# **AYP Benchmarks School Year 2010-11**

December 2010

**Updated January 2011** 

#### **Department of Accountability**

INFORMATION FOR



**ALEXANDRIA CITY PUBLIC SCHOOLS** 

### AYP Benchmarks School Year 2010-11

December 2010

Updated January 2011

Department of Accountability

ALEXANDRIA CITY PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Amy D. Yamashiro, Ed.D. Primary Author

Monte E. Dawson Executive Director

Clinton Page, Ed.S. Analyst

Bernadine Pearson, Ph.D. Analyst

Rose Alston Administrative Assistant

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Tables	iii
List of Figures	iii
Executive Summary	v
Accountability and Virginia Public Schools: Background and Description	1
Accreditation	1
Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)	1
Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2010-11 (Spring 2010 Testing)	3
John Adams Elementary School	5
Charles Barrett Elementary School	6
Patrick Henry Elementary School	7
Jefferson-Houston Elementary School	8
Cora Kelly Magnet Elementary School	9
Lyles-Crouch Elementary School	10
Douglas MacArthur Elementary School	11
George Mason Elementary School	12
Maury Elementary School	13
Mount Vernon Elementary School	14
James Polk Elementary School	15
William Ramsay Elementary School	16
Samuel W. Tucker Elementary School	17
Francis C Hammond Middle School 1	18
Francis C Hammond Middle School 2	19
Francis C Hammond Middle School 3	20
George Washington Middle School 1	21
George Washington Middle School 2	22
T.C. Williams High School	23
Alexandria City Public Schools (Division)	24

Appendix 1: State Accountability Guide: School Year 2010-11	25
Appendix 2: AYP Performance Tables by Academic Subject: Spring 2010	36
Appendix 3: Accreditation & AYP Status: School Year 2010-11	45
Appendix 4: AYP Benchmarks for 2009-10 (Spring 2009 Testing)	46
John Adams Elementary School	47
Charles Barrett Elementary School	48
Patrick Henry Elementary School	49
Jefferson-Houston Elementary School	50
Cora Kelly Magnet Elementary School	51
Lyles-Crouch Elementary School	52
Douglas MacArthur Elementary School	53
George Mason Elementary School	54
Maury Elementary School	55
Mount Vernon Elementary School	56
James Polk Elementary School	57
William Ramsay Elementary School	58
Samuel W. Tucker Elementary School	59
Francis C Hammond Middle School 1	60
Francis C Hammond Middle School 2	61
Francis C Hammond Middle School 3	62
George Washington Middle School 1	63
George Washington Middle School 2	64
T.C. Williams High School	65
Alexandria City Public Schools (Division)	66

#### **List of Tables**

Table 1. AYP English Performance: Spring 2010	37
Table 2. AYP Math Performance: Spring 2010	39
Table 3. AYP Science Performance: Spring 2010	41
Table 4. AYP History Performance: Spring 2010	43
Table 5. Accreditation and AYP Status by School and Division: School Year 2010-11	45
List of Figures	
Figure 1 ACPS 30 AVP Renchmarks for 2010-11	3



#### **Executive Summary**

Schools receive two annual accountability ratings based on the performance of students on statewide assessments. One is for state accreditation and the other is for meeting the goals of the No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act of 2001. Federal law requires states to set annual benchmarks for achievement in reading, mathematics, and for other academic indicators (OAI). Schools, divisions, and states that meet these objectives make what federal law refers to as "Adequate Yearly Progress" (AYP). This report describes the Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for Alexandria City Public Schools (ACPS) by school and the division for school year 2010-11 (based on spring 2010 testing).

The format of the AYP benchmark reports has been redesigned to enable the reader to easily assimilate information at the school and division level and to compare this information across the reports. The layout allows the reader to quickly review information by participation and achievement for reading and mathematics by the seven student subgroups, outlined in NCLB and provide summary information of the OAI selected by each school. The benchmark for participation or proficiency is noted at the top of each column and the percentages of students that either participated in or passed the subject assessment by subgroup are reported. For OAI, the percentage of the "All students" subgroup is reported. The use of colors (red and green) also helps the reader quickly determine AYP status, where red is used to indicate that AYP was not met and green that it was.

After providing some essential background and description of state accountability guidelines and a brief explanation of the layout and components of the benchmark reports, the AYP benchmark reports for school year 2010-11 are presented. The appendices include additional information for school year 2010-11: a complete copy of the state accountability guidelines, summary tables of AYP performance in the four core academic subject areas, and a summary table of state accreditation and AYP status. These are followed by the AYP benchmark reports for school year 2009-10.

#### Accountability and Virginia Public Schools: Background and Description

Virginia public schools receive two annual accountability ratings based on the performance of students on SOL tests and other approved statewide assessments. (See Appendix 1 State Accountability Guide: School Year 2010-11).

#### Accreditation

School accreditation ratings reflect student achievement on SOL tests and other approved assessments in English, mathematics, science, and history/social studies. Schools are "fully accredited" if students achieve all of the pass rates, or Accreditation Benchmarks, in the four content areas. (See Appendix 2 AYP Performance Table by Academic Subject: School Year 2010-11). School accreditation ratings are based on the assessment of student achievement during the previous academic year or may reflect a three-year average of achievement. (See Appendix 3 Accreditation and AYP Status: School Year 2010-11).

#### **Accreditation Benchmarks**

Subject	Grade 3	<b>Grade 4-5</b>	<b>Grades 6-12</b>
English	75%	75%	70%
Mathematics	70%	70%	70%
Science	50%	70%	70%
History	50%	70%	70%

Beginning with the ratings announced in fall 2011, high schools must meet graduation objectives as well as achieve the required pass rates on state assessments in the four core subjects for full accreditation.

#### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

The federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) requires states to set annual objectives for increasing student achievement. Reading and mathematics achievement benchmarks, established as part of Virginia's implementation of ESEA, are known as Annual Measurable Objectives (AMO). Schools and school divisions that meet or exceed all annual benchmarks toward this goal are rated as having made Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

**Annual Measurable Objectives (AMO) for Reading and Mathematics**\*

Area	01- 02	02- 03	03- 04	04- 05	05- 06	06- 07	07- 08	08- 09	09- 10	10- 11	11- 12	12- 13	13- 14
Rdg	60.7	61.0	61.0	65.0	69.0	73.0	77.0	81.0	>81.0	86.0	91.0	96.0	100.0
Mth	58.4	59.0	59.0	63.0	67.0	71.0	75.0	79.0	>79.0	85.0	90.0	95.0	100.0

<sup>\*</sup> Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Annual Measurable Objectives (AMO) for Virginia in reading and mathematics for 2010-11 through 2012-13 were updated as of January 11, 2011.

For a school or division to make AYP, it must meet or exceed 29 or more benchmarks. The first 28 benchmarks comprise participation and achievement in both reading and mathematics by seven student subgroups. NCLB requires a minimum of 95 percent participation of all students and all subgroups of students in the statewide assessment program at the school, division and state levels. If participation in one or more subgroups is below 95 percent, a school or school division is not considered to have made AYP regardless of the percentage of students who demonstrate proficiency. The seven AYP student subgroups are: 1) All students; 2) Black students; 3) Hispanic students; 4) White students; 5) Limited English Proficient (LEP) students; 6) Students with Disabilities: and 7) Economically Disadvantaged students.

Benchmark 29 represents an additional academic indicator, Other Academic Indicator (OAI), such as attendance, writing or science (elementary and middle schools) or graduation (high schools). Each year, individual schools select the OAI to include in the AYP benchmark report. One or more OAI may be included in a school's or division's benchmark report. Missing a single benchmark may result in a school or school division not making AYP. Virginia uses up to three years of achievement data in calculating AYP to compensate for expected year-to-year fluctuations in achievement.

Keep in mind that a school that does not achieve AYP is not necessarily a "failing" school. A more balanced and accurate assessment of a school's overall performance can be determined by comparing the number of AMOs that a school met with the total number of AMOs.

#### Safe Harbor

A school or school division may also make AYP if the failure rate of students in a subgroup that did not reach the AMO in a content area is reduced by at least 10 percent from the previous year's level. This is known as "safe harbor." Subgroups making AYP through safe harbor also must meet the objective or show improvement on the school or division's OAI (e.g., attendance, science, writing, or graduation).

#### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2010-11 (Spring 2010 Testing)**

The format of the AYP benchmark report has been redesigned to enable the reader to quickly review the AYP status for the school or division. By using color and organizing the indicators into meaningful clusters, the format helps the reader to glean key information within and across reports.

As shown in Figure 1, the report title indicates the school or division, the number of AYP benchmarks, the school year of the benchmarks, and the testing data used in the report. The subtitle uses color to denote whether or not all of the AYP benchmarks have been met. Red indicates that AYP for the school or division was not met, while green indicates AYP was met.

The table in the report organizes the data in columns. First, it is divided by participation and proficiency (achievement or performance) and next by English (reading) and mathematics. The seven student subgroups are presented in rows. Thus, the first 28 benchmark indicators can each be referenced by the number in the column under the "#" symbol. The AMO is denoted in the column under "Benchmark" and the data represent the percentage of students that either participated in or passed the subject assessment. The color-coded symbols under "Met AYP" again use red when the AYP benchmark was not met and green when the AYP benchmark was met. The legend at the bottom of the page provides a brief explanation of all the symbols that may appear in the table.

	ALEXANDRIA	CIT				m spring 2			30 AVP B	onchm	arke		
	ALEXANDIGA	CII	TODLIC	scho	OLS	(D1115101	1) – <u>met</u>	<u> </u>	OU AII D	chemin	ai Ks		
				Partic	ipation	1				Profi	ciency		
			English			Mathemati	es		English			Mathemati	cs
		#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP	#	Benchmark >81%	Met AYP	#	Benchmark >79%	Met AYI
All Students		1	99.66%	Y	8	98.60%	Y	15	84.40%	Y	22	78.39%	R10
Black Students		2	99.40%	Y	9	98.04%	Y	16	80.81%	N	23	73.48%	R10
Hispanic Students		3	99.86%	Y	10	98.37%	Y	17	78.32%	N	24	71.97%	R10
White Students		4	99.77%	Y	11	99.61%	Y	18	95.02%	Y	25	92.09%	Y
Limited English Pro	oficient Students	5	99.76%	Y	12	99.17%	Y	19	77.61%	N	26	73.53%	R10
Students with Disab	oilities	6	98.74%	Y	13	97.57%	Y	20	68.55%	N	27	64.18%	R1
Disadvantaged Stud	lents	7	99.67%	Y	14	98.40%	Y	21	78.38%	N	28	72.08%	R10
Other academic ind	icator	29	Grad	luation		64.87%	N						
Other academic ind	icator	30	Atte	ndance		95.38%	Y						
Benchmarks: attendar AYP BENCHMAR AYP BENCHMAR AYP BENCHMAR Present AYP Statu	KS for 2010-2011 KS for 2009-2010 KS for 2008-2009	(Sprin (Sprin (Sprin	g 2011 testin g 2010 testin g 2009 testin	g) Engl g) Engl g) Engl	ish=86 ish>81 ish=81	& Mathema & Mathema & Mathema	tics=85	s; federa	l graduation ii	ndicator (	80%) f	or high school	ls.
School Year	Subject		Status	Actio	on Req	uired						Title	I?
2010-2011	English: Readi	ng		Not	in corı	rective actio	n						
2010-2011	Mathematics	10		Not	in corr	rective actio	n						

Figure 1. ACPS 30 AYP Benchmarks for 2010-11

In the example for ACPS as a division (see Figure 1), there are two OAIs: graduation and attendance. The number and description of the benchmark indicator can be easily referenced (i.e., benchmark 29 is graduation), the percentage of the "All student" subgroup is reported in the cell next to the descriptor, and the color-coded symbol indicates whether or not the OAI benchmark was met. A list of all possible OAI benchmarks are noted under the OAI row(s).

Note: For the 2009-10 AYP benchmark reports, the percentage of students reported for graduation (OAI for T.C. Williams High School and ACPS as a division) is the Virginia on-time graduation rate.

Below the OAI, the AYP benchmarks for the three most recent school years are listed for quick reference. Then, the AYP status for the school or division is summarized for the current school year, by subject (reading or mathematics), status and action required (based the number of years in which AYP was not met, if applicable), and whether or not the school is Title I (if AYP was not met).

#### John Adams Elementary School – 29 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2010-2011 Based on Data from spring 2010 testing

#### JOHN ADAMS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - Met 25 of 29 AYP Benchmarks

	Participation							
		English			es			
	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP	#	Benchmark Me # 95% AY			
All Students	1	99.62%	Y	8	100.00%	Y		
Black Students	2	100.00%	Y	9	100.00%	Y		
Hispanic Students	3	100.00%	Y	10	100.00%	Y		
White Students	4	100.00%	TS	11	100.00%	TS		
Limited English Proficient Students	5	99.20%	Y	12	100.00%	Y		
Students with Disabilities	6	97.29%	TS	13	100.00%	TS		
Disadvantaged Students	7	99.40%	Y	14	100.00%	Y		

	Proficiency											
	English		Mathematics									
#	Benchmark >81%	Met AYP	#	Benchmark >79%	Met AYP							
15	80.93%	N	22	80.98%	Y							
16	80.00%	N	23	82.07%	Y							
17	72.22%	N	24	72.60%	R10							
18	86.11%	TS	25	89.47%	TS							
19	80.99%	R10	26	77.60%	R10							
20	66.66%	TS	27	72.22%	TS							
21	77.43%	N	28	79.28%	Y							

Other academic indicator	29	Attendance	96.27%	Y
I I				

Benchmarks: attendance (94%) or science, or writing, or history (70%) for elementary & middle schools; federal graduation indicator (80%) for high schools.

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2010-2011 (Spring 2011 testing) English=86 & Mathematics=85 AYP BENCHMARKS for 2009-2010 (Spring 2010 testing) English>81 & Mathematics>79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2008-2009 (Spring 2009 testing) English=81 & Mathematics=79

#### Present AYP Status for John Adams Elementary School: 2010-2011

School Year	Subject	Status	Action Required	Title I?
2010-2011	English: Reading	Year 1	<b>Public School Choice</b>	Yes
2010-2011	Mathematics		Not in corrective action	

### Charles Barrett Elementary School – 29 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2010-2011 Based on Data from spring 2010 testing

#### CHARLES BARRETT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL – Met 29 of 29 AYP Benchmarks

	<b>Participation</b>							
		English			Mathematic	es		
	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP		
All Students	1	100.00%	Y	8	100.00%	Y		
Black Students	2	100.00%	TS	9	100.00%	TS		
Hispanic Students	3	100.00%	TS	10	100.00%	TS		
White Students	4	100.00%	Y	11	100.00%	Y		
Limited English Proficient Students	5	100.00%	TS	12	100.00%	TS		
Students with Disabilities	6	100.00%	TS	13	100.00%	TS		
Disadvantaged Students	7	100.00%	TS	14	100.00%	TS		

	Proficiency											
	English		Mathematics									
#	Benchmark >81%	Met AYP	#	# Benchmark >79%								
15	94.40%	Y	22	93.70%	Y							
16	84.21%	TS	23	89.47%	TS							
17	84.00%	TS	24	85.18%	TS							
18	100.00%	Y	25	97.36%	Y							
19	90.47%	TS	26	91.30%	TS							
20	78.94%	TS	27	83.33%	TS							
21	84.84%	TS	28	88.57%	TS							

Other academic indicator	29	Writing	92.85%	Y

Benchmarks: attendance (94%) or science, or writing, or history (70%) for elementary & middle schools; federal graduation indicator (80%) for high schools.

 $AYP\ BENCHMARKS\ for\ 2010-2011\ (Spring\ 2011\ testing)\ English=86\ \&\ Mathematics=85$ 

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2009-2010 (Spring 2010 testing) English>81 & Mathematics>79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2008-2009 (Spring 2009 testing) English=81 & Mathematics=79

#### **Present AYP Status for Charles Barrett Elementary School: 2010-2011**

School Year	Subject	Status	Action Required	Title I?
2010-2011	English: Reading		Not in corrective action	
2010-2011	Mathematics		Not in corrective action	

#### Patrick Henry Elementary School – 29 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2010-2011 Based on Data from spring 2010 testing

#### PATRICK HENRY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - Met 29 of 29 AYP Benchmarks

	Participation					
		English			es	
	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP
All Students	1	100.00%	Y	8	100.00%	Y
Black Students	2	100.00%	Y	9	100.00%	Y
Hispanic Students	3	100.00%	TS	10	100.00%	TS
White Students	4	100.00%	TS	11	100.00%	TS
Limited English Proficient Students	5	100.00%	Y	12	100.00%	Y
Students with Disabilities	6	100.00%	TS	13	100.00%	TS
Disadvantaged Students	7	100.00%	Y	14	100.00%	Y

	Proficiency								
	English			Mathematic	es				
#	Benchmark >81%	Met AYP	Benchmark Mo						
15	86.66%	Y	22	91.11%	Y				
16	85.10%	Y	23	89.24%	Y				
17	88.37%	TS	24	90.69%	TS				
18	89.47%	TS	25	100.00%	TS				
19	87.75%	TS	26	81.63%	TS				
20	78.78%	TS	27	81.81%	TS				
21	87.21%	Y	28	87.96%	Y				

Other academic indicator	29	Writing	85.24%	Y
Suier academic marcator		,,,,,,,	03.2170	_

Benchmarks: attendance (94%) or science, or writing, or history (70%) for elementary & middle schools; federal graduation indicator (80%) for high schools.

