

**Albuquerque Bilingual Academy**  
**ABA GC Meeting Minutes for Virtual Meeting**  
**Date: January 25, 2021**

<b>Board Members Present</b>	Brenda Baca, Melissa Trujeque, Julian Munoz	
<b>Absent</b>	Doris Cole, Jose Garcia	
<b>Others in Attendance</b>	Chris Jones, Kyle Hunt, Danielle Miranda, Priyam Banerjee, Missy Brown	
<b>QUORUM</b>	<u> X </u> YES <u> </u> NO	
<b>Meeting called to order</b>	Brenda Baca called the meeting to order at <u>5:34 pm</u> & Roll Call by Brenda Baca	
<b>Item</b>	<b>Discussion</b>	<b>Action/Recommendation</b>
<b>Approval of the Agenda</b>	01/25/2021	Brenda Baca called for a motion to approve the agenda. Julian Munoz motioned. Melissa Trujeque seconded. -Brenda Baca called for a vote. GC approved unanimously by a vote of 3-0; 0 opposed; 0 abstained
<b>Approval of Minutes</b>	12/31/2020	Brenda Baca called for a motion to approve the 12/31 minutes. Julian Munoz motioned. Melissa Trujeque seconded. -Brenda Baca called for a vote. GC approved unanimously by a vote of 3-0; 0 opposed; 0 abstained
<b>Consent Agenda</b>		
<b>Approval of BARs</b>	<p><u>Hunt:</u>            BARs for consideration:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #0009-IB</li> <li>• #0022-I</li> <li>• #0023-I</li> <li>• #0024-I</li> <li>• #0025-IB</li> </ul> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Justification: \$286,773- To create budget based on FY21 Award Letter. This BAR is for our Lease Assistance Application. I actually thought we discussed this BAR a couple of months ago, but I think there might have been a mix up with our minutes or our agenda and it was left off of what was actually published. So, we do need to go ahead and re-vote on this so we can submit to PED for approval.</li> <li>2. Justification: \$11,649- To budget revenue from rent received through fiscal year.</li> <li>3. Justification: \$13,809- To increase budget to match refunds from prior years and revenue from stale dated checks.</li> <li>4. Justification: \$12,906- To increase operational budget to match e-rate funds received.</li> <li>5. Justification: \$891- To create budget to match FY21 award based on memo and table.</li> </ol> <p><u>Munoz:</u> Kyle, this is Julian, I have a quick question: for the first part you said well can you go over it a little more? Is that one that you said we didn't have in the meeting minutes or that we have approved or what's going on with that one?</p> <p><u>Hunt:</u> Yeah, so I thought we had discussed this one. I'm pretty sure but I think it was one of those ones where I submitted it right when Chris was publishing the agenda and I think one of the older versions got moved to the website. So, it wasn't reflected in the minutes that it was approved and so I'm just bringing it back to show you the auditors, PED, everyone that we did take a formal vote on it and that it is reflected in the minutes.</p> <p><u>Munoz:</u> Yeah, this is one that looks familiar because it is \$286,000.</p> <p><u>Hunt:</u> And we normally get this one in October-November and I thought that is when we discussed it but then as I was going through and submitting other BARs, I noticed that that wasn't reflected so unfortunately, we need to re-vote on it.</p>	Brenda Baca called for a motion to approve BARs 0009-IB, 0022-I, 0023-I, 0024-I, and 0025-IB. Julian Munoz motioned. Melissa Trujeque seconded. -Brenda Baca called for a vote. GC approved unanimously by a vote of 3-0; 0 opposed; 0 abstained
<b>Special Issues (Discussion/Action)</b>		
<b>Budget Report Updates</b>	<p><u>Hunt:</u> These are our financial reports covering the school til December 31<sup>st</sup>, 2020. These are all the revenue items that we've received up until this date. So, as you can see, we do have our rentals and our prior year refunds, and our e-rate. I do not have budget for this, but we are correcting it with those BARs, so those should be adjusted. We are waiting for a few more contributions it is a very small amount, we don't want to do that BAR until we actually receive a few more. Going through, we do actually have some action on our Title I, our Pre-K, and a few of our other RFRs; they were just received in January and so that's why they're not showing but in February you will all actually see revenue for those RFRs. And we did receive two of our bigger distributions for HB-33 and SB-9 as this is the time of year everyone is paying their property taxes from their escrow accounts. Going down to our Expenditure Report, this is everything that has been expended for the accounts up until this date. Please let me know if there are any questions or concerns. Going through, we have been sticking to most of our budget. We do have a</p>	

