

Ludlow Independent School District ARP ESSER Plan July 2021

During the 2020-2021 school year, the Ludlow Independent School District adhered with the recommendation of the Governor of Kentucky, Kentucky Department of Education, and Centers for Disease Control for temperature checks, social distancing, mitigation strategies, and masking of all students and staff. To manage this for the school year, the Ludlow Independent School District used a hybrid schedule for in-person instruction with half the students attending in the morning and the other half of students attending in the afternoon. All students were also given a virtual option in lieu of in-person instruction, if preferred by families. During the time of high community spread, the district moved to fully virtual for all students during the first four weeks of school and for seven weeks in the middle of the school year. Starting March 14th, all students were given the option to return to all-day, in-person instruction. The vast majority of students in both schools returned to all-day, in-person instruction by the 4th quarter. With the truncated time for in-person instruction during the first three quarters of the school year, focus for the elementary school was on reading and math during in-person instruction part of the day, science and social studies were completed at home utilizing Google Classroom. Middle school and high school students attended in-person instruction of half of classes on alternating days. Due to this abbreviated schedule and half of the instructional time taking place outside of school, student achievement data indicated that a majority of students had less than adequate growth in all academic areas by the end of the 2020-2021 year. Most students did progress throughout the school year academically, but most did not meet their yearly growth goals.

Moving forward from this past school year, the district was able to offer all-day instruction for the final 40 days of school. Summer school and 21st Century programs were offered to approximately 20% of enrolled students following the final day of school and throughout the month of June 2021. These summer programs ranged from three hours to six

hours a day; and from 15 to 20 days of instruction, based on the students' needs. In preparation for the 2021-2022 school year, plans are in place to address student academic and emotional needs to assist students back into regular routines and achieving academic goals.

As a part of the planning process for the upcoming year, district and school administration met with teacher leaders from each of the schools to identify the concerns from those who work with students on an on-going basis. Additionally, an on-line survey was shared with all parents, students, staff, and community members to solicit input for improvements and utilizing ESSER funds for this upcoming year and in the years to come. Results of the survey were collected and shared with district administration and board of education to help drive discussion and decision-making for planning. Input ranged from academic, social-emotional, special needs services, to facility upgrades. Feedback for academic recovery included additional interventionists, academic programming, professional development for staff, additional instructional times and days, along with events for family engagement. Feedback in regards to social-emotional needs of students included additional counseling services with both schoolbased and outside agencies.

With this feedback, school administration, staff, and the Ludlow Board of Education developed this plan to address many of the concerns and ideas put forth by stakeholders. This plan includes items that address academic and social-emotional needs of the students in the district. Items included are additional personnel, additional instructional time, additional mental health counselors, and a process to oversee the additional programming and personnel.

Part I: The extent to which and how funds will be used to implement prevention and

mitigation strategies consistent with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

guidance on reopening schools?

Prior to the 2020-2021 school year, an evaluation of facilities was conducted to create an environment of social distancing and other mitigation strategies. The results of this evaluation led to purchasing of furniture, supplies, and personnel to create a safe environment for those who chose in-person instruction. Technology was also purchased for both students and staff to provide for a robust virtual learning environment.

Purchase	Description
Chromebooks were purchased	Prior Chromebooks inventory had reached the end of their
for each student enrolled	useful life expectancy. With this purchase, each student was
within the school district	provided a new devise, regardless of their financial situation.
	New student desks were purchased to provide for six feet
New student desks	separation for all students during in-person instruction
	throughout the school day. Previous tables did not allow for
	six feet of separation of students
	With students unable to share a water fountain, bottle fill
Bottle fill station	stations were purchase in place of current water fountains so
	all students could bring and fill their own water bottles during
	the school day.
	To provide for quick temperature checks for all students and
	staff, temperature check equipment was purchased for each
Temperature check equipment	entrance of the school. This provided a way for all people
	entering the schools to be checked for fever and recorded for
	contact tracing.
	With the new responsibilities for Covid protocols, contact
	tracing, parent communication, and communication with the
Community Liaison position	local health department, the district created a position to
	handle only these responsibilities during the 2020-2021
	school year.
	Hand sanitizer dispensers were installed in each classroom for
	frequent use. Fogging machines were purchased to disinfect
Additional cleaning supplies	rooms between in-person instruction sessions. Additional
	disinfectants, paper towels, and gloves were purchased for
	each classroom in the district.
Personal Protective	Masks, dividers, partitions, gloves, and visors were purchased
Equipment	to reduce the spread of Covid

Part II: How the local education agency (LEA) will use funds to address the academic impact of lost instructional time through the implementation of evidence-based interventions.