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2010-2011 (Spring 2011 testing) English=86 & Mathematics=85

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2009-2010 (Spring 2010 testing) English>81 & Mathematics>79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2008-2009 (Spring 2009 testing) English=81 & Mathematics=79

#### Present AYP Status for Patrick Henry Elementary School: 2010-2011

School Year	Subject	Status	Action Required	Title I?
2010-2011	English: Reading		Not in corrective action	
2010-2011	Mathematics		Not in corrective action	

#### Jefferson-Houston Elementary School – 29 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2010-2011 Based on Data from spring 2010 testing

#### JEFFERSON-HOUSTON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL – Met 26 of 29 AYP Benchmarks

	Participation					
		English			es	
	Benchmark Met Benchmark 95% AYP # 95%				Met AYP	
All Students	1	100.00%	Y	8	100.00%	Y
Black Students	2	100.00%	Y	9	100.00%	Y
Hispanic Students	3	100.00%	TS	10	100.00%	TS
White Students	4	100.00%	TS	11	100.00%	TS
Limited English Proficient Students	5	100.00%	TS	12	100.00%	TS
Students with Disabilities	6	100.00%	TS	13	100.00%	TS
Disadvantaged Students	7	100.00%	Y	14	100.00%	Y

Proficiency								
	English			Mathematic	es			
#	Benchmark >81%	Met AYP	# Benchmark Mo					
15	68.75%	N	22	80.53%	Y			
16	65.85%	N	23	77.10%	R10			
17	72.22%	TS	24	83.33%	TS			
18	75.00%	TS	25	100.00%	TS			
19	69.23%	TS	26	84.61%	TS			
20	60.00%	TS	27	85.00%	TS			
21	64.44%	N	28	76.66%	R10			

Other academic indicator	29	Writing	75.00%	Y

Benchmarks: attendance (94%) or science, or writing, or history (70%) for elementary & middle schools; federal graduation indicator (80%) for high schools.

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2010-2011 (Spring 2011 testing) English=86 & Mathematics=85

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2009-2010 (Spring 2010 testing) English>81 & Mathematics>79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2008-2009 (Spring 2009 testing) English=81 & Mathematics=79

#### Present AYP Status for Jefferson-Houston Elementary School: 2010-2011

School Year	Subject	Status	Action Required	Title I?
2010-2011	English: Reading	Year 5	Implement Alternative Governance (in addition to Choice, SES and corrective action)	Yes
2010-2011	Mathematics	Year 3 Holding	Corrective Action (in addition to Public School Choice and SES)	Yes

#### Cora Kelly Magnet Elementary School – 29 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2010-2011 Based on Data from spring 2010 testing

#### CORA KELLY MAGNET ELEMENTARY SCHOOL – Met 28 of 29 AYP Benchmarks

	Participation					
		English			es	
				Benchmark 95%	Met AYP	
All Students	1	100.00%	Y	8	100.00%	Y
Black Students	2	100.00%	Y	9	100.00%	Y
Hispanic Students	3	100.00%	Y	10	100.00%	Y
White Students	4	100.00%	TS	11	100.00%	TS
Limited English Proficient Students	5	100.00%	Y	12	100.00%	Y
Students with Disabilities	6	100.00%	TS	13	100.00%	TS
Disadvantaged Students	7	100.00%	Y	14	100.00%	Y

Proficiency								
	English			Mathematic	es			
#	Benchmark >81%	Met AYP	Benchmark   Me   >79%   AY					
15	82.27%	Y	22	91.87%	Y			
16	79.76%	N	23	90.47%	Y			
17	84.74%	Y	24	91.80%	Y			
18	90.90%	TS	25	100.00%	TS			
19	84.21%	Y	26	91.37%	Y			
20	86.66%	TS	27	87.50%	TS			
21	80.67%	R10	28	90.90%	Y			

Other academic indicator	29	Writing	83.33%	Y

Benchmarks: attendance (94%) or science, or writing, or history (70%) for elementary & middle schools; federal graduation indicator (80%) for high schools.

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2010-2011 (Spring 2011 testing) English=86 & Mathematics=85

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2009-2010 (Spring 2010 testing) English>81 & Mathematics>79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2008-2009 (Spring 2009 testing) English=81 & Mathematics=79

#### Present AYP Status for Cora Kelly Magnet Elementary School: 2010-2011

School Year	Subject	Status	Action Required	Title I?
2010-2011	English: Reading	Year 3	Corrective Action (in addition to Public School Choice and SES)	Yes
2010-2011	Mathematics		Not in corrective action	

### Lyles-Crouch Elementary School – 29 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2010-2011 Based on Data from spring 2010 testing

#### LYLES-CROUCH ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - Met 29 of 29 AYP Benchmarks

		Participation				
		English			Mathematics	
	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP	#	Met AYP	
All Students	1	100.00%	Y	8	100.00%	Y
Black Students	2	100.00%	TS	9	100.00%	TS
Hispanic Students	3	100.00%	TS	10	100.00%	TS
White Students	4	100.00%	Y	11	100.00%	Y
Limited English Proficient Students	5	100.00%	TS	12	100.00%	TS
Students with Disabilities	6	100.00%	TS	13	100.00%	TS
Disadvantaged Students	7	100.00%	TS	14	100.00%	TS

Proficiency								
	English			Mathematic	es			
#	Benchmark >81%	Met AYP	#	Benchmark >79%				
15	96.62%	Y	22	92.00%	Y			
16	89.13%	TS	23	78.72%	TS			
17	100.00%	TS	24	100.00%	TS			
18	100.00%	Y	25	98.76%	Y			
19	100.00%	TS	26	75.00%	TS			
20	86.66%	TS	27	53.33%	TS			
21	90.00%	TS	28	78.04%	TS			

Other academic indicator	29	Attendance	95.95%	Y
			70.70	_

Benchmarks: attendance (94%) or science, or writing, or history (70%) for elementary & middle schools; federal graduation indicator (80%) for high schools.

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2010-2011 (Spring 2011 testing) English=86 & Mathematics=85 AYP BENCHMARKS for 2009-2010 (Spring 2010 testing) English>81 & Mathematics>79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2008-2009 (Spring 2009 testing) English=81 & Mathematics=79

#### Present AYP Status for Lyles-Crouch Elementary School: 2010-2011

School Year	Subject	Status	Action Required	Title I?
2010-2011	English: Reading		Not in corrective action	
2010-2011	Mathematics		Not in corrective action	

### Douglas MacArthur Elementary School – 29 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2010-2011 Based on Data from spring 2010 testing

#### DOUGLAS MACARTHUR ELEMENTARY SCHOOL – Met 29 of 29 AYP Benchmarks

	Participation					
		English			Mathematic	es
	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP	Benchmark Me # 95% AY		
All Students	1	100.00%	Y	8	99.25%	Y
Black Students	2	100.00%	Y	9	97.46%	Y
Hispanic Students	3	100.00%	TS	10	100.00%	TS
White Students	4	100.00%	Y	11	100.00%	Y
Limited English Proficient Students	5	100.00%	TS	12	100.00%	TS
Students with Disabilities	6	100.00%	TS	13	97.82%	TS
Disadvantaged Students	7	100.00%	Y	14	98.83%	Y

Proficiency								
	English			Mathematic	es			
#	Benchmark >81%	Met AYP	#	Benchmark >79%				
15	91.48%	Y	22	93.63%	Y			
16	76.92%	3YA	23	86.84%	Y			
17	90.00%	TS	24	86.20%	TS			
18	99.29%	Y	25	97.88%	Y			
19	85.71%	TS	26	88.57%	TS			
20	80.00%	TS	27	81.81%	TS			
21	80.45%	3YA	28	85.88%	Y			

Other academic indicator	29	Attendance	96.70%	Y
	ll.			

Benchmarks: attendance (94%) or science, or writing, or history (70%) for elementary & middle schools; federal graduation indicator (80%) for high schools.

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2010-2011 (Spring 2011 testing) English=86 & Mathematics=85 AYP BENCHMARKS for 2009-2010 (Spring 2010 testing) English>81 & Mathematics>79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2008-2009 (Spring 2009 testing) English=81 & Mathematics=79

#### Present AYP Status for Douglas MacArthur Elementary School: 2010-2011

School Year	Subject	Status	Action Required	Title I?
2010-2011	English: Reading		Not in corrective action	
2010-2011	Mathematics		Not in corrective action	

#### George Mason Elementary School – 29 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2010-2011 Based on Data from spring 2010 testing

#### GEORGE MASON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL – Met 29 of 29 AYP Benchmarks

	Participation					
		English			Mathematic	es
	Benchmark Met Benchmark			Met AYP		
All Students	1	100.00%	Y	8	99.44%	Y
Black Students	2	100.00%	TS	9	100.00%	TS
Hispanic Students	3	100.00%	TS	10	97.67%	TS
White Students	4	100.00%	Y	11	100.00%	Y
Limited English Proficient Students	5	100.00%	TS	12	97.50%	TS
Students with Disabilities	6	100.00%	TS	13	100.00%	TS
Disadvantaged Students	7	100.00%	TS	14	97.67%	TS

Proficiency								
	English			Mathematic	es			
#	Benchmark >81%	Met AYP	#	Met AYP				
15	94.35%	Y	22	96.61%	Y			
16	100.00%	TS	23	100.00%	TS			
17	87.17%	TS	24	94.87%	TS			
18	96.58%	Y	25	97.43%	Y			
19	86.11%	TS	26	94.44%	TS			
20	81.81%	TS	27	81.81%	TS			
21	85.00%	TS	28	92.50%	TS			

Other academic indicator	29	Writing	97.95%	Y
		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	71.7070	_

Benchmarks: attendance (94%) or science, or writing, or history (70%) for elementary & middle schools; federal graduation indicator (80%) for high schools.

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2010-2011 (Spring 2011 testing) English=86 & Mathematics=85

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2009-2010 (Spring 2010 testing) English>81 & Mathematics>79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2008-2009 (Spring 2009 testing) English=81 & Mathematics=79

#### Present AYP Status for George Mason Elementary School: 2010-2011

School Year	Subject	Status	Action Required	Title I?
2010-2011	English: Reading		Not in corrective action	
2010-2011	Mathematics		Not in corrective action	

### Maury Elementary School – 29 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2010-2011 Based on Data from spring 2010 testing

#### MAURY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL – Met 28 of 29 AYP Benchmarks

	Participation					
		English			es	
	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP	Benchmark M # 95% A		
All Students	1	100.00%	Y	8	100.00%	Y
Black Students	2	100.00%	Y	9	100.00%	Y
Hispanic Students	3	100.00%	TS	10	100.00%	TS
White Students	4	100.00%	Y	11	100.00%	Y
Limited English Proficient Students	5	100.00%	TS	12	100.00%	TS
Students with Disabilities	6	100.00%	TS	13	100.00%	TS
Disadvantaged Students	7	100.00%	Y	14	100.00%	Y

	Proficiency								
	English			Mathematic	es				
#	Benchmark >81%	Met AYP	Benchmark Me  ***/ S79% AY						
15	85.60%	Y	22	92.85%	Y				
16	71.69%	N	23	83.33%	Y				
17	83.33%	TS	24	100.00%	TS				
18	96.87%	Y	25	100.00%	Y				
19	75.00%	TS	26	100.00%	TS				
20	61.90%	TS	27	80.95%	TS				
21	71.42%	TS	28	82.00%	Y				

_					
(	Other academic indicator	29	Attendance	95.77%	Y

Benchmarks: attendance (94%) or science, or writing, or history (70%) for elementary & middle schools; federal graduation indicator (80%) for high schools.

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2010-2011 (Spring 2011 testing) English=86 & Mathematics=85 AYP BENCHMARKS for 2009-2010 (Spring 2010 testing) English>81 & Mathematics>79 AYP BENCHMARKS for 2008-2009 (Spring 2009 testing) English=81 & Mathematics=79

#### **Present AYP Status for Maury Elementary School: 2010-2011**

School Year	Subject	Status	Action Required	Title I?
2010-2011	English: Reading		Not in corrective action	
2010-2011	Mathematics		Not in corrective action	

#### Mount Vernon Elementary School – 29 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2010-2011 Based on Data from spring 2010 testing

#### **MOUNT VERNON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL – Met 25 of 29 AYP** Benchmarks

	Participation					
		English			cs	
	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP
All Students	1	100.00%	Y	8	100.00%	Y
Black Students	2	100.00%	TS	9	100.00%	TS
Hispanic Students	3	100.00%	Y	10	100.00%	Y
White Students	4	100.00%	Y	11	100.00%	Y
Limited English Proficient Students	5	100.00%	Y	12	100.00%	Y
Students with Disabilities	6	100.00%	TS	13	100.00%	TS
Disadvantaged Students	7	100.00%	Y	14	100.00%	Y

	Proficiency								
	English			Mathematic	es				
#	Benchmark >81%	Met AYP	Benchmark Mo # >79% AY						
15	74.50%	N	22	77.77%	R10				
16	84.21%	TS	23	81.57%	TS				
17	62.50%	N	24	69.17%	R10				
18	93.65%	Y	25	93.65%	Y				
19	61.31%	N	26	68.84%	R10				
20	56.52%	TS	27	60.00%	TS				
21	65.43%	N	28	69.93%	R10				

Other academic indicator	29	Attendance	95.48%	Y
		1 1000110001100	75070	_

Benchmarks: attendance (94%) or science, or writing, or history (70%) for elementary & middle schools; federal graduation indicator (80%) for high schools.

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2010-2011 (Spring 2011 testing) English=86 & Mathematics=85

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2009-2010 (Spring 2010 testing) English>81 & Mathematics>79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2008-2009 (Spring 2009 testing) English=81 & Mathematics=79

#### Present AYP Status for Mount Vernon Elementary School: 2010-2011

School Year	Subject	Status	Action Required	Title I?
2010-2011	English: Reading	Year 2	Public School Choice and Supplemental Education Services	Yes
2010-2011	Mathematics	Year 1 Holding	Public School Choice	Yes

#### James Polk Elementary School – 29 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2010-2011 Based on Data from spring 2010 testing

#### JAMES POLK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - Met 29 of 29 AYP Benchmarks

	Participation					
		English			es	
	#	Benchmark 95%	TVICE - CONTINUE			Met AYP
All Students	1	100.00%	Y	8	100.00%	Y
Black Students	2	100.00%	Y	9	100.00%	Y
Hispanic Students	3	100.00%	Y	10	100.00%	Y
White Students	4	100.00%	TS	11	100.00%	TS
Limited English Proficient Students	5	100.00%	Y	12	100.00%	Y
Students with Disabilities	6	100.00%	TS	13	100.00%	TS
Disadvantaged Students	7	100.00%	Y	14	100.00%	Y

Proficiency								
	English			Mathemati	cs			
#	Benchmark >81%	Met AYP	# Benchmark Mo					
15	88.51%	Y	22	88.08%	Y			
16	84.46%	Y	23	88.46%	Y			
17	85.96%	Y	24	78.94%	3YA			
18	92.50%	TS	25	92.30%	TS			
19	88.42%	Y	26	85.10%	Y			
20	43.33%	TS	27	61.29%	TS			
21	85.71%	Y	28	85.00%	Y			

Other academic indicator	29	Attendance	96.56%	Y
			, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	_

Benchmarks: attendance (94%) or science, or writing, or history (70%) for elementary & middle schools; federal graduation indicator (80%) for high schools.

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2010-2011 (Spring 2011 testing) English=86 & Mathematics=85

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2009-2010 (Spring 2010 testing) English>81 & Mathematics>79 AYP BENCHMARKS for 2008-2009 (Spring 2009 testing) English=81 & Mathematics=79

#### Present AYP Status for James Polk Elementary School: 2010-2011

School Year	Subject	Status	tatus Action Required	
2010-2011	English: Reading		Not in corrective action	
2010-2011	Mathematics		Not in corrective action	

#### William Ramsay Elementary School – 29 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2010-2011 Based on Data from spring 2010 testing

#### WILLIAM RAMSAY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL – Met 27 of 29 AYP Benchmarks

	Participation					
		English			es	
	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP	# Benchmark M 95% A		
All Students	1	100.00%	Y	8	100.00%	Y
Black Students	2	100.00%	Y	9	100.00%	Y
Hispanic Students	3	100.00%	Y	10	100.00%	Y
White Students	4	100.00%	TS	11	100.00%	TS
Limited English Proficient Students	5	100.00%	Y	12	100.00%	Y
Students with Disabilities	6	100.00%	TS	13	100.00%	TS
Disadvantaged Students	7	100.00%	Y	14	100.00%	Y

	Proficiency								
	English			Mathematic	es				
#	Benchmark >81%	Met AYP	Benchmark Me >79% AYI						
15	81.51%	Y	22	87.09%	Y				
16	76.19%	7	23	90.82%	Y				
17	84.17%	Y	24	81.88%	Y				
18	92.85%	TS	25	92.85%	TS				
19	80.41%	R10	26	83.83%	Y				
20	66.66%	TS	27	73.91%	TS				
21	79.83%	N	28	85.18%	Y				

Other academic indicator	29	Writing	85.88%	Y

Benchmarks: attendance (94%) or science, or writing, or history (70%) for elementary & middle schools; federal graduation indicator (80%) for high schools.

