International Leadership of Texas Grand Prairie Elementary 2022-2023 Campus Improvement Plan

Mission Statement

The mission of ILTexas is to prepare students for exceptional leadership roles in the international community by emphasizing servant leadership, mastering the English, Spanish, and Chinese languages, and strengthening the mind, body and character.

La misión de ILTexas es preparar a nuestros alumnos para roles de liderazgo excepcionales en la comunidad internacional, haciendo hincapié en el liderazgo de servicio, el dominio de los idiomas inglés, español y chino, y el fortalecimiento de mente, cuerpo y carácter.

å¾·å…‹è ¨æ—州国际领袖妿 ¡æ—¨åœ¨ä°ŽåŸ¹å…»å¦ç"Ÿåœ¨å›½é™…社会ä¸å**"è¶Šçš"领寽æ‰** èf½ã€,我们å¼°è°få¦ç"Ÿåœ¨ç†Ÿç»f掌æ ¡è‹±è¯
,西ç 牙è¯å'Œä,æ−‡**çš,å‰** æ 下 åŠ å¼°å¥‰çŒ®å¼ çš,领导精神å **Šè⁰«ä½",头è,'å'Œå"** å¾·çš,é"»ç,¼ã€,

Vision

Our Students are curious and creative learners who succeed through personal initiative and sustained effort to reach high academic goals. They are critical thinkers who seek knowledge and possess trilingual competence and collaborative skills. Our students embrace diversity, act responsibly, and contribute to our community by putting others before self.

Our Educators believe that all students can meet or exceed rigorous academic standards. Teachers, staff, and administrators together form a rich professional learning community where all are supported to hone our professional craft and improve our effectiveness. Through the examination of our instructional practices and data, we adjust our teaching and operational systems in order to continuously improve. We are supportive community of lifelong learns.

Our Families and Community are actively engaged partners that are integral to the success of our students and school.

Core Beliefs

We believe in Honor to be the best you can be, Courage to take risks, and Commitment to never give up!

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Student Learning	6
School Processes & Programs	10
Perceptions	13
Priority Problem Statements	14
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	15
Goals	16
Goal 1: By the end of the 22-23 school year, 85% of ILTexas campuses will receive an overall rating of C or higher for their statewide accountability rating, an increase from 75% achieving a C or higher.	17
Goal 2: By the end of the 22-23 school year, at least 75% of students graduate meeting language (students enrolled at ILTexas 7 years or more are at Intermediate or above),	2.1
95% of students will have completed their service hour requirements and 75 % of graduates will have met their Interactive Health Technology goals.	31
Goal 3: By the end of the 22-23 school year ILTexas will retain 75% of teachers, an increase from 74% achieved in the 2021-22 school year.	33
2022-2023 Campus Advisory Council	37
Campus Funding Summary	38

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary

School Population (2021 - 2022)

Student Total 947 100% Kindergarten 16.79% 159 1st Grade 158 16.68% 17.00% 2nd Grade 161 3rd Grade 155 16.37% 4th Grade 159 16.79% 5th Grade 155 16.37%

Student Demographics

Gender

Female 496 52.38% Male 451 47.62%

Ethnicity

Hispanic-Latino 512 54.07%

Race

American Indian - Alaskan Native 3 0.32%

Asian 46 4.86%

Black - African American 304 32.10%

Native Hawaiian - Pacific Islander 1 0.11%

White 35 3.70%

4.86%

Student by Program

Two-or-More

Free Lunch Participation 0.42%4 Reduced Lunch Participation 3 0.32% Other Economically Disadvantaged 665 70.22% Gifted and Talented 2.11% 20 Special Education (SPED) 38 4.01% Title I Participation 947 100.00% Homeless Statuses 2 Homeless Status Total 0.21% Doubled Up 2 0.21%

Other Student Information

Economically Disadvantaged 672 70.96% Limited English Proficient (LEP) 38.21% 363 29 Military Connected 3.05% Foster Care 0.11% Section 504 42 4.42% Intervention Indicator 11.89% 113

We use a number of sources to find high caliber staff such as our campus website, the Teacher Job Network (TJN), college career fairs, and Region 10 and 11 job fairs. The

majority of core and LOTE teachers are at a highly qualified status in accordance with ESSA. Our interview process clearly outlines the mission, philosophy, and expectations for our school. We have recruited teachers from Spain and China to teach our trilingual model. ILT will continue to work with region 10 & 11 and the district to actively find qualified teacher candidates to fill positions within the school. Teachers have the professional freedom to be innovative and creative. They have opportunities to have input into decision making on campus.

We will have wonderful ethnic diversity in our faculty/staff population, we are truly international. We have faculty/staff from Mexico, Puerto Rico, Spain, China, and more.

Finding highly qualified teachers to teach our trilingual model has required us to look outside of the US for teachers. This year we have the recruitment of teachers from Spain and China. This year we have also increased the number of teachers that meet certified status. There have also been salary increases for teachers, making our salary a little more competitive with local ISDs.