few minor big negative line items with some of the changes we've had to make with COVID and other items but overall, we are still very much on track. We do have a negative in Function 2100 that is mainly due to this At-risk Registrar and some of our POs that are open greater than what we initially used. Our diagnostician, we've expanded our services this year, but originally our budget was for \$20,000. This was actually addressed in a BAR that you previously approved but has just not been approved by PED yet, along with the At-risk Registrar. Going through, we do have again a minor negative in Function 2300, but this was addressed with that same BAR that I introduced previously and it's mainly from additions to our legal which we've discussed at prior meetings when we had to open a PO for our copyright issue. Going down, Function 2600 does still show up as a pretty large negative but this actually due to our entire lease being booked into operational because we are waiting on the Lease Assistance Application BAR which you just re-approved and the lease to purchase. At this point, the lease to purchase has been fully approved and we've moved all of these extra encumbrances back to HB-33 and SB-9; so, this negative no longer exists and this entire function is showing much more positive. With those removed, your Operational available will actually be much greater; it's about \$500,000-600,000 right now, if not more. And that is kind of on track with how we were projecting because we went from little carryover from the very prior year FY19. FY20 we actually had a lot of carryover; we went from \$80,000 in carryover to \$400,000 and we're on track with again growing just because we did have so much bank growth from those previous two years. Going through, our Transportation fund is being fully utilized as required. Our food services are still in good shape. Our Title I, we did have some changes with restructuring by mainly software and other items instead operating our after school and summer school program. We will be readjusting some of these but there was an increase that again it's not reflected but this fund will be positive once it is. We have received a lot more computers that we were expecting; we still do have one large purchase still outstanding but once those get in, we've almost expended most of those funds. Medicaid support, we did approve a BAR for recently and so once that's approved by PED, we will actually get budget in there and we will be able to move supplementary expenditures from operational to that fund once we have approval. Going down, as you can see our Capital funds are still great; we still have a ton of lease to purchase budget which I mentioned we are moving a lot of the operational funds into. Going down to our Bank Account Register Report, this is everything that has entered or left your bank account within the month of December, so please stop me if you do have any questions on any of the items. But mainly it is a very normal month; we didn't have too many things majorly outstanding. One big notice that I did say is this HB-33 and SB-9 deposit, usually it's in a few \$1000 ranges but in the November-December or January and the May and June areas that's when the escrow accounts pay the property taxes and that's when we get our largest distributions. We did have positive cash flow with those large distributions of \$506,000 received with only \$405,000 dispersed. For our Bank Reconciliation, we began the month with \$2.6 million and because of the positive cash flow, we ended with \$2.687 million with only \$16,000 outstanding checks. Going down to the outstanding check report, you can see this is all the items that make up the \$16,000. Going down, we do have our Balance Sheet, and this shows you how the \$2.6 million is disbursed. As you can see a huge amount of the cash is in our Operational fund and our Food Service fun. This is simply because, as I said, we have been operating with a lot of growth, so our operational budget has been going through the prior two years. But it does have to cover all these negative funds as we wait for the RFRs to come in. And as we've discussed, we do have almost \$1.7 million in Capital funds waiting for the lease to purchase. Over to the Dashboard, comparing this year's expenditures to prior year, we are a little bit behind in Operational because we weren't operating the K-5 plus program this summer as opposed to last year; that's the main difference. For 2000, I think that's a difference in a lot of the lease expenditures and how they're actually being applied to the Operational fund at this point. For Food Services, the main difference here is ACE had only billed us from one point to this point and then we've issued a bunch of payments earlier in January. Other than that, we do have the other lease expenditures; we didn't have the budget so I couldn't actually budget it or put any expenditures into that fund yet until we have the proper budget authority. And for Capital Expenditures, we are buying a security system at this time and we've had a lot of other items to buy, fixed assets for this year such as the CARES Act and other items like that. Are there any questions or concerns for me over the budget?

Munoz: I have a few questions. The first one, how long is it taking PED now to approve these BARs because I've noticed that there seems to be a growing lag time now?

Hunt: PED's turnaround is about 3-4 weeks still. There was a miscommunication between Chris and I; I had a sequence drop in the number and so when Chris went in to approve BARs, it took him to the most recent set, and it skipped over 3 of the previous BARs that we had done. I didn't notice that until a week later. So, we just resubmitted those and we should have them fully approved before the February meeting.

