

Date	First	Last	Email	School	Comment
12/02/2022	Joe	AmRhein	joeamrhein@gmail.com	Bonny Slope	I first want to extend my thanks to all BSD employees that have dedicated time to this realignment process meant to achieve the best balance between school enrollment while staying within the confines of the Board Objectives and JC Policy factors. I appreciate the effort in investigating multiple solutions for the problem.Thanks also goes to Superintendent Balderas for his openness to collaboration with the community that led to his adjustment to the original proposal. My family and I are in full support of the superintendent's decision in selecting Option 2 (community alternate) for the boundary adjustment decision. This option impacts less children and provides a better balance for Bonny Slope and Findley enrollment numbers. Option 1 risked Findley going over it's permanent and portable capacity, which would necessitate another boundary adjustment exercise in the near future
12/02/2022	Daniel	Kang	danielkang75@gmail.com	Bonny Slope	I heard of the heavy lobbying activity by the builder and parents of Grid code 1151, 1142 to be excluded from this boundary adjustmentI'm getting angry with their selfishness not caring for kids from other neighborhood getting kicked out of Bonny Slope.The BSD's decision is breaking the community.It's BSD's fault that not informing parents a few years ahead that there can be school boundary adjustment.I expect the house price will go down with the adjustment and I'll accept it if there's no other way.But the legacy program should be offered for all K-4 kids.BSD must find a way whatever it takes.
12/02/2022	Will	Kabele	wkabele@gmail.com	Bonny Slope	Thank you to Super-Intendant Balderas, Steve Sparks from long term planning, and everyone who put in countless time and effort into this decision. I like to take the time to express my appreciation to the Beaverton School District for listening to the community[?]'s concerns and feedback as well as taking those concerns into consideration when making your final ruling. As a life long Beaverton resident who attended BSD schools from elementary through high school, I commend BSD in their decision to support the community.I want to acknowledge that no matter what decision the Beaverton School District chose, families would be impacted and rightfully emotional about the decision. This was impossibly hard but with a clear and rational approach, the community proposed plan was the correct choice and the best decision available. It never made sense for grid code 130 to be at Bonnie Slope while being in walking distance from Finley. It would be economically and environmentally irresponsible to continue to bus this grid code to an overcrowded elementary school when another school is within walking distance. Further, it doesn[?]t make sense to also move grid codes 1151 and 1142 along with 130. Not moving these additional grid codes provides a huge benefit to the grid code 130 community, by not making their new school overcrowded.I[?]d like to also address some of the misinformation that seems to be circulating. Particular the rumor that Noyes Development Company, or any lawyer had any involvement in the community proposed plan. Both of these rumors are categorically false. The community adopted plan was created and implemented by a group of Bonney Slope parents. I[?]m proud of that community of parents and particularly those that organized us to advocate for a proposal that better met the objectives of the district, and the community.
12/02/2022	Daniel	Kang	danielkang75@gmail.com	Bonny Slope	I don't understand why there isn't option3 where we move Grid code 1151, 1142 to Findley and leave Grid code 130 in Bonny Slope.Grid code 1151 is the farthest one from Bonny Slope, and for them, Findley is much closer than Bonny Slope.And I heard that more constructions are planned there, so if we leave those Grids in Bonny Slope, Bonny Slope can have the overpopulation problem again.Construction of Grid code 130 is already done and no more increase in the number of kids are expected. It looks on the map that Grid code 130 is within walking distance to Findley, but if you actually take a walk with kids from the homes to Findley, it's quite a long walk, 25 minutes, and it's not very safe to walk because of many people in the neighborhood who drive to work/home around the school hours, so most of families would drive their kids to Findley. Walking distance is not reality.So there's not much reason for Grid code 130 needs to be considered to be moved to Findley first than other Grids.Considering planned construction in Grid code 1151, 1142, and finished construction in Grid code 130, it looks more reasonable to move Grid code 1151, 1142 to Findley.I guess most parents from all 3 Grids code moved to the neighborhood to send their kids to Bonny Slope. It's not fair only the kids in Grid code 130 having to be separated from their siblings, one goes to Bonny Slope, the other goes to Findley.Moving all 3 grids code to Findley and giving legacy option to all K-4 will be fair and would reduce the number of Bonny Slope kids by desired amount because it's 3 Grids, not just one, and there'll be families who'll choose Findley over Bonny Slope for reasons like Findley is closer to them or Findley's numbers about academics are higher than Bonny Slope.I see the Superintendent is concerned about 'the educational, emotional and social impacts that students have experienced as a result of the recent COVID-19 pandemic, the potential impacts that families may experience' with forcing the kids to different school, but still saying the Resource Room in Bonny Slope can only be used for the Pre-K program but not as an additional classroom.I don't understand why the Resource Room being remained as a resource room is so important that 'the potential impacts that families may experience' are ignored.If BSD is truly concerned about the impacts on kids, PLEASE FIND A WAY FOR EVERY K-4 KIDS IN EVERY GRIDS CODE STAY IN THEIR SCHOOL WHATEVER THAT IS.