Demographics Strengths

- Diverse population
- Increase in students enrolled compared to last year.
- Teachers are enrolling into the district Alternative Certification Program
- GPK8 has a very diverse teacher and staff environment

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): High percentage of economically disadvantaged students **Root Cause:** Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Lack of Fully Certified teachers. Root Cause: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): Efficient progress monitoring of students' data on computer programs **Root Cause:** PD needed on how to use and monitor students on such programs

Problem Statement 4 (Prioritized): Low number of certified teachers in K-5 Root Cause: Teachers could not pass TOEFL and Certification Exams

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

May 2022 STAAR Reading, Grade 3

	Total Students	Raw Score	Scale Score	Percent Score	Approaches	Meets	Masters Date	Taken
ILTexas Grand Prairie Elem	135	19	1384	56.73%	61.48%	28.89%	13.33%05/01/	22
Economic Disadvantage	95	18	1366	54.01%	57.89%	21.05%	9.47%05/01/	22
Asian	9	20	1395	59.11%	66.67%	33.33%	22.22%05/01/	22
Black/African American	44	20	1386	57.45%	56.82%	36.36%	15.91%05/01/	22
Hispanic	71	19	1371	54.42%	59.15%	23.94%	11.27%05/01/	22
Two or More Races	5	20	1393	60.20%	80%	20%	0%05/01/	22
White	ϵ	25	1488	72.17%	100%	33.33%	16.67%05/01/	22
Currently Emergent Bilingual	50	19	1375	54.54%	54%	26%	16%05/01/	22
Second Year of Monitoring	2	27	1521	78%	100%	50%	50%05/01/	22
Special Ed Indicator	12	15	1304	43.33%	41.67%	8.33%	0%05/01/	22
	May 2022 STAAR	Mathematics.	Grade 3					
	3			Percent Score	Approaches	Meets	Masters Date T	Taken
ILTexas Grand Prairie Elem	135	15	1347	47.99%	44.44%	16.30%	4.44%05/01/	22
Economic Disadvantage	95	15	1333	45.83%	40%	13.68%	4.21%05/01/	22
Asian	9	20	1442	61.56%	77.78%	22.22%	11.11%05/01/	22
Black/African American	44	16	1354	49.20%	43.18%	20.45%	4.55%05/01/	22
Hispanic	71	14	1323	44.27%	38.03%	11.27%	1.41%05/01/	22
Two or More Races	5	16	1370	50.60%	40%	20%	20%05/01/	22
White	ϵ	19	1421	60.50%	83.33%	33.33%	16.67%05/01/	22
Currently Emergent Bilingual	50	14	1321	43.98%	38%	14%	2%05/01/	22
Second Year of Monitoring	2	22	1469	68.50%	100%	50%	0%05/01/	22
Special Ed Indicator	12	12	1274	36%	16.67%	0%	0%05/01/	22
	May 2022 STAAR							
					1.1		Masters Date T	
ILTexas Grand Prairie Elem	128					23.44%		
Economic Disadvantage	91					20.88%		
American Indian/Alaskan Native	1					0%		
Asian	2					0%		
Black/African American	45	18	1498	54.04%	57.78%	31.11%	15.56%05/01/	22
Grand Prairie Elementary Generated by Plan4Learning.com				7 of 39				Campus #010 September 22, 2022 3:39 PM

May 2022 STAAR Reading, Grade 3

	Total Students	Raw Score	Scale Score	Percent Score	Approaches	Meets	Masters	Date Taken
Hispanic	71	16	1454	47.27%	43.66%	21.13%	2.82%0	05/01/22
Two or More Races	6	14	1411	39.67%	33.33%	0%	0%0	5/01/22
White	3	20	1541	59.67%	66.67%	33.33%	33.33%0	5/01/22
Currently Emergent Bilingual	51	16	1452	46.92%	47.06%	19.61%	3.92%0	5/01/22
First Year of Monitoring	2	24	1588	70.50%	100%	50%	0%0	5/01/22
Special Ed Indicator	5	10	1359	30.60%	20%	0%	0%0	05/01/22
	May 2022 STAAR	Reading, Grad	e 4					
	Total Students	Raw Score	Scale Score	Percent Score	Approaches	Meets	Masters I	Oate Taken
ILTexas Grand Prairie Elem	129	23	1501	64.34%	66.67%	43.41%	20.93%0	5/01/22
Economic Disadvantage	92	22	1483	61.60%	60.87%	36.96%	16.30%0	5/01/22
American Indian/Alaskan Native	1	28	1569	78%	100%	100%	0%0	5/01/22
Asian	2	29	1580	79.50%	100%	100%	0%0	5/01/22
Black/African American	45	25	1533	68.80%	73.33%	53.33%	31.11%0	5/01/22
Hispanic	72	22	1479	61.03%	61.11%	34.72%	16.67%0	5/01/22
Two or More Races	6	20	1447	55.67%	50%	33.33%	0%0	5/01/22
White	3	29	1590	79.67%	100%	66.67%	33.33%0	5/01/22
Currently Emergent Bilingual	52	22	1472	60.04%	61.54%	30.77%	13.46%0	5/01/22
First Year of Monitoring	2	30	1620	83.50%	100%	100%	50%0	5/01/22
Special Ed Indicator	5	12	1317	33.20%	0%	0%	0%0	5/01/22
Special Ed Indicator	6	13	1328	35.83%	0%	0%	0%0	05/01/22
	May 2022 STAAR							
					1.1			Date Taken
ILTexas Grand Prairie MS	133	19	1545		57.14%			
Economic Disadvantage	93	18	1530		52.69%			05/01/22
Asian	4				100%			05/01/22
Black/African American	36	19			55.56%			5/01/22
Hispanic	82	19	1549		58.54%			
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	1	13	1446					05/01/22
Two or More Races	6	15	1470		16.67%			05/01/22
White	4	24	1615	65.25%	75%	50%	25%0	05/01/22
Grand Prairie Elementary				8 of 39				G. A

