

McKinley Institute of Technology

2020-21 School Accountability Report Card



Upload of your logo is optional.

General Information about the School Accountability Report Card (SARC)

SARC Overview



By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

For more information about SARC requirements and access to prior year reports, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/

For more information about the LCFF or the LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/

For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest



DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

California School Dashboard



The California School Dashboard (Dashboard) www.caschooldashboard.org/ reflects California's new accountability and continuous improvement system and provides information about how LEAs and schools are meeting the needs of California's diverse student population. The Dashboard contains reports that display the performance of LEAs, schools, and student groups on a set of state and local measures to assist in identifying strengths, challenges, and areas in need of improvement.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

2021-22 School Contact Information

School Name	McKinley Institute of Technology
Street	400 Duane St.
City, State, Zip	Redwood City, CA 94062
Phone Number	650-482-2410
Principal	Sara Shackel
Email Address	sshackel@rcsdk8.net
School Website	
County-District-School (CDS) Code	41-69005-6044556

2021-22 District Contact Information

District Name	Redwood City School District
Phone Number	(650) 482-2200
Superintendent	Dr. John Baker
Email Address	jbaker@rcsdk8.net
District Website Address	www.rcsdk8.net

2021-22 School Overview

MIT Vision Statement

We will cultivate an environment where technology is a part of the academic culture, empowering students to meet or exceed state academic standards in all content areas using traditional and innovative technologies. We will expand the school community beyond the physical campus, benefiting from local and global resources. We will develop independent, life-long critical thinkers and learners by setting high expectations and fostering an awareness of cultural diversity and gender equity.

School Profile

McKinley Institute of Technology (MIT), a small traditional middle school housed in a beautiful historical building that has been transformed into a technology-learning hub for 6-8 students. We provide a safe and supportive learning environment where we identify and address each student's individual needs.

In the backyard of Google and Facebook—and in the midst of Silicon Valley—middle school students are experiencing hands-on learning with coding, keyboarding, and expanded uses of technology while also receiving instruction in core subjects, and offering a variety of elective choices. We also have the resources to provide the students with a Chromebook at the start of every year that they can use for instruction a home and at school.

Our school's smaller size facilitates a supportive learning community for students, whose teachers can take the time to get to know each of their students as individuals and work closely with their parents in order to best facilitate their child's success in school. We extend this consideration through McKinley's after school program, Citizens School, which provides a safe and caring place for students to complete homework, learn, and have fun.

McKinley thrives because of our strong committed staff members and parent groups that are focused on the success of every child. McKinley also recognizes the importance of giving students a voice in their education, through Student Council and their elective/explorative classes.

About this School

2020-21 Student Enrollment by Grade Level

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 6	90
Grade 7	110
Grade 8	129
Total Enrollment	329

2020-21 Student Enrollment by Student Group

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Asian	0.3
Black or African American	0.3
Filipino	0.6
Hispanic or Latino	92.4
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1.8
Two or More Races	1.2
White	3.3
English Learners	38.9
Homeless	0.3
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	86
Students with Disabilities	22.8

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

Note: For more information refer to the Updated Teacher Equity Definitions web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/pd/ee/teacherequitydefinitions.asp>

2020-21 Teacher Preparation and Placement

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)	15.6	78.5	264.4	71.8	228366.1	83.1
Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned	0.0	0.0	4.5	1.2	4205.9	1.5
Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (“ineffective” under ESSA)	0.0	0.0	33.9	9.2	11216.7	4.1
Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (“out-of-field” under ESSA)	2.2	11.0	30.2	8.2	12115.8	4.4
Unknown	2.1	10.5	35.0	9.5	18854.3	6.9
Total Teaching Positions	20.0	100.0	368.2	100.0	274759.1	100.0

Note: The data in this table is based on Full Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned to based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

2020-21 Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (considered “ineffective” under ESSA)

Authorization/Assignment	2020-21
Permits and Waivers	0.0
Misassignments	0.0
Vacant Positions	0.0
Total Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments	0.0

2020-21 Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (considered “out-of-field” under ESSA)

Indicator	2020-21
Credentialed Teachers Authorized on a Permit or Waiver	0.0
Local Assignment Options	2.2
Total Out-of-Field Teachers	2.2

2020-21 Class Assignments

Indicator	2020-21
Misassignments for English Learners (a percentage of all the classes with English learners taught by teachers that are misassigned)	0.0
No credential, permit or authorization to teach (a percentage of all the classes taught by teachers with no record of an authorization to teach)	0.0

2021-22 Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials

All Redwood City School District students have equal access to state adopted, standards-aligned textbooks, and instructional materials. Funds are appropriated according to the textbook adoption cycle.

