International Leadership of Texas District Improvement Plan

2022-2023



Mission Statement

Our mission at International Leadership of Texas is to prepare students for exceptional leadership roles in the international community by emphasizing servant leadership, mastering the English, Spanish, and Chinese Languages, and strengthening the body, mind and character.

Vision

ILTexas will fulfill the promises of the ILTexas mission, as increasingly evidenced by each graduating class.

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Student Learning	8
District Processes & Programs	11
Perceptions	15
Priority Problem Statements	21
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	23
Goals	25
Goal 1: By the end of the 22-23 school year, 85% of ILTexas campuses will receive an overall rating of C or higher for their statewide accountability rating, an increase from 75% achieving a C or higher.	26
Goal 2: By the end of the 22-23 school year, at least 75% of students graduate meeting language (students enrolled at ILTexas 7 years or more are at Intermediate or above), 95% of students will have completed their service hour requirements and 75 % of graduates will have met their Interactive Health Technology goals.	44
Goal 3: By the end of the 22-23 school year ILTexas will retain 75% of teachers, an increase from 74% achieved in the 2021-22 school year.	49
RDA Strategies	52
District Funding Summary	54

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Revised/Approved: September 23, 2022

Demographics

Demographics Summary

ternational Leadership of Texas is a fast growth charter with changing student and staff demographics. We serve communities across Texas to include Dallas, Tarrant, Harris, Brazos and Cleveland county. Our student population comes from various academic backgrounds that include different public-school districts, charter schools, private schools and home school.


During the 2021-2022 school year, over 22,500 students walked through our doors, of which 20,538 reported on Snapshot. We expect to welcome an additional 1,416 students in 2022-2023, as we expend into Cleveland with 2 additional campuses, ILTexas-BG Ramirez ES and ILTexas-BG Ramirez MS. A variety of different race and ethnicities are represented amongst our students, with the top three being Hispanic/Latino 53.50%, Black/African Amer 28.15%, and White 9.85%. In addition, we serve a significant number of English Language Learners with 6,780 students served, approximately 33.01% of our total student population.


Student Demographics (2021 - 2022 Preliminary Fall PEIMS file loaded 01/10/2022)	Count	Percent
Gender 01/10/2022)		
Female	10,594	51.58%
Male	9,944	48.42%
Ethnicity		
Hispanic-Latino	10,987	53.50%
Race		
American Indian - Alaskan Native	57	0.28%
Asian	924	4.50%
Black - African American	5 <u>7</u> 924 5 <u>781</u>	28.15%
Native Hawaiian - Pacific Islander	<u>21</u>	0.10%
White	2,024	9.85%
Two-or-More	744	3.62%
Emergent Bilingual (EB)	$6,\overline{780}$	33.01%
Bilingual	<u>523</u>	2.55%
English as a Second Language (ESL)	583	2.84%
Alternative Bilingual Language		51 0 3 0/
Program	10,643	51.82%
Alternative ESL Language Program	<u>2,555</u>	12.44%
Gifted and Talented	1,121	5.46%
Special Education (SPED)	1,308	6.37%
International Leadership of Texas		


Student Demographics (2021 - 2022 Preliminary Fall PEIMS file loaded 01/10/2022)	Count	Percent
Title I Participation	<u>19,168</u>	93.33%
Dyslexia	455	2.22%
Economic Disadvantage		
Economic Disadvantage Total	12,632	61.51%
Free Meals	94	0.46%
Reduced-Price Meals	94 99	0.48%
Other Economic Disadvantage	<u>12,439</u>	60.57%
Homeless Statuses		
Homeless Status Total	<u>129</u>	0.63%
Shelter	<u>10</u>	0.05%
Doubled Up	<u>104</u>	0.51%
Unsheltered	<u>1</u>	0.00%
Hotel/Motel	10 104 1 14	0.07%
At-Risk	13,585	66.15%
Title I Homeless	0	0.00%
Immigrant	<u>227</u>	1.11%
Migrant	<u>2</u>	0.01%
Military Connected	1,083	5.27%
Foster Care	$\frac{8}{0}$	0.04%
CTE Single Parent/Pregnant Teen	0	0.00%
Section 504	<u>957</u>	4.66%
Intervention Indicator	<u>1,200</u>	5.84%
IEP Continuer	<u>1</u>	0.00%

Staff

International leadership of Texas employs a highly diverse population throughout multiple roles within the organization. The top four ethnicity's represented at ILTexas are Hispanic/Latino(834), Black or African American(660), White (538) and Asian(166). The majority of our teaching staff, 50.8%, have between 1-5 years of experience, followed by beginning teachers, zero years of experience, with 19.6%. Our beginning teachers are recent college graduates or professionals electing a change in profession in the later part of their career.







Demographics Strengths

- * Student enrollment represents a wide range of educational backgrounds and cultures
- * In 2022-2023 we predict serving over 56 different home languages and cultures represented among our 36 campuses
- * With our partnership at our ILTexas Private School, our GHS students have an opportunity to take classes alongside international students from China, Vietnam, Rwanda and Taiwan. We project 50 international students for the upcoming year.
- * At each campus Chinese and Spanish international teachers are a part of the instructional team for content (DLI program) and language courses (secondary).
- * Over 140 international teachers projected in our classrooms for the 2022-2023 school year.

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): There is a need to increase student attendance and absence reporting. **Root Cause:** Campus staff are unable to track and keep up with truancy prevention and truancy officer requirements.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): There is a need to attract and retain skilled teachers.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): Some students do not have access to high quality instruction because 70.3% of ILTexas teachers have less than 6 years of experience teaching.

Problem Statement 4 (Prioritized): On the MOY Math MAP test, EL/EB students scored an average of 17% (23% for all students) at the Meets and Masters levels. The federal target for EL/EB students is 40 %. **Root Cause:** Lack of bilingual and ESL certified teachers and insufficient implementation of differentiation strategies.

Problem Statement 5 (Prioritized): On the MOY Reading MAP, EL/EB students scored an average of 21% (31 % all students) at the Meets and Masters levels. The federal target for EL/EB students is 29%. **Root** Cause: Root cause: lack of bilingual and ESL certified teachers and insufficient implementation of differentiation strategies.

Problem Statement 6 (Prioritized): ILTexas meets the federal requirement of 36% on TELPAS. ILTexas EL/EB students scored 39% on TELPAS, but they performed lower than the state average of 46%. **Root Cause:** Insufficient use of sheltered instruction strategies in the classroom with focus on student output.

Problem Statement 7 (Prioritized): Per RDA, 26% of EL/EB students scored at Beginner/Intermediate levels after multiple years in U.S. schools. **Root Cause:** Lack of ESL certified teachers and insufficient implementation of sheltered instruction strategies.

Problem Statement 8 (Prioritized): Special Education students in STAAR tested grade levels, for Math and ELAR/Reading, performed between 10% and 23% lower than their general education peers at the Meets level. **Root Cause:** Due to mid-year staff turnover, post-pandemic, instructional use of research-based pedagogy drastically decreased.

Problem Statement 9 (Prioritized): We are in the need of increased opportunities for parental input, involvement and engagement. **Root Cause:** Being a charter school system, our families do not always live near the school and distance and the feel of community can be a challenge.

Problem Statement 10 (Prioritized): We need to ensure that the translation system for non-English speaking parents attending campus meetings/performances is always accessible and functional. **Root Cause:** While ILTexas has invested in the translation systems for use at campus and district functions, we often times cannot locate them, or they are not functional.

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

The last time ILTexas District received an accountability rating was in 2019 - we received a Final Rating of 85 = B.

In 2020 the ILTexas school district as well as each campus received a state rating of "Not Rated: Declared State of Disaster" to recognize that the closure of schools to the COVID-19 crisis during the state's testing window inhibited the ability of the state to accurately measure district and campus performance. Additionally, the US Department of Education waived statewide assessment and accountability requirements under Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) for the 2019–2020 school year.

Under the "Not Rated: Declared State of Disaster" rating, districts and campuses shall continue to implement existing sanctions and interventions.

In 2019 fifteen campuses were identified as "In School Improvement" under State accountability monitoring:

- (3) campuses received a 1st Year Overall F rating: Orem ES and MS, and East Ft. Worth HS (which has been closed)
- (6) campuses received an Overall D rating: East Ft. Worth ES, East Ft. Worth MS, Lancaster ES, Windmill Lakes-Orem HS, Arlington ES, and North Richland Hills ES
- (5) campuses received an Overall C with a D in a Domain rating: Windmill Lakes ES, College Station ES, Windmill Lakes MS, Saginaw ES, and Lancaster MS
- (1) campus received an Overall C rating: College Station MS

In 2019 Twenty-four of the 32 campuses were designated as "In School Improvement" under Federal accountability monitoring:

- (2) campuses were identified as Comprehensive Support: Orem ES and Orem MS
- (5) campuses were identified as Comprehensive Support Progress: Windmill Lakes ES, East Ft. Worth ES, Wast Ft. Worth MS, Lancaster ES, and Lancaster MS
- (3) campuses were identified as Additional Targeted Support Reidentified: Saginaw ES, Saginaw MS, and Grand Prairie MS
- (4) campuses were identified as Additional Targeted Support: East Ft. Worth HS (which has been closed), College Station ES, College Station MS, and Windmill Lakes-Orem HS
- (10) campuses were identified as Targeted Support: Arlington ES, Garland MS, Arlington MS, Grand Prairie ES, Katy MS, Westpark ES, Westpark MS, Garland ES, North Richland Hills ES, and North Richland Hills MS

2021-2022 Middle of Year MAP data for:

Reading grades 3-8: 36% at the Did not Meet leve, 33% at the Approaches level, 31% at the Meets or higher levels

Math grades 3-8: 43% at the Did not Meet leve, 36% at the Approaches level, 21% at the Meets or higher levels

Science grades 5 and 8: 33% at the Did not Meet leve, 37% at the Approaches level, 30% at the Meets or higher levels

2021-2022 Middle of Year EOC data for:

English I: 48% of students have a probability of achieving the Approaches level on the April EOC and 34% have a probability of achieving the Meets level or higher

Algebra I: 48% of students have a probability of achieving the Approaches level on the May EOC and 21% have a probability of achieving the Meets level or higher

Biology: 67% of students have a probability of achieving the Approaches level on the May EOC and 38% have a probability of achieving the Meets level or higher

English II: 52% of students have a probability of achieving the Approaches level on the April EOC and 33% have a probability of achieving the Meets level or higher

US History: 88% of students have a probability of achieving the Approaches level on the May EOC and 62% have a probability of achieving the Meets level or higher

EL/EB students in grades 3-5 perform significantly lower on the Math State Assessments than the federal requirement of 40% at the Meets level. On the MOY Math MAP grades 3-5, EL/EB students scored an average of 12% (15% for all students) at the Meets level.

