MADEIRA SCHOOLS PLANNING COMMISSION ANNUAL SALARY AND WAGE STUDY 2011-2012

January 2012

Committee

Tim Philpott, Chairman Melissa Barone Cathy Born Kelly Flick Stacy Radu Susan Crabill, Resource

I. OBJECTIVE

- A. The committee's assignment was to review the salary schedules for our certified staff, compare them to other public schools in the surrounding area and consider recommendations regarding an annual increase and adjustments to the salary schedules.
- B. A survey of the staff was also conducted to solicit feedback regarding the salary schedules.

II. METHODOLOGY

- A. Madeira's certified salary schedule was compared against the schedules of 30 school districts for the 2011-2012 school year.
- B. Total school budget impact was assessed based on the teachers' salary schedule adjustments recommended by this committee, the step increases built into that schedule, and changes in the number of teachers.
- C. Additional analysis was completed to compare Madeira to 'peer schools' (Indian Hill, Loveland, Mariemont, Sycamore & Wyoming) and to include State of Ohio rankings for each school.
- D. Additional information on the comparison districts' contracts, compensation and benefits policies was collected and summarized.
- E. Feedback on compensation policies and programs was solicited from the staff and summarized.

III. <u>LEGISLATIVE/ECONOMIC FACTORS</u>

During 2010-2011, as a result of pending SB5 legislation, many districts that had negotiated contracts in place re-opened the contract for wage/benefit changes. The result of the changes for many districts was multiple year contracts with 0% base salary and/or no step movement on the salary schedule. Represented on the Contract Summary chart (**Appendix p. A1**) are two columns for the current school year and 3 future years that include the base % increase and whether there was step (experience) movement. The first two columns represent the 2 past school years.

The second schedule (**Appendix p. A2**) represents the "Top Ranked" districts Contract Summary. These are the districts Madeira typically benchmarks itself against for academic as well as fiscal rankings.

IV. FINDINGS

A. Certified Staff Salary Analysis

Pages A1-A8 of the Appendix details the contract information, salary rankings, and averages for the salary schedules.

Madeira's goal in salary administration is to consistently have salary schedules that place Madeira in the top 3rd of our comparison districts. Additionally, the salary schedules are designed to provide incentives for teachers to continue their education.

The average increase in salary schedules for 2011-2012 was 0.31%, continuing a downward trend from 1.27% last year and 1.63% two years ago. Of particular note, twenty-four districts had a 0% increase this year, up from ten districts last year.

Analysis of the salary rankings vs. the comparison districts indicates that Madeira remains in a strong competitive position. We have maintained our ranking vs. the other districts at a majority of key comparison points for education level / years of service. Madeira's starting salary for Bachelors Degree ranks 5th (LY-4th), starting salary for a Masters Degree ranks 5th (LY-3rd) and Madeira's maximum salary ranks 5th (LY-5th) out of 31 districts. Details of Madeira's historical rankings for each comparison category are included on **Appendix p. A7.**

For this year's study, we also looked at how Madeira compares to schools ranked Excellent and Excellent with Distinction by the State of Ohio (**Appendix p. A2**). We also looked at traditionally considered "peer" school districts (Indian Hill, Loveland, Mariemont, Sycamore, Wyoming). Excellent with Distinction schools had an increase of 0.36%, while only Mason City Schools had an increase (2.50%). Mason, also, will have no increase in the next (final) two years of their current contract. The identified five 'peer' schools had an average annual increase of 0.65% (down from 1.86% LY). Only two of the "peer" districts had increases (Wyoming - 2.375% and Loveland - 1.50%). Both have negotiated zero (0.00%) increases for the balance of their contracts.

In addition to a movement to 0.0% across the board salary increases, the data shows many districts moving to "freeze" the traditional "Step Increases" associated with most salary structures. These increases are, typically, given associated with a teacher's additional year of experience. For 2011/2012, Madeira continued to provide for Step Increases for the certified staff. Among the 30 comparison districts, 19 of the 30 districts did NOT award a step increase (compared to all districts awarding step increases in 2010/2011).

2012-2013 and beyond - Salary Findings for Comparison Districts

Twenty-six (26) districts have negotiated contracts for the 2012-2013 school year, nineteen (19) districts for 2013-2014, and two for 2014-2015.

For 2012-2013 the average increase is .14% on the base salary schedule. Indian Hill froze their salary schedule but will compensate employees .75% on their base salary while not changing the schedule. Only six of the 26 districts with contracts in place for 2012/13 will be awarded step increases. Eight of these 26 districts received step increases for 2011/2012.

For 2013-2014 the average increase is .15% on the base salary schedule. Indian Hill froze their salary schedule, but will compensate employees 1% on their base salary without changing the schedule. Lockland will compensate their employees either 1% or \$1,500 on a bonus point system that has not been developed yet. Kings school district will change their compensation schedule if the State changes the decision on eliminating their Tangible Personal Property Tax reimbursement. Five of the 19 districts with contracts in place for 2013/2014 will be awarded a step increase.

B. Employer Contribution to Health Premiums for Comparison Districts (Appendix p. A8)

Of the 31 respondent districts, four (4) offered multiple medical plans and one (1) district offered 3 medical plans. The intention of the question was to determine if there was a shift in the employer's contribution % to the medical premium. Cincinnati Public is self funded for medical and dental. The employee pays for medical based on a % of their salary and not based upon a premium cost.

In 2009-2010 there were approximately 16 plans where the board was contributing 90% or more to the medical premium. In 2010-2011 there were 14 plans contributing 90% or more. In 2011-2012 there are 8 plans contributing 90% or more. There are more districts reducing the board contribution below 90%, with 15 districts contributing 85% and the employee contributing 15%.

Based on the results the districts are trending toward a lesser employer contribution and moving away from multiple plan offerings.

C. <u>Strategic Compensation for Comparison Districts</u>

Most of the districts included in the survey opened their contracts and negotiated multiple years. The reason for this was to allow the district to develop a compensation plan that is aligned with SB5. With the Issue 2 successfully passing, thus defeating the implementation of SB5 compensation language, districts will now be able to either keep their current compensation schedules or move forward at their own pace developing a different compensation plan.

