School Renewal Plan Cover Page

Renewal Plan for 5 Year Cycle: 2016/17 to 2020/21 **Upcoming School Year: 2019/20**

School Name:	Orchard Park Elem
SIDN:	4604051
Plan Submission:	School utilizes AdvancED
Grade Span:	K To 5
District:	York 04
Address 1:	474 Third Baxter Crossing
Address 2:	
City:	Fort Mill, SC
Zip Code:	29708
School Renewal Plan Contact Person:	Matthew Johnson
School Plan Contact Phone:	803.548.8175
School Plan E-mail Address:	johnsonm@fortmillschools.org

Required Signature Page
The school renewal plan, or annual update, includes elements required by the Early Childhood Development and Academic Assistance Act of 1993 (Act 135) (S.C. Code Ann. §59-139-10et seq. (Supp. 2004)), the Education Accountability Act of 1998 (EAA) (S.C. Code Ann. §59-18-1300 et seq. (Supp. 2004)), and SBE Regulation 43-261. The signatures of the chairperson of the board of trustees, the superintendent, the principal, and the chairperson of the School Improvement Council, and the School Read to Succeed Literacy Leadership team lead are affirmation of active participation of key stakeholders and alignment with Act 135 and EAA requirements. requirements.

Assurances for the School Renewal Plans

The assurance pages following this page have been completed and the district superintendent's and school principal's signature below attests that the school/district complies with all applicable assurances requirements including ACT 135 assurance pages.

Required Printed Names and Signatures

Superintendent		
Dr. Chuck Epps Printed Name	Signature 7.	4/5/2019 Date
Principal		
Matthew Johnson Printed Name	Matthew Jehnson	3-28-19 Date
Chairperson, District Board of	Trustees	
Kristy Spears Printed Name	Signature	4/5/2019 Date
Chairperson, School Improvem	ent Council	
<u>Pam Darcy-Demski</u> Printed Name	Jame & Lary (Lemen	<u>4.01.20</u> 19 Date
School Read To Succeed Litera		
Kristin Rhodes Printed Name	Signature Rhodes	3 28 19 Date

Assurances for School Renewal Plan

Assurances checked below, along with the signature page signed by the superintendent and school principal, attest that the school complies with all applicable regulatory and statutory requirements listed.

	dhood Development and Academic Assistance Act (Act 135) Assurances Ann §59-139-10 et seq. (Supp. 2004))
Yes	Academic Assistance, PreK–3 The school makes special efforts to assist children in PreK–3 who demonstrate a need for extra or alternative instructional attention (e.g., after-school homework help centers, individual tutoring, and group remediation).
Yes	Academic Assistance, Grades 4–12 The school makes special efforts to assist children in grades 4–12 who demonstrate a need for extra or alternative instructional attention (e.g., after-school homework help centers, individual tutoring, and group remediation).
Yes	Parent Involvement The school encourages and assists parents in becoming more involved in their children's education. Some examples of parental involvement initiatives include making special efforts to meet with parents at times more convenient for them; providing parents with their child's individual test results and an interpretation of the results; providing parents with information on the district's curriculum and assessment program; providing frequent, two way communication between home and school; providing parents an opportunity to participate on decision making groups; designating space in schools for parents to access educational resource materials; including parent involvement expectations as part of the principal's and superintendent's evaluations; and providing parents with information pertaining to expectations held for them by the school system, such as ensuring attendance and punctuality of their children.
Yes	Staff Development The school provides staff development training for teachers and administrators in the teaching techniques and strategies needed to implement the school/district plan for the improvement of student academic performance. The staff development program reflects requirements of Act 135, the EAA, and the National Staff Development Council's revised Standards for Staff Development.
Yes	Technology The school integrates technology into professional development, curriculum development, and classroom instruction to improve teaching and learning.
Yes	Innovation The school uses innovation funds for innovative activities to improve student learning and accelerate the performance of all students.
Yes	Collaboration The school (regardless of the grades served) collaborates with health and human services agencies (e.g., county health departments, social services departments, mental health departments, First Steps, and the family court system).

Yes	Developmental Screening The school ensures that the young child receives all services necessary for growth and development. Instruments are used to assess physical, social, emotional, linguistic, and cognitive developmental levels. This program normally is appropriate at primary and elementary schools, although screening efforts could take place at any location.
Yes	Half-Day Child Development The school provides half-day child development programs for four-year-olds (some districts fund full-day programs). The programs usually function at primary and elementary schools. However, they may be housed at locations with other grade levels or completely separate from schools.
Yes	Developmentally Appropriate Curriculum for PreK–3 The school ensures that the scope and sequence of the curriculum for PreK–3 are appropriate for the maturation levels of students. Instructional practices accommodate individual differences in maturation level and take into account the student's social and cultural context.
Yes	Parenting and Family Literacy The school provides a four component program that integrates all of the following activities: interactive literacy activities between parents and their children (Interactive Literacy Activities); training for parents regarding how to be the primary teachers for their children and how to be full partners in the education of their children (parenting skills for adults, parent education); parent literacy training that leads to economic self-sufficiency (adult education); and an age-appropriate education to prepare children for success in school and life experiences (early childhood education). Family Literacy is not grade specific, but is generally most appropriate for parents of children at the primary and elementary school levels and below as well as for secondary school students who are parents. Family Literacy program goals are to strengthen parental involvement in the learning process of preschool children ages birth through five years; to promote school readiness of preschool children; to offer parents special opportunities to improve their literacy skills and education; to provide parents a chance to recover from dropping out of school; and to identify potential developmental delays in preschool children by offering developmental screening.
Yes	Recruitment The district makes special and intensive efforts to recruit and give priority to serving those parents or guardians of children, ages birth through five years, who are considered at-risk of school failure. "At-risk children are defined as those whose school readiness is jeopardized by any of, but not limited to, the following personal or family situation(s): parent without a high school graduation or equivalency, poverty, limited English proficiency, significant developmental delays, instability or inadequate basic capacity within the home and/or family, poor health (physical, mental, emotional) and/or child abuse and neglect.
Yes	Coordination of Act 135 Initiatives with Other Federal, State, and District Programs The district ensures as much program effectiveness as possible by developing a district-wide/school-wide coordinated effort among all programs and funding. Act 135 initiatives are coordinated with programs such as Head Start, First Steps, Title I, and programs for students with disabilities.

Stakeholder Involvement for School Renewal Plan

List the name of persons who were involved in the development of the School Renewal Plan. A participant for each numbered position is required.

	Position	Name				
1.	Principal	Matthew Johnson				
2.	Teacher	Amanda Cauthen				
3.	Parent/Guardian	Deanna Scott				
4.	Community Member	Ashli Welsh				
5.	Paraprofessional	N/A				
6.	. School Improvement Council Member Pam Darcy-Demski					
7.	Read to Succeed Reading Coach	Kristin Rhodes				
8.	School Read To Succeed Literacy Leadership Team Lead	Kristin Rhodes				
9.	School Read To Succeed Literacy Leadership Team Member	Elizabeth Eppes				
	OTHERS (May include school board members, district or school administrators, students, PTO members, agency representatives, university partners, Head Start representatives, First Step representatives, etc.) ** Must include the School Literacy Leadership Team for Read to Succeed					

District Requested Strategic/Renewal Plan Waiver

The State Board of Education has the authority to waive regulations pursuant to (SBE Regulation 43-261) (C) District and School Planning which states the following:

Upon request of a district board of trustees or its designee, the State Board of Education may waive any regulation that would impede the implementation of an approved district strategic plan or school renewal plan.

All waivers must be requested in writing, signed by the local superintendent, and approved by the local school board prior to being sent to State Accountability. Use the following link to obtain more information on the waiver process: http://ed.sc.gov/districts-schools/state-accountability/waiver-requests/

- 4		ı
	Not Applicab	ı

District Wavier Requested and Approved	Explain how the SBE Regulation would impede the implementation of an approved district strategic or school renewal plan.
1. Extension for initial District Strategic and School Renewal Plans (SBE Regulation 43-261)	
2. Teachers teaching more than 1500 minutes (SBE Regulation 43-205)	
3. Teachers teaching more than 4 preps (SBE Regulation 43-205)	
4. High School Principal over two schools or grades more than 9-12 (SBE Regulation 43-205)	
5. Other (Include the SBE Regulation number to be waived)	
6. Other (Include the SBE Regulation number to be waived)	



SCHOOL RENEWAL PLAN 2018-19

Orchard Park Elementary School

TABLE OF CONTENTS

School Renewal Plan Signature Page (separate upload per instructions)

Assurances for School Renewal Plans (updated and saved on SCDE School Renewal site)

Stakeholders Involvement for School Renewal Plan (updated and saved on SCDE School Renewal site)

District Requested Strategic/Renewal Plan Waiver (updated and saved on SCDE School Renewal site)

Needs Assessment Data

Executive Summary of Needs Assessment Data Findings

Performance Goals and Action Plans

Read to Succeed (separate upload per instructions)

FORT MILL SCHOOLS

Needs Assessment Data

Link to School Report Card:

https://screportcards.com/overview/?q=eT0yMDE4JnQ9RSZzaWQ9NDYwNDA1MQ

2017-18 Baseline Year for School Improvement Plan - OPES

SCREady Math, SCPASS Science - % Met or Exceeds

School	Subject	Subgroup	All Group	% Meets+ Exceeds Subgroup	% Meets+ Exceeds All	GAP	Total # of Tested Students in Subgroup	Total # of Tested Students	% in Subgroup
3011001	Jubject	Jungioup	All Gloup	Subgroup	All	GA!	Subgroup	Students	Subgroup
OPES	Math	AA	Not AA	29.7%	81.7%	51.9%	37	397	9.3%
OPES	Science	AA	Not AA	41.7%	92.8%	51.1%	12	123	9.8%

Orchard Park Elementary School SC PASS - Science - Spring 2018

Grade Level

Coun	SciLev				Meets +
Grad	Not Met	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds	Exceeds
4	4.1%	8.1%	34.1%	53.7%	87.8%
Total	4.1%	8.1%	34.1%	53.7%	87.8%

Gender

Count of Sc Sc		SciLev			
Grad	Gende	Not Met	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds
4	F	3.0%	7.5%	41.8%	47.8%
	М	5.4%	8.9%	25.0%	60.7%
Total		4.1%	8.1%	34.1%	53.7%

Ethnicity

Coun	t of SciLev	SciLev			
Grad	FedRep Mod	Not Met	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds
4	Asian	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%
	Black	33.3%	25.0%	16.7%	25.0%
	Hispanic	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%
	2 or More	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	White	0.0%	5.8%	37.5%	56.7%
Total		4.1%	8.1%	34.1%	53.7%

English Proficiency = 8 or 9

Count of Sc SciLev					
Grad	Englis	Not Met	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds
4	N	3.3%	8.2%	34.4%	54.1%
	Υ	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total		4.1%	8.1%	34.1%	53.7%

IEP

Count of Sc SciLev					
Grad	IEP	Not Met	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds
4	N	1.8%	8.0%	34.8%	55.4%
	Υ	27.3%	9.1%	27.3%	36.4%
Total		4.1%	8.1%	34.1%	53.7%

Academically Gifted

Count of Sc SciLev					
Grad	Gifted	Not Met	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds
4	Υ	0.0%	0.0%	10.8%	89.2%
	N	5.8%	11.6%	44.2%	38.4%
Total		4.1%	8.1%	34.1%	53.7%

Orchard Park Elementary School SC PASS - Social Studies - Spring 2018

Grade Level

Count	SocLev			Met +
Grade	Not Met	Met	Exemplary	Exemplary
5	14.9%	34.8%	50.4%	85.1%
Total	14.9%	34.8%	50.4%	85.1%

Gender

Count of SocL		SocLev		
Grade	Gende	Not Met	Met	Exemplary
5	F	15.2%	33.3%	51.5%
	M	14.7%	36.0%	49.3%
Total		14.9%	34.8%	50.4%

