### California Department of Education

Home / Testing & Accountability / Accountability / Local Educational Agency Accountability Report Card / Local Educational Agency Accountability Report Card Search

# 2016–17 Local Educational Agency Accountability Report Card

Home - Local Educational Agency Accountability Report Card | Search | Complete LEA

Accountability Report Card

<u>LEA Contact Information</u> | <u>Accountability</u> | <u>Graduation Rate</u> | <u>Program Improvement</u> | <u>CAASPP Test</u>

Results

<u>CAASPP Test Results – ELA | CAASPP Test Results – Mathematics | CAASPP Test Results – Science | Teacher Qualifications | NAEP Results</u>

This section provides the local educational agency's (LEA's) contact information

Local Educational Agency Name	Arvin Union
Phone Number	(661) 854-6500
Website	http://www.arvinschools.com
Superintendent	Dr. Michelle McLean, Superintendent
Email Address	mmclean@arvin-do.com
County-District-School (CDS) Code	15-63313-0000000

## Section I. Accountability

## 2017 California School Dashboard Accountability System

The federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (also referred to as ESEA) no longer requires states to produce Adequate Yearly Progress (also referred to as AYP), but requires states to develop a new accountability system by 2017–18. The California School Dashboard (also referred to as the Dashboard) was first released in Spring 2017.

The Dashboard was produced for California's 10,000 public schools in over 1,000 local educational agencies<sup>1</sup> (also referred to as LEAs). The Dashboard is California's new accountability system that is based on multiple measures. These measures are used to determine LEA and school progress toward meeting the needs of their students. The measures are based on factors that contribute to a quality education, including high school graduation rates, college/career readiness, student test scores,

Local Educational Agency Accountability Report Card - Complete Report ... Page 2 of 14

English learner (also referred to as EL) progress, suspension rates, and parent engagement. In the Dashboard, charter schools' (local funded and direct funded) data are not "rolled up" or included in the LEA-level data.

To view LEA information provided on the Dashboard, visit the California Accountability Model and School Dashboard web page at <a href="https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/cm/">https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/cm/</a>.

The Technical Guide to the California School Dashboard is located at <a href="https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/cm/documents/dashboardguidefall17.pdf">https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/cm/documents/dashboardguidefall17.pdf</a>.

<sup>1</sup>A LEA is a school district or a county office of education.

## Section II. Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort)

The Four-Year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate (ACGR) is the number of students who graduate from high school in four years with a regular high school diploma, divided by the number of students who form the adjusted cohort for the graduating class. The four-year cohort is based on the number of students who enter grade 9 for the first time adjusted by adding into the cohort any student who transfers in later during grade 9 or during the next three years and subtracting any student from the cohort who transfers out, emigrates to another country, transfers to a prison or juvenile facility, or dies during that same period.

For more information on the ACGR, visit the Information about Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate web page at <a href="https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/sd/sd/acgrinfo.asp">https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/sd/sd/acgrinfo.asp</a>.

## 2016–17 Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort)

Table 1 displays information on the LEA-level graduation rate (four-year cohort) by student group.

## **Table 1 Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort)**

There is no data for table Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort).

Note 1: Due to rounding, the sum of the cohort graduation rate may not total 100 percent.

Note 2: Socioeconomically disadvantaged (also referred to as SED) students are defined as students: (1) who are eligible for the free or reduced-price lunch program (also known as the National School Lunch Program, or NSLP), or have a direct certification for free or reduced-price meals, or (2) who are migrant, homeless, or foster youth, or (3) where neither of the parents were a high school graduate.

## Section III. Program Improvement

Local Educational Agency Accountability Report Card - Complete Report ... Page 3 of 14

Due to the flexibility allowed by the Every Student Succeeds Act (also referred to as ESSA) that became law in December 2015 and reauthorized the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (also referred to as ESEA), no local educational agency (also referred to as LEA) or school will be newly identified for Program Improvement (also referred to as PI) in the 2017–18 school year. LEAs and schools that received Title I, Part A funds for the 2016–17 school year and were "In PI" in the 2016 –17 school year will retain their same PI status and placement year for 2017–18. LEAs and schools that have a PI status and placement year for 2017–18 must continue to implement the same interventions in the 2017–18 school year as when they were identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring in the 2016–17 school year. However, California elected not to require LEAs and schools to provide Supplemental Educational Services and Choice for the 2017–18 school year, as defined in Section 1116 of the ESEA.