The Madeira Schools Planning Commission is scheduled to deliver a Strategic Compensation Study to the Board of Education in April, 2012.

D. Staff Feedback

The Salary and Wage Committee requested input from the district's administrators and certified staff members via an electronic survey. This survey described the purpose of the study and encouraged thoughts, questions and/or comments pertaining to salary and wages in the Madeira City School District, as well as solicited comments/suggestions regarding the upcoming strategic compensation study. Feedback was received from 98 different staff members.

Generally, the comments from the teachers were consistent with previous year's regarding the current salary structure. There was a reduction (-21%) in comments classified as "neutral" with the number of both positive and negative comments increasing 8% and 9%, respectively. Results of the staff survey are contained on **Appendix p. A9**.

From the data, there were three specific areas of feedback of note to the Board.

- 1. There is a general lack of awareness about the Annual Salary Study conducted by the Planning Commission. This lack of awareness may lead to confusion and misunderstandings.
 - a. 62% of teachers reported they had not read the study.
 - b. 45% of teachers reported they did NOT know where to find the Annual Salary Study.
- 2. Among those teachers expressing concern over the salary structure, many indicated a desire to have more recognition of continued learning beyond the Master +30 level.
 - a. "Compensation should be made earlier for Masters+45 level."
 - b. "..it would be nice if there were some incentive to earn a doctorate degree."
- 3. There is an emergence of confusion and general apprehension regarding the future of teacher compensation given the political and economic environment. Many of the comments addressed strong opinions of the future direction indicating a continued need for open dialogue and cooperation as the District considers the evolution of strategic compensation.

V. POTENTIAL BUDGET IMPACT

Approximately 73% of teachers returning in 2012-2013 are eligible to receive a step increase as compared to 89% in the 2010-2011 study. Due to the replacement of retiring staff at lower salary levels and a slight reduction in the staffing total the cost of a salary step/movement/increase would be lower in 2012. Experience levels shifted from 79% to 80% with 6+ years or more and Educational levels shifted from 83% to 84% with MA and above.

Based on the expected certified staffing levels as indicated in the schedule, a 1% base increase would cost the Board approximately \$70,654 for certified staff. Each .5% increase costs approximately \$35,000. A detailed schedule is provided on **Appendix p. A10.**

Based on the expected certified staffing levels as indicated in the schedule, a step increase would cost the Board approximately \$87,159 for certified staff. A detailed schedule is provided on **Appendix p. A10.**

VI. PREVIOUS YEAR RECOMMENDATIONS AND BOARD ACTION

The 2010/2011 Salary Study committee made a single recommendation to increase all levels on the salary scale in the range of 1.0 – 1.5%. This recommendation was supported by the Planning Commission and forwarded to the Board. The Board subsequently approved a 0.0% base increase and step (experience) movement for all eligible staff for 2011/2012.

VII. RECOMMENDATION

We feel it is important, as a Planning Commission and community, to continue to support our teachers as they continue to deliver an outstanding educational experience to our students.

Based on the findings noted above, the committee is making the following recommendations:

- 1. Increase the communication of Planning Commission studies with Staff.
 - a. Following Board of Education presentations of each study, along with posting on the district website, send an email to the Staff containing a link to the study and any relevant information/recommendations.
- 2. Maintain step increases as a part of the overall compensation package for certified staff and provide an increase of 0.5-1.0% across the board. The Board of Education has the flexibility to determine if any across the board increase should be provided alternatively (one time payment, etc.) as differing methods are being pursued in other districts.

APPENDICES

- A1. COMPARISON DISTRICT CONTRACT SUMMARY CHART
- A2. COMPARISON DISTRICT CONTRACT SUMARY TOP-RANKED AND BENCHMARK DISTRICTS
- A3 A6. SALARY COMPARISONS
- A7. HISTORICAL SALARY RANKINGS
- A8. COMPARISON DISTRICT HEALTH INFORMATION
- A9. SUMMARY STAFF SURVEY FEEDBACK
- A10. BUDGET IMPACT