With the loss of in-person instruction during the 2020-2021 school year, many students did not meet their yearly progress in the academic areas. Planning for academic recovery began in the spring of 2021 to develop plans to address student needs beginning in March 2021, when students returned to all-day in-person instruction. Additional teachers were hired for the 4th quarter of school to reduce class sizes to provide social distancing and to provide for small group instruction. As the school year was finishing up, all students were assessed in reading and math utilizing MAP to determine their academic progress for the school year. Fifty-two elementary students and 62 middle school/high school students were identified to attend summer school for the month of June to provide additional academic support and for credit recovery for students that did not pass courses. Our 21st Century grant programs of SHINE and SOAR provided summertime enrichment for 40 elementary students and 32 middle school students. Planning for the 2021-2022 school year will utilize funds to provide for additional personnel for interventions, additional programming, and additional instructional time to address student needs. Funding will also be utilized for addressing social-emotional needs of students as they return for the school year.

Purchase	Description	Program	Citation for Evidence-Based Practice
	On-line reading		Kamil, M. L., Borman, G. D., Dole, J., Kral, C. C., Salinger,
	program for	Lexia	T., and Torgesen, J. (2008). Improving adolescent literacy:
Lexia Reading	grades		Effective classroom and intervention practices: A Practice
Program	Kindergarten		Guide (NCEE #2008-4027). Washington, DC: National
	through 6 th		Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance,
	grade for all		Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of
	students for 20		Education.
	minutes a day to		https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/Docs/PracticeGuide/adlit_pg_08
	address deficits		<u>2608.pdf</u>
	in individual		
	reading skills for		Lexia: WWC results indicate an improvement index of 11
	each student		for alphabetics and 11 for comprehension

Accelerated Reader	Accelerated Readers is a program that assesses student comprehension of books that are read in and out of school	Accelerated Reader	 Black, P., & William, D. (1998). Inside the black box: Raising standards through classroom assessment. <i>Phi Delta Kappan</i>, 92, 81 -90. http://weaeducation.typepad.co.uk/files/blackbox-1.pdf O'Connor, John (2009) Turning Average Instruction Into Great Instruction. Rowman & Littlefield Education. O'Connor, John (2016) Great Instruction, Great Achievement for Students with Disabilities. Accelerated Readers: WWC results indicate an improvement index of 2 for adolescent literacy comprehension.
Primary Literacy Interventionist and Intervention Programs	Primary Literacy Interventionist will assess students in grades Kindergarten to 3 rd grade for needs in the areas of reading and writing. Assessments will include universal screeners in MAP, STAR, and Curriculum- based measures. Students that fall below the 25 th percentile will receive tiered interventions for the identified area(s).	Handwriting Without Tears Orton- Gillingham SPIRE Saxon Phonics	 Kamil, M. L., Borman, G. D., Dole, J., Kral, C. C., Salinger, T., and Torgesen, J. (2008). Improving adolescent literacy: Effective classroom and intervention practices: A Practice Guide (NCEE #2008-4027). Washington, DC: National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education. https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/Docs/PracticeGuide/adlit_pg_ 082608.pdf HWT: The American Journal of Occupational Therapy study in 2015, results indicate students using HWT scored significantly higher than the control group of Kindergarten printing. Orton-Gillingham: pioneered the multisensory approach to teaching reading, which is a common part of effective literacy programs. This means that instructors use sight, hearing, touch, and movement to help students connect language with letters and words. Orton–Gillingham is widely used to teach students with dyslexia. SPIRE is an intensive reading intervention program incorporating the latest reading research regarding how dyslexic students learn to read. SPIRE is based on the Orton-Gillingham approach. According to the Florida Center for Reading Research: Strengths of Sounds Sensible and S.P.I.R.E, scope and sequence is evident and each lesson provides multiple practice opportunities of previously learned skills to attain mastery. According to the Florida Center for Reading Research: In sum, Saxon Phonics and Spelling is founded on research principles that have been shown repeatedly to be effective in the development of young readers. Although there is indication from a number of informal evaluations that Saxon Phonics and Spelling may be an effective program in teaching early reading skills, studies with more complete information and higher research standards (e.g., use of appropriate control groups) are needed to confirm this efficacy.

