Mathis Independent School District District Improvement Plan 2022-2023



Mission Statement

Mathis I.S.D. is an accountable learning community that creates innovative thinkers and leaders who will change the world.

Vision

Building Minds Together

Core Beliefs

Pride

Innovation

Respect

Accountability

Teamwork

Engagement

Safety

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Student Learning	5
District Processes & Programs	8
Perceptions	11
Priority Problem Statements	13
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	14
Goals	16
Goal 1: Students will perform at the meets grade level or higher on all state assessments and make a year's growth each year in reading and math.	17
Goal 2: The district will improve Early Childhood Readiness (PK-3).	23
Goal 3: The district will increase the number of students who graduate College, Career, or Military Ready (CCMR) as defined by the state accountability system.	33
Goal 4: The district will continue to recruit, support, and retain high-quality teachers and administrators.	36
Goal 5: The district will enhance security, facilities, finance, and operations to provide a safe, supportive learning environment.	38
State Compensatory	46
Budget for District Improvement Plan	47
Personnel for District Improvement Plan	47
Addendums	49

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary

Mathis I.S.D. is a rural school district located on FM 359 along Interstate Highway 37 in western San Patricio County. Mathis I.S.D. is approximately 174.5 square miles of San Patricio County and includes sections of Live Oak County and Bee County. Mathis I.S.D. has an enrollment of 1,591 students on 4 campuses and all are Title I campuses. 88.3% of the student population is Hispanic, 86.2% are economically disadvantaged, and 56.1% are At-Risk. The average class size in the elementary grades is 14.8 and 16.9 at the secondary level which are both below the state average.

With regard to staff quality, recruitment, and retention, all M.I.S.D. teachers are 98% state certified and 100% of instructional paraprofessionals are highly qualified. Positions funded with state allotment and federal funds are evaluated annually for necessity and effectiveness. Mathis I.S.D. has systems in place to support new teachers such as New Teacher Orientation and a mentor program. Professional development needs for teachers new to the district are analyzed and provided either by the district, STCC, or the ESC. Mathis I.S.D. has structures in place to ensure that teachers and others implement training objectives effectively in the classroom. The structures include walk-throughs, T-TESS evaluations, and administrator coaching and feedback. Teachers in need of support receive additional assistance from mentor teachers and/or administrator coaching.

Demographics Strengths

Mathis I.S.D. has implemented policies to provide for teacher retention and this has helped increase the teacher retention rate in order to allow for consistency in the classroom to support the effectiveness of instruction and student success.

Mathis I.S.D. continues to maintain its Title I status providing the district federal funds which are used to support campuses with instructional staff, resources, and professional development.

Mathis I.S.D. has strived to maintain a low student-teacher ratio which is below the state average.

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1: Overall enrollment has dropped from 2019-2020 to 2020-2021. **Root Cause:** Due to the COVID pandemic, several families chose to homeschool their child(ren).

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

Mathis I.S.D. received an 88 or "B" rating on the 2019 Accountability Ratings. Mathis ISD was not rated in 2020 or 2021 due being declared state of disaster.

Below is a comparison of the 2018 to 2021 overall district ratings using the three domains: Student Achievement, School Progress and Closing the Gaps.

	2018			2019				2021			
	Component Score	Scale Score	Rating		Component Score	Scale Score			Component Score	Scale Score	Rating
Overall		88	В	Overall		89	В	Overall			NR
Student Achievement		82	В	Student Achievement		86	В	Student Achievement			
STAAR Performance	41	71		STAAR Performance	46	78		STAAR Performance	39		
CCMR	75	94		CCMR	76	94		CCMR	28		
Graduation Rate	94.5	80		Graduation Rate	89.5	85		Graduation Rate	95		
School Progress		91	A	School Progress		92	A	School Progress			
Academic Growth	68	76		Academic Growth	69	79	С	Academic Growth	N/A		
Rel. Perf. (ECD: 91.9%)	58	91		Rel. Perf. (ECD: 84.1%)	61	92	A	Rel. Perf. (ECD: 85.3%)	34		
Closing the Gaps	69	82	В	Closing the Gaps	65	81	В	Closing the Gaps			

STAAR Performance Data Comparison

	Ap	proac	hes	Meets			Masters		
	2018	2019	2021	2018	2019	2021	2018	2019	2021
All Subjects	74%	78%	70%	37%	42%	36%	13%	17%	12%
ELA/ Reading	71%	77%	74%	40%	45%	43%	15%	18%	15%

	Ap	Approaches			Meets			Masters		
Mathematics	81%	81%	65%	39%	43%	29%	14%	18%	8%	
Writing	51%	72%	60%	25%	37%	20%	5%	13%	5%	
Science	79%	82%	70%	36%	41%	33%	13%	15%	8%	
Social Studies	72%	71%	79%	35%	37%	49%	11%	20%	30%	

STAAR 3-8 STAAR Performance Comparison to the Region and State for 2020-2021

		Reading			Math	Math		Science Soc		Social Stud	ies	Writing			
	State	Region	District	State	Region	District	State	Region	District	State	Region	District	State	Region	District
3rd	67%	65%	71%	63%	61%	42%									
4th	62%	62%	85%	58%	57%	69%							52%	51%	61%
5th	72%	70%	83%	69%	68%	74%	60%	58%	62%						
6th	61%	60%	72%	66%	62%	74%									
7th	68%	65%	61%	54%	50%	61%							61%	58%	57%
8th	72%	70%	74%	60%	56%	57%	67%	61%	62%	56%	51%	61%			

We have exceeded the region and state averages in 12 out of the 17 areas tested. Out of the 12 areas, we exceeded the region and state averages by at least 10 points in 4 areas.

STAAR EOC STAAR Performance to the Region and State for 2020-2021

	State	Region	District
English I	66%	62%	67%
English II	70%	69%	67%
Algebra I	72%	72%	75%
Biology	81%	77%	84%
US Hist	88%	87%	95%

We have exceeded the region and state averages in 4 out of the 5 areas tested.

Identifier	District	Region	State	District	Region	State
Graduation Rate	92.4%	91.0%	90.0%	95.0%	91.5%	90.3%
Dropout Rate (7-8)	0.0%	0.5%	0.4%	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
Dropout Rate (9-12)	1.2%	2.0%	1.9%	1.9%	1.5%	1.6%
Dropout Rate (7-12)	0.8%	1.5%	1.4%	1.3%	1.2%	1.2%

Our graduation rate is higher than the region and state average rates.

Student Learning Strengths

- Mathis I.S.D. outperformed the region and state on STAAR Reading, except for 7th grade.
- Mathis I.S.D. outperformed the region and state on STAAR Math, except 3rd and 8th grade.
- 4th grade writing scores exceed state and regional percentages.
- 5th grade science scores exceed state and regional percentages.
- 8th grade social studies scores exceed state and regional percentages.
- Mathis I.S.D. outperformed the region and state on all EOC tests, except English II.

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): 57% of all students met state standard on 7th grade STAAR writing in the 2020-2021 school year. **Root Cause:** Lack of vertical alignment and professional development.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): 28% of all students met the CCMR criteria in 2020-2021 school year. **Root Cause:** Students lack ACT/SAT prep and no students earned an industry-based certification.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): 42% of all students met state standard on 3rd grade STAAR math in the 2020-2021 school year. **Root Cause:** Lack of training and knowledge of grade-level TEKS.

Problem Statement 4: First and second year teachers lack professional development to ensure their success in their content and classroom procedures. **Root Cause:** Due to the COVID pandemic, teachers were not able to attend professional development outside of the district and the district was not able to contract trainers to come to the district to provide professional development.

Problem Statement 5: Teachers need more content-specific professional development to ensure their success with the upcoming changes to STAAR/EOC assessments. **Root Cause:** Due to COVID pandemic, there was a lack of substitutes which did not provide the curriculum department time to provide in-district training.

District Processes & Programs

District Processes & Programs Summary

Programs and Opportunities

Personnel-Policy and Procedure

Mathis ISD has a comprehensive system for selecting new personnel. The Administrative Leadership Team is part of every interview for professional staff. The team consists of the superintendent, principals, and directors for athletics, counseling, special education, and curriculum. Teachers and administrators are assigned to positions based on student needs and the applicant's strengths. These decisions are made by the team at the district level rather than the campus level. New teachers attend a two-day new teacher orientation and are assigned a mentor from their campus. This is to ensure first-year teachers and new staff get the support they need to be successful in the classroom.

Professional Practices

Mathis ISD prides itself in growing their own leaders. Our district supports staff when they choose to pursue higher degrees or certifications that will earn them positions such as campus administrators, counselors, or specialist postions (i.e. diagnositicians). As campus administrators, they are tasked with ensuring students are learning and progressing all year long. Administrators and curriculum directors progress monitor student success using unit assessment data, benchmark data, and NWEA MAP data. Data meetings are held throughout the year to review all data and determine which students need intervention and which standards need to be retaught. Data is also used to determine curriculum and instructional needs. Based on trends in data, evaluators, and teacher feedback, curriculum directors determine the professional development needs for the district.

Programs and Opportunities for Students

Mathis ISD campuses all have an intervention period built into their master schedule allowing teachers to work with small groups of students who are at risk of failing or failed STAAR/EOC the previous year. Mandatory tutorials are offered everyday afterschool for students in Kinder thru 12th grade that are in need of extra support to meet state standards. In addition to attending tutorials, students are also provided extra support on the nine intervention days scheduled throughout the school year.

Enrichment activities are provided by 21st Century after tutorials for all students. All students PreK-12 have opportunites to engage in 21st Century activities. Through 21st Century students have access to STEM, fine arts, health, and hands-on activities.

Students at the secondary level also have opportunities to participate in the following programs:

Business Professional of America

UIL Academics

FFA

National Hispanic Institute

Gear Up

Currently, Mathis ISD is striving to become 1:1 in the area of technology. All teachers 3rd-8th grade have a class set of chromebooks to provide a blended learning environment to their students.

Procedures

Curriculum

Mathis ISD utilizes the TEKS Resource System as our curriculum guide. It provides our teachers with a scope and sequence and aligned assessments. The curriculum department utilizes this system to provide training to teachers and support their planning throughout the year.

Bully Prevention and Violence

The Mathis Independent School District prohibits bullying on school property, at school-sponsored or school-related activities, or in any vehicle operated by the district. Bullying is not tolerated. Bullying may include verbal or written expression, expression through electronic means, or physical conduct. Bullying of a student may also include hazing, threats, taunting, teasing, confinement, assault, demands for money, destruction of property, theft of valued possessions, name calling, rumor spreading, and ostracism. Any student or parent who suspects bullying is encouraged to immediately report the incident to a teacher, counselor, principal, or other district employee. Any district employee who receives notice that a student has or may have experienced bullying shall immediately notify the campus principal or designee. Retaliation against anyone involved in the reporting process is a violation of district policy and is prohibited. Students or parents may report an alleged incident of bullying orally or in writing to a teacher, counselor, principal, or other district employee. Students or parents may contact a campus administrator to obtain an incident report form or report the incident anonymously through the Bullying Report Portal on the district website.

Dating violence is not tolerated at Mathis ISD. Dating violence may include physical or sexual assaults; name-calling; put-downs; or threats directed at the student, the student's family members, or members of the student's household. Additional examples may include destroying property belonging to the student, threatening to commit suicide or homicide if the student ends the relationship, attempting to isolate the student from friends and family, stalking, threatening a student's spouse or current dating partner, or encouraging others to engage in these behaviors. Any student who believes that he or she has experienced prohibited conduct or believes that another student has experienced prohibited conduct should immediately report the alleged acts to a teacher, school counselor, principal, other District employees, the Title IX, 504 Coordinator, or Superintendent. The District may request, but shall not require, a written report. If a report is made orally, the District official shall reduce the report to written form. Upon receipt or notice of a report, the District official shall determine whether the allegations, if proved, would constitute prohibited conduct as defined by this policy. The District official or designee shall promptly notify the parents of any student alleged to have experienced prohibited conduct another individual. The District shall immediately undertake an investigation, except as provided below at Criminal Investigation. If the District official determines that the allegations, if proved, would not constitute prohibited conduct as defined by this policy, the District official shall refer the complaint for consideration under FFI. If the results of an investigation indicate that prohibited conduct occurred, the District shall promptly respond by taking appropriate disciplinary action in accordance with the Student Code of Conduct and may take corrective action reasonably calculated to address the conduct. Examples of corrective action may include a training program for those involved in t

comprehensive education program for the school community, counseling to the victim and the student who engaged in prohibited conduct, follow-up inquiries to determine if any new incidents or any instances of retaliation have occurred, involving parents and students in efforts to identify problems and improve the school climate, increasing staff monitoring of areas where prohibited conduct has occurred, and reaffirming the District's policy against discrimination and harassment.

District Processes & Programs Strengths

- Seven out of our fifteen certified administrators were classroom teachers for the district prior to being hired as administrators.
- Teachers that are currently certified administrators are given opportunities to gain experience as administrators through different tasks assigned, such as provide training to teachers or assigned duties.
- All of our students have opportunites to engage in activities beyond the regular classroom experiences.

Problem Statements Identifying District Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1: First and second year teachers lack professional development to ensure their success in their content and classroom procedures. **Root Cause:** Due to the COVID pandemic, teachers were not able to attend professional development outside of the district and the district was not able to contract trainers to come to the district to provide professional development.

Problem Statement 2: Teachers need more content-specific professional development to ensure their success with the upcoming changes to STAAR/EOC assessments. **Root Cause:** Due to COVID pandemic, there was a lack of substitutes which did not provide the curriculum department time to provide in-district training.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): 28% of all students met the CCMR criteria in 2020-2021 school year. **Root Cause:** Students lack ACT/SAT prep and no students earned an industry-based certification.

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

Attendance Rate

	16-17	17-18	18-19	19-20
White	95	94.1	95.1	
Hispanic	94.6	93.9	94.0	
African-American	92.4	94.6	94.2	
SPED	94.4	93.5	93.5	
ECD	94.4	93.9	93.7	
EL	96.0	95.6	95.9	

Staff Turnover Rate 2020-2021

District	State

Perceptions Strengths

- Mathis ISD uses Schoolpointe to allow students a secure way to report bullying incidents.
- Mathis uses corporal punishment, assigns saturday school, DAEP, and/or counseling to address all discipline infractions.

Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1: First and second year teachers lack professional development to ensure their success in their content and classroom procedures. Root Cause: Due to the

COVID pandemic, teachers were not able to attend professional development outside of the district and the district was not able to contract trainers to come to the district to provide professional development.

Problem Statement 2: Teachers need more content-specific professional development to ensure their success with the upcoming changes to STAAR/EOC assessments. **Root Cause:** Due to COVID pandemic, there was a lack of substitutes which did not provide the curriculum department time to provide in-district training.

Problem Statement 3: Overall enrollment has dropped from 2019-2020 to 2020-2021. **Root Cause:** Due to the COVID pandemic, several families chose to homeschool their child(ren).

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: 57% of all students met state standard on 7th grade STAAR writing in the 2020-2021 school year.

Root Cause 1: Lack of vertical alignment and professional development.

Problem Statement 1 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 2: 28% of all students met the CCMR criteria in 2020-2021 school year.

Root Cause 2: Students lack ACT/SAT prep and no students earned an industry-based certification.

Problem Statement 2 Areas: Student Learning - District Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 3: 42% of all students met state standard on 3rd grade STAAR math in the 2020-2021 school year.

Root Cause 3: Lack of training and knowledge of grade-level TEKS.

Problem Statement 3 Areas: Student Learning

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- Campus goals
- HB3 Reading and math goals for PreK-3
- HB3 CCMR goals
- Performance Objectives with summative review (prior year)
- Campus/District improvement plans (current and prior years)
- Covid-19 Factors and/or waivers for Assessment, Accountability, ESSA, Missed School Days, Educator Appraisals, etc.
- Planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data
- State and federal planning requirements

Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- Effective Schools Framework data
- Comprehensive, Targeted, and/or Additional Targeted Support Identification data
- Accountability Distinction Designations

Student Data: Assessments

- State and federally required assessment information
- STAAR current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR End-of-Course current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR released test questions
- STAAR Emergent Bilingual (EB) progress measure data
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- Texas Primary Reading Inventory (TPRI), Tejas LEE, or other alternate early reading assessment results
- Postsecondary college, career or military-ready graduates including enlisting in U. S. armed services, earning an industry based certification, earning an associate degree, graduating with completed IEP and workforce readiness
- SAT and/or ACT assessment data
- Student Success Initiative (SSI) data for Grades 5 and 8
- Student failure and/or retention rates
- · Local diagnostic reading assessment data
- · Local benchmark or common assessments data
- Running Records results
- Texas approved PreK 2nd grade assessment data
- Texas approved Prekindergarten and Kindergarten assessment data
- Other PreK 2nd grade assessment data

Student Data: Student Groups

- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress between groups
- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress for each student group
- Male / Female performance, progress, and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- Migrant/non-migrant population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance and mobility data
- At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- Section 504 data
- · Gifted and talented data
- Dyslexia data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Completion rates and/or graduation rates data
- Annual dropout rate data
- · Attendance data
- Mobility rate, including longitudinal data
- Class size averages by grade and subject
- Enrollment trends

Employee Data

- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- State certified and high quality staff data
- Campus leadership data
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data

Parent/Community Data

• Parent surveys and/or other feedback

Goals

Goal 1: Students will perform at the meets grade level or higher on all state assessments and make a year's growth each year in reading and math.

Performance Objective 1: The calculated "overall rating" for the district under the state's adopted A-F accountability system will increase by 4 points from an 88 (B) to a 92 (A).

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: TAPR

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	riews		
Strategy 1: All campuses will use DMAC software to disaggregate STAAR performance data by ethnicity, gender,		Summative			
socioeconomic status, and special programs and to monitor campus-based assessment data throughout the year for the same categories. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Implement a systematic approach to campus and district data disaggregation.	Sept	Dec	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: District Administration and Campus Administration					
Title I: 2.4, 2.6					
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 2: Professional development and support on materials that focus on the TEKS will be provided through vendors		Formative	_	Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will understand and utilize TEKS based materials and instruction which is closely aligned to student expectations improving assessment data gathered from campus-based assessments. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: District Administration and Campus Administration	Sept	Dec	Feb	June	
Title I: 2.4, 2.5					

Strategy 3 Details		Rev	riews	
Strategy 3: Support the implementation of a balanced literacy approach to ELAR instruction.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Reading, Writing and Phonics instruction will occur daily for all students supporting the interconnectivity of the Reading/Writing process.	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: District Administration and Campus Administration				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	riews	
Strategy 4: Students will be provided extended learning opportunities in curriculum areas they are not mastering.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased test scores will be noted on campus based assessments, STAAR/EOC, and NWEA-MAP.	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration and 21st Century				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 5: Teachers will meet quarterly to unpack the TEKS to develop a deeper understanding of how to model and		Summative		
scaffold these TEKS. CBA data will be disaggregated to determine which TEKS need to be retaught and reassessed. A working scope and sequence will be determined.	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Scores will improve when teachers are able to deeply understand their content.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: District Administration and Campus Administration				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
Strategy 6 Details		Rev	riews	
Strategy 6: Curriculum department will provide professional development on how to create rigorous and TEKS-aligned		Formative		Summative
campus-based assessments. Assessments will be reviewed by campus and district administrators to ensure reliability and rigor.	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Strong campus-based assessments result in more reliable data.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: District Administration and Campus Administration				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
campus-based assessments. Assessments will be reviewed by campus and district administrators to ensure reliability and rigor. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Strong campus-based assessments result in more reliable data. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: District Administration and Campus Administration	Sept		Feb	

Strategy 7 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 7: Provide instructional resources to support teachers' with planning and instruction.	Formative Su				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers have reliable, research based materials to use for instruction of all contents.	Sept	Dec	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: District Administration and Campus Administration					
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6					
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	•	•	

Goal 1: Students will perform at the meets grade level or higher on all state assessments and make a year's growth each year in reading and math.

Performance Objective 2: The district will continue to close performance gaps by providing necessary support for targeted populations.

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR/EOC, NWEA-MAP, CLI-Engage, TAPR

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Provide Dyslexia services for identified 1st - 12th graders.		Formative			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students to achieve Meets Standard on STAAR Reading/EOC. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: District Dyslexia Therapists	Sept	Dec	Feb	June	
Stan Responsible for Monitoring. District Dyslexia Therapists					
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 2: Provide 6 hr. G/T update for all teachers and administrators who have previously received their 30 hour		Formative	Summati		
certification.	Sept	Dec	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers and admin will complete GT 6 hour update for current year. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: GT District and Campus Coordinators					
Start Responsible for Promoting. Of District and Campus Coordinators					
Strategy 3 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 3: Implement accommodations, support and interventions to accelerate students identified in special populations	Formative			Summative	
not demonstrating grade level expectations.	Sept	Dec	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will show growth in their learning based on data from local and state assessments.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Administrators, and Directors					
Strategy 4 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 4: Teachers will use Lexia Off-line lessons, Exact Path, and Reading Plus Off-line lessons to ensure explicit		Formative		Summative	
instruction occurs daily with at-risk students.	Sept	Dec	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: At-risk students will demonstrate growth in their learning based on data from local and state assessments.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Campus Administrators, and Directors					
	L	L	1		

Strategy 5 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 5: Computer-based supplemental instruction will be implemented for math and reading to provide all students		Formative		Summative	
individualized support in order to close learning gaps in all student groups. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Usage and improvement in Reading and Math skills will be noted in Reading Plus, Lexia, Edmentum, and Edgenuity Courseware data. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: District Administration and Campus Administration	Sept	Dec	Feb	June	
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6					
Strategy 6 Details					
Strategy 6: In grades Kinder-8th grade, NWEA-MAP EOY data will be used to group students according to need in order		Formative		Summative	
to decrease the student-to-teacher ratio in classes with greater academic need. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Achievement gaps will be lessened when teachers are able to focus on skills	Sept	Dec	Feb	June	
needed daily and can provide more one-to-one support for struggling students. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: District Administration and Campus Administration Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6					
Strategy 7 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 7: Evaluate the Gifted and Talented program yearly to ensure compliance with the TEA required State Plan.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: District will have a GT program reflecting the TEA State Plan.	Sept	Dec	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus and District Coordinators and Administrators					
Strategy 8 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 8: Teachers are required to have 2-3 open response questions on every unit assessment in order to prepare students		Formative		Summative	
for new State Assessment Expectations. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Percentage of students receiving Meets and Masters on STAAR and EOC will increase. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: District Administration and Campus Administration	Sept	Dec	Feb	June	
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6					
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	tinue	1		

Goal 1: Students will perform at the meets grade level or higher on all state assessments and make a year's growth each year in reading and math.

Performance Objective 3: The percentage of all students who meet state standard on 8th grade STAAR social studies will increase from 57% to 75% by 2022.

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR/EOC

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Provide professional development on content knowledge and instructional strategies.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved STAAR scores.	Sept	Dec	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus admin and curriculum director.					
Title I:					
2.4, 2.6					
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 2: 8th grade teachers will identify low performing students for individualized intervention days and tutorials.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved STAAR scores.	Sept	Dec	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Admin, Teachers, Curriculum Director					
Title I:					
2.4, 2.5, 2.6					
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	I tinue			

Goal 1: Students will perform at the meets grade level or higher on all state assessments and make a year's growth each year in reading and math.

Performance Objective 4: The percentage of all students who meet state standard on 3rd grade STAAR math will increase from 42% to 80% by 2024.