Comparison District Contract Summary Chart

	Past 2	Years	Curren	t Year			Future Ye	ars]		Contrac	t Informati	on
District	% Increase 2009-10	% Increase 2010-11	% Increase 2011-12	Steps 11-12	% Increase 2012-13	Steps 12-13	% Increase 2013-14	Steps 13-14	% Increase 2014-15	Steps 14-15	State Ranking 2010-2011	Current Year	Duration	Start	End
Cincinnati Public		0.00%		No	0.00%	No	0.00%	No			Effective	2	3	1/1/2011	12/31/2013
Deer Park	3.00%	1.75%	0.00%	No							Excellent	1	3	7/1/2011	6/30/2014
Fairfield	2.00%	0.00%	0.00%	No	0.00%	No	0.00%	No			Effective	1	3	7/1/2011	6/30/2014
Finneytown	2.50%	2.50%	0.00%	No	0.00%	No					Effective	1	2	8/1/2011	7/31/2013
Forest Hills	0.00%	2.00%	0.00%	No	0.00%	No					Distinction	1	3	7/1/2011	6/30/2014
Hamilton City	1.50%	1.50%	0.00%	No	0.00%	No	0.00%	No			Cont. Improvement	1	3	7/1/2011	6/30/2014
***Indian Hill	1.25%	1.75%	0.00%	No	0.00%	No					Distinction	1	2	12/1/2011	11/30/2013
*Kings Local	2.50%	0.00%	0.00%	No	1.00%	No	1.75%	*No	1.75%	*No	Excellent	1	4	8/1/2011	6/30/2015
Lakota Local	2.00%	0.00%	0.00%	No	0.00%	No	0.00%	No			Distinction	1	3	7/1/2011	6/30/2014
Lebanon City	2.89%	0.00%	0.00%	No	0.00%	No	0.00%	No			Excellent	1	3	9/1/2011	8/31/2014
Lockland	2.00%	1.00%	0.00%	No	0.00%	No	1% bonus or \$1500	No			Effective	1	3	6/30/2011	6/30/2014
**Loveland	2.00%	1.50%	1.50%	Yes	0.00%	Yes					Excellent	2	2	7/1/2010	6/30/2013
Madeira	2.00%	1.50%	0.00%	Yes							Excellent		Not	Applicable	
Mariemont	2.00%	1.65%	0.00%	Yes							Excellent		Not	Applicable	
Mason City	0.00%	2.50%	2.50%	Yes	0.00%	No	0.00%	No	open		Distinction	3	3	7/1/2012	6/30/2015
Milford Exempted	2.00%	2.00%	1.00%	Yes	1.00%	Yes	0.00%	No			Excellent	2	4	7/1/2010	6/30/2014
Mt. Healthy	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	No	0.00%	No	0.00%	Yes	0%		Cont. Improvement	1	4	7/1/2011	6/30/2015
New Richmond	2.25%	2.25%	0.00%	Yes	0.00%	Yes	0.00%	Yes			Distinction	1	3	7/1/2011	6/30/2014
North College Hill	1.75%	0.00%	0.00%	No	1.00%	No	1.00%	No			Cont. Improvement	1	3	7/1/2011	6/30/2014
Northwest	2.00%	0.00%	0.00%	Yes	0.00%	Yes	0.00%	Yes			Effective	3	3	7/1/2009	6/30/2014
Norwood	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	Yes	0.50%	Yes	0.00%	Yes			Excellent	1	3	7/1/2011	6/30/2014
Oak Hills	3.00%	2.00%	0.00%	Yes	0.00%	Yes					Excellent	3	3	7/1/2010	6/30/2013
Princeton	2.00%	2.00%	0.00%	No	0.00%	No	0.00%	No			Effective	1	3	7/1/2011	6/30/2014
Reading	0.00%	1.75%	0.00%	No	0.00%	No	0.00%	No			Excellent	2	4	9/1/2010	8/31/2014
Ross Local	2.90%	0.00%	0.00%	No	0.00%	No					Distinction	1	2	9/1/2011	8/31/2013
Southwest	0.00%	2.00%	0.00%	Yes	0.00%	No					Excellent	2	3	9/1/2009	8/31/2013
St. Bernard - Elmwood	0.00%	2.00%	2.00%	Yes							Effective	3	3	8/1/2009	7/31/2012
Sycamore	2.25%	2.50%	0.00%	No							Distinction	1	1	8/1/2011	7/31/2012
Three Rivers	1.00%	1.00%	0.00%	No	0.00%	No	0.00%	No			Excellent	3	5	8/1/2009	7/31/2014
Winton Woods	2.00%	1.95%	0.00%	No	0.00%	No	0.00%	No			Cont. Improvement	1	3	6/30/2011	7/31/2014
Wyoming	2.13%	2.250%	2.375%	Yes	0.00%	No	0.00%	Yes			Excellent	3	5	7/1/2009	6/30/2014
Average:	1.63%	1.27%	0.31%												

^{*}Kings-Steps frozen in 2013 & 2014 unless State holds TPPT Reimb to save level as 2013

^{**}Loveland - Horizontal movement frozen in 2013

^{***}Indian Hill - 2012 received .75% on base with no step or schedule change; 2013 received 1% on base with no step or schedule change.

Comparison District Contract Summary

Top Ranked Districts - Distinction and Excellent

	Past 2	Years	Current	t Year			Future Ye	ears					Contrac	ntract Information	
District	% Increase 2009-10	% Increase 2010-11	% Increase 2011-12	Steps 11-12	% Increase 2012-13	Steps 12-13	% Increase 2013-14	Steps 13-14	% Increase 2014-15	Steps 14-15	State Ranking 2010-2011	Current Year	Duration	Start	End
Forest Hills	0.00%	2.00%	0.00%	No	0.00%	No					Distinction	1	3	7/1/2011	6/30/2014
Indian Hill	1.25%	1.75%	0.00%	No	0.00%	No					Distinction	1	2	12/1/2011	11/30/2013
Lakota Local	2.00%	0.00%	0.00%	No	0.00%	No	0.00%	No			Distinction	1	3	7/1/2011	6/30/2014
Mason City	0.00%	2.50%	2.50%	Yes	0.00%	No	0.00%	No	open		Distinction	3	3	7/1/2012	6/30/2015
New Richmond	2.25%	2.25%	0.00%	Yes	0.00%	Yes	0.00%	Yes			Distinction	1	3	7/1/2011	6/30/2014
Ross Local	2.90%	0.00%	0.00%	No	0.00%	No					Distinction	1	2	9/1/2011	8/31/2013
Sycamore	2.25%	2.50%	0.00%	No							Distinction	1	1	8/1/2011	7/31/2012
Deer Park	3.00%	1.75%	0.00%	No							Excellent	1	3	7/1/2011	6/30/2014
*Kings Local	2.50%	0.00%	0.00%	No	1.00%	No	1.75%	*No	1.75%	*No	Excellent	1	4	8/1/2011	6/30/2015
Lebanon City	2.89%	0.00%	0.00%	No	0.00%	No	0.00%	No			Excellent	1	3	9/1/2011	8/31/2014
**Loveland	2.00%	1.50%	1.50%	Yes	0.00%	Yes					Excellent	2	2	7/1/2010	6/30/2013
Madeira	2.00%	1.50%	0.00%	Yes							Excellent		Not	Applicable	
Mariemont	2.00%	1.65%	0.00%	Yes							Excellent		Not	Applicable	
Milford Exempted	2.00%	2.00%	1.00%	Yes	1.00%	Yes	0.00%	No			Excellent	2	4	7/1/2010	6/30/2014
Norwood	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	Yes	0.50%	Yes	0.00%	Yes			Excellent	1	3	7/1/2011	6/30/2014
Oak Hills	3.00%	2.00%	0.00%	Yes	0.00%	Yes					Excellent	3	3	7/1/2010	6/30/2013
Reading	0.00%	1.75%	0.00%	No	0.00%	No	0.00%	No			Excellent	2	4	9/1/2010	8/31/2014
Southwest	0.00%	2.00%	0.00%	Yes	0.00%	No					Excellent	2	3	9/1/2009	8/31/2013
Three Rivers	1.00%	1.00%	0.00%	No	0.00%	No	0.00%	No			Excellent	3	5	8/1/2009	7/31/2014
Wyoming	2.13%	2.250%	2.375%	Yes	0.00%	No	0.00%	Yes			Excellent	3	5	7/1/2009	6/30/2014
Avenage Alle	4.000/	4.400/	0.070/	. 50	2.0070		2.0070		L	L					