Primary Math Interventionist and Intervention Program	The Math Achievement Fund Grant provide a large portion of funds for a Primary Math Interventionist, with ESSER funds providing the remaining funds for salary and professional development. The Primary Math Interventionist will assess students in grades Kindergarten to 3 rd grade for needs in the areas of math. Assessments will include universal screeners in MAP, STAR, and Curriculum- based measures (CBM). Students that fall below the 25 th percentile will receive tiered interventions for the identified area(s).	Add+Vantag e MR (AVMR) is Math Recovery program provided as Tier III math intervention for students in the primary grade	 Gersten, R., Beckmann, S., Clarke, B., Foegen, A., Marsh, L., Star, J. R., & Witzel, B. (2009). Assisting students struggling with mathematics: Response to Intervention (RtI) for elementary and middle schools (NCEE 2009-4060). Washington, DC: National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education. https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/Docs/PracticeGuide/rti_math_pg_042109.pdf O'Connor, John (2009) Turning Average Instruction Into Great Instruction. Rowman & Littlefield Education. AVMR: Math Recovery® students made an average gain of 2.22 grade levels of growth in one school year while students from the other intervention made an average of 1.56 years growth. Furthermore, when looking at the percentage of students who reached grade level expectations by the end of the year for the entire sample, 70% of the Math Recovery® students achieved grade level expectations whereas only 29% of the students from the other intervention achieved grade level expectations are presented by the students from the other intervention achieved grade level expectations are presented by the students from the other intervention achieved grade level expectations are presented by the students from the other intervention achieved grade level expectations are presented by the students from the other intervention achieved grade level expectations are presented by the students from the other intervention achieved grade level actions achieved grade level expectations are presented by the students from the other intervention achieved grade level expectations even though they had initially scored higher at pretest than the Math Recovery® intervention participants (Ludwig, Jordan, Maltbie, & Marks, 2007)
Intermediate Grades Interventionist and Intervention Programs	The Intermediate Grades Interventionist will provide reading, writing, and math intervention to students that fall below the 25 th percentile based on MAP and STAR. Identified students in grades 4 th -6 th will receive		 Kamil, M. L., Borman, G. D., Dole, J., Kral, C. C., Salinger, T., and Torgesen, J. (2008). Improving adolescent literacy: Effective classroom and intervention practices: A Practice Guide (NCEE #2008-4027). Washington, DC: National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education. <u>https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/Docs/PracticeGuide/adlit_pg_082608.pdf</u> Gersten, R., Beckmann, S., Clarke, B., Foegen, A., Marsh, L., Star, J. R., & Witzel, B. (2009). Assisting students struggling with mathematics: Response to Intervention (RtI) for elementary and middle schools (NCEE 2009-4060). Washington, DC: National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education.