12/02/2022	Rabia	Shafiq	rabia535@yahoo.com	Bonny Slope	I am in full support of the superintendent[?]'s decision in selecting Option 2 for the Bonny Slope / Findley Boundary Adjustment. Prior district records were showing Bonny Slope had a capacity of 600 students and therefore were overstating the actual crowding at the school. During community feedback on the redistricting, the district confirmed that Bonny Slope actually has a capacity of 625. With a capacity of 625, the district doesn't need to make as drastic of a move with their boundary adjustment proposal, which is another reason why Option 2 is a better decision than Option 1.
12/02/2022	Sujeong	Lee	sjleone@gmail.com	Bonny Slope	I have reviewed the Superintendent's Decision and can't agree with that.1. BSD can adjust school boundaries, but it's parent's rights to which school to send their kids to.I chose Bonny Slope by moving to Grid code 130 and BSD changing it without a notice ahead is an infringement on my right.If I had known there could be school boundary adjustment, I would never have bought the house in Grid code 130.So, I insist BSD find a way to offer the legacy program for all K-4 kids.2. I think BSD must appreciate the benefit of siblings going to the same school.BSD is saying that we just send both of kids to Findley but Findley is not the school we want.3. More constructions are planned for Grid code 1151,1142 so it's expected that the number of kids will increase in the area.Does BSD have the number? If Bonny Slope gets overpopulated again, will BSD adjust the school boundary again?If Grid code 130 is moved first to Findley because it's close to Findley, Grid code 1151,1142 can also be moved first to Findley because they are farthest from Bonny Slope.Because of this BSD decision to move only Grid code 130 to Findley, parents in Grid code 130 are getting angry with the parents in the other Grids for their selfishness and causing problems between the 2 neighborhoods. I wouldn't feel comfortable with my kids getting along with kids from Grid code 1151,1142 if my 3rd grade kids continue to go to Bonny Slope.THIS BSD DECISION IS BREAKING THE COMMUNITY.So I think BSD should offer the legacy program for all K-4 kids and find a way to do that.
12/02/2022	Gohar	Shafiq	shafiq.gohar@gmail.com	Bonny Slope	I am in full support of the superintendent[?]'s decision in selecting Option 2 for the Bonny Slope / Findley Boundary Adjustment. Option 2 better meets the Board Objectives and the JC Policy factors. Not only does it better balance the enrollment at Bonny Slope and Findley, it doesn't put Findley at risk of going over its permanent AND portable capacity and ensures Bonny Slope does not end up in an underutilized state.
12/02/2022	Oliver	Weilandt	weilandt@gmail.com	Bonny Slope	Thank you, superintendent Balderas, for considering the input from the Bonny Slope community and supporting Option2 for the Bonny Slope / Findley Boundary Adjustment.I fully agree that Option 2 better meets the Board Objectives and the JC Policy factors. Not only does it better balance the enrollment at Bonny Slope and Findley, it does not put Findley at risk of going over its permanent AND portable capacity and ensures Bonny Slope does not end up in an underutilized state.If we take second look at the available options, even though Option 2 could potentially put Bonny Slope over capacity in SY2025-2026 using the high growth assumption, I agree with the Superintendent[?]'s perspective that if this were to happen, Bonny Slope can accommodate this short period of over enrollment as it has been able to hold a similar number of students pre-pandemic. Additionally, per the PSU forecast, BSD has consistently followed the low growth forecast assumption vs. the high and believes it will continue to be unlikely that we would reach the high growth assumption moving forward. The natural aging of the neighborhoods will begin to occur after SY2025-2026 and enrollment will stabilize. Option 1 would put Findley well over permanent capacity in a low growth assumption forecast and will exceed both permanent and portable capacity in a high growth assumption forecast. For all of the above reasons, I believe enacting the boundary adjustment based Option 2 is the best course of action for our BSD community.Best,Oliver Weilandt
12/02/2022	Erica	AmRhein	erica.amrhein@gmail.com	Bonny Slope	Thank you to all of the district employees involved in this process for your time and attention on this rezoning. And thank you to Superintendent Balderas for being open to collaborating with the community to make adjustments to the original proposal. We are in full support of the superintendent[?]'s decision in selecting Option 2 for the Bonny Slope/Findley Boundary Adjustment. Option 2 better meets the Board Objectives and the JC Policy Factors, while impacting far less children and families. Not only does it better balance the enrollment at Bonny Slope and Findley, it does not put Findley at risk of going over its permanent AND portable capacity and ensures Bonny Slope does not end up in an underutilized state. Thanks again for considering all aspects of this decision and making adjustments to better serve the community.
12/02/2022	Brad	Hibsman	bradhibsman@gmail.com	Bonny Slope	Thank you to the superintendent and the rest of the district staff and school board for listening to the community and the needs of our students. We support option #2.
12/02/2022	Jessi	Hibsman	jessi.hibsman@gmail.com	Bonny Slope	Thank you, Dr. Balderas, for your willingness to hear from the community and support our students' success. I'm fully supportive of option #2. Thank you for putting our students first and your continued support.