Special Education students in STAAR tested grade levels, for Math and ELAR/Reading, perfomed between 10% and 23% lower than their general education peers at the Meets level. MOY MAP data for Reading in grades 3-5 suggest approximately 4% of students have the probability of reaching the Meets level or higher compared to 16% as projected for their general education peers. MOY MAP data for Reading in grades 6-8 suggest approximately 4% of students have the probability of reaching the Meets level or higher compared to 18.6% as projected for their general education peers. Additionally, MOY MAP data for Math in grades 6-8 suggest approximately 3% of students have the probability of reaching the Meets level or higher compared to 16% as projected for their general education peers.

Student Learning Strengths

More than 50% of all students/all subjects are projected to score at the Approaches level or above for STAAR and EOC for the spring of 2022.

All students receive instruction in both Spanish and Mandarin Chinese beginning in Kindergarten and continuing through High School

Students at the elementary levels participate in a two-way dual language program

All campuses across the district operate on a common master schedule to allow for common planning times and inter-district PLC opportunities on a daily and weekly basis

Data Days are set aside for teachers to understand where students are and to purposefully plan for instruction, accelerated learning, and extensions

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): On the MOY Math MAP test, EL/EB students scored an average of 17% (23% for all students) at the Meets and Masters levels. The federal target for EL/EB students is 40 %. **Root Cause:** Lack of bilingual and ESL certified teachers and insufficient implementation of differentiation strategies.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): On the MOY Reading MAP, EL/EB students scored an average of 21% (31 % all students) at the Meets and Masters levels. The federal target for EL/EB students is 29%. **Root Cause:** Root cause: lack of bilingual and ESL certified teachers and insufficient implementation of differentiation strategies.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): ILTexas meets the federal requirement of 36% on TELPAS. ILTexas EL/EB students scored 39% on TELPAS, but they performed lower than the state average of 46%. **Root Cause:** Insufficient use of sheltered instruction strategies in the classroom with focus on student output.

Problem Statement 4 (Prioritized): Per RDA, 26% of EL/EB students scored at Beginner/Intermediate levels after multiple years in U.S. schools. **Root Cause:** Lack of ESL certified teachers and insufficient implementation of sheltered instruction strategies.

Problem Statement 5: Junior SAT Cohort Average performance was 911 for 2022, EBRW was 470 and Math was 441. State CCMR is 1010 with 480 EBRW and 530 Math. **Root Cause:** First instruction and course selection rigor in ELA and Math does not align to the rigor of SAT

Problem Statement 6 (Prioritized): Special Education students in STAAR tested grade levels, for Math and ELAR/Reading, performed between 10% and 23% lower than their general education peers at the Meets level. **Root Cause:** Due to mid-year staff turnover, post-pandemic, instructional use of research-based pedagogy drastically decreased.

Problem Statement 7 (Prioritized): There is a need to increase student attendance and absence reporting. **Root Cause:** Campus staff are unable to track and keep up with truancy prevention and truancy officer requirements.

Problem Statement 8 (Prioritized): There is a need to attract and retain skilled teachers.

Problem Statement 9 (Prioritized): Some students do not have access to high quality instruction because 70.3% of ILTexas teachers have less than 6 years of experience teaching.

Problem Statement 10 (Prioritized): We are in the need of increased opportunities for parental input, involvement and engagement. **Root Cause:** Being a charter school system, our families do not always live near the school and distance and the feel of community can be a challenge.

Problem Statement 11 (Prioritized): We need to ensure that the translation system for non-English speaking parents attending campus meetings/performances is always accessible and functional. **Root Cause:** While ILTexas has invested in the translation systems for use at campus and district functions, we often times cannot locate them, or they are not functional.

District Processes & Programs

District Processes & Programs Summary

- *We teach the state required curriculum (TEKS) & ILTexas adopted High Quality Instructional Materials (HQIM)
- *Charterwide, ILTexas follows the same scope and sequence (YAG: Year at a Glance & daily pacing tools) for our 8 coure departments (Math, RLA, Science, Social Studies, Chinese, Spanish, Fitness & Leadership)
- *ILTexas High School Personal Graduation Plans (PGP) Guidelines.
- *Adjustments and focus areas will be data driven and based off of Leadership Report Card/Lead4ward Priority Clusters (Priority TEKS Clusters) & MAP data (for relevant courses).
- *Emphasis will be placed on data highlighting the most cricical COVID gaps and priority TEKS (not only highly weighted, but also those foundational for vertically aligned next course) while also addressing socio-cultural competence.
- *Teacher course specific support and resources are available on the teacher C&I Landing Page (which has a deeper dive into each department). Program Model:
- *All K-5 Campuses will follow our research based K-5 Two-Way DLI Program Model to teach content through language and language through content.

Note: While we begin teaching the curriculum day 1, an emphasis and priority will be placed on building relationships and setting norms the first days of school (you can't Bloom without Maslow)

ILTexas Trilingual Trajectory 21-22
ILTexas Trilingual Labels K-12

Special Education Curriculum Guide

District Processes & Programs Strengths

- * College Preparatory Trilingual Program
- * Data Days/PD days built into the academic calendar, giving us opportunities to address our needs
- * Daily opportunities within our uniform and unified master schedule for our teachers to Professional Learning Communities
- * Partnership with TEKS Resource System and ACTFL to support our curriculum, instruction and assessment
- * A research based character education program including teaching character and leadership traits and yearly Others Before Self Projects

STAFF RECRUITMENT & RETENTION

- Instructional stipends in high needs areas (Bilingual, Math, Science, Special Education)
- Differentiated compensation for certified teachers
- Mentor support to first year teachers
- District, campus, and grade level support provided to all new staff

- Professional development & leadership opportunities
- Recruit certified experienced teachers to build a strong foundation at the lower grade levels
- Continuous compensation assessment
- Assess recruitment strategies to improve the quality of candidates
- Establish partnerships with universities and ACP's

SCHOOL ORGANIZATION

1. What does the data reflect about classes, schedules, and student/staff teams?

We have a unique 10 period day structure at the K-8 level. We have 8 core classes (math, reading, science, social studies, Spanish, Chinese, performance training, and leadership) as opposed to the tradition 4 core in most districts. This structure provides a master schedule that helps us emphasize our mission as well as maximize instructional effectiveness through our Dual Language Immersion and FLES programs. After 4 years of operation, all schools have met STAAR accountability standards each year.

2. How is adequate time devoted to subjects in which students perform poorly?

Students with missing or failing work is assigned to "Eagle Academy" the following day to make up the work. Teachers also provide tutoring at least 2 days per week. Saturday school is also an option to support struggling students. Finally, teachers on each campus can refer struggling students to the Student Support Team (SST) which determines the best support plan for each student. Possible SST recommendations include RTI, PBIS, Restorative Discipline, among other options.

3. How do teachers have a voice in decision making and school policies?

Teachers participate in daily PLC's at the campus and weekly PLC's with the district staff on Wednesdays. Teachers are able to voice recommendation and concerns as well as receive feedback and support through this structure. Teachers can also make recommendations directly through the administrative support structure.

4. What role do teachers have in deciding what assessments will be used to evaluate individual students or the program as a whole?

All formative assessments are determined at the teacher's discretion. However, summative assessments prepared by district staff and administered at each campus.

5. Do school committees and decision-making bodies make it easy for teachers, parents, paraprofessionals, support staff, and students to be heard and, in turn, for all groups to be part of solutions to identified problems?

Yes. Each campus is required to have a PTO & SBDM to solicit input and support for all stakeholders in their school. Campuses may, at the principal's discretion, provide other committees and support organizations based upon campus needs. The district will also be rolling out our new "tip411" online or texting system where stakeholders can anonymously submit questions, comments, or concerns. All grade levels have a teacher representative on the school leadership team.

6. What are the students', parents' and community perceptions of the school?

According to recent surveys, perceptions of our schools are favorable overall.

7. What do school expectations reveal?

Students and staff rise to the level of expectation of its leadership. All students and staff are expected to uphold high moral and academic standards. This expectation has lead to 4 consecutive successful years of performance on the state accountability exams.

TECHNOLOGY

District training has been provided for teachers in using the Google Application Suite (Google Classroom, Docs, Sheets, etc.), Study Island, STEMScopes, and math related software applications such as Big Brainz and Think Through Math. Google Application Suite had the largest impact on both staff and students as teachers were able to integrate web based learning into their classroom by providing students with access to videos and other resources for their classes. Study Island, STEMScopes and the math related software encouraged students to practice what they have learned in their classroom and develop their mastery over the given content area. In addition, the campus Library Media Specialists are to meet with teachers and students in regular intervals to facilitate in the integration of technology.

Technology is being used in all of the core content areas. All content level teachers were encouraged to utilize what was learned during Google training as it can be applied to any grade level and subject area and Study Island can be used for many of the core content classes.

The science department provides students with individual student accounts that encourages students to use their Chromebooks as digital notebooks with STEMScopes by allowing students to record their observations, make digital drawings, and providing students with additional places to look online if students are curious about the topic.

Social Studies has subscribed to Studies weekly where students can read and listen to relevant content and practice mastery. In addition to Studies Weekly, teachershave access to StrataLogica, which provides teachers and students with access to digital and interactive maps and globes so that all students have the opportunity to observe the varying landforms and locations.

Language Arts and Reading have access to RazKids and Learning A-Z to act as a databank for different stories that can provide read-a-louds that will allow for students to listen and follow along in both languages along with students being able to use Chromebooks to practice their writing. IStation is available for most of the grade levels to provide assistance in growth in this content area as well. IStation provides online assessments to determine reading level and provides lessons for developing fluency.

Imagine Math and Imagine Math Facts are two of the primary online resources that math teachers use as way to encourage mastery on different mathematical components. Other resources online have been used by teachers to provide students with real world examples of costs for the financial literacy portion of the curriculum.