 Average All:
 1.66%
 1.42%
 0.37%

 Average Distinction:
 1.52%
 1.57%
 0.36%

 Average Excellent:
 1.73%
 1.34%
 0.38%

Benchmark Districts

Indian Hill	1.25%	1.75%	0.00%	No	0.00%	No				Distinction	1	2	12/1/2011	11/30/2013
Sycamore	2.25%	2.50%	0.00%	No						Distinction	1	1	8/1/2011	7/31/2012
**Loveland	2.00%	1.50%	1.50%	Yes	0.00%	Yes				Excellent	2	2	7/1/2010	6/30/2013
Madeira	2.00%	1.50%	0.00%	Yes						Excellent		Not	Applicable	
Mariemont	2.00%	1.65%	0.00%	Yes						Excellent		Not	Applicable	
Wyoming	2.13%	2.250%	2.375%	Yes	0.00%	No	0.00%	Yes		Excellent	3	5	7/1/2009	6/30/2014

Average: 1.94% 1.86% 0.65%

^{*}Kings-Steps frozen in 2013 & 2014 unless State holds TPPT Reimb to save level as 2013

^{**}Loveland - Horizontal steps frozen in 2013

SALARY COMPARISONS - BACHELORS DEGREE Teachers Salary Schedule 2011-2012 School Year

	Years' Experience>	Starting		Years' Experience>	5 Years		Years' Experience>	10 Years		Years' Experience>	Max
Rank	District	\$\$\$\$	Rank	District	\$\$\$\$	Rank	District	\$\$\$\$	Rank	District	\$\$\$\$
1	Indian Hill	\$40,784	1	Indian Hill	\$50,980	1	Princeton	\$61,528	1	Wyoming	\$79,127
2	Sycamore	\$39,979	2	Princeton	\$49,542	2	Indian Hill	\$61,176	2	Kings Local	\$73,300
3	Princeton	\$39,953	3	Oak Hills	\$48,632	3	Oak Hills	\$60,324	3	Southwest	\$72,349
4	Cincinnati Public	\$39,262	4	Finneytown	\$48,552	4	Loveland	\$57,917	4	Sycamore	\$71,946
5	Madeira	\$38,886	5	Sycamore	\$48,374	5	Wyoming	\$57,898	5	Oak Hills	\$71,634
6	Wyoming	\$38,598	6	Wyoming	\$48,248	6	Kings Local	\$57,549	6	Loveland	\$71,610
7	Loveland	\$38,356	7	Loveland	\$48,137	7	Sycamore	\$57,507	7	Cincinnati Public	\$71,521
8	Mason City	\$38,331	8	Kings Local	\$47,705	8	Forest Hills	\$57,029	8	Indian Hill	\$71,373
9	Finneytown	\$38,230	9	Forest Hills	\$47,524	9	Deer Park	\$56,948	9	Three Rivers	\$71,225
10	Forest Hills	\$38,019	10	Mason City	\$47,435	10	Mariemont	\$56,602	10	Mason City	\$71,104
11	Kings Local	\$37,861	11	Mariemont	\$46,852	11	Southwest	\$56,599	11	Princeton	\$70,118
12	Deer Park	\$37,714	12	Southwest	\$46,758	12	Finneytown	\$56,580	12	Reading	\$69,491
13	Oak Hills	\$37,702	13	Deer Park	\$46,388	13	Mason City	\$56,538	13	Forest Hills	\$67,932
14	Lakota Local	\$37,492	14	Reading	\$46,287	14	Reading	\$55,971	14	Winton Woods	\$66,832
15	Mariemont	\$37,069	15	Three Rivers	\$45,568	15	Three Rivers	\$55,831	15	Deer Park	\$66,753
16	Southwest	\$36,913	16	Madeira	\$45,345	16	Winton Woods	\$55,653	16	Lebanon City	\$65,262
17	Reading	\$36,823	17	North College Hill	\$45,141	17	North College Hill	\$55,288	17	Finneytown	\$64,991
18	St. Bernard - Elmwood	\$36,564	18	Winton Woods	\$44,659	18	Cincinnati Public	\$54,365	18	Norwood	\$64,790
19	Winton Woods	\$35,836	19	Milford Exempted	\$44,104	19	Madeira	\$53,324	19	Lakota Local	\$64,674
20	New Richmond	\$35,736	20	Lakota Local	\$44,016	20	St. Bernard - Elmwood	\$53,018	20	North College Hill	\$64,405
21	Milford Exempted	\$35,712	21	St. Bernard - Elmwood	\$43,877	21	Norwood	\$52,605	21	Fairfield	\$64,329
22	Lebanon City	\$35,668	22	New Richmond	\$43,848	22	Milford Exempted	\$52,497	22	Northwest	\$64,163
23	Norwood	\$35,484	23	Norwood	\$43,468	23	New Richmond	\$52,246	23	New Richmond	\$62,359
24	North College Hill	\$34,992	24	Northwest	\$43,059	24	Lakota Local	\$52,170	24	Milford Exempted	\$60,921
25	Hamilton City	\$34,470	25	Mt. Healthy	\$42,675	25	Mt. Healthy	\$51,969	25	Hamilton City	\$60,586
26	Northwest	\$34,266	26	Cincinnati Public	\$42,619	26	Northwest	\$51,855	26	Mariemont	\$60,533
27	Three Rivers	\$34,210	27	Lebanon City	\$42,502	27	Lockland	\$51,154	27	St. Bernard - Elmwood	\$58,502
28	Ross Local	\$33,722	28	Lockland	\$42,087	28	Lebanon City	\$49,615	28	Lockland	\$58,425
29	Fairfield	\$33,592	29	Fairfield	\$41,150	29	Fairfield	\$48,708	29	Ross Local	\$56,384
30	Mt. Healthy	\$33,384	30	Ross Local	\$40,804	30	Ross Local	\$47,886	30	Mt. Healthy	\$55,441
31	Lockland	\$33,009	31	Hamilton City	\$40,163	31	Hamilton City	\$42,279	31	Madeira	\$54,750
	Salary Average	\$36,730		Salary Average	\$45,371		Salary Average	\$54,536		Salary Average	\$66,027
	Salary for Madeira	\$38,886		Salary for Madeira	\$45,345		Salary for Madeira	\$53,324		Salary for Madeira	\$54,750
	Madeira vs Average	\$2,156		Madeira vs Average	(\$26)		Madeira vs Average	(\$1,212)		Madeira vs Average	(\$11,277)