12/02/2022	Katherine	Chambers	katherinefiet@gmail.com	Bonny Slope	Thank you for your time and attention on this redistricting and for being open to listening to the community input and making adjustments to the original proposal. Options 2 better meets the Board Objectives and the JC Policy Factors, while impacting far less children and families.
12/01/2022	Douglas	Kim	dougkim75@hotmail.com	Bonny Slope	Dear Dr. Balderas and members of the board,I strongly disagree with Dr. Balderas' decision as I do not believe that it correctly takes into account the JC Factors and instead follows incorrect assumptions and goals to make option 2 easier to digest.1. Student Body CompositionTo goal for student body composition should not be to keep the numbers as close to their original percentages as possible, but to increase diversity in the school. To take grid code 130, which is largely Asian, and move it to Findley, which is also largely Asian, does nothing but to concentrate the Asian population into a single school. The goal of student body composition should be to diversify the student population vs concentrating it. The option presented shows Bonny Slope decreasing its Asian population by 3 percent while the Findley Asian population only decreases by 1 percent (where it is already at a high 53%).2. Current and Future AvailabilityAs presented in previous presentations, the number of students at GC 130 is 78 and the number at GC 1151 is 50. However, GC 130 is fully built out which allows that number to remain stable through the projected years. GC 1151, on the other hand, is in full develop mode, with many houses on the market and many more being built. It would not be difficult to see that GC 1151 will have a population growth that will likely exceed projections. Even going on Noyes website, you can see there are 11 home ready to be moved into and 11 future homes. GC 1151 will overtake the number of students in GC 130, which does not alleviate the overcrowding at Bonny Slope.3. Other considerationsMany of the homes in GC 130 have been occupied for a long time, much longer than the homes of GC 1151. People emphasize the feeling of belonging to the Bonny Slope community, but you will see on average the homeowners of GC 130 have been members of the Bonny Slope community for a much longer time than members of GC 1151. Just a cursory look at the houses and you can see that many were built after 2020, which is much shorter than the time lived at in GC 130. My son has spent 4 years (K-3) at Bonny Slope but our second would also like to continue the legacy set forth by her brother in attending Bonny Slope. Bonny Slope is her school and we do not want her to lose it.
12/01/2022	Sam	Gordon	loloandsam@gmail.com	Bonny Slope	Thank you for your time and attention on this redistricting matter and for being open to listening to the community input and making adjustments to the original proposal. We feel that Option 2 better meets the Board Objectives and the JC Policy Factors, while impacting far less children and families.
10/15/1977	Younghee	Kim	younghee77@gmail.com	Bonny Slope	Hello I am writing this message to emphasize my kid doesn't want to transfer her school to Findley. She had a hard time for first year because our family moved in Oregon from other state. After the hard time, my daughter is getting used to be in Bonny. Then, suddenly we got a news BSD decided the kids in Thomson will be transferred to Findley. If it happens, my kid will have another hard time and I don't want to let my daughter give a hard again. Furthermore, I heard the kids at Noyes near Thomson will stay at Bonny. I believe it is not fair because only one place is selected to be transferred. I heard Thomson only has 70 students. 70 students will have a difficult time to be used in Findley. Also, what is a benefit if 70 students are transferred for Findley and Bonny both.If the procedure is fixed, our students should choose their school for all students. It is first and very important step for our students. Please consider students who will have a hard time at a new environment. Thanks