LEAs and schools that received Title I, Part A funds for the 2016–17 school year and either had a status of "Not in PI" for the 2016–17 school year or did not receive Title I, Part A funds for the 2015–16 school year will have a status of "Not in PI" for the 2017–18 school year.

### 2017–18 PI Status of Schools in the LEA

Table 2 displays the LEA's PI status; the number of schools in the LEA that received Title I funds; the number and percent of schools in the LEA that are in PI; and the number of schools in the LEA that are in PI by PI placement year (i.e., years one through five).

Table 2 PI

LEA PI Status	Number of Schools Receiving Title I Funds	Total Number of Schools in Pl	Percent of Schools in Pl	Number of Schools in Year 1	Number of Schools in Year 2	Number of Schools in Year 3	Number of Schools in Year 4	Number of Schools in Year 5
In PI	4	3	75.0%	0	0	0	1	2

Note: Direct-funded charter school results are reported at the school-level only and are not considered in LEA-level PI status determinations.

## Section IV. California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Test Results

The California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress (also referred to as CAASPP) System was established on January 1, 2014. The CAASPP System replaced the Standardized Testing and Reporting Program, which became inoperative on July 1, 2013.

California has adopted more rigorous academic standards that emphasize not only subject knowledge, but also the critical thinking, analytical writing, and problem-solving skills students need to be successful in college and career. These standards set a higher bar for California students to help ensure they are prepared to succeed in the future.

Local Educational Agency Accountability Report Card – Complete Report ... Page 4 of 14

The primary purpose of the CAASPP System is to assist teachers, administrators, students, and parents by promoting high-quality teaching and learning through the use of a variety of assessment approaches and item types.

The CAASPP System encompasses the following assessments and student participation requirements:

- Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and California Alternative Assessments (also referred to as CAAs) for English language arts/literacy (also referred to as ELA) in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
- 2. Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for mathematics in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
- 3. California Standards Tests (also referred to as CSTs), California Modified Assessments (also referred to as CMAs), and California Alternative Performance Assessments (also referred to as CAPAs) for science in grades five, eight, and ten.

Further CAASPP information can be found on the California Department of Education (also referred to as CDE) CAASPP web page at <a href="https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/ca/">https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/ca/</a>.

The Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments are comprehensive end-of-year assessments of grade-level learning that measure progress toward college and career readiness.

Information on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments can be found at the CDE Summative Assessment web page at <a href="https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/sa/sbacsummative.asp">https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/sa/sbacsummative.asp</a>.

CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards (also referred to as CCSS) for students with significant cognitive disabilities. The CAA was field tested during the 2014–15 school year and became operational during the 2015–16 school year.

Further CAA information can be found on the CDE California Alternative Assessments web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/ca/altassessment.asp.

The CST for science is required for all students in grades five, eight, and ten unless their Individualized Education Program indicates assessment with the CMA or CAPA.

Information about the CAASPP system science assessments can be found at the CDE California Science Test web page at <a href="https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/ca/caasppscience.asp">https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/ca/caasppscience.asp</a>.

The data displayed in the Local Educational Agency Accountability Report Card (also referred to as LARC) may differ from other data sources because the inclusion and exclusion rules are different for the LARC.

# 2016–17 CAASPP Test Results in ELA and Mathematics For All Students, Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

Table 3 displays information on how students served by the local educational agency (also referred to as LEA) achieved in ELA and mathematics compared to students in the state as a whole.

## Local Educational Agency Accountability Report Card - Complete Report ... Page 5 of 14

### **Table 3 CAASPP Test Results**

Subject	LEA Number Tested	LEA Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards	State Number Tested	State Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards
English language arts/literacy	1,963	29.94%	3,241,978	48.21
Mathematics	1,958	22.14%	3,251,661	37.25

Note 1: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

Note 2: Inclusion and exclusion rules are different for the LARC than for other public assessment reports.

# Two-Year Trend (2015–16 and 2016–17) CAASPP Test Results in ELA, Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

Table 4 displays the most recent two-year trend data in student achievement in ELA in grades three through eight and grade eleven.

### Table 4 ELA

There is no data for table ELA.