 $AYP\ BENCHMARKS\ for\ 2010-2011\ (Spring\ 2011\ testing)\ English=86\ \&\ Mathematics=85$ 

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2009-2010 (Spring 2010 testing) English>81 & Mathematics>79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2008-2009 (Spring 2009 testing) English=81 & Mathematics=79

#### Present AYP Status for William Ramsay Elementary School: 2010-2011

School Year	Subject	Status	Action Required	Title I?
2010-2011	English: Reading	Year 1	Develop or Revise a School Improvement Plan	Yes
2010-2011	Mathematics	Year 1	Develop or Revise a School Improvement Plan	Yes

### Samuel W. Tucker Elementary School – 29 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2010-2011 Based on Data from spring 2010 testing

#### SAMUEL W. TUCKER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL – Met 29 of 29 AYP Benchmarks

	Participation					
		English			es	
	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP
All Students	1	100.00%	Y	8	100.00%	Y
Black Students	2	100.00%	Y	9	100.00%	Y
Hispanic Students	3	100.00%	Y	10	100.00%	Y
White Students	4	100.00%	TS	11	100.00%	TS
Limited English Proficient Students	5	100.00%	Y	12	100.00%	Y
Students with Disabilities	6	100.00%	TS	13	100.00%	TS
Disadvantaged Students	7	100.00%	Y	14	100.00%	Y

	Proficiency								
	English			Mathematic	es				
#	Benchmark >81%	Met AYP	# Benchmark Me *** >79% AY						
15	88.88%	Y	22	91.28%	Y				
16	86.61%	Y	23	91.47%	Y				
17	87.27%	Y	24	89.83%	Y				
18	93.47%	TS	25	93.75%	TS				
19	86.36%	Y	26	91.37%	Y				
20	76.92%	TS	27	76.92%	TS				
21	83.63%	Y	28	88.23%	Y				

Other academic indicator	29	Writing	88.37%	Y

Benchmarks: attendance (94%) or science, or writing, or history (70%) for elementary & middle schools; federal graduation indicator (80%) for high schools.

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2010-2011 (Spring 2011 testing) English=86 & Mathematics=85 AYP BENCHMARKS for 2009-2010 (Spring 2010 testing) English>81 & Mathematics>79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2008-2009 (Spring 2009 testing) English=81 & Mathematics=79

#### Present AYP Status for Samuel W. Tucker Elementary School: 2010-2011

School Year	Subject	Status	Action Required	Title I?
2010-2011	English: Reading		Not in corrective action	
2010-2011	Mathematics		Not in corrective action	

#### Francis C Hammond Middle School 1 – 29 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2010-2011 Based on Data from spring 2010 testing

#### FRANCIS C HAMMOND MIDDLE SCHOOL 1 – Met 22 of 29 AYP Benchmarks

	Participation					
		English		Mathematic		es
	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP
All Students	1	100.00%	Y	8	100.00%	Y
Black Students	2	100.00%	Y	9	100.00%	Y
Hispanic Students	3	100.00%	Y	10	100.00%	Y
White Students	4	100.00%	Y	11	100.00%	Y
Limited English Proficient Students	5	100.00%	Y	12	100.00%	Y
Students with Disabilities	6	100.00%	Y	13	100.00%	Y
Disadvantaged Students	7	100.00%	Y	14	100.00%	Y

	Proficiency								
	English			Mathematic	es				
#	Benchmark >81%	Met AYP	Benchmark Met >79% AYI						
15	82.91%	Y	22	72.50%	Z				
16	84.53%	Y	23	75.41%	R10				
17	77.39%	3YA	24	62.39%	N				
18	85.10%	TS	25	77.08%	TS				
19	73.91%	N	26	69.49%	R10				
20	67.92%	N	27	58.49%	N				
21	77.39%	N	28	67.24%	N				

Other academic indicator	29	Writing	89.31%	Y
0 11101 010110 11101			07.0170	_

Benchmarks: attendance (94%) or science, or writing, or history (70%) for elementary & middle schools; federal graduation indicator (80%) for high schools.

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2010-2011 (Spring 2011 testing) English=86 & Mathematics=85 AYP BENCHMARKS for 2009-2010 (Spring 2010 testing) English>81 & Mathematics>79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2008-2009 (Spring 2009 testing) English=81 & Mathematics=79

#### Present AYP Status for Francis C Hammond Middle School 1: 2010-2011

School Year	Subject	Status	Action Required	Title I?
2010-2011	English: Reading	Year 4	<b>Additional Corrective Actions</b>	No
2010-2011	Mathematics	Year 4	<b>Additional Corrective Actions</b>	No

#### Francis C Hammond Middle School 2 – 29 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2010-2011 Based on Data from spring 2010 testing

#### FRANCIS C HAMMOND MIDDLE SCHOOL 2 – Met 21 of 29 AYP Benchmarks

	Participation					
		English			es	
	#	Benchmark 95%	chmark Met Benchmarl			Met AYP
All Students	1	100.00%	Y	8	100.00%	Y
Black Students	2	100.00%	Y	9	100.00%	Y
Hispanic Students	3	100.00%	Y	10	100.00%	Y
White Students	4	100.00%	TS	11	100.00%	TS
Limited English Proficient Students	5	100.00%	Y	12	100.00%	Y
Students with Disabilities	6	100.00%	TS	13	100.00%	TS
Disadvantaged Students	7	100.00%	Y	14	100.00%	Y

	Proficiency								
	English			Mathematic	es				
#	Benchmark >81%	Met AYP	Benchmark Me >79% AY						
15	80.45%	3YA	22	67.60%	7				
16	82.14%	Y	23	67.52%	N				
17	69.72%	N	24	56.07%	N				
18	90.69%	TS	25	76.19%	TS				
19	69.84%	N	26	55.64%	N				
20	62.16%	TS	27	41.17%	TS				
21	77.10%	N	28	60.49%	N				

Other academic indicator	29	Writing	92.06%	Y
			) <b>=:</b> 00/0	_

Benchmarks: attendance (94%) or science, or writing, or history (70%) for elementary & middle schools; federal graduation indicator (80%) for high schools.

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2010-2011 (Spring 2011 testing) English=86 & Mathematics=85 AYP BENCHMARKS for 2009-2010 (Spring 2010 testing) English>81 & Mathematics>79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2008-2009 (Spring 2009 testing) English=81 & Mathematics=79

#### Present AYP Status for Francis C Hammond Middle School 2: 2010-2011

School Year	Subject	Status	Action Required	Title I?
2010-2011	English: Reading	Year 4	<b>Additional Corrective Actions</b>	No
2010-2011	Mathematics	Year 4	<b>Additional Corrective Actions</b>	No

#### Francis C Hammond Middle School 3 – 29 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2010-2011 Based on Data from spring 2010 testing

#### FRANCIS C HAMMOND MIDDLE SCHOOL 3 – Met 23 of 29 AYP Benchmarks

	Participation					
		English		Mathematic		es
	Benchmark Met 95% AYP #		Benchmark 95%	Met AYP		
All Students	1	100.00%	Y	8	100.00%	Y
Black Students	2	100.00%	Y	9	100.00%	Y
Hispanic Students	3	100.00%	Y	10	100.00%	Y
White Students	4	100.00%	TS	11	100.00%	TS
Limited English Proficient Students	5	100.00%	Y	12	100.00%	Y
Students with Disabilities	6	100.00%	Y	13	100.00%	Y
Disadvantaged Students	7	100.00%	Y	14	100.00%	Y

	Proficiency								
	English			Mathematic	es				
#	Benchmark >81%	Met AYP	# Benchmark M >79% A						
15	80.57%	3YA	22	74.93%	N				
16	82.63%	Y	23	70.48%	N				
17	74.28%	N	24	73.26%	N				
18	85.71%	TS	25	92.85%	TS				
19	70.92%	N	26	66.90%	R10				
20	72.34%	TS	27	78.26%	TS				
21	77.29%	N	28	72.58%	R10				

Other academic indicator	29	Writing	86.29%	Y

Benchmarks: attendance (94%) or science, or writing, or history (70%) for elementary & middle schools; federal graduation indicator (80%) for high schools.

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2010-2011 (Spring 2011 testing) English=86 & Mathematics=85 AYP BENCHMARKS for 2009-2010 (Spring 2010 testing) English>81 & Mathematics>79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2008-2009 (Spring 2009 testing) English=81 & Mathematics=79

#### Present AYP Status for Francis C Hammond Middle School 3: 2010-2011

School Year	Subject	Status	Action Required	Title I?
2010-2011	English: Reading	Year 4	<b>Additional Corrective Actions</b>	No
2010-2011	Mathematics	Year 4	<b>Additional Corrective Actions</b>	No

#### George Washington Middle School 1– 29 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2010-2011 Based on Data from spring 2010 testing

#### GEORGE WASHINGTON MIDDLE SCHOOL 1 – Met 28 of 29 AYP Benchmarks

	Participation					
		English			cs	
	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP
All Students	1	100.00%	Y	8	100.00%	Y
Black Students	2	100.00%	Y	9	100.00%	Y
Hispanic Students	3	100.00%	Y	10	100.00%	Y
White Students	4	100.00%	Y	11	100.00%	Y
Limited English Proficient Students	5	100.00%	Y	12	100.00%	Y
Students with Disabilities	6	100.00%	Y	13	100.00%	Y
Disadvantaged Students	7	100.00%	Y	14	100.00%	Y

	Proficiency							
	English			Mathematic	cs			
#	Benchmark >81%	Met AYP	Benchmark Me # >79% AY					
15	86.89%	Y	22	75.81%	R10			
16	86.95%	Y	23	70.80%	R10			
17	77.46%	N	24	59.85%	R10			
18	94.93%	Y	25	91.87%	Y			
19	73.98%	R10	26	55.73%	R10			
20	85.29%	Y	27	78.26%	R10			
21	79.56%	R10	28	63.31%	R10			

1			ı	1	
	Other academic indicator	29	Science	86.07%	Y

Benchmarks: attendance (94%) or science, or writing, or history (70%) for elementary & middle schools; federal graduation indicator (80%) for high schools.

 $AYP\ BENCHMARKS\ for\ 2010\text{-}2011\ (Spring\ 2011\ testing)\ English=86\ \&\ Mathematics=85$ 

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2009-2010 (Spring 2010 testing) English>81 & Mathematics>79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2008-2009 (Spring 2009 testing) English=81 & Mathematics=79

#### Present AYP Status for George Washington Middle School 1: 2010-2011

School Year	Subject	Status	Action Required	Title I?
2010-2011	English: Reading	Year 2	Public School Choice and Supplemental Education Services	Yes
2010-2011	Mathematics		Not in corrective action	Yes

### George Washington Middle School 2 – 29 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2010-2011 Based on Data from spring 2010 testing

#### GEORGE WASHINGTON MIDDLE SCHOOL 2 – Met 22 of 29 AYP Benchmarks

		Participation				
		English			es	
	Benchmark Met 95% AYP		#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP	
All Students	1	100.00%	Y	8	100.00%	Y
Black Students	2	100.00%	Y	9	100.00%	Y
Hispanic Students	3	100.00%	Y	10	100.00%	Y
White Students	4	100.00%	Y	11	100.00%	Y
Limited English Proficient Students	5	100.00%	Y	12	100.00%	Y
Students with Disabilities	6	100.00%	Y	13	100.00%	Y
Disadvantaged Students	7	100.00%	Y	14	100.00%	Y

Proficiency									
	English			Mathematic	es				
. 010/		Met AYP	#	Benchmark >79%	Met AYP				
15	85.30%	Y	22	70.26%	N				
16	76.38%	N	23	58.04%	N				
17	79.67%	N	24	54.91%	N				
18	99.35%	Y	25	94.80%	Y				
19	75.67%	R10	26	53.57%	R10				
20	87.50%	Y	27	76.56%	R10				
21	75.43%	N	28	51.94%	N				

Other academic indicator	29	Writing	89.62%	Y

Benchmarks: attendance (94%) or science, or writing, or history (70%) for elementary & middle schools; federal graduation indicator (80%) for high schools.

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2010-2011 (Spring 2011 testing) English=86 & Mathematics=85

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2009-2010 (Spring 2010 testing) English>81 & Mathematics>79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2008-2009 (Spring 2009 testing) English=81 & Mathematics=79

#### Present AYP Status for George Washington Middle School 2: 2010-2011

School Year	Subject	Status	Action Required	Title I?
2010-2011	English: Reading	Year 2	Public School Choice and Supplemental Education Services	Yes
2010-2011	Mathematics	Year 2	Public School Choice and Supplemental Education Services	Yes

### T.C. Williams High School – 29 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2010-2011 Based on Data from spring 2010 testing

#### T.C. WILLIAM HIGH SCHOOL – Met 21 of 29 AYP Benchmarks

	Participation					
		English			es	
	Benchmark Met Ben			Benchmark 95%	Met AYP	
All Students	1	97.56%	Y	8	95.57%	Y
Black Students	2	96.23%	Y	9	94.55%	Y
Hispanic Students	3	98.79%	Y	10	94.88%	Y
White Students	4	98.07%	Y	11	98.36%	Y
Limited English Proficient Students	5	97.14%	Y	12	96.33%	Y
Students with Disabilities	6	91.83%	N	13	91.94%	N
Disadvantaged Students	7	97.55%	Y	14	94.94%	Y

Proficiency									
	English			Mathematic	es				
Benchmark Met >81% AYP		#	Benchmark >79%	Met AYP					
15	89.97%	Y	22	75.14%	Z				
16	87.25%	Y	23	69.26%	N				
17	85.27%	Y	24	73.36%	N				
18	98.69%	Y	25	89.19%	Y				
19	90.19%	Y	26	74.70%	N				
20	65.55%	R10	27	59.43%	R10				
21	84.35%	Y	28	69.40%	N				

Other academic indicator	29	Graduation	64.87%	N

Benchmarks: attendance (94%) or science, or writing, or history (70%) for elementary & middle schools; federal graduation indicator (80%) for high schools.

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2010-2011 (Spring 2011 testing) English=86 & Mathematics=85 AYP BENCHMARKS for 2009-2010 (Spring 2010 testing) English>81 & Mathematics>79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2008-2009 (Spring 2009 testing) English=81 & Mathematics=79

#### Present AYP Status for T.C. Williams High School: 2010-2011

School Year	Subject	Status	Action Required	Title I?
2010-2011	English: Reading	Year 7	<b>Additional Corrective Actions</b>	No
2010-2011	Mathematics	Year 7	<b>Additional Corrective Actions</b>	No

### Alexandria City Public Schools (Division) – 30 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2010-2011 Based on Data from spring 2010 testing

#### ALEXANDRIA CITY PUBLIC SCHOOLS (DIVISION) - Met 24 of 30 AYP Benchmarks

	Participation					
		English			es	
	Benchmark Met 95% AYP			#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP
All Students	1	99.66%	Y	8	98.60%	Y
Black Students	2	99.40%	Y	9	98.04%	Y
Hispanic Students	3	99.86%	Y	10	98.37%	Y
White Students	4	99.77%	Y	11	99.61%	Y
Limited English Proficient Students	5	99.76%	Y	12	99.17%	Y
Students with Disabilities	6	98.74%	Y	13	97.57%	Y
Disadvantaged Students	7	99.67%	Y	14	98.40%	Y

	Proficiency									
	English			Mathematic	cs					
#	Benchmark N. >81% A.		#	Benchmark >79%	Met AYP					
15	84.40%	Y	22	78.39%	R10					
16	80.81%	N	23	73.48%	R10					
17	78.32%	N	24	71.97%	R10					
18	95.02%	Y	25	92.09%	Y					
19	77.61%	N	26	73.53%	R10					
20	68.55%	N	27	64.18%	R10					
21	78.38%	N	28	72.08%	R10					

Other academic indicator	29	Graduation	64.87%	N
Other academic indicator	30	Attendance	95.38%	Y

Benchmarks: attendance (94%) or science, or writing, or history (70%) for elementary & middle schools; federal graduation indicator (80%) for high schools.

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2010-2011 (Spring 2011 testing) English=86 & Mathematics=85

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2009-2010 (Spring 2010 testing) English>81 & Mathematics>79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2008-2009 (Spring 2009 testing) English=81 & Mathematics=79

#### Present AYP Status for Alexandria City Public Schools (Division): 2010-2011

School Year	Subject	Status	Action Required	Title I?
2010-2011	English: Reading		Not in corrective action	
2010-2011	Mathematics		Not in corrective action	

Appendix 1: State Accountability Guide: School Year 2010-11

## **ACCOUNTABILITY**AND VIRGINIA PUBLIC SCHOOLS



#### 2010-2011 SCHOOL YEAR

irginia's accountability system supports teaching and learning by setting rigorous academic standards, known as the Standards of Learning (SOL), and through annual assessments of student achievement. Schools receive two annual accountability ratings based on the performance of students on SOL tests and other statewide assessments.

