#### Eligibility Record Review Document Autism (AUT)

#### 707 KAR 1:002 Section 1(5) and 707 KAR 1:310

**NOTE**: The definition specifies that autism is generally evident before age three. Individual cases may vary.

Multi-Di	sciplinary Evaluation, Eligibility Determination Form, Conference							
Summar	y							
AUT 1a.	The ARC documented evidence showing the student has a developmental disability, generally evident before age three, significantly affecting verbal and nonverbal communication.  • assessments completed in the areas of verbal and nonverbal communication  • assessment results indicate a significant deviation from the norm							
AUT 1b.	The ARC documented evidence showing the student has a developmental disability affecting social interaction.  • assessments completed in the area of social interaction  • assessment results indicate a significant deviation from the norm							
AUT 2	The ARC documented evidence showing the deficits are not primarily the result of an emotional-behavioral disability.							
AUT 3	The ARC documented the review and analysis of evaluation information confirming the deficits have an adverse effect on educational performance to the extent specially-designed instruction is needed. The ARC:  • showed how the student's performance is significantly and consistently below similar age peers due to the disability  • described the unique differences of the student that warrant specially designed instruction (SDI)  • drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and documented  NOTE: Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional							
AUT 4	The ARC documented the review and analysis of evidence confirming lack of instruction in reading and math was not a determinant factor in the eligibility decision.  Discussion may include, but is not limited to:  instruction provided by qualified personnel  intervention data based on the student's response to instruction  setting of instruction  attendance records  work samples  disciplinary removals  NOTE: Complete this item only for initial eligibility determination.							

# Eligibility Record Review Document Autism (AUT)

Multi-Dis Summary	ciplinary Evaluation, Eligibility Determination Form, Conference	Yes	No							
AUT 5	The ARC documented the review and analysis of evidence confirming limited English proficiency was not a determinant factor in the eligibility decision.									
ATIME	May be documented in one of these areas:  • social-developmental history  • Summary of Past and Present Support in Conference Summary									
AUT 6	The parent was provided a copy of the evaluation report <u>and</u> documentation of eligibility determination. <u>34 CFR 300.306</u>									
	□ Yes □ No									
	OR									
	If the parent did not attend the ARC meeting, the ARC documented that a copy of the evaluation report and documentation of eligibility determination were sent home to the parent.									
	□ Yes □ No									
AUT 7	ARC membership includes all of the following as defined in 707 KAR 1:320 Section 3 (1):									
	Required at all ARC meetings  □ parent(s) □ regular education teacher of the student □ special education teacher □ LEA representative □ individual qualified to interpret results									
	Additional members as appropriate  ☐ individual with knowledge or special expertise of the student ☐ related services personnel ☐ the student									
	<b>NOTE:</b> Complete this section <b>OR</b> items 15 through 21 of the IDEA Record Review document. It is <b>NOT</b> necessary to complete both.									

#### SSID:

#### Eligibility Record Review Document Deaf-Blindness (DB)

707 KAR 1:002 Section 1(21) and 707 KAR 1:310

	Disciplinary Evaluation, Eligibility Determination Form, Conference	Yes	No						
Summa	T*								
DB 1a	The ARC documented evidence showing the student has a hearing impairment.								
	(Completed Hearing Impairment Eligibility Form is attached.)		ш						
DB 1b	The ARC documented evidence showing the student has a visual impairment.								
	(Completed Visual Impairment Eligibility Form is attached.)								
DB 2	The ARC documented evidence showing the combination of the two								
	impairments causes such severe communication, developmental and								
	educational needs that the student cannot be accommodated in special education programs designed solely for the students with visual impairments or hearing		_						
	impairments, unless supplementary assistance is provided to address								
	educational needs resulting from the two disabilities.								
DB 3	The ARC documented the review and analysis of evaluation information								
	confirming the deficits have an adverse effect on educational performance to		Ш						
	the extent specially-designed instruction is needed. The ARC:								
	• showed <i>how</i> the student's performance is <u>significantly and consistently</u>								
	<ul> <li>below similar age peers due to the disability</li> <li>described the unique differences of the student that warrant specially</li> </ul>								
	designed instruction (SDI)								
	drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the								
	drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and documented								
	information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and documented								
	NOTE: Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional								
	performance.								
	periodinance.								
DB 4	The ARC documented the review and analysis of evidence confirming lack of								
	instruction in reading and math was not a determinant factor in the eligibility	Ш	$\square$						
	decision.								
	Discussion may include, but is not limited to:								
	• instruction provided by qualified personnel								
	<ul> <li>intervention data based on the student's response to instruction</li> <li>setting of instruction</li> </ul>								
	attendance records								
	work samples								
	disciplinary removals								
	,								
	<b>NOTE:</b> Complete this item <i>only for initial</i> eligibility determination.								

# Eligibility Record Review Document Deaf-Blindness (DB)

Multi-Disciplinary Evaluation, Eligibility Determination Form, Conference									
Summa									
DB 5	The ARC documented the review and analysis of evidence confirming limited English proficiency was not a determinant factor in the eligibility decision.								
	May be documented in one of these areas:  • social-developmental history								
	Summary of Past and Present Support in Conference Summary								
<b>DB</b> 6	The parent was provided a copy of the evaluation report and documentation of eligibility determination. 34 CFR 300.306								
	□ Yes □ No								
	OR								
	If the parent did not attend the ARC meeting, the ARC documented that a copy of the evaluation report and documentation of eligibility determination were sent home to the parent.								
	□ Yes □ No								
<b>DB</b> 7	ARC membership includes all of the following as defined in 707 KAR 1:320 Section 3 (1):								
	Required at all ARC meetings  □ parent(s) □ regular education teacher □ special education teacher □ LEA representative □ individual qualified to interpret results								
	Additional members as appropriate  individual with knowledge or special expertise regarding the student related services personnel the student								
	<b>NOTE:</b> Complete this section <b>OR</b> items 15 through 21 of the IDEA Record Review document. It is <b>NOT</b> necessary to complete both.								

#### SSID:

#### Eligibility Record Review Document Developmental Delay (DD)

#### 707 KAR 1:002 Section 1(5) and 707 KAR 1:310

I .	ciplinary Evaluation, Eligibility Determination Form, Conference	Yes	No						
Summary	T								
DD 1	The ARC documented evidence showing the student is between the ages of three through eight.								
DD 2	The ARC documented evidence showing the student has not acquired skills or achieved commensurately with recognized performance expectations for his/her age in one or more of the developmental areas.     cognition   communication   motor development   social-emotional development   self-help/adaptive behavior								
DD 3	The ARC documented evidence showing the student demonstrates a measurable, verifiable difference between expected performance and current level of performance documented by DD 3a or DD 3b.								
DD 3a	□ scores of two standard deviations or more below the mean in one or more of the five developmental areas indicated in DD 2 using norm-referenced instruments and procedures  OR □ scores of 1 ½ standard deviations below the mean in two or more of the five developmental areas indicated in DD 2 using norm-referenced instruments and procedures  Note: The standard deviation to establish DD eligibility must be in the domains listed in DD 2. Subdomains shall not be used to establish eligibility for DD.								
DD 3b	The normed scores were <i>inconclusive</i> and the professional judgment of the ARC verified the existence of significant atypical quality or pattern of development.  The ARC documented in a written report the reasons for concluding that a student has a developmental delay.  \[ \textsquare \text{Yes}  \text{No} \]								