Campus #010 September 22, 2022 3:39 PM

May 2022 STAAR Reading, Grade 3

Raw Score Scale Score Percent Score Approaches

Currently Emergent Bilingual		64	19	1549	53.86%	62.50%	26.56%	12.50%05/01/22
First Year of Monitoring		1	36	2095	100%	100%	100%	100%05/01/22
Special Ed Indicator		9	13	1444	36.78%	11.11%	11.11%	0%05/01/22
	May 2022 STA							
	Total Students	Raw Score	Scal	e Score Perc	ent Score A	Approaches	Meets 1	Masters Date Taken
ILTexas Grand Prairie MS		133	23	1517	61.65%	61.65%	36.09%	18.80%05/01/22
Economic Disadvantage		93	22	1491	57.46%	52.69%	29.03%	16.13%05/01/22
Asian		4	28	1572	73%	100%	50%	25%05/01/22
Black/African American		36	24	1519	62.03%	61.11%	33.33%	13.89%05/01/22
Hispanic		82	23	1516	61.63%	62.20%	36.59%	20.73%05/01/22
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander		1	21	1470	55%	100%	0%	0%05/01/22
Two or More Races		6	16	1390	40.67%	16.67%	16.67%	0%05/01/22
White		4	31	1666	80.25%	75%	75%	50%05/01/22
Currently Emergent Bilingual		64	23	1507	60.17%	57.81%	32.81%	17.19%05/01/22
First Year of Monitoring		1	34	1705	89%	100%	100%	100%05/01/22
Special Ed Indicator		9	13	1352	33.56%	0%	0%	0%05/01/22
-								

May 2022 STAAR Science	e Grade 5
------------------------	-----------

Total Students

	111dy 2022 5111111	e belefiee, Gra	uc s						
	Total Students	Raw Score	Scale Score	Percen	nt Score	Approaches	Meets	Masters	Date Taken
ILTexas Grand Prairie MS	13.	3 1	.9 3	518	53.70%	40.60%	22.56%	8.27	%05/01/22
Economic Disadvantage	9.	3 1	.8 3	431	49.90%	33.33%	15.05%	7.539	%05/01/22
Asian		4 2	27 4	001	73.50%	6 75%	50%	25'	%05/01/22
Black/African American	3	6 1	.9 3	504	53.36%	38.89%	19.44%	5.56	%05/01/22
Hispanic	8	2 1	.9 3	512	53.34%	40.24%	23.17%	8.54	%05/01/22
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander		1 1	.5 3	251	42%	6 0%	0%	0'	%05/01/22
Two or More Races	1	6 1	.3	123	36%	6 16.67%	0%	0'	%05/01/22
White		4 2	27 3	954	73.75%	6 75%	50%	25'	%05/01/22
Currently Emergent Bilingual	6	4 1	.9 3	503	52.83%	37.50%	18.75%	6.25	%05/01/22
First Year of Monitoring		1 3	34 4	722	94%	6 100%	100%	100	%05/01/22
Special Ed Indicator		9 1	2 3	076	33.78%	0%	0%	0'	%05/01/22

Grand Prairie Elementary Generated by Plan4Learning.com **Masters** Date Taken

Meets

Student Learning Strengths

Scores were accurately reflected from previous assessements throughout the year (ECA, MAP, etc.)

We have accurate data on most students to begin targeted instruction to close gaps.

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): High percentage of economically disadvantaged students **Root Cause:** Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Lack of Fully Certified teachers. Root Cause: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): Efficient progress monitoring of students' data on computer programs **Root Cause:** PD needed on how to use and monitor students on such programs

Problem Statement 4 (Prioritized): Low number of certified teachers in K-5 Root Cause: Teachers could not pass TOEFL and Certification Exams

Problem Statement 5 (Prioritized): Some stakeholders stated the front office personnel lack a positive and approachable attitude. **Root Cause:** Lack of training and support on how the climate of the school is impacted by how we treat our customers

School Processes & Programs

School Processes & Programs Summary

We use a number of sources to find high caliber staff such as our campus website, the Teacher Job Network (TJN), university career fairs, and Region 10 and 11 job fairs. The majority of core and LOTE teachers are at a highly qualified status in accordance with NCLB. Our interview process clearly outlines the mission, philosophy, and expectations for our school. We have recruited teachers from Spain and China to teach our trilingual model. ILT will continue to work with Region 10 & 11 and the district to actively find qualified teacher candidates to fill positions within the school. Teachers have the professional freedom to be innovative and creative. They have opportunities to have input into decision making on campus.

We will have wonderful ethnic diversity in our faculty/staff population; we are truly international. We have faculty/staff from Mexico, Puerto Rico, Spain, China, and more.

Finding highly qualified teachers to teach our trilingual model has required us to look outside of the US for teachers. This year we have the recruitment of teachers from Spain and China. This year we have also increased the number of teachers that meet highly qualified status. There have also been significant salary increases for teachers, making our salary a little more competitive with local ISDs.

We will have a professional learning community and an atmosphere of collegiality. Our grade level teams plan together work towards common goals. Our staff members are able to rely on each other for support, suggestions, and assistance.

Our parents work in a partnership with teachers by engaging in open communication through a weekly newsletter, emails, and phone calls. Our PTO assists with events that will better connect parents to the school community.

The student council, the voice of the students, will engage in monthly meetings with the principal for an open discussion about the school. These conversations allow the students to highlight the positive as well as identify areas of improvement within the school.

A focus on modeling, monitoring and enforcing the 3 Bs.