	intervention in		https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/Docs/PracticeGuide/rti math
	math utilizing		<u>pg_042109.pdf</u>
	Do the Math and		
	Math 180.		
	Identified	Do the Math	Do the Math: Four efficacy studies in Florida, New York,
	students in		Georgia, and California indicate significant improvement for
	reading will		students that participated with Do the Math.
	receive		students that participated with Do the Math.
	interventions		
	utilizing System	System	Dead 190, WWC moults indicate on improvement index of 6
	44 and Read	44/Read 180	Read 180: WWC results indicate an improvement index of 6
	180. These	44/ KCau 100	for comprehension, 4 for literacy achievement, and 4 for
	interventions		reading fluency.
	will take place	N 1 100	
	during the	Math 180	A study conducted by JEM&R found that Math 180 results
	school day,		showed that use of the MATH 180 Digital Access Solution
	outside of the		was associated with significant improvements in
	core instruction		mathematical performance, $p < .01$. On average, students
	time for		using the solution improved by 159.1 Quantile measures.
	identified		This level of growth exceeded the average performance
	students		typically seen in this cohort.
	The Middle		Kamil, M. L., Borman, G. D., Dole, J., Kral, C. C., Salinger, T.,
	Grades		and Torgesen, J. (2008). Improving adolescent literacy:
	Interventionist		Effective classroom and intervention practices: A Practice
	will provide		
	reading, writing,		Guide (NCEE #2008-4027). Washington, DC: National Center
	and math		for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, Institute
	intervention to		of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education.
	students that fall		https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/Docs/PracticeGuide/adlit_pg_
	below the 25 th		<u>082608.pdf</u>
Middle Grades	percentile based		
Interventionist	on MAP and		Gersten, R., Beckmann, S., Clarke, B., Foegen, A., Marsh, L.,
inter ventionist	STAR.		Star, J. R., & Witzel, B. (2009). Assisting students struggling
	Identified		with mathematics: Response to Intervention (Rtl) for
	students in		elementary and middle schools (NCEE 2009-4060).
			Washington, DC: National Center for Education Evaluation
	grades 7 th and		and Regional Assistance, Institute of Education Sciences, U.S.
	8 th will receive		Department of Education.
	intervention in		
	math utilizing		https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/Docs/PracticeGuide/rti math
	Math 180.		pg_042109.pdf
	Identified		
	students in		
		D 1100	
		Read 180	
			reading fluency.
		Math 180	A study conducted by JEM&R found that Math 180 results
	-		showed that use of the MATH 180 Digital Access Solution
	school day,		was associated with significant improvements in
	during the		mathematical performance, $p < .01$. On average, students
	students'		using the solution improved by 159.1 Quantile measures.
	elective classes		This level of growth exceeded the average performance
			typically seen in this cohort.
	reading will receive intervention utilizing Read 180. These interventions will take place during the school day, during the students'	Read 180 Math 180	showed that use of the <i>MATH 180</i> Digital Access Solution was associated with significant improvements in mathematical performance, $p < .01$. On average, students using the solution improved by 159.1 Quantile measures. This level of growth exceeded the average performance

	Prior to the	Measures of	Black, P., & William, D. (1998). Inside the black box:
Parent	beginning of the	Academic	Raising standards through classroom assessment. <i>Phi Delta</i>
Communication	2021-2022	Progress	Kappan, 92, 81 -90.
Advocates (50	school year, all	(MAP)	http://weaeducation.typepad.co.uk/files/blackbox-1.pdf
stipends)	students will	(1.1.1.)	
superios)	attend a day of		
	orientation/instr		Foorman, B., Beyler, N., Borradaile, K., Coyne, M., Denton, C.
	uction to receive	Star	A., Dimino, J., Furgeson, J., Hayes, L., Henke, J., Justice, L.,
	classroom and	Assessments	Keating, B., Lewis, W., Sattar, S., Streke, A., Wagner, R., &
	academic		Wissel, S. (2016). Foundational skills to support reading for
	expectations for		understanding in kindergarten through 3rd grade (NCEE
	the school year		2016-4008). Washington, DC: National Center for Education
	from their	Curriculum-	. –
	teacher, along	Based	Evaluation and Regional Assistance (NCEE), Institute of
	with being	Measures	Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education.
	assessed	(CBM)	https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/Docs/practiceguide/wwc_foun
	utilizing MAP,	× /	d_reading_summary_051517.pdf
	STAR, and		
	CBM.		Shanahan, T., Callison, K., Carriere, C., Duke, N. K., Pearson,
	Teachers will		P. D., Schatschneider, C., & Torgesen, J. (2010). Improving
	then host parent-		reading comprehension in kindergarten through 3rd grade: A
	teacher		practice guide (NCEE 2010-4038). Washington, DC: National
	conferences with		Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance,
	all parents to		Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of
	reviews		Education.
	assessment		https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/Docs/PracticeGuide/readingco
	results,		mp pg 092810.pdf
	expectations,		
	and instructional		O'Connor, John (2009) Turning Average Instruction Into
	programming		Great Instruction. Rowman & Littlefield Education.
	for the school		
	year. Before		O'Connor, John (2016) Great Instruction, Great
	the first day of		Achievement for Students with Disabilities.
	school, all		
	students will		
	have been		
	assessed, placed		
	into tiered		
	interventions (if		
	needed), and all		
	information		
	communicated		
	to parents.		