Many of the aforementioned resources are available in both English and Spanish. Additionally, there are many promoted websites to help students learn Chinese. These websites include tools such as online videos and vocabulary exercises to develop their language acquisition.

TexQuest is a cross-curricular website that provdies, videos, databases, and articles for students to access information. This resource provides core content subjects, along with other contents such as debate, music, and art, to also use this technology for research, videos, and pictures. The need for eportfolios and platforms to track language proficiency is urgent. For this, Seesaw is our vendor of choice, after our needs assessment/product review.

Measure of Academic Progress (MAP) testing is utilized to determine where students are performing in relation to their grade level and monitors how students are progressing through the year. This assessment tool works hand in hand with the Study Island software by differentiating the questions and activities for students based on how they performed on their MAP testing.

Technology is utilized during instruction to provide engagement opportunities with videos, puzzles, games, and to pose questions along with providing digital media to encourage students to practice using real world skills such as researching, recording, and developing projects that show student mastery in their content area. Teachers also use this technology to ease a portion of the burden of grading which allows teachers the time to analyze the data from assessments and determine what areas need to be retaught or enriched.

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): There is a need to attract and retain skilled teachers.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Some students do not have access to high quality instruction because 70.3% of ILTexas teachers have less than 6 years of experience teaching.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): On the MOY Math MAP test, EL/EB students scored an average of 17% (23% for all students) at the Meets and Masters levels. The federal target for EL/EB students is 40 %. **Root Cause:** Lack of bilingual and ESL certified teachers and insufficient implementation of differentiation strategies.

Problem Statement 4 (Prioritized): On the MOY Reading MAP, EL/EB students scored an average of 21% (31 % all students) at the Meets and Masters levels. The federal target for EL/EB students is 29%. **Root Cause:** Root cause: lack of bilingual and ESL certified teachers and insufficient implementation of differentiation strategies.

Problem Statement 5 (Prioritized): Per RDA, 26% of EL/EB students scored at Beginner/Intermediate levels after multiple years in U.S. schools. **Root Cause:** Lack of ESL certified teachers and insufficient implementation of sheltered instruction strategies.

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

Families and community members have the opportunity to engage in meaningful activities that support student learning throughout the year. These activities include, but are not limited to:

• Open Houses, Meet the Teacher Nights, Curriculum Nights (i.e. Literacy Night, Science Night), STAAR Information Nights, Parent Education Nights, Parent Participation in Field Trips, PTO Participation, Volunteers In Public Schools, Family and Community Fairs and Festivals, i.e. Health Fair, Mulitcultural Festival, Chinese Festival, Others Before Self Showcase

Families and community members are involved in school decisions by:

- Serving on PTO Boards, or being a PTO Member
- Serving as a Watch D.O.G.
- Contributing feeback on ILTexas Parent Surveys
- · Sharing ideas at Principal Coffees
- Serving on Principal interview panels
- Serving on Campus Improvement Committees

Faculty feedback indicatates that they strongly believe in the core values of ILTexas.

Perceptions Strengths

ILTexas strives to have strong ties with the families and communities it serves. This is done in part with continued open lines of communitaation, including but not limited to:

- Skyward Parent access to grades, schedules, attendance, etc.
- School Messenger parent notifiction, weekly Principal newsletter
- ILTexas Website
- ILTexa Facebook page and Twitter
- tip411 (annoymous tip line)
- Convenient email access to all ILTexas staff members
- Peachjar flyer distribution
- Parent Conferences
- PTO General Meetings

Parents eagerly participate in the following activities consistently:

- PTO activities including meetings, fundraisers, special events
- Watch D.O.G.S. National program aimed at getting fathers and father-figures active in our schools
- VIPS (Volunteers In Public Schools) volunteer opportunities from helping with dismissal, reading to students, helping with school pictures, lunch duty
- Chaperoning field trips
- Ensuring that students participate in their Service Learning Project with the activities take place after school hours or on the weekend

• Supporting our sports teams, band, choir, etc.

ILTexas has a large Spanish speaking population. We strive to meet all linguistic needs of parents by providing translators if necessary, utilizing translation equipment at large functions, and providing written material to parents in both English and Spanish on a consistent basis.

Faculty Feedback indicates that staff members are happy and enjoy working with their teams.

SCHOOL CULTURE & CLIMATE

What does an analysis of discipline data reveal? What interventions are in place to increase appropriate behavior?

District Students Identify as a Behavioral and/or Academic Concern as of 01/31/2018

Criteria for Identification

- 1. Academic concern: Any 6-week grade 59% or lower and/or 2 failing 6-week grade in any subject
- 2. Behavioral Concern: Any student with 5 or more referrals

Comparison of SPED to 504 to Regular Education

School	Lancast	Westpark	Wind Mills	Katy	Garland	Saginaw	Keller	EFW	NRH	GP	Arling	Total	Percent
SPED	25	16	18	15	18	11	15	19	12	15	15	179	8%
504	15	15	6	11	13	22	14	17	27	11	4	155	7%
Reg. Ed.	178	231	160	91	172	134	83	246	151	265	164	1875	85%
Total	218	262	184	117	203	167	112	282	190	291	183	2209	

Breakdown of the Students of Concern by School Level

School	Lancast	Westpark	Wind Mills	Katy	Garland	Saginaw	Keller	EFW	NRH	GP	Arling	Total	Percent
K-2	11	46	15	19	16	20	18	68	13	32	13	271	12%
3-5	85	67	72	14	72	86	28	81	76	79	60	720	33%
6-8	122	149	97	84	115	61	66	133	101	180	110	1218	55%
Total	218	262	184	117	203	167	112	282	190	291	183	2209	

Breakdown of Student Concerns by School Level and Type

School	Lancast	Westpark	Wind Mills	Katy	Garland	Saginaw	Keller	EFW	NRH	GP	Arling	Total P	ercent
K-2	11	46	15	19	16	20	18	68	13	32	13	27112%	
Behavioral	5	12	7	11	16	18	18	66	8	25	7	193	71%
Academic	5	33	8	8	0	2	0	2	5	6	5	74	27%
Both	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	1%
3-5	85	67	72	14	72	86	28	81	76	79	60	72033%	
Behavioral	52	15	15	9	10	19	18	34	14	50	20	256	36%
Academic	22	48	53	5	53	65	9	36	54	23	35	403	56%
Both	11	4	4	0	9	2	1	11	8	6	5	61	8%
6-8	122	149	97	84	115	61	66	133	101	180	110	121855%	
Behavioral	29	14	13	10	20	38	33	44	15	113	44	373	31%
Academic	70	118	70	59	79	13	20	65	63	21	48	626	51%
Both	23	17	14	15	16	10	13	24	23	46	18	219	18%
Total	218	262	184	117	203	167	112	282	190	291	183	2209	

ISS and OSS Data/School and District Totals (1/31/18)

School	Lancast	Westpark	Wind Mills	Katy	Garland	Saginaw	Keller	EFW	NRH	GP	Arling	District Totals
K-2												K-2
ISS Students	10	19	6	1	17	1	1	0	3	11	1	70
ISS Days	12	32	8	1	22	1	1	0	4	12	1	94
OSS Students	28	23	7	29	22	29	29	39	13	13	3	235
OSS Days	40	28	10	41	34	41	41	58	23	18	10	344
Total ISS/OSS Students	38	42	13	30	39	30	30	39	16	24	4	305
Total ISS/OSS Days	52	60	18	42	56	42	42	58	27	30	11	438
Grades 3-5												Grades 3-5
ISS Students	40		39	0	7	0	0	2	1	5	0	100
ISS Days	42	6	66	0	7	0	0	2	2	6	0	131
OSS Students	38		9	15	11	16	16	22	11	17	10	
OSS Days	48		10	18		21	21	28	17	19	12	
Total ISS/OSS Students	78		48	15		16	16	24	12	22	10	
Total ISS/OSS Days	90	27	76	18	21	21	21	30	19	25	12	360
Grades 6-8												Grades 6-8
ISS Students	5		30	0	6	1	1	9	8	17	0	
ISS Days	5		36	0		1	1	9	15	21	0	118
OSS Students	26		12	25		5	5	54	12	45	9	
OSS Days	36		15	29		6	6	75	16	57	10	
Total ISS/OSS Students	31	51	42	25	23	6	6	63	20	62	9	338
Total ISS/OSS Days	41	63	51	29	27	7	7	84	31	78	10	428
Total Students/School	147*		103	70		52	52	126*	48	108	23	924
Total ISS/OSS Days/School	183*		145	89		70	70	172*	77	133	33	
Total ISS Days /School	59	61	110	1	36	2	2	11	21	39	1	343

*Restorative Discipline Campuses: Lancaster, West Park, East Fort Worth (High ISS/OSS numbers reflect the lack of RD training of administrator and teacher training and the lack of preplanning in integrating Restorative Discipline into the school culture and the use of the Student Code of Conduct)

Recommendations Based on Data Analysis

- 1. With 85% of the students identified as needing support being regular education students (Not 504 or SPED), there is need to assess the effectiveness of the implementation of Tier #1 research-based instructional strategies.
 - 1. Clear data on the level of education, type of certification (% of alt-cert), and the years of experience must be obtained and used to determine the differentiated training needs teaching staff
 - 2. On-going observation and support from teachers who are struggling by the AP and the instructional coach
 - 3. Re-assessment of training prior to the beginning of the year to determine ways of addressing the identified needs
 - 4. Training and on-going support for teachers who are coming from other countries (initially bi-weeks ½ day training to shift later to monthly 1 day training
 - 5. Focus on PBIS and the identifying, teaching, modelling, and re-enforcing of desired behavioral expectations
 - 6. With 71% of the identified student concerns in K-2 as being behavioral:
 - 1. Specific social-emotional learning program in essential
 - i. Momentous Institute Brain Training
 - ii. My Fantastic Elastic Brain
 - iii. Why Try
 - iv. The Mindfulness Kindness curriculum
 - v. This will reduce the behavioral issues going forward in grades 3-5 and 6-8 and the program can be extended into these grade levels
- 1. PBIS Procedures need to be more effectively implemented
 - i. No specific conflict resolution model has been taught, modelled, and re-enforced
 - ii. Student training in procedures will greatly reduce the number of behavioral issues
- 1. Identifying students earlier for additional behavioral interventions and supports
 - i. Many referrals and suspensions occur before additional resources are requested/ if at all
- 1. Kinder to Grade 8 academic intervention plan needs to be developed and implemented
 - 1. K-2 only 2^{nd} grade = 27%, 3-5 = 56%, 6-8 = 51%
 - 2. How will be the additional support for students/teacher on each campus at each grade level
 - i. Teacher-aides push in or pullout or both