# Eligibility Record Review Document Developmental Delay (DD)

Multi-Disciplinary Evaluation, Eligibility Determination Form, Conference								
Summary								
DD 4	The ARC documented the review and analysis of evaluation information confirming the deficits have an adverse effect on educational performance to the extent specially-designed instruction is needed. The ARC:							
	<ul> <li>showed how the student's performance is significantly and consistently below similar age peers due to the disability</li> <li>described the unique differences of the student that warrant specially designed instruction (SDI)</li> </ul>							
	<ul> <li>drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and documented</li> </ul>							
	<b>NOTE:</b> Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional performance.							
DD 5	The ARC documented the review and analysis of evidence confirming lack of instruction in reading and math was not a determinant factor in the eligibility decision.							
dall.	Discussion may include, but is not limited to:							
W.	instruction provided by qualified personnel							
	<ul> <li>intervention data based on the student's response to instruction</li> </ul>							
	<ul> <li>setting of instruction</li> </ul>							
	<ul> <li>attendance records</li> </ul>							
	<ul> <li>work samples</li> </ul>							
	disciplinary removals							
	<b>NOTE:</b> Complete this item <i>only for initial</i> eligibility determination.							
DD 6	The ARC documented the review and analysis of evidence confirming limited English proficiency was not a determinant factor in the eligibility decision.							
	May be documented in one of these areas:  • social-developmental history  • Summary of Past and Present Support in Conference Summary							

# Eligibility Record Review Document Developmental Delay (DD)

Multi-Disciplinary Evaluation, Eligibility Determination Form, Conference							
Summary							
<b>DD</b> 7	The parent was provided a copy of the evaluation report <u>and</u> documentation of eligibility determination. <u>34 CFR 300.306</u>						
	□ Yes □ No						
	OR						
	If the parent did not attend the ARC meeting, the ARC documented that a copy of the evaluation report and documentation of eligibility determination were sent home to the parent.						
	□ Yes □ No						
DD 8	ARC membership includes all of the following as defined in 707 KAR 1:320 Section 3 (1):						
	Required at all ARC meetings  □ parent(s) □ regular education teacher □ special education teacher □ LEA representative □ individual qualified to interpret results  Additional members as appropriate □ individual with knowledge or special expertise regarding the student						
	☐ related services personnel ☐ the student						
	<b>NOTE:</b> Complete this section <b>OR</b> items 15 through 21 of the IDEA Record Review document. It is <b>NOT</b> necessary to complete both.						

#### SSID#:

#### Eligibility Record Review Document Emotional-Behavioral Disability (EBD)

707 KAR 1:002 Section 1(5) and 707 KAR 1:310

Multi-Di	Disciplinary Evaluation, Eligibility Determination Form, Conference								
Summar	y								
EBD 1	The ARC documented evidence showing the student was provided with interventions to meet instructional and social-emotional needs and continued to exhibit <u>one or more</u> of the following, when compared to the student's peer and cultural reference groups across settings, over a long period of time and to a marked degree.								
	<ul> <li>□ Severe deficits in social competence or appropriate behavior which causes an inability to build or maintain satisfactory interpersonal relationships with adults or peers;</li> <li>□ Severe deficits in academic performance, which are not commensurate with the student's ability level and are not solely a result of intellectual, sensory or other health factors but are related to the student's social-emotional problems;</li> <li>□ A general pervasive mood of unhappiness or depression; or,</li> <li>□ A tendency to develop physical symptoms or fears associated with personal or school problems.</li> </ul>								
	<ul> <li>NOTE:</li> <li>ARC documentation includes a summary of the discussion pertaining to the review and analysis of intervention data.</li> <li>For purposes of reevaluation, documentation of interventions includes evidence of IEP implementation. This includes, but is not limited to, Specially Designed Instruction (SDI), Supplementary Aids and Services (SAS), Behavior Intervention Plan (BIP), provided for the student. Progress for each measurable annual goal shows the student continues to exhibit one or more of the above criteria.</li> </ul>								
EBD 2	The ARC documented in the discussion that the student's deficits are not the result of isolated inappropriate behaviors that are the result of willful, intentional or wanton actions unless it is determined through the evaluation process that the child does have an emotional-behavioral disability.								
EBD 3									
	designed instruction (SDI)								

# Eligibility Record Review Document Emotional-Behavioral Disability (EBD)

Multi-D	lti-Disciplinary Evaluation, Eligibility Determination Form, Conference									
Summai	<u>*                                      </u>									
	<ul> <li>drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and documented</li> </ul>									
	<b>NOTE:</b> Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional performance.									
EBD 4	The ARC documented the review and analysis of evidence confirming lack of instruction in reading and math was not a determinant factor in the eligibility decision.									
	Discussion may include, but is not limited to:  • instruction provided by qualified personnel									
	<ul> <li>intervention data based on the student's response to instruction</li> </ul>									
	setting of instruction									
	<ul><li>attendance records</li><li>work samples</li></ul>									
	disciplinary removals									
	<b>NOTE:</b> Complete this item <i>only for initial</i> eligibility determination.									
EBD 5	The ARC documented the review and analysis of evidence confirming limited English proficiency was not a determinant factor in the eligibility decision.									
	May be documented in one of these areas:  • social-developmental history									
	Summary of Past and Present Support in Conference Summary									
EBD 6	The parent was provided a copy of the evaluation report <u>and</u> documentation of eligibility determination. <u>34 CFR 300.306</u>									
	□ Yes □ No									
	OR									
	If the parent did not attend the ARC meeting, the ARC documented that a copy of the evaluation report and documentation of eligibility determination were sent home to the parent.									
	□ Yes □ No									

# Eligibility Record Review Document Emotional-Behavioral Disability (EBD)

		r	
Multi-Di	sciplinary Evaluation, Eligibility Determination Form, Conference	Yes	No
Summar	<u>y</u>		
<b>EBD</b> 7	ARC membership includes all of the following as defined in		
	707 KAR 1:320 Section 3 (1):	Ш	Ш
	Required at all ARC meetings		
	$\square$ parent(s)		
	☐ regular education teacher		
	☐ special education teacher		
	☐ LEA representative		
	☐ individual qualified to interpret results		
	Additional members as appropriate		
	individual with knowledge or special expertise regarding the student		
	□ related services personnel		
	the student		
	ine student		
	<b>NOTE:</b> Complete this section <b>OR</b> items 15 through 21 of the IDEA Record		
	Review document. It is <b>NOT</b> necessary to complete both.		- 1

#### SSID#:

#### Eligibility Record Review Document Functional Mental Disability

707 KAR 1:002 Section 1(37) and 707 KAR 1:310

Multi-Dis	isciplinary Evaluation, Eligibility Determination Form, Conference								
Summary	7								
FMD 1a	The ARC documented evidence showing the student's cognitive functioning								
	is at least three standard deviations below the mean.		<u> </u>						
FMD 1b	The ARC documented evidence showing the student's adaptive behavior is								
	at least three standard deviations below the mean.								
	For <u>reevaluation</u> purposes, it is <b>not</b> necessary to continue to meet the three								
	standard deviation deficit in adaptive behavior as long as the ARC considers								
	the increase in the adaptive behavior score and documents the reasons the								
EMID 1.	student continues to meet eligibility requirements for FMD.								
FMD 1c	8								
	academic performance including acquisition, retention and application of	-							
FMD 1d	knowledge.	_							
FMID 10	The ARC documented evidence showing the disability manifested during the developmental period.								
FMD 2	The ARC documented the review and analysis of evaluation information								
TIVID 2	confirming the deficits have an adverse effect on educational performance to								
	the extent specially-designed instruction is needed. The ARC:		_						
	• showed <i>how</i> the student's performance is significantly and								
	consistently below similar age peers due to the disability								
	described the unique differences of the student that warrant specially								
	designed instruction (SDI)								
	Constitution of the Consti								
	<ul> <li>drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and</li> </ul>								
	documented documented								
	documented								
	NOTE: Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional								
	performance.								
FMD 3	The ARC documented the review and analysis of evidence confirming lack								
	of instruction in reading and math was not a determinant factor in the	ш	ш						
	eligibility decision.								
	Discussion may include, but is not limited to:								
	<ul> <li>instruction provided by qualified personnel</li> </ul>								
	<ul> <li>intervention data based on the student's response to instruction</li> </ul>								
	setting of instruction								
	attendance records								
	work samples								
	disciplinary removals								
	NOTE: Complete this item only for initial all all all all all and an initial								
	<b>NOTE:</b> Complete this item <i>only for initial</i> eligibility determination.								