SALARY COMPARISONS - MASTERS DEGREE Teachers Salary Schedule 2011-2012 School Year

	Years' Experience>	Starting		Years' Experience>	5 Years		Years' Experience>	10 Years		Years' Experience>	Max
Rank	District	\$\$\$\$									
1	Indian Hill	\$44,863	1	Indian Hill	\$56,078	1	Indian Hill	\$67,294	1	Wyoming	\$89,548
2	Princeton	\$43,948	2	Princeton	\$54,336	2	Princeton	\$66,322	2	Sycamore	\$88,804
3	St. Bernard - Elmwood	\$43,146	3	Forest Hills	\$53,930	3	Sycamore	\$65,578	3	Indian Hill	\$87,890
4	Cincinnati Public	\$42,937	4	Loveland	\$53,794	4	Forest Hills	\$65,569	4	Princeton	\$82,103
5	Madeira	\$42,829	5	Sycamore	\$53,666	5	Finneytown	\$64,991	5	Mason City	\$80,802
6	Southwest	\$42,819	6	Mariemont	\$53,342	6	Loveland	\$64,821	6	Loveland	\$80,260
7	Loveland	\$42,767	7	Reading	\$53,209	7	Mariemont	\$64,760	7	Kings Local	\$79,585
8	Oak Hills	\$42,604	8	Mason City	\$52,897	8	Reading	\$64,330	8	Finneytown	\$78,753
9	Reading	\$42,346	9	Madeira	\$52,807	9	Deer Park	\$64,114	9	Mariemont	\$78,399
10	Deer Park	\$42,240	10	Southwest	\$52,664	10	Oak Hills	\$64,094	10	Madeira	\$78,398
11	Forest Hills	\$42,201	11	Wyoming	\$52,494	11	Wyoming	\$64,073	11	Forest Hills	\$78,342
12	Mason City	\$42,164	12	Oak Hills	\$52,406	12	Madeira	\$64,043	12	Southwest	\$78,252
13	Mariemont	\$41,922	13	Finneytown	\$52,375	13	Mason City	\$63,629	13	Reading	\$77,960
14	Kings Local	\$41,799	14	Deer Park	\$52,045	14	Southwest	\$62,505	14	Winton Woods	\$77,730
15	Sycamore	\$41,756	15	Kings Local	\$51,643	15	Kings Local	\$61,487	15	Three Rivers	\$77,657
16	Lakota Local	\$41,241	16	St. Bernard - Elmwood	\$50,458	16	Three Rivers	\$61,031	16	Cincinnati Public	\$77,377
17	Wyoming	\$40,914	17	Three Rivers	\$49,605	17	Winton Woods	\$60,774	17	Oak Hills	\$77,290
18	Finneytown	\$40,524	18	Milford Exempted	\$49,533	18	Milford Exempted	\$60,603	18	St. Bernard - Elmwood	\$76,419
19	Lebanon City	\$39,553	19	New Richmond	\$49,423	19	New Richmond	\$59,965	19	Deer Park	\$76,182
20	Winton Woods	\$39,133	20	North College Hill	\$49,199	20	St. Bernard - Elmwood	\$59,599	20	Lebanon City	\$75,983
21	Hamilton City	\$39,103	21	Winton Woods	\$48,769	21	Cincinnati Public	\$59,393	21	Lakota Local	\$75,546
22	North College Hill	\$39,051	22	Lakota Local	\$48,365	22	North College Hill	\$59,347	22	North College Hill	\$74,552
23	New Richmond	\$38,988	23	Lebanon City	\$48,227	23	Norwood	\$58,992	23	New Richmond	\$72,687
24	Three Rivers	\$38,863	24	Norwood	\$48,081	24	Lakota Local	\$57,269	24	Norwood	\$72,597
25	Norwood	\$38,678	25	Mt. Healthy	\$47,883	25	Mt. Healthy	\$57,177	25	Milford Exempted	\$71,670
26	Mt. Healthy	\$38,592	26	Northwest	\$47,006	26	Lebanon City	\$56,901	26	Fairfield	\$71,383
27	Milford Exempted	\$38,462	27	Cincinnati Public	\$46,640	27	Northwest	\$55,960	27	Northwest	\$70,218
28	Fairfield	\$38,127	28	Fairfield	\$46,525	28	Hamilton City	\$55,448	28	Lockland	\$69,319
29	Northwest	\$38,056	29	Hamilton City	\$46,386	29	Fairfield	\$54,923	29	Hamilton City	\$69,225
30	Ross Local	\$37,162	30	Ross Local	\$45,930	30	Lockland	\$54,796	30	Ross Local	\$65,219
31	Lockland	\$36,640	31	Lockland	\$45,718	31	Ross Local	\$54,698	31	Mt. Healthy	\$64,972
	Salary Average	\$40,756		Salary Average	\$50,498		Salary Average	\$61,112		Salary Average	\$76,617
	Salary for Madeira	\$42,829		Salary for Madeira	\$52,807		Salary for Madeira	\$64,043		Salary for Madeira	\$78,398
	Madeira vs Average	\$2,073		Madeira vs Average	\$2,309		Madeira vs Average	\$2,931		Madeira vs Average	\$1,781