Campus leadership will work with staff to develop a course of action so that all students have the opportunity to successfully meet grade-level academic performance gains and STAAR performance gains. Teachers will follow the TEKS RS Scope and Sequence and curriculum for all core subject areas, as well as participate in activities designed to analyze data based on the state, district and local assessments. Teachers will meet with instructional coaches weekly to review the lesson plans for the following week. The focus will be on alignment and rigor.

3rd-grade students will take STAAR Reading and Mathematics tests, 4th graders will take STAAR Reading, Writing and Mathematics tests and 5th graders will take STAAR Reading, Mathematics and Science. Students will MAP in grades 2nd-5th. All students K-5th will take district progress measurement assessments. Critical thinking and problem-solving skills will be emphasized in all core subject areas. Students in 2nd-8th Grade will take math BOY and MOY assessment. Students in grades 3rd-8th Grade will take reading BOY and MOY. All students will complete the monthly IStation assessment.

Economically disadvantaged, LEP and at-risk student population groups who have established a pattern of non-mastery will be targeted for remediation. Small group instruction, tutorials, parent-teacher conferences and consistent written and oral communication between home and school will ensure that home and school work together provide the necessary support to students to bring about student success. ILTexas GP will provide student support services as outlined in IEPs, and 504 accommodations for qualifying students.

Organize and foster a positive, safe environment that is conducive to meeting the needs of all students, staff and parents.

All teachers have been trained on how to best use current technology students have to best support their learning.

All staff members have been provided a laptop. We have document cameras and Interactive/whiteboards in all classrooms. In addition, all students in grades k through 5 are provided their own Chromebooks.

School Processes & Programs Strengths

We will have a learning community and an atmosphere of collegiality. Our grade level teams plan together work towards common goals. Our staff members are able to rely on each other for support, suggestions, and assistance.

Our parents work in a partnership with teachers by engaging in open communication through a weekly newsletter, emails, and phone calls. Our PTO has been formed and assists with events that will better connect parents to the school community.

Teachers utilize technology daily in their instruction.

Students in grade K-5 have access to chrome books for class/home assignments.

Students can utilize technology for homework assignments and projects

Utilize media specialist to coach teachers in the effective use of technology as an instructional tool.

We are guided by the TEKS and follow TEKS RS. Teachers are required to use these resources to guide their planning, instruction and assessment. Teachers plan with their grade level teams. Teachers have dedicated Data analysis days every 6 weeks to review student levels and instructional needs. They also have extended time daily to meet with grade level team or partner teachers.

- Teachers have the support of partners and team members,
- Teachers plan lessons, activities and assessments together as a team,
- Several GLAs/teachers have attended TEKS RS Training,
- Teachers have access to several on-line programs: Study Island, Reading A-Z, All-in-Learning, Measuring Up, etc.
- Teachers utilize Eduphoria
- Teachers have the support of the District Content Coordinators
- Campus instructional Coaches
- Additional instructional assistants

Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Lack of Fully Certified teachers. Root Cause: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Low participation in PTO/ performance nights. Root Cause: Parents/ families work

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): Low number of certified teachers in K-5 Root Cause: Teachers could not pass TOEFL and Certification Exams

Problem Statement 4 (Prioritized): High percentage of economically disadvantaged students **Root Cause:** Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 5 (Prioritized): Efficient progress monitoring of students' data on computer programs **Root** Cause: PD needed on how to use and monitor students on such programs

Problem Statement 6 (Prioritized): Some stakeholders stated the front office personnel lack a positive and approachable attitude. Root Cause: Lack of training and support on how

the climate of the school is impacted by how we treat our customers

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

We will have a learning community and an atmosphere of collegiality. Our grade level teams plan together work towards common goals. Our staff members are able to rely on each other for support, suggestions, and assistance.

Our parents work in a partnership with teachers by engaging in open communication through a weekly newsletter, emails, and phone calls. Our PTO has been formed and assists with events that will better connect parents to the school community.

The student council, the voice of the students, will engage in monthly meetings with the principal for an open discussion about the school. These conversations allow the students to highlight the positive as well as identify areas of improvement within the school.

According to our campus survey, the majority of responders feel supported by their campus teams, administrators and coaches.

According to the parent survey, a majority of the parents feel as though their child(ren) are receiving a quality education.

Perceptions Strengths

Majority of responders on surveys convey a feeling of support and quality education is being provided for all stakeholders.

Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Some stakeholders stated the front office personnel lack a positive and approachable attitude. **Root Cause:** Lack of training and support on how the climate of the school is impacted by how we treat our customers

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): High percentage of economically disadvantaged students Root Cause: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): Lack of Fully Certified teachers. Root Cause: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

Problem Statement 4 (Prioritized): Efficient progress monitoring of students' data on computer programs Root Cause: PD needed on how to use and monitor students on such programs

Problem Statement 5 (Prioritized): Low participation in PTO/ performance nights. Root Cause: Parents/ families work

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: High percentage of economically disadvantaged students

Root Cause 1: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 1 Areas: Demographics - Student Learning - School Processes & Programs - Perceptions

Problem Statement 3: Lack of Fully Certified teachers.

Root Cause 3: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

Problem Statement 3 Areas: Demographics - Student Learning - School Processes & Programs - Perceptions

Problem Statement 5: Some stakeholders stated the front office personnel lack a positive and approachable attitude.

Root Cause 5: Lack of training and support on how the climate of the school is impacted by how we treat our customers

Problem Statement 5 Areas: Student Learning - School Processes & Programs - Perceptions

Problem Statement 8: Low participation in PTO/ performance nights.