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR/EOC

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Provide professional development on content knowledge and instructional strategies.		Summative		
Title I:	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
2.4, 2.6				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	•
Strategy 2: 3rd cohot collaborates to implement specific strategies and targeted interventions during build-in tier time,		Formative		Summative June
tutorials, and intervention days.	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student growth in the area of 3rd grade Math.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Admin, and Curriculum				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		•

Performance Objective 1: The percent of Kinder students that meet or exceed their growth goal on MAP Reading and Math will increase from 29% and 33% to 75% by June 2024.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: NWEA-MAP Assessment (K-2 test)

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Kindergarten will use Math Seeds and Reading Eggs.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: student growth Staff Responsible for Monitoring: teachers and campus administrators Title I: 2.4, 2.6	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Teachers will use Saxon Phonics daily to ensure explicit phonics instruction occurs in Kinder.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: reduce learning gaps Staff Responsible for Monitoring: phonics teachers and campus administrator	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Title I: 2.4, 2.6				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	•
Strategy 3: Administer TX-KEA BOY and EOY, evaluate data and group students for intervention.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: track student progress Stoff Responsible for Manitovings, toochors and company administrator.	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: teachers and campus administrator Title I: 2.4, 2.6				

Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 4: Offer professional development to Kinder teachers to support areas of need.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: teacher support/retention; teacher growth	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: campus administrators; Human Resources; Curriculum Director				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 5: Kindergarten Math teachers will use guided math to address learning gaps.		Formative		Summative
	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	•	

Performance Objective 2: The percent of 1st-grade students that meet or exceed their growth goal on MAP Reading and Math will increase from 34% and 31% to 75% by June 2024.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: NWEA-MAP (K-2 test)

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Teachers will use Saxon Phonics to ensure explicit phonics instruction occurs in 1st grade.		Formative Sept Dec Feb			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: decrease learning gaps Staff Responsible for Monitoring: phonics teachers, campus administrators	Sept				
Title I: 2.4, 2.6					
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 2: Analyze NWEA - MAP data in order to screen and identify for dyslexia and related disorders at the middle of		Formative		Summative	
first grade.	Sept	Dec	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: early identification for reading disorders Staff Responsible for Monitoring: campus administrators, counselor, Dyslexia Specialist, Reading Curriculum					
Title I: 2.4, 2.6					
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	<u> </u>	
Strategy 3: 1st Grade will use Lexia and Exact Path.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: decrease learning gaps and excel students above level	Sept	Dec	Feb	June	
Strategy 4 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 4: Administer MAP three times a year, as well as, unit assessments- evaluate data and group students for needed	Formative			Summative	
interventions.	Sept	Dec	Feb	June	

Strategy 5 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 5: Offer professional development to 1st grade teachers to address areas of need,		Formative			
	Sept	Dec	Feb	June	
Strategy 6 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 6: 1st-grade teachers will use guided math to address learning gaps.	Formative			Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: decrease learning gaps	Sept	Dec	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: teachers, Math Curriculum, campus administrator					
Title I:					
2.4, 2.6					
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue			

Performance Objective 3: The percent of 2nd-grade students that meet or exceed their growth goal on MAP Reading and Math will increase from 31% and 58% to 75% by June 2024.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: NWEA-MAP (2-5 test)

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Teachers will use Saxon Phonics to ensure explicit phonics instruction occurs in 2nd grade.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: decrease learning gaps Staff Responsible for Monitoring: teachers, campus administrators	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Analyze NWEA-MAP data in order to screen and dyslexia for related disorders at the middle of 2nd grade.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: early identification Staff Responsible for Monitoring: teachers, campus administrators, dyslexia specialists, curriculum department	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Title I: 2.4, 2.6				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	•
Strategy 3: 2nd grade will use Lexia and Exact Path.		Formative Summat		
	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 4: Administer MAP three times a year, as well as, unit assessments-evaluate data and group students for needed		Formative		Summative
interventions. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: monitor student progress	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: teachers, campus administrators, curriculum department				
Title I: 2.4, 2.6				

Strategy 5 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 5: Offer professional development to 2nd-grade teachers to address areas of need.	Formative			Summative
	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Strategy 6 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 6: 2nd-grade teachers will use guided math to address learning gaps.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: decrease learning gaps	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: teachers, campus administrators, curriculum department				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 4: The percent of 3rd-grade students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Reading and Math will increase from 36% and 46% to 55% and 58% by June of 2024.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: 3rd grade STAAR Assessments

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: 3rd grade will use Reading Plus, Exact Path and Study Island.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: decrease learning gaps Staff Responsible for Monitoring: teachers, campus administrators, curriculum department	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Title I: 2.4, 2.6				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Administer STAAR Benchmarks in Spring in order to regroup and tutor as necessary.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: identify needs for tutorials	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: teachers, campus administrators, curriculum department				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: Administer MAP three times a year, as well as, unit assessments; evaluate data and group students for needed		Formative		Summative
interventions.	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: teachers, campus administrators, curriculum department				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: monitor student progress Staff Responsible for Monitoring: teachers, campus administrators, curriculum department Title I:	Sept	Dec	Feb	June

Strategy 4 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 4: Offer professional development to 3rd-grade teachers to address areas of need.	Formative			Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: teacher growth/retention	Sept	Dec	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: teachers, campus administrators, curriculum department, Human Resources					
Title I:					
2.4, 2.6					
Strategy 5 Details	Reviews			•	
Strategy 5: Offer small group tutorials during and after school for students who have not reached Approaches on 3rd grade		Formative		Summative	
STAAR. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact, increase performance on STAAR.	Sept	Dec	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: increase performance on STAAR Staff Responsible for Monitoring: campus administrator and teachers					
Stan Responsible for Monitoring, campus auministrator and teachers					
Title I:					
2.4, 2.6					
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	tinue			

Performance Objective 5: The percent of PK students who are considered "Kinder Ready" by Spring 2022 will increase in both literacy and math.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: CLI Engage

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Complete and publish the High-Qualified PK Self-Assessment tool in order to evaluate the quality of the PK program and define specific pathways for continuous improvement leading to positive child outcomes.	Formative			Summative
	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Utilize Savvas Three Cheers curriculum to ensure PreK program meets TEA's High-Quality expectation. (Curriculum)	Formative			Summative
	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Utilize CLI-Engage assessment to ensure PreK program meets TEA's High-Quality expectation. (Student	Formative			Summative
Progress Monitoring).	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 4: Maintain a 1:11 ratio in PreK classrooms to ensure PreK program meets TEA's High-Quality expectation.	Formative			Summative
(Teacher to Student Ratio)	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Strategy 5 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 5: Create, post, and share a Family Engagement Plan containing six required components to ensure PreK program	Formative Summ			Summative
meets TEA's High-Quality expectation. (Family Engagement Plan)	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: transparency				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: campus administrators				
Title I:				
2.5				

Strategy 6 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 6: Submit CLI assessment data in ECDS to ensure PreK program meets TEA's High-Quality expectation. (Data	Formative			Summative
Reporting)	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: reporting to the state				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Federal programs director, curriculum director, campus principal				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		•

Goal 3: The district will increase the number of students who graduate College, Career, or Military Ready (CCMR) as defined by the state accountability system.

Performance Objective 1: The percentage of students who will graduate college, career or military ready will increase by 75% in Spring 2019 to 81% in June 2024.

Evaluation Data Sources: The state's adopted A-F accountability system

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Establish partnerships that provide opportunities for the district and partners to work together.	Formative			Summative	
	Sept	Dec	Feb	June	
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 2: Utilize Communities in Schools to access community resources.	Formative		Summative		
	Sept	Dec	Feb	June	
Strategy 3 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 3: Increase the number and percentage of students participating in and scoring at or above criterion on TSI, ACT	Formative			Summative	
and SAT.	Sept	Dec	Feb	June	
Strategy 4 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 4: Conduct post-graduate meetings to evaluate the students' perceptions of preparedness for post-graduation life.	Formative Sumn			Summative	
	Sept	Dec	Feb	June	
	Reviews				
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 5 Details Strategy 5: Utilize Edgenuity for SAT/ACT/TSI test prep at MMS and MHS.		Rev Formative	iews	Summative	
	Sept		iews Feb	Summative June	
Strategy 5: Utilize Edgenuity for SAT/ACT/TSI test prep at MMS and MHS.	Sept	Formative Dec	T		
Strategy 5: Utilize Edgenuity for SAT/ACT/TSI test prep at MMS and MHS. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved SAT/ACT/TSI scores Strategy 6 Details Strategy 6: Maintain a MOU with Institutes of Higher Education, include partners in planning meetings to ensure best	Sept	Formative Dec	Feb		
Strategy 5: Utilize Edgenuity for SAT/ACT/TSI test prep at MMS and MHS. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved SAT/ACT/TSI scores Strategy 6 Details	Sept Sept	Formative Dec Rev	Feb	June	

Strategy 7 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 7: Analyze capacity and provide additional opportunities for students to complete CTE certifications	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase total number of certifications acquired in the district.	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Strategy 8 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 8: Evaluate and review the effectiveness of the CTE programs in the district to ensure compliance and to include	Formative			Summative
the coherent sequence of courses, appropriate funding and adequate resources available.	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Strategy 9 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 9: Increase the number of 8th grade students taking Algebra 1.	Formative			Summative
	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Strategy 10 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 10: Offer Spanish 1 at the 8th grade level.	Formative			Summative
	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Strategy 11 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 11: Continue to offer credit recovery to meet the needs of at-risk students and provide targeted instruction.	Formative S			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: increase graduation rate	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
Strategy 12 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 12: Use a community liaison coordinator to assist campuses on researching excessive absences.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved attendance rate	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Strategy 13 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 13: Provide teachers rigorous instructional materials Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase students' chances of being successful in postsecondary coursework				Summative
	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	l tinue		

Goal 3: The district will increase the number of students who graduate College, Career, or Military Ready (CCMR) as defined by the state accountability system.

Performance Objective 2: Increase students' and their families' knowledge of post-secondary options, preparation, and finances.

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Hold informational parent meetings for dual-credit enrollment.	Formative			Summative	
	Sept	Dec	Feb	June	
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 2: Include all students on college /university trips to tour campuses.	Formative S			Summative	
	Sept	Dec	Feb	June	
Strategy 3 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 3: Counsel students to take exams for college entrance and college credit courses through dual-credit.	Formative			Summative	
	Sept	Dec	Feb	June	
Strategy 4 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 4: Utilize Texas OnCourse Curriculum for College and Career Readiness course in 8th grade.	Formative	Summative			
	Sept	Dec	Feb	June	
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 5: Provide information to students and parents about the need to make informed curriculum choices in order to be	Formative			Summative	
prepared for success beyond high school, as well as, information on higher education admissions and financial aid.	Sept	Dec	Feb	June	
Strategy 6 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 6: Support career exploration for students by working with counselors to provide career guidance materials and to	Formative			Summative	
schedule a Career Day.	Sept	Dec	Feb	June	
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue			

Goal 4: The district will continue to recruit, support, and retain high-quality teachers and administrators.

Performance Objective 1: The turn over percentage in Mathis ISD will decrease from double digits into single digits.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Work with Ed Prep alternative certification programs and continue attendance at regional job fairs to recruit		Summative		
teachers. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: To recruit new teachers to the field in critical/needed areas. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Human Resources, District/Campus Administration, Curriculum Directors	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Title I: 2.4, 2.6				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	<u> </u>
Strategy 2: Implement a New Teacher Mentoring Program for teachers who have less than 2 years experience.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: To provide professional development and support to new teachers. These teachers will feel supported and more successful, therefore, staying in the district.		Dec	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: District Curriculum Directors				
Strategy 3 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 3: Continue to offer Longevity Stipend to employees who meet the requirements.	Formative Sumn			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Retain district teachers through a monetary incentive.	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: District Adminstration, Chief Financial Officer				
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 4: Assess and monitor teacher effectiveness using T-TESS walk throughs, informal classroom observations, and	Formative			Summative
assessment data. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Provide on-going feedback and instructional coaching to assist with teacher	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
effectiveness. Accountability for teachers as well as campus adminstrators.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus adminstrators, District Curriculum Directors				
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 5: Provide mentoring/coaching support (15 hours) for PreK teachers to ensure PreK program meets TEA's High-		Formative		Summative
Quality expectation. (Teacher Qualifications)	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus adminstration, Curriculum Directors, Human Resource				

Strategy 6 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 6: Provide professional development for PreK teachers to ensure PreK program meets TEA's High-Quality	Formative			Summative
expectation. (Teacher Qualifications) Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, Curriculum Directors, Human Resources	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
cum recoposition for the management of the control				
Strategy 7 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 7: Provide professional development and support for administrators and counselors.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Retain quality campus leadership. Develop effective leadership to support our teachers and students.	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Develop effective leadership to support our teachers and students.				
Strategy 8 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 8: Provide professional development to paraprofessionals to improve the quality of support provided by		Formative		Summative
instructional aides to students in the classroom.	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Special Programs Director				
Strategy 9 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 9: Continue to focus training on effective instructional practices, and consistent implementation of classroom	Formative			Summative
instruction that meets the depth and complexity called for by the student expectations.	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Effective teachers will drive student success. Teachers who are confident and successful are more likely to stay in the profession.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: District Curriculum Director, Campus Administrators				
Strategy 10 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 10: Offer staff surveys to evaluate professional development offerings within the district.		Formative		Summative
	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

Performance Objective 1: Safety and Operations will provide strategic leadership to direct resources to provide students and staff with a safe and secure environment.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Provide a safe and orderly environment.	Formative			Summative
	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Effectively manage resources.		Formative		Summative
	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Develop and implement the Mathis I.S.D. Defender Program.	Formative			Summative
	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 4: Ensure all students in required courses participate in a coordinated school health program that emphasizes	Formative			Summative
moderate to vigorous physical activity regularly in physical education.	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Strategy 5 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 5: Counselors and teachers will coordinate efforts to ensure students are provided information and counseling		Formative		Summative
related to suicide prevention, violence prevention/intervention, positive conflict resolution, bullying, dealing with death, child abuse, harassment, and dating violence.		Dec	Feb	June
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6				

Strategy 6 Details		Rev	riews	
Strategy 6: Utilize the School Health Advisory Committee (SHAC) to make recommendations to the district's wellness		Summative		
policy.	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Title I:				
4.2				
Strategy 7 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 7: All personnel will be trained on specific procedures for responding and intervening with bullying, sexual	Formative Summ			
harassment, dating violence, and sexual violence.	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Strategy 8 Details		Rev	riews	
Strategy 8: Continue to utilize canine services to ensure drug-free campuses.	ne services to ensure drug-free campuses. Formative Summ			Summative
	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

Performance Objective 2: Business office will ensure the district's financial resources are effectively managed to provide the necessary resources to achieve the instructional goals and objectives of the district.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Manage resources to promote student success.	Formative			Summative
	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	riews	
Strategy 2: Adjust long-range facilities to accommodate changes in increased classroom needs.		Formative		Summative
	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	riews	
Strategy 3: Conduct exterior facilities assessments for all district facilities.		Formative So		
	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	riews	
Strategy 4: Annually prepare and update by spring, a financial budget for the district that is tied to the tax-base estimate.	Formative Su			Summative
	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	riews	
Strategy 5: Continue to implement a succession plan for key staffing positions.		Formative		Summative
	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Strategy 6 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 6: Implement a system and timeline to regularly evaluate remaining and/or new programs for fidelity and		Formative		Summative
efficiency.	Sept	Dec	Feb	June

Strategy 7 Details			Reviews			
Strategy 7: Promote and ensure a culture of appreciation, support and understanding for all employees.				Formative		Summative
			Sept	Dec	Feb	June
% No Progress	Accomplished	Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		•

Performance Objective 3: Technology will support academic and operational excellence by transforming technology to ensure student and staff success.

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Provide access to technology to gather information, write, collaborate and communicate learning.	Formative Sumr			Summative	
	Sept	Dec	Feb	June	
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 2: Provide ongoing staff development that provides opportunities for instructional staff to learn how to effectively	Formative Su			Summative	
integrate technology in the classroom.	Sept	Dec	Feb	June	
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		•	

Performance Objective 4: All students will be provided opportunities for extracurricular activities provided by the district.

Strategy 1 Details			Reviews				
Strategy 1: UIL				Formative Su			Summative
				Sept	Dec	Feb	June
	% No Progress	Accomplished	Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 5: All students will have healthy meals provided by the district.

Strategy 1 Details Reviews		views		
Strategy 1: Student Participation		Formative		
	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 2: Food service staff development		Formative		Summative
	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Structurer 2 Details		Do	viores	
Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			- I c
Strategy 3: Food service data management		Formative	T	Summative
	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Strategy 4 Details		Re	views	
Strategy 4: Compliance reporting	Formative Summa			Summative
	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
Strategy 5 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 5: Maintain food service facilities		Formative		Summative
	Sept	Dec	Feb	June
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	 ntinue		

Performance Objective 6: All students will have access to a health and wellness plan.

Strategy 1 Details			Reviews				
Strategy 1: Health Care				Formative Sun			Summative
				Sept	Dec	Feb	June
	% No Progress	Accomplished	Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

State Compensatory

Budget for District Improvement Plan

Total SCE Funds: \$0.00

Total FTEs Funded by SCE: 59.478

Brief Description of SCE Services and/or Programs

Personnel for District Improvement Plan

<u>Name</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>FTE</u>
Angelica Lara-Vidaurri	Teacher	1
Anna Leal	Teacher	1
Araceli Medrano	Campus Support - Teacher Aide	1
Aubrey Adams	Teacher	1
Bernadette Gibson	Campus Support - Library Aide	1
Braydon Maxwell	Admin - Asst. Principal	1
Brenda Alaniz	Teacher	1
Brenda Leal	Campus Counselor	1
Carolina Salinas	Teacher Aide	1
Christie Nitzel	Teacher	1
Christopher Thrash	Band Director	1
Cristina Barrera	Teacher	0.9899
Cynthia Jimenez	Teacher	1
David Garcia	Admin - Asst. Principal	1
Diana Sanchez	Teacher	1
Elizabeth Goldston	Teacher	1
Elizabeth Phillips	Teacher	1
Enedina Deleon	Campus Support - Teacher Aide	1
Erica Paiz Vasquez	Teacher	1

<u>Name</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>FTE</u>
Ernesto Deluna	Admin - Attendance - Parent Liason	1
Francisco Barrera	Teacher	1
Gail Gutierrez-Wilkins	District Support - Mental Health Counsel	0.5
Heather Anderson	Campus Counselor	1
Isidra Torres	Campus Support - Teacher Aide	1
Israel Garcia	Campus Support - Technology	1
Jaime Garcia	Campus Support - Technology	1
Jennifer Davis	Teacher	1
Jennifer Ramirez	Campus Support - Teacher Aide	1
Jesse Dolin	Admin - Asst. Principal	1
Jessica Reyes	Teacher	1
Joanna Hinojosa	Campus Support - Teacher Aide	1
Jonas Quintanilla	Band Director	1
Jose Avila	Admin- Technology Director	1
Keith Talbert	Teacher	1
Lacy Brauchle	Admin - Curriculum Director	1
Leo Cano	Admin - DAEP Principal	1
Lindsay Romero	Teacher	0.9883
Lydia Perez Martinez	Teacher Aide	1
Margaret Eckhoff	Admin - Asst Principal	1
Maria Bordayo	Campus Support - Teacher Aide	1
Marivel Ortiz	Campus Support - Teacher Aide	1
Mary Del Moral	Teacher Aide	1
Nicole Tucker	Admin - Asst. Principal	1
Ostolia Garcia	Teacher	1
Rebecca Barnes	Teacher Aide	1
Rebecca McDonald	Campus Counselor	1
Robert Gonzalez	Campus Support - Technology	1
Ronnette Guevara	Teacher	1
Rosalinda Guzman	Teacher	1
Ruben Medrano, Jr.	Campus Support - Teacher Aide	1

<u>Name</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>FTE</u>
San Juanita Gonzalez	Teacher	1
Sandra Quinones	Campus Support - Teacher Aide	1
Sofia Gonzales	Teacher	1
Sylvia Benavides	Campus Support - Teacher Aide	1
Tammie Younts	Teacher	1
Thania Zamora	Campus Support - Teacher Aide	1
Vanessa Campuzano	Campus Support - Library Aide	1
Veronica Gutierrez	Admin - Curriculum Director	1
Vonnie Small	Teacher	1
Walter Golding	Campus Support - Technology	1

Addendums

STUDENT WELFARE FREEDOM FROM BULLYING

FFI (LEGAL)

Definitions

"Bullying":

Bullying

- Means a single significant act or a pattern of acts by one or more students directed at another student that exploits an imbalance of power and involves engaging in written or verbal expression, expression through electronic means, or physical conduct that satisfies the applicability requirements below and that:
 - a. Has the effect or will have the effect of physically harming a student, damaging a student's property, or placing a student in reasonable fear of harm to the student's person or of damage to the student's property;
 - b. Is sufficiently severe, persistent, or pervasive enough that the action or threat creates an intimidating, threatening, or abusive educational environment for a student;
 - Materially and substantially disrupts the educational process or the orderly operation of a classroom or school;
 or
 - d. Infringes on the rights of the victim at school; and
- 2. Includes cyberbullying.

Cyberbullying

"Cyberbullying" means bullying that is done through the use of any electronic communication device, including through the use of a cellular or other type of telephone, a computer, a camera, electronic mail, instant messaging, text messaging, a social media application, an Internet website, or any other Internet-based communication tool.

Applicability

These provisions apply to:

- Bullying that occurs on or is delivered to school property or to the site of a school-sponsored or school-related activity on or off school property;
- Bullying that occurs on a publicly or privately owned school bus or vehicle being used for transportation of students to or from school or a school-sponsored or school-related activity; and
- Cyberbullying that occurs off school property or outside of a school-sponsored or school-related activity if the cyberbullying:
 - a. Interferes with a student's educational opportunities; or

DATE ISSUED: 11/3/2017

UPDATE 109 FFI(LEGAL)-P

STUDENT WELFARE FREEDOM FROM BULLYING

FFI (LEGAL)

 Substantially disrupts the orderly operation of a classroom, school, or school-sponsored or school-related activity.

Policy

The board shall adopt a policy, including any necessary procedures, concerning bullying that:

- 1. Prohibits the bullying of a student;
- Prohibits retaliation against any person, including a victim, a witness, or another person, who in good faith provides information concerning an incident of bullying;
- 3. Establishes a procedure for providing notice of an incident of bullying to:
 - A parent or guardian of the alleged victim on or before the third business day after the date the incident is reported; and
 - b. A parent or guardian of the alleged bully within a reasonable amount of time after the incident;
- 4. Establishes the actions a student should take to obtain assistance and intervention in response to bullying;
- 5. Sets out the available counseling options for a student who is a victim of or a witness to bullying or who engages in bullying;
- Establishes procedures for reporting an incident of bullying, including procedures for a student to anonymously report an incident of bullying, investigating a reported incident of bullying, and determining whether the reported incident of bullying occurred;
- 7. Prohibits the imposition of a disciplinary measure on a student who, after an investigation, is found to be a victim of bullying, on the basis of that student's use of reasonable self-defense in response to the bullying; and
- 8. Requires that discipline for bullying of a student with disabilities comply with applicable requirements under federal law, including the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (20 U.S.C. Section 1400 et seq.).

The policy and any necessary procedures must be included annually in the student and employee handbooks and in the district improvement plan under Education Code 11.252. [See BQ]

Internet Posting

The procedure for reporting bullying must be posted on a district's Internet Web site to the extent practicable.

DATE ISSUED: 11/3/2017

UPDATE 109 FFI(LEGAL)-P

STUDENT WELFARE FREEDOM FROM BULLYING

FFI (LEGAL)

Prevention and Mediation

A district may establish a district-wide policy to assist in the prevention and mediation of bullying incidents between students that:

- 1. Interfere with a student's educational opportunities; or
- 2. Substantially disrupt the orderly operation of a classroom, school, or school-sponsored or school-related activity.

Education Code 37.0832

DATE ISSUED: 11/3/2017

UPDATE 109 FFI(LEGAL)-P





Putting It All Together: Roadmap Overview and Reporting Template

Instructions

Please complete each field below. A district leader or staffer who was strongly involved with the equity work your district undertook while completing the five tools of this toolkit should complete this template with the support from the overall equity planning team. Each section of the reporting template can be filled in by copying and pasting the information from the end of each step in the toolkit.

If you have any questions, please reach out to the Equity Toolkit lead at your local ESC.

District Reporting Template

District Name	Mathis I.S.D.
County District Number (CDN)	205904
Date	October 30, 2018
Name/E-mail of District Point Person	Benny P. Hernandez, Superintendent



Results of Step 1. Engaging and Communicating with Stakeholders

Note that TEA does not require districts to report the outcomes of their stakeholder engagement planning. Your team may move forward to Step 2 to begin reporting the outcomes of your

district's equity plan development.



Results of Step 2. Reviewing and Analyzing Data

For this next set of items, please refer to the "Putting It All Together: Incorporating Your Reviewing and Analyzing Data Efforts Into Your Roadmap Reporting Template" from the *Step 2. Reviewing and*

Analyzing Data tool. Please transfer the information from the end of Step 2 into the spaces below.