Additionally, the district is using EngageNY <http://www.engageny.org> to support English language arts instruction as we transition to the Common Core State Standards.

Pursuant to the settlement of Williams vs. the State of California, Redwood City School District thoroughly inspected each of its school sites at the start of the 2021-2022 school year to determine whether or not each school had sufficient and good quality textbooks, instructional materials, and/or science laboratory equipment. All students, including English Learners, are required to be given their own individual textbooks and/or instructional materials (in the four core subject areas: English language arts, mathematics, history/social studies and science), for use in the classroom and to take home if necessary. Additionally, all textbooks and instructional materials used within the District must be aligned with the California State Content Standards and Frameworks, with final approval by the State Board of Education.

The Redwood City School District Textbook selection is done by a District, grade level, and subject area committees coordinated by Executive Directors of Educational Services. The final textbook selections for grades TK-8 from the currently approved State lists are then selected and the recommendation goes before the School Board for final approval.

The chart below displays data collected during the August 2021 District Textbook Audit, in regards to student editions in use at McKinley Institute of Technology during the current school year (2021-2022).

Year and month in which the data were collected		August 2021	
Subject	Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption ?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Amplify Education Inc. ©2015, 6th grade through 8th grade – State Approved, Board Adopted 2015 Inside Language, Literacy and Content ©2006, (4th grade through 8th grade Intervention / English Language Development) – State Approved 2008	Yes	0%
Mathematics	CPM Educational Program, Core Connections, Courses 1-3 ©2013, 6th through 8th grade. – State Approved, Board Adopted in 2016	Yes	0%
Science	Amplify Science ©2018, 6th grade through 8th grade – State Approved, Board Adopted 2021	Yes	0%
History-Social Science	Teachers' Curriculum Institute, History Alive! ©2004/2005, 6th grade through 8th grade – State Approved, Board Adopted 2006	Yes	0%
Foreign Language			
Health			
Visual and Performing Arts			
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)			

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

MIT was originally constructed in 1927, with additions to the campus occurring in 1939 in the 1950s and again in 2005 . MIT is currently comprised of 24 classrooms, two computer labs, two science labs, one library, one multi-purpose room, one gymnasium, a television studio, one staff lounge, a playground, and an athletic field.

The school was completely modernized in 1998, and further renovated in 2002 as the result of two separate bond projects (Measures A & B). Measure A renovations were completed by August 2001 and included renovation of the administrative support area.

Measure B projects have been completed at variable times over the past three years and have included/will include: installation of energy-efficient lighting in all buildings, and new lighting in the auditorium; remodeling of the kitchen; construction of a 6,500 square foot dedicated gymnasium, and a maintenance and storage building; replacement of window coverings, the sidewalk in front of the library, areas behind play field backstops, fencing, and the auditorium; rebuilding of the play field and drainage system along the field; resurfacing of the playground areas and parking lot; fresh painting on all buildings; and campus-wide American Disabilities Act (ADA) improvements. The following chart displays the results of the most recent school facilities inspection.

Recently we completed an extensive upgrade of the auditorium including stage flooring, seating numbers, new curtains, light upgrades, sound upgrades as well as landscaping in the front of the school. This project was coordinated with Rebuilding Together and other local partners such as EA arts and Google.

Year and month of the most recent FIT report

6/6/2021

System Inspected	Rate Good	Rate Fair	Rate Poor	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces		X		Room 200: High storage (WO# 90006) Room 207/Staff: Stacked appliances (WO# 90008) Room 210: High Storage (WO# 90006) Room 210: High Storage (WO# 90000) Library: Carpet needs repair (WO# 90012)
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	X			Staff Room: Needs soap (WO# 90018)
Electrical		X		Room 122: Needs wall plate (WO# 90010) Room 113: Wire mold (WO# 90015) Room 211: Cord cover. (WO# 90009) Room 213: Cord cover (WO# 90009)
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X			Room 117: Faucet not working (WO# 90013) Boys RR: Toilet running (WO# 90017)
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			Room 115: Needs fire extinguisher (WO# 90011) Room 202: Blocked Vent (WO# 90007) Room 206: (Blocked Vent (WO# 90008)
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			Gym: Wood under faucet needs replacement (WO# 90014)

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			
---	---	--	--	--

Overall Facility Rate

Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
	X		

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

Statewide Assessments

(i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities).