# Eligibility Record Review Document Functional Mental Disability

Multi-Disc	ciplinary Evaluation, Eligibility Determination Form, Conference	Yes	No
Summary			
FMD 4	The ARC documented the review and analysis of evidence confirming limited English proficiency was not a determinant factor in the eligibility decision.		
	May be documented in one of these areas:		
	social-developmental history		
	Summary of Past and Present Support in Conference Summary		
FMD 5	The parent was provided a copy of the evaluation report <u>and</u> documentation of eligibility determination. <u>34 CFR 300.306</u>		
	□ Yes □ No		
	OR		
	If the parent did not attend the ARC meeting, the ARC documented that a copy of the evaluation report and documentation of eligibility determination were sent home to the parent.		
	□ Yes □ No		
FMD 6	ARC membership includes all of the following as defined in 707 KAR 1:320 Section 3 (1):		
	Required at all ARC meetings  □ parent(s) □ regular education teacher □ special education teacher □ LEA representative □ individual qualified to interpret results		
	Additional members as appropriate  ☐ individual with knowledge or special expertise regarding the student ☐ related services personnel ☐ the student		
	<b>NOTE:</b> Complete this section <b>OR</b> items 15 through 21 of the IDEA Record Review document. It is <b>NOT</b> necessary to complete both.		

#### Eligibility Record Review Document Hearing Impairment (HI) Typically referred to as "deaf" or "hard of hearing"

#### 707 KAR 1:002 Section 1(29) and 707 KAR 1:310

Multi-	Disciplinary Evaluation, Eligibility Determination Form, Conference	Yes	No
Summ	ary		
HI 1	The ARC documented evidence showing the student has a hearing loss that may be mild to profound, unilateral or bilateral, permanent or fluctuating and meets one or more of the criteria below:  1a. average pure-tone hearing loss in the speech range (500Hz, 1000Hz, and 2000Hz) of at least 25dB in the better ear		
	<ul> <li>□ 1b. average pure-tone hearing loss in the high-frequency range (2000Hz, 4000Hz, and 6000Hz) of at least 45dB in the better ear; or</li> <li>□ 1c. average pure-tone unilateral hearing loss in the speech range (500Hz, 1000Hz, and 2000Hz) of at least 60dB in the impaired ear.</li> </ul>		
HI 2	The ARC documented evidence showing the hearing loss results in difficulty in identifying linguistic information through hearing.		
НІ 3	The ARC documented the review and analysis of evaluation information confirming the deficits have an adverse effect on educational performance to the extent specially-designed instruction is needed. The ARC:  • showed how the student's performance is significantly and consistently below similar age peers due to the disability  • described the unique differences of the student that warrant specially designed instruction (SDI)  • drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and documented  NOTE: Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional performance.		
HI 4	The ARC documented the review and analysis of evidence confirming lack of instruction in reading and math was not a determinant factor in the eligibility decision.  Discussion may include, but is not limited to:  instruction provided by qualified personnel  intervention data based on the student's response to instruction  setting of instruction  attendance records  work samples  disciplinary removals		
	<b>NOTE:</b> Complete this item <i>only for initial</i> eligibility determination.		

# Eligibility Record Review Document Hearing Impairment (HI) Typically referred to as "deaf" or "hard of hearing"

Multi-	Disciplinary Evaluation, Eligibility Determination Form, Conference	Yes	No
Summ			
HI 5	The ARC documented the review and analysis of evidence confirming limited English proficiency was not a determinant factor in the eligibility decision.		
	May be documented in one of these areas:		
	<ul> <li>social-developmental history</li> <li>Summary of Past and Present Support in Conference Summary</li> </ul>		
НІ 7	The parent was provided a copy of the evaluation report <u>and</u> documentation of eligibility determination. <u>34 CFR 300.306</u> ■ Yes □ No		
	OR		
	If the parent did not attend the ARC meeting, the ARC documented that a copy of the evaluation report and documentation of eligibility determination were sent home to the parent.		
	■ Yes □ No		
HI 8	ARC membership includes all of the following as defined in 707 KAR 1:320 Section 3 (1):		
	Required at all ARC meetings  □ parent(s) □ regular education teacher □ special education teacher □ LEA representative □ individual qualified to interpret results		
	Additional members as appropriate  individual with knowledge or special expertise regarding the student related services personnel the student		
_	<b>NOTE:</b> Complete this section <b>OR</b> items 15 through 21 of the IDEA Record Review document. It is <b>NOT</b> necessary to complete both.		

#### Eligibility Record Review Document Mild Mental Disability (MMD)

707 KAR 1:002 Section 1(37) and 707 KAR 1:310

Multi-Dis	ciplinary Evaluation, Eligibility Determination Form, Conference	Yes	No
Summary			
MMD 1a	The ARC documented evidence showing the student's cognitive functioning		
	is at least two standard deviations, but not more than three standard		$  \sqcup  $
1000	deviations below the mean.	-	-
MMD 1b	The ARC documented evidence showing the student's adaptive behavior is		
	at least two standard deviations below the mean.		-
	For <u>reevaluation</u> purposes, it is not necessary to continue to meet the two		
17	standard deviation deficit for adaptive behavior as long as the ARC considers		
	the increase in the adaptive behavior score and documents the reasons the		
	student continues to have a mild mental disability.		
	and the state of t		
MMD 1c	The ARC documented evidence showing a severe deficit in overall academic		
	performance including acquisition, retention and application of knowledge		
MMD 1d	The ARC documented evidence showing the disability manifested during the		
	developmental period.	$\perp$	
MMD 2	The ARC documented the review and analysis of evaluation information		
	confirming the deficits have an adverse effect on educational performance to		
	the extent specially-designed instruction is needed. The ARC:  • showed <i>how</i> the student's performance is significantly and		
	consistently below similar age peers due to the disability		
	<ul> <li>described the unique differences of the student that warrant specially designed instruction (SDI)</li> </ul>		
	· · ·		
	<ul> <li>drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and</li> </ul>		
	documented		
	documented		
	NOTE: Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional		
	performance.		
MMD 3	The ARC documented the review and analysis of evidence confirming lack		
	of instruction in reading and math was not a determinant factor in the		ш
	eligibility decision.		
	Discussion may include, but is not limited to:  • instruction provided by qualified personnel		
	• intervention data based on the student's response to instruction		
	setting of instruction		
	attendance records		
	work samples		
	disciplinary removals		
	<b>NOTE:</b> Complete this item <i>only for initial</i> eligibility determination.		