Districts with four or more campuses should complete Table A. Districts with three or fewer campuses should complete Table B. All districts also must respond to the two questions following Table B on your district's definition of effective teaching.

Table A. Districts with Four or More Campuses—Reporting Template for Calculating Equity Gaps for Inexperienced and Out-of-Field Teachers

		Percentages of:						
Row	Comparison	Inexperienced Teachers	Out-of-Field Teachers					
Equity	y Gap Calculations: Low-Income Students							
Α	High-poverty quartile	10%	0%					
В	Low-poverty quartile	6%	0%					
С	District equity gap: High-poverty quartile minus low-poverty quartile (row A-row B)	4%	0%					
D	State average ^a	14.4%	8.95%					
E	State equity gap: High-poverty quartile minus state average (row A-row D)	-4.4%	-8.95%					
Equity	Gap Calculations: Students of Color							
F	High-minority quartile	6%	0%					
G	Low-minority quartile	10%	0%					
Н	District equity gap: High-minority quartile minus low-minority quartile (row F-row G)	-4%	0%					
I	State equity gap: High-minority quartile minus state average (row F-row D)	-8.4%	-8.95%					

^a State averages for inexperienced and out-of-field teachers are available and updated annually on the TEA Equity Toolkit website.

What is your district's definition of effective teaching?

In the fields below, record the definitions of effective teaching according to the three categories included in the table. Provide a description of your rationale for these three definitions.

Our District's Definition of Effective Teaching:

Teaching Performance	Student Learning	Student Engagement
Selected data to measure teaching performance:	Selected data to measure student learning	Selected data to measure student engagement:
T-TESS Ratings	MAP RIT Scores STAAR / EOC	Classroom Observations Power Walk Data
Definition of effective teaching using these data	Definition of effective teaching using these data	Definition of effective teaching using these data
Teachers who receive Proficient or above on all dimensions of T-TESS will be considered as having effective teaching practices.	Teachers whose progress measure reflects at least one year's growth on STAAR/MAP RIT Scores for at least 80% of their students will be considered as having effective teaching practices.	Teachers who receive Proficient of above on the learning environment dimension of T-TESS will be considered as having effective teaching practices.

After examining the equity gaps in are your conclusions?	your district related to student ac	cess to effective teaching, what



Results of Step 3. Conducting a Root Cause Analysis

For this next set of items, please refer to the "Putting It All Together: Incorporating Your Conducting a Root Cause Analysis Efforts Into Your Roadmap Reporting Template" section of your RCA tool and transfer

the information to the following spaces.

Problem Statement:										
One of our high-poverty campuses is at-risk of being served by a larger percentage of inexperienced teachers than campuses serving fewer low-income students.										
Root Causes as They Relate to ATTRACTING Excellent Teachers Root Causes as They Relate to SUPPORTING Excellent Teachers Root Causes as They Relate to RETAINING Excellent Teachers										
Because financial incentives are minimal, recruitment efforts tend only to work for inexperienced teachers. As a result, more experienced teachers tend to be attracted to districts with higher salaries and incentive packages.	The principals lack resources for supporting inexperienced teachers.	The district is attempting to create a system to gauge campus climate to better understand teaching condition challenges and aim to improve the conditions so we can improve teacher retention.								



Results of Steps 4 and 5. Selecting Strategies and

Planning for Implementation

For this next set of items, please refer to the "Putting It All Together: Incorporating Your Selecting Strategies Efforts Into Your Roadmap

Reporting Template" section of your Selecting Strategies tool and Planning for Implementation tool.

Complete the following tables by first listing your district's long-term outcomes. These are your high-level goals that should occur in the next 2–5 years if your strategies are successful. Then, list the strategies your district will implement to address the root causes of the equity gaps in your district.

Long-Term Outcomes (from Step 5):

We will expect to retain our teachers and hire teachers with more experience within the next two years.

Identified Root Cause (from Step 3)	Selected Equity Plan Strategies (from Step 4)	Outputs Benchmark 1 (from Step 5)	Short-Term Outcome Benchmark 2 (from Step 5)	Mid-Term Outcome Benchmark 3 (from Step 5)
Because financial incentives are minimal, recruitment efforts tend only to work for inexperienced teachers. As a result, more experienced teachers tend to be attracted to districts with higher salaries and incentive packages.	Compare and maintain teacher salary pay scales to make our salaries competitive with those around our region.	We will conduct a salary scale analysis of the districts around our region. We will work within district financial means to maintain a reasonable fit for salary pay scale. We will offer a retention bonus in December.	We will see a higher rate of teacher retention.	We will continue to retain teachers, therefore, increasing our number of experienced teachers in the classroom.
The principals lack resources for supporting inexperienced teachers.	Create district walk through form to assess instructional needs across the district. Provide leadership professional	Review classroom walk throughs and develop consistent classroom	Require 3-5 classroom walk throughs per week with coaching feedback	Maintain effective documentation on instructional observations and coaching to support

Identified Root Cause (from Step 3)	Selected Equity Plan Strategies (from Step 4)	Outputs Benchmark 1 (from Step 5)	Short-Term Outcome Benchmark 2 (from Step 5)	Mid-Term Outcome Benchmark 3 (from Step 5)
	development to principals focused on mentoring/coaching teachers.	instructional expectations.	emailed to teachers.	inexperienced teachers.
The district is attempting to create a system to gauge campus climate to better understand teaching condition challenges and aim to improve the conditions so we can improve teacher retention.	We will conduct staff surveys in order to better understand the teaching challenges. Supt. and team will meet with staff to gauge the climate and address concerns as they arise.	We will conduct an analysis of employee service records within the district. We will review the responses as a leadership team and brainstorm possible solutions to address the teaching condition challenges throughout the district.	We will see an increase in the number of employees returning to the district with an improvement in campus climate and teacher morale.	We will continue to retain teachers, therefore, increasing our number of experienced teachers in the classroom.

any additional information you think is necessary to understanding your plan.
After examining the equity gaps, Mathis Independent School District has no equity gap. All students have equal access to effective teaching. However, we developed our root cause analysis based on the potential for our campuses to develop an equity gap.

Use this box to provide any additional insights you learned from completing this process or provide

Appendix

List of Resources to Support Local Equity Plan Development, by Topic Area

Topic Area	Resource and Link to Access Resource
Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA)	 Information from the U.S. Department of Education (ED) (http://www.ed.gov/essa?src=rn. Information on equity as it relates to states is included in section (1111(g)(1)(B)). Information on equity as it relates to districts is included in section (1112(b)(2)).
Equity Plans	 State Equity Plans—plans submitted by all of the states to ED in 2015 (https://www2.ed.gov/programs/titleiparta/resources.html). Texas 2015 Equity Plan (http://tea.texas.gov/About_TEA/Laws_and_Rules/NCLB_and_ESEA/Title_I, Part_A -
Engaging and Communicating with Stakeholders	 The Center on Great Teachers and Leaders (GTL Center) has developed sample stakeholder engagement meeting agendas for various formats (http://www.gtlcenter.org/sites/default/files/GTL Resource 04 Agendas-ed-fmt.doc). Communications planning resource from the GTL Center (http://www.gtlcenter.org/sites/default/files/Communication_Guidebook.pdf). Developing key messages—Ideas and suggestions from the GTL Center (http://www.gtlcenter.org/sites/default/files/Communication_Guidebook.pdf). Gathering stakeholder feedback with a feedback form from the GTL Center that districts can use or repurpose (http://www.gtlcenter.org/sites/default/files/GTL_Resource_05_IncorpFeedback-ed-fmt.doc).
Reviewing and Analyzing Data	 ED definition of "out-of-field" teachers (https://www2.ed.gov/policy/elsec/leg/esea02/pg20.html). Best practices in defining an "ineffective" teacher. Resource from the GTL Center Teacher Effectiveness in the Every Student Succeeds Act: A Discussion Guide (http://www.gtlcenter.org/sites/default/files/TeacherEffectiveness_ESSA.pdf). The reference above also helps guide consideration of the role district resources, including time, money, and educator engagement, play in the decision-making process in defining effective teaching. Texas Data Checklist (list of data elements available to most districts in Texas; LINK TBD). Data from the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) (2015-2016) sources. Code tables available at http://ritter.tea.state.tx.us/peims/standards/weds/. Definition of person of color—New Oxford American Dictionary (2015) definition is a person of color is a person who is not White or of European parentage (https://en.oxforddictionaries.com/definition/us/person of color). The PEIMS 110 record includes an "at-risk indicator code." This code indicates whether a student is currently identified as at-risk of dropping out of school using state-defined criteria that are based on whether the student meets one or more of 13 criteria. For more information, please visit http://ritter.tea.state.tx.us/peims/standards/weds/. Chronic absenteeism refers to excessive absences during the school year while truancy refers to a certain number or certain frequency of unexcused absences. In Texas, chronic absenteeism generally refers to a student who is absent for 10% or more of the days school is offered (see Texas. Education Code §25.092; http://www.statutes.legis.state.

Topic Area	Resource and Link to Access Resource
	 Research on within campus inequitable student access to effective teachers—Goldhaber, D., Lavery, L., & Theobald, R. (2014). Uneven playing field? Assessing the inequity of teacher characteristics and measured performance across students (CEDR Working Paper 2014-14). Seattle: University of Washington. Retrieved from http://www.cedr.us/papers/working/CEDR%20WP%202014-4.pdf.
Conducting a Root Cause Analysis	 Problems of Practice Related to Talent Management—As districts explore the root causes of their equity gaps, it may be helpful to carefully examine current talent management strategies, policies, and practices and consider how they may be supporting or hindering equitable access. The following GTL Center resources and tools can help districts as they consider how their current approaches support attracting, supporting, and retaining excellent educators in their highest need campuses. Talent Development Framework (http://www.gtlcenter.org/sites/default/files/14-2591_GTL_Talent_Dev_Framework-ed_110714.pdf). Creating Coherence and Alignment Tool (http://www.gtlcenter.org/sites/default/files/Coherence_Alignment_Tool.pdf). Talent Management Strategies: Districts Self-Assessment Checklist (https://easn.grads360.org/#communities/pdc/documents/12611). After conducting a root cause analysis, districts may want to collect feedback from participants/stakeholders. Your district may develop its own feedback form, or you could choose to use or adapt an existing feedback form like the one developed by the GTL Center available at http://www.gtlcenter.org/sites/default/files/GTL_Resource_05_IncorpFeedback-ed-fmt.doc.
Selecting Strategies	 How to select strategies to address equity gaps—The GTL Center's Research-Supported Implementation Tips for Equitable Access Plan Strategies resource (http://www.gtlcenter.org/sites/default/files/Implementation_Tips.pdf). Reviewing existing strategies may prompt some districts to consider a more comprehensive review of the alignment and coherence of their district's policies and practices. The resources below can assist those efforts. Talent Development Framework (http://www.gtlcenter.org/sites/default/files/14-2591 GTL Talent Dev Framework-ed 110714.pdf). Creating Coherence and Alignment Tool (http://www.gtlcenter.org/sites/default/files/Coherence_Alignment_Tool.pdf). Talent Management Strategies: Districts Self-Assessment Checklist (https://easn.grads360.org/#communities/pdc/documents/12611).
Planning for Implementation	 Developing a logic model. Although a formal logic model is not required when planning for implementing your district strategies, it might be helpful to create one, especially if you are using many strategies. Logic model development resources are available from: The Kellogg Foundation (https://www.resource/2006/02/wk-kellogg-foundation-logic-model-development-guide). Regional Education Laboratory Northeast and Islands (http://www.relnei.org/events/skill-builder-archive/logic-model-to-program-evaluation.html). If you need help generating a list of common barriers, you can refer to the GTL Center's Monitoring Tool for a list of common barriers (http://www.gtlcenter.org/sites/default/files/Monitoring_Tool.pdf).

2019-20 Texas Academic Performance Report

District Name: MATHIS ISD

District Number: 205904

2020 Accountability Rating: Not Rated: Declared State of Disaster

2020 Special Education Determination Status:

Meets Requirements

This page is intentionally blank.

District Name: MATHIS ISD County Name: SAN PATRICIO District Number: 205904

		State	Region 02	ı District	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed (Current)	Special Ed (Former)	Continu- ously Enrolled	Non- Continu- ously Enrolled	Econ Disadv	EL (Current & Monitored)
STAAR Performance Rates by Tested Grade, Subject, and Performance Level																	
Grade 3 Reading At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2019 2018	76% 77%	76% 77%	79% 89%	<u>-</u> -	76% 90%	88% 83%	-	*	-	*	73% *	*	75% 91%	95% 79%	75% 88%	67% *
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019 2018	45% 43%	43% 42%	36% 43%	-	36% 40%	31% 67%	-	*	-	*	45% *	*	37% 43%	30% 43%	32% 39%	50% *
At Masters Grade Level	2019 2018	27% 25%	25% 24%	15% 20%	-	12% 17%	19% 42%	-	*	-	*	9% *	*	14% 20%	15% 21%	11% 17%	33%
Grade 3 Mathematics At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2019	79%	79%	91%	_	91%	94%	_	*	_	*	82%	*	90%	100%	92%	67%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2018 2019 2018	78% 49% 47%	79% 47% 46%	90% 46% 53%	-	90% 43% 55%	92% 56% 50%	-	*	-	* *	* 64% *	*	91% 44% 56%	86% 55% 36%	89% 43% 53%	* 33% *
At Masters Grade Level	2019 2018	25% 23%	23% 21%	21% 23%	- -	14% 24%	44% 17%	- - -	*	- - -	*	27%	*	19% 23%	30% 21%	19% 23%	33%
Grade 4 Reading At Approaches Grade Level or																	
Above	2019 2018	75% 73%	77% 73%	91% 59%	- *	90% 60%	100% 60%	-	- *	-	- *	* 53%	- *	94% 58%	79% 67%	90% 58%	*
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019 2018	44% 46%	44% 44%	57% 35%	*	54% 35%	89% 30%	-	*	-	*	*	*	60% 34%	47% 39%	55% 34%	*
At Masters Grade Level Grade 4 Mathematics	2019 2018	22% 24%	21% 22%	30% 16%	*	28% 14%	56% 30%	-	*	-	*	* 7%	*	30% 15%	32% 22%	30% 15%	*
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2019	75%	75%	93%	_	93%	100%	_	_			*	_	95%	84%	92%	*
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2018 2019	78% 48%	78% 44%	88% 64%	*	87% 62%	91% 89%	-	*	-	*	71% *	*	88% 69%	89% 42%	88% 64%	*
At Masters Grade Level	2018 2019 2018	49% 28% 27%	46% 24% 25%	45% 33% 20%	* - *	45% 32% 20%	55% 44% 18%	-	* - *	-	* - *	41% * 6%	* - *	42% 36% 22%	61% 21% 11%	44% 34% 20%	* * *
Grade 4 Writing At Approaches Grade Level or	2010	<i>∠1 7</i> 0	ZJ /0	20 /0		2070	1070	-		-		U 70		ZZ 70	1170	ZU 70	
Above	2019 2018	67% 63%	67% 59%	79% 41%	- *	78% 42%	89% 22%	-	*	-	*	* 27%	*	85% 43%	53% 29%	79% 40%	*
At Meets Grade Level or Above At Masters Grade Level	2019 2018 2019	35% 39% 11%	33% 36% 9%	41% 23% 9%	*	41% 23% 9%	33% 22% 11%	-	*	-	*	* 20% *	*	45% 23% 8%	21% 24% 11%	42% 21% 10%	* * *
ALIVIASIEIS GIAUE LEVEI	2019	11%	9% 8%	2%	*	2%	0%	-	*	-	*	0%	*	1%	6%	1%	*

District Name: MATHIS ISD County Name: SAN PATRICIO District Number: 205904

		State	Regior 02		African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed (Current)	Special Ed (Former)	Continu- ously Enrolled	Non- Continu- ously Enrolled	Econ Disadv	EL (Current & Monitored)
Grade 5 Reading [^]																	
At Approaches Grade Level or																	
Above	2019	86%	85%	89%	*	88%	90%	-	*	-	-	80%	*	87%	96%	88%	*
At Masta Crada Laval or Abaya	2018	84%	82%	79%	*	79%	75%	*	- *	-	-	59%	*	79%	78%	78%	80% *
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019 2018	54% 54%	49% 49%	41% 36%	-	41% 37%	40% 38%	*	_	-	-	40% 24%	*	42% 35%	36% 43%	39% 35%	40%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	29%	25%	24%	*	24%	20%	-	*	-	-	20%	*	27%	12%	23%	*
	2018	26%	23%	14%	-	13%	25%	*	-	-	-	0%	*	12%	26%	13%	0%
Grade 5 Mathematics [^]																	
At Approaches Grade Level or	2040	000/	000/	0.00/	*	050/	1000/					050/		070/	020/	050/	
Above	2019 2018	90% 91%	89% 89%	96% 84%	-	95% 84%	100% 75%	*	*	-	-	95% 71%	*	97% 84%	92% 83%	95% 84%	* 100%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2016	58%	52%	55%	*	52%	75% 70%	_	*	-	_	65%	*	53%	64%	54%	100%
At Micels Glade Level of Above	2018	58%	53%	32%	_	32%	38%	*	_	_	_	24%	*	27%	57%	31%	60%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	36%	30%	24%	*	22%	30%	- *	*	-	-	25%	*	26%	16%	24%	*
Grade 5 Science	2018	30%	25%	11%	-	11%	13%	*	-	-	-	12%	*	7%	30%	10%	20%
At Approaches Grade Level or																	
Above	2019	75%	72%	83%	*	83%	80%	_	*	_	_	95%	*	84%	80%	82%	*
	2018	76%	72%	82%	-	83%	75%	*	-	-	-	59%	*	81%	87%	82%	100%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	49%	44%	37%	*	37%	40%	-	*	-	-	45%	*	40%	24%	34%	*
At Masteria Considert avial	2018	41%	36%	36%	- *	36%	38%	*	*	-	-	29%	*	32%	52%	35%	40% *
At Masters Grade Level	2019 2018	24% 17%	20% 14%	18% 13%	-	17% 13%	30% 13%	*	-	-	-	30% 12%	*	18% 12%	20% 22%	17% 13%	20%
Grade 6 Reading																	
At Approaches Grade Level or																	
Above	2019	68%	64%	51%	_	52%	40%	*	_	_	_	29%	*	51%	47%	51%	40%
715000	2018	69%	66%	65%	_	64%	83%	_	_	_	_	57%	*	63%	78%	62%	78%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	37%	32%	30%	-	31%	0%	*	-	-	-	19%	*	28%	40%	29%	0%
	2018	39%	35%	33%	-	34%	17%	-	-	-	-	43%	*	34%	28%	29%	67%
At Masters Grade Level	2019 2018	18% 19%	14% 15%	15% 18%	-	16% 18%	0% 17%	*	-	-	-	10% 29%	*	13% 17%	33% 22%	14% 16%	0% 44%
Grade 6 Mathematics	2010	1970	1370	10 /0	-	1070	17 70	-	-	-	-	2970		17 70	22 70	1070	44 70
At Approaches Grade Level or																	
Above	2019	81%	75%	73%	_	75%	40%	*	_	_	_	52%	*	72%	87%	74%	100%
	2018	77%	73%	75%	-	76%	67%	-	-	-	-	67%	*	77%	67%	74%	89%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	47%	37%	29%	-	29%	40%	*	-	-	-	24%	*	26%	47%	28%	20%
At Marataura Consider Laurel	2018	44%	37%	37%	-	37%	50%	- *	-	-	-	33%	*	38%	33%	36%	56%
At Masters Grade Level	2019 2018	21% 18%	13% 14%	9% 14%	-	10% 14%	0% 17%	-	-	-	-	14% 20%	*	7% 16%	27% 6%	8% 13%	0% 44%
Grade 7 Reading At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2019	76%	71%	78%	_	77%	*	_	_	_	_	60%	*	77%	82%	75%	71%
	2018	74%	69%	66%	*	65%	67%	-	-	-	-	33%	*	65%	68%	65%	89%

District Name: MATHIS ISD County Name: SAN PATRICIO District Number: 205904

		State	Region 02	District	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed (Current)	Special Ed (Former)	Continu- ously Enrolled	Non- Continu- ously Enrolled	Econ Disadv	EL (Current & Monitored)
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	49%	43%	48%	-	48%	*	-	-	-	-	47%	*	50%	35%	45%	71%
At Masters Grade Level	2018 2019 2018	48% 29% 29%	42% 24% 22%	42% 30% 18%	* - *	41% 30% 19%	67% * 17%	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	22% 27% 22%	* * *	42% 32% 19%	42% 18% 16%	40% 25% 17%	78% 71% 44%
Grade 7 Mathematics At Approaches Grade Level or	20.0	2070	,,	.0,0		.570	.,,,							.5 / 0	.070	,.	,0
Above	2019 2018	75% 72%	73% 68%	69% 70%	- *	69% 69%	* 67%	-	-	-	-	40% 50%	*	70% 70%	65% 68%	66% 68%	86% 100%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2016 2019 2018	43% 40%	39% 35%	70% 38% 27%	- *	38% 28%	6/% * 0%	-	- - -	- - -	-	33% 20%	*	41% 26%	24% 32%	34% 25%	71% 67%
At Masters Grade Level	2019 2018	17% 18%	15% 15%	15% 14%	- *	15% 15%	*	-	-	-	-	13% 20%	*	15% 15%	12% 11%	12% 13%	29% 44%
Grade 7 Writing	20.0	.070	.0 / 0	, ,		.0,0	0,0					2070		.0,0	,0	.070	,0
At Approaches Grade Level or																	
Above	2019 2018	70% 69%	65% 65%	66% 59%	*	66% 58%	* 67%	-	-	-	-	40% 38%	*	67% 57%	59% 68%	61% 58%	71% 78%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	42%	36%	34%	-	34%	*	-	-	-	-	27%	*	34%	35%	32%	71%
	2018	43%	37%	26%	*	26%	33%	-	-	-	-	25%	*	25%	37%	25%	67%
At Masters Grade Level	2019 2018	18% 15%	14% 10%	16% 8%	*	16% 8%	* 0%	-	-	-	-	13% 25%	*	18% 7%	6% 11%	13% 7%	57% 22%
Grade 8 Reading^ At Approaches Grade Level or																	
Above	2019	86%	83%	86%	*	86%	* 70%	-	-	-	-	50%	*	84%	100%	85%	88%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2018 2019 2018	86% 55% 49%	83% 49% 45%	85% 59% 50%	*	86% 58% 53%	70% * 20%	-	- - -	-	- - -	53% 20% 33%	*	87% 60% 52%	77% 50% 41%	84% 54% 49%	100% 88% 60%
At Masters Grade Level	2019 2018	28% 27%	23% 23%	25% 28%	*	25% 30%	*	- -	-	-	-	10% 7%	*	25% 31%	21% 14%	23% 26%	63% 40%
Grade 8 Mathematics [^] At Approaches Grade Level or																	
Above	2019 2018	88% 86%	86% 85%	88% 84%	*	87% 84%	* 80%	-	-	-	-	60% 47%	*	88% 86%	85% 77%	85% 82%	88% 100%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2016 2019 2018	57% 51%	52% 46%	51% 43%	*	52% 44%	30%	-	-	-	-	30% 33%	*	50% 45%	62% 32%	49% 40%	88% 20%
At Masters Grade Level	2019 2018	17% 15%	13% 12%	17% 4%	*	16% 5%	0%	-	-	-	-	20% 20%	*	19% 3%	0% 9%	15% 4%	50% 0%
Grade 8 Science	2010	1570	12 /0	470		370	070					2070		370	370	470	070
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2019	81%	75%	73%	*	72%	*	_	_	_	_	30%	*	72%	77%	72%	88%
, 1501	2019	76%	70%	70%	*	70%	60%	-	_	-	_	33%	*	72%	64%	68%	60%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	51%	41%	36%	*	35%	*	-	-	-	-	20%	*	36%	31%	30%	75%
At Masters Crade Level	2018	52%	44%	39% 13%	*	39%	40% *	-	-	-	-	27%	*	41%	32%	37%	20%
At Masters Grade Level	2019 2018	25% 28%	17% 21%	13% 19%	*	13% 18%	30%	-	-	-	-	10% 13%	*	14% 17%	8% 27%	10% 19%	38% 0%