The CAASPP System encompasses the following assessments and student participation requirements:

1. **Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for ELA** in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
2. **Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for mathematics** in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
3. **California Science Test (CAST) and CAAs for Science** in grades five, eight, and once in high school (i.e., grade ten, eleven, or twelve).

SARC Reporting in the 2020-2021 School Year Only

Where the most viable option, LEAs were required to administer the statewide summative assessment in ELA and mathematics. Where a statewide summative assessment was not the most viable option for the LEA (or for one or more grade-level[s] within the LEA) due to the pandemic, LEAs were allowed to report results from a different assessment that met the criteria established by the State Board of Education (SBE) on March 16, 2021. The assessments were required to be:

- Aligned with CA CCSS for ELA and mathematics;
- Available to students in grades 3 through 8, and grade 11; and
- Uniformly administered across a grade, grade span, school, or district to all eligible students.

Options

Note that the CAAs could only be administered in-person following health and safety requirements. If it was not viable for the LEA to administer the CAAs in person with health and safety guidelines in place, the LEA was directed to not administer the tests. There were no other assessment options available for the CAAs. Schools administered the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for ELA and mathematics, other assessments that meet the SBE criteria, or a combination of both, and they could only choose one of the following:

- Smarter Balanced ELA and mathematics summative assessments;
- Other assessments meeting the SBE criteria; or
- Combination of Smarter Balanced ELA and mathematics summative assessments and other assessments.

The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard on CAASPP

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA and mathematics for all students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

The 2019-2020 data cells with N/A values indicate that the 2019-2020 data are not available due to the COVID-19 pandemic and resulting summative test suspension. The Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year.

The 2020-2021 data cells have N/A values because these data are not comparable to other year data due to the COVID-19 pandemic during the 2020-2021 school year. Where the CAASPP assessments in ELA and/or mathematics is not the most viable option, the LEAs were allowed to administer local assessments. Therefore, the 2020-2021 data between school years for the school, district, state are not an accurate comparison. As such, it is inappropriate to compare results of the 2020-2021 school year to other school years.

Subject	School 2019-20	School 2020-21	District 2019-20	District 2020-21	State 2019-20	State 2020-21
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

2020-21 CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment. The CDE will populate this table for schools in cases where the school administered the CAASPP assessment. In cases where the school administered a local assessment instead of CAASPP, the CDE will populate this table with “NT” values, meaning this school did not test students using the CAASPP. See the local assessment(s) table for more information.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	328	NT	NT	NT	NT
Female	147	NT	NT	NT	NT
Male	181	NT	NT	NT	NT
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0
Asian	--	NT	NT	NT	NT
Black or African American	--	NT	NT	NT	NT
Filipino	--	NT	NT	NT	NT
Hispanic or Latino	302	NT	NT	NT	NT
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	NT	NT	NT	NT
Two or More Races	--	NT	NT	NT	NT
White	12	NT	NT	NT	NT
English Learners	122	NT	NT	NT	NT
Foster Youth	--	NT	NT	NT	NT
Homeless	--	NT	NT	NT	NT
Military	0	0	0	0	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	279	NT	NT	NT	NT
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	NT	NT	NT	NT
Students with Disabilities	82	NT	NT	NT	NT

2020-21 CAASPP Test Results in Math by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Math by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment. The CDE will populate this table for schools in cases where the school administered the CAASPP assessment. In cases where the school administered a local assessment instead of CAASPP, the CDE will populate this table with “NT” values, meaning this school did not test students using the CAASPP. See the local assessment(s) table for more information.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	328	NT	NT	NT	NT
Female	147	NT	NT	NT	NT
Male	181	NT	NT	NT	NT
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0
Asian	--	NT	NT	NT	NT
Black or African American	--	NT	NT	NT	NT
Filipino	--	NT	NT	NT	NT
Hispanic or Latino	302	NT	NT	NT	NT
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	NT	NT	NT	NT
Two or More Races	--	NT	NT	NT	NT
White	12	NT	NT	NT	NT
English Learners	122	NT	NT	NT	NT
Foster Youth	--	NT	NT	NT	NT
Homeless	--	NT	NT	NT	NT
Military	0	0	0	0	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	279	NT	NT	NT	NT
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	NT	NT	NT	NT
Students with Disabilities	82	NT	NT	NT	NT