# Eligibility Record Review Document Mild Mental Disability (MMD)

Multi-Disc Summary	ciplinary Evaluation, Eligibility Determination Form, Conference	Yes	No
MMD 4	The ARC documented the review and analysis of evidence confirming limited English proficiency was not a determinant factor in the eligibility decision.		
	May be documented in one of these areas:  • social-developmental history  • Summary of Past and Present Support in Conference Summary		
MMD 5	The parent was provided a copy of the evaluation report <u>and</u> documentation of eligibility determination. <u>34 CFR 300.306</u>		
	□ Yes □ No		
	OR		
	If the parent did not attend the ARC meeting, the ARC documented that a copy of the evaluation report and documentation of eligibility determination were sent home to the parent.		
	□ Yes □ No		
MMD 6	ARC membership includes all of the following as defined in 707 KAR 1:320 Section 3 (1):		
	Required at all ARC meetings  □ parent(s) □ regular education teacher □ special education teacher □ LEA representative □ individual qualified to interpret results		
	Additional members as appropriate  ☐ individual with knowledge or special expertise regarding the student ☐ related services personnel ☐ the student		
	<b>NOTE:</b> Complete this section <b>OR</b> items 15 through 21 of the IDEA Record Review document. It is <b>NOT</b> necessary to complete both.		