Munoz: And second question with the capital expenditures, you said that includes the security system I believe and what else did that include in those capital expenditures?

Hunt: I would have to double check what it was. About \$40,000 of it was capital expenditures and then I'm not sure if we had computers also purchased from there or another big item.

	<p><u>Jones:</u> Yeah, we actually made a few pretty sizeable purchases. We refreshed our devices for staff; so, we purchased laptops and we also got some furniture out of that. So yeah, that's a lot going on with capital that year and that's why it looks so high.</p>	
<p><b>Facilities Update</b></p>	<p><u>Jones:</u> Kyle, thank you for the budget report update. I did want to notify you that I sent you over an email, I forwarded it to you, we're going to have another BAR we're going to need to approve because our ancillary costs are sky high this year. So, the board knows, we have a different format of course for learning which is remote learning, you guys are aware of that part, but the part that really doesn't resonate well with everyone is the fact that special education services look different. You have IEPs that'll dictate you know can you provide a service in small groups or does it have to be one-on-one. So ultimately, to make a long story short, we're having to do a lot of things for the convenience of parents which means we're increasing the number of hours for our ancillary staff. Those numbers as far as spending for ancillary could look a little higher this year, but that's the rational and by the next meeting we'll have a BAR for your consideration.</p> <p>Facilities Update- I just wanted to give you a quick update as you're all very aware because we went through the entire process, we did get an approved lease purchase arrangement. And so, the PED did approve that for us; it's been executed of course. And so, we have the ability now to utilize our capital for facility improvements or to even make lease payments if we so chose to go that route. With that in mind, we've gone back to the facilities masterplan as you're all well aware and we're getting some estimates and just working with different folks within CES's bluebook; they've already been vetted and gone through that process and we're just trying to get some information. Most recently I did work with Brycon Construction and they're proposing some figures; there going to create menu for us so we can take a look to see how much things will cost. They've partnered with the Design Group which is same group that assisted us with the facilities masterplan; that was Wendy from the Design Group which is an architectural firm. Brycon is a partner of ours from before; they did build out the structure. We're attempting to try to minimize costs by getting numbers and quotes from folks that already know the facilities. So most recently, I did meet with their team and we are going to have a meeting coming up soon after they've inspected our buildings. And what they're willing to do for us is called a 'Design Build Process'. So, as opposed to getting an architectural firm come out, that'll charge us a couple \$100,000 or more to design something for us, before we know how much money we have to actually spend on improvements, they're going to do it all in tandem. They're going to give us an estimate of what things will cost on the menu and from that pint forward we can work with our facilities committee and our board of course to decide which are those priority items from our facilities masterplan that we want to move forward with. We'll get to see from gymnasium and Pre-K classroom buildout to a sports field to basketball courts to the courtyard landscaping and so forth. So, I just wanted to give you a quick update on that. By the next time we meet, ill have a full update for you. We have a meeting scheduled here in the next week. And those of you that have been active with our facilities committee of course all of you will be invited to that meeting so we can have some discussion. Really that's it with facilities, as far as the buildout is concerned. That's really it and I do stand for questions; I know we have a couple of facilities items.</p> <p><u>Munoz:</u> Mr. Jones I guess for the next meeting we might want to do a closed session to discuss the facilities. That way we can get the priorities you want because I know you've talking about getting yourself a gym and doing some work to get turf because some kids have been hurt.</p> <p><u>Jones:</u> Yeah, and certainly will; I will do that. As soon as we have hard figures in terms of numbers and we're going to vote on how we move forward. We will definitely convene in closed session and hopefully that's in February. Id like to get this done sooner rather than later with the approval of the board of course. I still think it would be really empowering for our kids to come back to some new facilities and some new structures that they can use after COVID and learning remotely it will be very good for them.</p>	
<p><b>Approval of Flooring Purchase and Installation for PE Building</b></p>	<p><u>Jones:</u> I just wanted to give you guys an overview on this one as well. I'm going to share a quote and while I'm sharing let me go ahead and give you some background. First of all, we have a state match; it's some capital funds that we need to expend here in the next what is it Kyle in the next couple of months or is it June 30 that we have?</p> <p><u>Hunt:</u> It is June 30 that we have.</p> <p><u>Jones:</u> Ok, so we have some money that we need to spend, and it is a state match. So, we have roughly \$10,000 in state match funds and let me pull this up in the packet. What we though t about doing very quickly because we already sought out pricing and estimates. We would like to get some flooring installed in the current gymnasium space. Of course, as a charter school we've been as resourceful as we could, and we actually went ahead and repurposed one of our empty shells. It is very slippery concrete, but our kids use it for PE. We had some lights that were added for security purposes. Not only that but as an overflow for student's afterschool if they need to be picked up. So that building is in use and it's a nice building but of course it needs a lot of improvements. Next steps for that particular building which will be separate from the elementary gymnasium that we construct. It will be more dedicated to our secondary students so we can continue to try to distance our secondary students who are a little more advanced from our elementary students who probably shouldn't have that influence all the time unless it's in reading buddy fashion. With that in mind, we have that space. We do receive lease assistance, it is apart of our award, we get square footage for that building because the PSFA did come in during their</p>	<p>Brenda Baca called for a motion to approve the Flooring Purchase and Installation for PE Building. Julian Munoz motioned. Melissa Trujeque seconded. -Brenda Baca called for a vote. GC approved unanimously by a vote of 3-0; 0 opposed; 0 abstained</p>