- A school's state accreditation rating reflects overall achievement in English, history/ social science, mathematics and science.
   Schools in which students meet or exceed achievement objectives established by the Virginia Board of Education in these four major content areas are rated as "fully accredited."
- A school's federal Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) rating indicates the progress being made toward the goals of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001. This federal law requires states to set annual achievement benchmarks in reading and mathematics leading to 100 percent proficiency by 2014. The law also requires testing in science at least once in elementary, middle and high school. Schools and school divisions that meet or exceed all annual benchmarks are rated as having made AYP. States also receive AYP ratings.

While state accreditation ratings are based on overall student achievement in all major content areas, AYP ratings are based on overall achievement and achievement by student subgroups, primarily in reading and mathematics.

# ACCREDITATION: HIGH STANDARDS FOR LEARNING & ACHIEVEMENT

School accreditation ratings reflect student achievement on SOL tests and the other approved assessments in English, history/social science, mathematics and science. Ratings are based on the achievement of students on tests taken during the previous academic year or may reflect a three-year average of achievement. Schools receive one of the following ratings:

#### **FULLY ACCREDITED**

Elementary schools are "fully accredited" if students achieve all of the following pass rates:

- English 75 percent or higher, grades 3-5
- Mathematics 70 percent or higher, grades
   3-5
- Science 70 percent or higher in grade 5 and 50 percent or higher in grade 3
- History 70 percent or higher in Virginia Studies (grade 4 or 5) and 50 percent or higher in grade 3

Middle schools and high schools are "fully accredited" if students achieve pass rates of 70 percent or higher in all four content areas.

#### NEW IN 2011:

#### **GRADUATION & COMPLETION INDEX**

Beginning with ratings announced in fall 2011, high schools must meet graduation objectives — as well as achieve the required pass rates on state assessments in the four core subjects of English, history/social science, mathematics and science — for full accreditation. High schools that do not attain the minimum Graduation and Completion Index benchmark, but meet all other requirements, can earn provisional accreditation until 2015 by reaching interim graduation benchmarks. High schools that achieve the required pass rates but do not meet the interim graduation and completion benchmarks will be rated as "accredited with warning."

#### ACCREDITED WITH WARNING

A school receives an "accredited with warning" rating if its adjusted pass rates for the four core subjects are below the achievement levels required for full accreditation. Schools that receive this rating undergo academic reviews and are required to adopt and implement school improvement plans. Schools that are warned in English and/or mathematics are also required to adopt instructional programs proven by research to be effective in raising achievement in these subjects. A school may hold this rating for no more than three consecutive years.

#### **ACCREDITATION DENIED**

A school is rated "accreditation denied" if it fails to meet the requirements for full accreditation for four consecutive years.

Any school denied accreditation must provide parents and other interested parties the following:

- Written notice of the school's accreditation rating within 30 calendar days of the announcement of the rating by the Virginia Department of Education (VDOE);
- A copy of the school division's proposed corrective action plan describing the steps to be taken to raise achievement to state standards including a timeline for implementation — to improve the school's accreditation rating; and
- An opportunity to comment on the division's proposed corrective action plan prior to its adoption and the signing of a memorandum of understanding between the local school board and the Board of Education.

The local school board — within 45 days of receiving notice of the status — must submit to the Board of Education the proposed corrective action plan. The Board of Education will consider the proposal and develop a memorandum of understanding with the local school board, which must be implemented by November 1. The local school board must submit status reports detailing the implementation of actions prescribed in the memorandum of understanding; and the principal, division superintendent and local school board chairman may be required to appear before the Board of Education to present status reports.

Additionally, in any school division where one-third or more of the schools have been denied accreditation, the local school board is required to evaluate the division superintendent and submit a copy of the evaluation to

### ACCREDITATION BENCHMARKS (ADJUSTED PASS RATES)

Subject	Grade 3	Grade 4-5	Grades 6-12
English	75%	75%	70%
Mathematics	70%	70%	70%
Science	50%	70%	70%
History	50%	70%	70%

NOTE: Ratings for the 2010-2011 school year are based on achievement during 2009-2010 or on average achievement during the three most recent school years. Beginning with tests administered in 2011-2012, the pass rate for English will rise to 75 percent for all grades and the pass rates for the other three core areas — at all grade levels — will be 70 percent.

the Board of Education by December 1. The Board of Education may take action — as permitted by the Standards of Quality — against the local school board due to the failure of the local board to maintain accredited schools.

#### CONDITIONALLY ACCREDITED

There are two types of "conditionally accredited" schools:

- "Conditionally accredited-new" is awarded for a one-year period to a new school comprising students who previously attended one or more existing schools — to provide the opportunity to evaluate the performance of students on SOL tests and other statewide assessments.
- "Conditionally accredited-reconstituted" is awarded to a school that fails to meet full accreditation requirements for four consecutive years and receives permission from the Board of Education to reconstitute as an alternative to a memorandum of understanding. A reconstituted school reverts to accreditationdenied status if it fails to meet full accreditation requirements within the agreed-upon term, or if it fails to have its annual application for conditional accreditation renewed.

#### **ACCREDITATION ADJUSTMENTS**

A school's accreditation rating may reflect adjustments to pass rates resulting from successful remediation efforts and for the allowable exclusion of some Limited English Proficient (LEP) students and transfer students.

#### REMEDIATION & RETESTING

Virginia's accountability system recognizes successful remediation programs that help students achieve minimum proficiency standards in reading and mathematics in all tested grades. A school is credited for successful remedial instruction when a student — who failed a particular content area assessment during the previous year — subsequently passes the content-area test. If a student fails a test required for graduation and successfully retests during the same school year, the result of the first test is not included in the accreditation calculation.

### LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT STUDENTS

The scores of LEP students enrolled in Virginia public schools fewer than 11 semesters may be excluded from the accreditation rating calculations. While all LEP students are expected to participate in the state assessment program, a school-based committee determines the level of participation of each LEP student. In kindergarten through grade 8, the school-based committee may grant the student a one-time exemption from testing in writing (in grade 5 or 8), science (in grade 3 only) and history/social science (once during grades 3-8).

#### TRANSFER STUDENTS

The scores of students transferring within a Virginia school division are included in the calculation of accreditation ratings. Students transferring into a school from another Virginia school division, another state, from a private school or who have been home schooled are expected to take the assessments for the content areas in which they received instruction. Under limited circumstances as described in Board of Education regulations, the failing scores of some transfer students may be excluded from the accreditation calculation.

### ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS:

### VIRGINIA & THE ELEMENTARY & SECONDARY EDUCATION ACT

The federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) requires states to set annual objectives for increasing student achievement to ensure that all children have an opportunity to obtain a high-quality education. Schools, school divisions and states that meet these objectives make what federal law refers to as "Adequate Yearly Progress" (AYP).

#### ESEA IN BRIEF

- ESEA requires annual testing in grades 3-8 and at least once in high school to measure student progress in reading and mathematics. The law also requires states to test all students in science at least once in elementary school, once in middle school and once in high school.
- ESEA requires schools, school divisions and states to meet annual AYP objectives for student performance on statewide tests in reading and mathematics.
- ESEA requires the identification of states, schools and school divisions making and not making AYP.
- ESEA requires all students to be proficient in reading and mathematics by 2013-2014.

For a school, a school division or the commonwealth to make AYP, it must meet or exceed 29 benchmarks for student achievement and participation in statewide testing. Missing a single benchmark may result in a school, a school division or the state not making AYP.

### AYP — ANNUAL MEASURABLE OBJECTIVES

The reading and mathematics achievement benchmarks, established by the Board of Education as part of Virginia's implementation of ESEA, are known as Annual Measurable Objectives (AMO).

Virginia received permission from the U.S. Department of Education (USED) to maintain an AMO of 81 percent for reading and 79 percent for mathematics for AYP calculations for 2010-2011 (based on assessments administered in 2009-2010). However, in order to make AYP, the pass rates for the state, divisions and schools *must exceed* the targets. For example, a school with a pass rate of 81.1 percent for reading

#### AYP: ANNUAL MEASURABLE OBJECTIVES FOR READING AND LANGUAGE ARTS

200 200		2002- 2003			2005- 2006	2006- 2007		2008- 2009		2010- 2011			
STARTI POI 60	NT	61.0	61.0	65.0	69.0	73.0	77.0	81.0	MORE THAN 81.0	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD

#### AYP: ANNUAL MEASURABLE OBJECTIVES FOR MATHEMATICS

2001-	2002-	2003-	2004-	2005-	2006-	2007-	2008-	2009-	2010-	2011-	2012-	2013-
2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
STARTING POINT 58.4	59.0	59.0	63.0	67.0	71.0	75.0	79.0	MORE THAN 79.0	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD

NOTE: AYP ratings for the 2010-2011 school year are based on student performance on tests administered during 2009-2010 or on average achievement during the three most recent school years. Achievement must equal or exceed the Annual Measurable Objective shaded above.

during 2009-2010 would meet the target for reading while a school with a pass rate of 81 percent would not. Annual targets for assessments administered in 2010-2011 through 2013-2014 will be set at a later date.

#### SAFE HARBOR

Another way for a school, a school division or the state to make AYP is through "safe harbor." Safe harbor recognizes improvements in teaching and learning that reduce the failure rate of students in a subgroup by at least 10 percent — even if the AMO was not met.

### OTHER ACADEMIC INDICATORS REQUIRED UNDER ESEA

In addition to the annual benchmarks in reading and mathematics, elementary and middle schools, school divisions and the state must meet annual objectives for attendance, science, writing and history/social science.

Prior to the start of the school year, elementary schools, middle schools and school divisions select one of the following as an "other academic indicator:"

- Attendance the objective for attendance is 94 percent.
- Science the objective for science achievement is 70 percent.
- Writing the objective for writing achievement is 70 percent.
- History/Social Science the objective for history/social science achievement is 70 percent.

The state must meet annual benchmarks for all of the academic indicators: attendance, science, writing and history/social science.

#### FEDERAL GRADUATION INDICATOR

High schools, school divisions and the state also must meet annual objectives for the percentage of students who graduate with a Standard or Advanced Studies Diploma. This AYP objective is known as the Federal Graduation Indicator to distinguish it from the Virginia On-Time Graduation Rate, which includes all Board of Education-approved diplomas. The Federal Graduation Indicator excludes Modified Standard, Special and General Achievement diplomas because USED only recognizes Standard and Advanced Studies diplomas for accountability purposes.

A high school, school division and/or the state meets the federal graduation benchmark for AYP if one of the following is met:

- At least 80 percent of students graduate with Standard or Advanced Studies diplomas within four years, five years or six years of entering ninth grade for the first time; or
- The percentage of students not graduating within four years of entering the ninth grade is reduced by at least 10 percent.

To minimize annual variations in data impacting AYP determinations, Virginia will average graduation data over three years as permitted by ESEA. When more than one year of graduation data is available, averaging will be applied to the four-year, five-year and six-year Federal Graduation Indicator rates.

4

### AYP — PARTICIPATION IN STATE ASSESSMENTS

ESEA requires a minimum of 95 percent participation of all students and of students in all AYP subgroups in the statewide assessment program at the school, division and state levels. AYP applies to all students and to these subgroups:

- · Students with disabilities
- LEP students
- · Economically disadvantaged students
- · White students
- Black students
- Hispanic students

If participation overall or in one or more subgroups is below 95 percent, a school or school division is not considered to have made AYP—regardless of the percentage of students demonstrating proficiency.

#### STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES

Students with disabilities may take SOL tests (with or without special accommodations), or may be assessed through alternative grade-level tests.

Students with significant cognitive disabilities are assessed through an alternate test. ESEA, however, places a one-percent cap on the percentage of test takers in the state who may be counted as proficient based on the results of alternate assessments.

Virginia's special education regulations now require students with disabilities to participate in all state assessments — exemptions in history/social science and writing allowed during 2009-2010 will not be permitted during 2010-2011 and beyond.

#### LEP STUDENTS

School-based LEP committees determine how LEP students participate in the state assessment program. ESEA allows a one-time exemption from testing in reading in grades 3-8 for LEP students who have attended school in the United States for less than 12 months. All LEP students must participate in mathematics assessments regardless of when they arrived in the country.

If a LEP student in his or her first year of enrollment is tested, the student is counted as participating in the state assessment program for AYP purposes. However, failing scores of tested LEP students in the first year of enrollment are not included in AYP calculations.

LEP students at the lowest levels of English proficiency may take an alternative grade-level assessment for reading and a plain-English version of the mathematics SOL test for up to three years.

#### RETAKES OF END-OF-COURSE TESTS

The achievement of students on all retakes of end-ofcourse assessments in reading and mathematics is included in the calculation of AYP ratings. If a student fails a test required for graduation and successfully retests during the same school year, the first test does not count in calculating AYP.

#### TITLE I SCHOOLS

Title I schools receive federal funds under Title I of ESEA to help children in high-poverty areas who are behind academically or at risk of falling behind. Title I funding is based on the number of low-income children in a school, generally those eligible for free or reduced-fee lunch programs. Some Title I schools provide supplemental federally funded instructional programs for qualifying students. These schools are known as targeted-assistance schools. Title I schools in which 40 percent or more students qualify for free or reduced-fee lunch may provide schoolwide programs. The following actions apply to Title I schools that do not make AYP for two or more consecutive years in the same subject area(s):

#### PUBLIC SCHOOL CHOICE

A Title I school that does not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same subject area is identified for improvement and must notify parents of its status prior to the beginning of the school year. The school must offer all students the opportunity to transfer to a school within the division that is not identified for improvement. Lowest-achieving students receive priority in the awarding of transfers. The school must also develop and implement a school improvement plan.

### SUPPLEMENTAL EDUCATIONAL SERVICES & PUBLIC SCHOOL CHOICE

A Title I school identified for improvement that does not make AYP the next year in the same subject area must notify parents of its status and continue to offer public school choice. In addition, the school must offer supplemental educational services to low-income students. If funds are insufficient to provide supplemental services to all students whose parents request tutoring, school divisions must give priority to the lowest-achieving eligible students.

#### CORRECTIVE ACTION

A school division must take corrective action to raise achievement in Title I schools in the third year of school improvement status. The school must continue to offer public school choice and supplemental services, and the school division must take at least one of the following corrective actions:

- Replace school staff deemed relevant to the failure to make AYP
- Implement a new curriculum shown by research as effective in raising achievement
- Decrease the authority of school-level management
- Appoint an outside expert to advise the school on the implementation plan developed during the first year of school improvement
- · Extend the school year or school day
- Restructure the internal organization of the school

#### RESTRUCTURING (PLANNING)

A school division must develop a restructuring/ alternative governance plan for a Title I school that enters year four of school improvement status. A school in year four must also:

- · Continue to provide public school choice
- Continue to offer supplemental educational services
- Continue to take the corrective action selected in year three
- Prepare a plan and make necessary arrangements for restructuring/alternative governance of the school in the event that the school does not make AYP the following year

#### RESTRUCTURING (IMPLEMENTATION)

A restructuring/alternative governance plan developed during year four is implemented if the school again does not make AYP in the same subject area and moves into year five of improvement status. The implemented plan must include one of the following actions:

- Reopen the school as a charter school
- Replace all or most of the school staff relevant to the school's failure to make AYP
- Turn the management of the school over to a private educational management company or other entity with a demonstrated record of effectiveness
- Any other major restructuring of school governance

Note: If a Title I school in restructuring fails to make AYP, the school continues to implement its restructuring/alternative governance plan while receiving technical assistance from the school division and state.

#### NON-TITLE I SCHOOLS

Non-Title I schools in Virginia are not subject to school improvement sanctions under ESEA. However, non-Title I schools that do not make AYP for three or more consecutive years must analyze data and implement corrective actions as specified by the school division.