- ii. Instructional coach
- iii. Counselor
- iv. Behavior specialist
- v. Additional tutoring and support
- 1. Schools #1, #2, #5, #8, #10 need immediate support as the academic and behavioral needs are high

ISS and OSS Data/School and District Totals (5/11/18)

School	Lancast	Westpark	Wind Mills	Katy	Garland	Saginaw	Keller	EFW	NRH	GP	Arling	District Totals
K-2												K-2
ISS Students	18	25	9	0	24	0	3	0	7	15	0	101
ISS Days	21	46	11	0	30	0	3	0	10	20	0	141
OSS Students	38	30	7	14	21	22	42	33	14	17	3	241
OSS Days	67	46	11	22	37	31	58	77	26	25	11	411
Total ISS/OSS	5 (16	1.4	45	22	45	22	21	22	2	242
Students	56	55	16	14	45	22	45	33	21	32	3	342
Total ISS/OSS Days	88	92	22	22	67	31	61	77	36	45	11	552
Grades 3-5												Grades 3-5
ISS Students	49	17	44	0	13	0	0	2	5	7	0	137
ISS Days	62	18	75	0	13	0	0	2	7	9	0	186
OSS Students	52	29	17	25	11	24	29	32	15	26	14	274
OSS Days	84	32	20	38	17	35	36	43	26	32	20	383
Total ISS/OSS	101	16	61	25	24	24	29	34	20	33	1.4	411
Students	101	46	01	25	24	24	29	34	20	33	14	411
Total ISS/OSS Days	146	50	95	38	30	35	36	45	33	41	20	569
Grades 6-8												Grades 6-8
ISS Students	9	21	40	0	5	0	1	13	10	17	0	116
ISS Days	10	23	62	0	6	0	1	13	13	21	0	149
OSS Students	39	52	22	21	22	21	8	78	20	63	17	363
OSS Days	55	70	26	24	26	24	10	107	25	81	17	465
Total ISS/OSS	48	73	62	21	27	21	9	91	30	80	17	479
Students	40	/3		21	21	21	9	91	30	00	17	4/9
Total ISS/OSS Days	65	93	88	24	32	24	11	120	38	102	17	614
Total Students/School	205	174	139	60	96	67	83	158	71	145	34	1232
Total ISS/OSS	299	235	205	84	129	90	108	242	107	188	48	1735
Days/School	299	233	203	04	129	90	100	242	107	100	40	1733
Total ISS Days	93	87	148	0	49	0	4	15	30	50	0	476
/School	93	87	146	U	49	U	4	15	30	30	U	4/0
Total OSS Days /School	206	148	57	84	80	90	104	227	77	138	48	1259

Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): We are in the need of increased opportunities for parental input, involvement and engagement. **Root Cause:** Being a charter school system, our families do not always live near the school and distance and the feel of community can be a challenge.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): We need to ensure that the translation system for non-English speaking parents attending campus meetings/performances is always accessible and functional. **Root Cause:** While ILTexas has invested in the translation systems for use at campus and district functions, we often times cannot locate them, or they are not functional.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: There is a need to increase student attendance and absence reporting.

Root Cause 1: Campus staff are unable to track and keep up with truancy prevention and truancy officer requirements.

Problem Statement 1 Areas: Demographics - Student Learning

Problem Statement 4: On the MOY Math MAP test, EL/EB students scored an average of 17% (23% for all students) at the Meets and Masters levels. The federal target for EL/EB students is 40 %.

Root Cause 4: Lack of bilingual and ESL certified teachers and insufficient implementation of differentiation strategies.

Problem Statement 4 Areas: Demographics - Student Learning - District Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 2: There is a need to attract and retain skilled teachers.

Root Cause 2:

Problem Statement 2 Areas: Demographics - Student Learning - District Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 9: We are in the need of increased opportunities for parental input, involvement and engagement.

Root Cause 9: Being a charter school system, our families do not always live near the school and distance and the feel of community can be a challenge.

Problem Statement 9 Areas: Demographics - Student Learning - Perceptions

Problem Statement 5: On the MOY Reading MAP, EL/EB students scored an average of 21% (31 % all students) at the Meets and Masters levels. The federal target for EL/EB students is 29%.

Root Cause 5: Root cause: lack of bilingual and ESL certified teachers and insufficient implementation of differentiation strategies.

Problem Statement 5 Areas: Demographics - Student Learning - District Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 3: Some students do not have access to high quality instruction because 70.3% of ILTexas teachers have less than 6 years of experience teaching.

Root Cause 3:

Problem Statement 3 Areas: Demographics - Student Learning - District Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 10: We need to ensure that the translation system for non-English speaking parents attending campus meetings/performances is always accessible and functional.

Root Cause 10: While ILTexas has invested in the translation systems for use at campus and district functions, we often times cannot locate them, or they are not functional.

Problem Statement 10 Areas: Demographics - Student Learning - Perceptions

Problem Statement 6: ILTexas meets the federal requirement of 36% on TELPAS. ILTexas EL/EB students scored 39% on TELPAS, but they performed lower than the state average of 46%.

Root Cause 6: Insufficient use of sheltered instruction strategies in the classroom with focus on student output.

Problem Statement 6 Areas: Demographics - Student Learning

Problem Statement 7: Per RDA, 26% of EL/EB students scored at Beginner/Intermediate levels after multiple years in U.S. schools.

Root Cause 7: Lack of ESL certified teachers and insufficient implementation of sheltered instruction strategies.

Problem Statement 7 Areas: Demographics - Student Learning - District Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 8: Special Education students in STAAR tested grade levels, for Math and ELAR/Reading, performed between 10% and 23% lower than their general education peers at the Meets level.

Root Cause 8: Due to mid-year staff turnover, post-pandemic, instructional use of research-based pedagogy drastically decreased.

Problem Statement 8 Areas: Demographics - Student Learning

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- Campus goals
- Performance Objectives with summative review (prior year)
- Campus/District improvement plans (current and prior years)
- Covid-19 Factors and/or waivers for Assessment, Accountability, ESSA, Missed School Days, Educator Appraisals, etc.

Accountability Data

• Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data

Student Data: Assessments

- · State and federally required assessment information
- STAAR current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR End-of-Course current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR released test questions
- STAAR Emergent Bilingual (EB) progress measure data
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- Texas Primary Reading Inventory (TPRI), Tejas LEE, or other alternate early reading assessment results
- Postsecondary college, career or military-ready graduates including enlisting in U. S. armed services, earning an industry based certification, earning an associate degree, graduating with completed IEP and workforce readiness
- Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs of Study data including completer, concentrator, explorer, participant, and non-participant information
- · SAT and/or ACT assessment data
- PSAT
- SSI: Istation Indicators of Progress (ISIP) accelerated reading assessment data for Grades 3-5 (TEA approved statewide license)
- SSI: Compass Learning accelerated reading assessment data for Grades 6-8 (TEA approved statewide license)
- SSI: Apex Learning accelerated reading assessment data for English I and II (TEA approved statewide license)
- SSI: Think Through Math assessment data for Grades 3-8 and Algebra I (TEA approved statewide license)

Student Data: Student Groups

- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress between groups
- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress for each student group
- Economically disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance and participation data
- Male / Female performance, progress, and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs of Study data including completer, concentrator, explorer, participant, and non-participant achievements by race, ethnicity, gender, etc.
- Homeless data
- · Gifted and talented data

- Dyslexia data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data
- STEM and/or STEAM data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Completion rates and/or graduation rates data
- Annual dropout rate data
- Attendance data
- Discipline records
- Violence and/or violence prevention records
- Class size averages by grade and subject
- School safety data
- Enrollment trends

Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- State certified and high quality staff data
- Professional development needs assessment data
- Evaluation(s) of professional development implementation and impact

Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Parent engagement rate
- Community surveys and/or other feedback

Support Systems and Other Data

- Organizational structure data
- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
- Budgets/entitlements and expenditures data

Goals

Revised/Approved: June 15, 2022

Goal 1: By the end of the 22-23 school year, 85% of ILTexas campuses will receive an overall rating of C or higher for their statewide accountability rating, an increase from 75% achieving a C or higher.

Performance Objective 1: By the end of the 22-23 school year, 30% of all ILTexas students will perform at the meets level of achievement on STAAR Reading/Language Arts and 25% of EB students will perform at the meets level of achievement on STAAR Reading/Language Arts.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: ILTexas will bring in subject matter experts and consultants to help teachers, administrators, and charter staff		Formative		Summative
strengthen their ability to support Emergent Bilingual students with focus on increasing the Meets Target goal on the STAAR Reading assessment.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Deputy Superintendent of Academics & Student Services, Exec. Director of EL/EB Support, Content Directors, Area Instructional Coaches, Academic Directors, Campus Principals, Deans of Instruction, Instructional Coaches				
- Results Driven Accountability - Equity Plan				
Problem Statements: Demographics 3 - Student Learning 9 - District Processes & Programs 2				
Funding Sources: - 263 - Title III, - 420 - State				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: ILTexas will send campus, area, and headquarters staff to conferences (e.g. Title III Symposium, La Cosecha,		Formative		Summative
TESOL, BEAM Symposium etc.) to assist ILTexas teachers, administrators, and charter staff strengthen their ability to support Emergent Bilingual students.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased EB student achievement				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Deputy Superintendent of Academics & Student Services, Exec. Director of EL/EB Support, Content Directors, Area Instructional Coaches, Academic Directors, Campus Principals, Deans of Instruction, Instructional Coaches				
Problem Statements: Demographics 3 - Student Learning 9 - District Processes & Programs 2				
Funding Sources: - 420 - State, - 263 - Title III				

Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 3: ILTexas will purchase supplemental resources for Emergent Bilingual students such as instructional materials		Formative		Summative
(bilingual dictionaries, leveled readers) and online platforms to increase access to language acquisition and differentiation.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased EB student achievement.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Exec. Director of EL/EB Support, Content Directors, Area Instructional Coaches, Academic Directors, Campus Principals, Deans of Instruction, Instructional Coaches				
Coaches, Academic Directors, Campus i fineipais, Deans of histraction, histractional Coaches				
- Results Driven Accountability - Equity Plan				
Problem Statements: Demographics 4 - Student Learning 1 - District Processes & Programs 3				
Funding Sources: - 420 - State, - 263 - Title III				
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 4: ILTexas will purchase professional books for staff to increase their knowledge of DLI/ESL programs.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased Student Achievement	Nov	Jan	May	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Exec. Director of EL/EB Support, Content Directors, Area Instructional				
Coaches, Academic Directors, Campus Principals, Deans of Instruction, Instructional Coaches				
- Results Driven Accountability - Equity Plan				
Problem Statements: Demographics 2 - Student Learning 8 - District Processes & Programs 1				
Funding Sources: - 263 - Title III, - 420 - State				
,				
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	views	· ·
Strategy 5: The DLI and EL Instructional Coaches will support implementation of Content Based Language Instruction		Formative		Summative
(CBLI) strategies by following the instructional coaching cycle and by providing professional learning opportunities to staff	Nov	Jan	May	June
as it pertains to Emergent Bilingual students. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased EB student achievement.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Deputy Superintendent of Academics & Student Services, Exec. Director of				
EL/EB Support, Content Directors, Area Instructional Coaches, Academic Directors, Campus Principals, Deans				
of Instruction, Instructional Coaches				
Develop Deisser Assessment 1916 - Frankle Disse				
- Results Driven Accountability - Equity Plan				
Problem Statements: Demographics 4 - Student Learning 1 - District Processes & Programs 3				
Funding Sources: - 420 - State, - 263 - Title III				

Strategy 6 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 6: ILTexas will develop curriculum resources and lesson plans to meet the needs of Emergent Bilingual students.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased newcomer EB student achievement.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Deputy Superintendent of Academics & Student Services, Exec. Director of EL/EB Support, Content Directors, Area Instructional Coaches, Academic Directors, Campus Principals, Deans of Instruction, Instructional Coaches				
- Results Driven Accountability - Equity Plan				
Problem Statements: Demographics 4 - Student Learning 1 - District Processes & Programs 3				
Funding Sources: - 420 - State, - 263 - Title III				
Strategy 7 Details		Rev	/iews	
Strategy 7: Campuses that have newcomer students will receive support in the form of professional learning opportunities		Formative		Summative
for staff, supplemental resources for students, extended learning time for students, and parental engagement.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased newcomer EB student achievement.			1	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Deputy Superintendent of Academics & Student Services, Exec. Director of EL/EB Support, Content Directors, Area Instructional Coaches, Academic Directors, Campus Principals, Deans of Instruction, Instructional Coaches				
- Results Driven Accountability - Equity Plan				
Problem Statements: Demographics 2 - Student Learning 8 - District Processes & Programs 1				
Funding Sources: - 420 - State, - 263 - Title III				
Strategy 8 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 8: ILTexas campuses will set STAAR and TELPAS goals with their Emergent Bilingual students and will monitor		Formative		Summative
progress during data days.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased EB student performance on TELPAS.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Deputy Superintendent of Academics & Student Services, Exec. Director of				
EL/EB Support, Content Directors, Area Instructional Coaches, Academic Directors, Campus Principals, Deans of Instruction, Instructional Coaches				
- Results Driven Accountability - Equity Plan				
Problem Statements: Demographics 5 - Student Learning 2 - District Processes & Programs 4				
Funding Sources: - 420 - State, - 263 - Title III				

1	Rev	iews	
	Formative		Summative
Nov	Jan	May	June
		•	
ı			
1			
1			
1			
	Rev	iews	
	Formative		Summative
Nov	Jan	May	June
1			
1			
1			
	Rev	iews	
	Formative		Summative
Nov	Jan	May	June
1			
1			
•			
	Nov	Rev Formative Nov Jan Rev Formative Nov Jan Rev Formative	Reviews Formative Nov Jan May Reviews Formative

Strategy 12 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 12: ILTexas will provide parents of Emergent Bilingual students learning opportunities to support their children at		Summative		
home, understand the importance of state assessments, and receive college and career information.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased parent participation and EB student achievement.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Deputy Superintendent of Academics & Student Services, Exec. Director of EL/EB Support, Content Directors, Area Instructional Coaches, Academic Directors, Campus Principals, Deans of Instruction, Instructional Coaches				
Problem Statements: Demographics 9 - Student Learning 10 - Perceptions 1				
Funding Sources: - 420 - State, - 263 - Title III				
Strategy 13 Details	Reviews			
60		TC.	icvs	
Strategy 13: Kindergarten, 1st and 2nd grade teachers will work on letter names, letter sounds and phonemic aware daily		Formative	icws	Summative
Strategy 13: Kindergarten, 1st and 2nd grade teachers will work on letter names, letter sounds and phonemic aware daily for rapid automaticity of letters and sounds	Nov		1	Summative June
Strategy 13: Kindergarten, 1st and 2nd grade teachers will work on letter names, letter sounds and phonemic aware daily	Nov	Formative	May	
Strategy 13: Kindergarten, 1st and 2nd grade teachers will work on letter names, letter sounds and phonemic aware daily for rapid automaticity of letters and sounds Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student success on Mclass screener in phonemic awareness from	Nov	Formative	1	
Strategy 13: Kindergarten, 1st and 2nd grade teachers will work on letter names, letter sounds and phonemic aware daily for rapid automaticity of letters and sounds Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student success on Mclass screener in phonemic awareness from 25% to 50% in grades K,1,&2.	Nov	Formative	1	
Strategy 13: Kindergarten, 1st and 2nd grade teachers will work on letter names, letter sounds and phonemic aware daily for rapid automaticity of letters and sounds Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student success on Mclass screener in phonemic awareness from 25% to 50% in grades K,1,&2. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals, K-2 Assist. Principal, Dean of Instruction and Instructional	Nov	Formative	1	

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 2: There is a need to attract and retain skilled teachers.

Problem Statement 3: Some students do not have access to high quality instruction because 70.3% of ILTexas teachers have less than 6 years of experience teaching.

Problem Statement 4: On the MOY Math MAP test, EL/EB students scored an average of 17% (23% for all students) at the Meets and Masters levels. The federal target for EL/EB students is 40 %. **Root Cause**: Lack of bilingual and ESL certified teachers and insufficient implementation of differentiation strategies.

Problem Statement 5: On the MOY Reading MAP, EL/EB students scored an average of 21% (31 % all students) at the Meets and Masters levels. The federal target for EL/EB students is 29%. **Root Cause**: Root cause: lack of bilingual and ESL certified teachers and insufficient implementation of differentiation strategies.

Problem Statement 6: ILTexas meets the federal requirement of 36% on TELPAS. ILTexas EL/EB students scored 39% on TELPAS, but they performed lower than the state average of 46%. **Root Cause**: Insufficient use of sheltered instruction strategies in the classroom with focus on student output.

Problem Statement 9: We are in the need of increased opportunities for parental input, involvement and engagement. **Root Cause**: Being a charter school system, our families do not always live near the school and distance and the feel of community can be a challenge.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: On the MOY Math MAP test, EL/EB students scored an average of 17% (23% for all students) at the Meets and Masters levels. The federal target for EL/EB students is 40 %. **Root Cause**: Lack of bilingual and ESL certified teachers and insufficient implementation of differentiation strategies.

Problem Statement 2: On the MOY Reading MAP, EL/EB students scored an average of 21% (31 % all students) at the Meets and Masters levels. The federal target for EL/EB students is 29%. **Root Cause**: Root cause: lack of bilingual and ESL certified teachers and insufficient implementation of differentiation strategies.

Problem Statement 3: ILTexas meets the federal requirement of 36% on TELPAS. ILTexas EL/EB students scored 39% on TELPAS, but they performed lower than the state average of 46%. **Root Cause**: Insufficient use of sheltered instruction strategies in the classroom with focus on student output.

Problem Statement 8: There is a need to attract and retain skilled teachers.

Problem Statement 9: Some students do not have access to high quality instruction because 70.3% of ILTexas teachers have less than 6 years of experience teaching.

Problem Statement 10: We are in the need of increased opportunities for parental input, involvement and engagement. **Root Cause**: Being a charter school system, our families do not always live near the school and distance and the feel of community can be a challenge.

District Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: There is a need to attract and retain skilled teachers.

Problem Statement 2: Some students do not have access to high quality instruction because 70.3% of ILTexas teachers have less than 6 years of experience teaching.

Problem Statement 3: On the MOY Math MAP test, EL/EB students scored an average of 17% (23% for all students) at the Meets and Masters levels. The federal target for EL/EB students is 40 %. **Root Cause**: Lack of bilingual and ESL certified teachers and insufficient implementation of differentiation strategies.

Problem Statement 4: On the MOY Reading MAP, EL/EB students scored an average of 21% (31 % all students) at the Meets and Masters levels. The federal target for EL/EB students is 29%. **Root Cause**: Root cause: lack of bilingual and ESL certified teachers and insufficient implementation of differentiation strategies.

Perceptions

Problem Statement 1: We are in the need of increased opportunities for parental input, involvement and engagement. **Root Cause**: Being a charter school system, our families do not always live near the school and distance and the feel of community can be a challenge.

Goal 1: By the end of the 22-23 school year, 85% of ILTexas campuses will receive an overall rating of C or higher for their statewide accountability rating, an increase from 75% achieving a C or higher.

Performance Objective 2: By the end of the 22-23 school year, 30% of all ILTexas students will perform at the meets level of achievement on STAAR Math and 25% of EB students will perform at the meets level of achievement on STAAR Math.