#### Eligibility Record Review Document Multiple Disabilities (MD)

707 KAR 1:002 Section 1(39) and 707 KAR 1:310

MD 1a	Multi-D	isciplinary Evaluation, Eligibility Determination Form, Conference	Yes	No	
or more of the following disabilities.    autism	Summar	у			
autism	MD 1a				
deaf-blindness					
montional period   specific learning disability   traumatic brain injury   functional mental disability   visual impairment   hearing impairment   mild mental disability   visual impairment   mild mental disability   mild mental disability   visual impairment   mild mental disability   mild mental disability   visual impairment   mild mental disability   visual impairment and one other disability   visual visu					
disability   traumatic brain injury   functional mental disability   visual impairment   hearing impairment   mild mental disability   visual impairment   mild mental disability    MD 1b   The ARC documented evidence showing the student's disability is not solely a combination of deafness and blindness.  MD 1c   The ARC documented evidence showing the student's disability is not a combination of speech or language impairment and one other disabiling condition.  MD 1d   The ARC documented evidence showing the combination of these disabilities causes such severe educational needs that they cannot be accommodated through special education programs solely for one impairment.  NOTE:  • MD does not include deaf-blindness. • MD does not include speech or language impairment combined with another disability category.  MD 1e   Eligibility determination forms for all disabilities are completed and attached.					
Gunctional mental disability   visual impairment   hearing impairment   mild mental disability					
hearing impairment					
MD 1b The ARC documented evidence showing the student's disability is not solely a combination of deafness and blindness.  MD 1c The ARC documented evidence showing the student's disability is not a combination of speech or language impairment and one other disabling condition.  MD 1d The ARC documented evidence showing the combination of these disabilities causes such severe educational needs that they cannot be accommodated through special education programs solely for one impairment.  NOTE:  NOTE:  ND does not include deaf-blindness.  MD does not include speech or language impairment combined with another disability category.  MD 1e Eligibility determination forms for all disabilities are completed and attached.  MD 2 The ARC documented the review and analysis of evaluation information confirming the deficits have an adverse effect on educational performance to the extent specially-designed instruction is needed. The ARC:  Showed how the student's performance is significantly and consistently below similar age peers due to the disability  described the unique differences of the student that warrant specially designed instruction (SDI)  drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and documented  NOTE: Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional		TO SHARE A STATE OF THE STATE O			
MD 1b The ARC documented evidence showing the student's disability is not solely a combination of deafness and blindness.  MD 1c The ARC documented evidence showing the student's disability is not a combination of speech or language impairment and one other disabling condition.  MD 1d The ARC documented evidence showing the combination of these disabilities causes such severe educational needs that they cannot be accommodated through special education programs solely for one impairment.  NOTE:  NOTE:  ND does not include deaf-blindness.  MD does not include speech or language impairment combined with another disability category.  MD 1e Eligibility determination forms for all disabilities are completed and attached.  The ARC documented the review and analysis of evaluation information confirming the deficits have an adverse effect on educational performance to the extent specially-designed instruction is needed. The ARC:  showed how the student's performance is significantly and consistently below similar age peers due to the disability  described the unique differences of the student that warrant specially designed instruction (SDI)  drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and documented  NOTE: Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional					
combination of deafness and blindness.  The ARC documented evidence showing the student's disability is not a combination of speech or language impairment and one other disabling condition.  MD 1d The ARC documented evidence showing the combination of these disabilities causes such severe educational needs that they cannot be accommodated through special education programs solely for one impairment.  NOTE:  • MD does not include deaf-blindness. • MD does not include speech or language impairment combined with another disability category.  MD 1e Eligibility determination forms for all disabilities are completed and attached.  MD 2 The ARC documented the review and analysis of evaluation information confirming the deficits have an adverse effect on educational performance to the extent specially-designed instruction is needed. The ARC: • showed how the student's performance is significantly and consistently below similar age peers due to the disability • described the unique differences of the student that warrant specially designed instruction (SDI) • drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and documented  NOTE: Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional		☐ mild mental disability			
combination of deafness and blindness.  The ARC documented evidence showing the student's disability is not a combination of speech or language impairment and one other disabling condition.  MD 1d The ARC documented evidence showing the combination of these disabilities causes such severe educational needs that they cannot be accommodated through special education programs solely for one impairment.  NOTE:  • MD does not include deaf-blindness. • MD does not include speech or language impairment combined with another disability category.  MD 1e Eligibility determination forms for all disabilities are completed and attached.  MD 2 The ARC documented the review and analysis of evaluation information confirming the deficits have an adverse effect on educational performance to the extent specially-designed instruction is needed. The ARC: • showed how the student's performance is significantly and consistently below similar age peers due to the disability • described the unique differences of the student that warrant specially designed instruction (SDI) • drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and documented  NOTE: Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional					
MD 1c The ARC documented evidence showing the student's disability is not a combination of speech or language impairment and one other disabling condition.  MD 1d The ARC documented evidence showing the combination of these disabilities causes such severe educational needs that they cannot be accommodated through special education programs solely for one impairment.  NOTE:  • MD does not include deaf-blindness. • MD does not include speech or language impairment combined with another disability category.  MD 1e Eligibility determination forms for all disabilities are completed and attached.  MD 2 The ARC documented the review and analysis of evaluation information confirming the deficits have an adverse effect on educational performance to the extent specially-designed instruction is needed. The ARC:  • showed how the student's performance is significantly and consistently below similar age peers due to the disability  • described the unique differences of the student that warrant specially designed instruction (SDI)  • drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and documented  NOTE: Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional	MD 1b				
combination of speech or language impairment and one other disabling condition.  MD 1d The ARC documented evidence showing the combination of these disabilities causes such severe educational needs that they cannot be accommodated through special education programs solely for one impairment.  NOTE:  • MD does not include deaf-blindness. • MD does not include speech or language impairment combined with another disability category.  MD 1e Eligibility determination forms for all disabilities are completed and attached.  MD 2 The ARC documented the review and analysis of evaluation information confirming the deficits have an adverse effect on educational performance to the extent specially-designed instruction is needed. The ARC:  • showed how the student's performance is significantly and consistently below similar age peers due to the disability  • described the unique differences of the student that warrant specially designed instruction (SDI)  • drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and documented  NOTE: Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional	N/D 1				
mD 1d  The ARC documented evidence showing the combination of these disabilities causes such severe educational needs that they cannot be accommodated through special education programs solely for one impairment.  NOTE:  MD does not include deaf-blindness.  MD does not include speech or language impairment combined with another disability category.  MD 1e  Eligibility determination forms for all disabilities are completed and attached.  The ARC documented the review and analysis of evaluation information confirming the deficits have an adverse effect on educational performance to the extent specially-designed instruction is needed. The ARC:  showed how the student's performance is significantly and consistently below similar age peers due to the disability  described the unique differences of the student that warrant specially designed instruction (SDI)  drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and documented  NOTE: Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional	MID IC				
MD 1d  The ARC documented evidence showing the combination of these disabilities causes such severe educational needs that they cannot be accommodated through special education programs solely for one impairment.  NOTE:  • MD does not include deaf-blindness. • MD does not include speech or language impairment combined with another disability category.  MD 1e  Eligibility determination forms for all disabilities are completed and attached.  MD 2  The ARC documented the review and analysis of evaluation information confirming the deficits have an adverse effect on educational performance to the extent specially-designed instruction is needed. The ARC:  • showed how the student's performance is significantly and consistently below similar age peers due to the disability  • described the unique differences of the student that warrant specially designed instruction (SDI)  • drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and documented  NOTE: Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional					
causes such severe educational needs that they cannot be accommodated through special education programs solely for one impairment.  NOTE:  • MD does not include deaf-blindness. • MD does not include speech or language impairment combined with another disability category.  MD 1e Eligibility determination forms for all disabilities are completed and attached.  MD 2 The ARC documented the review and analysis of evaluation information confirming the deficits have an adverse effect on educational performance to the extent specially-designed instruction is needed. The ARC: • showed how the student's performance is significantly and consistently below similar age peers due to the disability • described the unique differences of the student that warrant specially designed instruction (SDI) • drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and documented  NOTE: Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional		condition.			
causes such severe educational needs that they cannot be accommodated through special education programs solely for one impairment.  NOTE:  • MD does not include deaf-blindness. • MD does not include speech or language impairment combined with another disability category.  MD 1e Eligibility determination forms for all disabilities are completed and attached.  MD 2 The ARC documented the review and analysis of evaluation information confirming the deficits have an adverse effect on educational performance to the extent specially-designed instruction is needed. The ARC: • showed how the student's performance is significantly and consistently below similar age peers due to the disability • described the unique differences of the student that warrant specially designed instruction (SDI) • drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and documented  NOTE: Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional	MD 1d	The ARC documented evidence showing the combination of these disabilities			
through special education programs solely for one impairment.  NOTE:  • MD does not include deaf-blindness. • MD does not include speech or language impairment combined with another disability category.  MD 1e Eligibility determination forms for all disabilities are completed and attached.  MD 2 The ARC documented the review and analysis of evaluation information confirming the deficits have an adverse effect on educational performance to the extent specially-designed instruction is needed. The ARC: • showed how the student's performance is significantly and consistently below similar age peers due to the disability • described the unique differences of the student that warrant specially designed instruction (SDI) • drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and documented  NOTE: Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional	MID 14				
NOTE:  • MD does not include deaf-blindness. • MD does not include speech or language impairment combined with another disability category.  MD 1e Eligibility determination forms for all disabilities are completed and attached.  MD 2 The ARC documented the review and analysis of evaluation information confirming the deficits have an adverse effect on educational performance to the extent specially-designed instruction is needed. The ARC: • showed how the student's performance is significantly and consistently below similar age peers due to the disability • described the unique differences of the student that warrant specially designed instruction (SDI) • drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and documented  NOTE: Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional					
MD does not include deaf-blindness.  MD does not include speech or language impairment combined with another disability category.  MD 1e Eligibility determination forms for all disabilities are completed and attached.  MD 2 The ARC documented the review and analysis of evaluation information confirming the deficits have an adverse effect on educational performance to the extent specially-designed instruction is needed. The ARC:  showed how the student's performance is significantly and consistently below similar age peers due to the disability  described the unique differences of the student that warrant specially designed instruction (SDI)  drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and documented  NOTE: Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional					
another disability category.  MD 1e Eligibility determination forms for all disabilities are completed and attached.  MD 2 The ARC documented the review and analysis of evaluation information confirming the deficits have an adverse effect on educational performance to the extent specially-designed instruction is needed. The ARC:  • showed how the student's performance is significantly and consistently below similar age peers due to the disability  • described the unique differences of the student that warrant specially designed instruction (SDI)  • drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and documented  NOTE: Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional					
another disability category.  MD 1e Eligibility determination forms for all disabilities are completed and attached.  MD 2 The ARC documented the review and analysis of evaluation information confirming the deficits have an adverse effect on educational performance to the extent specially-designed instruction is needed. The ARC:  • showed how the student's performance is significantly and consistently below similar age peers due to the disability  • described the unique differences of the student that warrant specially designed instruction (SDI)  • drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and documented  NOTE: Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional		<ul> <li>MD does not include speech or language impairment combined with</li> </ul>			
MD 2 The ARC documented the review and analysis of evaluation information confirming the deficits have an adverse effect on educational performance to the extent specially-designed instruction is needed. The ARC:  • showed how the student's performance is significantly and consistently below similar age peers due to the disability  • described the unique differences of the student that warrant specially designed instruction (SDI)  • drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and documented  NOTE: Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional					
MD 2 The ARC documented the review and analysis of evaluation information confirming the deficits have an adverse effect on educational performance to the extent specially-designed instruction is needed. The ARC:  • showed how the student's performance is significantly and consistently below similar age peers due to the disability  • described the unique differences of the student that warrant specially designed instruction (SDI)  • drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and documented  NOTE: Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional	MD 1e	Eligibility determination forms for all disabilities are completed and attached.			
confirming the deficits have an adverse effect on educational performance to the extent specially-designed instruction is needed. The ARC:  • showed how the student's performance is significantly and consistently below similar age peers due to the disability  • described the unique differences of the student that warrant specially designed instruction (SDI)  • drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and documented  NOTE: Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional				ш	
<ul> <li>the extent specially-designed instruction is needed. The ARC:</li> <li>showed how the student's performance is significantly and consistently below similar age peers due to the disability</li> <li>described the unique differences of the student that warrant specially designed instruction (SDI)</li> <li>drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and documented</li> <li>NOTE: Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional</li> </ul>	MD 2		П	П	
<ul> <li>showed how the student's performance is significantly and consistently below similar age peers due to the disability</li> <li>described the unique differences of the student that warrant specially designed instruction (SDI)</li> <li>drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and documented</li> <li>NOTE: Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>below similar age peers due to the disability</li> <li>described the unique differences of the student that warrant specially designed instruction (SDI)</li> <li>drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and documented</li> <li>NOTE: Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>described the unique differences of the student that warrant specially designed instruction (SDI)</li> <li>drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and documented</li> <li>NOTE: Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>designed instruction (SDI)</li> <li>drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and documented</li> <li>NOTE: Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional</li> </ul>		below similar age peers due to the disability			
drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and documented  NOTE: Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional		<ul> <li>described the unique differences of the student that warrant specially</li> </ul>			
information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and documented  NOTE: Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional		designed instruction (SDI)			
information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and documented  NOTE: Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional		<ul> <li>drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the</li> </ul>			
NOTE: Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional					
70 a 40 mg					
70 to 100 per 2 miles and 100 per 200		NOTE: Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional			
Perrorrante		performance.			