Ethnicity

Count of SocLev		SocLev		
Grade FedRep Mod I		Not Met	Met	Exemplary
5	Asian	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%
	Black	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%
	Hispanic	30.0%	30.0%	40.0%
	2 or More	16.7%	16.7%	66.7%
	White	10.0%	34.5%	55.5%
Total		14.9%	34.8%	50.4%

English Proficiency = 8 or 9

Count of SocL		SocLev		
Grade	English	Not Met	Met	Exemplary
5	N	13.8%	34.8%	51.4%
	Υ	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%
Total		14.9%	34.8%	50.4%

IEP

Count of SocL		SocLev		
Grade	IEP	Not Met	Met	Exemplary
5	N	11.8%	34.6%	53.5%
	Υ	42.9%	35.7%	21.4%
Total		14.9%	34.8%	50.4%

Academically Gifted

Count of SocL		SocLev		
Grade	Gifted	Not Met	Met	Exemplary
5	С	1.9%	13.2%	84.9%
	N	22.7%	47.7%	29.5%
Total		14.9%	34.8%	50.4%

Orchard Park Elementary School SC Ready - ELA - Spring 2018

Grade Level

ELALev				Meets +
Not Met	pproaches	Meets	Exceeds	Exceeds
12.0%	21.1%	30.1%	36.8%	66.9%
4.1%	17.1%	40.7%	38.2%	78.9%
10.6%	22.0%	30.5%	36.9%	67.4%
9.1%	20.2%	33.5%	37.3%	70.8%
	Not Met 12.0% 4.1% 10.6%	Not Met pproaches 12.0% 21.1% 4.1% 17.1% 10.6% 22.0%	Not Met pproaches Meets 12.0% 21.1% 30.1% 4.1% 17.1% 40.7% 10.6% 22.0% 30.5%	Not Met pproaches Meets Exceeds 12.0% 21.1% 30.1% 36.8% 4.1% 17.1% 40.7% 38.2% 10.6% 22.0% 30.5% 36.9%

ELA Reading Level

Cour	ELALevRead				Meets +
Grac	Not Met	pproaches	Meets	Exceeds	Exceeds
3	12.8%	21.1%	33.1%	33.1%	66.2%
4	5.7%	17.1%	30.9%	46.3%	77.2%
5	13.5%	19.1%	27.0%	40.4%	67.4%
Tota	10.8%	19.1%	30.2%	39.8%	70.0%

Ethnicity

Count of ELALev		ELALev			
Grac	FedRep Mod b	Not Met	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds
3	Asian	0.0%	25.0%	75.0%	0.0%
	Black	46.2%	46.2%	0.0%	7.7%
	Hispanic	33.3%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%
	Two or More	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%	33.3%
	White	7.5%	16.8%	32.7%	43.0%
4	Asian	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%
	Black	25.0%	33.3%	33.3%	8.3%
	Hispanic	66.7%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%
	Two or More	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	White	0.0%	16.3%	42.3%	41.3%
5	Asian	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	Black	41.7%	41.7%	16.7%	0.0%
	Hispanic	30.0%	30.0%	0.0%	40.0%
	Two or More	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	33.3%
	White	5.5%	19.1%	36.4%	39.1%
Tota	I	9.1%	20.2%	33.5%	37.3%

Gender

Count	of ELA	ELALev			
Grade	Gende	Not Met	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds
3	F	8.5%	21.1%	31.0%	39.4%
	M	16.1%	21.0%	29.0%	33.9%
4	F	1.5%	17.9%	32.8%	47.8%
	M	7.1%	16.1%	50.0%	26.8%
5	F	7.6%	25.8%	21.2%	45.5%
	М	13.3%	18.7%	38.7%	29.3%
Total		9.1%	20.2%	33.5%	37.3%

IEP

Count	of ELA	ELALev						
Grade	IEP	Not Met	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds			
3	N	7.0%	19.1%	31.3%	42.6%			
	Υ	44.4%	33.3%	22.2% 41.1% 36.4% 31.5%	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%	0.0%
4	N	1.8%	17.0%		40.2%			
	Υ	27.3%	27.3% 18.2%			18.2%		
5	N	7.1%	21.3%			31.5%	40.2%	
	Υ	42.9%	28.6%	21.4%	7.1%			
Total		9.1%	20.2%	33.5%	37.3%			

Academically Gifted

Count	of FLA	ELALev			
Grade Gifted			Approaches	Meets	Exceeds
3	Υ	0.0%	0.0%	12.9%	87.1%
	N	15.7%	27.5%	35.3%	21.6%
4	Υ	0.0%	0.0%	32.4%	67.6%
	N	5.8%	24.4%	44.2%	25.6%
5	Υ	0.0%	1.9%	22.6%	75.5%
	N	17.0%	34.1%	35.2%	13.6%
Total		9.1%	20.2%	33.5%	37.3%

English Proficiency = 8 or 9

Count	of ELA	ELALev			
Grade	Englisl	Not Met	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds
3	N	25.0%	50.0%	0.0%	25.0%
	Υ	11.6%	20.2%	31.0%	37.2%
4	N	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Υ	3.3%	17.2%	41.0%	38.5%
5	N	66.7%	33.3% 0.0	0.0%	0.0%
	Υ	9.4%	21.7%	31.2%	37.7%
Total		9.1%	20.2%	33.5%	37.3%

Orchard Park Elementary School SC Ready - Math - Spring 2018

Grade Level

Cour	MathLev				Meets +
Grad	nd Not Met Approaches		Meets	Exceeds	Exceeds
3	6.0%	15.0%	27.1%	51.9%	78.9%
4	3.3%	13.0%	27.6%	56.1%	83.7%
5	13.5% 17.7%		24.8%	44.0%	68.8%
Tota	7.8%	15.4%	26.4%	50.4%	76.8%

Ethnicity

Coun	t of MathLev	MathLev			
Grad	FedRep Mod b	Not Met	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds
3	Asian	0.0%	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%
	Black	23.1%	53.8%	15.4%	7.7%
	Hispanic	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%
	Two or More	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%
	White	3.7%	9.3%	26.2%	60.7%
4	Asian	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	Black	25.0%	33.3%	8.3%	33.3%
	Hispanic	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%
	Two or More	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	White	1.0%	9.6%	30.8%	58.7%
5	Asian	0.0%		0.0%	100.0%
	Black Hispanic	41.7%			8.3%
		20.0%	20.0%		30.0%
	Two or More	16.7%	0.0%	16.7%	66.7%
	White	10.0%	17.3%	26.4%	46.4%
Total		7.8%	15.4%	26.4%	50.4%

Gender

Count	of MathLe	MathLev			
Grade	Gender M	Not Met	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds
3	F	1.4%	19.7%	22.5%	56.3%
	М	11.3%	9.7%	32.3%	46.8%
4	F	4.5%	10.4%	37.3%	47.8%
	М	1.8%	16.1%	16.1%	66.1%
5	F	10.6%	18.2%	24.2%	47.0%
	М	16.0%	17.3%	25.3%	41.3%
Total		7.8%	15.4%	26.4%	50.4%

IEP

Count	of MathLe	MathLev				
Grade IEP		Not Met	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds	
3	N	1.7%	12.2%	27.0%	59.1%	
	Υ	33.3%	33.3%	27.8%	5.6%	
4	N	1.8%	10.7%	29.5%	58.0%	
	Υ	18.2%	36.4%	9.1%	36.4%	
5	N	8.7%	16.5%	27.6%	47.2%	
	Υ	57.1%	28.6%	0.0%	14.3%	
Total		7.8%	15.4%	26.4%	50.4%	

Academically Gifted

Count	of MathLe	MathLev			
Grade Gifted		Not Met	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds
3	Υ	0.0%	0.0%	9.7%	90.3%
	N	7.8%	19.6%	32.4%	40.2%
4	Υ	0.0%	0.0%	5.4%	94.6%
	N	4.7%	18.6%	37.2%	39.5%
5	Υ	0.0%	3.8%	13.2%	83.0%
	N	21.6%	26.1%	31.8%	20.5%
Total		7.8%	15.4%	26.4%	50.4%

English Proficiency = 8 or 9

Count	of MathLe	MathLev				
Grade	English M	Not Met	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds	
3	N	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	
	Υ	5.4%	14.7%	27.1%	52.7%	
4	N	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	Υ	3.3%	12.3%	27.9%	56.6%	
5	N	66.7%	33.3.1		0.0%	0.0%
	Υ	12.3%			44.9%	
Total		7.8%	15.4%	26.4%	50.4%	

2017-18 Teacher Opinion Survey

Fort Mill School District 4 Orchard Park Elementary School Values shown are percentages

			AGREE	REE		≥	e S
Q#	QUESTION	DISAGREE	MOSTLY DISAGREE	MOSTLY AGREE	AGREE	DON'T KNOW	# of Responses
1	My school provides challenging instructional programs for students.	0.0	0.0	7.3	92.7	0.0	55
2	Teachers at my school effectively implement the State Curriculum Standards.	0.0	0.0	5.5	92.7	1.8	55
3	Teachers at my school focus instruction on understanding, not just memorizing facts.	0.0	0.0	10.9	87.3	1.8	55
4	Teachers at my school have high expectations for students' learning.	0.0	0.0	7.3	90.9	1.8	55
5	There is a sufficient amount of classroom time allocated to instruction in essential skills.	0.0	5.5	38.2	56.4	0.0	55
6	Student assessment information is effectively used by teachers to plan instruction.	0.0	0.0	27.3	70.9	1.8	55
7	Effective instructional strategies are used to meet the needs of low achieving students.	0.0	0.0	23.6	76.4	0.0	55
8	My school offers effective programs for students with disabilities.	1.8	0.0	23.6	74.5	0.0	55
9	Instructional strategies are used to meet the needs of academically gifted students.	0.0	0.0	25.5	72.7	1.8	55
10	The level of teacher and staff morale is high at my school.	12.7	14.5	49.1	23.6	0.0	55
11	Teachers respect each other at my school.	0.0	1.8	52.7	45.5	0.0	55
12	Teachers at my school are recognized and appreciated for good work.	3.6	7.3	25.5	63.6	0.0	55
13	Students at my school are motivated and interested in learning.	0.0	1.8	41.8	56.4	0.0	55
14	There are sufficient materials and supplies available for classroom and instructional use.	0.0	3.6	23.6	70.9	1.8	55
15	Our school has a good selection of library and media material.	1.8	5.5	21.8	69.1	1.8	55
16	Our school has sufficient computers for instructional use.	1.8	9.1	30.9	58.2	0.0	55
17	Computers are used effectively for instruction at my school.	1.8	1.8	16.4	80.0	0.0	55
18	There are relevant professional development opportunities offered to teachers at my school.	1.8	1.8	30.9	65.5	0.0	55
19	The school administration communicates clear instructional goals for the school.	1.8	3.6	32.7	61.8	0.0	55
20	The school administration sets high standards for students.	0.0	14.5	14.5	70.9	0.0	55
21	The school administration has high expectations for teacher performance.	0.0	5.5	9.1	85.5	0.0	55
22	The school administration provides effective instructional leadership.	5.5	10.9	21.8	61.8	0.0	55
23	Student assessment information is used to set goals and plan programs for my school.	0.0	0.0	16.4	83.6	0.0	55
24	Teacher evaluation at my school focuses on instructional improvement.	0.0	1.8	25.5	72.7	0.0	55
25	School administrators visit classrooms to observe instruction.	1.8	7.3	27.3	63.6	0.0	55
26	The school administration arranges for collaberative planning and decision making.	0.0	9.1	30.9	58.2	1.8	55
27	I AM SATISFIED WITH THE LEARNING ENVIRONMENT IN MY SCHOOL.	3.6	7.3	27.3	61.8	0.0	55
28	The grounds around my school are kept clean.	0.0	5.5	38.2	56.4	0.0	55

