

January 19, 2023

Rainforth Grau Architects Project No. 22-1544

DSA File and Application Nos. 39-73 / 02-120661, 02-120662, 02-120663,
02-120664, 02-120665, 02-120666, 02-120667, 02-120668



ADDENDUM NO. A

Tracy Unified School District ELOP Buildings
Tracy, California



Rainforth Grau Architects

1. ALL WORKMANSHIP, MATERIALS, APPLIANCES AND EQUIPMENT which may be included in the following items shall be the same relative quantity as described for similar work set forth in the original or main specifications of which these Addendum items shall be considered a part.
2. ADDENDUM SECTIONS: NONE
3. ADDENDUM DRAWINGS: NONE
4. PROJECT MANUAL: NONE
5. DRAWINGS:
 - A. JACOBSON E.S. (02-120662), Sheet L1.1, TREE/SHRUBS/TURF PLANTING PLAN AND LANDSCAPE IRRIGATION PLAN
 1. SPRINKLER IRRIGATION NOTES, NOTE 10: DELETE in its entirety.
 - B. LOUIS BOHN E.S. (02-120665), Sheet L1.1, TREE/SHRUBS/TURF PLANTING PLAN AND LANDSCAPE IRRIGATION PLAN
 1. SPRINKLER IRRIGATION NOTES, NOTE 10: DELETE in its entirety.

C. ALL 8 SCHOOL SITES., Sheet C1.1, DEMOLITION PLAN

1. ADD "GENERAL NOTE: Any and all demolition and repair work required to install underground work as shown on the plans shall be included as a part of the bid. This may include saw cutting, removal of pavement or planting, trenching, backfill and compaction, and replacement of surface material to match existing or adjacent."

6. RESPONSES TO BID RFIs:

- A. RFI #1: Can you provide your engineers estimate or budget number for this project for bonding capacity information. Would you be able to provide either an estimate or budget number?

1. The project budget is \$3.5 million.

- B. RFI #2: we would like to know how the school district is going to work with long lead items, like electrical switch gear that takes sometimes 300 days and the classrooms that will take several months to design, get approved, and be built, with a 155 day schedule for this work

1. Project Delays / Schedule Impacts

The Owner recognizes and understands the potential for schedule disruptions and potential delays due to supply chain issues and the long-lead nature of certain items necessary for the completion of the project. It is the intention of the Owner to be reasonable with such impacts and provide time extensions under various circumstances. However, it is required that the Contractor make all possible efforts to avoid such delays and, if delayed, to make all possible efforts to return the project to schedule.

As part of this effort, the Contractor shall make all efforts necessary to identify, process, order and obtain long-lead items as quickly as possible including:

Identification of problematic items: The Contractor shall identify items that may be delayed within 5 days of bid award and provide a list of all items to the Owner for review and discussion. Such items shall be clearly identified and reasons for delays shall be documented and included with the submittal.

Equals/Substitutions: For long-lead items, the Contractor shall research and where possible identify equal products or systems that may be substituted and can be obtained within project schedule requirements. Such items shall be "equal" in quality and value and Owner decide if such substitutions are acceptable.

Processing: Long-lead items shall be submitted for A/E review and approval as quickly as possible but no longer than 15 days from award of contract. A/E will expedite review and return expeditiously.

Ordering: Contractor and subcontractors shall place orders for long-lead items as quickly as possible to minimize impact to schedule.

Occupancy / Prioritization: Should the lead time or unavailability of items impact occupancy, the Contractor shall coordinate with the Owner a priority list of sites to best accommodate school activities and usage.

In the event such impacts delay the Project Schule past Occupancy dates noted, and the Contractor has acted in good faith in coordination and cooperation with the Owner, time extensions shall be granted to the Contractor without penalty based on such delays.

- C. RFI #3: Section 13 3423 Relocatable Buildings Paragraph 1.5 Section A states the contractor is to submit a set of building manufacturer's structural and architectural plans and structural calculations from a previous project of similar requirements and that the set is to have a stamp of approval by the DSA in order to have the bid be considered complete. Please confirm if this will be required.
1. Yes, This is required to ensure that the manufacturer has the ability to deliver the project. Being able to demonstrate similar buildings are DSA approved is necessary. A manufacturer that cannot demonstrate this cannot prove that they have an approved structure which can meet the critical deadlines necessary. They might have to go through a full DSA review process which the schedule cannot account for.

* * * END OF ADDENDUM * * *

December 23, 2022

Rainforth Grau Architects Project No. 22-1544
DSA File and Application No. 02 / 120661-120668



ADDENDUM NO. 0

Tracy Unified School District ELOP Buildings
Tracy, California


Rainforth Grau Architects

1. ALL WORKMANSHIP, MATERIALS, APPLIANCES AND EQUIPMENT which may be included in the following items shall be the same relative quantity as described for similar work set forth in the original or main specifications of which these Addendum items shall be considered a part.
2. ADDENDUM SECTIONS: NONE
3. ADDENDUM DRAWINGS: NONE
4. PROJECT MANUAL
 - A. Document 00 1116, NOTICE TO BIDDERS
 1. Paragraph 6
 - a. REVISE "January 11, 2023" to read "January 25, 2023"
 - B. Document 00 2113, INSTRUCTIONS TO BIDDERS
 1. Paragraph 21
 - a. ADD subparagraph "a. All bid RFI inquiries to be submitted to the district by no later than January 16, 2023"
 - b. ADD subparagraph "b. Last ADDENDUM will be issued at 2:00 p.m. on January 20, 2023"

*** END OF ADDENDUM ***

i:\1544 tracy jusd elop tk buildings\5.02 addenda\addendum #0\addndm #0.docx