#### SCHOOL DIVISIONS IN IMPROVEMENT

School divisions that do not make AYP in the same subject area across all grade spans for two consecutive years must develop and implement improvement plans within three months of identification. ESEA requires that school division improvement plans include:

- Scientifically based strategies and best practices for raising student achievement
- Professional development for faculty and instructional staff
- Specific achievement goals for subgroups not making AYP
- Identification of impediments to higher achievement by low-performing students
- Strategies to promote parental involvement
- Relevant student-learning activities, before school, after school or during the summer
- Fiscal responsibilities of the school division and the technical assistance needed

### **ACCOUNTABILITY TERMINOLOGY**

- Academic review A process for helping schools and school divisions identify and analyze instructional and organizational factors affecting student achievement
- Academic review team A team that conducts an academic review
- Accreditation denied Accreditation rating given to a school when students achieve adjusted pass rates below those required to earn the fully accredited rating for the current year as well as the preceding three consecutive years
- Accredited with warning Accreditation rating given to a school when students achieve adjusted pass rates below those required to meet the fully accredited rating. The rating includes the subject area(s) in which the school is deficient (for example, accredited with warning in English). A school can receive the accredited with warning classification no more than three consecutive years.
- Achievement gap Differences in academic performance among student groups
- Adjusted pass rate In calculating accreditation ratings, allowances are made for certain transfer students, students who speak little or no English and students who pass retakes of tests after receiving remedial instruction. These allowances result in adjusted pass rates which are used to determine accreditation ratings.
- AYP Adequate Yearly Progress represents the minimum level of improvement that schools and school divisions must achieve each year as required by ESEA.
- Alignment The correlation between Virginia's SOL, what is taught in the classroom and what appears on the SOL tests. Curriculum alignment ensures that students are taught the material subject to testing.
- Alternate and alternative assessments Students with disabilities may be tested through the Virginia Alternate Assessment Program (VAAP) or the Virginia Grade-Level Alternative (VGLA) in grades 3-8 depending on the nature of the disability. Limited English Proficiency

- (LEP) students at the lowest levels of English proficiency may also be tested using the VGLA. The Virginia Substitute Evaluation Program (VSEP) provides students with disabilities with an alternative means of meeting the commonwealth's testing-related graduation requirements. VDOE announced in April 2010 that the VGLA will be replaced by a new online test beginning with mathematics in 2011-2012 and reading in 2012-2013.
- AMO Annual Measurable Objectives are the minimum required percentages of students determined to be proficient in each content area
- Assessment A test or other method for measuring achievement
- Conditionally accredited Accreditation rating given to a new school for one year in order to allow for tests to be given. This rating may also be given to a school that is being reconstituted.
- Corrective action plan A plan outlining methods to improve teaching, administration or curriculum that a school or school division classified as "in improvement" undertakes to improve student achievement
- Disaggregated data Data sorted by groups of students. Groups include students who are economically disadvantaged, from racial and ethnic groups, have special education needs, or have limited English proficiency.
- ESEA Elementary and Secondary Education Act is the primary federal law affecting K-12 education. The most recent reauthorization of the law is also known as the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001.
- Expedited retake A SOL test taken during the same academic year, and before the next scheduled test administration, by a student who, on his first attempt, scored within 25 points of passing or has exceptional or mitigating circumstances
- Fully accredited The accreditation rating earned by a school when students achieve an adjusted pass rate of 75 percent in third-grade and fifth-grade English, 70

percent in mathematics and 50 percent in third-grade science and history/social science. Otherwise, the student results must meet the adjusted pass rate of 70 percent in each of the four core academic areas – English, mathematics, history/social science.

Graduation rate - Virginia calculates three graduation "rates" for accountability purposes:

- The Virginia On-Time Graduation Rate is the percentage of students who graduate with a Board of Education-approved diploma within four years of entering high school.
- The Federal Graduation Indicator is the percentage of students who graduate with a Standard or Advanced Studies Diploma. It is used in calculating AYP ratings of high schools, school divisions and the commonwealth.
- The Graduation and Completion Index will be used — beginning in 2010-2011 — to determine the accreditation ratings of high schools.

Inclusion - The practice of placing students with disabilities in regular classrooms

In improvement - If a Title I school or a school division does not make AYP in the same subject area for two consecutive years, the school or division is considered to be "in improvement" and is required under ESEA to take certain actions to raise achievement.

Instruction - The methods used to teach students, including lecture, discussion, hands-on activity, exercise, experiment, role-playing, small group work and writing.

LEA - Local Education Agency is the term used in federal education law to describe a local school division.

LEP - Limited English Proficient refers to students for whom English is a second language and who are not reading or writing English at their grade level.

NCLB - See "ESEA"

Other academic indicator - For AYP calculations, in addition to meeting reading and mathematics objectives, elementary and middle schools must also meet benchmarks for attendance, science, writing or history, and high schools, school divisions and the state must also meet an objective for graduation. These additional

objectives are known as other academic indicators. Prior to the beginning of the school year, school divisions must declare whether they will use attendance, science, writing or history as the other academic indicator for elementary and middle schools.

Parental involvement - The participation of parents in regular, two-way, meaningful communication involving student learning and school activities. Parental involvement is a component of ESEA.

Public school choice - See "Transfer Option"

Reconstitution - A process used to initiate a range of accountability actions to improve pupil performance, curriculum and instruction to address deficiencies that caused a school to be rated accreditation denied which may include, but is not limited to, restructuring a school's governance, instructional program, staff or student population.

Restructuring/Alternative governance - For Title I schools that move into year four of school improvement, planning begins for the possibility – the following year – of reopening the school as a charter school, replacing staff relevant to the school's failure to make progress or turning the management of the school over to a private educational management company with a demonstrated record of effectiveness.

Safe harbor - A provision for making AYP intended for schools and school divisions that are making progress in raising student achievement but not yet meeting target goals for AYP

SEA - State Education Agency refers to the Virginia Board of Education, which is responsible for the general supervision of a state's public elementary and secondary schools.

School Improvement Plan - Strategies and steps that a school will utilize to raise student achievement. A plan may involve new programs, more assistance for students, new curricula and/or teacher training.

Scientifically based research - Research that involves the application of rigorous, systemic and objective procedures to obtain reliable and valid knowledge regarding the effectiveness of educational activities and programs

- Substitute tests Virginia allows high school students to use nationally recognized assessments such as Advanced Placement (AP), International Baccalaureate (IB) and SAT II subject tests as substitutes for the related SOL tests. All Board of Education-approved substitute tests measure content that incorporates or exceeds the related SOL content.
  - For AYP purposes, AP and IB tests are counted in the same way as all other state assessments.
  - For accreditation, all board-approved substitute assessments are included.
- Supplemental educational services Students in a Title I school in year two of improvement are eligible to receive tutoring or supplemental educational services. Parents can choose the appropriate services for their child from a list of state-approved providers. The school division must pay for the services.
- SOL Standards of Learning for Virginia Public Schools describe the commonwealth's expectations for student learning and achievement in grades K-12 in English, mathematics, science, history/social science, technology, the fine arts, foreign language, health and physical education and driver education.
- Title I Federal program designed to help low-income children who are behind academically or at risk of falling behind. Title I funding is based on the number of lowincome children in a school, generally those eligible for free lunch or reduced-fee lunch programs.
- Transfer option Also referred to as "Public School Choice."

  Title I schools identified as needing improvement have to provide the option for students to transfer within the division to a school that has made AYP. The school division is required to provide transportation to those students.

- VAAP The Virginia Alternate Assessment Program is designed to evaluate the performance of students with significant cognitive disabilities. The VAAP is available to students in grades 3-8 and students in grade 11 who are working on academic standards that have been reduced in complexity and depth. Students participating in the VAAP must compile a collection of work samples to demonstrate performance on the SOL content for which they have received instruction.
- VGLA The Virginia Grade Level Alternative is available for some students with disabilities and LEP students in grades 3-8 as an alternative assessment for SOL testing. Students who qualify to participate in the VGLA are required to demonstrate grade-level achievement through a collection of student work in the content area. Eligible LEP students are those at level 1 or level 2 of English language proficiency. VDOE announced in April 2010 that the VGLA will be replaced by a new online test beginning with mathematics in 2011-2012 and reading in 2012-2013.
- VSEP The Virginia Substitute Evaluation Program provides students with disabilities who are unable to participate in the regular SOL assessments with an alternative means of meeting the commonwealth's testing-related graduation requirements. Students participating in the VSEP are expected to provide evidence of achievement through a compilation of coursework.

# QUESTIONS CONCERNING SCHOOLS RECEIVING "ACCREDITATION DENIED" STATUS

# What does it mean if my child's school receives an accreditation denied rating?

Public schools in Virginia receive an accreditation rating each year based on results of tests given during the previous school year. For example, the accreditation rating for school year 2010-2011 is based on the results of tests given during the 2009-2010 school year.

Schools receive the rating of accreditation denied when students fail to achieve adjusted pass rates to meet the fully accredited rating for the current year as well as the preceding three consecutive years.

# If my child's school is denied accreditation, does that mean my child is not getting a good education?

Accreditation ratings reflect overall achievement within a school, not the performance or learning of individual students. In assessing the quality of the education provided by a school, parents should also consider individual achievement on SOL tests, classroom grades and other measures of learning and performance.

# If my child's school is denied accreditation, does that mean the state is going to take over my child's school?

Because the state constitution gives local school boards the responsibility of the day-to-day operations of schools within a division, neither the Virginia Department of Education nor the Board of Education can "take over" a public school.

### If my child's school receives an accreditation denied rating, what will happen?

The school receiving an accreditation denied rating must provide parents of the enrolled students the following:

 Within 30 days, written notice of the school's accreditation rating

- A copy of the school division's proposed corrective action plan that includes a timeline for implementation
- An opportunity for public comment on the proposed corrective action plan prior to its adoption

After considering public comment, the school division will finalize the school's corrective action plan. Then the local school board and the Board of Education will sign a memorandum of understanding by November 1.

The memorandum of understanding may include, but is not limited to:

- An educational service delivery and management review approved by the Board of Education
- Employing an approved turnaround specialist to address conditions preventing educational progress, effectiveness and academic success

In addition to the memorandum of understanding, the local school board will submit periodic status reports — signed by the principal, division superintendent and local school board chairman — to the Board of Education.

A school division with any schools denied accreditation must submit a report to the Board of Education by October 1 describing each school's progress toward meeting the requirements for full accreditation. The Board of Education will include the information in its annual report to the governor and General Assembly.

If a school division has one-third or more of its schools denied accreditation, the local school board must evaluate the superintendent and submit a copy of the evaluation to the Board of Education by December 1. In addition, the Board of Education may take action against the local school board, as permitted by the Standards of Quality, for failure to maintain accredited schools.

### Are there any other options if my child's school is denied accreditation?

A local school board may choose to close the school, combine the school with a higher performing school in the division or reconstitute the school. Reconstitution may include, but is not limited to, restructuring a school's governance, instructional program, staff or student population.

If a school is reconstituted, the local school board may apply to the Board of Education for conditional accreditation. The application must outline specific responses to all areas of deficiency.

A reconstituted, conditionally accredited school will be denied accreditation if it fails to meet the requirements for full accreditation after three years, or if it fails to have its annual application renewed.

# Is the staff at my child's school going to be replaced because of the accreditation denied rating?

There may be some personnel changes in your child's school, but that decision will be made by the local school division. School staffing is the responsibility of the local school board.

#### What happens if my child's school closes?

Your child will continue to receive a public school education, but at a different school. Your local school division will be able to tell you where your child will be attending school.

## Can I request a transfer for my child to a school that is fully accredited?

Schools receive two annual accountability ratings; a state accreditation rating and an adequate yearly progress rating under ESEA. Your child may be eligible for a transfer if his or her school is under ESEA school improvement sanctions in addition to being denied state accreditation. ESEA requires schools to notify parents if their children are eligible to transfer to a higher-performing school.

## What can I do if I receive notification that my child's school is denied accreditation?

Talk with your child's teacher to see what you can do to help increase or maintain your child's academic level. Attend any meetings held by the PTA, local school officials or division officials concerning your child's school. Share your comments and concerns with your school officials and local school board.

# If my child's high school is not fully accredited, will that impact my child's ability to get into college?

The accreditation status of a high school does not appear on transcripts that are provided to colleges, universities or employers. Your child's diploma is recognized as equal to any other Virginia diploma of the same type, regardless of the school's accreditation status.

Colleges and universities look at a variety of factors such as SAT or ACT results, individual SOL test results, types of courses and rigor of courses taken in high school, gradepoint average and class ranking when making acceptance decisions.

### **Appendix 2: AYP Performance Tables by Academic Subject: Spring 2010**

Table 1. AYP English Performance: Spring 2010	37
Table 2. AYP Math Performance: Spring 2010	39
Table 3. AYP Science Performance: Spring 2010	41
Table 4. AYP History Performance: Spring 2010	43

**Table 1. AYP English Performance: Spring 2010** 

School	Total AYP Adjusted Pass Rate	Black	Hispanic	White	SPED	LEP	FRL	Met English Performance AYP Benchmark <sup>a</sup>
John Adams	81% (n=257)	80% (n=105)	72% (n=72)	86% (n=36)	67% (n=36)	81% (n=121)	77% (n=164)	No
Charles Barrett	94% (n=125)	84% (n=19)	84% (n=25)	100% (n=76)	79% (n=19)	90% (n=21)	85% (n=33)	Yes
Patrick Henry	87% (n=180)	85% (n=94)	88% (n=43)	89% (n=19)	79% (n=33)	88% (n=49)	87% (n=133)	Yes
Jefferson- Houston	69% (n=112)	66% (n=82)	72% (n=18)	75% (n=8)	60% (n=20)	69% (n=13)	64% (n=90)	No
Cora Kelly	82% (n=158)	80% (n=84)	85% (n=59)	91% (n=11)	87% (n=15)	84% (n=57)	81% (n=119)	No
Lyles-Crouch	97% (n=148)	89% (n=46)	100% (n=9)	100% (n=81)	87% (n=15)	100% (n=6)	90% (n=40)	Yes
Douglas MacArthur	91% (n=270)	77% (n=78)	90% (n=30)	99% (n=142)	80% (n=45)	86% (n=35)	80% (n=87)	Yes
George Mason	94% (n=177)	100% (n=9)	87% (n=39)	97% (n=117)	82% (n=22)	86% (n=36)	85% (n=40)	Yes
Maury	86% (n=125)	72% (n=53)	83% (n=6)	97% (n=64)	62% (n=21)	75% (n=4)	71% (n=49)	No
Mount Vernon	75% (n=251)	84% (n=38)	63% (n=144)	94% (n=63)	57% (n=46)	61% (n=137)	65% (n=162)	No
James Polk	89% (235)	84% (n=103)	86% (n=57)	93% (n=40)	43% (n=30)	88% (n=95)	86% (n=140)	Yes
William Ramsay	82% (n=303)	76% (n=105)	84% (n=139)	93% (n=14)	67% (n=21)	80% (n=194)	80% (n=238)	No
Samuel Tucker	89% (n=279)	87% (n=127)	87% (n=55)	93% (n=46)	77% (n=39)	86% (n=110)	84% (n=165)	Yes

Table 1. AYP English Performance: Spring 2010 (Continued)

		Subgroup						
School	Total AYP Adjusted Pass Rate	Black	Hispanic	White	SPED	LEP	FRL	Met English Performance AYP Benchmark <sup>a</sup>
FCH 1	83% (n=398)	85% (n=181)	77% (n=115)	85% (n=47)	68% (n=53)	74% (n=115)	77% (n=230)	No
FCH 2	80% (n=399)	82% (n=196)	70% (n=109)	91% (n=43)	62% (n=37)	70% (n=126)	77% (n=249)	No
FCH 3	81% (n=381)	83% (n=167)	74% (n=105)	86% (n=42)	72% (n=47)	71% (n=141)	77% (n=251)	No
GW 1	87% (n=458)	87% (n=138)	77% (n=142)	95% (n=158)	85% (n=68)	74% (n=123)	80% (n=230)	No
GW 2	85% (n=456)	76% (n=144)	80% (n=123)	99% (n=154)	88% (n=64)	76% (n=111)	75% (n=232)	No
TC Williams	90% (n=678)	87% (n=306)	85% (n=163)	99% (n=153)	66% (n=90)	90% (n=102)	84% (n=358)	Yes
	84%	81%	78%	95%	,	78%	78%	
Division	(n=5468)	(n=2121)	(n=1467)	(n=1326)	69% (n=776)	(n=1613)	(n=3040)	No

**Table 2. AYP Math Performance: Spring 2010** 

		Subgroups						
School	Total AYP Adjusted Pass Rate	Black	Hispanic	White	SPED	LEP	FRL	Met Math Performance AYP Benchmark <sup>a</sup>
John Adams	81% (n=263)	82% (n=106)	73% (n=73)	89% (n=38)	72% (n=36)	78% (n=125)	79% (n=169)	Yes
Charles Barrett	94% (n=127)	89% (n=19)	85% (n=27)	97% (n=76)	83% (n=18)	91% (n=23)	89% (n=35)	Yes
Patrick Henry	91% (n=180)	89% (n=93)	91% (n=43)	100% (n=19)	82% (n=33)	82% (n=49)	88% (n=133)	Yes
Jefferson-Houston	81% (n=113)	77% (n=83)	83% (n=18)	100% (n=8)	85% (n=20)	85% (n=13)	77% (n=90)	Yes
Cora Kelly	92% (n=160)	90% (n=84)	92% (n=61)	100% (n=11)	88% (n=16)	91% (n=58)	91% (n=121)	Yes
Lyles-Crouch	92% (n=150)	79% (n=47)	100% (n=9)	99% (n=81)	53% (n=15)	75% (n=8)	78% (n=41)	Yes
Douglas MacArthur	94% (n=267)	87% (n=76)	86% (n=29)	98% (n=142)	82% (n=44)	89% (n=35)	86% (n=85)	Yes
George Mason	97% (n=177)	100% (n=9)	95% (n=39)	97% (n=117)	82% (n=22)	94% (n=36)	93% (n=40)	Yes
Maury	93% (n=126)	83% (n=54)	100% (n=6)	100% (n=64)	81% (n=21)	100% (n=5)	82% (n=50)	Yes
Mount Vernon	78% (n=252)	82% (n=38)	69% (n=146)	94% (n=63)	60% (n=45)	69% (n=138)	70% (n=163)	Yes
James Polk	88% (n=235)	88% (n=104)	79% (n=57)	92% (n=39)	61% (n=31)	85% (n=94)	85% (n=140)	Yes
William Ramsay	87% (n=310)	91% (n=109)	82% (n=138)	93% (n=14)	74% (n=23)	84% (n=198)	85% (n=243)	Yes
Samuel Tucker	91% (n=287)	91% (n=129)	90% (n=59)	94% (n=48)	77% (n=39)	91% (n=116)	88% (n=170)	Yes