	Reviews		
Formative			Summative
Nov	Jan	May	June
Reviews			
Formative			Summative
Nov	Jan	May	June
Reviews			
Formative			Summative
Nov	Jan	May	June
	Nov	Revenue Formative Nov Jan Revenue Formative Revenue Formative Revenue Formative	Formative Nov Jan May Reviews Formative Nov Jan May Reviews Formative Formative

Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 4: Monitor the implementation of HQIM and communicate collected data to campus administrators and instructional leaders.	Formative			Summative
	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increasing sight lines to the classroom use of the HQIM through the communication of data will create a sense of urgency for teachers to use the adopted curriculum.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Executive Director of Academics and Math Director				
Problem Statements: Demographics 5 - Student Learning 2 - District Processes & Programs 4				
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 5: Create and implement an asynchronous onboarding plan to support teachers who join ILTexas after initial	Formative			Summative
August training.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Systematically onboarding teachers will create a culture of support where teachers feel that they can ask questions and will have their needs met.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Math Director and Area Math Coaches				
Problem Statements: Demographics 3 - Student Learning 9 - District Processes & Programs 2				
Strategy 6 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 6: Bring in subject matter experts and consultants to help ILTexas teachers, administrators, and charter support	Formative			Summative
staff strengthen their ability to support Emergent Bilingual students with focus on increasing the Meets Target goal on the STAAR Math assessment.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Training for teachers in specific EB strategies will equip them to close the learning gap that exists between EB students and all students.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Deputy Superintendent of Academics & Student Services, Exec. Director of EL/EB Support, Content Directors, Area Instructional Coaches, Academic Directors, Campus Principals, Deans of Instruction, Instructional Coaches				
- Results Driven Accountability - Equity Plan				
Problem Statements: Demographics 4 - Student Learning 1 - District Processes & Programs 3				
Funding Sources: - 420 - State, - 263 - Title III				

Strategy 7 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 7: ILTexas will send campus, area, and headquarter staff to conferences (e.g. Title III Symposium, La Cosecha, IESOL, BEAM Symposium etc.) to assist ILTexas teachers, administrators, and charter staff strengthen their ability to support Emergent Bilingual students.	Formative			Summative
	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Training for teachers in specific EB strategies will equip them to close the learning gap that exists between EB students and all students.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Deputy Superintendent of Academics & Student Services, Exec. Director of EL/EB Support, Content Directors, Area Instructional Coaches, Academic Directors, Campus Principals, Deans of Instruction, Instructional Coaches				
- Results Driven Accountability - Equity Plan				
Problem Statements: Demographics 3 - Student Learning 9 - District Processes & Programs 2				
Funding Sources: - 420 - State, - 263 - Title III				
Strategy 8 Details		Res	riews	
Strategy 8: The DLI and EL Instructional Coaches will support implementation of Content Based Language Instruction			Summative	
(CBLI) strategies by following the instructional coaching cycle and by providing professional learning opportunities to staff	Nov	Jan	May	June
as it pertains to Emergent Bilingual students.	1101		11243	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in EB student achievement				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Deputy Superintendent of Academics & Student Services, Exec. Director of EL/EB Support, Content Directors, Area Instructional Coaches, Academic Directors, Campus Principals, Deans of Instruction, Instructional Coaches				
- Results Driven Accountability - Equity Plan				
Problem Statements: Demographics 3 - Student Learning 9 - District Processes & Programs 2				
Funding Sources: - 420 - State, - 263 - Title III				
Strategy 9 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 9: ILTexas will provide supplemental tutoring sessions to Emergent Bilingual students to accelerate their	Formative		Tevis	Summative
performance on both formative and state assessments.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased EB student achievement.	1101		11243	94110
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Deputy Superintendent of Academics & Student Services, Exec. Director of EL/EB Support, Content Directors, Area Instructional Coaches, Academic Directors, Campus Principals, Deans of Instruction, Instructional Coaches				
- Results Driven Accountability - Equity Plan				
Problem Statements: Demographics 4 - Student Learning 1 - District Processes & Programs 3 Funding Sources: - 420 - State, - 263 - Title III				

Strategy 10 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 10: ILTexas campuses will set STAAR and TELPAS goals with their Emergent Bilingual students and will	Formative			Summative
monitor student progress on data days.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased academic achievement and progress in English language acquisition (as measured by TELPAS).				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Deputy Superintendent of Academics & Student Services, Exec. Director of EL/EB Support, Campus Principals, Deans of Instruction, Instructional Coaches				
- Results Driven Accountability - Equity Plan				
Problem Statements: Demographics 4 - Student Learning 1 - District Processes & Programs 3				
Funding Sources: - 420 - State, - 263 - Title III				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	ntinue		

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 2: There is a need to attract and retain skilled teachers.

Problem Statement 3: Some students do not have access to high quality instruction because 70.3% of ILTexas teachers have less than 6 years of experience teaching.

Problem Statement 4: On the MOY Math MAP test, EL/EB students scored an average of 17% (23% for all students) at the Meets and Masters levels. The federal target for EL/EB students is 40 %. **Root Cause**: Lack of bilingual and ESL certified teachers and insufficient implementation of differentiation strategies.

Problem Statement 5: On the MOY Reading MAP, EL/EB students scored an average of 21% (31 % all students) at the Meets and Masters levels. The federal target for EL/EB students is 29%. **Root Cause**: Root cause: lack of bilingual and ESL certified teachers and insufficient implementation of differentiation strategies.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: On the MOY Math MAP test, EL/EB students scored an average of 17% (23% for all students) at the Meets and Masters levels. The federal target for EL/EB students is 40 %. **Root Cause**: Lack of bilingual and ESL certified teachers and insufficient implementation of differentiation strategies.

Problem Statement 2: On the MOY Reading MAP, EL/EB students scored an average of 21% (31 % all students) at the Meets and Masters levels. The federal target for EL/EB students is 29%. **Root Cause**: Root cause: lack of bilingual and ESL certified teachers and insufficient implementation of differentiation strategies.

Problem Statement 8: There is a need to attract and retain skilled teachers.

Problem Statement 9: Some students do not have access to high quality instruction because 70.3% of ILTexas teachers have less than 6 years of experience teaching.

District Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: There is a need to attract and retain skilled teachers.

Problem Statement 2: Some students do not have access to high quality instruction because 70.3% of ILTexas teachers have less than 6 years of experience teaching.

Problem Statement 3: On the MOY Math MAP test, EL/EB students scored an average of 17% (23% for all students) at the Meets and Masters levels. The federal target for EL/EB students is 40 %. **Root Cause**: Lack of bilingual and ESL certified teachers and insufficient implementation of differentiation strategies.

District Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 4: On the MOY Reading MAP, EL/EB students scored an average of 21% (31 % all students) at the Meets and Masters levels. The federal target for EL/EB students is 29%. **Root Cause**: Root cause: lack of bilingual and ESL certified teachers and insufficient implementation of differentiation strategies.

Performance Objective 3: The percent of graduates that demonstrate College, Career, and/or Military Readiness will increase from 74% to 77% by June 2023.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: SAT, AP, DUAL CREDIT, CTE

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: Provide Career & Technical Education resources including but not limited to teacher professional development,	Formative			Summative	
hardware, software, peripherals, online resources, and industry based tools to support the established Programs of Study at each high school.	Nov	Jan	May	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased Academic Achievement					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Deputy Superintendent of Academics & Student Services, Exec. Director of EL/EB Support, Campus Principals, Deans of Instruction, Instructional Coaches					
Problem Statements: Demographics 2 - Student Learning 8 - District Processes & Programs 1					
Strategy 2 Details		Re	views		
Strategy 2: Increase the number of Industry Based Certification exams offered to students and increase participation from		Formative Sur			
0% to 5% of eligible students.	Nov	Jan	May	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased Academic Achievement					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Deputy Superintendent of Academics & Student Services, Exec. Director of EL/EB Support, Campus Principals, Deans of Instruction, Instructional Coaches					
Problem Statements: Demographics 6 - Student Learning 3					
Strategy 3 Details		Re	views		
Strategy 3: All Juniors will receive SAT Prep Support before March administration.		Formative Summ			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Individual Campus and Charter performance will increase.	Nov	Jan	May	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Deputy Superintendent of Academics & Student Services, Senior Executive Director of Special Programs, Director of Advanced Academics, Campus Principals					
Problem Statements: Demographics 3 - Student Learning 9 - District Processes & Programs 2					

Strategy 4 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 4: English learner will receive additional SAT prep.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Higher scores on the SAT	Nov	Jan	May	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Deputy Superintendent of Academics & Student Services, Director of Advanced Academics, Campus Principals, Counselors, Dir. of EL K-12				
Problem Statements: Demographics 3 - Student Learning 9 - District Processes & Programs 2				
Funding Sources: - 263 - Title III				
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 5: All Juniors will be administered SAT in March.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student's will receive CCMR point through SAT indicator.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Deputy Superintendent of Academics & Student Services, Senior Executive Director of Special Programs, Director of Advanced Academics, Campus Principals				
Strategy 6 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 6: Seniors not earning a CCMR point will be enrolled in Texas College Bridge Courseware.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will receive CCMR point.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Deputy Superintendent of Academics & Student Services, Senior Executive Director of Special Programs, Director of Advanced Academics, Campus Principals	1107 Jan May	1124,		
Problem Statements: Demographics 6 - Student Learning 3				
Strategy 7 Details		Rev	/iews	
Strategy 7: Monitoring of Advanced Placement Enrollment and Exams.	Formative Sur			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Enrollment and Registration will increase providing students more	Nov	Jan	May	June
opportunities to earn CCMR point. Title funds will be utilized to cover the cost of two AP exams per student, to incentivize and attract students to take AP courses and exams. This will help eliminate educational barriers and promote access to postsecondary education.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Deputy Superintendent of Academics & Student Services, Senior Executive Director of Special Programs, Director of Advanced Academics, Campus Principals				
Problem Statements: Demographics 6 - Student Learning 3				

Strategy 8 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 8: Monitoring of Dual Credit Enrollment and credits earned.	Formative S			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will receive CCMR point and opportunity to earn Dual-Credit CCMR point.	Nov Jan May			June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Deputy Superintendent of Academics & Student Services, Senior Executive Director of Special Programs, Director of Advanced Academics, Campus Principals				
Problem Statements: Demographics 6 - Student Learning 3				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 2: There is a need to attract and retain skilled teachers.

Problem Statement 3: Some students do not have access to high quality instruction because 70.3% of ILTexas teachers have less than 6 years of experience teaching.

Problem Statement 6: ILTexas meets the federal requirement of 36% on TELPAS. ILTexas EL/EB students scored 39% on TELPAS, but they performed lower than the state average of 46%. **Root Cause**: Insufficient use of sheltered instruction strategies in the classroom with focus on student output.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 3: ILTexas meets the federal requirement of 36% on TELPAS. ILTexas EL/EB students scored 39% on TELPAS, but they performed lower than the state average of 46%. **Root Cause**: Insufficient use of sheltered instruction strategies in the classroom with focus on student output.