SALARY COMPARISONS - MASTERS+30 Teachers Salary Schedule

2011-2012 School Year

	Years' Experience>	Starting		Years' Experience>	5 Years		Years' Experience>	10 Years		Years' Experience>	Max
Rank	District	\$\$\$\$									
1	Indian Hill	\$48,941	1	Indian Hill	\$60,157	1	Indian Hill	\$71,373	1	Wyoming	\$92,636
2	Loveland	\$48,808	2	Loveland	\$59,835	2	Loveland	\$70,862	2	Indian Hill	\$91,969
3	St. Bernard - Elmwood	\$47,533	3	Forest Hills	\$58,359	3	Forest Hills	\$70,335	3	Sycamore	\$90,548
4	Southwest	\$46,758	4	Mariemont	\$57,345	4	Mariemont	\$69,465	4	Mason City	\$89,618
5	Forest Hills	\$46,383	5	Princeton	\$56,733	5	Mason City	\$69,379	5	Loveland	\$86,301
6	Oak Hills	\$46,374	6	Madeira	\$56,730	6	Finneytown	\$68,814	6	Oak Hills	\$84,830
7	Princeton	\$46,345	7	Mason City	\$56,730	7	Princeton	\$68,719	7	Princeton	\$84,501
8	Madeira	\$45,704	8	Southwest	\$56,599	8	Oak Hills	\$67,864	8	Madeira	\$83,961
9	Mariemont	\$45,225	9	Oak Hills	\$56,176	9	Madeira	\$67,834	9	Mariemont	\$83,958
10	Cincinnati Public	\$45,135	10	Reading	\$55,787	10	Sycamore	\$67,324	10	Finneytown	\$83,723
11	Reading	\$44,887	11	Wyoming	\$55,582	11	New Richmond	\$67,184	11	Forest Hills	\$83,216
12	Mason City	\$44,081	12	Finneytown	\$55,433	12	Wyoming	\$67,161	12	Southwest	\$82,187
13	Wyoming	\$44,002	13	Sycamore	\$55,416	13	Reading	\$66,944	13	New Richmond	\$81,764
14	Kings Local	\$43,768	14	New Richmond	\$55,033	14	Southwest	\$66,443	14	Lakota Local	\$81,733
15	Deer Park	\$43,748	15	St. Bernard - Elmwood	\$54,846	15	Milford Exempted	\$65,674	15	Kings Local	\$81,554
16	Sycamore	\$43,505	16	Kings Local	\$53,612	16	Deer Park	\$65,622	16	Reading	\$80,501
17	Lakota Local	\$43,116	17	Deer Park	\$53,554	17	St. Bernard - Elmwood	\$63,987	17	St. Bernard - Elmwood	\$80,441
18	New Richmond	\$42,883	18	Milford Exempted	\$53,354	18	Norwood	\$63,516	18	Three Rivers	\$80,051
19	Mt. Healthy	\$42,064	19	Three Rivers	\$52,341	19	Kings Local	\$63,456	19	Cincinnati Public	\$79,640
20	Finneytown	\$42,053	20	Lakota Local	\$51,739	20	Three Rivers	\$63,425	20	Deer Park	\$79,199
21	Lebanon City	\$41,905	21	Mt. Healthy	\$51,358	21	Lakota Local	\$62,518	21	Winton Woods	\$78,687
22	Northwest	\$41,849	22	Norwood	\$51,274	22	Winton Woods	\$61,731	22	Lebanon City	\$78,336
23	Three Rivers	\$41,257	23	North College Hill	\$51,228	23	Cincinnati Public	\$61,588	23	Norwood	\$78,185
24	North College Hill	\$41,081	24	Northwest	\$50,799	24	North College Hill	\$61,377	24	Milford Exempted	\$78,038
25	Milford Exempted	\$41,033	25	Ross Local	\$50,718	25	Ross Local	\$60,835	25	North College Hill	\$76,585
26	Norwood	\$40,807	26	Lebanon City	\$50,579	26	Mt. Healthy	\$60,649	26	Northwest	\$74,001
27	Ross Local	\$40,602	27	Winton Woods	\$49,726	27	Northwest	\$59,753	27	Fairfield	\$73,566
28	Hamilton City	\$40,427	28	Lockland	\$49,349	28	Lebanon City	\$59,253	28	Ross Local	\$72,975
29	Fairfield	\$40,310	29	Cincinnati Public	\$48,836	29	Lockland	\$58,425	29	Lockland	\$72,949
30	Lockland	\$40,272	30	Fairfield	\$48,708	30	Fairfield	\$57,106	30	Hamilton City	\$70,880
31	Winton Woods	\$40,089	31	Hamilton City	\$47,709	31	Hamilton City	\$56,813	31	Mt. Healthy	\$70,394
	Salary Average	\$43,579		Salary Average	\$53,730		Salary Average	\$64,691		Salary Average	\$80,869
	Salary for Madeira	\$45,704		Salary for Madeira	\$56,730		Salary for Madeira	\$67,864		Salary for Madeira	\$83,961
	Madeira vs Average	\$2,125		Madeira vs Average	\$3,000		Madeira vs Average	\$3,173		Madeira vs Average	\$3,092

SALARY COMPARISONS - MAX 2011-2012 School Year

Rank	District	Max \$\$\$\$		Peak Earning Year
1	Wyoming	\$93,408	Masters+36	25
2	Indian Hill	\$92,988	Doctorate	23
3	Sycamore	\$91,857	Masters+45	25
4	Mason City	\$89,618	Masters+30	27
5	Madeira	\$86,909	Masters+45	27
6	Princeton	\$86,898	Doctorate	17
7	Loveland	\$86,301	Masters+30	20
8	Forest Hills	\$85,307	Doctorate	27
9	Oak Hills	\$84,830	Masters+30	25
10	Lakota Local	\$83,982	Doctorate	25
11	Mariemont	\$83,958	Masters+30	27
12	Finneytown	\$83,723	Educat.Spec.	27
13	Cincinnati Public	\$83,455	Doctorate	27
14	Southwest	\$82,187	Masters+30	26
15	Reading	\$82,158	Masters+45	27
16	New Richmond	\$81,764	Masters+30	22
17	Kings Local	\$81,554	Masters+20	29
18	Milford Exempted	\$81,523	Masters+50	25
19	St. Bernard - Elmwood	\$80,441	Masters+30	25
20	Three Rivers	\$80,051	Masters+30	25
21	Deer Park	\$79,954	Masters+45	27
22	Winton Woods	\$79,005	Doctorate	25
23	Lebanon City	\$78,336	Masters+20	27
24	Norwood	\$78,185	Masters+30	22
25	North College Hill	\$76,585	Masters+20	23
26	Northwest	\$75,896	Masters+45	27
27	Fairfield	\$73,566	Master +30	24
28	Ross Local	\$72,975	Masters+30	18
29	Lockland	\$72,949	Masters+30	26
30	Hamilton City	\$70,880	Masters+30	27
31	Mt. Healthy	\$70,394	Masters+30	16