Table 2. AYP Math Performance: Spring 2010 (Continued)

		Subgroups						
School	Total AYP Adjusted Pass Rate	Black	Hispanic	White	SPED	LEP	FRL	Met Math Performance AYP Benchmark <sup>a</sup>
FCH 1	73% (n=400)	75% (n=179)	62% (n=117)	77% (n=48)	58% (n=53)	69% (n=118)	67% (n=232)	No
FCH 2	68% (n=392)	68% (n=194)	56% (n=107)	76% (n=42)	41% (n=34)	56% (n=124)	60% (n=243)	No
FCH 3	75% (n=375)	70% (n=166)	73% (n=101)	93% (n=42)	78% (n=46)	67% (n=139)	73% (n=248)	No
GW 1	76% (n=459)	71% (n=137)	60% (n=142)	92% (n=160)	78% (n=69)	56% (n=122)	63% (n=229)	Yes
GW 2	70% (n=454)	58% (n=143)	55% (n=122)	95% (n=154)	77% (n=64)	54% (n=112)	52% (n=231)	No
311 =	75%				, , ( ,	(1.1.2)	69%	
TC Williams	(n=2016)	69% (n=911)	73% (n=552)	89% (n=361)	59% (n=249)	75% (n=419)	(n=1157)	No
	78%	73%	72%	92%		74%	72%	
Division	(n=6856)	(n=2753)	(n=1866)	(n=1543)	64% (n=952)	(n=1950)	(n=3869)	Yes

**Table 3. AYP Science Performance: Spring 2010** 

			Subgroup						
School	Total Adjusted Pass Rate	Black	Hispanic	White	SPED	LEP	FRL	Met Science Peformance AYP Benchmark <sup>a</sup>	
John Adams	76% (n=176)	68% (n=75)	77% (n=47)	96% (n=24)	56% (n=25)	70% (n=84)	73% (n=111)	NA	
Charles Barrett	89% (n=83)	91% (n=11)	65% (n=17)	96% (n=52)	62% (n=13)	73% (n=15)	68% (n=19)	NA	
Patrick Henry	80% (n=117)	76% (n=58)	83% (n=30)	94% (n=16)	78% (n=18)	74% (n=31)	82% (n=76)	NA	
Jefferson- Houston	67% (n=58)	65% (n=43)	67% (n=9)	100% (n=4)	55% (n=11)	57% (n=7)	62% (n=47)	NA	
Cora Kelly	90% (n=86)	86% (n=51)	92% (n=25)	100% (n=9)	70% (n=10)	91% (n=23)	87% (n=63)	NA	
Lyles-Crouch	90% (n=94)	72% (n=32)	100% (n=5)	100% (n=49)	25% (n=8)	80% (n=5)	69% (n=26)	NA	
Douglas MacArthur	89% (n=183)	77% (n=57)	73% (n=22)	99% (n=92)	78% (n=27)	73% (n=22)	75% (n=60)	NA	
George Mason	97% (n=119)	100% (n=5)	95% (n=20)	98% (n=87)	76% (n=17)	95% (n=22)	96% (n=23)	NA	
Maury	93% (n=85)	86% (n=36)	100% (n=5)	98% (n=42)	77% (n=13)	100% (n=3)	85% (n=33)	NA	
Mount Vernon	82% (n=170)	87% (n=23)	74% (n=105)	97% (n=39)	61% (n=31)	73% (n=99)	75% (n=113)	NA	
James Polk	87% (155)	85% (n=72)	87% (n=39)	91% (n=22)	39% (n=18)	83% (n=64)	85% (n=94)	NA	
William Ramsay	75% (n=208)	77% (n=73)	75% (n=91)	80% (n=10)	69% (n=16)	74% (n=133)	75% (n=159)	NA	
Samuel Tucker	89% (n=196)	89% (n=91)	82% (n=45)	97% (n=30)	73% (n=26)	82% (n=78)	83% (n=125)	NA	

Table 3. AYP Science Performance: Spring 2010 (Continued)

			Subgroup					
School	Total Adjusted Pass Rate	Black	Hispanic	White	SPED	LEP	FRL	Met Science Peformance AYP Benchmark <sup>a</sup>
FCH 1	87% (n=130)	88% (n=56)	85% (n=40)	88% (n=17)	56% (n=18)	79% (n=29)	83% (n=76)	NA
FCH 2	88% (n=131)	90% (n=61)	80% (n=35)	94% (n=17)	58% (n=12)	71% (n=31)	85% (n=79)	NA
FCH 3	88% (n=123)	95% (n=61)	70% (n=30)	88% (n=17)	68% (n=22)	76% (n=33)	84% (n=76)	NA
GW 1	86% (n=158)	89% (n=57)	69% (n=42)	98% (n=49)	91% (n=23)	58% (n=31)	76% (n=85)	Yes
GW 2	90% (n=131)	86% (n=43)	86% (n=35)	100% (n=45)	67% (n=12)	86% (n=21)	82% (n=60)	NA
TC Williams <sup>b</sup>	84% (n=1787)	80% (n=743)	78% (n=479)	96% (n=392)	57% (n=213)	74% (n=409)	77% (n=970)	Yes
Division	83% (n=4289)	79% (n=1707)	78% (n=1137)	96% (n=1024)	59% (n=587)	75% (n=1156)	77% (n=370) 77% (n=2335)	NA NA

**Table 4. AYP History Performance: Spring 2010** 

			Subgroup						
School	Total Adjusted Pass Rate	Black	Hispanic	White	SPED	LEP	FRL	Met History Peformance AYP Benchmark <sup>a</sup>	
John Adams	76% (n=176)	68% (n=75)	77% (n=47)	96% (n=24)	56% (n=25)	70% (n=84)	73% (n=111)	NA	
Charles Barrett	89% (n=83)	91% (n=11)	65% (n=17)	96% (n=52)	62% (n=13)	73% (n=15)	68% (n=19)	NA	
Patrick Henry	80% (n=117)	76% (n=58)	83% (n=30)	94% (n=16)	78% (n=18)	74% (n=31)	82% (n=76)	NA	
Jefferson- Houston	67% (n=58)	65% (n=43)	67% (n=9)	100% (n=4)	55% (n=11)	57% (n=7)	62% (n=47)	NA	
Cora Kelly	90% (n=86)	86% (n=51)	92% (n=25)	100% (n=9)	70% (n=10)	91% (n=23)	87% (n=63)	NA	
Lyles-Crouch	90% (n=94)	72% (n=32)	100% (n=5)	100% (n=49)	25% (n=8)	80% (n=5)	69% (n=26)	NA	
Douglas MacArthur	89% (n=183)	77% (n=57)	73% (n=22)	99% (n=92)	78% (n=27)	73% (n=22)	75% (n=60)	NA	
George Mason	97% (n=119)	100% (n=5)	95% (n=20)	98% (n=87)	76% (n=17)	95% (n=22)	96% (n=23)	NA	
Maury	93% (n=85)	86% (n=36)	100% (n=5)	98% (n=42)	77% (n=13)	100% (n=3)	85% (n=33)	NA	
Mount Vernon	82% (n=170)	87% (n=23)	74% (n=105)	97% (n=39)	61% (n=31)	73% (n=99)	75% (n=113)	NA	
James Polk	87% (155)	85% (n=72)	87% (n=39)	91% (n=22)	39% (n=18)	83% (n=64)	85% (n=94)	NA	
William Ramsay	75% (n=208)	77% (n=73)	75% (n=91)	80% (n=10)	69% (n=16)	74% (n=133)	75% (n=159)	NA	
Samuel Tucker	89% (n=196)	89% (n=91)	82% (n=45)	97% (n=30)	73% (n=26)	82% (n=78)	83% (n=125)	NA	

Table 4. AYP History Performance: Spring 2010 (Continued)

			Subgroup					
School	Total Adjusted Pass Rate	Black	Hispanic	White	SPED	LEP	FRL	Met History Peformance AYP Benchmark <sup>a</sup>
FCH 1	87% (n=130)	88% (n=56)	85% (n=40)	88% (n=17)	56% (n=18)	79% (n=29)	83% (n=76)	NA
FCH 2	88% (n=131)	90% (n=61)	80% (n=35)	94% (n=17)	58% (n=12)	71% (n=31)	85% (n=79)	NA
FCH 3	88% (n=123)	95% (n=61)	70% (n=30)	88% (n=17)	68% (n=22)	76% (n=33)	84% (n=76)	NA
GW 1	86% (n=158)	89% (n=57)	69% (n=42)	98% (n=49)	91% (n=23)	58% (n=31)	76% (n=85)	Yes
GW 2	90% (n=131)	86% (n=43)	86% (n=35)	100% (n=45)	67% (n=12)	86% (n=21)	82% (n=60)	NA
TC Williams <sup>b</sup>	84% (n=1787)	80% (n=743)	78% (n=479)	96% (n=392)	57% (n=213)	74% (n=409)	77% (n=970)	Yes
Division	83% (n=4289)	79% (n=1707)	78% (n=1137)	96% (n=1024)	59% (n=587)	75% (n=1156)	77% (n=970) 77% (n=2335)	NA NA

#### Appendix 3: Accreditation & AYP Status: School Year 2010-11

The school accreditation and AYP statuses, as shown below, are based on VSAP test results from the 2009-10 school year. Eighteen of 19 schools are fully accredited. One school is accredited with warning. Seven of 19 schools met the AYP benchmark for English. Fourteen of 19 schools met the AYP benchmark for Math. Overall, seven of 19 schools made AYP and seven of 19 schools are fully accredited and made AYP.

Table 5. Accreditation and AYP Status by School and Division: School Year 2010-11

SCHOOL NAME	2010-11 ACCREDITATION STATUS	2010-11 AYP STATUS
JOHN ADAMS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	Fully Accredited	Did not Make AYP - English
CHARLES BARRETT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	Fully Accredited	Made AYP
PATRICK HENRY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	Fully Accredited	Made AYP
JEFFERSON-HOUSTON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	Warned in English and History	Did not Make AYP - English
CORA KELLY MAGNET ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	Fully Accredited	Did not Make AYP - English
LYLES-CROUCH ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	Fully Accredited	Made AYP
DOUGLAS MACARTHUR ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	Fully Accredited	Made AYP
GEORGE MASON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	Fully Accredited	Made AYP
MAURY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	Fully Accredited	Did not Make AYP - English
MOUNT VERNON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	Fully Accredited	Did not Make AYP -English
JAMES K. POLK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	Fully Accredited	Made AYP
WILLIAM RAMSAY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	Fully Accredited	Did not Make AYP - English
SAMUEL W. TUCKER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	Fully Accredited	Made AYP
FRANCIS C HAMMOND 1 MIDDLE SCHOOL	Fully Accredited	Did not Make AYP - English, Math
FRANCIS C HAMMOND 2 MIDDLE SCHOOL	Fully Accredited	Did not Make AYP - English, Math
FRANCIS C HAMMOND 3 MIDDLE SCHOOL	Fully Accredited	Did not Make AYP - English, Math
GEORGE WASHINGTON 1 MIDDLE SCHOOL	Fully Accredited	Did not Make AYP - English
GEORGE WASHINGTON 2 MIDDLE SCHOOL	Fully Accredited	Did not Make AYP -English, Math, OAI
T. C. WILLIAMS HIGH SCHOOL	Fully Accredited	Did not Make AYP- English, Math, OAI
DIVISION	NA	Did not Make AYP -English

#### **Accreditation Benchmarks**

Subject	Grade 3	Grade 4-5	Grades 6-12
English	<i>7</i> 5	75	70
Math	70	70	70
Science	50	70	70
History	50	70	70

The AYP benchmarks (AMO) for Virginia's tests administered in 2009-2010 were: English: >81 and Mathematics: >79. The AYP benchmarks (AMO) for Virginia's tests to be administered in 2010-2011 will be: English: 86 and Mathematics: 85. The Other Academic Indicator (OAI) can be 70 for a designated content area, 94 for attendance, or 80 for graduation.

### Appendix 4: AYP Benchmarks for 2009-10 (Spring 2009 Testing)

John Adams Elementary School	47
Charles Barrett Elementary School	48
Patrick Henry Elementary School	49
Jefferson-Houston Elementary School	50
Cora Kelly Magnet Elementary School	51
Lyles-Crouch Elementary School	52
Douglas MacArthur Elementary School	53
George Mason Elementary School	54
Maury Elementary School	55
Mount Vernon Elementary School	56
James Polk Elementary School	57
William Ramsay Elementary School	58
Samuel W. Tucker Elementary School	59
Francis C Hammond Middle School 1	60
Francis C Hammond Middle School 2	61
Francis C Hammond Middle School 3	62
George Washington Middle School 1	63
George Washington Middle School 2	64
T.C. Williams High School	65
Alexandria City Public Schools (Division)	66

# John Adams Elementary School – 29 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2009-2010 Based on Data from spring 2009 testing

#### JOHN ADAMS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL – Met 22 of 29 AYP Benchmarks

	Participation						
		English			Mathematic	nematics	
	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP	Benchmark M 95% AY			
All Students	1	100.00%	Y	8	100.00%	Y	
Black Students	2	100.00%	Y	9	100.00%	Y	
Hispanic Students	3	100.00%	Y	10	100.00%	Y	
White Students	4	100.00%	TS	11	100.00%	TS	
Limited English Proficient Students	5	100.00%	Y	12	100.00%	Y	
Students with Disabilities	6 100.00% TS 13 100.00%				TS		
Disadvantaged Students	7	100.00%	Y	14	100.00%	Y	

	Proficiency										
	English			Mathematic	es						
Benchmark Met 81% AYP			#	Benchmark 79%	Met AYP						
15	80.25%	R10	22	76.29%	3YA						
16	79.77%	N	23	79.54%	Y						
17	75.67%	N	24	64.38%	N						
18	83.33%	TS	25	80.00%	TS						
19	76.00%	N	26	72.27%	N						
20	74.28%	TS	27	48.48%	TS						
21	78.62%	N	28	72.91%	N						

Other academic indicator	29	Attendance	95.81%	Y

Benchmarks: attendance (94%) or science, or writing, or history (70%) for elementary & middle schools; federal graduation indicator (61%) for high schools.

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2009-2010 (Spring 2010 testing) English>81 & Mathematics>79 AYP BENCHMARKS for 2008-2009 (Spring 2009 testing) English=81 & Mathematics=79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2007-2008 (Spring 2008 testing) English=77 & Mathematics=75

### Present AYP Status for John Adams Elementary School: 2009-2010

	School Year	Subject	Status	Status Action Required	
	2009-2010	English: Reading		Not in corrective action	
Ī	2009-2010	Mathematics		Not in corrective action	

# Charles Barrett Elementary School – 29 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2009-2010 Based on Data from spring 2009 testing

#### CHARLES BARRETT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL – Met 29 of 29 AYP Benchmarks

	Participation					
		English			Mathematic	es
						Met AYP
All Students	1	100.00%	Y	8	100.00%	Y
Black Students	2	100.00%	TS	9	100.00%	TS
Hispanic Students	3	100.00%	TS	10	100.00%	TS
White Students	4	100.00%	Y	11	100.00%	Y
Limited English Proficient Students	5	100.00%	TS	12	100.00%	TS
Students with Disabilities	6	100.00%	TS	13	100.00%	TS
Disadvantaged Students	7	100.00%	TS	14	100.00%	TS

	Proficiency										
	English			Mathematic	es						
Benchmark Met 81% AYP			#	Benchmark 79%	Met AYP						
15	83.89%	Y	22	82.20%	Y						
16	63.15%	TS	23	68.42%	TS						
17	64.00%	TS	24	68.00%	TS						
18	95.31%	Y	25	90.62%	Y						
19	65.00%	TS	26	60.00%	TS						
20	50.00%	TS	27	45.45%	TS						
21	61.29%	TS	28	64.51%	TS						

Other academic indicator	29	Attendance	96.63%	Y

Benchmarks: attendance (94%) or science, or writing, or history (70%) for elementary & middle schools; federal graduation indicator (61%) for high schools.