# Eligibility Record Review Document Multiple Disabilities (MD)

Multi-D	isciplinary Evaluation, Eligibility Determination Form, Conference	Yes	No
Summai	y		
MD 3	The ARC documented the review and analysis of evidence confirming lack of instruction in reading and math was not a determinant factor in the eligibility decision.		
	Discussion may include, but is not limited to:		
	instruction provided by qualified personnel		
×	intervention data based on the student's response to instruction		
	setting of instruction		
	attendance records		
	work samples		
	disciplinary removals		
	NOTE: Complete this item <i>only for initial</i> eligibility determination.		
MD 4	The ARC documented the review and analysis of evidence confirming limited English proficiency was not a determinant factor in the eligibility decision.		
	English proficiency was not a determinant factor in the englothicy decision.		
	May be documented in one of these areas:		
	social-developmental history		
	Summary of Past and Present Support in Conference Summary		
MD 5	The parent was provided a copy of the evaluation report <u>and</u> documentation of eligibility determination. <u>34 CFR 300.306</u>		
	□ Yes □ No		
	OR		
	If the parent did not attend the ARC meeting, the ARC documented that a copy of the evaluation report and documentation of eligibility determination were sent home to the parent.		
	□ Yes □ No		

# Eligibility Record Review Document Multiple Disabilities (MD)

Multi-D	isciplinary Evaluation, Eligibility Determination Form, Conference	Yes	No	
Summa	y			
MD 6	ARC membership includes all of the following as defined in			
	707 KAR 1:320 Section 3 (1):		Ш	
	Required at all ARC meetings			
	$\square$ parent(s)			
	☐ regular education teacher			
	☐ special education teacher			
	☐ LEA representative			
	☐ individual qualified to interpret results			
	Additional members as appropriate			
	☐ individual with knowledge or special expertise regarding the student			
	☐ related services personnel			
	☐ the student			
	NOTE OF THE STATE			
	NOTE: Complete this section OR items 15 through 21 of the IDEA Record			
	Review document. It is <b>NOT</b> necessary to complete both.			

#### SSID #:

#### Eligibility Record Review Document Orthopedic Impairment (OI)

707 KAR 1:002 Section 1(41) and 707 KAR 1:310

Multi	-Disciplinary Evaluation, Eligibility Determination Form, Conference Summary	Yes	No
OI 1	The ARC compared and analyzed evaluation data and documented evidence showing the		
	student has a severe orthopedic impairment in one or more of the following.		Ш
	<ul><li>1a. congenital anomaly</li><li>Examples: clubfoot, absence of some □ Yes □ No</li><li>member</li></ul>		
	1b. disease  Examples: poliomyelitis, bone □ Yes □ No tuberculosis		
	1c. other causes  Examples: cerebral palsy, amputations, □ Yes □ No  fractures, burns causing contractures		
OI 2	<ul> <li>The ARC documented the review and analysis of evaluation information confirming the deficits have an adverse effect on educational performance to the extent specially-designed instruction is needed. The ARC:</li> <li>showed how the student's performance is significantly and consistently below similar age peers due to the disability</li> <li>described the unique differences of the student that warrant specially designed instruction (SDI)</li> <li>drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and documented</li> <li>NOTE: Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional performance.</li> </ul>		
OI 3	The ARC documented the review and analysis of evidence confirming lack of instruction in reading and math was not a determinant factor in the eligibility decision.  Discussion may include, but is not limited to:  instruction provided by qualified personnel  intervention data based on the student's response to instruction  setting of instruction  attendance records  work samples  disciplinary removals		
	<b>NOTE:</b> Complete this item <i>only for initial</i> eligibility determination.		

# **Eligibility Record Review Document Orthopedic Impairment (OI)**

Multi	-Disciplinary Evaluation, Eligibility Determination Form, Conference Summary	Yes	No
OI 4	The ARC documented the review and analysis of evidence confirming limited English proficiency was not a determinant factor in the eligibility decision.		
	May be documented in one of these areas:		
OI 5	The parent was provided a copy of the evaluation report and documentation of eligibility determination. 34 CFR 300.306  ☐ Yes ☐ No		
	OR  If the parent did not attend the ARC meeting, the ARC documented that a copy of the evaluation report and documentation of eligibility determination were sent home to the parent.   □ Yes □ No		
OI 6	ARC membership includes all of the following as defined in 707 KAR 1:320 Section 3 (1):  Required at all ARC meetings  parent(s)		
	□ regular education teacher □ special education teacher □ LEA representative □ individual qualified to interpret results		
	Additional members as appropriate  individual with knowledge or special expertise regarding the student related services personnel the student		
	<b>NOTE:</b> Complete this section <b>OR</b> items 15 through 21 of the IDEA Record Review document. It is <b>NOT</b> necessary to complete both.		

SSID #:

#### Student's Full Name:

#### Eligibility Record Review Document Other Health Impairment (OHI)

707 KAR 1:002 Section 1(42) and 707 KAR 1:310

Multi-Di	sciplinary Evaluation, Eligibility Determination Form, Conference	Yes	No	
Summar				
OHI 1a	The ARC documented evidence showing the student has a health impairment caused by chronic or acute health problems including, but not limited to the following:			
	<ul> <li>acquired immune deficiency syndrome</li> <li>asthma</li> <li>attention deficit disorder</li> <li>attention deficit hyperactivity disorder</li> <li>diabetes</li> <li>epilepsy</li> <li>hemophilia</li> <li>lead poisoning</li> <li>nephritis</li> <li>rheumatic fever</li> <li>sickle cell anemia</li> <li>Tourette's Syndrome</li> <li>epilepsy</li> <li>heart condition</li> </ul> The chronic or acute health impairment specified by the ARC is:			
OHI 1b	The ARC documented evidence showing, with respect to the educational environment, the chronic or acute health impairment caused limited:  At least one must be checked.			
	<ul> <li>□ strength</li> <li>□ vitality</li> <li>□ alertness (including heightened alertness to environmental stimuli that results in limited alertness)</li> </ul>			
OHI 2	The ARC documented the review and analysis of evaluation information confirming the deficits have an adverse effect on educational performance to the extent specially-designed instruction is needed. The ARC:  • showed how the student's performance is significantly and consistently below similar age peers due to the disability  • described the unique differences of the student that warrant specially designed instruction (SDI)  • drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and documented			
	<b>NOTE:</b> <i>Educational Performance</i> includes academic, social and functional performance.			

# Eligibility Record Review Document Other Health Impairment (OHI)

Multi-D	isciplinary Evaluation, Eligibility Determination Form, Conference	Yes	No		
Summa	*				
OHI 3	The ARC documented the review and analysis of evidence confirming lack of instruction in reading and math was not a determinant factor in the eligibility decision.				
	Discussion may include, but is not limited to:				
	<ul> <li>instruction provided by qualified personnel</li> <li>intervention data based on the student's response to instruction</li> <li>setting of instruction</li> <li>attendance records</li> <li>work samples</li> <li>disciplinary removals</li> </ul>				
	<b>NOTE:</b> Complete this item <i>only for initial</i> eligibility determination.				
OHI 4	The ARC documented the review and analysis of evidence confirming limited English proficiency was not a determinant factor in the eligibility decision.				
	May be documented in one of these areas:				
	<ul> <li>social-developmental history</li> <li>Summary of Past and Present Support in Conference Summary</li> </ul>				
OHI 5	The parent was provided a copy of the evaluation report <u>and</u> documentation of eligibility determination. <u>34 CFR 300.306</u>				
	□ Yes □ No				
	OR				
	If the parent did not attend the ARC meeting, the ARC documented that a copy of the evaluation report and documentation of eligibility determination were sent home to the parent.				
	□ Yes □ No				

# Eligibility Record Review Document Other Health Impairment (OHI)

Multi-Di	sciplinary Evaluation, Eligibility Determination Form, Conference	Yes	No
Summar	у		
OHI 6	ARC membership includes all of the following as defined in		
	707 KAR 1:320 Section 3 (1):	Ш	Ш
	Required at all ARC meetings		
	$\square$ parent(s)		
	☐ regular education teacher		
	□ special education teacher		
	☐ LEA representative		
	☐ individual qualified to interpret results		
	Additional members as appropriate		
	☐ individual with knowledge or special expertise regarding the student		
	☐ related services personnel		
	☐ the student		
	NOTE: Complete this section OR items 15 through 21 of the IDEA Record		
	Review document. It is <b>NOT</b> necessary to complete both.		