District Name: MATHIS ISD County Name: SAN PATRICIO District Number: 205904

		State	Region 02	District	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed (Current)	Special Ed (Former)	Continu- ously Enrolled	Non- Continu- ously Enrolled	Econ Disadv	EL (Current & Monitored)
Grade 8 Social Studies																	
At Approaches Grade Level or																	
Above	2019 2018	69% 65%	63% 59%	58% 58%	*	58% 58%	* 60%	-	-	-	-	20% 33%	*	59% 60%	46% 50%	55% 54%	75% 60%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019 2018	37% 36%	30% 28%	22% 23%	*	22% 24%	* 20%	-	-	-	-	20% 20%	*	24% 26%	8% 14%	20% 22%	38% 0%
At Masters Grade Level	2019 2018	21% 21%	15% 15%	12% 7%	*	12% 7%	10%	-	-	-	-	0% 13%	*	12% 6%	8% 9%	13% 7%	38% 0%
End of Course English I At Approaches Grade Level or																	
Above	2019 2018	68% 65%	64% 60%	71% 61%	*	71% 60%	60% 75%	*	-	-	*	42% 29%	* 60%	71% 62%	68% 56%	67% 58%	80%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2018 2019 2018	50% 44%	43% 37%	44% 34%	*	43% 33%	50% 63%	*	-	-	*	21% 14%	20%	43% 35%	50% 50% 31%	39% 30%	0%
At Masters Grade Level	2018 2019 2018	11% 7%	8% 5%	7% 3%	*	7% 3%	10% 0%	*	-	-	*	5% 7%	20% * 0%	6% 4%	11% 0%	6% 3%	0%
End of Course English II	2010	7 70	370	370		370	0 70		_	_	_	7 70	0 /0	470	070	370	
At Approaches Grade Level or	2010																at.
Above	2019 2018	68% 67%	66% 63%	76% 72%	*	77% 73%	70% 63%	*	-	-	-	58% 40%	*	77% 73%	71% 67%	74% 70%	*
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019 2018	49% 48%	44% 41%	46% 47%	*	47% 48%	40% 25%	*	-	-	-	17% 30%	*	46% 48%	47% 40%	41% 45%	*
At Masters Grade Level	2019 2018	8% 8%	5% 5%	3% 4%	*	3% 4%	0% 0%	*	-	-	-	17% 10%	*	3% 3%	0% 7%	2% 4%	*
End of Course Algebra I																	
At Approaches Grade Level or																	
Above	2019 2018	85% 83%	86% 83%	65% 79%	*	63% 78%	71% 100%	-	-	-	*	44% 50%	*	66% 78%	59% 81%	60% 77%	*
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	61%	64%	26%	*	24%	57%	-	-	-	*	25%	*	28%	15%	22%	*
At Masters Grade Level	2018 2019	55% 37%	54% 41%	39% 13%	*	38% 12%	56% 14%	-	-	-	*	10% 19%	*	38% 14%	48% 7%	39% 9%	*
End of Course Biology	2018	32%	31%	16%	*	15%	22%	-	-	-	-	0%	*	14%	24%	16%	*
At Approaches Grade Level or																	
Above	2019 2018	88% 87%	85% 82%	91% 83%	*	90% 83%	100% 100%	-	-	-	*	69% 73%	*	90% 82%	95% 89%	90% 81%	*
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	62%	53%	51%	*	48%	88%	-	-	-	*	23%	*	49%	64%	44%	*
At Masters Grade Level	2018 2019 2018	59% 25% 24%	49% 17% 15%	35% 13% 9%	*	33% 12% 10%	63% 25% 0%	-	-	-	*	9% 8% 9%	*	28% 13% 8%	61% 14% 14%	32% 9% 9%	*
End of Course U.S. History At Approaches Grade Level or	2010	Z4 70	1370	3 /0		1070	070	-	-	-	-	970		0 70	1470	370	
• •	2019	93%	90%	85%	*	85%	100%				*	64%	*	83%	94%	82%	*
Above	2019	93% 92%	90% 89%	90%	_	90%	*	*	-	-	_	56%	*	63% 89%	100%	89%	*
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019 2018	73% 70%	66% 63%	54% 49%	*	53% 50%	67% *	- *	-	-	*	27% 33%	*	52% 44%	61% 91%	49% 49%	*

District Name: MATHIS ISD County Name: SAN PATRICIO District Number: 205904

															Non-		EL
											Two or	Special	Special	Continu-	Continu-		(Current
			Region		African			American		Pacific	More	Ed	_ Ed	ously	ously	Econ	&
	2010	State	02	District	American		White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	(Current)	(Former)	Enrolled	Enrolled		Monitored)
At Masters Grade Level	2019 2018	45% 40%	37% 33%	28% 16%	-	27% 17%	50% *	*	-	-	-	18% 22%	*	26% 16%	39% 18%	23% 15%	*
All Grades All Subjects																	
At Approaches Grade Level or																	
Above	2019	78%	75%	78%	90%	77%	84%	*	100%	-	100%	58%	71%	78%	78%	76%	80%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2018 2019 2018	77% 50% 48%	74% 45% 43%	74% 42% 37%	80% 52% 28%	74% 42% 37%	74% 51% 40%	67% * 0%	* 100% *	-	60% 60% 0%	51% 34% 28%	78% 36% 37%	74% 43% 36%	73% 40% 41%	72% 39% 35%	81% 53% 51%
At Masters Grade Level	2019 2018	24% 22%	19% 18%	17% 13%	14% 4%	16% 13%	25% 14%	* 0%	100% *	-	40% 0%	17% 13%	19% 12%	17% 13%	16% 16%	16% 13%	34% 25%
All Grades ELA/Reading At Approaches Grade Level or																	
Above	2019	75% 74%	73% 71%	77% 71%	83% 88%	76% 71%	80% 72%	*	*	-	*	55%	63% 71%	76%	80% 70%	75% 70%	72% 79%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2018 2019	74% 48%	71% 44%	71% 45%	50%	71% 45%	72% 44%	*	*	-	*	48% 31%	71% 31%	71% 45%	70% 42%	70% 41%	79% 51%
, kesis e.aas 2016. e. , kse16	2018	46%	42%	40%	25%	40%	41%	*	*	-	*	30%	47%	40%	38%	37%	58%
At Masters Grade Level	2019 2018	21% 19%	17% 16%	18% 15%	0% 13%	18% 14%	20% 18%	*	*	-	*	15% 11%	19% 12%	18% 15%	17% 15%	16% 14%	38% 32%
All Grades Mathematics																	
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2019	82%	81%	81%	100%	81%	88%	*	*		*	63%	79%	82%	81%	80%	86%
Above	2019	81%	79%	81%	86%	81%	84%	*	*	_	*	62%	91%	81%	79%	80%	97%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	52%	48%	43%	67%	42%	61%	*	*	-	*	41%	29%	43%	43%	41%	54%
	2018	50%	45%	39%	29%	39%	42%	*	*	-	*	30%	36%	38%	43%	38%	61%
At Masters Grade Level	2019 2018	26% 24%	23% 21%	18% 14%	50% 0%	17% 14%	29% 13%	*	*	-	*	21% 15%	21% 9%	18% 14%	16% 16%	17% 14%	31% 31%
All Grades Writing		,,	,,	, ,	0,70	, •	.070					.070	5 / 0	, ,		, ,	0.70
At Approaches Grade Level or																	
Above	2019	68%	66%	72%	-	71%	82%	-	-	-	-	44%	*	75%	56%	70%	80%
At Marata Corada Lavada an Alasa	2018	66%	62%	51%	*	51%	40%	-	*	-	*	30%	60% *	51%	50%	49%	62%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019 2018	38% 41%	35% 36%	37% 25%	*	38% 24%	36% 27%	-	*	-	*	33% 22%	20%	39% 24%	28% 31%	36% 23%	70% 54%
At Masters Grade Level	2019 2018	14% 13%	11% 9%	13% 5%	- *	13% 5%	18% 0%	-	- *	-	- *	11% 9%	20%	14% 4%	8% 8%	12% 4%	40% 15%
All Grades Science		.070	2 / 0	• 70		0,0	0,0					2,0	2070	.,,	0,0	.,0	.070
At Approaches Grade Level or																	
Above	2019 2018	81% 80%	78% 75%	82% 79%	100% *	81% 79%	90% 77%	- *	*	-	*	72% 53%	67% 83%	82% 79%	85% 81%	81% 78%	93% 75%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019 2018	54% 51%	46% 43%	41% 36%	50% *	40% 36%	60% 46%	- *	*	-	*	33% 23%	50% 33%	42% 33%	40% 49%	36% 34%	50% 25%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	25%	18%	15%	0%	14%	30%	-	*	-	*	19%	17%	15%	15%	12%	29%
All Grades Social Studies At Approaches Grade Level or	2018	23%	16%	13%	T	13%	15%	*	-	-	-	12%	17%	12%	21%	13%	8%
Above	2019 2018	81% 78%	77% 74%	71% 72%	*	70% 72%	88% 71%	*	-	-	* -	43% 42%	*	70% 73%	74% 67%	68% 69%	73% 50%

District Name: MATHIS ISD County Name: SAN PATRICIO District Number: 205904

			Regior	1	African			American		Pacific	Two or More	Special Ed	Special Ed	Continu- ously	Non- Continu- ously	Econ	EL (Current &
		State	02	District	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	(Current)	(Former)	Enrolled	Enrolled	Disadv	Monitored)
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	55%	48%	37%	*	36%	50%	-	-	-	*	24%	*	37%	39%	34%	45%
	2018	53%	46%	35%	*	36%	29%	*	-	-	-	25%	*	34%	39%	34%	0%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	33%	26%	20%	*	19%	38%	-	-	-	*	10%	*	19%	26%	17%	27%
	2018	31%	24%	11%	*	12%	7%	*	-	-	-	17%	*	11%	12%	10%	0%

District Name: MATHIS ISD County Name: SAN PATRICIO District Number: 205904

		State	Region 02	District	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed (Current)	Special Ed (Former)	Continu- ously Enrolled	Non- Continu- ously Enrolled	Econ Disadv	EL (Current & Monitored)
School Progress Domain -	Academi	Growth	Score by G	rade and	Subject												
Grade 4 ELA/Reading	2019 2018	61 63	62 63	77 55	- *	76 53	89 88	-	- *	-	- *	* 75	- *	77 52	79 73	76 54	*
Grade 4 Mathematics	2019 2018	65 65	62 65	78 70	- *	76 70	100 72	- -	- *	- -	- *	* 69	*	76 71	88 67	79 70	*
Grade 5 ELA/Reading	2019 2018	81 80	78 78	85 87	*	83 87	95 94	- *	*	-	-	88 79	*	85 87	83 89	86 87	* 80
Grade 5 Mathematics	2019 2018	83 81	80 76	83 72	*	81 72	100 63	- *	*	-	-	91 76	*	82 72	87 72	84 72	90
Grade 6 ELA/Reading	2019 2018	42 47	39 43	40 51	-	41 50	20 80	*	-	-	-	31 54	*	39 51	40 50	39 49	30 69
Grade 6 Mathematics	2019 2018	54 56	46 51	53 53	- - -	54 53	30 50	*	- - -	- -	- - -	45 68	*	51 53	70 50	53 53	40 69
Grade 7 ELA/Reading	2019 2018	77 76	73 70	78 63	- *	78 65	* 50	-	-	-	-	71 75	*	79 65	78 56	79 64	100 72
Grade 7 Mathematics	2019 2018	62 67	62 67	53 53	*	54 54	* 42	- -	- -	- -	- -	32 65	*	53 53	59 58	50 53	64 67
Grade 8 ELA/Reading	2019 2018	77 79	77 78	83 79	*	83 80	* 61	-	-	-	-	89 61	*	84 81	73 69	83 81	94 80
Grade 8 Mathematics	2019 2018	82 81	85 86	85 83	*	84 82	94	-	-	-	-	94 82	*	86 84	71 81	83 84	81 60
End of Course English II	2019 2018	69 67	67 66	61 78	*	63 79	50 60	- -	-	- -	-	50 *	*	59 81	86 65	62 76	*
End of Course Algebra I	2019 2018	75 72	80 74	47 74	* -	47 73	42 83	- -	-	- -	*	*	*	51 78	31 59	45 74	* -
All Grades Both Subjects	2019 2018	69 69	67 68	69 68	83 68	69 68	74 71	*	*	-	*	63 72	79 79	68 68	71 67	68 68	69 75
All Grades ELA/Reading	2016 2019 2018	68 69	66 66	70 69	66 *	70 69	74 73	*	*	-	- *	65 70	83 77	70 69	73 68	70 68	75 77 78
All Grades Mathematics	2019 2018	70 70	69 69	67 67	90 70	67 67	74 70	*	*	- - -	*	61 73	75 80	67 67	69 66	66 67	61 71

Texas Education Agency Texas Academic Performance Report 2019-20 District Prior Year and Student Success Initiative

District Name: MATHIS ISD County Name: SAN PATRICIO District Number: 205904

Please note that due to the cancellation of spring 2020 State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the Performance section of this year's report is not updated.

		State	Region 02	District	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed	Econ Disadv	EL (Current)
Progress of Prior-Year Non-Proficient Studen	ts													
Sum of Grades 4-8														
Reading	2019	41%	39%	56%	*	54%	75% *	-	-	-	-	28%	56%	0%
Mathematics	2018 2019 2018	38% 45% 47%	36% 46% 47%	37% 51% 56%	- -	38% 51% 57%	*	- - *	- - -	- - -	- -	32% 30% 36%	37% 48% 55%	*
Student Success Initiative														
Grade 5 Reading														
Students Meeting Approaches Grade Level on	i First STAA 2019	R Admini: 78%	stration 76%	80%	*	81%	73%	_	*	_	_	69%	79%	*
Students Requiring Accelerated Instruction					*									
STAAR Cumulative Met Standard	2019	22%	24%	20%		19%	27%	-	*	-	-	31%	21%	*
STAAR Non-Proficient Students Promoted by	2019 Grade Plac	86% ement Co	85% mmittee	88%	*	88%	90%	-	*	-	-	75%	88%	*
	2018	97%	98%	83%	-	83%	*	-	-	-	-	100%	83%	*
STAAR Met Standard (Non-Proficient in Previ Retained in Grade 5	ous Year) 2019	63%	67%	*	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	*	*	-
Grade 5 Mathematics														
Students Meeting Approaches Grade Level on				000/	*	000/	020/		.			0.40/	000/	*
Students Requiring Accelerated Instruction	2019	83%	81%	88%	*	89%	82%	-	*	-	-	94%	88%	*
STAAR Cumulative Met Standard	2019	17%	19%	12%	*	11%	18%	-	*	-	-	6%	12%	*
	2019	90%	89%	96%	*	95%	100%	-	*	_	_	94%	95%	*
STAAR Non-Proficient Students Promoted by	Grade Plac 2018	ement Co 97%	mmittee 98%	78%	_	76%	*					*	78%	
STAAR Met Standard (Non-Proficient in Previ				7070	-		·	-	-	-	-	·		-
Promoted to Grade 6 Retained in Grade 5	2019 2019	24% 65%	21% 78%	15% *	-	17% *	*	-	-	-	-	0% *	17% *	-
	2013	0570	7070											
Grade 8 Reading Students Meeting Approaches Grade Level on	First STAA	P Admini	stration											
- · · ·	2019	78%	75%	79 %	*	78%	*	-	-	-	-	14%	77%	*
Students Requiring Accelerated Instruction	2019	22%	25%	21%	*	22%	*	_	_	_	_	86%	23%	*
STAAR Cumulative Met Standard														
STAAR Non-Proficient Students Promoted by	2019 Grade Plac	85% ement Co	83% mmittee	86%	*	85%	*	-	-	-	-	38%	85%	*
	2018	99%	100%	100%	-	100%	*	-	-	-	-	100%	100%	-

Grade 8 Mathematics

Students Meeting Approaches Grade Level on First STAAR Administration

Texas Education Agency Texas Academic Performance Report 2019-20 District Prior Year and Student Success Initiative

District Name: MATHIS ISD
County Name: SAN PATRICIO
District Number: 205904

Please note that due to the cancellation of spring 2020 State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the Performance section of this year's report is not updated.

		Ct-t-	Davis and	District	African		14/1-14	American	A - !	Pacific	Two or More	Special	Econ	EL (Community)
		<u>State</u>	Region 02	District	Americar	<u>Hispanic</u>	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	<u>Ed</u>	Disadv	(Current)
	2019	82%	79%	82 %	*	81%	*	-	-	-	-	38%	81%	*
Students Requiring Accelerated Instruction														
·	2019	18%	21%	18%	*	19%	*	-	-	-	-	63%	19%	*
STAAR Cumulative Met Standard														
	2019	88%	86%	87%	*	87%	*	_	-	_	_	50%	85%	*
STAAR Non-Proficient Students Promoted by														
z z	2018	98%	100%	100%	_	100%	*	_	_	_	_	100%	100%	_

Texas Education Agency Texas Academic Performance Report 2019-20 District STAAR Performance

District Name: MATHIS ISD County Name: SAN PATRICIO District Number: 205904

Bilingual Education/English as a Second Language

(Current EL Students)

Please note that due to the cancellation of spring 2020 State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the Performance section of this year's report is not updated.

		6 1.1	- · ••	5		BE-Trans				=0.	ESL	ESL		LEP with	Total
STAAR Performance Rate by Subject and Pe	erformance I	<u>State</u> Level	Region 02	District	Education	n Early Exit	Late Exit	Two-Way	One-Way	ESL	Content	Pull-Out	Services	Services	<u>EL</u>
All Grades All Subjects															
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2019 2018	78% 77%	75% 74%	78% 74%	74% 75%	74% 75%	-	-	-	62% 58%	- *	62% 59%	68% 79%	67% 64%	67% 70%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	50%	45%	42%	47%	47%	-	-	-	19%	- *	19%	23%	31%	28%
At Masters Grade Level	2018 2019	48% 24%	43% 19%	37% 17%	63% 32%	63% 32%	-	-	-	15% 0%	-	18% 0%	42% 14%	33% 13%	36% 13%
All Condend El A/Dendino	2018	22%	18%	13%	38%	38%	-	-	-	4%	*	5%	8%	17%	14%
All Grades ELA/Reading	2010		=00/	,		60 0/				2001				212/	=00/
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2019 2018	75% 74%	73% 71%	77% 71%	63% 67%	63% 67%	-	-	-	60% 63%	*	60% 57%	56% 70%	61% 64%	59% 67%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019 2018	48% 46%	44% 42%	45% 40%	50% 50%	50% 50%	-	-	-	20% 25%	- *	20% 29%	22% 40%	33% 36%	30% 38%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	21%	17%	18%	38%	38%	_	_	_	0%	_	0%	11%	17%	15%
A Chiasters Grade Level	2018	19%	16%	15%	33%	33%	-	-	-	13%	*	14%	10%	21%	17%
All Grades Mathematics															
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2019 2018	82% 81%	81% 79%	81% 81%	75% 100%	75% 100%	-	-	-	67% 100%	- *	67% 100%	89% 89%	71% 100%	78% 95%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2016	52%	79% 48%	43%	38%	38%	-	-	-	17%	*	17%	33%	29%	95% 30%
At Meets Grade Level of Above	2019	50%	45%	39%	83%	83%	-	_	_	29%	*	33%	56%	54%	55%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	26%	23%	18%	38%	38%	_	_	_	0%	_	0%	22%	21%	22%
At Masters Grade Level	2018	24%	21%	14%	50%	50%	_	_	_	0%	*	0%	11%	23%	18%
All Grades Writing	2010	2170	2170	,0	3070	30 70				070		0 70	1170	2370	1070
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2019	68%	66%	72%	*	*	_	_	_	_	_	_	*	*	60%
7 K7 KP 10401105 01440 20101 01 7 15010	2018	66%	62%	51%	*	*	_	_	_	*	_	*	*	20%	17%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	38%	35%	37%	*	*	-	-	-	-	_	_	*	*	40%
	2018	41%	36%	25%	*	*	-	-	-	*	_	*	*	20%	17%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	14%	11%	13%	*	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	*	0%
	2018	13%	9%	5%	*	*	-	-	-	*	-	*	*	0%	0%
All Grades Science															
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2019	81%	78%	82%	*	*	-	-	-	80%	-	80%	*	83%	86%
	2018	80%	75%	79%	*	*	-	-	-	40%	*	*	*	50%	70%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	54%	46%	41%	*	*	-	-	-	0%	-	0%	*	0%	0%
	2018	51%	43%	36%	*	*	-	-	-	0%	*	*	*	17%	20%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	25%	18%	15%	*	*	-	-	-	0%	- *	0%	*	0%	0%
All Condens Contint Charling	2018	23%	16%	13%	*	*	-	-	-	0%	*	*	*	17%	10%
All Grades Social Studies	2010	040/	770/	740/						400/		400/		400/	4007
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2019 2018	81% 78%	77% 74%	71% 72%	-	-	-	-	-	40% *	- *	40% *	-	40% *	40% *
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2010	55%	74% 48%	72% 37%	-	-	-	-	-	40%	_	40%	-	40%	40%
At Meets Grade Level of Above	2019	53%	46%	37 % 35%	_	_	_	-	_	40 /0 *	*	4070 *	_	4070 *	4070 *
At Masters Grade Level	2019	33%	26%	20%	_	_	_	_	_	0%	_	0%	_	0%	0%
At Masters Grade Level	2018	31%	24%	11%	_	_	_	_	_	*	*	*	_	*	*
	2010	3170	2-70	1170											
School Progress Domain - Academic Growth															
All Grades Both Subjects	2019	69%	67%	69%	67%	67%	-	-	-	45%	-	45%	56%	53%	55%
	2018	69%	68%	68%	100%	100%	-	-	-	79%	*	75%	67%	88%	79%
All Grades ELA/Reading	2019	68%	66%	70%	*	*	-	-	-	60%	*	60%	63%	63%	63%
All Crades Mathematics	2018	69%	66%	69%	*	*	-	-	-	75%	*	70%	63%	85%	75%
All Grades Mathematics	2019	70%	69%	67%	*	•	-	-	-	30%	-	30%	50%	44%	47%

Texas Academic Performance Report 2019-20 District STAAR Performance

District Name: MATHIS ISD County Name: SAN PATRICIO District Number: 205904

Bilingual Education/English as a Second Language

(Current EL Students)

Please note that due to the cancellation of spring 2020 State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the Performance section of this year's report is not updated.

		Bilingual BE-Trans BE-Trans BE-Dual BE-Dual								ESL	ESL	LEP No	LEP with	Total	
		State	Region 02	District	Education E	Early Exit	Late Exit	Two-Way	One-Way	ESL	Content	Pull-Out	Services	Services	EL
	2018	70%	69%	67%	*	*	-	-	-	83%	*	80%	71%	90%	82%
rogress of Prior Year STAAR	Non-Proficient Students (Percent o	of Non-Profic	ient Pass	sing STAAR)	ı									
Reading	2019	41%	39%	56%	*	*	-	-	-	*	-	*	*	*	0%
<u> </u>	2018	38%	36%	37%	*	*	-	-	-	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mathematics	2019	45%	46%	51%	-	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	*	_	*
	2018	47%	47%	56%	*	*	_	_	_	*	_	*	*	*	*

Texas Academic Performance Report 2019-20 District STAAR Participation

County Name: SAN PATRICIO District Number: 205904

District Name: MATHIS ISD

Please note that due to the cancellation of spring 2020 State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the Participation section of this year's report is not updated.