2020-21 Local Assessment Test Results in ELA by Student Group

This table displays Local Assessment test results in ELA by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven. LEAs/schools will populate this table for schools in cases where the school administered a local assessment. In cases where the school administered the CAASPP assessment, LEAs/schools will populate this table with “N/A” values in all cells, meaning this table is Not Applicable for this school.

iREADY Student Groups	iREADY Total Enrollment	iREADY Number Tested	iREADY Percent Tested	iREADY Percent Not Tested	iREADY Percent At or Above Grade Level
All Students	328	263	80%	20%	29%
Female	147	123	84%	16%	40%
Male	181	140	77%	23%	19%
Asian	3	*	*	*	*
Black or African American	4	*	*	*	*

Hispanic or Latino	302	243	80%	20%	29%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	6	*	*	*	*
White	13	10	77%	23%	30%
English Learners	122	89	73%	27%	9%
Students with Disabilities	83	64	77%	23%	8%

*At or above the grade-level standard in the context of the local assessment administered.

2020-21 Local Assessment Test Results in Math by Student Group

This table displays Local Assessment test results in Math by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven. LEAs/schools will populate this table for schools in cases where the school administered a local assessment. In cases where the school administered the CAASPP assessment, LEAs/schools will populate this table with "N/A" values in all cells, meaning this table is Not Applicable for this school.

iREADY Student Groups	iREADY Total Enrollment	iREADY Number Tested	iREADY Percent Tested	iREADY Percent Not Tested	iREADY Percent At or Above Grade Level
All Students	328	306	93%	7%	20%
Female	147	136	93%	7%	24%
Male	181	170	94%	6%	17%
Asian	3	*	*	*	*
Black or African American	4	*	*	*	*
Hispanic or Latino	302	280	93%	7%	19%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	6	*	*	*	*
White	13	13	100%	0%	23%
English Learners	122	112	92%	8%	3%
Students with Disabilities	83	71	86%	14%	6%

*At or above the grade-level standard in the context of the local assessment administered.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

This table displays the percentage of all students grades five, eight, and High School meeting or exceeding the State Standard.

The 2019-2020 data cells with N/A values indicate that the 2019-2020 data are not available due to the COVID-19 pandemic and resulting summative testing suspension. The Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year.

For any 2020-2021 data cells with N/T values indicate that this school did not test students using the CAASPP Science.

Subject	School 2019-20	School 2020-21	District 2019-20	District 2020-21	State 2019-20	State 2020-21
Science (grades 5, 8 and high school)	N/A	NT	N/A	NT	N/A	28.72

2020-21 CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Science by student group for students grades five, eight, and High School. For any data cells with N/T values indicate that this school did not test students using the CAASPP Science.

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	127	NT	NT	NT	NT
Female	60	NT	NT		
Male	67	NT	NT		
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0
Asian	0	0	0	0	0
Black or African American	0	0	0	0	0
Filipino	--	NT	NT	NT	NT
Hispanic or Latino	117	NT	NT	NT	NT
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	NT	NT	NT	NT
Two or More Races	0	0	0	0	0
White	--	NT	NT	NT	NT
English Learners	49	NT	NT	NT	NT
Foster Youth	0	0	0	0	0
Homeless	0	0	0	0	0
Military	0	0	0	0	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	108	NT	NT	NT	NT
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	NT	NT	NT	NT
Students with Disabilities	26	NT	NT	NT	NT

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8): Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education.

2020-21 California Physical Fitness Test Results

Due to the COVID-19 crisis, the Physical Fitness Test was suspended during the 2020-2021 school year and therefore no data are reported and each cell in this table is populated with "N/A."

Grade Level	Percentage of Students Meeting Four of Six Fitness Standards	Percentage of Students Meeting Five of Six Fitness Standards	Percentage of Students Meeting Six of Six Fitness Standards
Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 9	N/A	N/A	N/A

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3): Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions regarding the school district and at each school site.