Part III: How the LEA will spend the remainder of its funds

The LEA will utilize the remainder of the funds to provide academic support and socialemotional support for students and families over the course of the next three year. Programs and services listed in section two will continue for the next three years to address academic recovery due to the pandemic. Additional funds from ESSER will be used to provide social-emotional assessments and therapy to students. Students will be assess using the Terrace Metrics programs to identify areas of concern in the area of their social-emotional well-being. Results will be analyzed by the guidance counselors and staff from each school. Concerns identified will be discussed and communicated to parents. Funding will create multiple layers of support for students, including counseling service from school guidance counselors, along with mental health therapy from certified therapist on-campus. Funding will also support appropriate mitigation strategies to stop the spread of the Covid virus, including cleaning supplies and facility adjustments for social distancing and disinfecting.

Part IV: How the LEA will ensure that interventions address the academic impact of lost instructional time and respond to the academic, social emotional and mental health needs of all students.

As a part of the ESSER funds, a Director of Teaching and Learning was hired to coordinate and assess the effectiveness of all academic recovery. The Director of Teaching and Learning will analyze assessment data of all students within the district, develop professional developing planning for instruction, create intervention programming for students performing below grade-level, and coordinate additional services to support students and staff. All students will be universally screened using MAP and Star assessments, three times a year in reading and math to determine academic performance as compared to grade level standards. Students in the primary grades will also be assessed using MAP and Star but will also be assessed using Curriculum-Based Measures (CBM) in both reading and math for individual skills. Students performing below the 25th percentile will be identified for tiered intervention in literacy and math. Students that receive interventions will be progress monitored weekly to assess progress.

Every eight weeks, progress data will be reviewed by the Advisory Team to develop the next step for each identified students, such as advancing to a more intensive intervention.

Interventions provided are all research-based and will be instructed with fidelity, as supervised

by the Director of Teaching and Learning.

Director of Student Support Services will over the social-emotional assessments and the providing of care for students. Assessment data from Terrace Metrics will be used to identify students in social-emotional need. Guidance counselors will review data and develop individual plans for students in need. Layers of interventions will be provided to all students, including therapy provided by a certified mental health therapist. Director of Student Support Services will also organize parent events, parent communication, and supervise the assessment and standard of counseling services for the district.

Mental Health	Trauma	Courtney Wiest-Stevenson	Creating trauma informed and trauma
Wellness &	Informed	& Cindy Lee (2016)	sensitive schools will continue to be an
Social	Schools	Trauma-Informed Schools,	area of focus for Ludlow Independent
Emotional		Journal of Evidence-	School District. The district will utilize
Learning		Informed Social Work, 13:5,	Trauma Teams at the district and
		498-503, DOI:	building level to build capacity to
		10.1080/23761407.2016.11	effectively and efficiently respond to
		66855	student trauma within the district.
			Members of the Trauma Teams will
		Longhi, D. (2015). Higher	continue to be paid a stipend in order to
		resilience and school	build capacity in all schools with the
		performance among	goals of:
		students with	
		disproportionately high	a.) Understanding trauma, types of
		adverse childhood	trauma and traumatic stress
		experiences (ACEs) at	b.) Trauma and the brain
		Lincoln High, in Walla	c.) Trauma Teams roles and
		Walla, Washington, 2009 to	responsibilities (data tracking)
		2013. Olympia, WA:	d.) What is a Trauma Sensitive School
		Participatory Research	e.) Handle with Care: Responding to
		Consulting, LLC.	Trauma Exposed Students
		https://www.pacesconnectio	L L
		n.com/fileSendAction/fcTyp	
		e/0/fcOid/46630710327977	
		0868/filePointer/466307103	
		281285022/fodoid/4663071	
		03281285018/LH%20report	
		%20final%20%281%29.pdf	