12/01/2022	Namita	Chadha	nams.singla@gmail.com	Bonny Slope	I disagree with the final decision taken by the Superintendent. It is very unfair to the Thompson Woods community who are forced to send their kids to Findley. This is the oldest community that was made within all the newer communities that were intended to move to Findley. So, it is only fair to have Thompson Woods community to remain at Bonny Slope and move the developments that were built after our community to Findley. Our Superintendent and other school boundary advisory staff is missing an important point where they are not foreseeing all the new housing developments that are already establishing on Laidlaw. There are many old houses on Laidlaw that are already on the market with acres of land per house which means that there will be potentially hundreds of new houses that are going/ready to be built within an year or two. This will increase the number of children going to Bonny Slope twice or thrice or even more. However on the other side, Thompson Woods community is already established with no new construction that can take place within our community whatsoever. So, the crowd from Thompson Woods community to Bonny Slope will remain consistent throughout the coming years. The current decision of entire Laidlaw communities going to Bonny slope fails to make me understand that how the traffic from all those communities will not overcrowd Bonny Slope even more? More construction of houses will lead to more crowd going to Bonny Slope. I believe the current decision is not at all well thought about and does not foresee the over capacity issue that will take place in an year or two. Superintendent pointed out that 70 students will be affected by his decision. I'm sure these 70 students include the Legacy program students as well and all the parents I have spoken to whose kids are currently in 3rd and 4th are planning to continue at Bonny slope. So, we are just left with very few kids who will be going to Findley. The current decision does not sound that the over capacity issue at Bonny Slope has been dealt with effectively. My request to Superintendent is to recheck the numbers again and make sure the decision is taken after considering all the new housing developments that are coming on Laidlaw Rd and not to disregard the fact that more children would be going to Bonny Slope from the Laidlaw communities in next year or two which will prove their current decision a futile one. It makes more sense to move the newer communities from Laidlaw to Bonny Slope if they want to avoid the same over-capacity problem which can be clearly seen in the near future. Also, I spoke to few Findley families who are also not happy to know that more kids are going to be at Findley since they are saying that Findley currently is over crowded and they also fail to understand how more kids would be adjusted at Findley. I believe the decision taken will just move the "overcapacity" tag from one school to another and does not solve the problem at all. If there is certainty of one school going over capacity, then it makes no sense to change the school. This will cause a lot of disruption between our families who will be sending one kid to Bonny Slope and other to Findley. I'm highly disappointed with the decision of moving only Thompson Woods community to Findley as the decision does not help the over capacity issue at Bonny Slope under any circumstance and it is not fair to the oldest community amongst all the newer developments.
12/01/2022	Emma	Lee	emmahjlee@gmail.com	Bonny Slope	It is an unfair decision to move just Thompson Woods community to Finley. Thompson Woods were built before Noyes homes, so the Thompson Woods kids were at Bonny Slope for longer. Noyes community is still building and growing, Bonny Slope will go over its capacity in no time again. It makes more sense to keep the Thompson at Bonny Slope since the community is complete and we know exactly how many kids need to be accommodated.
12/01/2022	Tachung	Hu	tachung_hu@hotmail.com	Bonny Slope	I was even more shocked to learn by reading all the comments from last week that one of the communities is backing up with a land developer and legal representative. This makes me question/doubt whether the decision made by BSD was based on the best interests of our kids and communities. Was this a conflict of interest violation? Could we just force BSD in favor of someone's interests by hiring a legal representation in the future if this true? I feel really disappointed and will put a question mark on every decision or proposal that is made by BSD.
12/01/2022	Jason	Arakaki	Jayar26@gmail.com	Bonny Slope	I fully support the Superintendent[?]'s decision for Option 2. Our entire family, including our boys currently attending Bonny Slope, are extremely pleased. They love Bonny Slope, their friends and the entire BS community. Thank you for hearing us out to explore a more balanced option to achieve the Board objectives while impacting the least amount of children.
12/01/2022	Urva	Hannegan	urva.hannegan@gmail.com	Bonny Slope	Thank you so much to Superintendent Balderas and the BSD board for your thoughtfulness throughout this process, for considering community input and the proposed alternate option, and for making a decision that addresses the problem of over enrollment while disrupting a minimal amount of families. We really appreciate your thorough breakdown explaining the decision, and agree that it is the right one to maintain BSD's own objectives and our kids' continuity of education. We are of course thrilled to stay in the Bonny Slope community, and also happy that we won't have to worry about potential over enrollment soon after transferring to a new school, an issue we were very concerned about with option 1 (the original proposal to move all grid codes). Thanks again for the time and effort you have put into this difficult decision, and for listening to the families affected. - Urva and Justin Hannegan