Note 1: Due to rounding, the sum of all achievement levels may not total 100 percent.

Note 2: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

Note 3: Inclusion and exclusion rules are different for the LARC than for other public assessment reports.

# Two-Year Trend (2015–16 and 2016–17) CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics, Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

Table 5 displays the most recent two-year trend data in student achievement in mathematics in grades three through eight and grade eleven.

### **Table 5 Mathematics**

There is no data for table Mathematics.

Note 1: Due to rounding, the sum of all achievement levels may not total 100 percent.

Local Educational Agency Accountability Report Card - Complete Report ... Page 6 of 14

Note 2: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

Note 3: Inclusion and exclusion rules are different for the LARC than for other public assessment reports.

# Two-Year Trend (2014–15 and 2015–16) CAASPP Test Results in Science, Grades Five, Eight, and Ten

Table 6 displays the most recent two-year trend data in student achievement in science in grades five, eight, and ten.

### **Table 6 Science**

There is no data for table Science.

Note 1: The 2016–17 data are not available. The CDE is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (also referred to as CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (also referred to as CAST) was piloted in Spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered.

Note 2: Due to rounding, the sum of all achievement levels may not total 100 percent.

Note 3: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

Note 4: Inclusion and exclusion rules are different for the LARC than for other public assessment reports.

# Part A. California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy

# 2016–17 California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress (also referred to as CAASPP) Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (also referred to as ELA) by Student Group, Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

Table 7 displays information on enrollment; the number and percentage of students tested; and the percent met or exceeded in CAASPP ELA for the local educational agency (also referred to as LEA), by student group, for grades three through eight and grade eleven.

## Table 7 ELA – Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

Student Group	Total	Number	Percent	Percent	Percent Met or
Student Group	Enrollment	Tested	Tested	Not Tested	Exceeded

LEAwide	1,972	1,963	99.54%	0.46%	29.94%
Male	993	988	99.50%	0.50%	25.53%
Female	979	975	99.59%	0.41%	34.45%
Black or African American					
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian					
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	1,892	1,883	99.52%	0.48%	29.60%
White	60	60	100.00%	0.00%	36.21%
Two or More Races					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	1,914	1,907	99.63%	0.37%	29.25%
English Learners	1,105	1,098	99.37%	0.63%	9.00%
Students with Disabilities	166	163	98.19%	1.81%	1.90%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	280	277	98.93%	1.07%	27.16%
Foster Youth	15	15	100.00%	0.00%	16.67%

Note 1: Due to rounding, the sum of all achievement levels may not total 100 percent.

Note 2: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the California Alternative Assessment (also referred to as CAA). The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note 3: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note 4: Socioeconomically disadvantaged (also referred to as SED) students are defined as students: (1) who are eligible for the free or reduced-price lunch program (also known as the National School Lunch Program, or NSLP), or have a direct certification for free or reduced-price meals, or (2) who are migrant, homeless, or foster youth, or (3) where neither of the parents were a high school graduate.

Note 5: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

Local Educational Agency Accountability Report Card – Complete Report ... Page 8 of 14 Note 6: Inclusion and exclusion rules are different for the Local Educational Agency Accountability Report Card (also referred to as LARC) than for other public assessment reports.

# Part B. California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Test Results in Mathematics

# 2016–17 California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress (also referred to as CAASPP) Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group, Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

Table 8 displays information on enrollment; the number and percentage of students tested; and the percent met or exceeded in CAASPP Mathematics for the local educational agency (also referred to as LEA), by student group, for grades three through eight and grade eleven.

Table 8 Mathematics – Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
LEAwide	1,970	1,958	99.39%	0.61%	22.14%
Male	990	984	99.39%	0.61%	20.53%
Female	980	974	99.39%	0.61%	23.78%
Black or African American					
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian					
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	1,891	1,879	99.37%	0.63%	21.79%
White	60	60	100.00%	0.00%	29.31%
Two or More Races					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	1,912	1,902	99.48%	0.52%	21.40%
English Learners	1,103	1,095	99.27%	0.73%	9.31%
Students with Disabilities	167	163	97.60%	2.40%	3.80%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	280	276	98.57%	1.43%	23.05%
Foster Youth	15	15	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Local Educational Agency Accountability Report Card – Complete Report ... Page 9 of 14 Note 1: Due to rounding, the sum of all achievement levels may not total 100 percent.