Salary Average \$81,666
Salary for Madeira \$86,909
Madeira vs Average \$5,243

MADEIRA SALARY RANKING

BACHELORS	Rank	<u>Start</u>	<u>Rank</u>	5 Years	<u>Rank</u>	10 Years	<u>Rank</u>	<u>Max</u>	# of Dis	<u>tricts</u>	
2011 - 2012	5	\$38,886	16	\$45,345	19	\$53,324	31	\$54,750	31 District	S	
2010 - 2011	4	\$38,886	16	\$45,345	19	\$53,324	31	\$54,750	31 District	S	
2009 - 2010	4	\$38,311	17	\$44,674	20	\$52,536	30	\$53,941	30 District	S	
2008 - 2009	3	\$37,560	20	\$43,798	20	\$51,506	30	\$52,884	30 District		
2007 - 2008	4	\$36,466	18	\$42,523	20	\$50,006	30	\$51,344	30 District		
2006 - 2007	4	\$35,646	18	\$41,567	21	\$48,881	30	\$50,189	30 District		
2005 - 2006	5	\$34,441	19	\$40,161	23	\$47,228	29	\$48,492	30 District		
2004 - 2005	5	\$33,519	21	\$39,086	22	\$45,964	30	\$47,194	30 District		
2003 - 2004	6	\$32,307	22	\$37,673	24	\$44,303	30	\$45,488	30 District		
2002 - 2003	5	\$31,139	22	\$36,311	25	\$42,702	30	\$43,844	30 District		
2001 - 2002	3	\$30,232	21	\$35,253	25	\$41,458	30	\$42,567	30 District		
2000 - 2001	3	\$29,351	19	\$34,226	22	\$40,250	30	\$41,327	30 District		
1999 - 2000	4	\$28,205	19	\$32,890	25	\$38,679	30	\$39,714	30 District		
1998 - 1999	6	\$27,186	20	\$31,701	28	\$37,281	36	\$38,279	37 District		
1997 - 1998	6	\$26,267	24	\$30,629	28	\$36,020	36	\$36,985	37 District		
1337 - 1330	O	Ψ20,201	24	Ψ30,023	20	ψ50,020	30	ψ50,505	37 District	3	
MASTERS	<u>Rank</u>	<u>Start</u>	<u>Rank</u>	5 Years	<u>Rank</u>	10 Years	<u>Rank</u>	<u>Max</u>	# of Dis	<u>tricts</u>	
2011 - 2012	5	\$42,829	9	\$52,807	12	\$64,043	10	\$78,398	31 District	S	
2010 - 2011	3	\$42,829	8	\$52,807	10	\$64,043	11	\$78,398	31 District	S	
2009 - 2010	3	\$42,196	8	\$52,026	8	\$63,096	8	\$77,238	30 District	S	
2008 - 2009	6	\$41,369	7	\$51,006	6	\$61,859	14	\$75,724	30 District	S	
2007 - 2008	8	\$40,164	9	\$49,521	8	\$60,057	13	\$73,519	30 District	S	
2006 - 2007	7	\$39,261	9	\$48,407	9	\$58,706	13	\$71,866	30 District	S	
2005 - 2006	10	\$37,933	12	\$46,770	11	\$56,721	16	\$69,436	30 District	S	
2004 - 2005	8	\$36,918	9	\$45,518	7	\$55,203	14	\$67,578	30 District	S	
2003 - 2004	8	\$35,584	9	\$43,873	9	\$53,208	14	\$65,135	30 District	S	
2002 - 2003	9	\$34,298	10	\$42,287	8	\$51,285	14	\$62,781	30 District	S	
2001 - 2002	6	\$33,218	7	\$40,956	7	\$49,671	14	\$60,805	30 District	S	
2000 - 2001	6	\$32,250	6	\$39,763	6	\$48,224	14	\$58,192	30 District	S	
1999 - 2000	6	\$30,991	10	\$38,211	7	\$46,341	14	\$55,920	30 District	S	
1998 - 1999	9	\$29,871	12	\$36,830	11	\$44,666	17	\$53,899	37 District	S	
1997 - 1998	10	\$28,791	12	\$35,499	13	\$43,052	17	\$51,951	37 District	S	
MASTERS +30	<u>Rank</u>	<u>Start</u>	<u>Rank</u>	5 Years	<u>Rank</u>	10 Years	<u>Rank</u>	M+30 Max	<u>Rank</u>	Overall Max	
2011 - 2012	8	\$45,704	6	\$56,730	9	\$67,834	8	\$83,961	5	\$86,909	31 Dis
2010 - 2011	8	\$45,704	7	\$56,730	8	\$67,834	9	\$83,961	5	\$86,909	31 Dis
2009 - 2010	8	\$45,028	5	\$55,891	7	\$66,831	8	\$82,719	4	\$85,624	30 Dis
2008 - 2009	7	\$44,146	7	\$54,796	8	\$65,521	8	\$81,098	5	\$83,946	30 Dis
2007 - 2008	8	\$42,860	7	\$53,200	7	\$63,613	8	\$78,736	6	\$81,501	30 Dis
2006 - 2007	8	\$41,897	7	\$52,004	8	\$62,183	8	\$76,966	6	\$79,669	30 Dis
2005 - 2006	8	\$40,480	10	\$50,245	11	\$60,080	5	\$76,975	6	\$76,975	30 Dis
2004 - 2005	9	\$39,397	9	\$48,900	7	\$58,472	5	\$72,373	5	\$74,915	30 Dis
2003 - 2004	11	\$37,973	9	\$47,133	9	\$56,359	6	\$69,757	5	\$72,207	30 Dis
2002 - 2003	14	\$35,783	15	\$44,612	14	\$53,505	8	\$67,236	6	\$69,597	30 Dis
2002 - 2003	14	\$34,573	16	\$43,103	14	\$51,696	9	\$64,962	6	\$66,950	30 Dis
2001 - 2002	13	\$33,178	12	\$41,653	13	\$49,996	6	\$63,070	4	\$65,000	30 Dis
1999 - 2000	12	\$32,402	12	\$40,027	14	\$48,044	8	\$60,005	5	\$62,040	30 Dis
1999 - 2000	14	\$32,402	14	\$38,580	15	\$46,307	10	\$57,836	6	\$59,798	30 Dis 37 Dis
1997 - 1998	14	\$30,102	14	\$37,186	15	\$44,633	11	\$55,746	8	\$57,637	37 Dis