Root Cause 8: Parents/ families work

Problem Statement 8 Areas: School Processes & Programs - Perceptions

Problem Statement 4: Efficient progress monitoring of students' data on computer programs

Root Cause 4: PD needed on how to use and monitor students on such programs

Problem Statement 4 Areas: Demographics - Student Learning - School Processes & Programs - Perceptions

Problem Statement 2: Low number of certified teachers in K-5

Root Cause 2: Teachers could not pass TOEFL and Certification Exams

Problem Statement 2 Areas: Demographics - Student Learning - School Processes & Programs

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

District goals

Accountability Data

Effective Schools Framework data

Student Data: Assessments

- · Local diagnostic reading assessment data
- · Local benchmark or common assessments data
- Running Records results
- Observation Survey results

Student Data: Student Groups

- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- Section 504 data
- Homeless data
- Gifted and talented data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

• Discipline records

Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- State certified and high quality staff data
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- Professional development needs assessment data

Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Community surveys and/or other feedback

Support Systems and Other Data

- Organizational structure data
- Budgets/entitlements and expenditures data
- Other additional data

Goals

Revised/Approved: June 15, 2022

Goal 1: By the end of the 22-23 school year, 85% of ILTexas campuses will receive an overall rating of C or higher for their statewide accountability rating, an increase from 75% achieving a C or higher.

Performance Objective 1: Increase the number of students attaining Mastery Learning for content specific tutoring to at least 80% of students assigned by June 2023

Evaluation Data Sources: Review daily attendance for Eagle Academy

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews					
Strategy 1: Provide small group tutoring before school, after school, and on Saturdays. Provide opportunity for teachers to		Formative				
plan for small group tutoring before school, after school, and on Saturdays.	Nov	Jan	May	June		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in student achievement on all measures of performance.			1			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, teachers, and instructional coaches.						
Title I:						
2.5, 2.6						
- TEA Priorities:						
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools						
Problem Statements: Demographics 1, 2 - Student Learning 1, 2 - School Processes & Programs 1, 4 -						
Perceptions 2, 3						
Funding Sources: - 211 - Title 1-A						

Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 2: ILTexas will bring in subject matter experts and consultants to help teachers, administrators, and charter staff		Formative		Summative
strengthen their ability to support Emergent Bilingual students with focus on increasing the Meets Target goal on the STAAR Reading assessment.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: IC,Dean,AP,Principal				
Title I: 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1, 2 - Student Learning 1, 2 - School Processes & Programs 1, 4 - Perceptions 2, 3				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 3: ILTexas will send campus, area, and headquarters staff to conferences (e.g. Title III Symposium, La Cosecha,		Formative		Summative
TESOL, BEAM Symposium etc.) to assist ILTexas teachers, administrators, and charter staff strengthen their ability to support Emergent Bilingual students.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: IC,Dean,AP,Principal				
Title I:				
2.5				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Demographics 2, 3 - Student Learning 2, 3 - School Processes & Programs 1, 5 -				
Perceptions 3, 4				
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 4: ILTexas will purchase supplemental resources for Emergent Bilingual students such as instructional materials		Formative		Summative
(bilingual dictionaries, leveled readers) and online platforms to increase access to language acquisition and differentiation. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teacher,IC,Dean,AP	Nov	Jan	May	June
2 mil 110sponoso tot manifesting. Leading, 10sponi, 11				
Title I:				
2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 1 - School Processes & Programs 4 - Perceptions 2			1	

Strategy 5 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 5: ILTexas campuses will set STAAR and TELPAS goals with their Emergent Bilingual students and will monitor		Formative		Summative
progress during data days. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teacher,IC,Dean	Nov	Jan	May	June
Title I: 2.4, 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Demographics 3 - Student Learning 3 - School Processes & Programs 5 - Perceptions 4				
Strategy 6 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 6: ILTexas will provide supplemental tutoring sessions to Emergent Bilingual students to accelerate their		Summative		
performance on both formative and state assessments. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teacher,IC,Dean		Jan	May	June
Title I: 2.4, 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Demographics 1, 3 - Student Learning 1, 3 - School Processes & Programs 4, 5 - Perceptions 2, 4				
Strategy 7 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 7: ILTexas will provide enrichment summer school to Emergent Bilingual students to develop and strengthen		Formative	_	Summative
their knowledge base as well as their English language proficiency in all four domains. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teacher,IC,Dean	Nov	Jan	May	June
Title I: 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 1 - School Processes & Programs 4 - Perceptions 2				

Strategy 8 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 8: ILTexas will provide parents of Emergent Bilingual students learning opportunities to support their children at		Formative		Summative	
home, understand the importance of state assessments, and receive college and career information. Provide parental support to parents by providing training and holding meetings that model best practices for parents in helping their child in being successful at school. Provide online access to CNA/CIP.	Nov	Jan	May	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: IC,Dean					
Title I: 4.1, 4.2 - TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers:					
Lever 3: Positive School Culture Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 2 - Perceptions 5					
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue			

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: High percentage of economically disadvantaged students **Root Cause**: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 2: Lack of Fully Certified teachers. Root Cause: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

Problem Statement 3: Efficient progress monitoring of students' data on computer programs Root Cause: PD needed on how to use and monitor students on such programs

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: High percentage of economically disadvantaged students **Root Cause**: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 2: Lack of Fully Certified teachers. Root Cause: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

Problem Statement 3: Efficient progress monitoring of students' data on computer programs Root Cause: PD needed on how to use and monitor students on such programs

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: Lack of Fully Certified teachers. Root Cause: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

Problem Statement 2: Low participation in PTO/ performance nights. Root Cause: Parents/ families work

Problem Statement 4: High percentage of economically disadvantaged students **Root Cause**: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 5: Efficient progress monitoring of students' data on computer programs Root Cause: PD needed on how to use and monitor students on such programs

Perceptions

Problem Statement 2: High percentage of economically disadvantaged students **Root Cause**: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 3: Lack of Fully Certified teachers. Root Cause: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

Problem Statement 4: Efficient progress monitoring of students' data on computer programs Root Cause: PD needed on how to use and monitor students on such programs

Problem Statement 5: Low participation in PTO/ performance nights. **Root Cause**: Parents/ families work

Goal 1: By the end of the 22-23 school year, 85% of ILTexas campuses will receive an overall rating of C or higher for their statewide accountability rating, an increase from 75% achieving a C or higher.