# 707 KAR 1:002 Section 1(59) and 707 KAR 1:310

The same	ciplinary Evaluation, Eligibility Determination Form, Conference	Yes	No
Summary	, Referral for Multi-Disciplinary Evaluation		
SLD 1	The ARC documented evidence showing the student was provided with		
	learning experiences and instruction appropriate for the student's age or	$  \sqcup  $	$  \sqcup  $
	state-approved grade-level standards.		
CYDA	m and a significant significan	-	
SLD 2	The ARC documented evidence using either the Severe Discrepancy or Response to Intervention (RtI) method for determining the student meets criteria for SLD eligibility.		
	Interventions <b>must</b> be provided in the academic area of concern prior to or as part of the referral process for both <b>Method A and B</b> .		
	<ul> <li>Method A: Severe Discrepancy         The student exhibits a pattern of strengths and weaknesses in performance, achievement or both, relative to ability level or intellectual development.     </li> <li>Note: The ARC must use the <u>SLD Reference Tables</u> provided by the KDE.</li> </ul>		
	OR		
	☐ Method B: Response to Intervention  The student fails to achieve a rate of learning to make sufficient progress to meet grade-level standards aligned with the Kentucky Academic Standards (KAS) in one or more of the areas identified in SLD 3 when assessed based on the student's response to scientific, research-based intervention.		
	<b>NOTE:</b> The <u>SLD Guidance Document</u> provides more information on either method.		
SLD 3	The ARC documented a review and analysis of intervention and evaluation information showing the student does not achieve adequately, <b>as indicated on multiple data sources</b> , for the student's age or grade-level standards aligned to the KAS in one or more of the following areas.		
	□ oral expression □ reading fluency skills □ listening comprehension □ reading comprehension □ written expression □ mathematics calculation □ basic reading skills □ mathematics reasoning		
	Summary of Intervention Data in the Referral for Multi-Disciplinary Evaluation may contain the necessary information.		

Multi-Dis	ciplinary Evaluation, Eligib	ility Determination Form,	Conference	Yes	No
Summary	Referral for Multi-Discipli				
SLD 4	The ARC documented review and analysis of evaluation information				
	showing the deficits in achie	evement are <i>not</i> primarily th	ne result of any of the		
	following.				
	vision	screen date	□ Pass □ Fail		
		screen date	Pass Fail		
	hearing motor impairments	screen date	Pass Fail		
	mental disability	screen date	☐ Yes ☐ No		
	emotional-behavioral disab	vility	☐ Yes ☐ No		
	cultural factors	mty	☐ Yes ☐ No		
	environmental or economic	disadvantage	☐ Yes ☐ No		
	limited English proficiency		☐ Yes ☐ No		
	mined English pronoces				
SLD 5	The ARC documented the re				
	confirming the deficits have		_		Ш
	the extent specially-designed				
		ent's performance is signific	-		
		milar age peers due to the di	A1-1		
	-	differences of the student th	1997 AND 1998		
		n from a variety of sources			
		from these sources was care	fully considered and		
	documented				
	NOTE EL ID.C.		and functional		
	<b>NOTE:</b> Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional performance				
	performance		-		
SLD 6	The ARC documented the re	view and analysis of evider	nce confirming lack		$\Box$
DEED 0	of instruction in reading and		The state of the s		Ш
	eligibility decision.				
	5				
	Discussion may include, but	is not limited to:			
	<ul> <li>instruction provided !</li> </ul>	by qualified personnel			
	<ul> <li>intervention data base</li> </ul>	ed on the student's response	to instruction		
	<ul> <li>setting of instruction</li> </ul>		1		
	<ul> <li>attendance records</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>work samples</li> </ul>		31		
	<ul> <li>disciplinary removals</li> </ul>	3			
	<b>NOTE:</b> Complete this item of	only for initial eligibility de	termination.		

	ciplinary Evaluation, Eligibility Determination Form, Conference, Referral for Multi-Disciplinary Evaluation	Yes	No
	or items 7a-7c the observation must be specifically targeted to the student's area	s of	
academic o			
SLD 7a	The ARC documented the relevant behavior(s) noted during the observation.		
SLD 7b	The ARC documented the relationship of the observed behavior to the student's academic functioning.		
SLD 7c	A member of the ARC (other than the student's regular education teacher) conducted a behavior observation in the learning environment.		
SLD 8	The ARC documented any relevant medical findings, if any. (Mark yes if ARC documented there were no relevant medical findings.)		
SLD 9	The ARC documented the review and analysis of instructional <b>strategies</b> implemented and the student-centered data collected based on the student's response to scientific, research-based intervention.		
	Discussion may include, but is not limited to:		
	discussion of targeted skills		
	<ul> <li>discussion of progress from each strategy provided</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>any programs listed must be accompanied by discussion of targeted skills and data to show progress</li> </ul>		
	<b>NOTE:</b> May be documented in the <i>Summary of Interventions and Data</i> section of the Referral for Multi-Disciplinary Evaluation.		
SLD 10a	The ARC documented the student's parents were notified of the policies regarding:		
	<ul> <li>□ amount and nature of the student performance data that is collected and the general education services that are provided</li> <li>□ strategies for increasing the student's rate of learning</li> <li>□ parent's right to request an evaluation</li> </ul>		
SLD 10b	The ARC documented how the above information was provided to the parent.		
SLD 11	Each ARC member certified in writing whether the report reflected his or her conclusion.		
	AND		
	If any ARC member disagreed, the differing conclusions are attached (associated in Infinite Campus) to the Eligibility Determination Form and Written Report.		

The state of the s	ciplinary Evaluation, Eligibility Determination Form, Conference	Yes	No
Summary SLD 12	The parent was provided a copy of the evaluation report and documentation of eligibility determination. 34 CFR 300.306  Wes No  OR  If the parent did not attend the ARC meeting, the ARC documented that a copy of the evaluation report and documentation of eligibility determination were sent home to the parent.		
	☐ Yes ☐ No		
SLD 13	ARC membership includes all of the following as defined in 707 KAR 1:320 Section 3 (1):  Required at all ARC meetings		

#### **Eligibility Record Review Document Speech Language Impairment (SLI)**

#### 707 KAR 1:002 Section 1(60) and 707 KAR 1:310

**NOTE**: This section addresses eligibility for SLI as a category of disability only. It does not apply to eligibility for speech as a related service.

Multi-D	isciplinary Evaluation, Eligibility Determination Form, Conference	Yes	No
Summa	<b>Ty</b>		
SLI 1	The ARC documented evidence showing the student exhibited a communication disorder in <b>one or more</b> of the following areas.		
	□ absence of language □ articulation impairment □ delayed acquisition of language □ language impairment □ stuttering □ voice impairment		
SLI 2	The ARC documented the review and analysis of evaluation information confirming the deficits have an adverse effect on educational performance to the extent specially-designed instruction is needed. The ARC:  • showed how the student's performance is significantly and consistently below similar age peers due to the disability  • described the unique differences of the student that warrant specially designed instruction (SDI)  • drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and documented  NOTE: Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional performance.		
SLI 3	The ARC documented the review and analysis of evidence confirming lack of instruction in reading and math was not a determinant factor in the eligibility decision.  Discussion may include, but is not limited to:  instruction provided by qualified personnel  intervention data based on the student's response to instruction  setting of instruction  attendance records  work samples  disciplinary removals  NOTE: Complete this item only for initial eligibility determination.		