2019 STAAR Participation	State	Region 02	District	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed	Econ Disadv	EL (Current)
(All Grades)													
All Tests													
Assessment Participant Included in Accountability Not Included in Accountability	99% 94%	100% 95%	99% 96%	100% 91%	99% 96%	100% 94%	*	100% 100%	-	100% 100%	99% 97%	99% 96%	100% 96%
Mobile Other Exclusions	4% 1%	4% 0%	3% 0%	0% 9%	3% 0%	6% 0%	*	0% 0%	-	0% 0%	1% 1%	3% 0%	0% 4%
Not Tested Absent Other	1% 1% 0%	0% 0% 0%	1% 1% 0%	0% 0% 0%	1% 1% 0%	0% 0% 0%	* * *	0% 0% 0%	- - -	0% 0% 0%	1% 1% 0%	1% 1% 0%	0% 0% 0%
2018 STAAR Participation (All Grades)													
All Tests Assessment Participant Included in Accountability Not Included in Accountability	99% 94%	99% 95%	99% 94%	97% 86%	99% 94%	100% 94%	100% 100%	*		100% 33%	99% 91%	99% 94%	100% 99%
Mobile Other Exclusions	4% 1%	4% 0%	6% 0%	10% 0%	5% 0%	6% 0%	0% 0%	*	-	67% 0%	7% 1%	5% 0%	0% 1%
Not Tested Absent Other	1% 1% 0%	1% 1% 0%	1% 1% 0%	3% 3% 0%	1% 1% 0%	0% 0% 0%	0% 0% 0%	* *	- - -	0% 0% 0%	1% 1% 0%	1% 1% 0%	0% 0% 0%

Texas Academic Performance Report

County Name: SAN PATRICIO District Number: 205904

District Name: MATHIS ISD

2019-20 District Attendance, Graduation, and Dropout Rates

	Stata	Donien 02	District	African American	Hienonia	White	American Indian	Acion	Pacific Islander	Two or More	Special Ed	Econ	EL (Current)
	State	Region 02	District	American	Hispanic	vviiite	iliulali	Asian	isianuei	Races	Eu	Disadv	(Current)
Attendance Rate													
2018-19	95.4%	94.5%	94.1%	94.2%	94.0%	95.1%	*	*	-	94.4%	93.5%	93.7%	95.9%
2017-18	95.4%	94.4%	93.9%	94.6%	93.9%	94.1%	*	*	-	93.1%	93.5%	93.9%	95.6%
Annual Dropout Rate (Gr 7-8)													
2018-19	0.4%	0.5%	0.0%	*	0.0%	0.0%	*	-	-	*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
2017-18	0.4%	0.5%	0.7%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	-	-	-	*	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%
Annual Dropout Rate (Gr 9-12)													
2018-19	1.9%	2.0%	1.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	*	*	-	*	1.9%	1.3%	0.0%
2017-18	1.9%	2.2%	0.9%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	*	-	-	-	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%
4-Year Longitudinal Rate (Gr 9-1 Class of 2019	2)												
Graduated	90.0%	91.0%	92.4%	-	92.0%	*	*	_	-	-	100.0%	90.0%	-
Received TxCHSE	0.5%	0.4%	0.0%	-	0.0%	*	*	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Continued HS	3.7%	2.3%	1.1%	-	1.1%	*	*	-	-	-	0.0%	1.4%	-
Dropped Out	5.9%	6.3%	6.5%	-	6.8%	*	*	_	-	-	0.0%	8.6%	-
Graduates and TxCHSE Graduates, TxCHSE,	90.4%	91.4%	92.4%	-	92.0%	*	*	-	-	-	100.0%	90.0%	-
and Continuers Class of 2018	94.1%	93.7%	93.5%	-	93.2%	*	*	-	-	-	100.0%	91.4%	-
Graduated	90.0%	90.4%	92.9%	*	93.7%	80.0%	_	_	-	-	*	93.3%	*
Received TxCHSE	0.4%	0.4%	1.2%	*	0.0%	20.0%	_	_	-	-	*	1.3%	*
Continued HS	3.8%	2.7%	1.2%	*	1.3%	0.0%	_	_	-	-	*	1.3%	*
Dropped Out	5.7%	6.5%	4.7%	*	5.1%	0.0%	-	_	_	_	*	4.0%	*
Graduates and TxCHSE Graduates, TxCHSE,	90.4%	90.8%	94.1%	*	93.7%	100.0%	-	-	-	-	*	94.7%	*
and Continuers	94.3%	93.5%	95.3%	*	94.9%	100.0%	-	-	-	-	*	96.0%	*
5-Year Extended Longitudinal R Class of 2018	ate (Gr 9-12)											
Graduated	92.2%	92.4%	94.1%	*	94.9%	80.0%	_	_	-	-	*	94.7%	*
Received TxCHSE	0.6%	0.5%	1.2%	*	0.0%	20.0%	-	_	_	_	*	1.3%	*
Continued HS	1.1%	0.6%	0.0%	*	0.0%	0.0%	_	_	_	_	*	0.0%	*
Dropped Out	6.1%	6.5%	4.7%	*	5.1%	0.0%	_	_	_	_	*	4.0%	*
Graduates and TxCHSE Graduates, TxCHSE,	92.8%	92.9%	95.3%	*	94.9%	100.0%	-	-	-	-	*	96.0%	*
and Continuers Class of 2017	93.9%	93.5%	95.3%	*	94.9%	100.0%	-	-	-	-	*	96.0%	*
Graduated	92.0%	91.2%	95.0%	_	96.0%	80.0%	_	_	_	_	100.0%	93.0%	*
Received TxCHSE	0.6%	0.8%	0.0%	_	0.0%	0.0%	_	_	_	_	0.0%	0.0%	*
Continued HS	1.1%	0.7%	0.0%	_	0.0%	0.0%	_	_	_	_	0.0%	0.0%	*
Dropped Out	6.3%	7.4%	5.0%	-	4.0%	20.0%	_	_	_	_	0.0%	7.0%	*
Graduates and TxCHSE Graduates, TxCHSE,	92.6%	91.9%	95.0%	-	96.0%	80.0%	-	-	-	-	100.0%	93.0%	*
and Continuers	93.7%	92.6%	95.0%	-	96.0%	80.0%	-	-	-	-	100.0%	93.0%	*

6-Year Extended Longitudinal Rate (Gr 9-12)

Texas Academic Performance Report

County Name: SAN PATRICIO District Number: 205904

District Name: MATHIS ISD

2019-20 District Attendance, Graduation, and Dropout Rates

	State	Region 02	District	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed	Econ Disadv	EL (Current)
Class of 2017	State	rtegion ez	District	7 tillerieuri	moparite	vviiico	maan	7131411	iolariaci	Ruces		Disagr	(Current)
Graduated	92.4%	91.8%	95.0%	_	96.0%	80.0%	_	_	_	_	100.0%	93.0%	*
Received TxCHSE	0.7%	0.9%	0.0%	_	0.0%	0.0%	_	_	_	_	0.0%	0.0%	*
Continued HS	0.6%	0.3%	0.0%	_	0.0%	0.0%	_	_	_	_	0.0%	0.0%	*
Dropped Out	6.3%	7.1%	5.0%	_	4.0%	20.0%	_	_	_	_	0.0%	7.0%	*
Graduates and TxCHSE	93.2%	92.7%	95.0%	_	96.0%	80.0%	_	_	_	_	100.0%	93.0%	*
Graduates, TxCHSE,													
and Continuers	93.7%	92.9%	95.0%	-	96.0%	80.0%	-	-	-	-	100.0%	93.0%	*
Class of 2016													
Graduated	92.1%	89.9%	89.5%	*	91.4%	*	-	-	-	-	92.3%	88.9%	*
Received TxCHSE	0.8%	1.0%	1.2%	*	1.2%	*	-	-	-	-	0.0%	1.6%	*
Continued HS	0.5%	0.4%	0.0%	*	0.0%	*	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	*
Dropped Out	6.6%	8.7%	9.3%	*	7.4%	*	-	-	-	-	7.7%	9.5%	*
Graduates and TxCHSE	92.9%	90.9%	90.7%	*	92.6%	*	_	_	-	_	92.3%	90.5%	*
Graduates, TxCHSE,													
and Continuers	93.4%	91.3%	90.7%	*	92.6%	*	-	-	-	-	92.3%	90.5%	*
4-Year Federal Graduation Rate	Without Ex	clusions (Gr 9-	12)										
Class of 2019	90.0%	91.0%	89.5%	-	89.0%	*	*	-	-	-	100.0%	86.3%	*
Class of 2018	90.0%	90.4%	89.9%	*	90.4%	80.0%	-	-	-	-	*	89.9%	*
RHSP/DAP Graduates (Longitu	dinal Rate)												
Class of 2019	73.3%	66.7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Class of 2018	68.5%	58.5%	*	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-
FHSP-E Graduates (Longitudin													
Class of 2019	4.2%	5.1%	29.4%	-	29.6%	*	*	-	-	-	42.9%	33.3%	-
Class of 2018	5.0%	7.5%	41.0%	*	41.1%	*	-	-	-	-	*	42.0%	*
FHSP-DLA Graduates (Longitue													
Class of 2019	83.5%	79.4%	57.6%	-	56.8%	*	*	-	-	-	28.6%	54.0%	-
Class of 2018	82.0%	75.9%	46.2%	*	45.2%	*	-	-	-	-	*	43.5%	*
RHSP/DAP/FHSP-E/FHSP-DLA					00.404						=	0= 00/	
Class of 2019	87.6%	84.4%	87.1%	-	86.4%	*	*	-	-	-	71.4%	87.3%	-
Class of 2018	86.8%	83.3%	87.3%	*	86.5%	*	-	-	-	-	*	85.7%	*
RHSP/DAP Graduates (Annual		27.22/											
2018-19	32.7%	27.3%	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2017-18	37.7%	28.2%	*	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-
FHSP-E Graduates (Annual Rat		E 20/	20.461		20.60/	*	*				20.00/	24.00/	
2018-19	4.4%	5.3%	28.4%	-	28.6%		*	-	-	-	30.0%	31.8%	-
2017-18	4.9%	7.0%	38.6%	*	39.0%	40.0%	-	-	-	-	60.0%	40.3%	*
FHSP-DLA Graduates (Annual I		77 70/	E 4 E 0 /		F2 C0/	*	*				20.00/	E0.00/	
2018-19	82.1%	77.7%	54.5%	-	53.6%		*	-	-	-	20.0%	50.0%	- *
2017-18	81.5%	75.7%	45.8%	*	45.5%	40.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	43.1%	*

RHSP/DAP/FHSP-E/FHSP-DLA Graduates (Annual Rate)

Texas Academic Performance Report

County Name: SAN PATRICIO District Number: 205904

District Name: MATHIS ISD

2019-20 District Attendance, Graduation, and Dropout Rates

										Two or			
				African			American		Pacific	More	Special	Econ	EL
	State	Region 02	District	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Ed	Disadv	(Current)
2018-19	85.9%	82.8%	83.0%	-	82.1%	*	*	-	-	-	50.0%	81.8%	-
2017-18	85.1%	81.8%	84.5%	*	84.6%	80.0%	-	-	-	-	60.0%	83.6%	*

Texas Academic Performance Report 2019-20 District Graduation Profile

County Name: SAN PATRICIO District Number: 205904

	District Count	District Percent	State Count	State Percent
Graduates (2018-19 Annual Graduates)				<u> </u>
Total Graduates	88	100.0%	355,615	100.0%
By Ethnicity:				
African American	0	0.0%	43,953	12.4%
Hispanic	84	95.5%	180,673	50.8%
White	3	3.4%	105,577	29.7%
American Indian	1	1.1%	1,293	0.4%
Asian	0	0.0%	16,564	4.7%
Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	537	0.2%
Two or More Races	0	0.0%	7,018	2.0%
By Graduation Type:				
Minimum H.S. Program	0	0.0%	2,248	0.6%
Recommended H.S. Program/Distinguished Achievement Program	0	0.0%	1,090	0.3%
Foundation H.S. Program (No Endorsement)	15	17.0%	51,579	14.5%
Foundation H.S. Program (Endorsement)	25	28.4%	15,160	4.3%
Foundation H.S. Program (DLA)	48	54.5%	285,538	80.3%
Special Education Graduates	10	11.4%	27,598	7.8%
Economically Disadvantaged Graduates	66	75.0%	186,364	52.4%
LEP Graduates	0	0.0%	25,189	7.1%
At-Risk Graduates	54	61.4%	146,432	41.2%

Texas Academic Performance Report

County Name: SAN PATRICIO District Number: 205904

District Name: MATHIS ISD

2019-20 District College, Career, and Military Readiness (CCMR)

	State	Region 02	District	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed	Econ Disady	EL (Current)
College, Career, and Military Rea	dv Graduat	es (Student	Achievement)		пізрапіс	wille	IIIulali	ASIdii	isiariuer	Races	Eu	DISauv	(Current)
College, Career, or Military Read			-										
2018-19	72.9%	69.3%	69.9%	-	70.2%	*	*	-	-	-	70.0%	72.0%	-
2017-18	65.5%	61.8%	76.2%	*	75.6%	80.0%	-	-	-	-	60.0%	74.7%	*
College Ready Graduates													
College Ready (Annual Graduate	s)												
2018-19	53.0%	42.5%	46.6%	-	48.8%	*	*	-	-	-	10.0%	47.0%	-
2017-18	50.0%	39.3%	67.9%	*	67.9%	60.0%	-	-	-	-	60.0%	65.8%	*
TSI Criteria Graduates (Annual G English Language Arts	Graduates)												
2018-19	60.7%	51.6%	73.9%	-	75.0%	*	*	-	-	-	20.0%	71.2%	-
2017-18 Mathematics	58.2%	50.9%	79.8%	*	80.8%	60.0%	-	-	-	-	60.0%	78.1%	*
2018-19	48.6%	36.6%	54.5%	_	56.0%	*	*	_	_	_	50.0%	56.1%	_
2010-13	46.0%	35.4%	65.5%	*	65.4%	60.0%	_	_	_	_	60.0%	64.4%	*
Both Subjects	40.070	33.470	03.570		03.470	00.070					00.070	04.470	
2018-19	44.2%	33.1%	38.6%	_	40.5%	*	*	_	_	_	10.0%	37.9%	_
2017-18	42.1%	31.7%	53.6%	*	52.6%	60.0%	-	-	-	-	60.0%	50.7%	*
Dual Course Credits (Annual Gra	iduates)												
Any Subject													
2018-19	23.1%	27.1%	21.6%	-	22.6%	*	*	-	-	-	0.0%	18.2%	-
2017-18	20.7%	23.7%	47.6%	*	48.7%	20.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	45.2%	*
AP/IB Met Criteria in Any Subject Any Subject	t (Annual G	raduates)											
2018-19	21.1%	7.9%	0.0%	-	0.0%	*	*	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
2017-18	20.4%	7.5%	0.0%	*	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	*
Associate's Degree													
Associate's Degree (Annual Gr		4.00/	45 -01		10.10/	*	*				2 22/	0.407	
2018-19	1.9%	1.6%	12.5%	*	13.1%		*	-	-	-	0.0%	9.1%	-
2017-18	1.4%	0.6%	17.9%	*	17.9%	20.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	15.1%	*
OnRamps Course Credits (Annua	al Graduates												
2018-19	2.3%	3.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	*	*	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
2017-18	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	*	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	*
Career/Military Ready Graduates													
Career or Military Ready (Annual													
2018-19	40.4%	47.5%	46.0%	-	45.2%	*	*	-	-	-	70.0%	47.0%	-
2017-18	28.7%	36.0%	21.4%	*	20.5%	40.0%	-	-	-	-	60.0%	21.9%	*
Approved Industry-Based Certi 2018-19	fication (And 10.7%	nual Graduate 15.5%	es) 0.0%	_	0.0%	*	*	_	_	_	0.0%	0.0%	
2016-19	4.8%	5.9%	0.0%	*	0.0%	0.0%	_	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	*
2017-10	4.070	3.970	0.070		0.070	0.070	-	-	-	-	0.070	0.070	

Texas Academic Performance Report

County Name: SAN PATRICIO District Number: 205904

District Name: MATHIS ISD

2019-20 District College, Career, and Military Readiness (CCMR)

									Two or			
			African			American		Pacific	More	Special	Econ	EL
State	Region 02	District	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Ed	Disadv	(Current)
2.3%	2.1%	0.0%	-	0.0%	*	*	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
1.7%	1.9%	0.0%	*	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	*
Coursework Alic	ned with Industr	y-Based Cer	tifications (Anr	nual Graduates)							
55.6%	58.8%	79.5%	`-	79.8%	*	*	-	-	-	80.0%	80.3%	-
38.7%	41.8%	27.4%	*	26.9%	40.0%	-	-	-	-	20.0%	27.4%	*
nent (Annual Gr	aduates)											
5.0%	8.2%	4.5%	-	4.8%	*	*	-	-	-	0.0%	3.0%	-
4.3%	10.6%	7.1%	*	6.4%	20.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	8.2%	*
ced Degree Pla	an and Identified	as a current	: Special Educ	ation Student (A	Annual Gradua	tes)						
2.7%		5.7%	· -	3.6%	*	*	_	_	_	50.0%	7.6%	_
2.6%	2.0%	3.6%	*	2.6%	20.0%	-	-	-	-	60.0%	2.7%	*
evel II Certificat	e (Annual Grad	uates)										
			_	0.0%	*	*	_	_	_	0.0%	0.0%	_
0.6%	1.8%	0.0%	*	0.0%	0.0%	_	_	_	_	0.0%		*
	2.3% 1.7% 1.7% Coursework Alig 55.6% 38.7% nent (Annual Gr. 5.0% 4.3% nced Degree Pla 2.7% 2.6%	2.3% 2.1% 1.7% 1.9% Coursework Aligned with Industr 55.6% 58.8% 38.7% 41.8% ment (Annual Graduates) 5.0% 8.2% 4.3% 10.6% mced Degree Plan and Identified 2.7% 2.5% 2.6% 2.0% evel II Certificate (Annual Grad 0.6% 2.2%	2.3% 2.1% 0.0% 1.7% 1.9% 0.0% 1.7% 1.9% 0.0% 0.0% Coursework Aligned with Industry-Based Cer 55.6% 58.8% 79.5% 38.7% 41.8% 27.4% nent (Annual Graduates) 5.0% 8.2% 4.5% 4.3% 10.6% 7.1% nced Degree Plan and Identified as a current 2.7% 2.5% 5.7% 2.6% 2.0% 3.6% evel II Certificate (Annual Graduates) 0.6% 2.2% 0.0%	State Region 02 District American 2.3% 2.1% 0.0% - 1.7% 1.9% 0.0% * Coursework Aligned with Industry-Based Certifications (Annosember 155.6% 58.8% 79.5% - 38.7% 41.8% 27.4% * ment (Annual Graduates) 5.0% 8.2% 4.5% - 4.3% 10.6% 7.1% * need Degree Plan and Identified as a current Special Education of the state of th	State Region 02 District American Hispanic 2.3% 2.1% 0.0% - 0.0% 1.7% 1.9% 0.0% * 0.0% Coursework Aligned with Industry-Based Certifications (Annual Graduates) 55.6% 58.8% 79.5% - 79.8% 38.7% 41.8% 27.4% * 26.9% ment (Annual Graduates) 5.0% 8.2% 4.5% - 4.8% 4.3% 10.6% 7.1% * 6.4% med Degree Plan and Identified as a current Special Education Student (Annual Canduates) 2.6% 2.0% 3.6% * 2.6% evel II Certificate (Annual Graduates) 0.6% 2.2% 0.0% - 0.0%	State Region 02 District American Hispanic White 2.3% 2.1% 0.0% - 0.0% * 1.7% 1.9% 0.0% * 0.0% 0.0% Coursework Aligned with Industry-Based Certifications (Annual Graduates) 55.6% 58.8% 79.5% - 79.8% * 38.7% 41.8% 27.4% * 26.9% 40.0% nent (Annual Graduates) 5.0% 8.2% 4.5% - 4.8% * 4.3% 10.6% 7.1% * 6.4% 20.0% nced Degree Plan and Identified as a current Special Education Student (Annual Graduates) 2.6% 2.0% 3.6% * 2.6% 20.0% evel II Certificate (Annual Graduates) 0.6% 2.2% 0.0% - 0.0% *	State Region 02 District American Hispanic White Indian 2.3% 2.1% 0.0% - 0.0% * * 1.7% 1.9% 0.0% * 0.0% 0.0% - Coursework Aligned with Industry-Based Certifications (Annual Graduates) - 79.8% * * 55.6% 58.8% 79.5% - 79.8% * * 38.7% 41.8% 27.4% * 26.9% 40.0% - nent (Annual Graduates) 5.0% 8.2% 4.5% - 4.8% * * 5.0% 8.2% 4.5% - 4.8% * * * 1.06% 7.1% * 6.4% 20.0% - * 1.06% 2.5% 5.7% - 3.6% * * * * 1.06% 2.0% 3.6% * 2.6% 20.0% - * * * * <td>State Region 02 District American Hispanic White Indian Asian 2.3% 2.1% 0.0% - 0.0% * * - 1.7% 1.9% 0.0% * 0.0% 0.0% - - Coursework Aligned with Industry-Based Certifications (Annual Graduates) Factorial Graduates * * - - 55.6% 58.8% 79.5% - 79.8% * * - - 38.7% 41.8% 27.4% * 26.9% 40.0% - - - nent (Annual Graduates) 5.0% 8.2% 4.5% - 4.8% * * - - 1.06% 7.1% * 6.4% 20.0% - - - 1.06 7.1% * 6.4% 20.0% - - - 1.06 2.5% 5.7% - 3.6% * * - - <t< td=""><td>State Region 02 District American Hispanic White Indian Asian Islander 2.3% 2.1% 0.0% - 0.0% * * - - 1.7% 1.9% 0.0% * 0.0% - - - Coursework Aligned with Industry-Based Certifications (Annual Graduates) 55.6% 58.8% 79.5% - 79.8% * * - - - 38.7% 41.8% 27.4% * 26.9% 40.0% - - - - nent (Annual Graduates) 5.0% 8.2% 4.5% - 4.8% * * - - - nent (Annual Graduates) 5.0% 8.2% 4.5% - 4.8% * * - - - 1.0.0% 8.2% 4.5% - 4.8% * * - - - - <td< td=""><td> State Region 02 District American Hispanic White Indian Asian Islander Races </td><td> State Region 02 District American Hispanic White Indian Asian Islander Races Ed </td><td> State Region 02 District American Hispanic White Indian Asian Islander Races Ed Disady </td></td<></td></t<></td>	State Region 02 District American Hispanic White Indian Asian 2.3% 2.1% 0.0% - 0.0% * * - 1.7% 1.9% 0.0% * 0.0% 0.0% - - Coursework Aligned with Industry-Based Certifications (Annual Graduates) Factorial Graduates * * - - 55.6% 58.8% 79.5% - 79.8% * * - - 38.7% 41.8% 27.4% * 26.9% 40.0% - - - nent (Annual Graduates) 5.0% 8.2% 4.5% - 4.8% * * - - 1.06% 7.1% * 6.4% 20.0% - - - 1.06 7.1% * 6.4% 20.0% - - - 1.06 2.5% 5.7% - 3.6% * * - - <t< td=""><td>State Region 02 District American Hispanic White Indian Asian Islander 2.3% 2.1% 0.0% - 0.0% * * - - 1.7% 1.9% 0.0% * 0.0% - - - Coursework Aligned with Industry-Based Certifications (Annual Graduates) 55.6% 58.8% 79.5% - 79.8% * * - - - 38.7% 41.8% 27.4% * 26.9% 40.0% - - - - nent (Annual Graduates) 5.0% 8.2% 4.5% - 4.8% * * - - - nent (Annual Graduates) 5.0% 8.2% 4.5% - 4.8% * * - - - 1.0.0% 8.2% 4.5% - 4.8% * * - - - - <td< td=""><td> State Region 02 District American Hispanic White Indian Asian Islander Races </td><td> State Region 02 District American Hispanic White Indian Asian Islander Races Ed </td><td> State Region 02 District American Hispanic White Indian Asian Islander Races Ed Disady </td></td<></td></t<>	State Region 02 District American Hispanic White Indian Asian Islander 2.3% 2.1% 0.0% - 0.0% * * - - 1.7% 1.9% 0.0% * 0.0% - - - Coursework Aligned with Industry-Based Certifications (Annual Graduates) 55.6% 58.8% 79.5% - 79.8% * * - - - 38.7% 41.8% 27.4% * 26.9% 40.0% - - - - nent (Annual Graduates) 5.0% 8.2% 4.5% - 4.8% * * - - - nent (Annual Graduates) 5.0% 8.2% 4.5% - 4.8% * * - - - 1.0.0% 8.2% 4.5% - 4.8% * * - - - - <td< td=""><td> State Region 02 District American Hispanic White Indian Asian Islander Races </td><td> State Region 02 District American Hispanic White Indian Asian Islander Races Ed </td><td> State Region 02 District American Hispanic White Indian Asian Islander Races Ed Disady </td></td<>	State Region 02 District American Hispanic White Indian Asian Islander Races	State Region 02 District American Hispanic White Indian Asian Islander Races Ed	State Region 02 District American Hispanic White Indian Asian Islander Races Ed Disady

