

## Public Comments Submitted Electronically for the May 23, 2023 Study Session

### Information: Facilities Master Planning

Name	Comment
Sheri Morgan	<p>It should be clear to the Board that there is trust from the community that the Board and Administration is operating within the boundaries of their duties when it comes to general facilities within the proposed and revised versions of the plan. Beyond that, any elements that remain intact including two story building, elevated tennis courts, two story parking structures office buildings, or new traffic patterns where they previously did not exist that have any impact on the community are outside of the boundary of which the citizens of Laguna Beach are comfortable extending trust. The pool, District office and elevated parking with tennis courts should be removed and set as it's own project like Building P, not buried as part of a annual facilities review. This is too large and the attempts to push it through with the same speed as annual upgrades and repairs is irresponsible and a dereliction of duties.</p> <p>We have very specific guidelines that every tax paying citizen must follow to pursue any development and a project of this size and scope even if it is downsized significantly, would impact the surrounding community and the decision should not left to our board whose duties are to ensure quality education for our students and community and yes maintenance of our facilities but not city planning and community development. City development with all respect, requires skills needed by civil engineers of which our administration or board do not possess.</p>

### Information: Financial Options

Name	Comment
Sheri Morgan	<p>How does the option of a "loan" impact the general fund and is it wise with interest rates where they are. Going the route of a loan removes it from the citizens vote of Laguna whose tax dollars pay for our schools and that is not acceptable to find a solution that circumvents the public voice on a project this large. If the Board feels they have the knowledge to become civil engineers or they have the authority to impose such a large loan to the district without public vote on the project itself then they should step down and become consultants or be recalled so the board can be replaced with community members that are interested in being more of a voice for the community rather than waste tax payer dollars on shiny objects instead of educating our kids and elevating the quality of our schools to match expectations of the citizens that work hard to live here and fund them.</p>