	<p>assessment and they did note that the building is actually in use; it is stiped for basketball and we have our equipment in there and we have lighting. So, that is one plus. On that note guys, we have a flooring contract we're going to make and hopefully you'll consider for your approval. But we would like to get some real flooring installed and it's going to be gymnasium flooring. It is going to come with a basketball and volleyball kit and as you can see it comes with some concrete floor preparation as well. Here is the flooring estimate and again this is a local vendor, and they are through CES and we definitely always go through the bluebook first. Armstrong standard flooring, this is more of the traditional vinyl flooring that you see in a lot of gymnasiums especially elementary; they have a rubber flooring option that's going to be about 3x the price and of course you can go with hardwood which is about 5x the price but that is more for a competitive basketball structure which we don't have. This comes with a basketball and volleyball kit as far as striping the floors, so our two teams can use the floors successfully. It also comes with floor preparation and they will do the installation; this is for supplies, materials, and installation for a grand total of \$17,045.19. We have a meeting on-site tomorrow, so he's going to do the final inspection, but this is the estimate that has been provided. He did indicate that there shouldn't be any changes and so what I'm doing is coming to you guys today to ask that we utilize our state match which is again roughly \$10,000 to go ahead and get some flooring installed for our students. As soon as our basketball players come back, hopefully the governor allows our kids to play sports, we are very hopeful that we will have some season left this school year. So, it would be nice for our basketball and volleyball team whether or not they have a short season to have a good floor to play as well as our PE students. That's it and that's the estimate; I ask for your consideration for approval at this time.</p>	
<p><b>Approval of Securing Commercial Realtor for Sale of Central Campus</b></p>	<p><u>Jones:</u> Ok, and this is more of an update. I think what I would like to do is have formal approval occur in a closed session next meeting. But for now, I wanted to re-plant the bug about our need to sell or lease our central facility. We know that we have Pre-K there but again with the lease purchase arrangement and the prospect of a buildout for our Pre-K classrooms, it would be a good idea for us to go ahead and sell our central facility to make the improvements to our larger campus which is our main campus. We know that we have a lot of improvements that need to be made; we're very grateful to have such a nice campus off of Unser. But at the same time, we have everything from roof repairs to expansion in terms of how many kids fit in a classroom and also bringing in Pre-K to consolidate and be in one site for our families. So, we have a lot to do. What I want you guys to do is please from this meeting to the next meeting, begin thinking of your own idea of how to move forward with the sale of that facility. With that in mind, we're going to need to go ahead and sign a contract with a commercial realtor. I would like to have a few come in and make their presentations similar to what we had before. I think the last time that we had this discussion seriously was in a closed session with our facilities committee which went on to our actual board meeting is a time before we actually had a clear path toward a lease purchase agreement, and we were still trying to figure out both buildings. We're very fortunate to have gotten into the lease purchase and so now it's about time we circle back and have that discussion. So, if you'll just consider what you would prefer to do in terms of sale or lease and again how you would envision us moving forward with the help of a commercial realtor or someone else. Of course, we're going to be open to getting individuals in to make presentations; we've had a lot of people come in before including even Connie Dove. I would like to work with someone, and I just wanted to get that back onto the agenda and hopefully next time we can convene in closed session.</p> <p><u>Munoz:</u> So, are we reapproving this because I remember we gave you approval to do this, right?</p> <p><u>Jones:</u> I don't think it requires an approval, that was my poor language that was included in the agenda. We've already given prior approval. I just wanted everyone to start talking and thinking about it again because it was easy for us to kind of move that to the back burner. Because we were so far away; we hadn't even secured our current facility. So, it isn't an approval, it is more of an update and that's my apology. Alright that's it, any questions about that?</p> <p><u>Baca:</u> Yes, Mr. Jones I have a question for you. On that right there are we going to have to go back and do what like Natalia and all them had told us previously about the building, that we have to secure it with the PED, the state first, and then we can go into the next option if nobody else wants to rent it our or lease it out?</p> <p><u>Jones:</u> Yeah, well regardless we'll have to go through the state board of finance. Patty Matthews and I have been in contact with the PSFA as well as the state board of finance. They have to give the ultimate approval. But most importantly, we're actually going to have to spend money upfront in order to get a full appraisal of the facility; we have a lot of expertise that's needed beyond our group and all of those things are going to cost us. We've had a market analysis done before which was free. I think one of the reasons we didn't move forward with the previous realtor is because she wanted a large sum of money to do this work and we weren't ready for it. So, in the next board meeting we can discuss what those figures look like and if it is affordable. Again, have Patty join us to discuss the state board of finance requirements and then figure out how we would like to move forward.</p> <p><u>Baca:</u> Thank you.</p> <p><u>Jones:</u> Thank you.</p> <p><u>Baca:</u> Julian and Melissa, do you have any questions?</p>	