County Name: SAN PATRICIO District Number: 205904

rex	is Academic Performance Report	
2019-	0 District CCMR-Related Indicator	rs

				African			American		Pacific	Two or More	Special	Econ	EL
	State	Region 02	District	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Ed	Disadv	(Current)
TSIA Results (Graduates >= C													
Reading													
2018-19	33.4%	42.0%	34.1%	-	35.7%	*	*	-	-	-	10.0%	31.8%	-
2017-18	32.1%	42.0%	46.4%	*	46.2%	40.0%	-	-	-	-	20.0%	41.1%	*
Mathematics													
2018-19	24.7%	27.3%	14.8%	-	15.5%	*	*	-	-	-	0.0%	12.1%	-
2017-18	23.7%	26.5%	29.8%	*	28.2%	40.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	26.0%	*
Both Subjects							*						
2018-19	18.8%	23.8%	14.8%	- *	15.5%	*	*	-	-	-	0.0%	12.1%	*
2017-18	18.1%	23.8%	28.6%	•	28.2%	20.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	24.7%	*
CTE Coherent Sequence (Ann	nual Graduates	3)											
2018-19	59.0%	64.7%	83.0%	-	83.3%	*	*	-	-	-	90.0%	84.8%	-
2017-18	58.4%	62.1%	58.3%	*	57.7%	80.0%	-	-	-	-	60.0%	57.5%	*
Commission and Descriped Com	dit for Collogs	D C	/A C										
Completed and Received Cred English Language Arts	alt for College	Prep Courses	(Annual Gra	aduates)									
2018-19	5.1%	2.8%	54.5%	_	54.8%	*	*	_	_	_	20.0%	56.1%	_
2017-18	2.0%	1.8%	54.8%	*	55.1%	60.0%	_	_	_	_	60.0%	56.2%	*
Mathematics													
2018-19	7.3%	4.4%	43.2%	-	44.0%	*	*	-	-	-	50.0%	48.5%	-
2017-18	3.9%	3.8%	35.7%	*	37.2%	20.0%	-	-	-	-	60.0%	38.4%	*
Both Subjects													
2018-19	2.6%	1.4%	23.9%	-	25.0%	*	*	-	-	-	10.0%	27.3%	-
2017-18	0.9%	0.7%	22.6%	*	23.1%	20.0%	-	-	-	-	60.0%	23.3%	*
AP/IB Results (Participation) (All Subjects	(Grades 11-12)												
•	25.20/	12 70/	0.00/	*	0.0%	0.0%	*	*			2/2	0.00/	2/2
2019 2018	25.2% 25.8%	13.7% 14.4%	0.0% 0.6%	*	0.0%	0.0%	*		-	-	n/a n/a	0.0% 0.0%	n/a n/a
English Language Arts	23.070	14.470	0.0 /6		0.076	0.076		-	-	-	II/a	0.076	II/a
2019	14.5%	7.5%	0.0%	*	0.0%	0.0%	*	*	_	_	n/a	0.0%	n/a
2018	15.3%	7.7%	0.0%	*	0.0%	0.0%	*	_	_	_	n/a	0.0%	n/a
Mathematics	12.272				515,5								
2019	7.4%	3.7%	0.0%	*	0.0%	0.0%	*	*	_	_	n/a	0.0%	n/a
2018	7.3%	3.9%	0.0%	*	0.0%	0.0%	*	-	-	-	n/a	0.0%	n/a
Science													
2019	10.4%	4.0%	0.0%	*	0.0%	0.0%	*	*	-	-	n/a	0.0%	n/a
2018	10.8%	4.6%	0.0%	*	0.0%	0.0%	*	-	-	-	n/a	0.0%	n/a
Social Studies													
2019	13.9%	5.4%	0.0%	*	0.0%	0.0%	*	*	-	-	n/a	0.0%	n/a
2018	14.5%	7.1%	0.6%	*	0.0%	0.0%	*	-	-	-	n/a	0.0%	n/a
AP/IB Results (Examinees >= All Subjects	Criterion) (Gra	ides 11-12)											
2019	51.0%	33.6%	-	-	_	_	-	_	_	-	n/a	_	n/a
2018	50.7%	35.5%	*	*	-	-	-	-	-	_	n/a	-	n/a
English Language Arts													
2019	41.2%	30.9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a	-	n/a
2018	42.5%	34.7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a	-	n/a

Texas Academic Performance Report 2019-20 District CCMR-Related Indicators

County Name: SAN PATRICIO District Number: 205904

				African			American		Pacific	Two or More	Special	Econ	EL
	State	Region 02	District	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Ed	Disadv	(Current)
Mathematics													,
2019	52.2%	35.1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a	-	n/a
2018	52.8%	39.2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a	-	n/a
Science													
2019	40.6%	28.2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a	-	n/a
2018	38.0%	22.6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a	-	n/a
Social Studies	46.20/	25.40/									1-		1
2019 2018	46.3% 44.6%	25.4% 25.4%	- *	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a	-	n/a n/a
2016	44.0%	25.4%			-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a	-	II/a
SAT/ACT Results (Annual Grad Tested													
2018-19	75.0%	52.6%	64.8%	-	65.5%	*	*	-	-	-	n/a	62.1%	n/a
2017-18	74.6%	57.6%	60.7%	*	61.5%	40.0%	-	-	-	-	n/a	59.5%	n/a
At/Above Criterion for All													
Examinees	26.40/	20.00/	E 20/		E E0/	*					1-	2.40/	1
2018-19 2017-18	36.1% 37.9%	29.9% 29.6%	5.3% 11.8%	*	5.5% 10.4%	*	-	-	-	-	n/a n/a	2.4% 9.1%	n/a n/a
2017-16	37.970	29.0%	11.076		10.4%		-	-	-	-	II/a	9.170	11/a
Average SAT Score (Annual G All Subjects													
2018-19	1027	1026	869	-	870	*	-	-	-	-	n/a	860	n/a
2017-18	1036	1035	999	*	996	-	-	-	-	-	n/a	988	n/a
English Language Arts													
and Writing	F47	F22	4.45		444	*					1-	4.42	1
2018-19 2017-18	517 521	522 527	445 513	*	444 511	*	-	-	-	-	n/a n/a	443 509	n/a n/a
Mathematics	321	327	313		311	-	-	-	-	-	II/a	309	11/a
2018-19	510	504	424	_	425	*	_	_	_	_	n/a	417	n/a
2017-18	515	508	486	*	485	-	_	_	-	_	n/a	478	n/a
Average ACT Score (Annual G All Subjects	iraduates)												
2018-19	20.6	18.9	15.9	-	15.9	*	-	-	-	-	n/a	15.6	n/a
2017-18	20.6	19.1	17.5	*	17.4	*	-	-	-	-	n/a	17.3	n/a
English Language Arts													
2018-19	20.3	18.6	14.9	-	15.0	*	-	-	-	-	n/a	14.6	n/a
2017-18	20.3	18.7	17.1	*	17.0	*	-	-	-	-	n/a	16.8	n/a
Mathematics	20.4	40.5	40.0		460	*					,	45.0	,
2018-19 2017-18	20.4 20.6	18.5	16.2 16.9	*	16.2	*	-	-	-	-	n/a	15.9	n/a
Science	∠0.6	18.8	10.9	*	16.7	**	-	-	-	-	n/a	16.7	n/a
2018-19	20.8	19.2	17.1	_	17.1	*	_	_	-	_	n/a	16.9	n/a
2017-19	20.9	19.5	18.3	*	18.3	*	-	-	-	-	n/a	18.0	n/a
* * * * *	=2.0										1		

Texas Academic Performance Report

2019-20 District Other Postsecondary Indicators

County Name: SAN PATRICIO District Number: 205904

				African			American		Pacific	Two or More	Enocial	Econ	EL
	State	Region 02	District	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Special Ed	Disadv	(Current)
Advanced Dual-Credit Course			DISTRICT	American	пізрапіс	wille	iliulali	ASIAII	isiariuei	Races	Eu	Disauv	(Current)
Any Subject	c compication	(0.0005 5 .2)											
2018-19	44.6%	41.8%	34.6%	20.0%	34.8%	34.6%	*	*	_	*	23.8%	30.9%	0.0%
2017-18	43.4%	39.8%	41.7%	*	42.5%	30.4%	*	_	-	-	27.0%	42.1%	*
English Language Arts													
2018-19	17.8%	14.3%	22.5%	*	22.6%	24.0%	*	*	_	*	12.5%	19.7%	0.0%
2017-18	17.3%	13.9%	24.3%	*	24.3%	19.0%	*	_	-	_	21.9%	24.2%	*
Mathematics													
2018-19	20.4%	18.9%	7.3%	0.0%	7.1%	11.5%	*	*	-	*	4.9%	6.0%	0.0%
2017-18	20.7%	19.4%	15.2%	*	15.9%	5.0%	*	-	-	-	0.0%	13.2%	*
Science													
2018-19	21.7%	18.7%	10.3%	0.0%	10.5%	8.0%	*	*	-	*	5.3%	8.8%	0.0%
2017-18	21.2%	17.9%	22.9%	*	23.4%	15.0%	*	-	-	-	8.6%	22.6%	*
Social Studies													
2018-19	23.6%	19.9%	8.5%	*	8.7%	8.3%	*	*	-	*	2.6%	7.8%	0.0%
2017-18	22.8%	19.7%	5.7%	*	5.7%	5.6%	*	-	-	-	0.0%	4.6%	*
Graduates Enrolled in Texas I	Institution of H	ligher Educatio	n (TX IHE)										
2017-18	53.4%	51.7%	` 42.9%´	*	42.3%	40.0%	-	_	-	_	0.0%	40.5%	*
2016-17	54.6%	51.5%	55.8%	-	57.5%	*	-	-	-	-	0.0%	50.0%	*
Graduates in TX IHE Complete	ting One Year	Without Enroll	ment in a De	evelopmental I	Education Cou	ırse							
2017-18	60.7%	51.0%	38.9%	*	36.4%	*	-	-	-	_	-	36.7%	-
2016-17	59.2%	50.4%	51.2%	-	50.0%	*	-	-	-	-	-	44.4%	-

Texas Academic Performance Report 2019-20 District Student Information

County Name: SAN PATRICIO District Number: 205904

		Enrollment State						
Student Information	Di Count	strict Percent	Count	State Percent	Count	Strict Percent	Count	Percent
Student information	Count	reicent	Count	reicent	Count	reicent	Count	reiceiic
Total Students	1,591	100.0%	5,479,173	100.0%	1,599	100.0%	5,493,940	100.0%
Students by Grade:								
Early Childhood Education	1	0.1%	16,848	0.3%	8	0.5%	25,883	0.5%
Pre-Kindergarten	78	4.9%	248,413	4.5%	78	4.9%	249,226	4.5%
Kindergarten	88	5.5%	383,585	7.0%	88	5.5%	384,114	7.0%
Grade 1	108	6.8%	391,175	7.1%	108	6.8%	391,449	7.1%
Grade 2	128	8.0%	388,370	7.1%	128	8.0%	388,675	7.1%
Grade 3	129	8.1%	391,565	7.1%	129	8.1%	391,795	7.1%
Grade 4	117	7.4%	399,883	7.3%	117	7.3%	400,111	7.3%
Grade 5	108	6.8%	417,272	7.6%	108	6.8%	417,444	7.6%
Grade 6	131	8.2%	422,605	7.7%	131	8.2%	422,740	7.7%
Grade 7	136	8.5%	423,421	7.7%	136	8.5%	423,545	7.7%
Grade 8	121	7.6%	411,170	7.5%	121	7.6%	411,272	7.5%
Grade 9	142	8.9%	448,929	8.2%	142	8.9%	449,122	8.2%
Grade 10	121	7.6%	406,785	7.4%	121	7.6%	407,044	7.4%
Grade 11	90	5.7%	376,894	6.9%	90	5.6%	377,208	6.9%
Grade 12	93	5.8%	352,258	6.4%	94	5.9%	354,312	6.4%
Ethnic Distribution:								
African American	12	0.8%	691,582	12.6%	12	0.8%	692,925	12.6%
Hispanic	1,405	88.3%	2,892,928	52.8%	1,413	88.4%	2,899,504	52.8%
White	158	9.9%	1,477,699	27.0%	158	9.9%	1,483,688	27.0%
American Indian	2	0.1%	19,999	0.4%	2	0.1%	20,062	0.4%
Asian	6	0.4%	250,065	4.6%	6	0.4%	250,463	4.6%
Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	8,466	0.2%	0	0.0%	8,481	0.2%
Two or More Races	8	0.5%	138,434	2.5%	8	0.5%	138,817	2.5%
Sex:								
Female	741	46.6%	2,673,270	48.8%	742	46.4%	2,678,619	48.8%
Male	850	53.4%	2,805,903	51.2%	857	53.6%	2,815,321	51.2%
Economically Disadvantaged	1,372	86.2%	3,303,974	60.3%	1,377	86.1%	3,309,610	60.2%
Non-Educationally Disadvantaged	219	13.8%	2,175,199	39.7%	222	13.9%	2,184,330	39.8%
Section 504 Students	101	6.3%	376,734	6.9%	101	6.3%	376,956	6.9%
English Learners (EL)	40	2.5%	1,112,674	20.3%	40	2.5%	1,113,536	20.3%
Students w/ Disciplinary Placements (2018-19)	86	4.9%	82,551	1.5%			, -,	
Students w/ Dyslexia	34	2.1%	224,619	4.1%	34	2.1%	224,741	4.1%
Foster Care	8	0.5%	17,393	0.3%	8	0.5%	17,451	0.3%
Homeless	6	0.4%	78,178	1.4%	6	0.4%	78,296	1.4%
Immigrant	0	0.0%	126,747	2.3%	0	0.0%	126,858	2.3%
Migrant	17	1.1%	18,888	0.3%	17	1.1%	18,992	0.3%
Title I	1,591	100.0%	3,568,526	65.1%	1,599	100.0%	3,576,850	65.1%
Military Connected	6	0.4%	105,751	1.9%	6	0.4%	105,787	1.9%
At-Risk	892	56.1%	2,773,390	50.6%	892	55.8%	2,776,481	50.5%

Texas Academic Performance Report 2019-20 District Student Information

District Name: MATHIS ISD

County Name: SAN PATRICIO
District Number: 205904

		Membersh	ip			Enrollmer	nt	
	Di	strict	·S	tate	Di	strict	S	tate
Student Information	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Students by Instructional Program:								
Bilingual/ESL Education	27	1.7%	1,128,904	20.6%	27	1.7%	1,129,558	20.6%
Career & Technical Education	437	27.5%	1,512,219	27.6%				
Career & Technical Education (9-12 grades only)	224	50.2%	805,496	50.8%	224	50.1%	806,117	50.8%
Gifted & Talented Education	64	4.0%	444,125	8.1%	64	4.0%	444,196	8.1%
Special Education	163	10.2%	577,868	10.5%	170	10.6%	587,987	10.7%
Students with Disabilities by Type of Primary Disability:								
Total Students with Disabilities	163		577,868					
By Type of Primary Disability								
Students with Intellectual Disabilities	85	52.1%	245,216	42.4%				
Students with Physical Disabilities	39	23.9%	123,847	21.4%				
Students with Autism	**	**	79,952	13.8%				
Students with Behavioral Disabilities	20	12.3%	120,042	20.8%				
Students with Non-Categorical Early Childhood	*	*	8,811	1.5%				
Mobility (2018-19):								
Total Mobile Students	242	15.3%	806,375	15.3%				

-Non-Special Educa District	State	-Special Educa District	
		District	State
0.0%	1.6%	8.3%	5.5%
4.3%	2.9%	8.3%	4.9%
0.9%	1.6%	5.9%	2.0%
0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.8%
0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.4%
0.9%	0.4%	0.0%	0.5%
1.8%	0.4%	0.0%	0.5%
2.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.6%
3.5%	0.4%	0.0%	0.6%
12.2%	7.8%	5.3%	13.1%
Dis	trict	S	tate
Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	4.3% 0.9% 0.0% 0.0% 0.9% 1.8% 2.0% 3.5% 12.2%	4.3% 2.9% 0.9% 1.6% 0.0% 0.9% 0.0% 0.5% 0.9% 0.4% 1.8% 0.4% 2.0% 0.5% 3.5% 0.4% 12.2% 7.8%	4.3% 2.9% 8.3% 0.9% 1.6% 5.9% 0.0% 0.0% 0.9% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0

Texas Academic Performance Report 2019-20 District Student Information

County Name: SAN PATRICIO District Number: 205904

District Name: MATHIS ISD

Class Size Information	District	State
Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject		
(Derived from teacher responsibility records):		
Elementary:		
Kindergarten	14.5	19.0
Grade 1	13.1	18.9
Grade 2	15.8	18.8
Grade 3	16.0	19.0
Grade 4	14.2	19.2
Grade 5	13.4	20.9
Grade 6	16.9	20.4
Secondary:		
English/Language Arts	16.3	16.4
Foreign Languages	21.3	18.7
Mathematics	16.0	17.8
Science	14.9	18.8

15.9

19.3

Social Studies

Texas Academic Performance Report 2019-20 District Staff Information

County Name: SAN PATRICIO District Number: 205904

	Di	istrict	State		
Staff Information	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Total Staff	244.4	100.0%	734,726.4	100.0%	
Professional Staff:	157.8	64.6%	468,132.4	63.7%	
Teachers	114.3	46.8%	363,121.3	49.4%	
Professional Support	28.4	11.6%	74,698.8	10.2%	
Campus Administration (School Leadership)	9.1	3.7%	21,960.1	3.0%	
Central Administration	6.0	2.5%	8,352.3	1.1%	
Educational Aides:	33.7	13.8%	78.096.8	10.6%	
Auxiliary Staff:	52.9	21.6%	188,497.2	25.7%	
Librarians & Counselors (Headcount):					
Librarians					
Full-time	0.0	n/a	4,373.0	n/a	
Part-time	0.0	n/a	595.0	n/a	
Counselors					
Full-time	4.0	n/a	12,901.0	n/a	
Part-time	1.0	n/a	1,103.0	n/a	
Total Minority Staff:	187.5	76.7%	375,758.9	51.1%	
Teachers by Ethnicity and Sex:					
African American	2.0	1.7%	39,132.5	10.8%	
Hispanic	72.5	63.5%	102,099.7	28.1%	
White	36.9	32.3%	209,453.0	57.7%	
American Indian	0.0	0.0%	1,239.6	0.3%	
Asian	0.0	0.0%	6,393.2	1.8%	
Pacific Islander	0.0	0.0%	638.2	0.2%	
Two or More Races	2.9	2.5%	4,165.2	1.1%	
Males	31.7	27.8%	86,302.4	23.8%	
Females	82.6	72.2%	276,818.8	76.2%	
Teachers by Highest Degree Held:					
No Degree	3.0	2.6%	4,859.9	1.3%	
Bachelors	84.6	74.0%	266,596.3	73.4%	
Masters	26.7	23.3%	89,088.4	24.5%	
Doctorate	0.0	0.0%	2,576.8	0.7%	
Teachers by Years of Experience:					
Beginning Teachers	6.5	5.7%	26,878.7	7.4%	
1-5 Years Experience	37.0	32.4%	101,305.8	27.9%	
6-10 Years Experience	20.0	17.5%	70,305.4	19.4%	
11-20 Years Experience	29.9	26.1%	106,767.7	29.4%	
Over 20 Years Experience	21.0	18.4%	57,863.9	15.9%	
Number of Students per Teacher	13.9	n/a	15.1	n/a	

Texas Academic Performance Report 2019-20 District Staff Information

County Name: SAN PATRICIO District Number: 205904

Staff Information	District	State
Experience of Campus Leadership:		
Average Years Experience of Principals	5.8	6.2
Average Years Experience of Principals with District	3.5	5.3
Average Years Experience of Assistant Principals	3.8	5.3
Average Years Experience of Assistant Principals with District	3.4	4.7
Average Years Experience of Teachers:	11.4	11.1
Average Years Experience of Teachers with District:	7.0	7.2
Average Teacher Salary by Years of Experience (regular duties only):		
Beginning Teachers	\$46,195	\$49,868
1-5 Years Experience	\$47,700	\$52,823
6-10 Years Experience	\$53,562	\$55,756
11-20 Years Experience	\$55,414	\$59,308
Over 20 Years Experience	\$57,240	\$65,449
Average Actual Salaries (regular duties only):		
Teachers	\$52,407	\$57,091
Professional Support	\$55,820	\$67,352
Campus Administration (School Leadership)	\$73,177	\$82,512
Central Administration	\$79,975	\$108,367
Instructional Staff Percent:	66.2%	64.6%
Turnover Rate for Teachers:	21.5%	16.8%
Staff Exclusions:		
Shared Services Arrangement Staff:		
Professional Staff	0.0	1,122.5
Educational Aides	0.0	189.0
Auxiliary Staff	0.0	399.5
Contracted Instructional Staff:	0.0	6,309.0

Texas Academic Performance Report 2019-20 District Staff Information

County Name: SAN PATRICIO District Number: 205904

District Name: MATHIS ISD

	Di	State		
Program Information	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Teachers by Program (population served):				
Bilingual/ESL Education	0.0	0.0%	23,626.0	6.5%
Career & Technical Education	7.2	6.3%	18,120.4	5.0%
Compensatory Education	0.0	0.0%	10,147.3	2.8%
Gifted & Talented Education	0.0	0.0%	7,053.3	1.9%
Regular Education	97.8	85.6%	257,548.7	70.9%
Special Education	6.6	5.7%	33,620.4	9.3%
Other	2.7	2.4%	13,005.2	3.6%

Link to: PEIMS Financial Standard Reports 2018-19 Financial Actual Report

^{&#}x27;M Indicates that rates for reading and mathematics are based on the cumulative results from the first and second administrations of STAAR.

^{&#}x27;*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

When only one student disability group is masked, then the second smallest student disability group is masked regardless of size.

^{&#}x27;-' Indicates there are no students in the group.

^{&#}x27;n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

^{&#}x27;?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable or were reported outside a reasonable range.

FFH (LEGAL)

Table of Contents	Dating Violence	2
	Sexual Harassment	2
	Designation of Title IX Coordinator	2
	Parties Entitled to Notice	3
	Reporting	3
	Notification of Policy	3
	Publication Requirements	3
	Complaint Procedures	4
	Response to Sexual Harassment	4
	Title IX Coordinator Response	6
	Process for Title IX Formal Complaint	7
	Recordkeeping	15
	Retaliation Prohibited	16
	Confidentiality	17

FFH (LEGAL)

Note:

The following legal provisions address dating violence and sexual harassment. For legal provisions addressing discrimination on the basis of disability, sex, and other protected characteristics, see FB.

Dating Violence

A district shall adopt and implement a dating violence policy to be included in the district improvement plan.

A dating violence policy must:

- Include a definition of dating violence that includes the intentional use of physical, sexual, verbal, or emotional abuse by a person to harm, threaten, intimidate, or control another person in a dating relationship, as defined by Family Code 71.0021; and
- 2. Address safety planning, enforcement of protective orders, school-based alternatives to protective orders, training for teachers and administrators, counseling for affected students, and awareness education for students and parents.

Education Code 37.083, .0831 [See BQ]

Note:

References to Title IX, part, or subpart in the following legal provisions refer to Title IX and its corresponding regulations.

Sexual Harassment

A district may develop and implement a sexual harassment policy to be included in the district improvement plan. *Education Code* 37.083 [See BQ]

Sexual abuse of a student by an employee, when there is a connection between the physical sexual activity and the employee's duties and obligations as a district employee, violates a student's constitutional right to bodily integrity. Sexual abuse may include fondling, sexual assault, or sexual intercourse. *U.S. Const. Amend.* 14; <u>Doe v. Taylor Indep. Sch. Dist.</u>, 15 F.3d 443 (5th Cir. 1994)

A district's treatment of a complainant or a respondent in response to a formal complaint of sexual harassment may constitute discrimination on the basis of sex under Title IX. 34 C.F.R. 106.45; 20 U.S.C. 1681 [See also FB regarding Title IX]

Designation of Title IX Coordinator

A district must designate and authorize at least one employee to coordinate its efforts to comply with its responsibilities under Title IX, which employee must be referred to as the "Title IX Coordinator."