Performance Objective 2: Improve performance on state, district, and campus testing by increasing student attendance to at least 97%, language acquisition, benchmark and district/campus assessment taken by 100% of students.

Evaluation Data Sources: State STAAR report

Attendance report

District and Campus Assessment Tools

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Provide instructional materials for teachers and students for use in Reading/LA, Social Studies, Math and		Formative		Summative
Science to include technology, consumable resources, manipulatives, bilingual dictionaries, as well as STAAR aligned resources to aid in preparation of State Assessments (including Computers, Computer monitors, computer equipment, Study Island, All in Learning, STAAR Master, Brain Pop, Measuring Up, Whetstone, MAP, etc.)	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student academic achievement				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, Dean, Instructional Coach, Grade Level Administrator, and Teachers, and Instructional Aides.				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Achievement 3 - Student Learning 1 - School Processes & Programs 4 - Perceptions 2				

Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Provide online programs to include RAZ kids, Study Island, Brain Pop, MAP, Measuring Up, and other online		Summative		
resources to enhance instruction and increase student academic achievement. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student academic achievement. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, Dean, Instructional Coach, Grade Level Administrator, and Teachers, and Instructional Aides. Title I: 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 1 - School Processes & Programs 4 - Perceptions 2 Funding Sources: - 211 - Title 1-A	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	.
Strategy 3: Academic interventionist to help support teachers and students to improve academic progress.	Formative Summa			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student on all performance measures in achievement in math and reading Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals Title I: 2.4, 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 1 - School Processes & Programs 4 - Perceptions 2	Nov	Jan	May	June

Strategy 4 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 4: Provide incentives for students that are showing growth in attendance & usage in academic online programs	Formative			Summative	
such as I-Station & Imagine Math.	Nov	Jan	May	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student on all performance measures in achievement in math and reading					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration, IC, GLA					
Title I:					
2.5, 2.6					
- TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math					
Problem Statements: Demographics 1, 4 - Student Learning 1, 4 - School Processes & Programs 3, 4 - Perceptions 2					
Funding Sources: - 211 - Title 1-A					
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discor	l ntinue			

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: High percentage of economically disadvantaged students **Root Cause**: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 4: Low number of certified teachers in K-5 Root Cause: Teachers could not pass TOEFL and Certification Exams

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: High percentage of economically disadvantaged students **Root Cause**: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 4: Low number of certified teachers in K-5 **Root Cause**: Teachers could not pass TOEFL and Certification Exams

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 3: Low number of certified teachers in K-5 Root Cause: Teachers could not pass TOEFL and Certification Exams

Problem Statement 4: High percentage of economically disadvantaged students **Root Cause**: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Perceptions

Problem Statement 2: High percentage of economically disadvantaged students **Root Cause**: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Goal 1: By the end of the 22-23 school year, 85% of ILTexas campuses will receive an overall rating of C or higher for their statewide accountability rating, an increase from 75% achieving a C or higher.

Performance Objective 3: Provide all students the opportunity to attend off campus field trips to enhance classroom instruction for the 2022-23 School Year

Evaluation Data Sources: None

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Assistant Principals and Grade Level Administrators will identify field trips for all grade levels that are aligned	Formative			Summative
to the classroom instruction and impact to student achievement	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in student achievement/ success as evidenced on formal and informal assessments. Provide off-campus hands-on learning opportunities for economically disadvantage students				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Instructional Coach, Grade Level administrators, Teachers				
Title I:				
2.5				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 1 - School Processes & Programs 4 - Perceptions 2				
Funding Sources: - 211 - Title 1-A				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: High percentage of economically disadvantaged students **Root Cause**: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: High percentage of economically disadvantaged students **Root Cause**: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 4: High percentage of economically disadvantaged students **Root Cause**: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Perceptions

Problem Statement 2: High percentage of economically disadvantaged students **Root Cause**: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Goal 1: By the end of the 22-23 school year, 85% of ILTexas campuses will receive an overall rating of C or higher for their statewide accountability rating, an increase from 75% achieving a C or higher.

Performance Objective 4: 100% of the ILTexas Grand Prairie ES will receive opportunities for professional development growth, coaching, and feedback to help improve and implement best instructional strategies by June 2023

Evaluation Data Sources: Walkthroughs, agendas for PD days, increased student achievement, teacher feedback forms

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: All faculty and staff members will be provided the opportunity to attend professional development that directly	Formative			Summative
aligns to our mission Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in job satisfaction and performance for all faculty and staff Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Deans, Instructional Coach, Grade Level administrators		Jan	May	June
Title I: 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals Problem Statements: Demographics 1, 2 - Student Learning 1, 2 - School Processes & Programs 1, 4 - Perceptions 2, 3				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	I	1

Performance Objective 4 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: High percentage of economically disadvantaged students **Root Cause**: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 2: Lack of Fully Certified teachers. Root Cause: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: High percentage of economically disadvantaged students **Root Cause**: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 2: Lack of Fully Certified teachers. Root Cause: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: Lack of Fully Certified teachers. **Root Cause**: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 4: High percentage of economically disadvantaged students **Root Cause**: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Perceptions

Problem Statement 2: High percentage of economically disadvantaged students **Root Cause**: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 3: Lack of Fully Certified teachers. Root Cause: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

Goal 1: By the end of the 22-23 school year, 85% of ILTexas campuses will receive an overall rating of C or higher for their statewide accountability rating, an increase from 75% achieving a C or higher.