	<p><u>Trujeque</u>: No, I do not.</p> <p><u>Munoz</u>: No</p>	
<p><b>Head Administrator Updates</b></p>	<p><u>Jones</u>: I just wanted to give you guys a couple quick updates. We have our lottery that is beginning in the month of February; I do have a notice that we have added to our website. We have an assembly coming up this Friday, so we're hoping to share more information and work with our marketing coordinator to see about getting it out to our social media outlets as well. So, the lottery is coming up very quickly. Enrollment-wise we are below 380 students right now and COVID has been difficult. However, I think we've always been ok because our projections have always been very reasonable. As far as growth is concerned, we do always expect growth, but we don't project too much growth. So, we've been ok when it comes to SEG. One of the other things that has helped us out is we do have K-5 Plus that's been approved for the upcoming month of June and we also have extended learning time programs that have been stacked on top of one another. On that note, we have been planning; I've been participating with the PED's K-5 Plus Advisory committee. We had our first meeting and really just trying to get information from other leaders about how their programs work; we're making suggestions to the PED regarding the application process and some of the implementation woes that we face. And with the extended learning time, we feel pretty positive about what we've been able to do; we've accomplished almost all of our hours when it comes to professional development and I believe now we're well above a 40, closer to 60 PD hours. We also have an after-school program that's been started; we started that program two months ago and that seems to be going well although it is online. I can't wait until we get back. Once our kids get back, they're going to good outlet with the after-school program, but right now it's online and we have good participation. Newest staff member is our Art Teacher Ms. Meagan Kauffin; she's been doing a fantastic job. She's taken over at mid-year and that's gone really really well. The kids seem to like her, and it seems like she's a good fit with our school as well. Otherwise, we have our structured literacy plan that's to be submitted next week. Our two first grade teachers have already completed their training for structured literacy and that's for the Dyslexia Screener. It's going to be a new system by the PED to make sure we're catching students early in an intervention model where our early childhood students, especially the first-grade kids, are being identified for having potential traits of dyslexia and being treated with interventions and having somewhat of a customized academic program built for them. We're really just trying to take a deeper dive at the students who are having reading difficulties earlier on, so we can identify them and provide them with the services and growth opportunities they need. So, structured literacy has gone well. We submitted our site safety plan that was due at the beginning of the month. We're on track and everything seems to be shaping up quite well. Excited to come back. We've started surveillance testing which was a requirement that began in January as well when we returned. First day back for our students and staff 5-1 anyhow for special education was the 19<sup>th</sup> of January. Our front office staff and special education teachers also returned on the 19<sup>th</sup>. We had a two-week period in which no one was allowed on campus because we finished the winter break and wanted to be safe and abiding by the PED's to not return for two weeks or until the 18<sup>th</sup>. So, that seems to be going well. We have an MLSS which is a new transition from the student assistance team when we used to go through the 3-tiered model and identify students for special education services mixed with what seems to be more of a multifaceted approach where we look at the whole child. We have teams of experts working together and we actually have a lady named Shelly Sharon, who helped us with our charter renewal, who is our external coordinator coach and she's assisting us with our MLSS initiative this year. There is some training that's been involved; we have Ms. Banerjee who has been identified as our 2<sup>nd</sup> MLSS SAT Co-Chair and she's working with Ms. Trujillo. I'd like to share some more information on some of the academic programs in the next meeting when we have a little bit more time for me to review those with you a little more thoroughly. I can share with you some of our completed products that we've submitted to the PED. I think last but not least it seems like in the last secretary's meeting there seems to be a little bit more discussion about students returning for re-entry. It's always been a part of the discussion, but it seems like now there may be more of a chance for students to come back. I know Ms. Brown is with us and she probably knows a lot more about this from her end. She is shaking her head no. But I'll just tell you guys that it seems like almost a 180 from the 2 secretary's meetings. It seems like we were going through the agenda the first meeting you know, and it was like well we're in the red and there's a lot of dangers and there wasn't any secondary re-entry guidance for students in grades 7-12, and it seemed like it wasn't going to happen this year. And then suddenly, in our most recent meeting there was some discussion about secondary students returning and there was secondary guidance and there was a couple of comments made by our Secretary of Education who said, "we have new research, and the research is showing that maybe there is a better chance than what we thought for our students to return." We also discussed the vaccine and rollout of the vaccines for educators. So, seems like things are looking a little more hopeful for our students to return. Obviously, we have our plan in which we need to be in the green prior to returning and we were looking for 2 straight reporting periods by which Bernalillo county was green, particularly because our kids come from the south valley with the two highest positive COVID rates. Anyhow, I just wanted to give you guys a quick update on that. We're working with the re-entry team and we have another meeting this Wednesday to go over our plans and putting more thought</p>	