Note 2: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the California Alternative Assessment (also referred to as CAA). The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note 3: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note 4: Socioeconomically disadvantaged (also referred to as SED) students are defined as students: (1) who are eligible for the free or reduced-price lunch program (also known as the National School Lunch Program, or NSLP), or have a direct certification for free or reduced-price meals, or (2) who are migrant, homeless, or foster youth, or (3) where neither of the parents were a high school graduate.

Note 5: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

Note 6: Inclusion and exclusion rules are different for the Local Educational Agency Accountability Report Card (also referred to as LARC) than for other public assessment reports.

# Part C. California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Test Results in Science

The California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress (also referred to as CAASPP) California Standards Test (also referred to as CST) in Science for all students in grades five, eight, and ten unless their Individualized Education Program indicates assessment with a California Modified Assessment (also referred to as CMA) or California Alternate Performance Assessment (also referred to as CAPA).

Information about Science assessments can be found at the California Department of Education (also referred to as CDE) California Science Test web page at <a href="https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/ca/caasppscience.asp">https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/ca/caasppscience.asp</a>.

# 2015–16 CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group Grades Five, Eight, and Ten

Table 9 displays information on enrollment; the number and percentage of students with valid scores, and the percent proficient or advanced in CAASPP Science for the local educational agency (also referred to as LEA), by student group, for grades five, eight, and ten.

## Table 9 Science - Grades Five, Eight, and Ten

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number of Students with Valid Scores	Percent of Students with Valid Scores	Percent Proficient or Advanced
LEAwide	461	738	98.80%	34.15%
Male	240	367	99.19%	33.79%
Female	221	371	98.41%	34.50%
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino	431	706	98.88%	33.99%
White	20	21	95.45%	33.33%
Two or More Races				-
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	427	688	98.85%	32.99%
English Learners	348	343	98.56%	9.33%
Students with Disabilities	57	62	100.00%	12.90%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	79	117	98.32%	32.48%
Foster Youth				

Note 1: The 2016–17 data are not available. The CDE is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (also referred to as CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (also referred to as CAST) was piloted in Spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered.

Note 2: Due to rounding, the sum of all achievement levels may not total 100 percent.

Note 3: Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores.

Note 4: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Local Educational Agency Accountability Report Card – Complete Rep... Page 11 of 14

Note 5: Socioeconomically disadvantaged (also referred to as SED) students are defined as students: (1) who are eligible for the free or reduced-price lunch program (also known as the National School Lunch Program, or NSLP), or have a direct certification for free or reduced-price meals, or (2) who are migrant, homeless, or foster youth, or (3) where neither of the parents were a high school graduate.

Note 6: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

Note 7: Inclusion and exclusion rules are different for the LARC than for other public assessment reports.

## Section V. Teacher Qualifications

Information on teacher qualifications required by the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (also referred to as ESEA) can be found at the California Department of Education (also referred to as CDE) Improving Teacher and Principal Quality web page at <a href="https://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/">https://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/</a>.

Detailed information on teacher qualification data can be found on the CDE DataQuest web page at https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/.

### 2016-17 Teacher Credentials

Table 10 displays information on the professional qualifications of teachers in the local educational agency (also referred to as LEA), including the percentage of teachers teaching with an emergency or provisional credential.

**Table 10 Teacher Credentials** 

Type of Credential	Percent
Emergency or Long-Term Emergency Permits	6.99%
Provisional Internship Permit	9.09%

Note: Teacher credential data may not have been submitted or a teacher may hold more than one type of credential. As a result, percentages reported in this table may not add to 100 percent.

### 2016–17 Teacher Education Levels

Table 11 displays information on the professional qualifications of teachers in the LEA, including the percentage of teachers at each education level.

**Table 11 Teacher Education Levels** 

Education Level	Percent
Doctorate degree	0.56%
Master's degree plus 30 or more semester hours	3.93%

Master's degree	20.22%
Bachelor's degree plus 30 or more semester hours	44.94%
Bachelor's degree	30.34%

## Section VI. National Assessment of Educational Progress Results

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (also referred to as NAEP) is a nationally representative assessment of what America's students know and can do in various subject areas. Assessments are conducted periodically in mathematics, reading, science, writing, social studies, technology and engineering literacy, and the arts. Student scores for the NAEP are reported as scale scores and performance levels (i.e., Basic, Proficient, and Advanced). The participation of students with disabilities and English language learners is reported based on the number of students identified, excluded, and assessed.