Comparison District Contract Health Information

			Medical Plans				Denta	l Plans
	Plan	າ 1	Plan 2		Pl	an 3	Plan 1	
District	Board%	Employee%	Board%	Employee%	Board%	Employee%	Board %	Employee%
Cincinnati Public	Self funded	Self funded-% of salary						
Deer Park	90%	10%					85%	15%
Fairfield	85%	15%					100%	0%
Finneytown	85%	15%	80% with spousal lang.	20%			85%	15%
Forest Hills	87%	13%					100%	0%
Hamilton City	85%	15%					80%	20%
Indian Hill	85%	15%					85%	15%
Kings Local	85%	15%					100%	0%
Lakota Local	87%	13%	88%	12%	85%	15%	85%	15%
Lebanon City	80%	20%					90%	10%
Lockland	90%	10%					80%	20%
Loveland	85%	15%					100%	0%
Madeira	85%	15%					100%	0%
Mariemont	85%	15%					85%	15%
Mason City	88% with high deductible H.S.A.	12%					100%	0%
Milford Exempted	85%	15%					90%	10%
Mt. Healthy	90%	10%					100%	0%
New Richmond	90%	10%					90%	10%
North College Hill	90%	10%					100%	0%
Northwest	85%	15%					100%	0%
Norwood	90% to 85% in 2012/2013	10% to 15% in 2012/2013					100%	0%
Oak Hills	83%	17%					87%	13%
Princeton	85%	15%					85%	15%
Reading	85%	15%					100%	0%
Ross Local	80%	20%	90%	10%			100%	0%
Southwest	95%	5%	90%	10%			100%	0%
St. Bernard - Elmwood	90%	10%					100%	0%
Sycamore	85%	15%					90%	10%
Three Rivers	90%	10%					90%	10%
Winton Woods	85%	15%					90%	10%
Wyoming	85%	15%					85%	15%

SUMMARY – STAFF SURVEY FEEDBACK

Staff Survey sent out on Nov. 17, 2011 – 98 Staff members total

What are your feelings about the salary schedule as it exists at this time?

84 responses: Positive: 38%; Neutral: 30%; Negative: 25%; NA: 7%

2. Have you read the Annual Salary Study for 2010-2011?

98 responses: Yes: 36.7%; No: 63.3%

3. Do you know how to find the Annual Salary Study for 2010-2011?

97 responses: Yes: 54.6%; No: 45.4%

4. If you have read the Annual Salary Study for 2010-2011 what questions do you have?

22 responses: 18 were NA/none

Samples: What was the response of the board about National Board certification? Is National Board certification and Master Teacher certification being assessed by the district to be equal in regards to merit?

5. What is the best way for the Annual Salary Study report to be shared with you?

67 responses: Email/Google Docs: 54%; MCS Web/Intranet: 30%; Staff Meeting: 16%

6. Please rank the following components of a compensation package (1=most important; 2=second most important).

77 responses	Rank	Response Average
Salary Step	1	2.42
Health & Dental Benefits	2	2.52
Add a board determined % to your base salary	3	3.00
Academic Movement (ex. moving on the scale from Masters +15 to Masters +30)	4	3.53
Professional Development	5	4.12
Tuition reimbursement	6	4.45
Other (please define below)	7	4.67

"Other" samples:

- -Compensation for accumulated sick days
- -Seniority List
- -Allowing teachers' children to attend MCS
- -National Board certification recognition through salary increase

BUDGET IMPACT 2011-2012 School Year

Salary	History - 9	% Base Incr	eases
Year	%	Starting	AVG
FY00	3.50%	27,186	
FY01	3.75%	28,205	
FY02	4.00%	29,351	
FY03	3.00%	30,232	
FY04	3.00%	31,139	
FY05	3.75%	32,307	
FY06	3.75%	33,519	58,196
FY07	3.50%	34,441	60,816
FY08	2.30%	35,646	62,630
FY09	3.00%	36,466	64,110
FY10	2.00%	38,311	66,031
FY11	1.50%	38,886	68,844
FY12	0.00%	38,886	67,637
5 Yr Avg.	1.76%		

2011-2012 Experience & Education											
YRS	% FTE	% Amt.	Education	% FTE	% Amt.						
0-5	20.10%	14.06%	BA All	16.09%	11.66%						
6-10	21.30%	19.02%	MA	31.36%	29.93%						
11-15	18.38%	19.32%	MA+15	18.67%	20.63%						
16-20	20.30%	23.59%	MA+30	31.97%	35.30%						
21-25	11.30%	13.48%	MA+45	1.91%	2.48%						
26+	8.62%	10.53%									
	100.00%	100.00%		100.00%	100.00%						
6+	79.90%	85.94%	MA	83.91%	88.34%						

2012-2013 Options			
2011-2012 Cert. Salary	7,065,377		
No Base >			
Increase Step	Salary	# of Emp	
0.00% 0-5 Yrs only	17,243	12.50	
	17,243	11.90%	
No Base > Step Only for eligible staff			
Increase Step	Salary	# of Emp	
0.000/ 4.040/	07.450	75.00	

No base > step offiny for eligible staff				
Increase	Step	Salary	# of Emp	
0.00%	1.24%	87,159	75.66	
		87,159	72.50%	
Base > Only				
Increase	Step	Salary	# of Emp	
1.00%	0.00%	70,654		
		70,654	100%	

Detail of Salary % > over base salary
each year
Starting Salary each year
Average Salary each year

	Education levels in FTE positions
equivalent (FTE) positions	
Experience years in \$ amounts	Education levels in \$ amounts
in the salary budget	in the salary budget

Incremental cost of salary changes
0-5 Year certified staff only
receive step
Step only for all staff
Base only for all staff - no step