Q#	QUESTION	DISAGREE	MOSTLY DISAGREE	MOSTLY AGREE	AGREE	DON'T KNOW	# of Responses
29	The hallways at my school are kept clean.	1.8	5.5	40.0	52.7	0.0	55
30	The bathrooms at my school are kept clean.	9.1	18.2	47.3	25.5	0.0	55
31	The school building is maintained well and repaired when needed.	3.6	5.5	36.4	54.5	0.0	55
32	There is sufficient space for instructional programs at my school.	0.0	3.6	27.3	69.1	0.0	55
33	Students at my school behave well in class.	0.0	3.6	65.5	30.9	0.0	55
34	Students at my school behave well in the hallways, in the lunchroom, and on school grounds.	3.6	9.1	65.5	21.8	0.0	55
35	Rules and consequences for behavior are clear to students.	7.3	30.9	32.7	29.1	0.0	55
36	The rules for behavior are enforced at my school.	3.6	5.5	34.5	56.4	0.0	55
37	The rules about how students should behave in my school are fair.	3.6	18.2	21.8	56.4	0.0	55
38	I have been bullied by an adult at this school.	76.4	5.5	3.6	5.5	9.1	55
39	I have been bullied by a student at this school.	72.7	9.1	0.0	9.1	9.1	55
40	My school or district provides me with training to assist in preventing and/or dealing with bullying.	21.8	29.1	32.7	16.4	0.0	55
41	I feel safe at my school before and after school hours.	1.8	0.0	21.8	76.4	0.0	55
42	I feel safe at my school during the school day.	0.0	3.6	16.4	80.0	0.0	55
43	I feel safe going to or coming from my school.	0.0	1.8	16.4	81.8	0.0	55
44	Students from different backgrounds get along well at my school.	0.0	1.8	49.1	49.1	0.0	55
45	Teachers and students get along well with each other at my school.	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	55
46	Teachers at my school collaborate for instructional planning.	0.0	16.4	32.7	50.9	0.0	55
47	I AM SATISFIED WITH THE SOCIAL AND PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT AT MY SCHOOL .	0.0	7.3	36.4	56.4	0.0	55
48	Parents at my school are aware of school policies.	0.0	7.3	29.1	63.6	0.0	55
49	Parents at my school know about school activities.	0.0	3.6	18.2	78.2	0.0	55
50	Parents at my school understand the school's instructional programs.	0.0	7.3	27.3	65.5	0.0	55
51	Parents at my school are interested in their children's schoolwork.	0.0	1.8	34.5	63.6	0.0	55
52	Parents at my school support instructional decisions regarding their children.	0.0	3.6	43.6	50.9	1.8	55
53	Parents attend conferences requested by teachers at my school.	0.0	1.8	34.5	61.8	1.8	55
54	Parents at my school cooperate regarding discipline problems.	0.0	10.9	54.5	32.7	1.8	55
55	Parents attend school meetings and other school events.	0.0	1.8	34.5	63.6	0.0	55
56	Parents participate as volunteer helpers in the school or classroom.	0.0	1.8	18.2	80.0	0.0	55
57	Parents are involved in school decisions through advisory committees.	0.0	1.8	29.1	69.1	0.0	55
58	I AM SATISFIED WITH HOME AND SCHOOL RELATIONS.	0.0	1.8	32.7	65.5	0.0	55
59	I have sufficient space in my classroom to meet the educational needs of my students.	0.0	9.1	23.6	65.5	1.8	55
60	My non-instructional duties do not interfere with my essential role of educating students.	5.5	18.2	45.5	29.1	1.8	55
61	I have access to reliable communication technology, including phone, fax, and e-mail.	0.0	5.5	16.4	78.2	0.0	55

Q#	QUESTION	DISAGREE	MOSTLY DISAGREE	MOSTLY AGREE	AGREE	DON'T KNOW	# of Responses
62	I feel supported by administrators at my school.	5.5	12.7	27.3	54.5	0.0	55
63	The faculty and staff at my school have a shared vision.	1.8	7.3	40.0	50.9	0.0	55
64	I am familiar with local, state, and national policies and how they affect teaching and learning.	0.0	1.8	29.1	69.1	0.0	55
65	Local, state, or national policies assist me in meeting the educational needs of my students.	3.6	10.9	25.5	60.0	0.0	55
66	The school leadership makes a sustained effort to address teacher concerns.	10.9	16.4	32.7	38.2	1.8	55
67	My decisions in areas such as instruction and student progress are supported.	1.8	3.6	32.7	61.8	0.0	55
68	Teachers at my school are encouraged to develop innovative solutions to problems.	0.0	1.8	20.0	78.2	0.0	55
69	I feel comfortable raising issues and concerns that are important to me.	10.9	21.8	30.9	36.4	0.0	55
70	Sufficient resources are available to allow teachers to take advantage of professional development activities	1.8	3.6	30.9	63.6	0.0	55
71	My class sizes allow me to meet the educational needs of my students.	1.8	5.5	40.0	47.3	5.5	55
72	I AM SATISFIED WITH MY CURRENT WORKING CONDITIONS.	0.0	12.7	32.7	54.5	0.0	55
Grand Total		4.0	6.5	29.3	59.5	0.7	55.0

2017-18 Student Opinion Survey

Fort Mill School District 4 Orchard Park Elementary School Values shown are percentages

Q#	QUESTION	DISAGREE	MOSTLY DISAGREE	MOSTLY AGREE	AGREE	# of Responses
1	My classes are challenging (not too easy; they make me think).	11.3	13.5	36.1	39.1	133
2	My teachers want me to understand what I am learning, not just remember facts.	3.8	5.3	32.3	58.6	133
3	My teachers expect students to learn.	2.3	2.3	22.7	72.7	132
4	My teachers expect students to behave.	0.8	2.3	28.0	68.9	132
5	My teachers spend enough time helping me learn.	8.3	9.0	30.1	52.6	133
6	My teachers help students when they do not understand something.	4.5	6.0	34.6	54.9	133
7	My teachers do a good job teaching me mathematics.	3.0	0.8	24.8	71.4	133
8	My teachers do a good job teaching me English language arts.	5.3	4.5	30.1	60.2	133
9	My teachers give tests on what I learn in class.	2.3	2.3	21.8	73.7	133
10	My teachers give homework assignments that help me learn better.	10.5	14.3	35.3	39.8	133
11	My classes are interesting and fun.	10.5	7.5	33.1	48.9	133
12	Students at my school believe they can do good work.	8.3	7.5	46.6	37.6	133
13	My teachers praise students when they do good work.	10.6	19.7	28.8	40.9	132
14	Work done by students can be seen on the walls of my school.	6.8	7.5	42.1	43.6	133
15	The textbooks and workbooks I use at my school really help me to learn.	26.3	15.8	28.6	29.3	133
16	The media center at my school has a good selection of books.	6.1	12.9	33.3	47.7	132
17	I use computers and other technology at my school to help me learn.	2.3	2.3	23.3	72.2	133
18	I AM SATISFIED WITH THE LEARNING ENVIRONMENT IN MY SCHOOL.	12.1	6.1	35.6	46.2	132
19	The grounds around my school are kept clean.	16.5	13.5	42.1	27.8	133
20	The hallways at my school are kept clean.	10.5	14.3	36.8	38.3	133
21	The bathrooms at my school are kept clean.	44.4	23.3	23.3	9.0	133
22	Broken things at my school get fixed.	9.8	10.5	30.1	49.6	133
23	There is enough room for students to learn at my school.	4.5	2.3	28.6	64.7	133
24	Students at my school behave well in class.	15.8	27.8	41.4	15.0	133
25	Students at my school behave well in the hallways, in the lunchroom, and on school grounds.	20.5	31.8	37.9	9.8	132
26	Students at my school know the rules and what happens when students break the rules.	6.0	11.3	33.8	48.9	133
27	The rules about how students should behave in my school are fair.	12.8	6.8	39.8	40.6	133
28	The rules for behavior are enforced at my school.	2.3	6.8	35.6	55.3	132
29	I feel safe at my school before and after school hours.	10.5	3.8	26.3	59.4	133

Q#	QUESTION	DISAGREE	MOSTLY DISAGREE	MOSTLY AGREE	AGREE	# of Responses
30	I feel safe at my school during the school day.	5.3	5.3	25.6	63.9	133
31	I feel safe going to or coming from my school.	3.8	5.3	25.6	65.4	133
32	Students from different backgrounds get along well at my school.	11.4	21.2	37.1	30.3	132
33	Teachers and students get along well with each other at my school.	9.0	9.8	44.4	36.8	133
34	Teachers work together to help students at my school.	6.0	9.8	29.3	54.9	133
35	My school has a variety of extracurricular activities for students.	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
36	I AM SATISFIED WITH THE SOCIAL AND PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT AT MY SCHOOL.	14.4	11.4	35.6	38.6	132
37	My parent knows what I am expected to learn in school.	6.0	8.3	23.3	62.4	133
38	My parent knows how well I am doing in school.	2.3	2.3	15.8	79.7	133
39	My school informs parents about school programs and activities.	3.0	6.8	32.6	57.6	132
40	Parents at my school know their children's homework assignments.	14.3	15.8	29.3	40.6	133
41	My parent helps me with my homework when I need it.	6.0	6.8	18.8	68.4	133
42	Parents are welcomed at my school.	3.0	3.0	25.0	68.9	132
43	Parents volunteer and participate in activities at my school.	7.6	4.5	21.2	66.7	132
44	I AM SATISFIED WITH HOME-SCHOOL RELATIONS.	13.6	6.8	30.3	49.2	132
45	I have seen or know of another student beng bullied.	37.9	12.9	14.4	34.8	132
46	I have been bullied at the school during the school day.	50.0	16.7	7.6	25.8	132
47	I have been bullied while going to or from school.	74.2	12.1	3.8	9.8	132
48	I have been bullied by someone from my school using a computer, a cell phone or other electronic devices.	81.1	9.8	4.5	4.5	132
49	Adults at my school prevent bullying from happening.	15.8	11.3	30.8	42.1	133
50	I can always go to adults at my school if I am being bullied.	7.6	7.6	21.2	63.6	132
51	An adult at my school has talked to me about bullying.	9.9	6.1	11.5	72.5	131
52	I have bullied another student at my school.	72.0	18.9	3.0	6.1	132
Grand						
Total		14.6	9.9	28.1	47.4	132.6

2017-18 Parent Opinion Survey

Fort Mill School District 4
Orchard Park Elementary School
Values shown are percentages

		STRONGLY			STRONGLY	DONT	
Q#	PLEASE MARK HOW MUCH YOU AGREE OR DISAGREE WITH THE FOLLOWING	DISAGREE	DISAGREE	AGREE	AGREE	KNOW	N
1	My child's teachers give homework that helps my child learn.	3.0	7.5	50.7	38.8	0.0	67
2	My child's school has high expectations for student learning.	1.5	3.0	35.8	59.7	0.0	67
3	My child's teachers encourage my child to learn.	1.5	0.0	23.9	73.1	1.5	67
4	My child's teachers provide extra help when my child needs it.	4.5	3.0	31.3	58.2	3.0	67
5	I AM SATISFIED WITH THE LEARNING ENVIRONMENT AT MY CHILD'S SCHOOL.	1.5	10.4	26.9	61.2	0.0	67
6	My child's teachers contact me to say good things about my child.	4.5	20.9	31.3	40.3	3.0	67
7	My child's teachers tell me how I can help my child learn.	6.0	9.0	46.3	35.8	3.0	67
8	My child's teachers invite me to visit my child's classrooms during the school day.	4.5	36.4	27.3	25.8	6.1	66
9	My child's school returns my phone calls or e-mails promptly.	0.0	1.5	43.3	52.2	3.0	67
10	My child's school includes me in decision-making.	3.1	13.8	36.9	30.8	15.4	65
11	My child's school gives me information about what my child should be learning in school.	1.5	6.1	45.5	42.4	4.5	66
12	My child's school considers changes based on what parents say.	1.5	10.8	32.3	18.5	36.9	65
13	My child's school schedules activities at times that I can attend.	1.5	7.7	60.0	29.2	1.5	65
14	My child's school treats all students fairly.	0.0	4.6	43.1	33.8	18.5	65
15	The principal at my child's school is available and welcoming.	4.5	6.1	42.4	40.9	6.1	66
16	I AM SATISFIED WITH HOME-SCHOOL RELATIONS AT MY CHILD'S SCHOOL.	0.0	3.1	44.6	41.5	10.8	65
17	My child's school is kept neat and clean.	1.5	3.0	43.9	50.0	1.5	66
18	My child's teachers care about my child as an individual.	0.0	4.5	27.3	62.1	6.1	66
19	Students at my child's school are well-behaved.	0.0	7.7	44.6	32.3	15.4	65
20	My child feels safe at school.	0.0	1.5	44.6	53.8	0.0	65
21	My child's teachers and school staff prevent or stop bullying at school.	0.0	4.5	47.0	30.3	18.2	66
22	My child's school has an anti-bullying program to prevent or deal with bullying.	0.0	6.2	35.4	35.4	23.1	65
23	I AM SATISFIED WITH THE SOCIAL AND PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT AT MY CHILD' S SCHOOL.	0.0	4.5	54.5	40.9	0.0	66
	The IGP conference was beneficial to my child as he/she prepares to be promoted to the next						
24	grade level.	0.0	0.0	12.5	10.0	77.5	40
	During the IGP conference, the counselors discussed my child's academic progress and his/her						
25	career goals.	0.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	80.0	40
26	I recommend that all parents/guardians attend IGP conferences with their children.	0.0	0.0	10.0	15.0	75.0	40
	Totals	1.6	6.8	36.6	39.3	15.8	63

			I DONT DO	I DONT DO	ACTIVITY	
	PLEASE TELL US IF YOU DO THE FOLLOWING	I DO THIS	BUT WOULD LIKE TO	& I DONT CARE TO	NOT OFFERED	N
27	Attend Open Houses or parent-teacher conferences	96.8	3.2	0.0	0.0	63
28	Attend student programs or performances	96.8	1.6	0.0	1.6	63
29	Volunteer for the school (bake cookies, help in office, help with school fund raising, etc.)	68.3	20.0	11.7	0.0	60
30	Go on trips with my child's school (out of town band contest, field trip to the museum, etc.)	55.7	34.4	9.8	0.0	61
31	Participate in School Improvement Council meetings.	6.3	46.0	42.9	4.8	63
32	Participate in Parent-Teacher-Student Organizations (PTA, PTO, etc.)	19.0	34.9	44.4	1.6	63
33	Participate in school committees (textbook committee, spring carnival committee, etc.) Attend parent workshops (how to help my child with school work, how to talk to my child	18.6	30.5	49.2	1.7	59
34	about drugs, effective discipline, etc.)	41.0	39.3	14.8	4.9	61
	Totals	50.3	26.2	21.6	1.8	63

			I DONT DO	I DONT DO	
		I DO	BUT WOULD	& DONT	
	PLEASE TELL US IF YOU DO THE FOLLOWING	THIS	LIKE TO	CARE TO	N
35	Visit my child's classrooms during the school day.	49.2	36.5	14.3	63
36	Contact my child's teachers about my child's school work.	95.2	1.6	3.2	63
37	Limit the amount of time my child watches TV, plays video games, surfs the internet, etc.	96.8	3.2	0.0	63
38	Make sure my child does his/her homework.	100.0	0.0	0.0	63
39	Help my child with homework when he/she needs it.	100.0	0.0	0.0	63
	Totals	88.2	8.3	3.5	63

PLEASE MARK IF EACH OF THE FOLLOWING IS TRUE OR FALSE	TRUE	FALSE	N
40 Lack of transportation reduces my involvement.	1.6	98.4	63
41 Family health problems reduce my involvement.	1.6	98.4	63
42 Lack of available care for my children or other family members reduces my involvement.	22.2	77.8	63
43 My work schedule makes it hard for me to be involved.	47.6	52.4	63
The school does not encourage my involvement.	3.2	96.8	63
45 Information about how to be involved either comes too late or not at all.	4.8	95.2	63
46 I don't feel like it is appreciated when I try to be involved.	0.0	100.0	63
Totals	11.6	88.4	63

		VERY				VERY	
	PLEASE RATE YOUR SCHOOL ON	GOOD	GOOD	OKAY	BAD	BAD	N
47	The school's overall friendliness.	58.7	22.2	15.9	3.2	0.0	63
48	The school's interest in parents' ideas and opinions.	33.9	27.4	33.9	4.8	0.0	62
49	The school's efforts to get important information from parents.	50.8	28.6	17.5	3.2	0.0	63
50	The school's efforts to give important information to parents.	58.7	23.8	15.9	1.6	0.0	63
	Totals	50.5	25.5	20.8	3.2	0.0	63

FORT MILL SCHOOLS

Executive Summary of Needs Assessment Data Findings

Executive Summary of Needs Assessment Data Findings (2018-19)

Per SBE Regulation 43-261, the annual needs assessment will provide focus for planning teams to set priorities for the plan. The comprehensive needs assessment must identify targeted areas of discrepancy between the desired performance levels and the current status as indicated by available data. Any discrepancies in the following areas identified by the school and district report cards must be included in the plan: (1) achievement, (2) achievement by subgroups, (3) graduation rates, (4) attendance, (5) discipline, (6) teacher/administrator quality and professional growth, and (7) other priority areas.

Measurable performance goals, written in five-year increments, shall be developed to address the major areas of discrepancy found in the needs assessment in key areas reported in the district and school report cards.

State Report Card for districts and schools data: http://ed.sc.gov/data/report-cards/state-report-cards/

Directions: In the appropriate boxes, use school data to identify areas in need of improvement. Required areas to be addressed: Student Achievement, Teacher/Administrator Quality, and School Climate.

This form was created inside of Fort Mill School District.

Google Forms

FORT MILL SCHOOLS

Performance Goals and Action Plans

	Orcha	rd Park Elemen	tary School Imp	rovement Plan 2	2016-2021		
Performance Goal Area:	X Student Achi	evement	District Goal 1: Te	aching and Learning			
	District Priori	ty	District Goal 2: Co	ntinuous Improvement			
	Teacher/Adn	inistrator Quality	District Goal 3: Le	adership and Commun	nication		
	School Clima	te	District Goal 4: Sa	fe, Supportive, Inviting	Schools		
	1 Read to S	ucceed: Leadership					
		ucceed: Student Outco	mes				
	3. Read to S	ucceed: Professional L	earning				
	4.Read to Su	cceed: Assessment Pl	an				
	5. Read to S	ucceed: Instructional P	lan				
	6. Read to S	ucceed: Parent and Fa	mily Involvement				
	7. Read to S	ucceed: District Comm	unity Partnerships				
Performance Goal 1.2: (Statement of desired progress or result over 5 years	No longer app	olicable					
Interim Performance Goal: (One year goal)		assessment will no using the MAP as			ore, this goal is no	longer active on οι	ır plan. New goals
Data Source(s): (List types of data that will be collected or examined to measure progress)	1						
Overall Measures	Average Baseline		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21
Source:	93.0%	Projected	93.5%	94.0%	94.5%	95.0%	95.5%
*Represents projections of improvement	93.0%	Actual	94.80%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Performance Goal Area:	X Student Achie	vement	District Goal 1: Te	aching and Learning			
	District Priority	/	District Goal 2: Co	ntinuous Improvement			
	Teacher/Adm	nistrator Quality	District Goal 3: Le	adership and Communi	cation		
	School Climat	е	District Goal 4: Sa	fe, Supportive, Inviting	Schools		
	1 Dood to Cu	cceed: Leadership					
		cceed: Leadership cceed: Student Outco					
		cceed: Student Odicc					
		cceed: Assessment P					
	5. Read to Su	cceed: Instructional P	lan				
	6. Read to Su	cceed: Parent and Fa	mily Involvement				
result over 5 years	By 2021, the a		idents in K-2 will c			Progress (MAP) an	owth targets (
Statement of desired progress or result over 5 years Interim Performance Goal (One year goal	By 2021, the above to spring) will i	chievement of studercentage of studencese by 2% ear	idents in K-2 will c ents in grades K-2 ach year.			Progress (MAP) gr	owth targets (
Statement of desired progress or result over 5 years Interim Performance Goal (One year goal	By 2021, the above By 2019, the point to spring) will improve Measures of A	chievement of studercentage of studencese by 2% ear	idents in K-2 will c ents in grades K-2			Progress (MAP) gr	owth targets (
Interim Performance Goal (One year goal Data Source(s) (List types of data that will be collected or examined to measure progress	By 2021, the above By 2019, the point to spring) will improve Measures of A	chievement of studercentage of studencese by 2% ear	idents in K-2 will c ents in grades K-2 ach year.			Progress (MAP) gr	
Statement of desired progress or result over 5 years Interim Performance Goal (One year goal Data Source(s) (List types of data that will be collected or examined to measure progress Overall Measures	By 2021, the assertion By 2019, the post to spring) will in the spring will in the spring will be asserted as a spring with the spring will be a spring will be a spring with the spring will be a spr	chievement of studercentage of studencese by 2% ear	idents in K-2 will c ents in grades K-2 ach year. s (MAP) Reading	meeting the Meas	ures of Academic I		
Statement of desired progress or result over 5 years Interim Performance Goal (One year goal Data Source(s) (List types of data that will be collected or examined to measure progress Overall Measures Source: MAP - Grade K	By 2021, the and the second se	chievement of studercentage of studencrease by 2% eacademic Progress	idents in K-2 will c ents in grades K-2 ach year. s (MAP) Reading 2016-17	meeting the Meas	ures of Academic 2018-19	2019-20	2020-21
Statement of desired progress or result over 5 years Interim Performance Goal (One year goal Data Source(s) (List types of data that will be collected or	By 2021, the assertion By 2019, the point to spring) will in the spring will in the spring will be asserted as a second of the spring will be asserted as a second of the spring will be asserted as a second of the spring will be asserted as a second of the spring will be asserted as a second of the spring will be asserted as a second of the spring will be asserted as a second of the spring will be asserted as a second of the spring will be as a	chievement of studercentage of studencrease by 2% eacademic Progress	ents in K-2 will conts in grades K-2 ach year. s (MAP) Reading 2016-17 N/A	2017-18 67.5%	ures of Academic 2018-19	2019-20	2020-21
Interim Performance Goal (One year goal (List types of data that will be collected or examined to measure progress Dverall Measures Source: MAP - Grade K Represents projections of improvement Source: MAP - Grade 1	By 2021, the assertion By 2019, the post to spring) will in the spring will in the spring will be asserted as a spring with the spring will be asserted as a spring with the spring will be asserted as a spring with the spring will be asserted as a spring with the spring will be asserted as a spring will be as a spring will be asserted as a spring will be a spring will be asserted as a spring will be as a spring will be asserted as a spring will be aspring will be as a spring will be as a spring will be as a spring	chievement of studercentage of studencrease by 2% eacademic Progress Projected Actual	ents in K-2 will contents in grades K-2 ach year. s (MAP) Reading 2016-17 N/A 65.5%	2017-18 67.5% 64.5%	2018-19 69.5%	2019-20 71.5%	2020-21 73.5%
Statement of desired progress or result over 5 years Interim Performance Goal (One year goal One year goal to be collected or examined to measure progress of data that will be collected or examined to measure progress overall Measures Source: MAP - Grade K *Represents projections of improvement*	By 2021, the assertion By 2019, the point to spring) will in the spring will in the spring will be asserted as a second of the spring will be asserted as a second of the spring will be asserted as a second of the spring will be asserted as a second of the spring will be asserted as a second of the spring will be asserted as a second of the spring will be asserted as a second of the spring will be asserted as a second of the spring will be as a	chievement of studercentage of studencrease by 2% eacademic Progress Projected Actual Projected	ents in K-2 will cents in grades K-2 ach year. s (MAP) Reading 2016-17 N/A 65.5% N/A	2017-18 67.5% 64.5% 56.8%	2018-19 69.5%	2019-20 71.5%	2020-21 73.5%

Performance Goal Area:	X Student Achie	vement	District Goal 1: Te	aching and Learning			
	District Priority	,	District Goal 2: Co	ntinuous Improvement			
	Teacher/Admi	nistrator Quality	District Goal 3: Le	adership and Communi	cation		
	School Climat	е	District Goal 4: Sa	fe, Supportive, Inviting	Schools		
	1 Pond to Su	cceed: Leadership					
		cceed: Student Outco					
		cceed: Orddon Odloo					
		ceed: Assessment Pl					
	5. Read to Su	cceed: Instructional P	lan				
	6. Read to Su	cceed: Parent and Fa	mily Involvement				
	_	1 5: 1 : 1 0					
result over 5 years	By 2021, the a		dents in K-2 will c				
Statement of desired progress or result over 5 years Interim Performance Goal:	By 2021, the a	chievement of stu	dents in K-2 will c			Progress (MAP) gr	owth targets (
Statement of desired progress or result over 5 years Interim Performance Goal: (One year goal)	By 2021, the a By 2019, the p to spring) will in Measures of A	chievement of stu	dents in K-2 will c ents in grades K-2 ich year.			Progress (MAP) gr	owth targets (f
Statement of desired progress or result over 5 years Interim Performance Goal: (One year goal Data Source(s): (List types of data that will be collected or examined to measure progress	By 2021, the a By 2019, the p to spring) will in Measures of A	chievement of stu ercentage of stud ncrease by 2% ea	dents in K-2 will c ents in grades K-2 ich year.			Progress (MAP) gr	owth targets (f
Statement of desired progress or result over 5 years Interim Performance Goal: (One year goal Data Source(s): (List types of data that will be collected or examined to measure progress Overall Measures	By 2021, the a By 2019, the p to spring) will in Measures of A Average Baseline	chievement of stu ercentage of stud ncrease by 2% ea	dents in K-2 will c ents in grades K-2 ich year. s (MAP) Math	meeting the Meas	ures of Academic I		
Interim Performance Goal: (One year goal Clist types of data that will be collected or examined to measure progress Dverall Measures Source: MAP - Grade K	By 2021, the a By 2019, the p to spring) will in Measures of A	chievement of stu ercentage of stud ncrease by 2% ea cademic Progress	dents in K-2 will cents in grades K-2 ach year. s (MAP) Math	meeting the Meas	ures of Academic I	2019-20	2020-21
Statement of desired progress or result over 5 years Interim Performance Goal: (One year goal) Data Source(s): (List types of data that will be collected or examined to measure progress) Overall Measures Source: MAP - Grade K *Represents projections of improvement	By 2021, the a By 2019, the p to spring) will in Measures of A Average Baseline 75.4%	chievement of studercentage of studercease by 2% eacademic Progress Projected	dents in K-2 will cents in grades K-2 ach year. (MAP) Math 2016-17 N/A	2017-18 77.4%	ures of Academic I	2019-20	2020-21
Statement of desired progress or result over 5 years Interim Performance Goal: (One year goal Data Source(s): (List types of data that will be collected or examined to measure progress Overall Measures Source: MAP - Grade K *Represents projections of improvement Source: MAP - Grade 1	By 2021, the a By 2019, the p to spring) will in Measures of A Average Baseline	chievement of studercentage of studercease by 2% eacademic Progress Projected Actual	dents in K-2 will cents in grades K-2 ach year. s (MAP) Math 2016-17 N/A 75.4%	2017-18 77.4% 88.3%	2018-19 90.3%	2019-20 92.3%	2020-21 94.3%
Statement of desired progress or result over 5 years Interim Performance Goal: (One year goal Data Source(s): (List types of data that will be collected or	By 2021, the a By 2019, the p to spring) will in Measures of A Average Baseline 75.4%	chievement of stuercentage of studencrease by 2% eacademic Progress Projected Actual Projected	dents in K-2 will cents in grades K-2 ach year. s (MAP) Math 2016-17 N/A 75.4% N/A	2017-18 77.4% 88.3% 68.9%	2018-19 90.3%	2019-20 92.3%	2020-21 94.3%

		Orchard	Park Element	ary School Imp	provement Plan 2	2016-2021			
Performance Goal Area:	Х	Student Achieve	ment	District Goal 1: Te	eaching and Learning				
		District Priority		District Goal 2: Co	ontinuous Improvement	:			
		Teacher/Adminis	strator Quality	District Goal 3: Le	adership and Commun	ication			
		School Climate		District Goal 4: Sa	afe, Supportive, Inviting	Schools			
1. Read to Succeed: Leadership									
	\		<u> </u>						
	<u> X</u>		eed: Student Outcor						
			eed: Professional Le						
	_		ed: Assessment Pla eed: Instructional Pl						
			eed: Parent and Far eed: District Commu	•					
5 (0 1/4		7. Read to Succ	eea. District Commi	unity Partnerships					
Performance Goal 1.3: (Statement of desired progress or result over 5 years)	Ву	2021, 94% of	f students in grad	des K-5 will score	at benchmark.				
Interim Performance Goal: (One year goal)	Ву	2019, 90% o	f students in grad	des K-5 will score	at benchmark.				
Data Source(s): (List types of data that will be collected or examined to measure progress)	Fo	ountas Pinnell							
Overall Measures		Average Baseline		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	
Source:		95 20/	Projected	86%	88%	90%	92%	94%	
*Represents projections of improvement		85.2%	Actual	84%	83%				

Performance Goal Area:	X Student Achie	vement	District Goal 1: Te	aching and Learning			
	District Priority	/		ontinuous Improvement			
		Teacher/Administrator Quality District Goal 3: Leadership and Communication					
	School Climat	e	District Goal 4: Sa	afe, Supportive, Inviting	Schools		
		cceed: Leadership					
		cceed: Student Outco					
		cceed: Professional L					
		cceed: Assessment P					
		cceed: Instructional P					
		cceed: Parent and Fa					
		cceed: District Comm	unity Partnerships				
Performance Goal 1.4							
(Statement of desired progress or result over 5 years	By 2021, 85.9	% of students in g	rades 3-5 will mee	standard on the SC et standard on the S	C Ready Assessn	nent for Math.	
(Statement of desired progress or result over 5	By 2021, 85.99 By 2019, 82%	% of students in g	rades 3-5 will mee		C Ready Assessne Ready Assessme	nent for Math. ent for English Lang	
(Statement of desired progress or result over 5 years Interim Performance Goal	By 2021, 85.96 By 2019, 82% By 2019, 81.96 SC Ready ELA	% of students in g of students in gra % of students in g	rades 3-5 will mee	et standard on the So	C Ready Assessne Ready Assessme	nent for Math. ent for English Lang	
(Statement of desired progress or result over 5 years Interim Performance Goal (One year goal Data Source(s) (List types of data that will be collected or examined to measure progress	By 2021, 85.99 By 2019, 82% By 2019, 81.99 SC Ready ELA	% of students in g of students in gra % of students in g	rades 3-5 will mee	et standard on the So	C Ready Assessne Ready Assessme	nent for Math. ent for English Lang	
(Statement of desired progress or result over 5 years Interim Performance Goal (One year goal Data Source(s) (List types of data that will be collected or examined to measure progress Overall Measures	By 2021, 85.96 By 2019, 82% By 2019, 81.96 SC Ready ELA SC Ready Man Average	% of students in g of students in gra % of students in g	rades 3-5 will meet des 3-5 will meet rades 3-5 will mee	et standard on the So standard on the So et standard on the So	C Ready Assessner Ready Assessme SC Ready Assessn	nent for Math. ent for English Lang nent for Math.	guage Arts.
Interim Performance Goal (One year goal (List types of data that will be collected or examined to measure progress Overall Measures Source: ELA	By 2021, 85.96 By 2019, 82% By 2019, 81.96 SC Ready ELA SC Ready Mat Average Baseline	% of students in g of students in gra % of students in g A th	rades 3-5 will meet des 3-5 will meet rades 3-5 will mee	et standard on the So standard on the So et standard on the So 2017-18	Ready Assessme Ready Assessme C Ready Assessme 2018-19	ent for Math. ent for English Langment for Math. 2019-20	guage Arts. 2020-21
(Statement of desired progress or result over 5 years Interim Performance Goal (One year goal) Data Source(s) (List types of data that will be collected or	By 2021, 85.96 By 2019, 82% By 2019, 81.96 SC Ready ELA SC Ready Mat Average Baseline	of students in gray of stu	rades 3-5 will meet des 3-5 will meet rades 3-5 will mee 2016-17 78.0%	et standard on the Sostandard on the Sos	Ready Assessme Ready Assessme C Ready Assessme 2018-19	ent for Math. ent for English Langment for Math. 2019-20	guage Arts. 2020-21

	Orchar						
Performance Goal Area:	X Student Achie			aching and Learning			
	District Priority			ntinuous Improvement			
		nistrator Quality		adership and Communi			
	School Climate	e	District Goal 4: Sa	fe, Supportive, Inviting	Schools 		
	1. Read to Suc	cceed: Leadership					
	2. Read to Suc	cceed: Student Outco	mes				
	3. Read to Suc	cceed: Professional L	earning				
	4.Read to Suc	ceed: Assessment Pl	an				
	5. Read to Suc	cceed: Instructional P	lan				
	6. Read to Suc	cceed: Parent and Fa	mily Involvement				
	7. Read to Sud	cceed: District Comm	unity Partnerships				
	D 0004 000/				cience Assessment		
(Statement of desired progress or result over 5 years Interim Performance Goal: (One year goal) Data Source(s):	By 2019, 89% By 2019, 94%	of students in gra of students in gra	des 5 will meet sta des 4 will meet sta	andard on PASS So	cial Studies Asses	ssment. t.	
Interim Performance Goal: (One year goal) Data Source(s): (List types of data that will be collected or	By 2019, 89% By 2019, 94%	of students in gra of students in gra	des 5 will meet sta des 4 will meet sta	andard on PASS So andard on PASS So	cial Studies Asses	ssment. t.	
Interim Performance Goal: (One year goal) Data Source(s):	By 2019, 89% By 2019, 94% PASS Science	of students in gra of students in gra	des 5 will meet sta des 4 will meet sta	andard on PASS So andard on PASS So	cial Studies Asses	ssment. t.	2020-21
Interim Performance Goal: (One year goal) Data Source(s): (List types of data that will be collected or examined to measure progress)	By 2019, 89% By 2019, 94% PASS Science PASS Social S Average	of students in gra of students in gra	des 5 will meet sta des 4 will meet sta des 5 will meet sta	andard on PASS So andard on PASS So andard on PASS So	cial Studies Assessment cience Assessment ocial Studies Asses	t. ssment.	2020-21 91.0%
Interim Performance Goal: (One year goal) Data Source(s): (List types of data that will be collected or examined to measure progress) Overall Measures	By 2019, 89% By 2019, 94% PASS Science PASS Social S Average	of students in gra of students in gra Studies	des 5 will meet sta des 4 will meet sta des 5 will meet sta 2016-17	andard on PASS So andard on PASS So andard on PASS So 2017-18	cial Studies Assession Assession Studies Assession Studies Assession 2018-19	esment. t. esment. 2019-20	
Interim Performance Goal: (One year goal) Data Source(s): (List types of data that will be collected or examined to measure progress) Overall Measures Source: Science	By 2019, 89% By 2019, 94% PASS Science PASS Social S Average Baseline	of students in gra of students in gra studies Projected	des 5 will meet sta des 4 will meet sta des 5 will meet sta 2016-17 91.0%	andard on PASS So andard on PASS So andard on PASS So andard on PASS So 2017-18 71.9%	cial Studies Assession Assession Studies Assession Studies Assession 2018-19	esment. t. esment. 2019-20	
Interim Performance Goal: (One year goal) Data Source(s): (List types of data that will be collected or examined to measure progress) Overall Measures Source: Science *Represents projections of improvement	By 2019, 89% By 2019, 94% PASS Science PASS Social S Average Baseline 90.3% Average	of students in gra of students in gra studies Projected	des 5 will meet sta des 4 will meet sta des 5 will meet sta 2016-17 91.0% 69.9%	andard on PASS So andard on PASS So andard on PASS So andard on PASS So 2017-18 71.9% 87.8%	cial Studies Assessment ocial Studies Assessme	2019-20 90.0%	91.0%

	Orch	ard Park Elem	entary School S	trategic Plan 201	16-2021		
Performance Goal Area:	X Student Achie	vement	District Goal 1: Te	aching and Learning			
	District Priority	/	District Goal 2: Co	ntinuous Improvement			
	Teacher/Admi	nistrator Quality	District Goal 3: Lea	District Goal 3: Leadership and Communication			
	School Climate	е	Schools				
	1. Read to Sur	cceed: Leadership					
	X 2. Read to Su	cceed: Student Outco					
		cceed: Professional L					
		cceed: Assessment P					
		cceed: Instructional F					
	\vdash	cceed: Parent and Fa					
		cceed: District Comm	Achievement Gap t				
Statement of desired progress or result over 5 years)	year.	ce the Achieveme	udents and non-Afri	can American stud	dents by 5% per		
Interim Performance Goal:							
	By 2018, deve	lop a method of n	neasuring the achie	evement gap in oui	r district to generate	baseline data.	
(One year goal)	•	•			r district to generate		dents by 5%.
(One year goal) Data Source(s): (List types of data that will be collected or examined to measure progress)	By 2019, reduce SC Ready Mat students scorir	the Achievement	ent Gap between A and SC PASS Scie	frican American stu	udents and non-Afri is defined as the d	can American stud	•
Data Source(s): (List types of data that will be collected or examined to measure progress)	By 2019, reduce SC Ready Mat students scorir	the Achievement	ent Gap between A and SC PASS Scie	frican American sto ence Grade 4. Gap	udents and non-Afri is defined as the d	can American stud	•
Data Source(s): (List types of data that will be collected or examined to measure progress) Dverall Measures	By 2019, reduce SC Ready Mat students scoring Average	the Achievement	ent Gap between A and SC PASS Scie r exceeds level on	frican American stuence Grade 4. Gap the identified asse	udents and non-Afri is defined as the d ssments.	can American stud	rcentage of
Data Source(s): (List types of data that will be collected or examined to measure progress) Dverall Measures Source: SC Ready Math	By 2019, reduce SC Ready Mat students scoring Average	the Achievementh Grades 3, 4, 5 and at the meets of	ent Gap between A and SC PASS Scie r exceeds level on 2016-17	frican American stu ence Grade 4. Gap the identified asse	udents and non-Afri is defined as the d ssments.	can American studifference in the per	centage of 2020-21
Data Source(s): (List types of data that will be collected or	By 2019, reduce SC Ready Mat students scoring Average Baseline	the Achievement of the Grades 3, 4, 5 and at the meets of the Projected	ent Gap between A and SC PASS Scient r exceeds level on 2016-17 N/A	frican American stu ence Grade 4. Gap the identified asse 2017-18 TBD	udents and non-Afri is defined as the d ssments.	can American studifference in the per	centage of 2020-21

Orchard Park Elementary School Improvement Plan

Goal Area:

Leadership and

Resources, Safety, Student Support



Orchard Park Staff will align the district's curriculum, instruction, and assessment system to ensure teacher effectiveness and maximize student learning.

Orchard Park teachers and staff will implement high quality instruction through common curriculum based standards, research-based instruction, and best practices that prepares students for college, careers, and citizenship.

Measurable Objective #1: By the end of the 2019-2020 school year, each grade level will develop essential standards and common pacing guides for all content areas.

Measurable Objective #1.	by the cha of the	the chart of the 2019-2020 school year, each grade level will develop essential standards and common pacing guides for an content areas.								
Action Steps	Start Date	End Date	Monitor	Person Responsible	Estimated Cost	Funding Source	Indicators of Implementation			
Meet in grade level PLCs to analyze standards and determine what is essential for children at each grade level.	Spring 2016	2017	Continued	Administrators, Reading Coach, Lead Teacher, Grade Level teachers	\$0.00	n/a	PLC Schedule PLC Meeting Notes Essential Standards for each grade level			
Develop grade level pacing guides to cover the South Carolina Career and College Readiness Standards.	Fall 2016	ongoing	Continued	Administrators, Reading Coach, Lead Teacher, Grade Level teachers	\$0.00	n/a	Grade Level Pacing Guides based on essential standards			
Provide regular opportunities for vertical alignment to discuss essential standards and grade level expectations.	Fall 2016	2017	Continued	Administrators, Reading Coach, Lead Teacher, Grade Level teachers	\$5,000.00	Professional Development Funds	Vertical Team Meeting Minutes			
Measurable Objective #2:	Each school year	, all teachers will	receive differer	ntiated professional o	levelopment to ensur	e high quality instruction an	d best practices.			
				Person						

Measurable Objective #2:	Each school year,	an teachers will	receive uniterer	mateu professionai e	icvelopinent to ensure	mgn quanty metruction an	u best practices.
Action Steps	Start Date	End Date	Monitor	Person Responsible	Estimated Cost	Funding Source	Indicators of Implementation
Develop school wide instructional expectations.	Spring 2016	2017	Completed	Administrators, Reading Coach, Lead Teacher, Grade Level teachers	\$0.00	n/a	Non-negotiables for Literacy Instruction Literacy Framework for Instruction
Develop a process for classroom observations and teacher feedback aligned with school wide expectations.	Fall 2016	ongoing	Continued	Administrators, Reading Coach, Lead Teacher, Grade Level teachers	\$0.00	n/a	Walkthrough Schedule Walkthrough results
Create long range professional development plans based on feedback from stakeholders, classroom observations, and student data.	Spring 2016	ongoing	Continued	Administrators, Reading Coach, Lead Teacher, Grade Level teachers	\$0.00	n/a	Grade Level Long Range Plans
Develop and implement a coaching program for all teachers to engage in student centered coaching focused on enhancing student performance based on data.	Spring 2016	ongoing	Continued	Administrators, Reading Coach, Lead Teacher	\$0.00	n/a	Coaching schedule Meeting minutes
Strategy 1.2:	Students at Orcha	ard Park will ach	ieve and grow o	on identified assessm	ent tools.		

Measurable Objective #1: By the end of the 2018-2019 school year, 70% of all students will meet growth targets on the Measures of Academic Progress (MAP) assessment in ELA.

				1 (13011			
Action Steps	Start Date	End Date	Monitor	Responsible	Estimated Cost	Funding Source	Indicators of Implementation

Orchard Park Elementary School Improvement Plan Leadership and X Teaching and Resources, Safety, Goal Area: Learning Improvment Communication Student Support Orchard Park Staff will align the district's curriculum, instruction, and assessment system to ensure teacher effectiveness and maximize student learning. Provide differentiated, core instruction in Fall 2016 ongoing Continued Administrators, \$0.00 n/a Lesson Plans Reading Coach, each classroom. Master Schedule Lead Teacher, Grade Level teachers Create a master schedule that provides time Spring 2016 Continued Administrators, Master Schedule ongoing \$0.00 n/a Eagle Time (Intervention & Enrichment) Reading Coach, for intentional Tier 2 and 3 instruction as well Lead Teacher, expectations as opportunities for enrichment. Grade Level teachers Provide students with problem based Fall 2016 ongoing Continued Administrators. \$0.00 n/a Master Schedule Reading Coach, Eagle Time (Intervention & Enrichment) learning and hands on opportunities through Lead Teacher, expectations STEAM initiatives. Grade Level teachers MTSS Meeting Schedule Student Support Team will meet regularly to Fall 2016 ongoing Modified Administrators, \$0.00 n/a support individual students through the Reading Coach, Meeting Notes Lead Teacher, MTSS process. Grade Level teachers Measurable Objective #2: By the end of the 2018-2019 school year, 70% of all students will meet growth targets on the Measures of Academic Progress (MAP) assessment in Math. Person **End Date** Responsible **Action Steps Start Date** Monitor **Estimated Cost Funding Source Indicators of Implementation** Administrators, Provide differentiated, core instruction in Fall 2016 Continued n/a Lesson Plans ongoing \$0.00 Reading Coach, each classroom. Master Schedule Lead Teacher, Grade Level teachers Administrators, Master Schedule Create a master schedule that provides time Spring 2016 Continued ongoing \$0.00 n/a Reading Coach, Eagle Time (Intervention & Enrichment) for intentional Tier 2 and 3 instruction as well Lead Teacher, expectations as opportunities for enrichment. Grade Level teachers Provide students with problem based Fall 2016 ongoing Continued Administrators, n/a Master Schedule \$0.00 Reading Coach, Eagle Time (Intervention & Enrichment) learning and hands on opportunities through Lead Teacher. expectations STEAM initiatives. Grade Level teachers Student Support Team will meet regularly to Fall 2016 Modified Administrators, MTSS Meeting Schedule ongoing \$0.00 n/a support individual students through the Reading Coach, Meeting Notes Lead Teacher, MTSS process. Grade Level teachers Measurable Objective #3: By the end of the 2018-2019 school year, 90% of all students will read on grade level texts as measured by the Fountas & Pinnell (F&P) reading assessment. Person **Action Steps Start Date End Date Monitor** Responsible **Estimated Cost Funding Source Indicators of Implementation**

		Orchard Pa	ark Eleme	entary School	Improveme	nt Plan	0. [2]
Goal Area:	X Teaching and Learning	Continuous Improvment		Leadership and Communication	Resources, Safety, Student Support		
Goal 1:	Orchard Park ensure teacher	Staff will align r effectiveness	the district's and maximiz	s curriculum, instr ze student learnin	ruction, and asses g.	sment system to	
A minimum of 90 minutes of ELA instruction will be provided each day	Fall 2016	ongoing	Continued	Administrators, Reading Coach, Lead Teacher, Grade Level teachers	\$0.00	n/a	Master Schedule
The balanced literacy model will be followed in each classroom	Fall 2016	ongoing	Continued	Administrators, Reading Coach, Lead Teacher, Grade Level teachers	\$0.00	n/a	Non-negotiables for Literacy Instruction Lesson Plans Framework for Literacy Instruction
Various small group instructional practices will be utilized to allow for differentiated instruction	Fall 2016	ongoing	Modified	Administrators, Reading Coach, Lead Teacher, Grade Level teachers	\$0.00	n/a	Non-negotiables for Literacy Instruction Lesson Plans Framework for Literacy Instruction

	Orchar	d Park Elementa	ary School Imp	rovement Plan 2	2016-2021		
Performance Goal Area:	Student Achiev	vement					
	X District Priority		District Goal 2: Cor	ntinuous Improvement			
	Teacher/Admir	nistrator Quality	District Goal 3: Lea	adership and Communi	cation		
	School Climate	•	District Goal 4: Saf	fe, Supportive, Inviting	Schools		
	1. Read to Suc	ceed: Leadership					
	2. Read to Suc	ceed: Student Outcon	nes				
	3. Read to Suc	ceed: Professional Le	arning				
	4.Read to Suc	ceed: Assessment Pla	n				
	5. Read to Suc	ceed: Instructional Pla	an				
	6. Read to Suc	ceed: Parent and Fan	nily Involvement				
	7. Read to Suc	ceed: District Commu	nity Partnerships				
Performance Goal 2.0: (Statement of desired progress or result over 5 years)	By 2021, Orcha Student Learni	ard Park Elementa ng and School Per	ry will answer "mo formance Survey	ost" for a minimum	of 5 of 6 questions	s on the Using Data	a to Improve
Interim Performance Goal: (One year goal)		ard Park Elementa ng and School Per			of 2 of 6 questions	s on the Using Data	a to Improve
Data Source(s): (List types of data that will be collected or examined to measure progress)		mprove Student L	earning and Scho	ol Performance			
Overall Measures	Average Baseline		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21
Source: % Completing Implementation Continuum	N/A	Projected	1 out of 6	2 out of 6	2 out of 6	4 out of 6	5 out of 6
*Represents projections of improvement		Actual	0 out of 6	1 out of 6	2 out of 6		

Orchard Park Elementary School Improvement Plan

Goal Area:

Learning

Teaching and X Continuous

Leadership and

Resources, Safety, Student Support



Orchard Park Staff will implement a comprehensive assessment system that generates a range of Goal 2: data about student learning and system effectiveness and will use results to guide continuous improvement.

Orchard Park teachers and staff will use formative and summative assessment data to guide classroom instructional practices, meet individual student needs, and **Strategy 2.1:** monitor student growth.

Measurable Objective #2.1: By the end of the 2019-2020 school year, 100% of the teachers at Orchard Park will use a clearly defined and comprehensive student assessment system with fidelity.

Start Date	End Date	Monitor	Person Responsible	Estimated Cost	Funding Source	Indicators of Implementation	
Fall 2016	ongoing	Continued	Administrators, Reading Coach, Lead Teacher, Grade Level teachers	\$0.00	n/a	OPES Assessment Schedule PLC Meeting Minutes	
Fall 2016	ongoing	Continued	Administrators, Reading Coach, Lead Teacher, Grade Level teachers	\$0.00	n/a	PLC Meeting Schedule & Minutes Data Wall	
Fall 2016	ongoing	Continued	Administrators, Reading Coach, Lead Teacher, Grade Level teachers	\$0.00	n/a	Common assessment file PLC Meeting Minutes Lesson Plans	
•	Fall 2016 Fall 2016	Fall 2016 ongoing Fall 2016 ongoing	Fall 2016 ongoing Continued Fall 2016 ongoing Continued	Fall 2016 ongoing Continued Administrators, Reading Coach, Lead Teacher, Grade Level teachers Fall 2016 ongoing Continued Administrators, Reading Coach, Lead Teacher, Grade Level teachers Fall 2016 ongoing Continued Administrators, Reading Coach, Lead Teacher, Grade Level teachers Fall 2016 ongoing Continued Administrators, Reading Coach, Lead Teacher, Grade Level	Start Date End Date Monitor Responsible Estimated Cost	Start Date End Date Monitor Responsible Estimated Cost Funding Source Fall 2016 ongoing Continued Administrators, Reading Coach, Lead Teacher, Grade Level teachers \$0.00 n/a Fall 2016 ongoing Continued Administrators, Reading Coach, Lead Teacher, Grade Level teachers \$0.00 n/a Fall 2016 ongoing Continued Administrators, Reading Coach, Lead Teacher, Grade Level teachers \$0.00 n/a	

Measurable Objective #2.2: By the end of the 2019-2020 school year, all grade levels will have consistent grading criteria and common assessments in all content areas.

Action Steps	Start Date	End Date	Monitor	Person Responsible	Estimated Cost	Funding Source	Indicators of Implementation
Collaborate to create common pre and post assessments in math & ELA and common post assessments in Science & Soc. St. to guide ongoing instruction and ensure student mastery of essential content standards.	Spring 2016	ongoing	Modified	Administrators, Reading Coach, Lead Teacher, Grade Level teachers	\$0.00	n/a	Common assessment file PLC Meeting Minutes Lesson Plans
Monitor student achievement by benchmarking student achievement at least three times a year in math & ELA.	Fall 2016	ongoing	Modified	Administrators, Reading Coach, Lead Teacher, Grade Level teachers	\$0.00	/	Common assessment file PLC Meeting Minutes Lesson Plans Grade Level Data Walls
Develop school wide grading practices to ensure consistency for all students.	Fall 2016	ongoing	Continued	Administrators, Reading Coach, Lead Teacher, Grade Level teachers	\$0.00	n/a	PLC Meeting Minutes

	Orchar	d Park Elemen	tary School Imp	provement Plan 2	2016-2021		
Performance Goal Area:	Student Achiev	vement	District Goal 1: Te	aching and Learning			
	District Priority	,	District Goal 2: Co	ontinuous Improvement			
	X Teacher/Admir	nistrator Quality	District Goal 3: Le	adership and Commun	ication		
	School Climate	е	District Goal 4: Sa	ife, Supportive, Inviting	Schools		
	—						
	1. Read to Suc	cceed: Leadership					
	2. Read to Sud	cceed: Student Outco	omes				
	3. Read to Suc	cceed: Professional L	earning				
		ceed: Assessment P					
		cceed: Instructional F					
		cceed: Parent and Facceed: District Comm					
Performance Goal 3.0: (Statement of desired progress or result over 5 years)	By 2021, 96.5% By 2021, 90% By 2021, 97%	% of parents indicat of parents indicat of teachers indica	cate they are satisfied they are	d with the home so fied with clear goal	o get information to hool relations (lead s (communication). Il leadership (leade	ership).	ication).
Interim Performance Goal: (One year goal)	By 2019, 88% By 2019, 95%	of parents indicat of teachers indica	te they are satisfie ated they are satis	d with the home sc fied with clear goal	o get information to hool relations (lead s (communication). Il leadership (leade	ership).	ication).
Data Source(s): (List types of data that will be collected or examined to measure progress)	1	acher State Surve	ey Data				
Overall Measures	Average Baseline		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21
Source: Effort to get information to parents question (#50) on SCDE parent survey.	89.3%	Projected	90%	95.0%	95.5%	96.0%	96.5%
*Represents projections of improvement		Actual	94.5	82.5%			
Source: Home school relations question (#16) on SCDE parent survey.	85.3%	Projected	86%	87%	88%	89%	90%
*Represents projections of improvement		Actual	83.8	86.1%			
Source: Clear goals question	70.40/	Projected	75%	80%	95%	96%	97%
(#19) on SCDE teacher survey.	72.1%						

Source: Instructional leadership question (#22) on SCDE teacher survey.	72.1%	Projected	75%	77%	85%	86%	87%
*Represents projections of improvement		Actual	70.8	83.6%			

Orchard Park Elementary School Improvement Plan

Goal Area:

Teaching and Learning

Improvment

X Leadership and Communication

Resources, Safety, Student Support



Goal 3: Orchard Park Staff will provide effective leadership and communication at all levels to promote student performance and school effectiveness.

Orchard Park Staff will maintain and communicate a shared purpose and direction that commit to high expectations for learning as well as shared values and beliefs about Strategy 3.1: teaching and learning.

By 2019-2020, the positive responses on student surveys (SC State Report Card) indicating satisfaction with home-school relations will meet or exceed 93% (Baseline -Measurable Objective #3.1: 91%). The positive responses on parent surveys indicating satisfaction with home-school relations will meet or exceed 75% (Baseline - 70%).

measurable objective "Jiii	Measurable Objective #3.1: 91%). The positive responses on parent surveys indicating satisfaction with nome-school relations will meet of exceed 75% (baseline - 70%).						
Action Steps	Start Date	End Date	Monitor	Person Responsible	Estimated Cost	Funding Source	Indicators of Implementation
Develop a systematic process for reviewing, revising, and communicating the school's mission and commitments annually to stakeholders.	Fall 2016	Spring 2017	Completed	Administrators, Reading Coach, Lead Teacher, Grade Level teachers	\$0.00	n/a	OPES Leadership Team minutes
Plan events throughout the year focused on our mission statement and commitments.	Spring 2016	ongoing	Continued	Administrators, Reading Coach, Lead Teacher, Grade Level teachers	\$0.00	n/a	OPES School Calendar Agenda items
Strengthen teacher and staff understanding of Professional Learning Communities through ongoing professional development.	Spring 2016	ongoing	Continued	Administrators, Reading Coach, Lead Teacher, Grade Level teachers	\$1,000.00	Professional Development Funds	PLC Agenda items & minutes
Provide consistent opportunities for vertical planning to discuss student performance and school effectiveness.	Fall 2016	ongoing	Continued	Administrators, Reading Coach, Lead Teacher, Grade Level teachers	\$2,500.00	Professional Development Funds	OPES Staff Calendar PLC Meeting Schedule
Create long range plans for professional development based on our school improvement plan.	Fall 2016	ongoing	Continued	Administrators, Reading Coach, Lead Teacher, Grade Level teachers	\$0.00	n/a	PD Schedule PD Agendas

Performance Goal Area:	Student Achiev	vement	District Goal 1: Te	aching and Learning			
	District Priority		District Goal 2: Co	ntinuous Improvement			
	Teacher/Admir	nistrator Quality	District Goal 3: Le	adership and Communi	cation		
	X School Climate	•	District Goal 4: Sa	fe, Supportive, Inviting	Schools		
	1. Read to Suc	cceed: Leadership					
	2. Read to Suc	cceed: Student Outco	omes				
		cceed: Professional L					
		ceed: Assessment P					
		5. Read to Succeed: Instructional Plan					
		cceed: Parent and Facceed: District Comm	•				
Performance Goal 4.1:		ositive responses	<u> </u>	s indicating satisfa	ction with social ar	nd physical environ	ment will meet or
	exceed 95%.	ositive responses	s on student survey	s indicating satisfa			
Statement of desired progress or result over 5 years) Interim Performance Goal:	exceed 95%. By 2021, the poor exceed 98.3 By 2019, the poexceed 93%.	ositive responses sositive responses	s on student surveys on parent surveys on student survey		tion with the socia	and physical environ	ronment will mee
Statement of desired progress or result over 5 years) Interim Performance Goal:	exceed 95%. By 2021, the poor exceed 98.3 By 2019, the poexceed 93%. By 2019, the poor exceed 97.9	ositive responses %. ositive responses ositive responses %.	s on student surveys s on parent surveys s on student surveys s on parent surveys	s indicating satisfactors indicating satisfactors indicating satisfactors	ction with the social ar	and physical environ and physical environ	ronment will mee iment will meet or ronment will mee
Interim Performance Goal: (One year goal) Data Source(s): (List types of data that will be collected or examined to measure progress)	exceed 95%. By 2021, the poor exceed 98.3 By 2019, the poexceed 93%. By 2019, the poor exceed 97.9	ositive responses %. ositive responses ositive responses %.	s on student surveys s on parent surveys s on student surveys s on parent surveys	s indicating satisfactors indicating satisfactors indicating satisfactors	ction with the social ar	and physical environ and physical environ	ronment will meet iment will meet or ronment will meet
Interim Performance Goal: (One year goal) Data Source(s): (List types of data that will be collected or examined to measure progress) Overall Measures	exceed 95%. By 2021, the poor exceed 98.3 By 2019, the poor exceed 93%. By 2019, the poor exceed 97.9 Satisfaction with Average Baseline	ositive responses %. ositive responses ositive responses %.	s on student surveys s on parent surveys s on student surveys s on parent surveys sical environment	s indicating satisfactors in indicating satis	ction with the social artion with the social social couth Carolina Stat	and physical environ and physical environ and physical environ	ment will meet or ronment will meet or ronment will meet on the meet of the me
Interim Performance Goal: (One year goal) Data Source(s): (List types of data that will be collected or examined to measure progress) Overall Measures Source: Student survey (#36)	exceed 95%. By 2021, the poor exceed 98.3 By 2019, the poor exceed 93%. By 2019, the poor exceed 97.9 Satisfaction with	ositive responses %. ositive responses ositive responses %. th social and phy	s on student surveys s on parent surveys s on student surveys s on parent surveys sical environment	s indicating satisfactors indicating satisfactors indicating satisfactors indicating satisfactors are satisfactors. 2017-18	ction with the social artion with social artion with the social couth Carolina Stat	and physical environ and physical environ and physical environ e Survey for studer	ronment will meet or ronment will meet or ronment will meet onto the comment will meet on the comment will be comment with the comment wi
Statement of desired progress or result over 5 years) Interim Performance Goal: (One year goal) Data Source(s):	exceed 95%. By 2021, the poor exceed 98.3 By 2019, the poor exceed 93%. By 2019, the poor exceed 97.9 Satisfaction with Average Baseline	ositive responses %. ositive responses ositive responses %. th social and phy	s on student surveys s on parent surveys s on student surveys s on parent surveys sical environment 2016-17 87.0%	rs indicating satisfactors ind	ction with the social artion with social artion with the social couth Carolina Stat	and physical environ and physical environ and physical environ e Survey for studer	ronment will meet or ronment will meet or ronment will meet onto the comment will meet on the comment will be comment with the comment wi