Performance Objective 5: All students will participate in before, during, and after school tutoring and Saturday tutorials/intervention camps and enrichment (includes academic clubs and organizations with a focus on ELAR, Math, and Science) by the end of 2022-23 School Year. Provide opportunity for teachers to plan for small group tutoring before school, after school, and on Saturdays.

Evaluation Data Sources: Teacher creates assignments/assessments, district benchmarks, and STAAR test results

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: Utilize the weekly failure reports to identify students that are not meeting mastery. Providing Before, After,	Formative			Summative	
and Saturday School to meet needs.	Nov	Jan	May	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase the percentage of students meeting mastery in all content areas by 10%			,		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Dean, Instructional Coach, Grade Level Administrators, Teachers					
Title I: 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math					
Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Achievement 3 - Student Learning 1 - School Processes & Programs 4 - Perceptions 2					
Funding Sources: - 211 - Title 1-A					
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	ntinue	•		

Performance Objective 5 Problem Statements:

Demographics
Problem Statement 1 : High percentage of economically disadvantaged students Root Cause : Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others
Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: High percentage of economically disadvantaged students **Root Cause**: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 4: High percentage of economically disadvantaged students **Root Cause**: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Perceptions

Problem Statement 2: High percentage of economically disadvantaged students **Root Cause**: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Goal 1: By the end of the 22-23 school year, 85% of ILTexas campuses will receive an overall rating of C or higher for their statewide accountability rating, an increase from 75% achieving a C or higher.

Performance Objective 6: By the end of the 22-23 school year, students' learning readiness indicator as measured by Rhithm, will increase 10% with the help of subject matter experts and specialized programs/resources aimed at improving the social emotional health of our students with a focus on safety.

Evaluation Data Sources: Rhithm Insight reports and assessments compared to 2021-2022 data.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Bring in subject matter experts (such as but not limited to Youth Equipped to Succeed) and purchase resources	s Formative			Summative
(such as but not limit to SEL and mental health curriculum for counselors) aimed at improving school safety (physical safety, cybersecurity, internet safety and addressing the social emotional health of our students) to improve students'		Jan	May	June
readiness to learn.				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improve learning readiness measured by Rhithm.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, APs, Counselors				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5				
Problem Statements: Demographics 2 - Student Learning 2 - School Processes & Programs 1 - Perceptions 3				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	ntinue		

Performance Objective 6 Problem Statements:

Demographics
Problem Statement 2: Lack of Fully Certified teachers. Root Cause: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.
Student Learning
Problem Statement 2: Lack of Fully Certified teachers. Root Cause: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.
School Processes & Programs
Problem Statement 1: Lack of Fully Certified teachers. Root Cause: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.
Perceptions
Problem Statement 3: Lack of Fully Certified teachers. Root Cause: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

Goal 2: By the end of the 22-23 school year, at least 75% of students graduate meeting language (students enrolled at ILTexas 7 years or more are at Intermediate or above), 95% of students will have completed their service hour requirements and 75 % of graduates will have met their Interactive Health Technology goals.

Performance Objective 1: Improve performance on campus AAPPL and ACTFL testing by increasing student performance at least one language level per academic year by June 2023

Evaluation Data Sources: Attendance report District and Campus Assessment Tools

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	riews	
Strategy 1: Improve performance on district, and campus testing by increasing student attendance, language acquisition,	Formative 5			Summative
benchmark and district/campus assessment.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in the number of students that have growth performance on languages test				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coach, GLAs, Lote and language teachers				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5				
Problem Statements: Demographics 3 - Demographics 1, 2, 3 - Student Learning 1, 2, 3 - School Processes & Programs 1, 4, 5 - Perceptions 2, 3, 4				
Funding Sources: - 211 - Title 1-A				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	riews	
Strategy 2: Bring in subject matter expert, Spanish or Bilingual Instructional coach and consultants to help ILTexas	Formative			Summative
teachers, administrators, and district support staff strengthen their ability to support our ESL, DLI and other programs that will increase student achievement, with particular attention to ELLs.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased teacher retention and overall effectiveness in the classroom.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration, Instructional Coach, GLA, Counselor, Teachers				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5				
- TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals				
Problem Statements: Demographics 3 - Student Learning 3 - School Processes & Programs 5 - Perceptions 4				
Funding Sources: - 211 - Title 1-A				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: High percentage of economically disadvantaged students Root Cause: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 2: Lack of Fully Certified teachers. Root Cause: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

Problem Statement 3: Efficient progress monitoring of students' data on computer programs Root Cause: PD needed on how to use and monitor students on such programs

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: High percentage of economically disadvantaged students **Root Cause**: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 2: Lack of Fully Certified teachers. Root Cause: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

Problem Statement 3: Efficient progress monitoring of students' data on computer programs Root Cause: PD needed on how to use and monitor students on such programs

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: Lack of Fully Certified teachers. Root Cause: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

Problem Statement 4: High percentage of economically disadvantaged students **Root Cause**: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 5: Efficient progress monitoring of students' data on computer programs Root Cause: PD needed on how to use and monitor students on such programs

Perceptions

Problem Statement 2: High percentage of economically disadvantaged students **Root Cause**: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 3: Lack of Fully Certified teachers. **Root Cause**: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

Problem Statement 4: Efficient progress monitoring of students' data on computer programs Root Cause: PD needed on how to use and monitor students on such programs

Goal 3: By the end of the 22-23 school year ILTexas will retain 75% of teachers, an increase from 74% achieved in the 2021-22 school year.