### Sampling

Only a sample group of California's schools and districts participate in the NAEP testing cycle. Therefore, students in any particular school or district may not be included in these results. The NAEP reflects state-level results and is not reflective of either the local educational agency (also referred to as LEA) or the individual school.

# Comparing NAEP Results to the California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress (also referred to as CAASPP) Results

Comparisons of student performance on the NAEP and student performance on the CAASPP cannot be made without an understanding of the key differences between the two assessment programs. For example, the NAEP only assesses grades four, eight, and twelve and for long-term trends assesses ages nine, thirteen, and seventeen. Additionally, the NAEP only provides state-level test results for grades four and eight. The CAASPP system reports results for elementary, middle, and high school grade levels.

The CAASPP system assessments are based on a different set of standards than the NAEP assessments. For example, the NAEP is not aligned with California academic content and achievement standards and, therefore, does not necessarily reflect the curriculum and instruction to which students are exposed in the classroom. The NAEP assesses reading and writing separately, while the CAASPP program assesses English language arts/literacy, encompassing reading, writing, speaking/listening, and research.

Scores on the CAASPP and other assessments are not directly comparable to those on NAEP. For NAEP, the averages and percentages presented are estimates based on representative samples of students rather than, as for CAASPP, based on entire populations.

Finally, the questions students respond to on the NAEP are only a sample of the knowledge and skills covered by the NAEP assessment frameworks. Each student selected for NAEP takes a small part of the overall assessment; only when scores are aggregated for groups of students are the data considered valid and reliable estimates of what students know and can do.

Local Educational Agency Accountability Report Card – Complete Rep... Page 13 of 14

### State-level NAEP Results

The U.S. Department of Education administers NAEP assessments in reading and mathematics in January through March of every odd-numbered year, and reports state-level results on the Nation's Report Card. As of March 2018, the most recent state-level NAEP results in reading and mathematics available are from 2017. The data in the following two tables reflect results from NAEP assessments that took place during the 2016–17 school year.

### More Information

Detailed information regarding the NAEP results, including participation rates and test results for each subject and grade level, can be found on the National Center for Education Statistics Nation's Report Card website at https://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/.

# NAEP Reading and Mathematics Results by Grade Level, All Students

Table 12 displays the scale scores and achievement levels on the NAEP Results for reading (2017) and mathematics (2017) for grades four and eight.

#### **Table 12 All Students**

Subject and Grade Level	State Average Scale Score	National Average Scale Score	State Percent at below Basic Achievement Level	State Percent at Basic Achievement Level	State Percent at Proficient Achievement Level	State Percent at Advanced Achievement Level
Reading 2017, Grade 4	215	221	39%	30%	23%	8%
Reading 2017, Grade 8	263	265	28%	40%	28%	4%
Mathematics 2017, Grade 4	232	239	29%	40%	25%	6%
Mathematics 2017, Grade 8	277	282	38%	33%	20%	9%

NAEP Reading and Mathematics Results by Grade Level, Students with Disabilities, and/or English Language Learners

Local Educational Agency Accountability Report Card – Complete Rep... Page 14 of 14 Table 13 displays the state and national participation rates on the NAEP for reading (2017) and mathematics (2017) for students with disabilities and/or English language learners for grades four and eight.

Table 13 Results by Grade Level, Students with Disabilities, and/or English Language Learners

Subject and Grade Level	State Participation Rate Students With Disabilities and/or English Language Learners	National Participation Rate Students With Disabilities and/or English Language Learners	State Participation Rate Students With Disabilities	National Participation Rate Students With Disabilities	State Participation Rate English Language Learners	National Participation Rate English Language Learners
Reading 2017, Grade 4	94%	91%	87%	88%	95%	92%
Reading 2017, Grade 8	89%	89%	87%	88%	90%	89%
Mathematics 2017, Grade 4	92%	92%	83%	89%	95%	93%
Mathematics 2017, Grade 8	92%	90%	91%	89%	92%	90%

Questions: SARC Team | sarc@cde.ca.gov | 916-319-0406