46%	Yes
Brown	583	10	289	158	0	105	21	272	47	31	46.66%	Yes
Jackson	914	28	322	321	7	201	35	409	137	68	44.75%	Yes
Warren	859	11	119	262	3	431	33	186	61	94	21.65%	No
FHS	2008	27	342	595	6	971	67	431	120	126	21.46%	No
NFHS	2291	52	931	614	11	581	102	931	146	119	40.64%	Yes
Totals	14349	287	4462	4163	57	4806	593	4981	1148	816		
		2.00%	31.10%	29.01%	0.40%	33.49%	4.13%	34.71%	8.00%	5.69%		

emographics	Strengths						
			partments are bei		pattern of gr	owth, the	

district should continue to plan ahead in an effort to provide appropriate school facilities and programs for students.

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1: We see our growing and changing demographics as an opportunity, not a problem.

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disadv	EB/EL (Current & Monitored)+	Special Ed (Current)	Special Ed (Former)	Continu- ously Enrolled	ously	Total	Total Evaluated
					Acade	mic Ach	evement	Status								
ELA/Reading Target	44%	32%	37%	60%	43%	74%	45%	56%	33%	29%	19%	36%	46%	42%		
Target Met	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
% at Meets GL Standard or Above	53%	38%	51%	67%	54%	78%	46%	59%	38%	45%	24%	49%	60%	45%		
# at Meets GL Standard or Above	4,769	1,078	1,352	1,985	13	141	6	193	1,195	532	270	110	2,990	1,779		
Total Tests (Adjusted)	8,975	2,819	2,643	2,962	24	180	13	329	3,115	1,182	1,143	225	5,014	3,961		
Mathematics Target	46%	31%	40%	59%	45%	82%	50%	54%	36%	40%	23%	44%	47%	45%		
Target Met	No	No	No	No		No		No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No		
% at Meets GL Standard or Above	39%	23%	37%	55%	50%	68%	22%	42%	25%	37%	22%	41%	47%	30%		
# at Meets GL Standard or Above	2,931	541	808	1,349	10	106	2	115	647	372	211	82	1,917	1,014		
Total Tests (Adjusted)	7,439	2,339	2,178	2,460	20	157	9	274	2,579	1,015	966	200	4,078	3,361		
Total Indicators															13	24
						Growtl	Status									
ELA/Reading Target	66%	62%	65%	69%	67%	77%	67%	68%	64%	64%	59%	65%	66%	67%		
Target Met	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Academic Growth Score	78%	76%	77%	80%	90%	86%	83%	80%	76%	77%	67%	82%	78%	78%		
Growth Points	4,146.5	1,160.5	1,209.5	1,522.5	9.0	96.5	5.0	142.5	1,344.5	553.5	432.0	122.5	2,451.0	1,695.5		
Total Tests	5,314	1,525	1,569	1,911	10	112	6	179	1,778	717	648	149	3,151	2,163		
Mathematics Target	71%	67%	69%	74%	71%	86%	74%	73%	68%	68%	61%	70%	71%	70%		
Target Met	No	No	No	No		No		No	No	No	No	No	No	No		
Academic Growth Score	64%	58%	63%	67%	90%	78%	*	67%	59%	67%	54%	64%	65%	62%		
Growth Points	3,233.0	887.5	951.5	1,181.5	**	80.5	*	119.0	1,045.5	476.0	353.0	96.0	1,898.5	1,334.5		
Total Tests	5,083	1,520	1,504	1,765	**	103	*	177	1,780	715	656	150	2,917	2,166		
Total Indicators															12	24
					Gr	aduation	Rate Sta	tus								
Target	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Target Met	Yes (1)	Yes (1)	Yes (1)	Yes (1)				Yes (2)	Yes (1)	Yes (1)	Yes (3)					
2020 % Graduated	96.9%	97.2%	95.1%	97.7%	-	-	-	-	95.2%		84.3%					
2021 % Graduated	96.7%	94.1%	97.1%	98.0%	*	100.0%	*	92.6%	95.0%	95.0%	88.8%					
2021 # Graduated	825	192	231	350	*	23	*	25	229	38	87					
2021 Total in Class	853	204	238	357		23		27	241	40	98					
Total Indicators															8	8