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2009-2010 (Spring 2010 testing) English>81 & Mathematics>79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2008-2009 (Spring 2009 testing) English=81 & Mathematics=79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2007-2008 (Spring 2008 testing) English=77 & Mathematics=75

### **Present AYP Status for Charles Barrett Elementary School: 2009-2010**

	School Year	Subject	Status	Action Required	Title I?
	2009-2010	English: Reading		Not in corrective action	
Ī	2009-2010	Mathematics		Not in corrective action	

# Patrick Henry Elementary School – 29 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2009-2010 Based on Data from spring 2009 testing

### PATRICK HENRY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL – Met 29 of 29 AYP Benchmarks

	<b>Participation</b>					
		English			es	
	# Benchmark Met Benchmark 95% AYP # 95%				Met AYP	
All Students	1	100.00%	Y	8	100.00%	Y
Black Students	2	100.00%	Y	9	100.00%	Y
Hispanic Students	3	100.00%	TS	10	100.00%	TS
White Students	4	100.00%	TS	11	100.00%	TS
Limited English Proficient Students	5	100.00%	TS	12	100.00%	TS
Students with Disabilities	6 100.00% TS 13 100.0		100.00%	TS		
Disadvantaged Students	7	100.00%	Y	14	100.00%	Y

	Proficiency										
	English			Mathematic	es						
Benchmark Met 81% AYP			#	Benchmark 79%	Met AYP						
15	86.45%	Y	22	83.33%	Y						
16	90.09%	Y	23	83.00%	Y						
17	76.92%	TS	24	79.48%	TS						
18	86.95%	TS	25	87.50%	TS						
19	80.48%	TS	26	73.80%	TS						
20	88.23%	TS	27	88.23%	TS						
21	85.71%	Y	28	79.85%	Y						

Other academic indicator	29	Attendance	95.96%	Y
		1 1000110001100	72.7070	_

Benchmarks: attendance (94%) or science, or writing, or history (70%) for elementary & middle schools; federal graduation indicator (61%) for high schools.

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2009-2010 (Spring 2010 testing) English>81 & Mathematics>79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2008-2009 (Spring 2009 testing) English=81 & Mathematics=79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2007-2008 (Spring 2008 testing) English=77 & Mathematics=75

#### Present AYP Status for Patrick Henry Elementary School: 2009-2010

School Year	Subject	Status	Action Required	Title I?
2009-2010	English: Reading	Year 1 Holding	Public School Choice	Yes
2009-2010	Mathematics		Not in corrective action	

### Jefferson-Houston Elementary School – 29 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2009-2010 Based on Data from spring 2009 testing

#### JEFFERSON-HOUSTON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL – Met 23 of 29 AYP Benchmarks

	Participation					
		English			es	
	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP	050/		
All Students	1	100.00%	Y	8	100.00%	Y
Black Students	2	100.00%	Y	9	100.00%	Y
Hispanic Students	3	100.00%	TS	10	100.00%	TS
White Students	4	100.00%	TS	11	100.00%	TS
Limited English Proficient Students	5	100.00%	TS	12	100.00%	TS
Students with Disabilities	6	100.00%	TS	13	100.00%	TS
Disadvantaged Students	7	100.00%	Y	14	100.00%	Y

Proficiency									
	English			Mathematic	es				
#	Benchmark 81%	Met AYP	#	Benchmark 79%					
15	70.23%	N	22	72.61%	N				
16	67.74%	7	23	69.35%	N				
17	70.00%	TS	24	66.66%	TS				
18	100.00%	TS	25	100.00%	TS				
19	66.66%	TS	26	50.00%	TS				
20	75.00%	TS	27	60.00%	TS				
21	66.17%	N	28	67.64%	N				

Other academic indicator	29	Attendance	95.28%	Y

Benchmarks: attendance (94%) or science, or writing, or history (70%) for elementary & middle schools; federal graduation indicator (61%) for high schools.

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2009-2010 (Spring 2010 testing) English>81 & Mathematics>79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2008-2009 (Spring 2009 testing) English=81 & Mathematics=79

 $AYP\ BENCHMARKS\ for\ 2007-2008\ (Spring\ 2008\ testing)\ English=77\ \&\ Mathematics=75$ 

#### Present AYP Status for Jefferson-Houston Elementary School: 2009-2010

School Year	Subject	Status	Action Required	Title I?
2009-2010	English: Reading	Year 4	Plan for Alternative Governance (in addition to Choice, SES and corrective action)	Yes
2009-2010	Mathematics	Year 3	Corrective Action (in addition to Public School Choice and SES)	Yes

### Cora Kelly Magnet Elementary School – 29 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2009-2010 Based on Data from spring 2009 testing

#### CORA KELLY MAGNET ELEMENTARY SCHOOL – Met 21 of 29 AYP Benchmarks

	Participation					
		English		Mathematics		
	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP	Benchmark Me # 95% AY		
All Students	1	100.00%	Y	8	100.00%	Y
Black Students	2	100.00%	Y	9	100.00%	Y
Hispanic Students	3	100.00%	Y	10	100.00%	Y
White Students	4	100.00%	TS	11	100.00%	TS
Limited English Proficient Students	5	100.00%	Y	12	100.00%	Y
Students with Disabilities	6	100.00%	TS	13	100.00%	TS
Disadvantaged Students	7	100.00%	Y	14	100.00%	Y

Proficiency									
	English			Mathematic	es				
#	Benchmark 81%	Met AYP	700/						
15	80.32%	N	22	79.00%	Y				
16	79.38%	N	23	78.72%	Y				
17	76.19%	N	24	75.00%	N				
18	100.00%	TS	25	100.00%	TS				
19	75.00%	N	26	70.37%	N				
20	44.44%	TS	27	41.17%	TS				
21	78.10%	N	28	77.03%	N				

Other academic indicator	29	Attendance	96.53%	Y

Benchmarks: attendance (94%) or science, or writing, or history (70%) for elementary & middle schools; federal graduation indicator (61%) for high schools.

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2009-2010 (Spring 2010 testing) English>81 & Mathematics>79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2008-2009 (Spring 2009 testing) English=81 & Mathematics=79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2007-2008 (Spring 2008 testing) English=77 & Mathematics=75

### Present AYP Status for Cora Kelly Magnet Elementary School: 2009-2010

School Year	Subject	Status	Action Required	Title I?
2009-2010	English: Reading	Year 2	Public School Choice and Supplemental Education Services	Yes
2009-2010	Mathematics		Not in corrective action	

# Lyles-Crouch Elementary School – 29 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2009-2010 Based on Data from spring 2009 testing

### LYLES-CROUCH ELEMENTARY SCHOOL – Met 29 of 29 AYP Benchmarks

	Participation					
		English			es	
	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP	Benchmark Mo		
All Students	1	100.00%	Y	8	100.00%	Y
Black Students	2	100.00%	Y	9	100.00%	Y
Hispanic Students	3	100.00%	TS	10	100.00%	TS
White Students	4	100.00%	Y	11	100.00%	Y
Limited English Proficient Students	5	100.00%	TS	12	100.00%	TS
Students with Disabilities	6	100.00%	TS	13	100.00%	TS
Disadvantaged Students	7	100.00%	TS	14	100.00%	TS

Proficiency									
	English			Mathematic	es				
#	Benchmark 81%	Met AYP	#	Met AYP					
15	94.77%	Y	22	93.37%	Y				
16	85.45%	Y	23	81.13%	Y				
17	100.00%	TS	24	100.00%	TS				
18	100.00%	Y	25	100.00%	Y				
19	100.00%	TS	26	75.00%	TS				
20	89.47%	TS	27	84.21%	TS				
21	90.00%	TS	28	78.94%	TS				

Other academic indicator	29	Attendance	96.26%	Y

Benchmarks: attendance (94%) or science, or writing, or history (70%) for elementary & middle schools; federal graduation indicator (61%) for high schools.

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2009-2010 (Spring 2010 testing) English>81 & Mathematics>79 AYP BENCHMARKS for 2008-2009 (Spring 2009 testing) English=81 & Mathematics=79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2007-2008 (Spring 2008 testing) English=77 & Mathematics=75

### Present AYP Status for Lyles-Crouch Elementary School: 2009-2010

	School Year	Subject	Status	Action Required	Title I?
	2009-2010	English: Reading		Not in corrective action	
Ī	2009-2010	Mathematics		Not in corrective action	

### Douglas MacArthur Elementary School – 29 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2009-2010 Based on Data from spring 2009 testing

#### DOUGLAS MACARTHUR ELEMENTARY SCHOOL – Met 27 of 29 AYP Benchmarks

	Participation					
		English		Mathematics		
	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP
All Students	1	100.00%	Y	8	100.00%	Y
Black Students	2	100.00%	Y	9	100.00%	Y
Hispanic Students	3	100.00%	TS	10	100.00%	TS
White Students	4	100.00%	Y	11	100.00%	Y
Limited English Proficient Students	5	100.00%	TS	12	100.00%	TS
Students with Disabilities	6	100.00%	TS	13	100.00%	TS
Disadvantaged Students	7	100.00%	Y	14	100.00%	Y

Proficiency								
	English			Mathematic	cs			
#	Benchmark 81%	Met AYP	#	Met AYP				
15	92.88%	Y	22	88.14%	Y			
16	83.58%	Y	23	75.75%	N			
17	87.09%	TS	24	77.41%	TS			
18	98.48%	Y	25	96.24%	Y			
19	85.18%	TS	26	71.42%	TS			
20	78.57%	TS	27	73.80%	TS			
21	83.52%	Y	28	73.80%	N			

Other academic indicator	29	Attendance	96.29%	Y
			/ O.=/ / O	_

Benchmarks: attendance (94%) or science, or writing, or history (70%) for elementary & middle schools; federal graduation indicator (61%) for high schools.

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2009-2010 (Spring 2010 testing) English>81 & Mathematics>79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2008-2009 (Spring 2009 testing) English=81 & Mathematics=79 AYP BENCHMARKS for 2007-2008 (Spring 2008 testing) English=77 & Mathematics=75

Present AYP Status for Douglas MacArthur Elementary School: 2009-2010

School Year	Subject	Status	Action Required	Title I?
2009-2010	English: Reading		Not in corrective action	
2009-2010	Mathematics		Not in corrective action	

# George Mason Elementary School – 29 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2009-2010 Based on Data from spring 2009 testing

#### GEORGE MASON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL – Met 29 of 29 AYP Benchmarks

	Participation					
		English		Mathematics		
	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP
All Students	1	100.00%	Y	8	100.00%	Y
Black Students	2	100.00%	TS	9	100.00%	TS
Hispanic Students	3	100.00%	TS	10	100.00%	TS
White Students	4	100.00%	Y	11	100.00%	Y
Limited English Proficient Students	5	100.00%	TS	12	100.00%	TS
Students with Disabilities	6	100.00%	TS	13	100.00%	TS
Disadvantaged Students	7	100.00%	TS	14	100.00%	TS

Proficiency								
	English		Mathematics					
#	Benchmark 81%	Met AYP	#	Met AYP				
15	95.29%	Y	22	94.11%	Y			
16	92.30%	TS	23	84.61%	TS			
17	82.85%	TS	24	80.00%	TS			
18	100.00%	Y	25	100.00%	Y			
19	79.31%	TS	26	75.86%	TS			
20	75.86%	TS	27	75.86%	TS			
21	82.92%	TS	28	80.48%	TS			

Other academic indicator	29	Attendance	95.88%	Y

Benchmarks: attendance (94%) or science, or writing, or history (70%) for elementary & middle schools; federal graduation indicator (61%) for high schools.

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2009-2010 (Spring 2010 testing) English>81 & Mathematics>79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2008-2009 (Spring 2009 testing) English=81 & Mathematics=79 AYP BENCHMARKS for 2007-2008 (Spring 2008 testing) English=77 & Mathematics=75

(1 6 6)

### **Present AYP Status for George Mason Elementary School: 2009-2010**

School Year	Subject	Status	Action Required	Title I?
2009-2010	English: Reading		Not in corrective action	
2009-2010	Mathematics		Not in corrective action	

# Maury Elementary School – 29 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2009-2010 Based on Data from spring 2009 testing

### MAURY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - Met 29 of 29 AYP Benchmarks

	Participation					
		English			es	
	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP
All Students	1	100.00%	Y	8	100.00%	Y
Black Students	2	100.00%	TS	9	100.00%	TS
Hispanic Students	3	100.00%	TS	10	100.00%	TS
White Students	4	100.00%	TS	11	100.00%	TS
Limited English Proficient Students	5	100.00%	TS	12	100.00%	TS
Students with Disabilities	6	100.00%	TS	13	100.00%	TS
Disadvantaged Students	7	100.00%	TS	14	100.00%	TS

Proficiency								
	English			Mathematic	es			
#	Benchmark 81%	Met AYP	700/					
15	92.47%	Y	22	88.17%	Y			
16	84.44%	TS	23	77.77%	TS			
17	100.00%	TS	24	87.50%	TS			
18	100.00%	TS	25	100.00%	TS			
19	100.00%	TS	26	83.33%	TS			
20	83.33%	TS	27	55.55%	TS			
21	85.41%	TS	28	77.08%	TS			

Other academic indicator 29 Attendance 95.90%	Other academic indicator
---	--------------------------

Benchmarks: attendance (94%) or science, or writing, or history (70%) for elementary & middle schools; federal graduation indicator (61%) for high schools.

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2009-2010 (Spring 2010 testing) English>81 & Mathematics>79 AYP BENCHMARKS for 2008-2009 (Spring 2009 testing) English=81 & Mathematics=79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2007-2008 (Spring 2008 testing) English=77 & Mathematics=75

### Present AYP Status for Maury Elementary School: 2009-2010

School Year	Subject	Status	Action Required	Title I?
2009-2010	English: Reading		Not in corrective action	
2009-2010	Mathematics		Not in corrective action	

### Mount Vernon Elementary School – 29 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2009-2010 Based on Data from spring 2009 testing

### **MOUNT VERNON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL – Met 25 of 29 AYP** Benchmarks

	Participation					
		English			es	
	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP
All Students	1	100.00%	Y	8	100.00%	Y
Black Students	2	100.00%	TS	9	100.00%	TS
Hispanic Students	3	100.00%	Y	10	100.00%	Y
White Students	4	100.00%	TS	11	100.00%	Y
Limited English Proficient Students	5	100.00%	Y	12	100.00%	Y
Students with Disabilities	6	100.00%	TS	13	100.00%	TS
Disadvantaged Students	7	100.00%	Y	14	100.00%	Y

	Proficiency									
	English			Mathematic	es					
#	Benchmark 81%	Met AYP	# Benchmark Mo							
15	84.37%	Y	22	73.42%	N					
16	87.80%	TS	23	73.17%	TS					
17	77.41%	R10	24	63.11%	N					
18	100%	TS	25	97.95%	TS					
19	75.23%	R10	26	60.19%	N					
20	64.28%	TS	27	61.90%	TS					
21	77.77%	R10	28	66.19%	N					

- 1					
	Other academic indicator	29	Attendance	96.13%	Y

Benchmarks: attendance (94%) or science, or writing, or history (70%) for elementary & middle schools; federal graduation indicator (61%) for high schools.

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2009-2010 (Spring 2010 testing) English>81 & Mathematics>79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2008-2009 (Spring 2009 testing) English=81 & Mathematics=79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2007-2008 (Spring 2008 testing) English=77 & Mathematics=75

#### Present AYP Status for Mount Vernon Elementary School: 2009-2010

School Year	Subject	Status	Action Required	Title I?
2009-2010	English: Reading	Year 1 Holding	<b>Public School Choice</b>	Yes
2009-2010	Mathematics	Year 1	<b>Public School Choice</b>	Yes

### James Polk Elementary School – 29 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2009-2010 Based on Data from spring 2009 testing

#### JAMES POLK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL – Met 29 of 29 AYP Benchmarks

	Participation					
		English			es	
	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP
All Students	1	99.54%	Y	8	100.00%	Y
Black Students	2	100.00%	Y	9	100.00%	Y
Hispanic Students	3	98.11%	Y	10	100.00%	Y
White Students	4	100.00%	TS	11	100.00%	TS
Limited English Proficient Students	5	98.50%	Y	12	100.00%	Y
Students with Disabilities	6	100.00%	TS	13	100.00%	TS
Disadvantaged Students	7	99.14%	Y	14	100.00%	Y

	Proficiency								
	English			Mathematic	es				
#	Benchmark 81%	Met AYP	# Benchmark Me AY						
15	89.25%	Y	22	91.07%	Y				
16	82.50%	Y	23	86.07%	Y				
17	90.00%	Y	24	92.00%	Y				
18	93.18%	TS	25	90.90%	TS				
19	89.23%	Y	26	96.92%	Y				
20	58.33%	TS	27	62.50%	TS				
21	85.84%	Y	28	88.49%	Y				

Other academic indicator	29	Attendance	96.43%	Y
			20.1070	_

Benchmarks: attendance (94%) or science, or writing, or history (70%) for elementary & middle schools; federal graduation indicator (61%) for high schools.

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2009-2010 (Spring 2010 testing) English>81 & Mathematics>79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2008-2009 (Spring 2009 testing) English=81 & Mathematics=79 AYP BENCHMARKS for 2007-2008 (Spring 2008 testing) English=77 & Mathematics=75

#### Present AYP Status for James Polk Elementary School: 2009-2010

School Year	Subject	Status	Action Required	Title I?
2009-2010	English: Reading		Not in corrective action	
2009-2010	Mathematics		Not in corrective action	

# William Ramsay Elementary School – 29 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2009-2010 Based on Data from spring 2009 testing

### WILLIAM RAMSAY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL – Met 25 of 29 AYP Benchmarks

	Participation					
		English			es	
	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP
All Students	1	100.00%	Y	8	100.00%	Y
Black Students	2	100.00%	Y	9	100.00%	Y
Hispanic Students	3	100.00%	Y	10	100.00%	Y
White Students	4	100.00%	TS	11	100.00%	TS
Limited English Proficient Students	5	100.00%	Y	12	100.00%	Y
Students with Disabilities	6	100.00%	TS	13	100.00%	TS
Disadvantaged Students	7	100.00%	Y	14	100.00%	Y

	Proficiency									
	English			Mathematic	es					
#	Benchmark 81%	Met AYP	# Benchmark Me							
15	83.02%	Y	22	76.72%	R10					
16	84.34%	Y	23	73.27%	R10					
17	79.09%	N	24	71.68%	N					
18	90.00%	TS	25	100.00%	TS					
19	77.31%	N	26	72.58%	N					
20	78.94%	TS	27	50.00%	TS					
21	80.09%	R10	28	73.65%	R10					

Other academic indicator 29 Attendance 96.36%	Other academic indicator	29	Attendance	96.36%	Y
---	--------------------------	----	------------	--------	---

Benchmarks: attendance (94%) or science, or writing, or history (70%) for elementary & middle schools; federal graduation indicator (61%) for high schools.