	<p>into what would a larger re-entry look like. Maybe K-8 if that was the case, but we still plan on phasing things in. Lot to cover but really without any certainty. I can't give you a whole lot of information, but I will continue to share our re-entry plans with you and keep you updated in what we have going on that front. So, I think that's it for my updates and of course I'm open for questions; I know that was a lot of info to share.</p> <p><u>Munoz:</u> Are teachers getting rolled out with the new vaccine, or no?</p> <p><u>Jones:</u> That's a good question. They're in the 1B group so educators are considered essential workers. We have educators who can register for the vaccine and they indicate they're an educator and so, they're placed on the 1B priority list. But we also have those ancillary staff members, our school nurse, health assistants and so forth that provide that kind of direct services to students and so they have to be within a close proximity sort of speak, although all teachers really do in small groups, but they are able to register as healthcare providers and they can get themselves on the 1A list. Now its only for a certain group of staff members, it isn't about jumping the line, its for those who really do need to be closer to students to provide those services within a 6-foot bubble. We have some teachers who have already gotten their vaccines; I am working with the teachers to track who does have the vaccine. It isn't a requirement to get a vaccine, it isn't a requirement by the school or by PED but it's helpful for me to know especially as we make these re-entry plans. I'm tracking surveillance testing with the help of our health assistant. We have unique links we're sending out and we have this second tracking system for vaccines. We have a few people who've gotten them and I'm hopeful that the further along we get with the rollout of vaccines the closer we'll be to having kids back on campus.</p> <p><u>Baca:</u> Thank you, Mr. Jones. Anybody else have any other questions? Julian? Melissa? Kyle? Anybody?</p> <p><u>Munoz:</u> No, I'm good.</p> <p><u>Trujeque:</u> I'm good too.</p>	
<b>Public Comment</b>	<p><u>Baca:</u> Anybody have any questions?</p> <p><u>Munoz:</u> Ms. Brown, do you have any questions for us or any comments?</p> <p><u>Jones:</u> Complaints?</p> <p><u>Munoz:</u> Complaints, just send those to Mr. Jones.</p> <p><u>Brown:</u> Oh, I know where to send them. No, just doing your governing board observation.</p> <p><u>Baca:</u> Hi Missy, thank you for coming. I appreciate that.</p>	
<b>Announcement of Next GC Meeting</b>  <b>Adjourn</b>	<p>Next GC meeting: <b><u>Monday, February 22, 2021; 5:30 pm</u></b></p> <p>Meeting was adjourned at <u>6:17pm</u></p>	<p>Brenda Baca asked for a motion to adjourn. Julian Munoz motioned. Melissa Trujeque seconded. -Brenda Baca called for a vote. GC approved unanimously by a vote of 3-0; 0 opposed; 0 abstained</p>