Problem Statement 8: There is a need to attract and retain skilled teachers.

Problem Statement 9: Some students do not have access to high quality instruction because 70.3% of ILTexas teachers have less than 6 years of experience teaching.

District Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: There is a need to attract and retain skilled teachers.

Problem Statement 2: Some students do not have access to high quality instruction because 70.3% of ILTexas teachers have less than 6 years of experience teaching.

Performance Objective 4: By the end of the 22-23 school year, 30% of all ILTexas students will perform at the meets level of achievement on STAAR Social Studies and 25% of EB students will perform at the meets level of achievement on STAAR Social Studies.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 1: ILTexas will bring in subject matter experts and consultants to help teachers, administrators, and charter staff		Formative		Summative	
strengthen their ability to support Emergent Bilingual students with focus on increasing the Meets Target goal on the STAAR Social Studies assessment.	Nov	Jan	May	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Deputy Superintendent of Academics & Student Services, Exec. Director of EL/EB Support, Content Directors, Area Instructional Coaches, Academic Directors, Campus Principals, Deans of Instruction, Instructional Coaches					
- Results Driven Accountability - Equity Plan					
Problem Statements: Demographics 4 - Student Learning 1 - District Processes & Programs 3					
Funding Sources: - 420 - State, - 263 - Title III					
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 2: ILTexas will send campus, area, and headquarters staff to conferences (e.g. Title III Symposium, La Cosecha,	Formative		Formative Sum		
TESOL, BEAM Symposium etc.) to assist ILTexas teachers, administrators, and charter staff strengthen their ability to support Emergent Bilingual students.	Nov	Jan	May	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Deputy Superintendent of Academics & Student Services, Exec. Director of EL/EB Support, Content Directors, Area Instructional Coaches, Academic Directors, Campus Principals, Deans of Instruction, Instructional Coaches					
- Results Driven Accountability - Equity Plan					
Problem Statements: Demographics 3 - Student Learning 9 - District Processes & Programs 2					
1					

Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 3: ILTexas will bring in subject matter experts and consultants to help teachers strengthen their Social Studies	Formative			Summative
instructional strategies that will focus on increasing the Meets Target goal on the STAAR Social Studies assessments.	Nov Jan May	June		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Deputy Superintendent of Academics & Student Services, Exec. Director of EL/EB Support, Content Directors, Area Instructional Coaches, Academic Directors, Campus Principals, Deans				
of Instruction, Instructional Coaches				
- Results Driven Accountability - Equity Plan				
Problem Statements: Demographics 3 - Student Learning 9 - District Processes & Programs 2				
Funding Sources: - 420 - State, - 263 - Title III				
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 4: The DLI and EL Instructional Coaches will support implementation of Content Based Language Instruction		Formative		Summative
(CBLI) strategies by following the instructional coaching cycle and by providing professional learning opportunities to staff as it pertains to Emergent Bilingual students.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Deputy Superintendent of Academics & Student Services, Exec. Director of EL/EB Support, Content Directors, Area Instructional Coaches, Academic Directors, Campus Principals, Deans of Instruction, Instructional Coaches				
- Results Driven Accountability - Equity Plan				
Problem Statements: Demographics 3 - Student Learning 9 - District Processes & Programs 2				
Funding Sources: - 420 - State, - 263 - Title III				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 4 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 3: Some students do not have access to high quality instruction because 70.3% of ILTexas teachers have less than 6 years of experience teaching.

Problem Statement 4: On the MOY Math MAP test, EL/EB students scored an average of 17% (23% for all students) at the Meets and Masters levels. The federal target for EL/EB students is 40 %. **Root Cause**: Lack of bilingual and ESL certified teachers and insufficient implementation of differentiation strategies.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: On the MOY Math MAP test, EL/EB students scored an average of 17% (23% for all students) at the Meets and Masters levels. The federal target for EL/EB students is 40 %. **Root Cause**: Lack of bilingual and ESL certified teachers and insufficient implementation of differentiation strategies.

Problem Statement 9: Some students do not have access to high quality instruction because 70.3% of ILTexas teachers have less than 6 years of experience teaching.

District Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 2: Some students do not have access to high quality instruction because 70.3% of ILTexas teachers have less than 6 years of experience teaching.

Problem Statement 3: On the MOY Math MAP test, EL/EB students scored an average of 17% (23% for all students) at the Meets and Masters levels. The federal target for EL/EB students is 40 %. **Root Cause**: Lack of bilingual and ESL certified teachers and insufficient implementation of differentiation strategies.

Performance Objective 5: By the end of the 22-23 school year, ILTexas students enrolled in the Special Education program will maintain a Meets achievement standard at a 9% rate for Math and a 10% rate for English Language Arts and Reading state assessments.

Evaluation Data Sources: MAP, Interim assessments, STAAR

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: ILTexas will provide on-going support and training to campus administrators, teachers, and paraprofessionals	Formative S			Summative
as it relates to the Math and English Language Arts and Reading intervention programs.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Utilization of the Math 180, Read 180, System 44, Do The Math, and Unique Learning System instructional programs with fidelity.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Special Education Coordinators, Special Education Instructional Coaches, Special Education Directors.				
- Results Driven Accountability				
Problem Statements: Demographics 3 - Student Learning 9 - District Processes & Programs 2				
Funding Sources: Renewed subscriptions for Math 180, Read 180, System 44, Do The Math, and Unique Learning System (ULS). New curriculum resource allocations for first year campuses 224 - IDEA B				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 5 Problem Statements:

Demographics	
Problem Statement 3: Some students do not have access to high quality instruction because 70.3% of ILTexas teachers have less than 6 years of experience teaching.	
Student Learning	
Problem Statement 9: Some students do not have access to high quality instruction because 70.3% of ILTexas teachers have less than 6 years of experience teaching.	
District Processes & Programs	
Problem Statement 2 : Some students do not have access to high quality instruction because 70.3% of ILTexas teachers have less than 6 years of experience teaching.	

Performance Objective 6: By the end of the 22-23 school year, students' learning readiness indicator as measured by Rhithm, will increase 10% with the help of subject matter experts and specialized programs/resources aimed at improving the social emotional health of our students with a focus on safety.

Evaluation Data Sources: Rhithm Insight reports and assessments compared to 2021-2022 data.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Bring in subject matter experts (such as but not limited to Youth Equipped to Succeed) and purchase resources	Formative S			Summative
(such as but not limit to SEL and mental health curriculum for counselors) aimed at improving school safety (physical safety, cybersecurity, internet safety and addressing the social emotional health of our students) to improve students'	Nov	Jan	May	June
readiness to learn.				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase students' learning readiness as measured by Rhithm				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: HQ Counseling Staff, Principal, APs, Counselors,				
Problem Statements: Demographics 8 - Student Learning 6				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		•

Performance Objective 6 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 8: Special Education students in STAAR tested grade levels, for Math and ELAR/Reading, performed between 10% and 23% lower than their general education peers at the Meets level. **Root Cause**: Due to mid-year staff turnover, post-pandemic, instructional use of research-based pedagogy drastically decreased.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 6: Special Education students in STAAR tested grade levels, for Math and ELAR/Reading, performed between 10% and 23% lower than their general education peers at the Meets level. **Root Cause**: Due to mid-year staff turnover, post-pandemic, instructional use of research-based pedagogy drastically decreased.

Performance Objective 1: By the end of 22-23 school year, 90% of K-12 fine art students will be successful in completing the specific TEKS required for all fine art courses.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Develop professional development for teachers to educate on Year at a Glance and Vertical Alignments for fine		Formative		Summative
art courses.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 90% result				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Kerri Keller, Dir. of Flne Arts, campus Dean's for K-8 and AP's 9-12 grade.				
Problem Statements: Demographics 2 - Student Learning 8 - District Processes & Programs 1				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Demographics
Problem Statement 2: There is a need to attract and retain skilled teachers.
Student Learning
Problem Statement 8: There is a need to attract and retain skilled teachers.
District Processes & Programs
Problem Statement 1: There is a need to attract and retain skilled teachers.

Performance Objective 2: By the end of 22-23 school year, the percentage of seniors receiving trilingual cord will increase from 40% to 45%.

Evaluation Data Sources: AAPPL Chinese & Spanish.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Provide more PD for teachers to support language output and to build presentational skills in reading and	Formative S			Summative
speaking, and interpersonal speaking.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 12th grade students' AAPPL scores on the presentaional and interpersonal modes will improve.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Xiaoyan Wang, Director of Chinese Adriana Fletes, Director of DLI and LOTE Spanish.				
Problem Statements: Demographics 3 - Student Learning 9 - District Processes & Programs 2				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		•

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Demographics
Problem Statement 3: Some students do not have access to high quality instruction because 70.3% of ILTexas teachers have less than 6 years of experience teaching.
Student Learning
Problem Statement 9: Some students do not have access to high quality instruction because 70.3% of ILTexas teachers have less than 6 years of experience teaching.
District Processes & Programs
Problem Statement 2: Some students do not have access to high quality instruction because 70.3% of ILTexas teachers have less than 6 years of experience teaching.

Performance Objective 3: By the end of 22-23 school year, at least 50% of 5th grade students who have been with ILTexas for more than 5 years will reach a Novice Mid proficiency in Chinese and Novice High in Spanish.

Evaluation Data Sources: AAPPL and ACTFL rating.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: Provide more PD for teachers to support language output and to build presentational skills in reading and		Formative			
speaking, and interpersonal speaking.	Nov	Jan	May	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 5th grade students AAPPL scores will improve. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Xiaoyan Wang, Director of Chinese Adriana Fletes, Director of DLI and LOTE Spanish. Problem Statements: Demographics 2 - Student Learning 8 - District Processes & Programs 1					
From Statements, Semographics 2 Statement Seattling of Sisterer From Statements Seattling of Sisterer From S					
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue			

Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

Demographics
Problem Statement 2: There is a need to attract and retain skilled teachers.
Student Learning
Problem Statement 8: There is a need to attract and retain skilled teachers.
District Processes & Programs
Problem Statement 1: There is a need to attract and retain skilled teachers.