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2009-2010 (Spring 2010 testing) English>81 & Mathematics>79 AYP BENCHMARKS for 2008-2009 (Spring 2009 testing) English=81 & Mathematics=79 AYP BENCHMARKS for 2007-2008 (Spring 2008 testing) English=77 & Mathematics=75

#### Present AYP Status for William Ramsay Elementary School: 2009-2010

School Year	Subject	Status	Action Required	Title I?
2009-2010	English: Reading	Year 1	<b>Public School Choice</b>	Yes
2009-2010	Mathematics	Year 1	<b>Public School Choice</b>	Yes

# Samuel W. Tucker Elementary School – 29 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2009-2010 Based on Data from spring 2009 testing

#### SAMUEL W. TUCKER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL – Met 29 of 29 AYP Benchmarks

	Participation					
		English		Mathematic		es
	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP
All Students	1	100.00%	Y	8	100.00%	Y
Black Students	2	100.00%	Y	9	100.00%	Y
Hispanic Students	3	100.00%	Y	10	100.00%	Y
White Students	4	100.00%	TS	11	100.00%	TS
Limited English Proficient Students	5	100.00%	Y	12	100.00%	Y
Students with Disabilities	6	100.00%	TS	13	100.00%	TS
Disadvantaged Students	7	100.00%	Y	14	100.00%	Y

	Proficiency								
	English			Mathematic	es				
#	Benchmark 81%	Met AYP	# Benchmark Mo						
15	88.23%	Y	22	86.31%	Y				
16	88.13%	Y	23	86.77%	Y				
17	91.07%	Y	24	85.00%	Y				
18	92.68%	TS	25	90.24%	TS				
19	83.72%	Y	26	88.04%	Y				
20	72.22%	TS	27	69.44%	TS				
21	81.69%	Y	28	80.40%	Y				

Other academic indicator	29	Attendance	96.36%	Y

Benchmarks: attendance (94%) or science, or writing, or history (70%) for elementary & middle schools; federal graduation indicator (61%) for high schools.

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2009-2010 (Spring 2010 testing) English>81 & Mathematics>79 AYP BENCHMARKS for 2008-2009 (Spring 2009 testing) English=81 & Mathematics=79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2007-2008 (Spring 2008 testing) English=77 & Mathematics=75

### Present AYP Status for Samuel W. Tucker Elementary School: 2009-2010

School Year	Subject	Status	Action Required	Title I?
2009-2010	English: Reading		Not in corrective action	
2009-2010	Mathematics		Not in corrective action	

### Francis C Hammond Middle School 1 – 29 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2009-2010 Based on Data from spring 2009 testing

#### FRANCIS C HAMMOND MIDDLE SCHOOL 1 – Met 29 of 29 AYP Benchmarks

	Participation					
		English		Mathematics		es
	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP
All Students	1	100.00%	Y	8	100.00%	Y
Black Students	2	100.00%	Y	9	100.00%	Y
Hispanic Students	3	100.00%	Y	10	100.00%	Y
White Students	4	100.00%	Y	11	100.00%	Y
Limited English Proficient Students	5	100.00%	Y	12	100.00%	Y
Students with Disabilities	6	100.00%	Y	13	100.00%	Y
Disadvantaged Students	7	100.00%	Y	14	100.00%	Y

	Proficiency								
	English			Mathematic	es				
#	Benchmark 81%	Met AYP	# Benchmark M AY						
15	85.94%	Y	22	73.26%	R10				
16	83.53%	Y	23	69.40%	R10				
17	86.27%	Y	24	70.56%	R10				
18	90.72%	Y	25	87.41%	Y				
19	74.43%	R10	26	56.36%	R10				
20	74.07%	PP	27	69.18%	PP				
21	82.34%	Y	28	68.40%	R10				

Other academic indicator	29	Attendance	96.05%	Y
			, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	_

Benchmarks: attendance (94%) or science, or writing, or history (70%) for elementary & middle schools; federal graduation indicator (61%) for high schools.

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2009-2010 (Spring 2010 testing) English>81 & Mathematics>79 AYP BENCHMARKS for 2008-2009 (Spring 2009 testing) English=81 & Mathematics=79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2007-2008 (Spring 2008 testing) English=77 & Mathematics=75

#### Present AYP Status for Francis C Hammond Middle School 1: 2009-2010

School Year	Subject	Status	Action Required	Title I?
2009-2010	English: Reading	Year 1	<b>Public School Choice</b>	Yes
2009-2010	Mathematics	Year 1	Public School Choice	Yes

### Francis C Hammond Middle School 2 – 29 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2009-2010 Based on Data from spring 2009 testing

#### FRANCIS C HAMMOND MIDDLE SCHOOL 2 – Met 29 of 29 AYP Benchmarks

	Participation					
		English		Mathematics		es
	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP
All Students	1	100.00%	Y	8	100.00%	Y
Black Students	2	100.00%	Y	9	100.00%	Y
Hispanic Students	3	100.00%	Y	10	100.00%	Y
White Students	4	100.00%	Y	11	100.00%	Y
Limited English Proficient Students	5	100.00%	Y	12	100.00%	Y
Students with Disabilities	6	100.00%	Y	13	100.00%	Y
Disadvantaged Students	7	100.00%	Y	14	100.00%	Y

	Proficiency								
	English		Mathematics						
#	Benchmark 81%	Met AYP	# Benchmark No. A						
15	85.94%	Y	22	73.26%	R10				
16	83.53%	Y	23	69.40%	R10				
17	86.27%	Y	24	70.56%	R10				
18	90.72%	Y	25	87.41%	Y				
19	74.43%	R10	26	56.36%	R10				
20	74.07%	PP	27	69.18%	PP				
21	82.34%	Y	28	68.40%	R10				

Other academic indicator	29	Attendance	96.05%	Y
			, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	_

Benchmarks: attendance (94%) or science, or writing, or history (70%) for elementary & middle schools; federal graduation indicator (61%) for high schools.

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2009-2010 (Spring 2010 testing) English>81 & Mathematics>79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2008-2009 (Spring 2009 testing) English=81 & Mathematics=79

 $AYP\ BENCHMARKS\ for\ 2007-2008\ (Spring\ 2008\ testing)\ English=77\ \&\ Mathematics=75$ 

#### Present AYP Status for Francis C Hammond Middle School 2: 2009-2010

School Year	Subject	Status	Action Required	Title I?
2009-2010	English: Reading	Year 1	<b>Public School Choice</b>	Yes
2009-2010	Mathematics	Year 1	Public School Choice	Yes

## Francis C Hammond Middle School 3 – 29 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2009-2010 Based on Data from spring 2009 testing

#### FRANCIS C HAMMOND MIDDLE SCHOOL 3 – Met 29 of 29 AYP Benchmarks

	Participation					
		English		Mathematics		
	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP
All Students	1	100.00%	Y	8	100.00%	Y
Black Students	2	100.00%	Y	9	100.00%	Y
Hispanic Students	3	100.00%	Y	10	100.00%	Y
White Students	4	100.00%	Y	11	100.00%	Y
Limited English Proficient Students	5	100.00%	Y	12	100.00%	Y
Students with Disabilities	6	100.00%	Y	13	100.00%	Y
Disadvantaged Students	7	100.00%	Y	14	100.00%	Y

	Proficiency						
	English		Mathematics				
#	Benchmark 81%	Met AYP	# Benchmark M 79% A				
15	85.94%	Y	22	73.26%	R10		
16	83.53%	Y	23	69.40%	R10		
17	86.27%	Y	24	70.56%	R10		
18	90.72%	Y	25	87.41%	Y		
19	74.43%	R10	26	56.36%	R10		
20	74.07%	PP	27	69.18%	PP		
21	82.34%	Y	28	68.40%	R10		

Other academic indicator 29 Attendance 96.05%	Other academic indicator
---	--------------------------

Benchmarks: attendance (94%) or science, or writing, or history (70%) for elementary & middle schools; federal graduation indicator (61%) for high schools.

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2009-2010 (Spring 2010 testing) English>81 & Mathematics>79 AYP BENCHMARKS for 2008-2009 (Spring 2009 testing) English=81 & Mathematics=79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2007-2008 (Spring 2008 testing) English=77 & Mathematics=75

#### Present AYP Status for Francis C Hammond Middle School 3: 2009-2010

School Year	Subject	Status	Action Required	Title I?
2009-2010	English: Reading	Year 1	<b>Public School Choice</b>	Yes
2009-2010	Mathematics	Year 1	<b>Public School Choice</b>	Yes

### George Washington Middle School 1– 29 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2009-2010 Based on Data from spring 2009 testing

### GEORGE WASHINGTON MIDDLE SCHOOL 1 – Met 29 of 29 AYP Benchmarks

	Participation					
		English		Mathematics		
	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP
All Students	1	100.00%	Y	8	100.00%	Y
Black Students	2	100.00%	Y	9	100.00%	Y
Hispanic Students	3	100.00%	Y	10	100.00%	Y
White Students	4	100.00%	Y	11	100.00%	Y
Limited English Proficient Students	5	100.00%	Y	12	100.00%	Y
Students with Disabilities	6	100.00%	Y	13	100.00%	Y
Disadvantaged Students	7	100.00%	Y	14	100.00%	Y

	Proficiency						
	English			Mathematic	cs		
#	Benchmark 81%	Met AYP	#	Met AYP			
15	84.26%	Y	22	67.97%	R10		
16	76.89%	R10	23	54.71%	R10		
17	77.47%	R10	24	54.36%	R10		
18	98.23%	Y	25	93.63%	Y		
19	69.69%	R10	26	42.53%	R10		
20	78.34%	PP	27	63.46%	PP		
21	77.25%	R10	28	52.04%	R10		

Other academic indicator	29	Attendance	94.09%	Y
				_

Benchmarks: attendance (94%) or science, or writing, or history (70%) for elementary & middle schools; federal graduation indicator (61%) for high schools.

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2009-2010 (Spring 2010 testing) English>81 & Mathematics>79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2008-2009 (Spring 2009 testing) English=81 & Mathematics=79 AYP BENCHMARKS for 2007-2008 (Spring 2008 testing) English=77 & Mathematics=75

#### Present AYP Status for George Washington Middle School 1: 2009-2010

School Year	Subject	Status	Action Required	Title I?
2009-2010	English: Reading	Year 1	<b>Public School Choice</b>	Yes
2009-2010	Mathematics	Year 1	<b>Public School Choice</b>	Yes

### George Washington Middle School 2 – 29 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2009-2010 Based on Data from spring 2009 testing

### GEORGE WASHINGTON MIDDLE SCHOOL 2 – Met 29 of 29 AYP Benchmarks

	Participation					
		English		Mathematics		
	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP
All Students	1	100.00%	Y	8	100.00%	Y
Black Students	2	100.00%	Y	9	100.00%	Y
Hispanic Students	3	100.00%	Y	10	100.00%	Y
White Students	4	100.00%	Y	11	100.00%	Y
Limited English Proficient Students	5	100.00%	Y	12	100.00%	Y
Students with Disabilities	6	100.00%	Y	13	100.00%	Y
Disadvantaged Students	7	100.00%	Y	14	100.00%	Y

	Proficiency							
	English		Mathematics					
#	Benchmark 81%	Met AYP	# Benchmark M 79% AY					
15	84.26%	Y	22	67.97%	R10			
16	76.89%	R10	23	54.71%	R10			
17	77.47%	R10	24	54.36%	R10			
18	98.23%	Y	25	93.63%	Y			
19	69.69%	R10	26	42.53%	R10			
20	78.34%	PP	27	63.46%	PP			
21	77.25%	R10	28	52.04%	R10			

Other academic indicator 2	29	Attendance	94.09%	Y
----------------------------	----	------------	--------	---

Benchmarks: attendance (94%) or science, or writing, or history (70%) for elementary & middle schools; federal graduation indicator (61%) for high schools.

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2009-2010 (Spring 2010 testing) English>81 & Mathematics>79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2008-2009 (Spring 2009 testing) English=81 & Mathematics=79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2007-2008 (Spring 2008 testing) English=77 & Mathematics=75

#### Present AYP Status for George Washington Middle School 2: 2009-2010

School Year	Subject	Status	Action Required	Title I?
2009-2010	English: Reading	Year 1	<b>Public School Choice</b>	Yes
2009-2010	Mathematics	Year 1	Public School Choice	Yes

# T.C. Williams High School – 29 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2009-2010 Based on Data from spring 2009 testing

### T.C. WILLIAM HIGH SCHOOL – Met 22 of 29 AYP Benchmarks

	Participation					
	English Mathematic			es		
	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP	# Benchmark M 95% A		
All Students	1	97.41%	Y	8	96.51%	Y
Black Students	2	97.96%	Y	9	96.22%	Y
Hispanic Students	3	96.29%	Y	10	95.33%	Y
White Students	4	98.15%	Y	11	96.99%	Y
Limited English Proficient Students	5	99.16%	Y	12	96.22%	Y
Students with Disabilities	6	95.65%	Y	13	93.80%	3YA
Disadvantaged Students	7	96.75%	Y	14	96.36%	Y

Proficiency						
	English Mathematics					
#	Benchmark 81%	Met AYP	700/			
15	84.42%	Y	22	76.65%	7	
16	78.80%	N	23	70.86%	N	
17	82.41%	Y	24	71.25%	N	
18	95.00%	Y	25	93.24%	Y	
19	84.87%	Y	26	74.85%	N	
20	54.54%	R10	27	50.22%	N	
21	81.08%	Y	28	70.13%	N	

Other academic indicator	29	Graduation	68.40%	Y
--------------------------	----	------------	--------	---

Benchmarks: attendance (94%) or science, or writing, or history (70%) for elementary & middle schools; federal graduation indicator (61%) for high schools.

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2009-2010 (Spring 2010 testing) English>81 & Mathematics>79 AYP BENCHMARKS for 2008-2009 (Spring 2009 testing) English=81 & Mathematics=79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2007-2008 (Spring 2008 testing) English=77 & Mathematics=75

### Present AYP Status for T.C. Williams High School: 2009-2010

School Year	Subject	Status	Action Required	Title I?
2009-2010	English: Reading	Year 6	<b>Additional Corrective Actions</b>	No
2009-2010	Mathematics	Year 6	<b>Additional Corrective Actions</b>	No

## Alexandria City Public Schools (Division) – 30 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Benchmarks for 2009-2010 Based on Data from spring 2009 testing

#### ALEXANDRIA CITY PUBLIC SCHOOLS (DIVISION) – Met 26 of 30 AYP Benchmarks

	Participation					
	English Mathemati			Mathematic	es	
	#	Benchmark 95%	Met AYP	# Benchmark M 95% A		
All Students	1	99.56%	Y	8	98.94%	Y
Black Students	2	99.55%	Y	9	98.75%	Y
Hispanic Students	3	99.44%	Y	10	98.62%	Y
White Students	4	99.76%	Y	11	99.20%	Y
Limited English Proficient Students	5	99.75%	Y	12	99.08%	Y
Students with Disabilities	6	99.10%	Y	13	98.31%	Y
Disadvantaged Students	7	99.50%	Y	14	98.89%	Y

	Proficiency						
	English		Mathematics				
#	Benchmark 81%	Met AYP	Benchmark Mo				
15	84.59%	Y	22	75.97%	N		
16	79.86%	R10	23	69.37%	R10		
17	80.68%	Y	24	68.76%	N		
18	95.37%	Y	25	92.72%	Y		
19	77.10%	R10	26	68.02%	N		
20	67.23%	PP	27	57.53%	R10		
21	79.10%	R10	28	68.10%	N		

Other academic indicator	29	Graduation	68.40%	Y
Other academic indicator	30	Attendance	94.83%	Y

Benchmarks: attendance (94%) or science, or writing, or history (70%) for elementary & middle schools; federal graduation indicator (61%) for high schools.

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2009-2010 (Spring 2010 testing) English>81 & Mathematics>79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2008-2009 (Spring 2009 testing) English=81 & Mathematics=79

AYP BENCHMARKS for 2007-2008 (Spring 2008 testing) English=77 & Mathematics=75

#### Present AYP Status for Alexandria City Public Schools (Division): 2009-2010

School Year	Subject	Status	Action Required	Title I?
2009-2010	English: Reading		Not in corrective action	
2009-2010	Mathematics		Not in corrective action	