Performance Objective 1: Provide 100% of struggling teachers with a targeted success plan after the first 6 weeks.

Evaluation Data Sources: Monitor the Teacher Success Plan through walk-throughs; Provide feedback

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 1: Instructional coach to support all teachers in planning and delivery of effective instruction.	Formative Su			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved classroom instruction and increased student performance. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, AP, Dean	Nov	Jan	May	June
Title I: 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Demographics 2 - Student Learning 2 - School Processes & Programs 1 - Perceptions 3 Funding Sources: - 211 - Title 1-A				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Provide substitute teachers to instruct classes to allow for teachers to observe master teachers on campus as	Formative		Summative	
well as off campus locations Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased teacher effectiveness and student achievement Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Dean, Instructional Coach, Grade Level administrators, Teachers Title I: 2.5 Problem Statements: Demographics 1, 2, 3 - Student Learning 1, 2, 3 - School Processes & Programs 1, 4, 5 - Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention 2 - Perceptions 2, 3, 4 Funding Sources: - 211 - Title 1-A	Nov	Jan	May	June
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: High percentage of economically disadvantaged students **Root Cause**: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Demographics

Problem Statement 2: Lack of Fully Certified teachers. Root Cause: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

Problem Statement 3: Efficient progress monitoring of students' data on computer programs Root Cause: PD needed on how to use and monitor students on such programs

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: High percentage of economically disadvantaged students Root Cause: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 2: Lack of Fully Certified teachers. **Root Cause**: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

Problem Statement 3: Efficient progress monitoring of students' data on computer programs Root Cause: PD needed on how to use and monitor students on such programs

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: Lack of Fully Certified teachers. Root Cause: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

Problem Statement 4: High percentage of economically disadvantaged students Root Cause: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 5: Efficient progress monitoring of students' data on computer programs Root Cause: PD needed on how to use and monitor students on such programs

Perceptions

Problem Statement 2: High percentage of economically disadvantaged students **Root Cause**: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 3: Lack of Fully Certified teachers. Root Cause: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

Problem Statement 4: Efficient progress monitoring of students' data on computer programs Root Cause: PD needed on how to use and monitor students on such programs

Goal 3: By the end of the 22-23 school year ILTexas will retain 75% of teachers, an increase from 74% achieved in the 2021-22 school year.

Performance Objective 2: Provide 100% of New/Struggling teachers with a teacher mentor

Evaluation Data Sources: Weekly meetings to discuss areas of improvement and best practices

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Bring in subject matter expert, Spanish or Bilingual Instructional coach and consultants to help ILTexas	Formative			Summative
teachers, administrators, and district support staff strengthen their ability to support our ESL, DLI and other programs that will increase student achievement, with particular attention to ELLs.	Nov Jan May		June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased teacher retention and overall effectiveness in the classroom.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration, Instructional Coach, GLA, Counselor, Teachers				
Title I: 2.5, 2.6				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1, 2 - Student Learning 1, 2 - School Processes & Programs 1, 4 - Perceptions 2, 3				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		•

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: High percentage of economically disadvantaged students **Root Cause**: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 2: Lack of Fully Certified teachers. Root Cause: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: High percentage of economically disadvantaged students **Root Cause**: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 2: Lack of Fully Certified teachers. Root Cause: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: Lack of Fully Certified teachers. Root Cause: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

Problem Statement 4: High percentage of economically disadvantaged students **Root Cause**: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Perceptions

Problem Statement 2: High percentage of economically disadvantaged students **Root Cause**: Parents of economically disadvantaged students seek out charter schools more often than others

Problem Statement 3: Lack of Fully Certified teachers. Root Cause: Difficult recruiting & retaining certified teachers.

2022-2023 Campus Advisory Council

Committee Role	Name	Position
Administrator	Adrian Apodaca	Prinipal
Administrator	Melissa Rodgers	3-5 Assistant Principal
Classroom Teacher	Stephanie Green	Sped Coordinator
Classroom Teacher	Marisol Vazquez	3-5 Teacher
Administrator	Charvelia Lewis	6-8 Assistant Principal
Non-classroom Professional	Noemi Richardson	Admin Assistant
Classroom Teacher	Ariel Peaks	K-2 Teacher
Classroom Teacher	Phyllis Pinckney	Kindergarten Teacher
District-level Professional	Ana Perea	District IC
Campus Instructional Coach	Shadaria Wallace	Dean
Community Representative	Amber Peoples	
Business Representative	Esmeralda Nava	
Parent	Joshua Moseley	Parent

Campus Funding Summary

	211 - Title 1-A						
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed Account Code	Amount			
1	1	1		\$0.00			
1	2	2		\$0.00			
1	2	4		\$0.00			
1	3	1		\$0.00			
1	5	1		\$0.00			
2	1	1		\$0.00			
2	1	2		\$0.00			
3	1	1		\$0.00			
3	1	2		\$0.00			
			Sub-Total	\$0.00			