Texas Education Agency 2022 Academic Growth FORNEY ISD (129902) - KAUFMAN COUNTY

Academic Growth Score

	0 P	oint	1/2	Point	1 P	oint	Calculations			
	Count	Earned	Count	Earned	Count	Earned	Points	Count	Rate	
Both Subjects	2,550	0.0	935	467.5	6,912	6,912.0	7,379.5	10,397	71	
Reading / ELA	985	0.0	365	182.5	3,964	3,964.0	4,146.5	5,314	78	
Mathematics	1,565	0.0	570	285.0	2,948	2,948.0	3,233.0	5,083	64	

Number of Assessments

	2021-22								
2020	-21	Did N	ot Meet	Appro	aches	Meets	Masters	Count	
Both Subjects								10,397	
	Did Not Meet	914	1,101	92	888	258	59	3,312	
Both Subjects	Approaches	472	0	509	665	772	382	2,800	
Both Subjects	Meets		53	47	'3	334 596	763	2,219	
	Masters		11	12	27	500	1,428	2,066	
Reading / ELA								5,314	
	Did Not Meet	347	574	0	497	153	39	1,610	
Reading / ELA	Approaches	151	0	163	319	470	253	1,356	
Reading / ELA	Meets		14	16	64	202 386	441	1,207	
	Masters		5	5	0	254	832	1,141	
Mathematics								5,083	
	Did Not Meet	567	527	92	391	105	20	1,702	
Mathematics	Approaches	321	0	346	346	302	129	1,444	
watrierriatics	Meets		39	30)9	132 210	322	1,012	
	Masters		6	7	7	246	596	925	

TEA | School Programs | Assessment and Reporting | Performance Reporting

Student Learning Strengths

In general, our students performed well on the ELAR assessments.

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Our math scores are not as high as our ELAR scores, across grade levels. **Root Cause:** Lost learning time due to the pandemic impacted our math scores more negatively than our ELAR scores.

District Processes & Programs

District Processes & Programs Summary

FISD uses a well-developed scope & sequence and district curriculum resources (FRC). We use Canvas learning management system to share instructional materials and to house curriculum materials. The TEACH document helps teachers break down the TEKS and align ELPS and CCRS. Forney ISD has vertical guides for K-11 and horizontal guides for K-6. While applying the TEACH document, teachers research what was taught in the prior grade level and the following grade level to be sure that teaching is being introduced at the correct level and taken to mastery at an equivalent appropriate level.

Learning checks are given at the end of each unit. They are created at the district level using STAAR questions as inspiration. MAP is also used as a comprehensive assessment. Local Assessments, or LC, are designed by the Learning Specialists. Teachers have a window of opportunity to share their concerns/suggestions. These are aligned with the scope and sequences with a district wide calendar to keep pacing systemic. They include higher-order thinking and multi-step processing. Teacher teams analyze all assessment questions to evaluate them for student misconceptions, problems with the questions themselves, discover errors, etc. Teachers are in CLC teams with those who teach the same subject or grade. The teachers meet in person &/or virtually when needed. Edugence is newly implemented to assist with student data analysis.

District training has been conducted on Brain-Based learning. This is a high priority for the district. Brain-based learning is the scientifically-based research that we focus on, analyzing the verb and the noun integration for correct alignment. The expectation is that students would not just be a participant or compliant, but few teachers are experts in identifying compliant learners verses authentically engaged learners. Teachers strive for relevant teaching experiences, collaboration among colleagues and students, and complex genuine activities.

The district has also created and implemented the FACT program, which is a partnership with Indiana Weslyan University to help paraprofessionals to become certified teachers.

We have implemented Culture of Excellence to support classroom teachers with procedural and behavioral issues with students. Each campus has a Culture of Excellence staff member that works directly with the campus teachers.

Each K-8 campus has a Learning Specialist to support teachers in curriculum, instruction, planning, and student data analysis.

Title I campuses have additional staff supports, which may incldude a Title I Interventionist and/or a Title I aide.

Additionally, FISD plans to administer a parent survey in the spring to gain feedback from the community. This feedback will enable us to better serve our families.

Problem Statements Identifying District Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Not all of our processes and procedures have been articulated into clear and understandable procedural handbooks. **Root Cause:** The pandemic forced the district to delay some practices and to adapt others.

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

FISD offers multiple opportunities for family engagement. The district provides Partnering with Parents curriculum that is designed to help parents know how to help their students and offers Parent Involvement Workshops that are designed to inform parents on how to help their children be successful both in and out of school. The district hosts events throughout the year (e.g. College Fair, Career Focus Night, STEAMfest, Health Fair, Kindergarten Roundup, and other parent-oriented events) designed to engage parents and offer them information on how to get involved in the schools.

Parents participate in a variety of district-level committees such as the District Educational Improvement Council (DEIC) and the Student Health Advisory Committee (SHAC). These parents provide stakeholder feedback in the leadership of important district-level initiatives. According to a recent FISD parent climate and culture survey over 75% of parents agree that their child's school creates an environment where all students are welcomed, supported, and feel safe in school: socially, emotionally, intellectually and physically. Internal teacher and administrator surveys state that the district expects parents to work with children in academic learning at home, including homework, goal setting, and other curriculum-related activities. Teachers and administrators also expect parents to participate in 2-way communication between school and home that is reliable and effective

The FISD climate and culture survey confirmed that parents prefer important communication via email (90%) and text message (60%). Based on this information, FISD parents prefer communication that is pushed out to them, relevant, and accessible via mobile device.

Parents and other community members participate in the site-based planning committee and are given multiple opportunities to participate in the leadership and decision-making activities of the district. They also have the opportunity to respond annually to the district's Climate and Culture survey. Also, each major video message concludes with a link to an online form for public comment.

District/Community partnerships include the Forney Education Foundation (FEF), program sponsors, career practicum partners and post-secondary education partners. FISD needs are periodically assessed by district leadership teams. Needs are identified and potential partners are contacted and recruited.

Perceptions Strengths

Fornes	ISD	strives	to	involve	narents	and	community	, members	in as	s many v	wavs as	nossible
TOTTICY	עטו	SHIVES	w	IIIVOIVC	parcins	anu	Community	/ 11101110013	o III as	o illally v	ways as	possible.

Pastors

Realtors

Lions clubs, etc.

Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): We need more current data from our families and the community **Root Cause:** It's been approximately three years since a survey was conducted.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: Our math scores are not as high as our ELAR scores, across grade levels.

Root Cause 1: Lost learning time due to the pandemic impacted our math scores more negatively than our ELAR scores.

Problem Statement 1 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 2: Not all of our processes and procedures have been articulated into clear and understandable procedural handbooks.

Root Cause 2: The pandemic forced the district to delay some practices and to adapt others.

Problem Statement 2 Areas: District Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 3: We need more current data from our families and the community

Root Cause 3: It's been approximately three years since a survey was conducted.

Problem Statement 3 Areas: Perceptions

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- Performance Objectives with summative review (prior year)
- Campus/District improvement plans (current and prior years)
- Planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data
- State and federal planning requirements

Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- Federal Report Card and accountability data

Student Data: Assessments

- State and federally required assessment information
- STAAR current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR End-of-Course current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR released test questions
- STAAR Emergent Bilingual (EB) progress measure data
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- · Local benchmark or common assessments data

Student Data: Student Groups

- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress between groups
- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress for each student group
- Economically disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- Emergent Bilingual (EB) /non-EB data, including academic achievement, progress, support and accommodation needs, race, ethnicity, gender etc.
- Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs of Study data including completer, concentrator, explorer, participant, and non-participant achievements by race, ethnicity, gender, etc.

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Completion rates and/or graduation rates data
- Annual dropout rate data
- Attendance data
- Discipline records
- School safety data

Enrollment trends

Employee Data

- Teacher/Student Ratio
- State certified and high quality staff data
- Campus leadership data
- Evaluation(s) of professional development implementation and impact
- T-TESS data

Support Systems and Other Data

- Organizational structure data
- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
- Budgets/entitlements and expenditures data
- Study of best practices

Goals

Goal 1: We will have a sound, safe, and efficient learning organization that supports learning, provides opportunities, and prepares for the future.

Performance Objective 1: Provide an effective process for hiring and retaining quality employees, including expanding the diversity of staff to more accurately reflect the composition of the student body.

Evaluation Data Sources: Annual HQ report, walkthrough data

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Design a recruitment and hiring program to actively pursue highly qualified candidates., including expanding		Formative		Summative
the diversity of the staff to more accurately reflect the composition of the student body.	Oct	Jan	Apr	July
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased number of highly qualified teachers hired as shown by the annual Highly Qualified Report.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Executive Director of Human Resources Director of Human Resources	25%			
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Provide a new assistant principal cohort to provide ongoing support throughout their first years as campus		Summative		
administrators.	Oct	Jan	Apr	July
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased performance by new assistant principals in a shorter time period. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Executive Director of Student Services	N/A			
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	·
Strategy 3: Annually review Forney ISD salary structure to ensure it is competitive with neighboring and similar districts.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Allow Forney ISD to be competitive to attract quality candidates.	Oct	Jan	Apr	July
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Executive Director of Human Resources Chief Financial Officer	100%	100%	100%	
No Progress Complished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	•	•

Goal 1: We will have a sound, safe, and efficient learning organization that supports learning, provides opportunities, and prepares for the future.

Performance Objective 2: Provide a safe, orderly, positive and quality learning and working environment for students and staff.

Evaluation Data Sources: District annual survey, discipline data, PEIMS data

	Rev	iews			
	Formative				
Oct	Jan	Apr	July		
25%					
	Rev	iews			
	Formative		Summative		
Oct	Jan	Apr	July		
25%					
	Rev	iews	l		
	Formative		Summative		
Oct	Jan	Apr	July		
100%	100%	100%			
	Oct 25%	Formative Oct Jan Rev Formative Oct Jan Rev Formative Oct Jan Cot Jan	Oct Jan Apr Reviews Formative Oct Jan Apr 25% Reviews Formative Oct Jan Apr		

Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 4: The District implemented a suicide prevention program, including parental or guardian notification procedures,		Formative		Summative
as well as required training for staff. This program is outlined in FFEB(LEGAL), DMA(LEGAL), FFB(LEGAL), FFEA (LEGAL), and FFB(LOCAL), all of which are included in the "Policies" section of this document. The entire staff of FISD	Oct	Jan	Apr	July
is required to participate in annual professional development that addresses these issues. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Reduced number of students admitted to mental health facilities Suicide Lethality Assessment results	100%	100%	100%	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselors Teachers Staff Administrators				
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 5: The District developed a plan to address discipline management, including unwanted physical or verbal		Formative		Summative
aggression and sexual harassment. These plans are documented in FNC(LEGAL), FFH(LEGAL), and FFH(LOCAL), all of which are included in the "Policies" section of this plan. Additionally, these policies are included in the Student Handbook,	Oct	Jan	Apr	July
which is also included in the "Policies" section of this document. The entire staff of FISD is required to participate in annual professional development that addresses these issues. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Reduction in discipline referrals Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration	100%	100%	100%	
Strategy 6 Details		Rev	iews	1
Strategy 6: The District developed a plan that addresses dating violence, including educational materials and resources.		Formative		Summative
This is included in FFH(LOCAL), FFI(LOCAL), and FOCA(LEGAL). All are linked in the "Policies" section of this plan. The entire staff of FISD is required to participate in annual professional development that addresses these issues.	Oct	Jan	Apr	July
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased awareness and understanding of dating violence and how to reduce the number of occurences. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Director of Guidance and Assessment	100%	100%	100%	
Strategy 7 Details		Rev	iews	'
Strategy 7: The District developed a plan to address sexual abuse, sex trafficking and other maltreatment of children,		Formative		Summative
including methods for increasing staff, student and parental awareness and staff training. These are addressed in FFG (LOCAL), FFG(LEGAL), and DMA(LEGAL), which are included in the "Policies" section of this document. The entire	Oct	Jan	Apr	July
staff of FISD is required to participate in annual professional development that addresses these issues. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Reduction in campus incidents/reports to the campus. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselors Campus Administration	100%	100%	100%	

Strategy 8 Details		Rev	iews			
Strategy 8: The District adopted policies and procedures regarding bullying prevention and responses, as required by TEC		Formative		Summative		
37.0832(c). The District addressed the issue in FFI(LOCAL), FFI(LEGAL), FFF(LEGAL), and DMA(LEGAL). In addition, there are articulated processes and procedures in "Bullying Investigation Steps" and "Bulling Investigation Report	Oct	Jan	Apr	July		
Form." (All of these policies and procedures are linked under "Policies" in this document.) Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Reduction in the number of bullying reports.	100%	100%	100%			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Executive Director of Student Services						
Strategy 9 Details		Rev	iews			
Strategy 9: The District determined the law enforcement duties of the District's police officers and security personnel. The		Formative		Summative		
Essential Services Plan and the School Based Law Enforcement program standards are linked under "Policies" in this document.	Oct	Jan	Apr	July		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: There will be a common understanding between district, campus, and police personnel as to the duties, responsibilities, and accountability of the District's police officers.	100%	100%	100%			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chief of Police						
Strategy 10 Details	Reviews					
Strategy 10: The District's trauma-informed care program provides for the integration of trauma-informed care practices in			Summative			
the school environment. In addition, the District requires training in trauma-informed care, included in the annual required compliance trainings for all staff. This is addressed in FFBA(LOCAL) and FFBA(LEGAL), which are included in the	Oct	Jan	Apr	July		
"Policies" section of this document. The entire staff of FISD is required to participate in annual professional development that addresses these issues.	100%	100%	100%			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decrease in the number of mental health requests and referrals for students and staff.						
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chief of Human Services Executive Director of Leadership Development and Professional Learning						
Executive Director of Leadership Development and Professional Learning						
Strategy 11 Details		Rev	iews			
Strategy 11: The District adopted a discipline management program that provides for prevention and education concerning		Formative		Summative		
unwanted physical or verbal aggression and sexual harassment in school, on school grounds, and in school vehicles. This is addressed in FNC(LEGAL), FFH(LEGAL), and FFH(LOCAL), all of which are included in the "Policies" section of this	Oct	Jan	Apr	July		
document. The entire staff of FISD is required to participate in annual professional development that addresses these issues. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decrease in the number of referrals for unwanted physical or verbal aggression and sexual harassment.	100%	100%	100%			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chief of Human Services Executive Director of Student Services						

Strategy 12 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 12: The District developed a plan for mental health promotion and intervention, and substance abuse prevention	1	Formative		Summative
and intervention. These plans are documented in EHAA(LEGAL), FFEB(LEGAL), FFEA(LEGAL), and the student handbook; all of which are included in the "Policies" section of this document. Included are procedures for providing notice	Oct	Jan	Apr	July
of a recommendation for early mental health or substance abuse intervention, procedures for providing notice of a student identified at risk of attempting suicide to a parent or guardian, the development of a reporting mechanism, available counseling alternatives for a parent or guardian to consider, and supports for students returning to school following hospitalization. These practices and procedures are included in the student handbook. The entire staff of FISD is required to participate in annual professional development that addresses these issues. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Reduction in mental health support requests and referrals, as well as a decline in the number of substance abuse referrals. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Director of Guidance and Assessment Executive Director of Student Services	100%	100%	100%	
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	ı	1

Goal 1: We will have a sound, safe, and efficient learning organization that supports learning, provides opportunities, and prepares for the future.

Performance Objective 3: Use formative and summative assessments to increase and improve student learning.

Evaluation Data Sources: Student assessment data.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Ensure student achievement by collaboratively reviewing formative and summative assessment data.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Adjustments to instruction, targeted SE interventions, and re-evaluation of student progress	Oct	Jan	Apr	July
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chief of Learner Services Executive Director of Elementary Learning Executive Director of Secondary Learning	25%	50%		
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Provide additional academic support in areas identified by the MAP assessments.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student growth comparable to national norms as shown by MAP Scores	Oct	Jan	Apr	July
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chief of Learner Services Executive Director of Elementary Learning Executive Director of Secondary Learning		50%		
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		•

Goal 2: We will design and implement an innovative curriculum that meets the academic, social-emotional, and future ready skills for all learners.

Performance Objective 1: Develop future ready learners.

Evaluation Data Sources: Participation reports, exemplar lessons, THECB report, Perkins Effectiveness report, other student learning measures

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Learners will master knowledge and skills to successfully navigate complex life and work environments.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased appropriate implementation of technology and future ready skills as	Oct	Jan	Apr	July
necessary as shown by walkthrough data, collaborative teacher planning, and learning checks. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chief of Learner Services Chief of Human Services	25%	50%		
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Continue to refine the Future Ready Curriculum that embeds learning and innovative skills into curriculum.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased appropriate implementation of technology and future ready skills as shown by walkthrough data and common assessments.	Oct	Jan	Apr	July
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chief of Learner Services Chief of Innovation and Information Services	50%			
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	•
Strategy 3: Learners will perceive, process, and apply academic content at a higher level by weaving brain-compatible		Formative		Summative
process strategies into learning activities.	Oct	Jan	Apr	July
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased appropriate implementation of technology and future ready skills as shown by walkthrough data.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chief of Learner Services	25%	50%		
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews	•
Strategy 4: Provide support and resources to increase the number of industry certification opportunities.	Formative Summative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased number of student taking industry certification exams as shown in the Perkins Effectiveness Report.		Jan	Apr	July
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Coordinator of CTE	25%			
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 2: We will design and implement an innovative curriculum that meets the academic, social-emotional, and future ready skills for all learners.

Performance Objective 2: Provide differentiated learning to meet the needs of all students.

Evaluation Data Sources: Final GT plan, DCCCD grades, AP scores, other student learning measures

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Continue to implement, evaluate, and improve the District's intervention and accelerated learning processes as a	Formative		Summative	
neans of identifying and addressing the behavioral and academic needs of students.		Jan	Apr	July
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase the number of students that are performaing at grade level. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chief of Learner Services Director of MTSS Coordinator of Student Services	25%	50%		
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Provide teachers with the tools and resources to model, inspire and facilitate teaching and learning in ways that	Formative			Summative
are grounded in brain-based learning principles.	Oct	Jan	Apr	July
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased teachers' implementation of tools and resources provided by iSchool Initiative as shown by walkthrough data and student learning measures. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chief of Learner Services		50%		
Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Integrate experiential assessments into the district curriculum.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student ability to demonstrate depth of learning, including critical thinking and self-assessment, as represented by rubric-based performances.	Oct	Jan	Apr	July
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chief of Learner Services Executive Director of Elementary Learning Executive Director of Secondary Learning	25%	50%		
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 3: We will foster a culture of excellence that builds positive relationships and empowers our diverse population to achieve life-long success.

Performance Objective 1: Expand Science, Technology, Engineering, Art and Mathematics (STEAM) learning opportunities

Evaluation Data Sources: Enrollment records, master schedules, course selection

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Continue to provide STEAM summer camps for K-8 students, an enrichment program open to all students.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student STEAM related understanding and interest as shown by increased enrollment in summer camps.		Jan	Apr	July
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Executive Director of Professional Learning Executive Director of Elementary Learning Executive Director of Secondary Learning		100%	100%	
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 3: We will foster a culture of excellence that builds positive relationships and empowers our diverse population to achieve life-long success.

Performance Objective 2: Expand the use of innovative space and innovative and non-traditional furniture.

Evaluation Data Sources: Developed model, walkthrough data

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Continue to design and implement learning spaces that include flexible seating, as well as innovative furniture.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Expansion of innovative learning spaces across the district.		Jan	Apr	July
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chief of Learning				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 3: We will foster a culture of excellence that builds positive relationships and empowers our diverse population to achieve life-long success.

Performance Objective 3: Provide additional social emotional and behavioral supports to teachers and students.