Missouri Department of	Funding may provide additional staffing
Health. (2019). The Missouri Model: A	for school counselors who will
developmental framework	participate in the trauma team, track school level data, create and manage the
for trauma informed	school referral pathway, and make best
approaches. MO Dept. of	practice recommendations for trauma
Mental Health and Partners.	interventions.
https://dmh.mo.gov/media/p df/missouri-model-	ARP funds may be used to purchase a
developmental-framework-	series of professional books for
trauma-informed-	employees in order to participate in
<u>approaches</u>	books studies around trauma sensitive
	schools and self-care. Stipends may be used to encourage teacher and
Pynoos, R. S, Fairbank, J.	paraprofessional participation as well as
A., Steinberg, A. M.,	fund trauma teams to facilitate on-going
Amaya-Jackson, L., Gerrity,	book studies during the school year and
E., Mount, M. L., & Maze, L (2008) The National	during breaks.
J. (2008). The National Child Traumatic Stress	
Network: Collaborating to	
improve the standard of	
care. Professional	
<i>Psychology: Research and Practice, 39</i> (4), 389–395.	
https://doi.org/10.1037/a001	
2551	
Substance abuse and mental health services	
administration. (2014).	
SAMHSA's Concept of	
Trauma and Guidance for a	
<i>Trauma-Informed Approach</i> . HHS Publication	
No. (SMA) 14-4884.	
Rockville, MD: Substance	
Abuse and Mental Health	
Services Administration. https://ncsacw.samhsa.gov/u	
serfiles/files/SAMHSA_Tra	
uma.pdf	
Trauma Sensitive Schools	
<i>Training Package</i> . (2021). National Center on Safe and	
Supportive Learning	
Environments.	
https://safesupportivelearnin	
g.ed.gov/trauma-sensitive- schools-training-package	
sensors training-package	

H	Mental Health Therapists	Substance abuse and mental health services administration. (2014). <i>SAMHSA's Concept of</i> <i>Trauma and Guidance for a</i> <i>Trauma-Informed</i> <i>Approach.</i> HHS Publication No. (SMA) 14-4884. Rockville, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. https://ncsacw.samhsa.gov/u serfiles/files/SAMHSA Tra uma.pdf <i>Trauma Sensitive Schools</i> <i>Training Package.</i> (2021). National Center on Safe and Supportive Learning Environments. https://pg.casel.org/review- programs/	The district plans to utilize funds to hire additional mental health therapists to work at the school and district level to support and provide direct therapy services to students and staff.
---	--------------------------------	---	--

Conclusion

The Ludlow Independent School District has created this plan to address the needs of all students, in both academic and social-emotional, in conjunction with stakeholders of each of our schools. Input from teachers, parents, support personnel, and students were solicited in identifying needs and planning for recovery. Utilization of ESSER funds will provide the personnel and programming the district feels is necessary to address the identified areas that were impacted by Covid and the loss of instructional time starting in March 2020.

The Ludlow Independent School District recognizes the importance of stakeholder feedback and input as students, teachers, administrators, families, community agencies, and local businesses begin to recover from the COVID-19 pandemic. Prior to Ludlow Independent School District creating a recovery plan, stakeholder feedback was solicited in multiple ways. Stakeholder surveys were sent via email to the following groups with 53 responses. Groups included: students enrolled, parents/guardians of all students, parents/students of students with disabilities, and business/community members. In addition to the surveys, most current district needs assessment used for budgeting and the most current CDIP was revisited by administration and the Board of Education. Members involved in the needs assessment and CDIP development included: SBDM, administration, District Facility Planning Committee, teacher leadership group consisting of six teachers, Kentucky Education Association building representatives, local business members, parents, students, Board of Education members. Currently, we do not have a formal civil rights organization we are working with on an on-going basis.

The school district has created a plan that addresses all areas of concern and is considered the best course of action to address loss and for recovery. If during the implementation of the plan adjustments need to be made, stakeholders will be asked to assist in re-adjusting and implementing changes to best serve students and the community. With implementation and fidelity, the district hopes to recover all lost instruction due to Covid and to assist in adjusting the student population back into routines of school.