DATE ISSUED: 1/7/2021

FFH (LEGAL)

Parties Entitled to Notice

The district must notify applicants for admission and employment, students, parents or legal guardians, employees, and all professional organizations holding professional agreements with the district ("Parties Entitled to Notice") of the name or title, office address, electronic mail address, and telephone number of the employee or employees designated as the Title IX Coordinator.

34 C.F.R. 106.8(a)

Reporting

Any person may report sex discrimination, including sexual harassment (whether or not the person reporting is the person alleged to be the victim of conduct that could constitute sex discrimination or sexual harassment), in person, by mail, by telephone, or by electronic mail, using the contact information listed for the Title IX Coordinator, or by any other means that results in the Title IX Coordinator receiving the person's verbal or written report. Such a report may be made at any time (including during nonbusiness hours) by using the telephone number or electronic mail address, or by mail to the office address, listed for the Title IX Coordinator.

Notification of Policy

A district must notify the Parties Entitled to Notice, above, that the district does not discriminate on the basis of sex in the education program or activity that it operates, and that it is required by Title IX not to discriminate in such a manner. The notification must state that the requirement not to discriminate in the education program or activity extends to employment, and that inquiries about the application of Title IX to such district may be referred to the district's Title IX Coordinator, to the assistant secretary for civil rights of the Department of Education, or both.

34 C.F.R. 106.2(d), .8(b)(1)

Publication Requirements A district must prominently display the contact information required to be listed for the Title IX Coordinator and the nondiscrimination policy described at Notification of Policy, above, on its website, if any, and in each handbook that it makes available to the Parties Entitled to Notice, above.

A district must not use or distribute a publication stating that the district treats applicants, students, or employees differently on the basis of sex except as such treatment is permitted by Title IX.

34 C.F.R. 106.8(b)(2)

DATE ISSUED: 1/7/2021 UPDATE 116

FFH (LEGAL)

Note:

To distinguish the process described below from the District's general grievance policies [see DGBA, FNG, and GF], this policy refers to the grievance process required by Title IX regulations for responding to formal complaints of sexual harassment as the District's "Title IX formal complaint process."

Complaint Procedures

A district must adopt and publish procedures that provide for the prompt and equitable resolution of student and employee complaints alleging any action that would be prohibited by Title IX and a Title IX formal complaint process that complies with 34 C.F.R. 106.45 for formal complaints as defined below.

A district must provide notice to the Parties Entitled to Notice, above, of the district's procedures and Title IX formal complaint process, including how to report or file a complaint of sex discrimination, how to report or file a formal complaint of sexual harassment, and how the district will respond.

The requirements of this provision apply only to sex discrimination occurring against a person in the United States.

34 C.F.R. 106.8(c)–(d)

Response to Sexual Harassment

Definitions

"Actual knowledge" means notice of sexual harassment or allegations of sexual harassment to a district's Title IX Coordinator or any official of the district who has authority to institute corrective measures on behalf of the district, or to any employee of an elementary and secondary school. Imputation of knowledge based solely on vicarious liability or constructive notice is insufficient to constitute actual knowledge. This standard is not met when the only official of the district with actual knowledge is the respondent. The mere ability or obligation to report sexual harassment or to inform a student about how to report sexual harassment, or having been trained to do so, does not qualify an individual as one who has authority to institute corrective measures on behalf of the district. "Notice" as used in this paragraph includes, but is not limited to, a report of sexual harassment to the Title IX Coordinator.

"Complainant" means an individual who is alleged to be the victim of conduct that could constitute sexual harassment.

"Consent" is not defined by the Title IX regulations, nor do the regulations require districts to adopt a particular definition of consent with respect to sexual assault.

"Formal complaint" means a document filed by a complainant or signed by the Title IX Coordinator alleging sexual harassment

FFH (LEGAL)

against a respondent and requesting that the district investigate the allegation of sexual harassment. At the time of filing a formal complaint, a complainant must be participating in or attempting to participate in the education program or activity of the district with which the formal complaint is filed. A formal complaint may be filed with the Title IX Coordinator in person, by mail, or by electronic mail, by using the contact information required to be listed for the Title IX Coordinator, and by any additional method designated by the district. As used in this paragraph, the phrase "document filed by a complainant" means a document or electronic submission (such as by electronic mail or through an online portal provided for this purpose by the district) that contains the complainant's physical or digital signature, or otherwise indicates that the complainant is the person filing the formal complaint. Where the Title IX Coordinator signs a formal complaint, the Title IX Coordinator is not a complainant or otherwise a party to a Title IX formal complaint, and must comply with the requirements of the Title IX formal complaint process, including the informal resolution process.

"Respondent" means an individual who has been reported to be the perpetrator of conduct that could constitute sexual harassment.

"Sexual harassment" means conduct on the basis of sex that satisfies one or more of the following:

- An employee of the district conditioning the provision of an aid, benefit, or service of the district on an individual's participation in unwelcome sexual conduct;
- Unwelcome conduct determined by a reasonable person to be so severe, pervasive, and objectively offensive that it effectively denies a person equal access to the district's education program or activity; or
- 3. "Sexual assault" as defined in 20 U.S.C. 1092(f)(6)(A)(v), "dating violence" as defined in 34 U.S.C. 12291(a)(10), "domestic violence" as defined in 34 U.S.C. 12291(a)(8), or "stalking" as defined in 34 U.S.C. 12291(a)(30).

"Supportive measures" means nondisciplinary, nonpunitive individualized services offered as appropriate, as reasonably available, and without fee or charge to the complainant or the respondent before or after the filing of a formal complaint or where no formal complaint has been filed. Such measures are designed to restore or preserve equal access to the district's education program or activity without unreasonably burdening the other party, including measures designed to protect the safety of all parties or the district's educational environment, or deter sexual harassment. Supportive measures may include counseling, extensions of deadlines

FFH (LEGAL)

or other course-related adjustments, modifications of work or class schedules, campus escort services, mutual restrictions on contact between the parties, changes in work or district-provided housing locations, leaves of absence, increased security and monitoring of certain areas of the campus, and other similar measures. The district must maintain as confidential any supportive measures provided to the complainant or respondent, to the extent that maintaining such confidentiality would not impair the ability of the district to provide the supportive measures. The Title IX Coordinator is responsible for coordinating the effective implementation of supportive measures.

34 C.F.R. 106.2, .30(a)

Deliberate Indifference

A district with actual knowledge of sexual harassment in an education program or activity of the district against a person in the United States, must respond promptly in a manner that is not deliberately indifferent. A district is deliberately indifferent only if its response to sexual harassment is clearly unreasonable in light of the known circumstances.

Education Program or Activity

For the purposes of 34 C.F.R. 106.30 [see Definitions, above] and 106.45 [see Process for Title IX Formal Complaint, below], "education program or activity" includes locations, events, or circumstances over which the district exercised substantial control over both the respondent and the context in which the sexual harassment occurs.

34 C.F.R. 106.44(a)

Title IX Coordinator Response

The Title IX Coordinator must promptly contact the complainant to discuss the availability of supportive measures, consider the complainant's wishes with respect to supportive measures, inform the complainant of the availability of supportive measures with or without the filing of a formal complaint, and explain to the complainant the process for filing a formal complaint. The Title IX Coordinator must respond in this manner with or without a formal complaint. 34 C.F.R. 106.44(b)(1)

Supportive Measures Required

A district's response must treat complainants and respondents equitably by offering supportive measures and by following a process that complies with 34 C.F.R. 106.45 [see Process for Title IX Formal Complaint, below] before the imposition of any disciplinary sanctions or other actions that are not supportive measures against a respondent. [For Emergency Removal procedures, see below.]

Constitutional Restrictions

The Department of Education may not deem a district to have satisfied the district's duty to not be deliberately indifferent under Title

DATE ISSUED: 1/7/2021

FFH (LEGAL)

IX based on the district's restriction of rights protected under the U.S. Constitution, including the First Amendment, Fifth Amendment, and Fourteenth Amendment.

34 C.F.R. 106.44(a)

Response to a Formal Complaint

In response to a formal complaint, a district must follow a process that complies with 34 C.F.R. 106.45 [see Process for Title IX Formal Complaint, below]. 34 C.F.R. 106.44(b)(1)

Emergency Removal

The Title IX regulations do not preclude a district from removing a respondent from the district's education program or activity on an emergency basis, provided that the district:

- 1. Undertakes an individualized safety and risk analysis;
- 2. Determines that an immediate threat to the physical health or safety of any student or other individual arising from the allegations of sexual harassment justifies removal; and
- 3. Provides the respondent with notice and an opportunity to challenge the decision immediately following the removal.

This provision may not be construed to modify any rights under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, or the Americans with Disabilities Act.

34 C.F.R. 106.44(c)

Administrative Leave

The Title IX regulations do not preclude a district from placing a nonstudent employee respondent on administrative leave during the pendency of a Title IX formal complaint. This provision may not be construed to modify any rights under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 or the Americans with Disabilities Act. 34 C.F.R. 106.44(d)

Process for Title IX Formal Complaint

For the purpose of addressing formal complaints of sexual harassment, a district's process must comply with the following requirements. Any provisions, rules, or practices other than those required by this provision that a district adopts as part of its process for handling formal complaints of sexual harassment must apply equally to both parties. 34 C.F.R. 106.45(b)

A district's Title IX formal complaint process must:

1. Treat complainants and respondents equitably by providing remedies to a complainant where a determination of responsibility for sexual harassment has been made against the respondent, and by following a process that complies with the Title IX regulations before the imposition of any disciplinary sanctions or other actions that are not supportive measures

DATE ISSUED: 1/7/2021

against a respondent. Remedies must be designed to restore or preserve equal access to the district's education program or activity. Such remedies may include the same individualized services described as supportive measures; however, remedies need not be nondisciplinary or nonpunitive and need not avoid burdening the respondent;

- 2. Require an objective evaluation of all relevant evidence—including both inculpatory and exculpatory evidence—and provide that credibility determinations may not be based on a person's status as a complainant, respondent, or witness;
- Require that any individual designated by a district as a Title 3. IX Coordinator, investigator, decision-maker, or any person designated by a district to facilitate an informal resolution process, not have a conflict of interest or bias for or against complainants or respondents generally or an individual complainant or respondent. A district must ensure that Title IX Coordinators, investigators, decision-makers, and any person who facilitates an informal resolution process, receive training on the definition of sexual harassment, the scope of the district's education program or activity, how to conduct an investigation and Title IX formal complaint process including hearings, appeals, and informal resolution processes, as applicable, and how to serve impartially, including by avoiding prejudgment of the facts at issue, conflicts of interest, and bias. A district must ensure that decision-makers receive training on any technology to be used at a live hearing, if any, and on issues of relevance of questions and evidence, including when guestions and evidence about the complainant's sexual predisposition or prior sexual behavior are not relevant. [See Hearings, below] A district also must ensure that investigators receive training on issues of relevance to create an investigative report that fairly summarizes relevant evidence. [See Investigation of a Formal Complaint, below] Any materials used to train Title IX Coordinators, investigators, decision-makers, and any person who facilitates an informal resolution process, must not rely on sex stereotypes and must promote impartial investigations and adjudications of formal complaints of sexual harassment:
- Include a presumption that the respondent is not responsible for the alleged conduct until a determination regarding responsibility is made at the conclusion of the Title IX formal complaint process;
- 5. Include reasonably prompt time frames for conclusion of the Title IX formal complaint process, including reasonably

prompt time frames for filing and resolving appeals and informal resolution processes if the district offers informal resolution processes, and a process that allows for the temporary delay of the Title IX formal complaint process or the limited extension of time frames for good cause with written notice to the complainant and the respondent of the delay or extension and the reasons for the action. Good cause may include considerations such as the absence of a party, a party's advisor, or a witness; concurrent law enforcement activity; or the need for language assistance or accommodation of disabilities;

- Describe the range of possible disciplinary sanctions and remedies or list the possible disciplinary sanctions and remedies that the district may implement following any determination of responsibility;
- 7. State whether the standard of evidence to be used to determine responsibility is the preponderance of the evidence standard or the clear and convincing evidence standard, apply the same standard of evidence for formal complaints against students as for formal complaints against employees, including faculty, and apply the same standard of evidence to all formal complaints of sexual harassment;
- 8. Include the procedures and permissible bases for the complainant and respondent to appeal;
- 9. Describe the range of supportive measures available to complainants and respondents; and
- 10. Not require, allow, rely upon, or otherwise use questions or evidence that constitute, or seek disclosure of, information protected under a legally recognized privilege, unless the person holding such privilege has waived the privilege.

34 C.F.R. 106.45(b)(1)

Notice of Allegations Upon receipt of a formal complaint, a district must provide the following written notice to the parties who are known:

- 1. Notice of the district's Title IX formal complaint process, including any informal resolution process.
- Notice of the allegations of sexual harassment potentially constituting sexual harassment, including sufficient details known at the time and with sufficient time to prepare a response before any initial interview. Sufficient details include:
 - a. The identities of the parties involved in the incident, if known;

DATE ISSUED: 1/7/2021

FFH (LEGAL)

- b. The conduct allegedly constituting sexual harassment; and
- c. The date and location of the alleged incident, if known.

The written notice must include a statement that the respondent is presumed not responsible for the alleged conduct and that a determination regarding responsibility is made at the conclusion of the Title IX formal complaint process. The written notice must inform the parties that they may have an advisor of their choice, who may be, but is not required to be, an attorney and may inspect and review evidence [see Investigation of a Formal Complaint, below]. The written notice must inform the parties of any provision in the district's code of conduct that prohibits knowingly making false statements or knowingly submitting false information during the Title IX formal complaint process.

If, in the course of an investigation, the district decides to investigate allegations about the complainant or respondent that are not included in the Notice of Allegations, above, the district must provide notice of the additional allegations to the parties whose identities are known.

34 C.F.R. 106.45(b)(2)

Dismissal of a Formal Complaint

The district must investigate the allegations in a formal complaint. If the conduct alleged in the formal complaint would not constitute sexual harassment even if proved, did not occur in the district's education program or activity, or did not occur against a person in the United States, then the district must dismiss the formal complaint with regard to that conduct for purposes of sexual harassment under Title IX; such a dismissal does not preclude action under another provision of the district's code of conduct.

The district may dismiss the formal complaint or any allegations therein, if at any time during the investigation or hearing: a complainant notifies the Title IX Coordinator in writing that the complainant would like to withdraw the formal complaint or any allegations therein; the respondent is no longer enrolled or employed by the district; or specific circumstances prevent the district from gathering evidence sufficient to reach a determination as to the formal complaint or allegations therein.

Upon a dismissal required or permitted pursuant to 34 C.F.R. 106.45(b)(3), the district must promptly send written notice of the dismissal and reason(s) therefor simultaneously to the parties.

FFH (LEGAL)

Consolidation of Formal Complaints

A district may consolidate formal complaints as to allegations of sexual harassment against more than one respondent, or by more than one complainant against one or more respondents, or by one party against the other party, where the allegations of sexual harassment arise out of the same facts or circumstances. Where a Title IX formal complaint process involves more than one complainant or more than one respondent, references in this provision to the singular "party," "complainant," or "respondent" include the plural, as applicable.

34 C.F.R. 106.45(b)(3)–(4)

Investigation of a Formal Complaint

When investigating a formal complaint and throughout the Title IX formal complaint process, a district must:

- 1. Ensure that the burden of proof and the burden of gathering evidence sufficient to reach a determination regarding responsibility rest on the district and not on the parties provided that the district cannot access, consider, disclose, or otherwise use a party's records that are made or maintained by a physician, psychiatrist, psychologist, or other recognized professional or paraprofessional acting in the professional's or paraprofessional's capacity, or assisting in that capacity, and which are made and maintained in connection with the provision of treatment to the party, unless the district obtains that party's voluntary, written consent to do so for a Title IX formal complaint (if a party is not an "eligible student," as defined in 34 C.F.R. 99.3 then the district must obtain the voluntary, written consent of a "parent," as defined in 34 C.F.R. 99.3) [see FL(LEGAL) at Education Records];
- Provide an equal opportunity for the parties to present witnesses, including fact and expert witnesses, and other inculpatory and exculpatory evidence;
- 3. Not restrict the ability of either party to discuss the allegations under investigation or to gather and present relevant evidence;
- 4. Provide the parties with the same opportunities to have others present during any Title IX formal complaint proceeding, including the opportunity to be accompanied to any related meeting or proceeding by the advisor of their choice, who may be, but is not required to be, an attorney, and not limit the choice or presence of advisor for either the complainant or respondent in any meeting or Title IX formal complaint proceeding; however, the district may establish restrictions regarding

DATE ISSUED: 1/7/2021 UPDATE 116

the extent to which the advisor may participate in the proceedings, as long as the restrictions apply equally to both parties:

- Provide, to a party whose participation is invited or expected, written notice of the date, time, location, participants, and purpose of all hearings, investigative interviews, or other meetings, with sufficient time for the party to prepare to participate;
- Provide both parties an equal opportunity to inspect and re-6. view any evidence obtained as part of the investigation that is directly related to the allegations raised in a formal complaint, including the evidence upon which the district does not intend to rely in reaching a determination regarding responsibility and inculpatory or exculpatory evidence whether obtained from a party or other source, so that each party can meaningfully respond to the evidence prior to conclusion of the investigation. Prior to completion of the investigative report, the district must send to each party and the party's advisor, if any, the evidence subject to inspection and review in an electronic format or a hard copy, and the parties must have at least ten days to submit a written response, which the investigator will consider prior to completion of the investigative report. The district must make all such evidence subject to the parties' inspection and review available at any hearing to give each party equal opportunity to refer to such evidence during the hearing, including for purposes of cross-examination; and
- 7. Create an investigative report that fairly summarizes relevant evidence and, at least ten days prior to a hearing (if a hearing is required or otherwise provided) or other time of determination regarding responsibility, send to each party and the party's advisor, if any, the investigative report in an electronic format or a hard copy, for their review and written response.

34 C.F.R. 106.45(b)(5)

Hearings

The district's Title IX formal complaint process may, but need not, provide for a hearing. With or without a hearing, after the district has sent the investigative report to the parties pursuant to 34 C.F.R. 106.45(b)(5)(vii) [see Investigation of a Formal Complaint, above] and before reaching a determination regarding responsibility, the decision-maker(s) must afford each party the opportunity to submit written, relevant questions that a party wants asked of any party or witness, provide each party with the answers, and allow for additional, limited follow-up questions from each party. With or without a hearing, questions and evidence about the complainant's sexual predisposition or prior sexual behavior are not relevant, unless such questions and evidence about the complainant's prior

DATE ISSUED: 1/7/2021

FFH (LEGAL)

sexual behavior are offered to prove that someone other than the respondent committed the conduct alleged by the complainant, or if the questions and evidence concern specific incidents of the complainant's prior sexual behavior with respect to the respondent and are offered to prove consent. The decision-maker(s) must explain to the party proposing the questions any decision to exclude a question as not relevant. 34 C.F.R. 106.45(b)(6)(ii)

Determination Regarding Responsibility The decision-maker(s), who cannot be the same person(s) as the Title IX Coordinator or the investigator(s), must issue a written determination regarding responsibility. To reach this determination, the district must apply the standard of evidence described at Process for Title IX Formal Complaint, above.

The written determination must include:

- 1. Identification of the allegations potentially constituting sexual harassment:
- 2. A description of the procedural steps taken from the receipt of the formal complaint through the determination, including any notifications to the parties, interviews with parties and witnesses, site visits, methods used to gather other evidence, and hearings held;
- Findings of fact supporting the determination; 3.
- 4. Conclusions regarding the application of the district's code of conduct to the facts:
- 5. A statement of, and rationale for, the result as to each allegation, including a determination regarding responsibility, any disciplinary sanctions the district imposes on the respondent. and whether remedies designed to restore or preserve equal access to the district's education program or activity will be provided by the district to the complainant; and
- 6. The district's procedures and permissible bases for the complainant and respondent to appeal.

The district must provide the written determination to the parties simultaneously. The determination regarding responsibility becomes final either on the date that the district provides the parties with the written determination of the result of the appeal, if an appeal is filed, or if an appeal is not filed, the date on which an appeal would no longer be considered timely.

34 C.F.R. 106.45(b)(7)(i)-(ii)

DATE ISSUED: 1/7/2021 UPDATE 116

FFH (LEGAL)

Implementation of Remedies

The Title IX Coordinator is responsible for effective implementation of any remedies. 34 C.F.R. 106.45(b)(7)(iv)

Appeals

A district must offer both parties an appeal from a determination regarding responsibility, and from a district's dismissal of a formal complaint or any allegations therein, on the following bases:

- 1. Procedural irregularity that affected the outcome of the matter;
- 2. New evidence that was not reasonably available at the time the determination regarding responsibility or dismissal was made, that could affect the outcome of the matter; and
- 3. The Title IX Coordinator, investigator(s), or decision-maker(s) had a conflict of interest or bias for or against complainants or respondents generally or the individual complainant or respondent that affected the outcome of the matter.

A district may offer an appeal equally to both parties on additional bases.

As to all appeals, the district must:

- 1. Notify the other party in writing when an appeal is filed and implement appeal procedures equally for both parties;
- Ensure that the decision-maker(s) for the appeal is not the same person as the decision-maker(s) that reached the determination regarding responsibility or dismissal, the investigator(s), or the Title IX Coordinator;
- Ensure that the decision-maker(s) for the appeal complies with the standards in the Title IX regulations regarding conflict of interest and bias [see Process for Formal Title IX Complaint, item 3, above];
- 4. Give both parties a reasonable, equal opportunity to submit a written statement in support of, or challenging, the outcome;
- 5. Issue a written decision describing the result of the appeal and the rationale for the result; and
- 6. Provide the written decision simultaneously to both parties.

34 C.F.R. 106.45(b)(8)

Informal Resolution A district may not require as a condition of enrollment or continuing enrollment, or employment or continuing employment, or enjoyment of any other right, waiver of the right to an investigation and adjudication of formal complaints of sexual harassment consistent

DATE ISSUED: 1/7/2021

FFH (LEGAL)

with Title IX. Similarly, a district may not require the parties to participate in an informal resolution process and may not offer an informal resolution process unless a formal complaint is filed. However, at any time prior to reaching a determination regarding responsibility the district may facilitate an informal resolution process, such as mediation, that does not involve a full investigation and adjudication, provided that the district:

- 1. Provides to the parties a written notice disclosing:
 - a. The allegations;
 - b. The requirements of the informal resolution process including the circumstances under which it precludes the parties from resuming a formal complaint arising from the same allegations, provided, however, that at any time prior to agreeing to a resolution, any party has the right to withdraw from the informal resolution process and resume the Title IX formal complaint process with respect to the formal complaint; and
 - Any consequences resulting from participating in the informal resolution process, including the records that will be maintained or could be shared;
- 2. Obtains the parties' voluntary, written consent to the informal resolution process; and
- Does not offer or facilitate an informal resolution process to resolve allegations that an employee sexually harassed a student.

34 C.F.R. 106.45(b)(9)

Recordkeeping

A district must maintain for a period of seven years records of:

- Each sexual harassment investigation including any determination regarding responsibility, any disciplinary sanctions imposed on the respondent, and any remedies provided to the complainant designed to restore or preserve equal access to the district's education program or activity;
- Any appeal and the result therefrom;
- 3. Any informal resolution and the result therefrom; and
- 4. All materials used to train Title IX Coordinators, investigators, decision-makers, and any person who facilitates an informal resolution process. A district must make these training materials publicly available on its website or if the district does not maintain a website the district must make these materials

DATE ISSUED: 1/7/2021

FFH (LEGAL)

available upon request for inspection by members of the public.

For each response required under Title IX Coordinator Response, above, a district must create, and maintain for a period of seven years, records of any actions, including any supportive measures, taken in response to a report or formal complaint of sexual harassment. In each instance, the district must document the basis for its conclusion that its response was not deliberately indifferent, and document that it has taken measures designed to restore or preserve equal access to the district's education program or activity.