Bonny Slope / Findley Boundary Adjustment Public Comments 11.28.22 - 12.2.22

11/30/2022	Jiyoung	Paeng	paeng201@gmail.com	Bonny Slope	To Whom It May ConcernWe bought a house last winter in Thompson woods (grid code 130), assuming my daughter,who is in first grade now at bonny slope ES, could go to bonny slope ES where my daughter likes to attend in. That[?]s what appealed to me about the location. For us, personally, it just is a hard thing to understand why my daughter has to go through challenging to build new relationships within the school community again and again. She[?]s had a tough time to adjust new circumstance and to feel completely comfortable since we moved in Portalnd last year. As she is developing friendships and building relationships, even with teachers at bonny slope ES, we want to minimize any changes and challenges or disruption.In addition, I don[?] think sending only 70 from one grid code to findley ES helps to relieve potential student overcrowding at bonny slope ES. The bonny slope[?]s enrollment growth is forecasted to continue because the creekviwe ridge community (grid code 1151)is currently under construction and potentially brings more children to bonny slope ES, whereas the thompson woods community has no input anymore. It suggests option 3 that the only grid code 1151 move to Findley.If one grid code including Thompson woods (not three grid codes) move to findley ES, the limited number (approx 70) of students will be impacted by the boundary adjustment. Therefore a legacy program can be established to allow all students currently enrolled at bonny slope ES to finish their elementary school career at bonny slope ES It should their family choose to do so.Please extend the legacy program for enrollment and transportation from the two grades to all grades. I appreciate your consideration.Best regardsJiyoung
11/30/2022	Michael	Reza	michaelareza@gmail.com	Bonny Slope	We want to sincerely thank you for your time and attention on this redistricting and for being open to listening to the community input and making adjustments to the original proposal. We are in full support of the superintendent[?]s decision in selecting Option 2 for the Bonny Slope / Findley Boundary Adjustment.
11/30/2022	Yunmei	Wang	aanancy@yahoo.com.tw	Bonny Slope	I hope that our school district can make an appropriate and FAIR final decision in Jan 2023. If Bonny Slope is really oversized, then the whole proposed area should be moved to Findley, not just one grid code! If the school district really care about our children, all the current K-4th students should be included of legacy program. Don[?]t let us feel shame at living in this school district.
11/30/2022	Yunmei	Wang	aanancy@yahoo.con.tw	Bonny Slope	I can[?]t believe that any developer or representative could be involved in any education issue! The parents from Thompson wood followed the rules to input our comments and sincerely requested the school district to let all the current K-4th students be included in Legacy program, but the result came such disappointing and unfair!
11/30/2022	So Young	Lee	Olchpole@hotmail.com	Bonny Slope	Thank you for listening to the community and making an adjustment to the original proposal.I am in full support for Option 2. I believe it affects the least number of people and will let our children to enjoy continued friendship and consistent learning environment. Thank you again for this! This means a lot us.
11/29/2022	Diana	Kincaid	dianakincaid@gmail.com	Bonny Slope	I would like to thank Superintendent Balderas for taking the time to consider the opinions of the families in Bonny Slope. I am in support of his decision to allow Grid Codes 1151 and 1142 to remain at Bonny Slope. Thank you.
11/29/2022	Danielle	Povolny	danielle.povolny@gmail.com	Bonny Slope	I fully support the Superintendent's recommendation of moving forward with Option 2. There is no perfect solution to the boundary adjustment, however, Option 2 best meets the Board Objectives and the JC Policy Factors. Option 1 clearly falls short in meeting these Objectives and Polices. I also would like to thank the BSD district staff, board, and Superintendent for being open and receptive to community input and feedback.
11/28/2022	Michelle	Arakaki	michellelynn@gmail.com	Bonny Slope	Thank you all for your consideration of adopting option 2. It speaks volumes that you[?]ve been open to hearing our comments and community input. While boundary adjustments are no easy task, option 2 provides an ideal balance of enrollment at both Bonny Slope and Findley to achieve the Board objectives while impacting the least amount of families and students. We are tremendously grateful and in full support of the superintendent[?]s decision in selecting Option 2 for the Bonny Slope Findley Boundary Adjustment.
11/28/2022	Jacob	Whitehead	Jacob_Whitehead@beaverton.k12.or.us	Bonny Slope	With the 2 grid codes that are now proposed to remain at Bonny Slope those 2 areas have the most potential for building. Only loosing 70 students from BSLP will likely only result in the reduction of 1-2 classrooms which then still puts us at max capacity of classrooms available. Leaving the 2 grid codes with space to build in them seems like BSLP will again run into the issue of no classroom space and will need another boundary shift once building starts in those grid codes.
11/28/2022	Steve	Povolny	povolnsd@gmail.com	Bonny Slope	I just wanted to take a moment to publicly voice my appreciation for your consideration on the redistricting for Bonny Slope and your final decision. I am fully supportive of the plan you recommended (option 2) and believe it does ultimately provide the best possible option for all families and supports both Findley and Bonny Slop for years to come. Thank you for taking the time and attention to detail and the voice of the community.