2021-22 Opportunities for Parental Involvement

Parents play an important role at McKinley Institute of Technology through active participation and involvement in the School Site Council, English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC), and other support committees. McKinley is very proud of the strong support it receives from the community, including partnerships with Los Ayudantes, Google, Friends for Youth, Spark, Sequoia High School, and Envisoneers. McKinley school also hosts "Coffee with the Principal" once a month for McKinley families. At these meetings, the principal reviews school events and hold a discussion on school-wide initiatives, and also takes families on classroom walkthroughs. McKinley also has three new parent outreach programs.

Parents who wish to participate in McKinley's committees, school activities, or become a volunteer may contact the school at (650) 482-2410, or visit our website at <http://www.rcsdk8.net/mit>

2020-21 Chronic Absenteeism by Student Group

Student Group	Cumulative Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Eligible Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Count	Chronic Absenteeism Rate
All Students	333	332	26	7.8
Female	147	147	12	8.2
Male	186	185	14	7.6
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0.0
Asian	1	1	0	0.0
Black or African American	1	1	0	0.0
Filipino	2	2	0	0.0
Hispanic or Latino	307	306	24	7.8
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	6	6	1	16.7
Two or More Races	4	4	1	25.0
White	12	12	0	0.0
English Learners	130	130	15	11.5
Foster Youth	1	1	0	0.0
Homeless	1	1	0	0.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	286	285	21	7.4
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	3	3	0	0.0
Students with Disabilities	84	83	12	14.5

C. Engagement

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety

Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays suspensions and expulsions data collected between July through June, each full school year respectively. Data collected during the 2020-21 school year may not be comparable to earlier years of this collection due to differences in learning mode instruction in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Subject	School 2018-19	School 2020-21	District 2018-19	District 2020-21	State 2018-19	State 2020-21
Suspensions	6.35	0.00	1.63	0.07	3.47	0.20
Expulsions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.00

This table displays suspensions and expulsions data collected between July through February, partial school year due to the COVID-19 pandemic. The 2019-2020 suspensions and expulsions rate data are not comparable to other year data because the 2019-2020 school year is a partial school year due to the COVID-19 crisis. As such, it would be inappropriate to make any comparisons in rates of suspensions and expulsions in the 2019-2020 school year compared to other school years.

Subject	School 2019-20	District 2019-20	State 2019-20
Suspensions	1.03	1.92	2.45
Expulsions	0.00	0.00	0.05

2020-21 Suspensions and Expulsions by Student Group

Student Group	Suspensions Rate	Expulsions Rate
All Students	0.00	0.00
Female	0.00	0.00
Male	0.00	0.00
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.00	0.00
Asian	0.00	0.00
Black or African American	0.00	0.00
Filipino	0.00	0.00
Hispanic or Latino	0.00	0.00
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.00	0.00
Two or More Races	0.00	0.00
White	0.00	0.00
English Learners	0.00	0.00
Foster Youth	0.00	0.00
Homeless	0.00	0.00
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged		
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0.00	0.00
Students with Disabilities		

2021-22 School Safety Plan

Safety of students and staff is a top priority for McKinley Institute of Technology. The School Site Safety Plan is evaluated and revised annually in the fall by school faculty and administrators. Revisions to the Safety Plan are communicated to all staff members annually. Key elements of the Safety Plan include: Security Procedures, Emergency and Medical Procedures, Disaster Preparedness and Campus Climate. We employ a Proactive School Wide Student Support Program to ensure a safe, effective, and meaningful academic and social environment.

The school is in compliance with the laws, rules, and regulations pertaining to hazardous materials and state earthquake standards. The school's disaster preparedness plan includes steps for ensuring student and staff safety during an emergency. Fire drills are held each month; earthquake drills are held three times a year; and the school conducts two lockdown drills annually.

To ensure student safety, supervision is provided on campus at all times. Teachers and administrators supervise students before and after school and during breaks. All visitors to the school must sign in and out at the office, and must have prior authorization for classroom visits.