If a district does not provide a complainant with supportive measures, then the district must document the reasons why such a response was not clearly unreasonable in light of the known circumstances. The documentation of certain bases or measures does not limit the district in the future from providing additional explanations or detailing additional measures taken.

34 C.F.R. 106.45(b)(10)

Retaliation Prohibited No district or other person may intimidate, threaten, coerce, or discriminate against any individual for the purpose of interfering with any right or privilege secured by Title IX, or because the individual has made a report or complaint, testified, assisted, or participated or refused to participate in any manner in an investigation, proceeding, or hearing under Title IX.

Intimidation, threats, coercion, or discrimination, including charges against an individual for code of conduct violations that do not involve sex discrimination or sexual harassment, but arise out of the same facts or circumstances as a report or complaint of sex discrimination, or a report or formal complaint of sexual harassment, for the purpose of interfering with any right or privilege secured by Title IX, constitutes retaliation.

Complaints alleging retaliation may be filed according to the Process for Title IX Formal Complaint above.

The exercise of rights protected under the First Amendment does not constitute retaliation prohibited by Title IX.

Charging an individual with a code of conduct violation for making a materially false statement in bad faith in the course of a Title IX formal complaint proceeding does not constitute retaliation prohibited by Title IX, provided, however, that a determination regarding responsibility, alone, is not sufficient to conclude that any party made a materially false statement in bad faith.

34 C.F.R. 106.71(a)–(b)

DATE ISSUED: 1/7/2021

Mathis ISD 205904

STUDENT WELFARE FREEDOM FROM DISCRIMINATION, HARASSMENT, AND RETALIATION

FFH (LEGAL)

Confidentiality

The district must keep confidential the identity of any individual who has made a report or complaint of sex discrimination, including any individual who has made a report or filed a formal complaint of sexual harassment, any complainant, any individual who has been reported to be the perpetrator of sex discrimination, any respondent, and any witness, except as may be permitted by the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) statute, 20 U.S.C. 1232g, or FERPA regulations, 34 C.F.R. Part 99, or as required by law, or to carry out the purposes of 34 C.F.R. Part 106, including the conduct of any investigation, hearing, or judicial proceeding arising thereunder. 34 C.F.R. 106.71(a)

DATE ISSUED: 1/7/2021

FFH (LOCAL)

Note:

This policy addresses discrimination, harassment, and retaliation against District students. For provisions regarding discrimination, harassment, and retaliation against District employees, see DIA. For reporting requirements related to child abuse and neglect, see FFG. Note that FFH shall be used in conjunction with FFI (bullying) for certain prohibited conduct.

Statement of Nondiscrimination

The District prohibits discrimination, including harassment, against any student on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, gender, national origin, age, disability, or any other basis prohibited by law. The District prohibits dating violence, as defined by this policy. Retaliation against anyone involved in the complaint process is a violation of District policy and is prohibited.

Discrimination

Discrimination against a student is defined as conduct directed at a student on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, gender, national origin, age, disability, or any other basis prohibited by law, that adversely affects the student.

Prohibited Conduct

In this policy, the term "prohibited conduct" includes discrimination, harassment, dating violence, and retaliation as defined by this policy, even if the behavior does not rise to the level of unlawful conduct.

Prohibited conduct also includes sexual harassment as defined by Title IX. [See FFH(LEGAL)]

Prohibited Harassment

Prohibited harassment of a student is defined as physical, verbal, or nonverbal conduct based on the student's race, color, religion, sex, gender, national origin, age, disability, or any other basis prohibited by law, when the conduct is so severe, persistent, or pervasive that the conduct:

- 1. Affects a student's ability to participate in or benefit from an educational program or activity, or creates an intimidating, threatening, hostile, or offensive educational environment;
- 2. Has the purpose or effect of substantially or unreasonably interfering with the student's academic performance; or
- Otherwise adversely affects the student's educational opportunities.

Prohibited harassment includes dating violence as defined by law and this policy.

Examples

Examples of prohibited harassment may include offensive or derogatory language directed at another person's religious beliefs or

DATE ISSUED: 7/3/2020

FFH (LOCAL)

practices, accent, skin color, or need for accommodation; threatening, intimidating, or humiliating conduct; offensive jokes, name calling, slurs, or rumors; cyberharassment; physical aggression or assault; display of graffiti or printed material promoting racial, ethnic, or other negative stereotypes; or other kinds of aggressive conduct such as theft or damage to property.

Sex-Based Harassment

As required by law, the District shall follow the procedures below at Response to Sexual Harassment—Title IX upon a report of sex-based harassment, including sexual harassment, gender-based harassment, and dating violence, when such allegations, if proved, would meet the definition of sexual harassment under Title IX. [See FFH(LEGAL)]

Sexual Harassment

By an Employee

Sexual harassment of a student by a District employee includes both welcome and unwelcome sexual advances; requests for sexual favors; sexually motivated physical, verbal, or nonverbal conduct; or other conduct or communication of a sexual nature when:

- A District employee causes the student to believe that the student must submit to the conduct in order to participate in a school program or activity, or that the employee will make an educational decision based on whether or not the student submits to the conduct; or
- 2. The conduct is so severe, persistent, or pervasive that it:
 - Affects the student's ability to participate in or benefit from an educational program or activity, or otherwise adversely affects the student's educational opportunities; or
 - b. Creates an intimidating, threatening, hostile, or abusive educational environment.

Romantic or other inappropriate social relationships between students and District employees are prohibited. Any sexual relationship between a student and a District employee is always prohibited, even if consensual. [See DH]

By Others

Sexual harassment of a student, including harassment committed by another student, includes unwelcome sexual advances; requests for sexual favors; or sexually motivated physical, verbal, or nonverbal conduct when the conduct is so severe, persistent, or pervasive that it:

1. Affects a student's ability to participate in or benefit from an educational program or activity, or creates an intimidating, threatening, hostile, or offensive educational environment;

DATE ISSUED: 7/3/2020

FFH (LOCAL)

- 2. Has the purpose or effect of substantially or unreasonably interfering with the student's academic performance; or
- Otherwise adversely affects the student's educational opportunities.

Examples

Examples of sexual harassment of a student may include sexual advances; touching intimate body parts or coercing physical contact that is sexual in nature; jokes or conversations of a sexual nature; and other sexually motivated conduct, contact, or communications, including electronic communication.

Necessary or permissible physical contact such as assisting a child by taking the child's hand, comforting a child with a hug, or other physical contact not reasonably construed as sexual in nature is not sexual harassment.

Gender-Based Harassment

Gender-based harassment includes physical, verbal, or nonverbal conduct based on the student's gender, the student's expression of characteristics perceived as stereotypical for the student's gender, or the student's failure to conform to stereotypical notions of masculinity or femininity. For purposes of this policy, gender-based harassment is considered prohibited harassment if the conduct is so severe, persistent, or pervasive that the conduct:

- 1. Affects a student's ability to participate in or benefit from an educational program or activity, or creates an intimidating, threatening, hostile, or offensive educational environment;
- 2. Has the purpose or effect of substantially or unreasonably interfering with the student's academic performance; or
- Otherwise adversely affects the student's educational opportunities.

Examples

Examples of gender-based harassment directed against a student, regardless of the student's or the harasser's actual or perceived sexual orientation or gender identity, may include offensive jokes, name-calling, slurs, or rumors; cyberharassment; physical aggression or assault; threatening or intimidating conduct; or other kinds of aggressive conduct such as theft or damage to property.

Dating Violence

Dating violence occurs when a person in a current or past dating relationship uses physical, sexual, verbal, or emotional abuse to harm, threaten, intimidate, or control the other person in the relationship. Dating violence also occurs when a person commits these acts against a person in a marriage or dating relationship with the individual who is or was once in a marriage or dating relationship with the person committing the offense.

DATE ISSUED: 7/3/2020

FFH (LOCAL)

For purposes of this policy, dating violence is considered prohibited harassment if the conduct is so severe, persistent, or pervasive that the conduct:

- Affects a student's ability to participate in or benefit from an educational program or activity, or creates an intimidating, threatening, hostile, or offensive educational environment;
- 2. Has the purpose or effect of substantially or unreasonably interfering with the student's academic performance; or
- Otherwise adversely affects the student's educational opportunities.

Examples

Examples of dating violence against a student may include physical or sexual assaults; name-calling; put-downs; or threats directed at the student, the student's family members, or members of the student's household. Additional examples may include destroying property belonging to the student, threatening to commit suicide or homicide if the student ends the relationship, attempting to isolate the student from friends and family, stalking, threatening a student's spouse or current dating partner, or encouraging others to engage in these behaviors.

Reporting Procedures

Student Report

Any student who believes that he or she has experienced prohibited conduct or believes that another student has experienced prohibited conduct should immediately report the alleged acts to a teacher, school counselor, principal, other District employee, or the appropriate District official listed in this policy.

Employee Report

Any District employee who suspects or receives direct or indirect notice that a student or group of students has or may have experienced prohibited conduct shall immediately notify the appropriate District official listed in this policy and take any other steps required by this policy.

Definition of District Officials

For the purposes of this policy, District officials are the Title IX coordinator, the ADA/Section 504 coordinator, and the Superintendent.

Title IX Coordinator Reports of discrimination based on sex, including sexual harassment, gender-based harassment, or dating violence, may be directed to the designated Title IX coordinator for students. [See FFH(EXHIBIT)]

ADA / Section 504 Coordinator Reports of discrimination based on disability may be directed to the designated ADA/Section 504 coordinator for students. [See FFH(EXHIBIT)]

Superintendent

The Superintendent shall serve as coordinator for purposes of District compliance with all other nondiscrimination laws.

DATE ISSUED: 7/3/2020

FFH (LOCAL)

Alternative Reporting Procedures

An individual shall not be required to report prohibited conduct to the person alleged to have committed the conduct. Reports concerning prohibited conduct, including reports against the Title IX coordinator or ADA/Section 504 coordinator, may be directed to the Superintendent.

A report against the Superintendent may be made directly to the Board. If a report is made directly to the Board, the Board shall appoint an appropriate person to conduct an investigation.

Timely Reporting

To ensure the District's prompt investigation, reports of prohibited conduct shall be made as soon as possible after the alleged act or knowledge of the alleged act.

Notice to Parents

The District official or designee shall promptly notify the parents of any student alleged to have experienced prohibited conduct by a District employee or another adult.

[For parental notification requirements regarding an allegation of educator misconduct with a student, see FFF.]

Investigation of Reports Other Than Title IX

The following procedures apply to all allegations of prohibited conduct other than allegations of harassment prohibited by Title IX. [See FFH(LEGAL)] For allegations of sex-based harassment that, if proved, would meet the definition of sexual harassment under Title IX, including sexual harassment, gender-based harassment, and dating violence, see the procedures below at Response to Sexual Harassment—Title IX.

The District may request, but shall not require, a written report. If a report is made orally, the District official shall reduce the report to written form.

Initial Assessment

Upon receipt or notice of a report, the District official shall determine whether the allegations, if proved, would constitute prohibited conduct as defined by this policy. If so, the District shall immediately undertake an investigation, except as provided below at Criminal Investigation.

If the District official determines that the allegations, if proved, would not constitute prohibited conduct as defined by this policy, the District official shall refer the complaint for consideration under FFI.

Interim Action

If appropriate and regardless of whether a criminal or regulatory investigation regarding the alleged conduct is pending, the District shall promptly take interim action calculated to address prohibited conduct or bullying prior to the completion of the District's investigation.

DATE ISSUED: 7/3/2020

FFH (LOCAL)

District Investigation

The investigation may be conducted by the District official or a designee, such as the principal, or by a third party designated by the District, such as an attorney. When appropriate, the principal shall be involved in or informed of the investigation.

The investigation may consist of personal interviews with the person making the report, the person against whom the report is filed, and others with knowledge of the circumstances surrounding the allegations. The investigation may also include analysis of other information or documents related to the allegations.

Criminal Investigation

If a law enforcement or regulatory agency notifies the District that a criminal or regulatory investigation has been initiated, the District shall confer with the agency to determine if the District investigation would impede the criminal or regulatory investigation. The District shall proceed with its investigation only to the extent that it does not impede the ongoing criminal or regulatory investigation. After the law enforcement or regulatory agency has finished gathering its evidence, the District shall promptly resume its investigation.

Concluding the Investigation

Absent extenuating circumstances, such as a request by a law enforcement or regulatory agency for the District to delay its investigation, the investigation should be completed within ten District business days from the date of the report; however, the investigator shall take additional time if necessary to complete a thorough investigation.

The investigator shall prepare a written report of the investigation. The report shall include a determination of whether prohibited conduct or bullying occurred. The report shall be filed with the District official overseeing the investigation.

Notification of Outcome Notification of the outcome of the investigation shall be provided to both parties in compliance with FERPA.

District Action

Prohibited

Conduct

If the results of an investigation indicate that prohibited conduct occurred, the District shall promptly respond by taking appropriate disciplinary action in accordance with the Student Code of Conduct and may take corrective action reasonably calculated to address the conduct.

Corrective Action Examples of corrective action may include a training program for those involved in the report, a comprehensive education program for the school community, counseling to the victim and the student who engaged in prohibited conduct, follow-up inquiries to determine if any new incidents or any instances of retaliation have occurred, involving parents and students in efforts to identify problems and improve the school climate, increasing staff monitoring of

DATE ISSUED: 7/3/2020

FFH (LOCAL)

areas where prohibited conduct has occurred, and reaffirming the District's policy against discrimination and harassment.

Bullying

If the results of an investigation indicate that bullying occurred, as defined by FFI, the District official shall refer to FFI for appropriate notice to parents and District action. The District official shall refer to FDB for transfer provisions.

Improper Conduct If the investigation reveals improper conduct that did not rise to the level of prohibited conduct or bullying, the District may take disciplinary action in accordance with the Student Code of Conduct or other corrective action reasonably calculated to address the conduct.

Confidentiality

To the greatest extent possible, the District shall respect the privacy of the complainant, persons against whom a report is filed, and witnesses. Limited disclosures may be necessary in order to conduct a thorough investigation and comply with applicable law.

Appeal

A student or parent who is dissatisfied with the outcome of the investigation may appeal through FNG(LOCAL), beginning at the appropriate level. A student or parent shall be informed of his or her right to file a complaint with the United States Department of Education Office for Civil Rights.

Response to Sexual Harassment-Title IX

For purposes of the District's response to reports of harassment prohibited by Title IX, definitions can be found in FFH(LEGAL).

General Response

When the District receives notice or an allegation of conduct that, if proved, would meet the definition of sexual harassment under Title IX, the Title IX coordinator shall promptly contact the complainant to:

- Discuss the availability of supportive measures and inform the complainant that they are available, with or without the filing of a formal complaint;
- Consider the complainant's wishes with respect to supportive measures; and
- Explain to the complainant the option and process for filing a formal complaint.

The District's response to sexual harassment shall treat complainants and respondents equitably by offering supportive measures to both parties, as appropriate, and by following the Title IX formal complaint process before imposing disciplinary sanctions or other actions that are not supportive measures against a respondent.

DATE ISSUED: 7/3/2020

FFH (LOCAL)

Title IX Formal Complaint Process If a formal complaint is not filed, the District reserves the right to investigate and respond to prohibited conduct in accordance with Board policies and the Student Code of Conduct.

To distinguish the process described below from the District's general grievance policies [see DGBA, FNG, and GF], this policy refers to the grievance process required by Title IX regulations for responding to formal complaints of sexual harassment as the District's "Title IX formal complaint process."

The Superintendent shall ensure the development of a Title IX formal complaint process that complies with legal requirements. [See FFH(LEGAL)] The formal complaint process shall be posted on the District's website. In compliance with Title IX regulations, the District's Title IX formal complaint process shall address the following basic requirements:

- 1. Equitable treatment of complainants and respondents;
- 2. An objective evaluation of all relevant evidence;
- 3. A requirement that the Title IX coordinator, investigator, decision-maker, or any person designated to facilitate an informal resolution process not have a conflict of interest or bias;
- A presumption that the respondent is not responsible for the alleged sexual harassment until a determination is made at the conclusion of the Title IX formal complaint process;
- Time frames that provide for a reasonably prompt conclusion of the Title IX formal complaint process, including time frames for appeals and any informal resolution process, and that allow for temporary delays or the limited extension of time frames with good cause and written notice as required by law;
- A description of the possible disciplinary sanctions and remedies that may be implemented following a determination of responsibility for the alleged sexual harassment;
- A statement of the standard of evidence to be used to determine responsibility for all Title IX formal complaints of sexual harassment;
- 8. Procedures and permissible bases for the complainant and respondent to appeal a determination of responsibility or a dismissal of a Title IX formal complaint or any allegations therein;
- A description of the supportive measures available to the complainant and respondent;

DATE ISSUED: 7/3/2020 UPDATE 115 FFH(LOCAL)-A

FFH (LOCAL)

- 10. A prohibition on using or seeking information protected under a legally recognized privilege unless the individual holding the privilege has waived the privilege;
- Additional formal complaint procedures in 34 C.F.R. 106.45(b), including written notice of a formal complaint, consolidation of formal complaints, recordkeeping, and investigation procedures; and
- 12. Other local procedures as determined by the Superintendent.

Standard of Evidence

The standard of evidence used to determine responsibility in a Title IX formal complaint of sexual harassment shall be the preponderance of the evidence.

Retaliation

The District prohibits retaliation by a student or District employee against a student alleged to have experienced discrimination or harassment, including dating violence, or another student who, in good faith, makes a report of harassment or discrimination, files a complaint of harassment or discrimination, serves as a witness, or participates in an investigation. The definition of prohibited retaliation under this policy also includes retaliation against a student who refuses to participate in any manner in an investigation under Title IX.

Examples

Examples of retaliation may include threats, intimidation, coercion, rumor spreading, ostracism, assault, destruction of property, unjustified punishments, or unwarranted grade reductions. Unlawful retaliation does not include petty slights or annoyances.

False Claim

A student who intentionally makes a false claim or offers false statements in a District investigation regarding discrimination or harassment, including dating violence, shall be subject to appropriate disciplinary action in accordance with law.

Records Retention

The District shall retain copies of allegations, investigation reports, and related records regarding any prohibited conduct in accordance with the District's records control schedules, but for no less than the minimum amount of time required by law. [See CPC]

[For Title IX recordkeeping and retention provisions, see FFH(LE-GAL) and the District's Title IX formal complaint process.]

Access to Policy and Procedures

Information regarding this policy and any accompanying procedures shall be distributed annually in the employee and student handbooks. Copies of the policy and procedures shall be posted on the District's website, to the extent practicable, and readily available at each campus and the District's administrative offices.

DATE ISSUED: 7/3/2020

UPDATE 115 FFH(LOCAL)-A ADOPTED:

STUDENT WELFARE FREEDOM FROM BULLYING

FFI (LOCAL)

Note:

This policy addresses bullying of District students. For purposes of this policy, the term bullying includes cyberbullying.

For provisions regarding discrimination and harassment involving District students, see FFH. Note that FFI shall be used in conjunction with FFH for certain prohibited conduct. For reporting requirements related to child abuse and neglect, see FFG.

Bullying Prohibited

The District prohibits bullying, including cyberbullying, as defined by state law. Retaliation against anyone involved in the complaint process is a violation of District policy and is prohibited.

Examples

Bullying of a student could occur by physical contact or through electronic means and may include hazing, threats, taunting, teasing, confinement, assault, demands for money, destruction of property, theft of valued possessions, name calling, rumor spreading, or ostracism.

Retaliation

The District prohibits retaliation by a student or District employee against any person who in good faith makes a report of bullying, serves as a witness, or participates in an investigation.

Examples

Examples of retaliation may include threats, rumor spreading, ostracism, assault, destruction of property, unjustified punishments, or unwarranted grade reductions. Unlawful retaliation does not include petty slights or annoyances.

False Claim

A student who intentionally makes a false claim, offers false statements, or refuses to cooperate with a District investigation regarding bullying shall be subject to appropriate disciplinary action.

Timely Reporting

Reports of bullying shall be made as soon as possible after the alleged act or knowledge of the alleged act. A failure to immediately report may impair the District's ability to investigate and address the prohibited conduct.

Reporting Procedures

Student Report

To obtain assistance and intervention, any student who believes that he or she has experienced bullying or believes that another student has experienced bullying should immediately report the alleged acts to a teacher, school counselor, principal, or other District employee. The Superintendent shall develop procedures allowing a student to anonymously report an alleged incident of bullying.

Employee Report

Any District employee who suspects or receives notice that a student or group of students has or may have experienced bullying shall immediately notify the principal or designee.

DATE ISSUED: 11/3/2017

UPDATE 109 FFI(LOCAL)-A

STUDENT WELFARE FREEDOM FROM BULLYING

FFI (LOCAL)

Report Format

A report may be made orally or in writing. The principal or designee shall reduce any oral reports to written form.

Notice of Report

When an allegation of bullying is reported, the principal or designee shall notify a parent of the alleged victim on or before the third business day after the incident is reported. The principal or designee shall also notify a parent of the student alleged to have engaged in the conduct within a reasonable amount of time after the incident is reported.

Prohibited Conduct

The principal or designee shall determine whether the allegations in the report, if proven, would constitute prohibited conduct as defined by policy FFH, including dating violence and harassment or discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, gender, national origin, or disability. If so, the District shall proceed under policy FFH. If the allegations could constitute both prohibited conduct and bullying, the investigation under FFH shall include a determination on each type of conduct.

Investigation of Report

The principal or designee shall conduct an appropriate investigation based on the allegations in the report. The principal or designee shall promptly take interim action calculated to prevent bullying during the course of an investigation, if appropriate.

Concluding the Investigation

Absent extenuating circumstances, the investigation should be completed within ten District business days from the date of the initial report alleging bullying; however, the principal or designee shall take additional time if necessary to complete a thorough investigation.

The principal or designee shall prepare a final, written report of the investigation. The report shall include a determination of whether bullying occurred, and if so, whether the victim used reasonable self-defense. A copy of the report shall be sent to the Superintendent or designee.

Notice to Parents

If an incident of bullying is confirmed, the principal or designee shall promptly notify the parents of the victim and of the student who engaged in bullying.

District Action

Bullying

If the results of an investigation indicate that bullying occurred, the District shall promptly respond by taking appropriate disciplinary action in accordance with the District's Student Code of Conduct and may take corrective action reasonably calculated to address the conduct. The District may notify law enforcement in certain circumstances.

Discipline

A student who is a victim of bullying and who used reasonable selfdefense in response to the bullying shall not be subject to disciplinary action.

DATE ISSUED: 11/3/2017

UPDATE 109 FFI(LOCAL)-A

STUDENT WELFARE FREEDOM FROM BULLYING

FFI (LOCAL)

The discipline of a student with a disability is subject to applicable state and federal law in addition to the Student Code of Conduct.

Corrective Action

Examples of corrective action may include a training program for the individuals involved in the complaint, a comprehensive education program for the school community, follow-up inquiries to determine whether any new incidents or any instances of retaliation have occurred, involving parents and students in efforts to identify problems and improve the school climate, increasing staff monitoring of areas where bullying has occurred, and reaffirming the District's policy against bullying.

Transfers The principal or designee shall refer to FDB for transfer provisions.

Counseling The principal or designee shall notify the victim, the student who

engaged in bullying, and any students who witnessed the bullying

of available counseling options.

Improper Conduct If the investigation reveals improper conduct that did not rise to the

> level of prohibited conduct or bullying, the District may take action in accordance with the Student Code of Conduct or any other ap-

propriate corrective action.

Confidentiality To the greatest extent possible, the District shall respect the priva-

> cy of the complainant, persons against whom a report is filed, and witnesses. Limited disclosures may be necessary in order to con-

duct a thorough investigation.

Appeal A student who is dissatisfied with the outcome of the investigation

may appeal through FNG(LOCAL), beginning at the appropriate

level.

Records Retention Retention of records shall be in accordance with CPC(LOCAL).

Access to Policy and

Procedures

This policy and any accompanying procedures shall be distributed annually in the employee and student handbooks. Copies of the policy and procedures shall be posted on the District's website, to the extent practicable, and shall be readily available at each cam-

pus and the District's administrative offices.

DATE ISSUED: 11/3/2017

UPDATE 109 FFI(LOCAL)-A ADOPTED: