

Lester B. Sommer Elementary 6243 Principal: Suzanne Gephart


North Montgomery Community School Corporation

2020-2023 School Year 3-Year Continuous Plan School Improvement Plan

A school improvement plan (SIP) is a road map that identifies the changes a school needs to make to improve its level of student achievement. School improvement plans are selective, strategic plans based on the analysis of multiple forms of data through a root cause analysis to develop actionable goals. The SIP spells out how and when these changes will be made.

North Montgomery Community School Corporation (NMCSC) worked with partners to develop a common comprehensive, research-based template, framework, and process for all schools to follow in the development of their school improvement plans. The purpose of this common template, framework, and processes is to ensure consistent planning, development and communication of the improvement process and plan.

The continuous improvement process identified in the graphic below outlines the processes that North Montgomery Community School Corporation traveled through in the development of their plans. All the district's administrators gathered for two-full days of professional development on the common template, framework and process. The administrators were trained in and conducted a comprehensive root cause analysis after completing a data dashboard which included the following data points: attendance, behavior, observation and academic. School teams dug through attendance data by trimester, identified the number and percentage of referrals, In-School suspension and Out-of-School suspension, reviewed averages of classroom observations, and analyzed llearn, NWEA, and graduation rate.



After conducting the root cause analysis of the following 4 types of data, each school identified 3 smart goals in the areas of attendance, behavior, and academics. Once the smart goals were identified, school teams created objectives; data based rationales, strategies, activities, and target groups, and actions steps. Each action step identified person(s) responsible, evidence of success, possible obstacles and possible solutions. These comprehensive data-driven plans will drive improvement for not only teachers, staff and other stakeholders; it will increase learning and academic achievement for all the students of North Montgomery Community School Corporation.


| School: Lester B. Somme | er Elementary | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|--|---|----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| June _2023 MEAS | SURABLE OUTCOME: An inc | rease in proficiency of 9% | 6 on the State Assessment in | n English/Language | | | | | |
| Arts (3-5) | | | | | | | | | |
| Objective | Sommer Elementary will a Arts(3-5) 41.3 to 44.3 by N | | rease in proficiency rates fo | r English/Language | | | | | |
| Data-Based Rationale | | In school year 2018-19 overall 41.3% proficiency rate 3-5, 3rd grade had a 34 % proficiency rate, 4 th grade had a 39% proficiency rate and 5 th grade had a 52% proficiency rate. | | | | | | | |
| Strategy | | | g objectives. These objective aligned to the standard bas | | | | | | |
| Activity | | walk-through data | ⊠Intervention Level | | | | | | |
| | 2. Informal/Forma | l classroom | ⊠Classroom Level | | | | | | |
| | observations | | ⊠School Level | | | | | | |
| | 3. Lesson Plans | | ☐ District Level | | | | | | |
| | 4. Posted Lesson C | • | | | | | | | |
| | 5. Professional Dev | • | | | | | | | |
| Targeted Group | Average and High Averag | e students based on NW | EA Reading scores. | | | | | | |
| Choose an item. | Person Responsible | Evidence of Success | Possible Obstacles | Possible Solutions | | | | | |
| Action Steps | | | | | | | | | |
| Monthly Data Analysis | Grade level teams, | NWEA growth | Matching classroom | Monitoring pre and | | | | | |
| | Instructional Coach, | Progress Monitoring | rigor and pace with the | post testing of End | | | | | |
| | Special Services | growth | curriculum pacing | of Unit exams | | | | | |
| | Teachers | | guide | | | | | | |
| | | | 5 111 01 1 | | | | | | |
| Choose an item. | Person Responsible | Evidence of Success | Possible Obstacles | Possible Solutions | | | | | |
| Action Steps | | | | | | | | | |
| Classroom | Administrator, | Documented Effective | Timing | Daily, Weekly, | | | | | |
| Observation/Evaluatio | Instructional Coach | or Highly Effective | | Monthly | | | | | |
| n | | marks in the RISE TER | | Observations | | | | | |
| | | in Domain 1 and 2 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Choose an item. | Person Responsible | Evidence of Success | Possible Obstacles | Possible Solutions | | | | | |
| Action Steps | . c.com neoponoioie | 21.361166 01 3466633 | . Combie Obstacles | . 5551516 5514615115 | | | | | |
| PD Plan | Administrator, | Instructional Growth | Cost/Time | Create a specific | | | | | |
| | Instructional Coach | (RISE TER) | 2239 | Budget based | | | | | |
| | cr detrorial coderi | (52 / 2.1.) | | on need | | | | | |
| | | | | on neca | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| | | ease in overall writing p | proficiency by .9 points on the | he State Assessment | | | | | |
|----------------------|--|---|---------------------------------|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | on ILEARN Rubric (3-5) | | | | | | | | |
| Objective | - | | ncrease in proficiency rates | | | | | | |
| | | organization/purpose per the ILEARN writing rubric in grades 3-5 on ILEARN English/Language | | | | | | | |
| D . D . ID .: 1 | Arts for the 2020-2021 sc | • | | | | | | | |
| Data-Based Rationale | In school year 2018-19 overall organization/purpose score average is 1.69 points for grades 3-5. 3rd grade had a score of 1.57 points, 4th grade had a score of 1.79 points, 5th grade had a score of | | | | | | | | |
| | | .57 points, 4" grade nad | a score of 1.79 points, 5" g | rade nad a score of | | | | | |
| Chunhami | 1.99 points. 1. Teachers have of | loon objectives that will | ha anasifia waasuushla usa | olistia and timalu and | | | | | |
| Strategy | | he standard-based curric | be specific, measurable, rea | alistic and timely and | | | | | |
| Activity | • | walk-through data | ⊠Intervention Level | | | | | | |
| Activity | 2. Informal/Forma | J | ⊠ Classroom Level | | | | | | |
| | observations | ii ciussi ooni | School Level | | | | | | |
| | 3. Lesson Plans | | □ District Level | | | | | | |
| | 4. Posted Lesson C | Objectives | District Level | | | | | | |
| | 5. Professional De | velopment | | | | | | | |
| Targeted Group | Students scoring below p | roficiency on the ILEARN | assessment on the ELA poi | rtion. | | | | | |
| | Any student scoring less t | than 80 th percentile (Hig | h) on ELA portion of NWEA | in grades K-3 | | | | | |
| Choose an item. | Person Responsible | Evidence of Success | Possible Obstacles | Possible Solutions | | | | | |
| Action Steps | | | | | | | | | |
| Monthly Data | Grade level team, | Write from the | Time | Monitoring lesson | | | | | |
| Analysis | Special Services Team, | Beginning student | Interrater Reliability | planning and | | | | | |
| | Instructional Coach and | artifacts and rubrics | | pacing of the | | | | | |
| -1 | Administrator | | | curriculum guide | | | | | |
| Choose an item. | Person Responsible | Evidence of Success | Possible Obstacles | Possible Solutions | | | | | |
| Action Steps | | D 1 1500 11 | | 5 1 14 11 | | | | | |
| Classroom | Administrator, | Documented Effective | Timing | Daily, Weekly, | | | | | |
| Observation/Teacher | Instructional Coach | or Highly Effective | | Monthly schedules | | | | | |
| Evaluation | | marks in the RISE TER in Domain 1 and 2 | | | | | | | |
| Choose an item. | Person Responsible | Evidence of Success | Possible Obstacles | Possible Solutions | | | | | |
| Action Steps | i craori neaportaible | LVIGETICE OF SUCCESS | 1 Ossible Obstacles | 1 033IDIE 30IULIONS | | | | | |
| PD Plan | Administrator, | Instructional Growth | Cost/Time | Create a budget to | | | | | |
| 1 D T Idili | Instructional Coach | (RISE TER) | Possible cost and | cover PD cost | | | | | |
| | 3.1.2.1.2.1.2.1.20 | (, | teacher out of the | | | | | | |
| | | | building | | | | | | |
| | | | Time | | | | | | |

| School: Lester B. Somm | er Elementary | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| June_2023MEASUR | ABLE OUTCOME: 98.5 % At | tendance Rate | | | | | | | |
| Objective | Sommer Elementary will a | | | | | | | | |
| Data-Based Rationale | | In school year 2018-19 Sommer Elementary had an average Attendance Rate of 96.2 For school year 2019-20 Sommer Elementary will achieve 96.7 average Attendance rate. | | | | | | | |
| Strategy | Sommer Elementary will communicate the importance of student attendance and the effects of absences on student achievement. Sommer Elementary will provide a social/emotional network to support students and families. | | | | | | | | |
| Activity | attendance | ut data on nity support to Il ensure student r desire for students | | | | | | | |
| Targeted Group | The bottom 10% of absentee students in grades pre-k-5 th . | | | | | | | | |
| Choose an item. Action Steps | Person Responsible | Evidence of Success | Possible Obstacles | Possible Solutions | | | | | |
| Create a Focus Group based on past data | Administrator, School Nurse, School Secretary, grade level teachers | Attendance Rate increases | Time to collect data and analyze it | Plan for work time with specific dates | | | | | |
| Choose an item. Action Steps | Person Responsible | Evidence of Success | Possible Obstacles | Possible Solutions | | | | | |
| Making daily Attendance calls to absent students | Administrator, School Nurse, School Secretary | Attendance Rate increases | Time to make the calls | Delegate calls to different personnel | | | | | |
| Choose an item. Action Steps | Person Responsible | Evidence of Success | Possible Obstacles | Possible Solutions | | | | | |
| A system of support for students and families | Administrator, School Counselor, School Nurse, Grade level teachers, Community Programs Resource Officer | Attendance Rate increases | Support doesn't match the needs | Identify needs of focus group to better support | | | | | |


| | ATTENDANCE | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|--------------|------------|---------|-----------------------------------|--------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| | Grades | Enrollment | YTD % A | YTD % Average Daily Student Atten | | | | | | |
| | | | T1 | T2 | Т3 | Average | | | | |
| | Kindergarten | 33 | 96.70% | 93.70% | 94.20% | 94.87% | | | | |
| SCHOOL | Grade 1 | 50 | 97.60% | 95.80% | 96.70% | 96.70% | | | | |
| Lester B. Sommer | Grade 2 | 51 | 97% | 95.60% | 96.30% | 96% | | | | |
| | Grade 3 | 60 | 97.80% | 94.60% | 96.40% | 96.27% | | | | |
| | Grade 4 | 49 | 96.60% | 95.30% | 96.10% | 96.00% | | | | |
| | Grade 5 | 50 | 97.30% | 95.40% | 96.70% | 96.47% | | | | |
| | | 293 | 97.12% | 95.07% | 96.07% | 96.08% | | | | |

Math



| | I | | AC | ADEMICS | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|----------------|-----------|---------------|-------------|------------|----------|----------|--------|-------------|----|-------|
| Grade Level | Student | | | | | MATH PR | OFICIENC | Υ | | | |
| Enrollment | | | | NWEA | | | | ILEARN | | | |
| 3 | 61 | Fall | Winter | Growth | Spring | Growth | Year | Below | Approaching | At | Above |
| Number Sense | | 71 | 69 | -2 | 46 | -23 | 18-19 | 28 | 30 | 31 | 11 |
| Computation | | 48 | 57 | 9 | 53 | -4 | 10-13 | 20 | | | - " |
| Algebraic Thinking | | 48 | 59 | 11 | 50 | -9 | 19-20 | | | | |
| Geometry | | 70 | 59 | -11 | 64 | 5 | 13-20 | | | | |
| Measurement | | 59 | 48 | -11 | 59 | 11 | 20-21 | | | | |
| Data Analysis | and Statistics | 57 | 57 | 0 | 59 | 2 | 20-21 | | | | |
| | | Fall, Win | iter, & Sprin | g = Hi Aver | age & Hi % | Combined | | 28 | 30 | 31 | 11 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 51 | Fall | Winter | Growth | Spring | Growth | Year | Below | Approaching | At | Above |
| Number Sense | | 56 | 61 | 5 | 57 | -4 | 18-19 | 20 | 37 | 31 | 12 |
| Computation | | 52 | 53 | 1 | 55 | 2 | 10-13 | 20 | 37 | | "- |
| Algebraic Thi | nking | 58 | 53 | -5 | 53 | 0 | 19-20 | | | | |
| Geometry | | 75 | 71 | -4 | 82 | 11 | 10 20 | | | | |
| Measurement | : | 63 | 43 | -20 | 55 | 12 | 20-21 | | | | |
| Data Analysis | and Statistics | 69 | 57 | -12 | 57 | 0 | 20 21 | | | | |
| | | Fall, Win | iter, & Sprin | g = Hi Aver | age & Hi % | Combined | | 20 | 37 | 37 | 12 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 50 | Fall | Winter | Growth | Spring | Growth | Year | Below | Approaching | At | Abov |
| Number Sens | e | 63 | 50 | -13 | 60 | 10 | 18-19 | 16 | 30 | 36 | 18 |
| Computation | | 59 | 78 | 19 | 78 | 0 | | | 33 | | |
| Algebraic Thinking | | 49 | 58 | 9 | 54 | -4 | 19-20 | | | | |
| Geometry | | 76 | 66 | -10 | 66 | 0 | .0 20 | | | | |
| Measurement | : | 69 | 48 | -21 | 50 | 2 | 20-21 | | | | |
| Data Analysis | and Statistics | 55 | 46 | -9 | 68 | 22 | 20 21 | | | | |
| | | Fall, Win | iter, & Sprin | g = Hi Aver | age & Hi % | Combined | | 16 | 30 | 36 | 18 |





| | | | | ACA | DEMI | CS | | | | | | | |
|--------|------------|------------|-----------|---|-------------|------------|----------|---------|---------|-------------|----|-------|--|
| | Grade | Student | | | | LANG | SUAGE AR | TS PROF | ICIENCY | | | | |
| | Level | Enrollment | | | NWEA | | | | ILEARN | | | | |
| | 3 | 61 | Fall | Winter | Growth | Spring | Growth | Year | Below | Approaching | At | Above | |
| | Literature | | 54 | 54 | 0 | 61 | 7 | 18-19 | 39 | 26 | | 5 | |
| | Nonfiction | | 52 | 48 | -4 | 64 | 16 | 10-13 | 33 | | 30 | 3 | |
| | Vocabulary | | 49 | 49 | 0 | 66 | 17 | 19-20 | | | | | |
| | | | Fall, Wir | iter, & Sprin | g = Hi Aver | age & Hi % | Combined | 13-20 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 20-21 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 39 | 26 | 30 | 5 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4 | 51 | Fall | Winter | Growth | Spring | Growth | Year | Below | Approaching | At | Above | |
| | Literature | | 72 | 65 | -7 | 71 | 6 | 18-19 | 33 | 27 | 25 | 14 | |
| SCHOOL | Nonfiction | | 70 | 62 | -8 | 73 | 11 | 16-13 | 33 | 21 | | 14 | |
| | Vocabulary | | 66 | 77 | 11 | 68 | -9 | 19-20 | | | | | |
| | | | Fall, Wir | Fall, Winter, & Spring = Hi Average & Hi % Combined | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 20-21 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 33 | 27 | 27 | 14 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 5 | 50 | Fall | Winter | Growth | Spring | Growth | Year | Below | Approaching | At | Above | |
| | Literature | | 57 | 74 | 17 | 80 | 6 | 18-19 | 20 | 28 | 36 | 16 | |
| | Nonfiction | | 76 | 72 | -4 | 80 | 8 | 10-13 | 20 | 20 | | 10 | |
| | Vocabulary | Vocabulary | | 70 | 5 | 68 | -2 | 19-20 | | | | | |
| | | | Fall, Wir | iter, & Sprin | g = Hi Aver | age & Hi % | Combined | 13-20 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 20-21 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 20 | 28 | 36 | 16 | |

| Writing-IL | EARN | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----|
| | | | | | LBS | | | | | |
| Organization/Pur pose | ent & Elaboration | Informative Conventions | Narrative Organization/P urpose | Elaboration | Narrative Conventions | ose | nt & Elaboration | Persuasive Conventions | Langu age score | |
| 1.909090909 | 1.909090909 | 1.090909091 | 2.631578947 | 2.684210526 | 1.210526316 | 2.1 | 2.05 | 1.45 | 2.48 | |
| Organization/Pur pose | Informative Evidence/Developm ent & Elaboration | Informative | Narrative | | Narrative | Persuasive Organization/Purp | | Persuasive | Langu age | |
| | | | | | Conventions | ose | nt & Elaboration | Conventions | Score | |
| 1.466666667 | 1.4 | | 1.75 | | Conventions 1.75 | | | Conventions 1 | Score 2.1961 | |
| nformative Organization/Pur | Informative | 1.5333333333 Informative Conventions | 1.75 | 1.75 Narrative Evidence/Dev elopment & Elaboration | | 1.5 Persuasive Organization/Purp ose | 1.4375 Persuasive | Persuasive Conventions 1283157895 | | 3rd |

| School: Lester B. Sc | ommer Elementary |
|--|--|
| Data Dashboard (Includi | ng information on attendance, behavior, assessment, and evaluations): |
| | |
| | |
| Curriculum Location and Description | Educators in North Montgomery are created curriculum maps to link local curriculum to Indiana Academic Standards at each grade level and to articulate the skills and strategies that students needs as they move from one grade level to the next. Maps are available for staff and parents via school website. Additional information about our curriculum is available online. |
| Assessment in Addition to ISTEP | North Montgomery Community School Corporation uses NWEA in grades K-8 and 10 th in addition to ILEARN. Students are assessed three time a year in reading, language use, and mathematics. |
| Include Needs of all Learners | North Montgomery partners with the West Central Indiana Special Services Cooperative to provide high-quality special education services to our students. The purpose and intent of this joint service program is to operate programs to serve all children with disabilities as defined by Article 7 of the Indiana Department of Education. The administering school corporation is Crawfordsville Community Schools, and as administrative and fiscal agent for the joint service program, it maintains the Joint Service and Supply Fund and receives and disburses in accordance with the provisions of the written agreement. The Co-op provides administrative, psychological, consultative, and related services to the participating school districts. |
| Opportunities for Parent Participation | Parents are encouraged to participate in school and corporation events through newsletters, Powerschool access, calendar events, and education tips. |
| Safe and Disciplined Learning Environment | All schools in North Montgomery Community School Corporation complete and update a School Safety Plan to ensure that all students, staff, and visitors come to a safe, equitable environment. Information about our safety requirements is available online. |
| Technology Initiatives | North Montgomery is making great strides towards full technology integration. Our mission, vision, and action steps for this work are outlined in our Technology Page . |
| Professional Development Program Narrative | Professional Development throughout NMCSC will be closely tied to information gained from student assessment data and teacher effectiveness of instruction. As we continue to work to meet the needs of all students, our instructional coach and/or principal will meet with grade levels monthly and provide training based on teacher evaluations and progress monitoring data. Grade level teams of general education teachers, teachers of exceptional learners, and paraprofessional aides meet weekly to review data and plan instruction and intervention. |
| Cultural Competencies | NMCSC serves a diverse and varied population. All school stakeholders are trained to support the following subgroups: ELL, special needs, F/R lunch, and high ability. Students are provided both pull-out and push-in support at the school and classroom level. Additionally, each school encourages positive student behavior through selected experiences, trainings, and programs that support the "whole" child. |
| Career Awareness and Career Development Plan | North Montgomery is committed to promoting and creating work based experiences infused into daily instruction (k-12). All elementary schools are implementing Second Step curriculum (prek-5) and grades 6-12 use Naviance to support career pathways. Elementary counselors are providing career-focused classroom lessons. |

Early Learning Education

The Lester B. Sommer Early Learning Academy will strive to provide educational, quality child care and learning opportunities for every child. Through discovery learning and play, each child can grow and learn at their own rate. By providing a culture of care and a staff trained in appropriate early childhood foundations, we will provide a learning environment where children feel safe to explore and learn through discovery.

North Montgomery is working towards a Level 3 in Paths to QUALITY™, Indiana's voluntary quality rating and improvement system. In addition to creating an environment that promotes children's learning, we are using a planned curriculum to meet each child's learning needs. In order to reach Level 3 we have committed to improve program quality for early learners by:

- •planning activities that lead to Kindergarten readiness
- •participating in additional training and education
- •inviting families to be part of program activities
- •evaluating and improving our program to provide the best care and learning environment for each child.