11/28/2022	mariah	jones	mariah.b.jones@gmail.com	Bonny Slope	The new boundary adjustment makes more sense than the initially proposed plan. It allows for a reduced student capacity at Bonny Slope without flooding and overburdening Findley. This will minimize the disruption to students. I still wish there was a way to help keep more students at Bonny Slope to maintain the community. Especially in the wake of covid.
11/25/2022	Simon	Lee	theleefamilyinoregon@gmail.com	Bonny Slope	We have reviewed the full report thoroughly. We appreciate the superintendent Dr. Balderas for taking his time reviewing the previously posted public comments. We understand that a change is required to address the current over-enrollment issue at Bonny Slope Elementary. However, we strongly believe that extending the optional legacy program to ALL currently enrolled students in all three grid codes, allowing the families themselves to make a decision, is a better option. The district should prioritize the stability of the students' learning environment and social setting, promoting academic success and social-emotional growth. Dr. Balderas was introduced to the Bonny Slope Community as a nationally recognized educator known for his equity-driven leadership, who has a proven track record of ensuring that all students achieve academic success as well as social-emotional growth (excerpted from the BSD website: https://www.beaverton.k12.or.us/about-us/superintendent.) If the board approves option 2, moving only one grid code (grid code 130) to Findley, we're concerned that the district would be setting a wrong example, significantly harming the reputation the district has built up. It would demonstrate that the district can be influenced by a certain group of the community members or a local builder that made high-stakes investment in the grid code 1151 and/or 1142. Some community members who proposed option 2 alluded to the fact that the sense of community among the Bonny Slope families is strong, hence staying in the same community would mean a lot to them and their children. We should recognize that option 2 in fact damages the Bonny Slope Community by excluding the families from the grid code 130. How option 2 was presented and somehow accepted by the district has already hurt some families in the grid code 130, causing great deal of anxiety, disappointment, and regret. The message from the superintendent mentioned that option 2 will affect approximately 70 students. We're not sure how this number was calculated but based on the information we can gather from the students directory, the number of currently enrolled students in the grid code 130 is only around 25. We doubt that extending the optional legacy program to ALL currently enrolled students would be too much of a burden to carry by the Bonny Slope Elementary. The board and the district should consider extending the optional legacy program to ALL currently enrolled students in all three grid codes. The program is literally optional; not all families in three grid codes would choose Bonny Slope over Findley. Some families may choose Findley for the shorter travel time. This may not address the over-enrollment issue immediately. However, neither option 1 nor option 2 would guarantee success, either. Preserving the sense of the Bonny Slope community and stabilizing the students' learning environment and social setting is far more important, and needs to be prioritized by the board and the district. Additionally, it is unlikely that the construction project for Findley that is expected to start in summer 2023 will be completed on-time to allow the students from Bonny Slope to benefit. In his full report, the superintendent mentioned, due to the enrollment size associated with the boundary adjustment, there may be a need to continue to use some of the portables. Let's note that there is a new development on the Saltzman road that is in the Findley Elementary boundary. The construction project would serve those who move into the new homes on Saltzman as well as those who move into the three grid codes who are not currently enrolled at Bonny Slope. Furthermore, given that the district is going to provide the transportation to Bonny Slope ES for those students who opt into the legacy student program, there won't be any additional cost caused by extending the optional legacy program to ALL currently enrolled students. Coming out of the pandemic is one thing. But we continue to face uncertainty, instability and emotional exhaustion in our lives with the current state of the economy and job market. The board and the district should consider extending the optional legacy program to ALL currently enrolled students in all three grid codes to avoid further disruption in the Bonny Slope Community including the families in the grid code 130.
11/23/2022	Hudson	Chung	chs1216@hotmail.com	Bonny Slope	Thank you for considering and executing the legacy program for the 3rd and 4th graders given the impact on experience in education during the pandemic season. For the 3rd and 4th graders who have already spent more than 2/3 of their elementary school years in one place, the prior notice that they might spend the other 1/3 of their elementary school years in the new place was a considerable shock for them. But now, they can enjoy the remaining school life they had so far with a sense of belonging in one school from entering to graduation. My child will choose the bonny slope elementary school where he currently enjoys his school life as of now.
11/23/2022	Yuri	Bae	yuribae0801@gmail.com	Bonny Slope	I fully agree with your further decision to continue providing enrollment and bus transportation to current 3rd and 4th graders. The reasons I fully support your further decision are: 1. Considering the child's tendency to take longer to adjust: My child is currently in third grade. After entering K-grade, the Covid 19 Pandemic broke out, and after last year when they started going back to school in person, they are now adapting to school life with only a few friends who they can familiar with. The prior decision to transfer to a new school was very stressful for our family, as children tend to take a long time to adjust to change. Even though my child could not sleep well due to the prior notice to change the school, but we are able to ease worries with the extension of the legacy program now. 2. Remaining Elementary School Life: For the 3rd and 4th graders who have already spent more than 2/3 of their elementary school years in one place, the prior notice that they might spend the other 1/3 of their elementary school years in the new place was a considerable shock for them. But now, they can enjoy the remaining school life they had so far with a sense of belonging in one school from entering to graduation. Thank you for considering and executing the legacy program for the 3rd and 4th graders given the impact on experience in education during the pandemic season.