Performance Objective 4: By the end of 22-23 school year, at least 50% of 8th grade students who have been with ILTexas for more than 8 years will reach an Intermeidate Low proficiency level in Chinese and Spanish.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Provide more PD for teachers to support language output and to build presentational skills in reading and	Formative Sun			Summative
speaking, and interpersonal speaking.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 8th grade students AAPPL scores will improve.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Xiaoyan Wang, Director of Chinese Adriana Fletes, Director of DLI and LOTE Spanish.				
Problem Statements: Demographics 3 - Student Learning 9 - District Processes & Programs 2				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	ntinue		

Performance Objective 4 Problem Statements:

Demographics					
Problem Statement 3 : Some students do not have access to high quality instruction because 70.3% of ILTexas teachers have less than 6 years of experience teaching.					
Student Learning					
Problem Statement 9 : Some students do not have access to high quality instruction because 70.3% of ILTexas teachers have less than 6 years of experience teaching.					
District Processes & Programs					
Problem Statement 2: Some students do not have access to high quality instruction because 70.3% of ILTexas teachers have less than 6 years of experience teaching.					

Performance Objective 5: By the end of 22-23 school year, 100% of students in 3rd, 5th, 8th and 12th grade students will be tested by AAPPL Chinese and Spanish and receive score reports.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Campus Testing Coordinators will need to follow district assessment calendar and attend AAPPL trainings.	Formative Summ			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The completion rate of AAPPL Chinese and Spanish testing will increase.	Nov Jan May Ju			June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Testing Coordinators, Xiaoyan Wang, Director of Chinese Adriana Fletes, Director of DLI and LOTE Spanish. Problem Statements: Demographics 7 - Student Learning 4 - District Processes & Programs 5				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

Performance Objective 5 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 7: Per RDA, 26% of EL/EB students scored at Beginner/Intermediate levels after multiple years in U.S. schools. **Root Cause**: Lack of ESL certified teachers and insufficient implementation of sheltered instruction strategies.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 4: Per RDA, 26% of EL/EB students scored at Beginner/Intermediate levels after multiple years in U.S. schools. **Root Cause**: Lack of ESL certified teachers and insufficient implementation of sheltered instruction strategies.

District Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 5: Per RDA, 26% of EL/EB students scored at Beginner/Intermediate levels after multiple years in U.S. schools. **Root Cause**: Lack of ESL certified teachers and insufficient implementation of sheltered instruction strategies.

Goal 3: By the end of the 22-23 school year ILTexas will retain 75% of teachers, an increase from 74% achieved in the 2021-22 school year.

Performance Objective 1: ILTexas will provide onboarding training for all new teachers in the classroom throughout the 2022-23 School Year.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Before new teachers enter a classroom, new teacher onboarding and training will be provided by the curriculum		Summative		
departments who support the content the teacher will teach. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase Teacher Retention	Nov	Jan	May	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration				
Problem Statements: Demographics 2 - Student Learning 8 - District Processes & Programs 1				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Create and implement an asynchronous onboarding plan to support teachers who join ILTexas after initial		Formative		Summative
August training.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase Teacher Retention Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration Problem Statements: Demographics 2 - Student Learning 8 - District Processes & Programs 1				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: Each curriculum and instruction department will provide continuous training in the TEKS and instructional	Formative			Summative
strategies through content PLC meetings, data day analysis, professional learning days, and other professional learning opportunities.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase Teacher Retention				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Curriculum and Instruction Departments				
Problem Statements: Demographics 3 - Student Learning 9 - District Processes & Programs 2				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue	<u> </u>	

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Demograph	ics
Demograph	103

Problem Statement 2: There is a need to attract and retain skilled teachers.

Problem Statement 3: Some students do not have access to high quality instruction because 70.3% of ILTexas teachers have less than 6 years of experience teaching.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 8: There is a need to attract and retain skilled teachers.

Problem Statement 9: Some students do not have access to high quality instruction because 70.3% of ILTexas teachers have less than 6 years of experience teaching.

District Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: There is a need to attract and retain skilled teachers.

Problem Statement 2: Some students do not have access to high quality instruction because 70.3% of ILTexas teachers have less than 6 years of experience teaching.

Goal 3: By the end of the 22-23 school year ILTexas will retain 75% of teachers, an increase from 74% achieved in the 2021-22 school year.

Performance Objective 2: We will increase the percent retention of our special education, math and reading teachers by 1% by the end of the 2022-23 School year.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Provide a pay increase and/or a stipend for our special education, math and reading teachers.	Formative Summ			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase Teacher Retention Nov Jan				June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Curriculum and Special Education				
Problem Statements: Demographics 2 - Student Learning 8 - District Processes & Programs 1				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Demographics
Problem Statement 2: There is a need to attract and retain skilled teachers.
Student Learning
Problem Statement 8: There is a need to attract and retain skilled teachers.
District Processes & Programs
Problem Statement 1: There is a need to attract and retain skilled teachers.

RDA Strategies

Goal	Objective	Strategy	Description
1	1	1	ILTexas will bring in subject matter experts and consultants to help teachers, administrators, and charter staff strengthen their ability to support Emergent Bilingual students with focus on increasing the Meets Target goal on the STAAR Reading assessment.
1	1	3	ILTexas will purchase supplemental resources for Emergent Bilingual students such as instructional materials (bilingual dictionaries, leveled readers) and online platforms to increase access to language acquisition and differentiation.
1	1	4	ILTexas will purchase professional books for staff to increase their knowledge of DLI/ESL programs.
1	1	5	The DLI and EL Instructional Coaches will support implementation of Content Based Language Instruction (CBLI) strategies by following the instructional coaching cycle and by providing professional learning opportunities to staff as it pertains to Emergent Bilingual students.
1	1	6	ILTexas will develop curriculum resources and lesson plans to meet the needs of Emergent Bilingual students.
1	1	7	Campuses that have newcomer students will receive support in the form of professional learning opportunities for staff, supplemental resources for students, extended learning time for students, and parental engagement.
1	1	8	ILTexas campuses will set STAAR and TELPAS goals with their Emergent Bilingual students and will monitor progress during data days.
1	1	10	ILTexas will provide enrichment summer school to Emergent Bilingual students to develop and strengthen their knowledge base as well as their English language proficiency in all four domains.
1	2	6	Bring in subject matter experts and consultants to help ILTexas teachers, administrators, and charter support staff strengthen their ability to support Emergent Bilingual students with focus on increasing the Meets Target goal on the STAAR Math assessment.
1	2	7	ILTexas will send campus, area, and headquarter staff to conferences (e.g. Title III Symposium, La Cosecha, TESOL, BEAM Symposium etc.) to assist ILTexas teachers, administrators, and charter staff strengthen their ability to support Emergent Bilingual students.
1	2	8	The DLI and EL Instructional Coaches will support implementation of Content Based Language Instruction (CBLI) strategies by following the instructional coaching cycle and by providing professional learning opportunities to staff as it pertains to Emergent Bilingual students.
1	2	9	ILTexas will provide supplemental tutoring sessions to Emergent Bilingual students to accelerate their performance on both formative and state assessments.
1	2	10	ILTexas campuses will set STAAR and TELPAS goals with their Emergent Bilingual students and will monitor student progress on data days.
1	4	1	ILTexas will bring in subject matter experts and consultants to help teachers, administrators, and charter staff strengthen their ability to support Emergent Bilingual students with focus on increasing the Meets Target goal on the STAAR Social Studies assessment.
1	4	2	ILTexas will send campus, area, and headquarters staff to conferences (e.g. Title III Symposium, La Cosecha, TESOL, BEAM Symposium etc.) to assist ILTexas teachers, administrators, and charter staff strengthen their ability to support Emergent Bilingual students.

Goal	Objective	Strategy	Description
1	4	3	ILTexas will bring in subject matter experts and consultants to help teachers strengthen their Social Studies instructional strategies that will focus on increasing the Meets Target goal on the STAAR Social Studies assessments.
1	4	4	The DLI and EL Instructional Coaches will support implementation of Content Based Language Instruction (CBLI) strategies by following the instructional coaching cycle and by providing professional learning opportunities to staff as it pertains to Emergent Bilingual students.
1	5	1	ILTexas will provide on-going support and training to campus administrators, teachers, and paraprofessionals as it relates to the Math and English Language Arts and Reading intervention programs.

District Funding Summary

			420 - State	
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed Account Code	Amount
1	1	1		\$0.00
1	1	2		\$0.00
1	1	3		\$0.00
1	1	4		\$0.00
1	1	5		\$0.00
1	1	6		\$0.00
1	1	7		\$0.00
1	1	8		\$0.00
1	1	9		\$0.00
1	1	10		\$0.00
1	1	11		\$0.00
1	1	12		\$0.00
1	2	6		\$0.00
1	2	7		\$0.00
1	2	8		\$0.00
1	2	9		\$0.00
1	2	10		\$0.00
1	4	1		\$0.00
1	4	2		\$0.00
1	4	3		\$0.00
1	4	4		\$0.00
			Sub-Total	\$0.00
			211 - Title 1-A	
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed Account Code	Amount
1	2	3	Contracted Services	\$0.00
			Sub-Total	\$0.00

224 - IDEA B								
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount			
1	5	1	Renewed subscriptions for Math 180, Read 180, System 44, Do The Math, and Unique Learning System (ULS). New curriculum resource allocations for first year campuses.		\$0.00			
				Sub-Total	\$0.00			
			255 - Title II					
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount			
1	2	3	Contracted Services		\$0.00			
				Sub-Total	\$0.00			
			263 - Title III					
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount			
1	1	1			\$0.00			
1	1	2			\$0.00			
1	1	3			\$0.00			
1	1	4			\$0.00			
1	1	5			\$0.00			
1	1	6			\$0.00			
1	1	7			\$0.00			
1	1	8			\$0.00			
1	1	9			\$0.00			
1	1	10			\$0.00			
1	1	11			\$0.00			
1	1	12			\$0.00			
1	2	6			\$0.00			
1	2	7			\$0.00			
1	2	8			\$0.00			
1	2	9			\$0.00			
1	2	10			\$0.00			
1	3	4			\$0.00			
1	4	1			\$0.00			
1	4	2			\$0.00			

263 - Title III								
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount			
1	4	3			\$0.00			
1	4	4			\$0.00			
	Sub-Total							