#### **Eligibility Record Review Document Speech Language Impairment (SLI)**

	isciplinary Evaluation, Eligibility Determination Form, Conference	Yes	No
Summai SLI 4	The ARC documented the review and analysis of evidence confirming limited English proficiency was not a determinant factor in the eligibility decision.  May be documented in one of these areas:  • social-developmental history  • Summary of Past and Present Support in Conference Summary		
SLI 5	The parent was provided a copy of the evaluation report <u>and</u> documentation of eligibility determination. <u>34 CFR 300.306</u> Yes   No		
	OR  If the parent did not attend the ARC meeting, the ARC documented that a copy of the evaluation report and documentation of eligibility determination were sent home to the parent.   \[ \sumsymbol{\text{Yes}} \sumsymbol{\text{No}} \sumsymbol{\text{No}} \]		7
SLI 6	ARC membership includes all of the following as defined in 707 KAR 1:320 Section 3 (1):  Required at all ARC meetings		
	<b>NOTE:</b> Complete this section <b>OR</b> items 15 through 21 of the IDEA Record Review document. It is <b>NOT</b> necessary to complete both.		

Student's Full Name:

#### Eligibility Record Review Document Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI)

SSID #:

707 KAR 1:002 Section 1(63) and 707 KAR 1:310

Multi-Di	ti-Disciplinary Evaluation, Eligibility Determination Form, Conference			
Summar	V			
TBI 1	The ARC documentation verified the student has an acquired injury to the brain caused by an external physical force resulting in total or partial functional disability or psychosocial impairment or both, and is <i>not</i> congenital, degenerative, or a brain injury induced by birth trauma.  TBI is evidenced by information that indicates an open or closed head injury resulting in an impairment in one or more of the following areas:    cognition			
TBI 2	The ARC documented the extent of the brain injury is:			
TBI 3	The ARC documented the review and analysis of evaluation information confirming the deficits have an adverse effect on educational performance to the extent specially-designed instruction is needed. The ARC:  • showed how the student's performance is significantly and consistently below similar age peers due to the disability  • described the unique differences of the student that warrant specially designed instruction (SDI)  • drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and documented  NOTE: Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional			
	performance.			

# Eligibility Record Review Document Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI)

	isciplinary Evaluation, Eligibility Determination Form, Conference	Yes	No
Summa	ry		
TBI 4	The ARC documented the review and analysis of evidence confirming lack of instruction in reading and math was not a determinant factor in the eligibility decision.		
	Discussion may include, but is not limited to:		
	<ul> <li>instruction provided by qualified personnel</li> <li>intervention data based on the student's response to instruction</li> <li>setting of instruction</li> <li>attendance records</li> <li>work samples</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>disciplinary removals</li> <li>NOTE: Complete this item <i>only for initial</i> eligibility determination.</li> </ul>		
TBI 5	The ARC documented the review and analysis of evidence confirming limited English proficiency was not a determinant factor in the eligibility decision.		
	May be documented in one of these areas:		
TBI 6	The parent was provided a copy of the evaluation report <u>and</u> documentation of eligibility determination. <u>34 CFR 300.306</u>		
	□ Yes □ No		
	OR		
	If the parent did not attend the ARC meeting, the ARC documented that a copy of the evaluation report and documentation of eligibility determination were sent home to the parent.		
	□ Yes □ No		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

# Eligibility Record Review Document Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI)

Multi-Di	isciplinary Evaluation, Eligibility Determination Form, Conference	Yes	No
Summar	y		
TBI 7	ARC membership includes all of the following as defined in		
	707 KAR 1:320 Section 3 (1):	Ш	Ш
	Required at all ARC meetings		
	$\square$ parent(s)		
	☐ regular education teacher		
	☐ special education teacher		
	☐ LEA representative		
	☐ individual qualified to interpret results		
	Additional members as appropriate		
	☐ individual with knowledge or special expertise regarding the student	2	
	☐ related services personnel		
	☐ the student		
	NOTE OF THE STATE		
	<b>NOTE:</b> Complete this section <b>OR</b> items 15 through 21 of the IDEA Record		
	Review document. It is <b>NOT</b> necessary to complete both.		

Student's Full Name: SSID #:

#### Eligibility Record Review Document Visual Impairment (VI)

707 KAR 1:002 Section 1(65) and 707 KAR 1:310

Multi-Disciplinary Evaluation, Eligibility Determination Form, Conference			No		
Summary					
VI 1	The ARC documented evidence showing the student had one of the following.  1a. Visual acuity even with prescribed lenses is 20/70 or worse in the better eye; OR				
	<ul> <li>□ 1b. Visual acuity is better than 20/70 and the student has any of the following conditions.</li> <li>○ medically-diagnosed progressive loss of vision</li> <li>○ visual field of twenty (20) degrees or worse</li> <li>○ medically-diagnosed condition of cortical blindness; or</li> <li>○ functional loss of vision</li> </ul>				
VI 2	The ARC documented the review and analysis of evaluation information showing the student required specialized materials or instruction in any of the following.  □ orientation and mobility □ Braille □ visual efficiency □ tactile exploration				
VI 3	<ul> <li>The ARC documented the review and analysis of evaluation information confirming the deficits have an adverse effect on educational performance to the extent specially-designed instruction is needed. The ARC: <ul> <li>showed how the student's performance is significantly and consistently below similar age peers due to the disability</li> <li>described the unique differences of the student that warrant specially designed instruction (SDI)</li> <li>drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and documented</li> </ul> </li> <li>NOTE: Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional performance.</li> </ul>				

# Eligibility Record Review Document Visual Impairment (VI)

Multi-Disciplinary Evaluation, Eligibility Determination Form, Conference		Yes	No		
Summary					
VI 4	The ARC documented the review and analysis of evidence confirming lack of instruction in reading and math was not a determinant factor in the eligibility decision.				
	Discussion may include, but is not limited to:  instruction provided by qualified personnel  intervention data based on the student's response to instruction  setting of instruction  attendance records  work samples  disciplinary removals  NOTE: Complete this item only for initial eligibility determination.				
VI 5	The ARC documented the review and analysis of evidence confirming limited English proficiency was not a determinant factor in the eligibility decision.				
	<ul> <li>May be documented in one of these areas:</li> <li>social-developmental history</li> <li>Summary of Past and Present Support in Conference Summary</li> </ul>				
VI 6	The parent was provided a copy of the evaluation report <u>and</u> documentation of eligibility determination. <u>34 CFR 300.306</u>				
	□ Yes □ No				
	OR				
	If the parent did not attend the ARC meeting, the ARC documented that a copy of the evaluation report and documentation of eligibility determination were sent home to the parent.				
	□ Yes □ No				

# Eligibility Record Review Document Visual Impairment (VI)

Multi-Disciplinary Evaluation, Eligibility Determination Form, Conference			No
Summary			
VI 7	ARC membership includes all of the following as defined in		
	707 KAR 1:320 Section 3 (1):	Ш	Ш
	Required at all ARC meetings		
	$\square$ parent(s)		
	☐ regular education teacher		
	☐ special education teacher		
	☐ LEA representative		
	☐ individual qualified to interpret results		
	Additional members as appropriate		
	individual with knowledge or special expertise regarding the student		
	☐ related services personnel		
	☐ the student		
	<b>NOTE:</b> Complete this section <b>OR</b> items 15 through 21 of the IDEA Record		
	Review document. It is <b>NOT</b> necessary to complete both.		