	Orchar	d Park Elemen	tary School Imp	rovement Plan 2	2016-2021		
Performance Goal Area:	Student Achiev	vement	District Goal 1: Te	aching and Learning			
	District Priority	•	District Goal 2: Co	ntinuous Improvement			
	Teacher/Admir	nistrator Quality	District Goal 3: Le	adership and Communi	cation		
	X School Climate	е	District Goal 4: Sa	fe, Supportive, Inviting	Schools		
	1. Read to Suc	cceed: Leadership					
	2. Read to Suc	cceed: Student Outco	mes				
	3. Read to Suc	cceed: Professional L	earning				
	4.Read to Suc	ceed: Assessment Pl	an				
	5. Read to Suc	cceed: Instructional P	lan				
		cceed: Parent and Fa					
	7. Read to Suc	cceed: District Comm	unity Partnerships				
Performance Goal 4.2: (Statement of desired progress or result over 5 years4.	93%.			s indicating satisfa			
Interim Performance Goal: (One year goal)	91%.			s indicating satisfa			
Data Source(s): (List types of data that will be collected or examined to measure progress)	Learning enviro	onment question t	from the South Ca	rolina State Survey	for students and p	parents.	
Overall Measures	Average Baseline		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21
Source: Student survey (#18)	00.00/	Projected	89%	90%	91%	92%	93%
*Represents projections of improvement	88.2%	Actual	83.2%	81.8%			
Source: Parent survey (#5)	07.00/	Projected	97.5%	97.7%	97.9%	98.1%	98.3%
*Represents projections of improvement	97.3%	Actual	90.5	88.1%	1	1	

Orchard Park Elementary School Improvement Plan

Goal Area:

Teaching and Learning

Improvment

Communication

Leadership and X Resources, Safety, Student Support



Goal 4: Orchard Park will provide exemplary resources and supportive services throughout its entire organization to create an inspiring, learning environment for all our students.

Orchard Park administration, teachers and staff will provide, coordinate and evaluate the effectiveness of support systems to meet the physical, social, and emotional Strategy 4.1: needs of all students.

By 2019-2020, the positive responses on student surveys (SC State Report Card) indicating satisfaction with the social and physical environment will meet or exceed 75% Measurable Objective #4.1: (Baseline - 71%). The positive responses on parent surveys indicating satisfaction with the social and physical environment will meet or exceed 93% (Baseline - 90%).

Measurable Objective #4.1.	(Dascillic - /1/0).	The positive res	ponses on parer	it surveys maicating	satisfaction with the	social and physical chyllolin	tent win meet of exceed 93% (baseline - 90%).
Action Steps	Start Date	End Date	Monitor	Person Responsible	Estimated Cost	Funding Source	Indicators of Implementation
Continue to provide a clear referral process for guidance.	Spring 2016	ongoing	Continued	Guidance Counselors	\$0.00	n/a	Guidance Referral Process
Continued implementation of the PRIDE matrix.	Spring 2016	ongoing	Continued	Administrators, Reading Coach, Lead Teacher, Grade Level teachers	\$0.00	n/a	OPES PRIDE Matrix Eagle Ticket
Strengthen teacher and staff understanding of Professional Learning Communities through ongoing professional development.	Spring 2016	ongoing	Continued	Administrators, Reading Coach, Lead Teacher, Grade Level teachers	\$1,000.00	Professional Development Funds	PLC Meeting Agendas & Minutes
Maintain high expectations for students and staff.	Spring 2016	ongoing	Continued	Administrators, Reading Coach, Lead Teacher, Grade Level teachers	\$0.00	n/a	Student and Staff Handbook
Explore and implement a school wide behavior system to promote a positive school climate.	Fall 2016	Fall 2017	Continued	Administrators, Reading Coach, Lead Teacher, Grade Level teachers	\$2,500.00	Professional Development Funds	New behavior programs

R2S Exemplary Literacy Reflection Tool 2018-19

Please respond to each item with (1)Rarely, (2)Sometimes, or (3)Routinely Email address * johnsonm@fortmillschools.org School Name * **OPES** This school documents and monitors the reading and writing assessment and instruction planned for all students and the interventions provided to all struggling readers who are not able to comprehend grade-level texts. A1 * Teachers use a comprehensive formative assessment system. 1 2 3 Rarely Routinely A2 * Teachers make instructional decisions for students based on data. 2 3 **(** Rarely Routinely A3 * Teachers work together in teams to collect and analyze data, establish goals and look-fors for students, and create action plans for students. 1 2 3 Rarely Routinely A4 * Teachers collect and analyze data to determine targeted, effective in-class intervention. 2 1 Routinely Rarely

В

D

This school provides supplemental instruction by teachers who have a literacy teacher add-on endorsement and is offered during the school day and, as appropriate, before or after school in book clubs, through a summer reading camp, or both.

B1 * Teachers notice, teach, and prompt	for use of strategic reading behav	riors.		
	1	2	3	
Rarely	0	\circ	•	Routinely
B2 * Teachers and students collaborate t	o cot monourable chart-torm good	le aimed at growing students' recession	ling behaviors and make etratogic	o plane outlining how those goals
will be accomplished.	o set measurable short term goal	is aimed at growing students reac	ing benaviors and make strategr	c plans outlining now these goals
	1	2	3	
Rarely	\circ	•	\circ	Routinely
B3 * Teachers provide targeted, effective daily reading and writing instruction.		provide individual and small-group	o instruction; and must be 30 mir	nutes in addition to 90 minutes of
	1	2	3	
Rarely	0	•	0	Routinely
C				
This school utilizes a system for I	nelping parents understand how	v they can support the student a	as a reader at home.	
C1 * Feachers provide opportunities for p	parent involvement with literacy de	evelopment including parent work	shops, parent conferences, and n	ewsletters.
	1	2	3	
Rarely	\circ	\circ	•	Routinely

This school provides for the reading and writing achievement and growth at the classroom, school, and district levels with decisions about intervention based on all available data.

	1	2	3	
Rarely	0	0	•	Routinely
*	ent in reading and writing and us	e this data to confer with students		
iers monitor stadent engagem	1	2	3	
Rarely	0	0	•	Routinely
k	ianaca (litarary tauta and informa	ational toyto) and Charad Writing to	aceffold student success and but	uid fluorey
ers use snared Reading Exper	1	ational texts) and Shared Writing to 2	3	ши пиенсу.
Rarely	\circ	•	\circ	Routinely
;				
ers use shared writing experie	nces to scaffold student succes	s and build fluency.	3	
Rarely	\circ	•	\circ	Routinely
·				
ers teach, guide, and support	students in how to independently	use strategies to construct meani 2	ng and monitor deep understand 3	ings using challenging texts.
Rarely	0	0	•	Routinely
r			alter contains the	
ers racilitate interactions so ti	at students are productively and	I actively engaged in constructing r	neaning by reading, writing, lister	ning, speaking, and inquiring.
Rarely	0	•	\circ	Routinely
k				
ers provide opportunities for s line values and uses. (McCon		tual knowledge in a discipline by u	sing the habits of reading, writing	, talking, and thinking, which th
	1	2	3	

Untitled Section

D8 * Teachers use the South Carolina Coll	ege and Career Ready Standard	ls when planning instruction		
	1	2	3	
Rarely		\bigcirc	(a)	Routinely
raiciy	O	O		redutiely
E				
This school ensures that students	are provided with wide select	tions of texts over a wide range o	f genres and written on a wide	range of reading levels to match
the reading levels of students.	·	Ţ		3 3
E1 * Teachers provide students choice in v	what they read, write, and resea	ırch.		
,	1	2	3	
Rarely	\circ	0	(a)	Routinely
•				•
E2 *				
The teachers monitor reading and wr	iting engagement and use that	data to conference with students wh	nen needed to increase reading a	and writing volume.
		2		
Rarely	O	O	•	Routinely
E3 *				
Teachers reflect on and eliminate act	ivities that interfere with text re			
	1	2	3	
Rarely	\circ	\circ	•	Routinely
E 4 *				
E4 * Teachers establish and directly teach	routines and procedures, so th	at students know what to do in orde	r to maximize time.	
	1	2	3	
Rarely	\circ	\circ	•	Routinely
E5 * Teachers ensure there are ample text	s (both informational and litera	ry) and other materials available in t	heir classrooms.	
	1	2	3	
Rarely	0	0	•	Routinely
•			_	·

F				
This school provides teacher and	administrator training in readir	ng and writing instruction.		
F1 * Teachers participate in professional collaborative planning, and peer coac		data through, study groups, collab	oration with school coach, book	clubs, teacher action research,
	1	2	3	
Rarely	\circ	\circ	•	Routinely
F2 * Administrators participate in profess Collaboration with school coach, Boo		in and outside the school based or	n personal needs and/or school-	wide data: Study groups,
	1	2	3	
Rarely	0	0	•	Routinely
G				
This school develops strategically community partners and school m			s organizations, volunteers, so	cial service organizations,
G1 * Teachers and/or schools participate volume of reading in the community				
,	1	2	3	
Rarely	\circ	•	\circ	Routinely
G2 * Specific actions are taken to foster p	artnerships.			
	1	2	3	
Rarely	\circ	\circ		Routinely

Н

This school embeds practices reflective of an exemplary literacy-rich environment.

	1	2	3	
Rarely	\circ	•	0	Routinely
rs integrate content-specific and writers.	reading, writing, & researching in	to ELA to provide the authentic exp	periences necessary to become r	nore proficient researchers and
	1	2	3	
Rarely	0	\circ	•	Routinely
re provide large blocks of tim	na for instruction & practica for c	tudents to sustain work on reading	writing and researching	
To provide large blooks of this	1	2	3	
Rarely	\circ	\circ	•	Routinely
rs ensure texts and material	s are organized and easily access	sible by students.		
	1	2	3	
Rarely	0	\circ	•	Routinely
rs ensure texts and other ma	aterials are appropriate for the rea	aders and writers in their classroor 2	ns. 3	
Rarely	\circ	\circ	•	Routinely
rs prominently display artifac	cts reflective of student learning.			
	1	2	3	
Rarely	0	\circ	•	Routinely
rs immerse students in print	-rich environments.			
	1	2	3	
Rarely	\circ	\circ	•	Routinely

			-
Δna	lveie	OŤ.	I)ata

Strengths *
Provide strong professional development opportunities to further teacher growth.
Provide students time to read daily and provide students' access to various types of text.
Possibilities for Growth *
Consistency in collaborative unit planning, implementation and assessment in the areas of reading and writing.
Continued learning of small group instruction.
Goals and Action Steps Based on Analysis of Data
Goal 1 *
In 2019-2020 school year 100% of teachers will implement a common curriculum in reading and writing to provide consistency across grade levels to support student learning.
grade revers to support student rearning.
Goal 1 - Action Steps *
Define a common reading and writing curriculum.
Provide time and support for grade level unit planning based on team long range plans. Continued professional development opportunities. (coaching cycles, peer classroom observations, weekly planning time, etc.)
Provide instructional resources if needed to support curriculum. Continue to use use and analyze common assessments to measure student achievement and growth.
Goal 2 (Optional)
Goal 2 - Action Steps (Optional)
Goal 3 (Optional)

Goal 3 - Action Steps (Optional)

This form was created inside of Fort Mill School District.

Google Forms