11/23/2022	Kristin	Kabele	kristinkabele@gmail.com	Bonny Slope	First I want to thank the district, the superintendent, deputy superintendent and the long range planning executive administrator for taking the time to review and listen to the community on this boundary adjustment. I fully support the superintendent's decision to move forward with Option 2. Coming out of the open public comment window, the district took a look at the actual capacity at Bonny Slope again and recognizes that the school capacity is 625 vs. the 600 previously reported. Therefore, the over crowding that is occurring at Bonny Slope isn't as sever as previously thought and the original proposal of Option 1 over corrects the current over-enrollment problem and will likely lead to future over crowding at Findley. Option 2 better meets the objectives set out by the Board and all of the JC Policy factors and ensures less children and families are impacted.
11/22/2022	Rianne	Gossen	riane.gossen@gmail.com	Bonny Slope	I strongly support Option #2 (community-suggested alternative) in that it meets the longer-term objective as laid out by the school board. Option #1 presents a risk of overcrowding at Findley in the near future, and I would hate for our students to be impacted in another future round of rebalancing should that come to pass.
11/22/2022	Rachel	Costanzo	rwads27@gmail.com	Bonny Slope	While not surprised, I am nonetheless incredibly disappointed with this decision. The fact that no other schools in the area are even being considered as options except for the two that are already over capacity makes it clear this is not "long-range" planning. The Thompson Woods community was always going to be the band-aid solution to this kick-the-can-down-the-road process, particularly with the backing and legal representation of Noyes in the other largely affected area. Make all of the "other boundary processes and COVID" excuses you want, but BSD is absolutely negligent for the failure to forecast this issue not even 2 years ago when most of the TW homes were being built. Had you done your due diligence then, you would have saved the heartbreaking transition families now have to make by ripping their young children out of a school they love and the comfort of what they know. With that, as this plan certainly will be approved, I implore BSD to put in the extra effort to help ease this transition for the emotional well-being of the affected students. Opportunities to visit Findley multiple times well before the next school year, make connections with existing Findley families via BSD organized meetups and events, extra consideration for placing students in classes with other Thompson Woods students for at least a some familiarity, counselors readily available to help at all touchpoints in the process, and not placing the affected students in portables without express permission from parents. Make the TW families feel seen and heard, instead of the scapegoat we feel like now.
11/22/2022	Sonni	Nilan	sonni.nilan@gmail.com	Bonny Slope	We are apart of the neighborhood that would still go to Findley. We are frustrated will this change and that others will be able to stay at Bonny Slope, yet our daughter, who will be in 2nd grade, next year, is required to move. This change will be a very negative experience for her. She is shy and just recently finally adjusted to Bonny Slope. Now she will have to start all over. Her brother will be in 5th grade next year, so he will get to stay. This will be challenging to have two students at different schools that start and end at the same time. We really want her to stay at Bonny Slope and were hoping the legacy option would be offered to all students who want it. Please reconsider the legacy option for all students who want it.
11/22/2022	Tachung	Hu	tachung_hu@hotmail.com	Bonny Slope	I'm surprised, shocked and disappointed by the decision that BSD made. This decision does not really resolve anything but ends up making two schools crowded instead of one. This shows how irresponsible and lack of planning strategy BSD is, BSD knew this overcrowded situation 5 years ago with grids 130,1151 and 1142 communities still under development as BSD showed a bar chart in the public hearing/meeting on 10/10/2022. BSD ignored the simulation numbers of in region populations/communities growth by not taking proactive actions to adjust school boundaries in advance which resulted in our kids to take the consequence. I strongly ask the superintendent to reconsider the legacy program to open to all students that are currently enrolled with Bonny Slope. And upcoming new/transfer students to enroll in this newly implemented school boundary. This will minimize the impact of our kids. Thank you.
11/22/2022	Amelia	Ryan	amelia.g.ryan@gmail.com	Bonny Slope	With the confirmation of this the boundary line adjustment formally communicated to the community, we look forward to hearing more from Dr. Balderas on the details and action plan to seamlessly integrate the children from Bonny Slope into Findley. The transition into this long term planning decision, should have already taken into account the necessity of ensuring that this movement comes with the least amount of disruption to our children. As an educator with many years of experience, we are confident that Dr. Balderas will come forth, along with the principles of each school, to exhibit unity, inclusion and partnership amongst the Findley and Bonny Slope children, families, and staff. While our children are resilient, here are some ideas from our parent group to help bridge the 2 schools: Resources and counselors to help children deal with the stress and uncertainty, play groups or meet ups at Findley, end of year invitation to tour Findley and meet the staff so they do not feel like strangers. I am happy to be a thought partner in this process and encourage other families to please reach out to me directly to share your ideas on how to make this a successful transition for everyone.