2018-19 Secondary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2018-19 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled “Number of Classes” indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Subject	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-22 Students	Number of Classes with 23-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
English Language Arts	23	8	14	
Mathematics	24	3	7	1
Science	24	3	6	2
Social Science	22	4	8	

2019-20 Secondary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2019-20 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled “Number of Classes” indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Subject	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-22 Students	Number of Classes with 23-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
English Language Arts	24	8	14	1
Mathematics	21	4	8	
Science	24	3	6	2
Social Science	24	4	4	3

2020-21 Secondary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2020-21 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled “Number of Classes” indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Subject	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-22 Students	Number of Classes with 23-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
English Language Arts	21	9	7	1
Mathematics	18	9	4	
Science	24	4	5	1
Social Science	24	4	4	2

2020-21 Ratio of Pupils to Academic Counselor

This table displays the ratio of pupils to Academic Counselor. One full time equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Ratio
Pupils to Academic Counselor	

2020-21 Student Support Services Staff

This table displays the number of FTE support staff assigned to this school. One full time equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School
Counselor (Academic, Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0
Psychologist	0
Social Worker	0
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	0

2019-20 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries

This table displays the 2019-20 expenditures per pupil and average teach salary for this school. Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$14,622	\$5,327	\$9,295	\$92,933
District	N/A	N/A	\$8,336	\$84,874
Percent Difference - School Site and District	N/A	N/A	10.9	9.1
State			\$8,444	\$85,863
Percent Difference - School Site and State	N/A	N/A	9.6	7.9

2020-21 Types of Services Funded

Federal Funding:

Title I: Basic Grants Low Income
 Title I: Migrant Education
 Special Education
 Title II: Part A Teacher Quality
 Title III: Limited English Proficiency
 Medi-Cal Billing Option

State Funding:

After School Education and Safety ("ASES")
 Lottery: Instructional Materials
 Special Education

2019-20 Teacher and Administrative Salaries

This table displays the 2019-20 Teacher and Administrative salaries. For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certification Salaries & Benefits web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts in Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$53,140	\$52,060
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$81,301	\$84,043
Highest Teacher Salary	\$103,420	\$107,043
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$139,760	\$133,582
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$139,760	\$138,803
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$0	\$133,845
Superintendent Salary	\$226,071	\$240,628
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	29%	35%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	6%	5%

Professional Development

2021-22

The focus for districtwide Professional Development (PD) has been the connection of teaching strategies to our evolving “Student Learner” profile: Students as knowledge constructors, effective communicators, and empowered learners. Three days of PD were offered to all teachers prior to the beginning of the school year, and each topic was explicitly linked to one of these attributes.

In addition, with close to 95% of our students returning to in-person instruction, the social emotional health and wellbeing of our students, staff and community have been a focus in engaging outside resources for training and workshops.

The development of teacher leadership is highlighted this year through “Lead Learner” structures, bringing together teachers from across the district to delve deeply into subject areas with an equity lens.

Underlying and fortifying all of this work continues to be our commitment to equitable practices and our systemic approach to analyzing our current system for biases and inequities. This work is currently being undertaken by the Multi Tiered Systems of Support (MTSS) District Leadership Team (DLT).

2020-21

The focus for PD during a school year in which the majority of instruction took place virtually, was on providing excellent distance learning opportunities for all students, regardless of grade level. All students were provided with the necessary devices and hot spots to be able to participate in daily synchronous and asynchronous instruction. Teachers received 5 days of training at the beginning of the school year in a variety of online tools and applications. Throughout the year, Doug Fisher, of Corwin Press, presented key aspects of designing high quality distance learning lessons: clarity, engaging, feedback, and supporting the students as drivers of their own learning.

National Urban Alliance (NUA) mentors and coaches worked with teachers in grades 4-8 across the district to develop rigorous, student-centered instruction through opportunities for collaboration, creativity, communication, and critical thinking.

2019-20

In the beginning of the 2019-20 school year, school staff were trained in the implementation of the Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) framework, accompanied by Positive Behavior Interventions and Support. Each school developed an MTSS Leadership Team, designed to develop leveled supports for students in the academics, behavior, and social-emotional domains. While this work began during this school year, it was interrupted in 2020-21 by the pandemic, but has been renewed in 2021-22.

The Sobrato Early Academic Language (SEAL) initiative continued for part of this school year (interrupted by the pandemic). The focus of this training was to promote language and literacy development through integrated units of study.

This table displays the number of school days dedicated to staff development and continuous improvement.

Subject	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement	7	6	4