Evaluation Data Sources: Discipline referrals, academic performance of students, and teacher surveys

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Hire Culture of Excellence specialists to build capacity in campus administrators and teachers in classroom	Formative			Summative
management and behavioral practices.	Oct	Jan	Apr	July
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Fewer discipline referrals, and improved academic performance Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Director of Behavior and Social Supports	100%	100%	100%	
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Provide support programs for general education students with behavior struggles	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Fewer discipline referrals and improved academic performance Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Director of Behavior and Social Supports	Oct	Jan	Apr	July
	25%	50%		
Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Provide Social Emotional Learning training and resources for teachers.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Fewer discipline referrals and improved academic achievment	Oct	Jan	Apr	July
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Director of Behavior and Social Supports		50%		
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 4: We will develop school, family, and community partnerships that expand opportunities for all.

Performance Objective 1: Increase school, family, and community partnerships.

Evaluation Data Sources: Hiring of staff member.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Hire staff (Executive Director of Strategic Partnerships and Coordinator of Business Partnerships) to grow and		Formative		Summative
improve school, family, and community partnerships. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased opportunities to create stakeholder partnerships Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Susan Johnson, Executive Director of Strategic Partnerships		Jan	Apr	July
		100%	100%	
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

State Compensatory

Budget for District Improvement Plan

Total SCE Funds: \$1,485,968.00 **Total FTEs Funded by SCE:** 40

Brief Description of SCE Services and/or Programs

Each campus has been allocated additional funds for after-school or Saturday tutorials. The allocations were made based on each campus' enrollment and academic performance, so lower performing campuses received more funds. Additionally, funds were allocated for tutorials for our Virtual Academy and Goals students. The campus breakdown is as follows: Blackburn 2,275.00 Claybon 2,100.00 Criswell 2,625.00 Crosby 3,500.00 Griffin 2,450.00 Henderson 2,800.00 Johnson 1,750.00 Lewis 3,850.00 Rhea 5,775.00 Rhodes 8,750.00 Smith 5,950.00 Brown 4,550.00 Jackson 8,750.00 Warren 4,900.00 FHS 9,800.00 NFHS 14,875.00 FLA 1,750.00 OJ 2,000.00 Virtual Academy 2,000.00 Total 90,450.00 The total salaries (including district payroll costs) paid out of State Compensatory funds is approximately \$975,000.

Personnel for District Improvement Plan

<u>Name</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>FTE</u>
Bradshaw, Jessica	Teacher- MTA- Rhodes	1
Brandes, Laura	Teacher- MTA- Crosby	1
Burgan, Alexis	Teacher- MTA- Griffin	1
Cerny, Keilah	Aide- Instructional- Henderson	1
Clark, Amy	Aide- Instructional- Johnson	1
Cockrell, Jocelyn	Teacher- Secondary- NFHS	1
Covey, Jaye	Teacher- MTA- Claybon	1
Crocker, Samantha	Aide- Learning Lab- Johnson	1
Cuello, Elisabeth	Aide- Instructional- Smith	1
Destinee Chavez	Teacher- Secondary- WMS	1
Garcia-Cruz, Kheilla	Aide- Instructional- Criswell	1
Gilmore, Rhonda	Teacher-Secondary- BMS	1
Gonzalez, Sonia	Aide- Instructional- Crosby	1
Hatch, Lindsey	Aide- Instructional- Rhea	1
Hendricks, Garrett	Teacher- Secondar NFHS	1
Jones, Christi	Learning Loss Educator- Smith	1
Lee, Jacquekya	Learning Loss Educator- Blackburn	1
Madden, Michelle	Teacher- Central Office	1