11/22/2022	Yunmei	Wang	aanancy@yahoo.com.tw	Bonny Slope	Since all the comments and data will be recorded, then all the families in this district will get to know this is the way how our district handle the issue. They could assign your house to a school without well planning and then make an announcement to FORCE your children to move to another school. Please don[?]t hurt our children! Please don[?]t push us to private school. Please let current K-3rd students also have RIGHTS to choose. Please have all the current students included in Legacy program.
11/22/2022	Yunmei	Wang	aanancy@yahoo.com.tw	Bonny Slope	About 70 students will be moved to Findley next school year, if we average the numbers to five grades, it[?]s 14 per grade which is far less than any class size in Bonny Slope, then the total numbers of classes in Bonny Slope won[?]t be reduced. They will still be shortage for classrooms next school year! It[?]s NOT a solution at all. Only move one grid code is totally wrong! Please reconsider the proposal.
11/21/2022	Catherine	Kim	cbk49@case.edu	Bonny Slope	Thank you so much for the consideration. Our family is so grateful.
11/21/2022	Thomas	Ma	nsm0yz@gmail.com	Bonny Slope	As a resident of grid 1151, I strongly believe that my kids attend to Findley Elementary will make much more sense geographically. The drive time is more than doubled from my home to Bonny Slope Elementary School comparing with my home to Findley Elementary School (8min compared with 3min per google map). I understand that some families with older kids may want to stay at Bonny Slope elementary. But for families with younger kids or with kids not in school yet, forcing them to go to a school in longer distance is not reasonable. In summary, I beg the board to reconsider the updated proposal that move only one grid into Findley Elementary School.
11/21/2022	Yunmei	Wang	aanancy@yahoo.con.tw	Bonny Slope	I can[?]t believe that only one grid code will be moved to Findley. The online meeting data did show that Bonny Slope will be stillOversized if only move out #130. Why the legacy program can[?]t include of all the current K-4th students? How could this school district be such irresponsible? Assigned our community to Bonny Slope 5 years ago and now FORCE our children move to the other school without caring their feelings! My daughter said that she didn[?]t do anything wrong, why she has to move!!! How could the school district do this to our children!!!!
11/21/2022	Melissa	Limgenco	melferre@gmail.com	Bonny Slope	Dear Dr. Balderas - Thank you for weighing all community comments submitted and for carefully making your recommendation to the school board that is based on the facts presented. While there are families and children who will have to make an adjustment to a new school next year, your recommendation is the most sound for both Findlay and Bonny Slope communities. Again, we very much appreciate your consideration and being open to hearing alternate recommendations from those of us who are directly impacted.
11/21/2022	Andrea	Clague	4clagues@gmail.com	Bonny Slope	I am beyond disappointed that the legacy program will not include siblings. How awful to make us choose between keeping our children in the same elementary school vs making our (then 5th graders) have to have 3 different schools in 3 different years. I ask that the legacy sibling issue be re-looked at as I'm sure it pertains to such a small group of us that have will have a 4th/5th grader AND a younger sibling. I cannot imagine that those of us in this unique situation would account for an impactful increase in students. I urge you to look at the number of siblings that would actually fall into this and reconsider so our family does not have to choose between doing what is right for one child vs. another. If the buses only extend through 2025, it would be completely reasonable at that point to have us (the families) responsible for transportation for the remainder of the sibling's time at BSE. Thank you for your consideration.
11/21/2022	Kinyip	Phoa	gilbertphoa@gmail.com	Bonny Slope	I want to express my disappointment at the superintendent's decision to only move grid code 130 to Findley, leave grid code 1151, which is farthest away from Bonny Slope ES not moved. I think the superintendent owes the community a sound justification to waste our kids precious hours to be on the school bus instead of playing or studying at school or at home. The current immature decision will only trigger another boundary change in the years to come and another round of family complaining about discontinuity in their children's education experience. Given grid code 1151 does not have a huge community which would create imbalance between Findley ES and Bonny Slope ES, I urge the superintendent to reconsider his decision and move grid code 1151 to Finley ES within the proposal he is presenting to the board.

From: Oliver Weilandt <weilandt@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, December 2, 2022 9:31:57 AM

To: Eric Simpson <Eric_Simpson@beaverton.k12.or.us>; Tom Colett <Tom_Colett@beaverton.k12.or.us>; Susan Greenberg <Susan_Greenberg@beaverton.k12.or.us>; Karen Perez <Karen_Perez@beaverton.k12.or.us>; Sunita Garg <Sunita_Garg@beaverton.k12.or.us>; Ugonna Enyinnaya <Ugonna_Enyinnaya@beaverton.k12.or.us>; Becky Tymchuk <Becky_Tymchuk@beaverton.k12.or.us>; gustavo balderas <Gustavo_Balderas@beaverton.k12.or.us>; Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Subject: Bonny Slope/Findley Boundary Adjustment Option 2

 **This is from a Non-BSD Email address:** Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Dear Superintendent Balderas and BSD Board Members,

Thank you for considering the input from the Bonny Slope community and supporting Option2 for the Bonny Slope / Findley Boundary Adjustment.

I fully agree that Option 2 better meets the Board Objectives and the JC Policy factors. Not only does it better balance the enrollment at Bonny Slope and Findley, it does not put Findley at risk of going over its permanent AND portable capacity and ensures Bonny Slope does not end up in an underutilized state. If we take second look at the available options, even though Option 2 could potentially put Bonny Slope over capacity in SY 2025-2026 using the high growth assumption, I agree with the Superintendent's perspective that if this were to happen, Bonny Slope can accommodate this short period of over enrollment as it has been able to hold a similar number of students pre-pandemic. Additionally, per the PSU forecast, BSD has consistently followed the low growth forecast assumption vs. the high and believes it will continue to be unlikely that we would reach the high growth assumption moving forward. The natural aging of the neighborhoods will begin to occur after SY2025-2026 and enrollment will stabilize. Option 1 would put Findley well over permanent capacity in a low growth assumption forecast and will exceed both permanent and portable capacity in a high growth assumption forecast.

For all of the above reasons, I believe enacting the boundary adjustment based Option 2 is the best course of action for our BSD community.

Best,

Oliver Weilandt