<u>Name</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>FTE</u>
Malandruccolo, Lori	Teacher- MTA- Crosby	1
Marks, Wesley	Teacher- Secondary- WMS	1
Masengill, Katelyn	Teacher- Elementary- Crosby	1
McCoy, Candace	Learning Loss Educator- Criswell	1
Meza, Maribel	Teacher- MTA- Rhodes	1
Musser, Taylor	Learning Loss Educator- Crosby	1
Owens, Shirlee	Teacher-Secondary- WMS	1
Pardoe, Valerie	Aide- Instructional- Henderson	1
Patton, Mark	Teacher- Secondary- NFHS	1
Rigdon, Rainy	Aide- Instructional- Griffin	1
Riley, Camryn	Teacher- Secondary- WMS	1
Rodgers, Jenna	Aide- Instructional- Claybon	1
Rodriguez, Frank	Teacher-Secondary- WMS	1
Rojas, Melissa	Learning Loss Educator- Lewis	1
Sanders, Amanda	Aide- Instructional- Claybon	1
Smith, Angela	Aide- Instructional- Blackburn	1
Solomon, Tonya	Aide- Instructional- Lewis	1
Taylor, Britney	Aide- Instructional- Rhea	1
Thompson, Jamelyn	Teacher- Secondary- WMS	1
Wagner, Jennifer	Teacher- MTA- Rhea	1
Wilkerson, Whitney	Teacher- Secondary- WMS	1
Young, Lexi	Learning Loss Educator- Rhodes	1

Title I

2.2: Regular monitoring and revision

The district and each campus committees review and revise the DIP and CIPs as necessary. There are three formative reviews and one summative review scheduled in Oct, Jan, April, and July.

Title I Personnel

<u>Name</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>Program</u>	<u>FTE</u>
Celeste Aldana	Title I Interventionist	Rhodes Intermediate	1
Houston DeBerry	Title I Dean	Rhodes Intermediate	1
Justin Frazier	Title I Dean	Jackson Middle	1
Karen Lenenski	Title I Interventionist	Smith Intermediate	1
Karin Mull	Title I Interventionist	Crosby Elementary	1
Lisa Morgan	Title I Aide	Smith Intermediate	1
Mildretta Dade	Title I Aide	Blackburn Elementary	1
Sabrina Lovell	Title I Interventionist	Blackburn Elementary	1
Theresa Sinclair	Title I Interventionist	Brown Middle	1

District Education Improvement Committee

Committee Role	Name	Position	
Non-classroom Professional	Megan Boyd	Counselor- NFHS	
Classroom Teacher	Rhonda Broiles	Teacher- Griffin	
Parent	Jessica Brown	Parent- Crosby	
Parent	Cecil Chambers	Parent- Crosby, Brown, Jackson, NFHS	
Parent	Traci Clarke	Parent- Warren and FHS	
Classroom Teacher	Leah Corcoran	Teacher- Warren	
Parent	Shereece Cordeiro	Parent- FHS	
Classroom Teacher	Katie Cowan	Teacher- Johnson	
Classroom Teacher	Evane Dalton	Teacher- Lewis	
Classroom Teacher	Dr. Pat Dobbs	Teacher- NFHS	
Community Representative	Gwen Duffie	Community Member	
Classroom Teacher	Michelle Garcia	Teacher- Smith	
Classroom Teacher	Lennitta Gilbert	Teacher- Crosby	
Non-classroom Professional	Heather Hembree	Learning Specialist- Henderson	
Community Representative	Correna Hopkins	Community Member	
Parent	Megan Hubacheck	Parent- Griffin and Smith	
Non-classroom Professional	Brandy Jackson	Instructional Coach	
Classroom Teacher	Brandy Laule	Teacher- Rhea	
Parent	Nakisha Lewis-Butler	Parent- Jackson	
Classroom Teacher	Monique Martin	Teacher- Lewis	
Paraprofessional	Jennifer McQueen	Instructional Aide- Criswell	
Classroom Teacher	Aura Moreno	Teacher- Lewis	
Classroom Teacher	Amanda Morris	Teacher- Smith	
Classroom Teacher	Kristie Perkins	Teacher- Willett	
Classroom Teacher	Lisa Rogers	Teacher- Smith	
Parent	Deann Scroggins	Parent- Rhea and FHS	
Parent	Shanada Towers-Harris	Parent- Claybon and FHS	
Classroom Teacher	Christina Truelove	Teacher- NFHS	

Committee Role	Name	Position
Classroom Teacher	Cassandra Turner	Teacher- Claybon
Non-classroom Professional	Dr. Vashunda Warren	Assistant Principal- Warren
Non-classroom Professional	Floyd Webb	Assistant Principal- NFHS
Classroom Teacher	Lessia Williams	Teacher- NFHS
Administrator	Dr. Sandi Whitley	Exec Director of Budget and Fiscal Compliance